



Stępińska, J., Marona, M., Greenlaw, N., and Steg, G. (2014) Heart rate and the use of beta-blockers in stable outpatients with coronary artery disease: Polish baseline results of the CLARIFY registry. *Kardiologia Polska* . ISSN 0022-9032

Copyright © 2014 The Authors

A copy can be downloaded for personal non-commercial research or study, without prior permission or charge

Content must not be changed in any way or reproduced in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holder(s)

When referring to this work, full bibliographic details must be given

<http://eprints.gla.ac.uk/99076>

Deposited on: 07 November 2014

Enlighten – Research publications by members of the University of Glasgow\_  
<http://eprints.gla.ac.uk>

This is a provisional PDF only. Copyedited and fully formatted version will be made available soon.



[www.kardiologiapolska.pl](http://www.kardiologiapolska.pl)

Polish Heart Journal



**KARDIOLOGIA  
POLSKA**

Oficjalne czasopismo  
Polskiego Towarzystwa Kardiologicznego  
Polish Cardiac Society  
the Official Peer Review Journal  
since 1957

ISSN: 0022-9032

e-ISSN: 1897-4279

## **Heart rate and the use of beta-blockers in stable outpatients with coronary artery disease: Polish baseline results of the CLARIFY registry**

**Authors:** Janina Stępińska, Miłosz Marona, Nicola Greenlaw, Gabriel Steg

**DOI:** 10.5603/KP.a2014.0134

**Article type:** Original articles

**Submitted:** 2014-01-27

**Accepted:** 2014-05-22

**Published online:** 2014-06-17

This article has been peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance. It is an open access article, which means that it can be downloaded, printed, and distributed freely, provided the work is properly cited.

Articles in "Polish Heart Journal" are listed in PubMed.

## **Heart rate and the use of beta-blockers in stable outpatients with coronary artery disease: Polish baseline results of the CLARIFY registry**

Częstotliwość rytmu serca i farmakoterapia beta-adrenolitykami u pacjentów ambulatoryjnych ze stabilną chorobą wieńcową: charakterystyka wyjściowa polskiej populacji rejestru CLARIFY

Janina Stępińska<sup>1</sup>, Miłosz Marona<sup>1</sup>, Nicola Greenlaw<sup>2</sup>, Gabriel Steg<sup>3, 4, 5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Cardiac Intensive Care, Institute of Cardiology, Warsaw, Poland

<sup>2</sup>University of Glasgow, Glasgow, United Kingdom

<sup>3</sup>Université Paris-Diderot, Sorbonne-Paris Cité, Paris, France

<sup>4</sup>Département Hospitalo-Universitaire FIRE, Hôpital Bichat, Assistance Publique – Hôpitaux de Paris, Paris, France

<sup>5</sup>NHLI Imperial College, ICMS, Royal Brompton Hospital, London, UK

### **Address for correspondence:**

Miłosz Marona, MD, Department of Cardiac Intensive Care, Institute of Cardiology, ul. Alpejska 42, 04–628 Warszawa, Poland, e-mail: miloszmарona@gmail.com

### **Abstract**

**Background:** Heart rate (HR) is an important risk factor in coronary artery disease (CAD). However, there is little contemporary data on HR and the use of HR-lowering medications, particularly beta-blockers, among patients with stable CAD in routine clinical practice.

**Aim:** To describe HR in the Polish population of the CLARIFY registry, overall and in relation to beta-blocker use, and to assess the determinants of HR.

**Methods and results:** CLARIFY is an international, prospective, observational, longitudinal registry of outpatients with stable CAD, defined as either prior myocardial infarction or revascularisation procedure, or evidence of coronary stenosis of at least 50%, or chest pain associated with proven myocardial ischaemia. A total of 33,438 patients from 45 countries in Europe, the Americas, Africa, the Middle East, and Asia/Pacific were enrolled between November 2009 and July 2010. In Poland, 1,004 patients were enrolled between February and

June 2010, which was the largest population among countries from Eastern Europe. Most patients were men (72.8%). Mean  $\pm$  SD age was  $62.1 \pm 9.1$  years. HR determined by pulse was  $69.3 \pm 9.4$  bpm and by electrocardiogram was  $68.2 \pm 10.6$  bpm. Beta-blockers were used in 89.9% of patients. Resting HR  $\geq 70$  bpm was noted in 49.3% of all patients and in 48.6% of patients on beta-blockers. Resting HR  $\geq 70$  bpm was significantly more frequent among younger patients, and in those with diabetes, those being treated for arterial hypertension, and who lacked regular physical activity. Patients with HR  $\geq 70$  bpm at rest had more frequent symptoms of angina and more frequently needed hospitalisation due to heart failure.

**Conclusions:** Despite a very high rate of beta-blocker use, almost 50% of patients with stable CAD had a resting HR  $\geq 70$  bpm, which was associated with more frequent angina and ischaemia. Further HR lowering is possible in many patients with CAD. Whether or not this will improve symptoms and outcomes is under investigation.

**Key words:** heart rate, coronary artery disease, beta-blockers

## Streszczenie

**Wstęp:** Częstotliwość rytmu serca (HR) jest ważnym czynnikiem ryzyka u pacjentów ze stabilną chorobą wieńcową (CAD). Wciąż mało jest aktualnych danych na temat HR i farmakoterapii za pomocą leków obniżających HR (w tym beta-adrenolityków) w codziennej praktyce klinicznej u pacjentów ze stabilną CAD.

**Cel:** Celem niniejszego artykułu jest omówienie wyjściowej charakterystyki polskiej populacji rejestru CLARIFY, również w odniesieniu do leczenia beta-adrenolitykami oraz ocena czynników wpływających na HR.

**Metody i wyniki:** CLARIFY to międzynarodowe, prospektywne, badanie obserwacyjne dotyczące ambulatoryjnych pacjentów ze stabilną CAD. Kryteriami włączenia były: wywiad zawału serca, przebyte leczenie rewaskularyzacyjne, stwierdzenie w koronarografii co najmniej 50% zwężeń w tętnicach wieńcowych lub występowanie dolegliwości stenokardialnych z udowodnionym niedokrwieniem w testach prowokacyjnych. Pomiędzy listopadem 2009 r. a lipcem 2010 r. do badania włączono 33 438 pacjentów z 45 krajów Europy, Ameryki Północnej i Południowej, Afryki, Azji, Australii i Oceanii. W Polsce między lutym a czerwcem włączono 1004 osób, co stanowi największą grupę chorych wśród krajów tzw. Europy Wschodniej. W większości byli to mężczyźni (72.8%). Średni  $\pm$  SD wiek wynosił  $62,1 \pm 9,1$  roku, średnia HR oceniana za pomocą fali tętna wynosiła  $69,3 \pm 9,4$ , a za pomocą elektrokardiogramu  $68,2 \pm 10,6$  uderzeń na minutę. Beta-adrenolityki były stosowane u

89,9% pacjentów. Spoczynkową HR  $\geq 70$ /min stwierdzono u 49,3% wszystkich chorych i u 48,6% pacjentów leczonych beta-adrenolitykami. Spoczynkowa HR  $\geq 70$ /min istotnie częściej występowała u młodszych chorych, z cukrzycą, leczonym nadciśnieniem tętniczym i niestosujących regularnie wysiłku fizycznego. U chorych ze spoczynkową HR  $\geq 70$ /min częściej występowały objawy dławicowe i częściej wymagali hospitalizacji z powodu niewydolności serca.

**Wnioski:** Pomimo bardzo wysokiego odsetka pacjentów ze stabilną CAD leczonych beta-adrenolitykami nadal u niemal 50% osób spoczynkowa HR wynosi  $\geq 70$ /min, co wiąże się z częstszym występowaniem objawów dławicowych i niedokrwieniem mięśnia sercowego. U wielu z tych chorych można w istotny sposób zwolnić spoczynkową HR. Odpowiedź na pytanie, czy takie postępowanie będzie się wiązało z poprawą rokowania i zmniejszeniem dolegliwości, wymaga przeprowadzenia dalszych badań.

**Słowa kluczowe:** częstotliwość rytmu serca, stabilna choroba wieńcowa, beta-adrenolityki

## INTRODUCTION

Coronary artery disease (CAD) is a leading cause of death in Poland and worldwide [1, 2]. It is estimated that of each 1,000 inhabitants of European countries, 20–40 suffer from stable CAD. We still lack data on clinical characteristics and management of outpatients with stable CAD. Most contemporary data comes from studies evaluating patients from Europe or North America with acute coronary syndromes or who are treated with percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI).

The prospective observational Longitudinal Registry of patients with stable coronary artery disease (CLARIFY) study is a registry created to improve our knowledge about patients with stable CAD from a global perspective [3]. The main issue was to define the demographic characteristics, clinical profiles, the implementation of the guidelines, and the long-term prognostic determinants in this group of patients. The very same objectives were pursued in the Polish population.

Heart rate (HR) is one of the determinants of myocardial ischaemia and a well-established prognostic factor in patients with CAD [4–7] or congestive heart failure [8]. HR is also a predictor of the future occurrence of an acute coronary event [4, 9]. The clinical benefits of HR-lowering agents, especially beta-blockers, are well known, particularly in patients with a history of myocardial infarction (MI) [10].

Beta-blockers have an impact on several mechanisms of the heart's work, and they are not only HR lowering agents. However, recent data from the BEAUTIFUL trial indicates that decreasing HR using a pure HR-lowering agent, ivabradine, is associated with the prevention of angina, reduction of myocardial ischaemia, and may prevent acute coronary events [11, 12]. Yet little is known about the HR actually achieved in real clinical practice, including in patients treated with HR-lowering agents.

The main objective of this analysis was to describe the Polish population of the CLARIFY registry, particularly the HR actually achieved, determinants of higher HR, the relationship between HR and the use of beta-blockers, and the incidence of important clinical symptoms like angina or heart failure.

## **METHODS**

CLARIFY is an ongoing, international, prospective, observational, longitudinal cohort study in stable CAD outpatients with a five-year follow-up. Patients were enrolled in 45 countries in Africa, Asia, Australia, Europe, and North and South America. Patients are treated according to usual clinical practice, with no specific tests or therapies defined by the study protocol. In Eastern Europe, 3,009 patients were enrolled in nine countries, at 229 study sites.

### ***Study population***

The inclusion criterion was a history of stable CAD, defined as at least one of the following: documented MI or coronary revascularisation (PCI or coronary artery bypass graft surgery [CABG]) > three months earlier, coronary stenosis > 50% in coronary angiography or chest pain with proven myocardial ischaemia in noninvasive stress tests (electrocardiography [ECG], echocardiography, or myocardial imaging). The exclusion criteria were hospitalisation within the last three months for cardiovascular reasons (including revascularisation), planned revascularisation, or conditions that may hamper participation in the five-year follow-up (limited co-operation or legal capacity, serious non-cardiovascular disease, limited life expectancy, severe cardiovascular diseases such as advanced heart failure, valve disease, or a history of valve repair/replacement).

### ***Site selection***

The main assumption of the study was that enrolled patients corresponded to a real epidemiological pattern in each participating country. The chosen physicians (cardiologists, internists, and primary care physicians) enrolled, over a short period of time so as to avoid

selection bias, 10–15 consecutive patients who met the inclusion criteria and to whom the exclusion criteria were not applicable. The goal was to enroll 25 (12.5–50) patients per 1,000,000 inhabitants to ensure a balanced representation of each country. The assumed number of enrolled patients was exactly achieved in Poland.

### ***Baseline evaluation and data management***

Data collected at baseline included demographics, medical history, risk factors, lifestyle, and current symptoms. Patients were examined and HR was determined both by pulse palpation and most recent ECG (performed within the last six months). Blood test results (e.g. haemoglobin, fasting glucose, haemoglobin A1c, creatinine, cholesterol, triglycerides) and current chronic medical treatment (drugs taken regularly more than seven days before entering the study) were also recorded.

A standardised, international, electronic case report form translated into the local language was used for data collection. It was sent electronically to the data management centre where completeness, internal consistency, and accuracy were checked.

### ***Statistical analysis***

All data is collected and analysed at the Robertson Centre for Biostatistics, University of Glasgow, UK, which is responsible for managing the database, performing all analyses, and storing the data according to regulations. Baseline variables are summarised as mean and standard deviation (SD), or median, lower and upper quartiles for continuous data depending on the distribution of the data; and as counts and percentages for categorical data. Differences between the groups were tested using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), or Kruskal-Wallis test for continuous variables, again depending on the distribution of the data, and Pearson's  $\chi^2$  test or Fisher's exact test for categorical variables. A multivariable analysis of independent correlates of  $HR \geq 70$  bpm was performed using a logistic regression model. The cut-off value of 70 bpm was selected based on the results of several studies showing that this is an important prognostic threshold across a variety of patient populations [11, 13–16]. All clinical baseline variables were considered for entry into the model as predictors of  $HR \geq 70$  bpm and univariate models for each were produced. The use of HR-lowering medications was considered to be the most important treatment variable, and so this was the only treatment predictor entered in the analyses. The multivariable model was then built using a stepwise selection method applied to the remaining significant univariate predictors, with the use of HR-lowering medications being forced into the model.

## RESULTS

A total of 1,004 patients were screened and then enrolled by 79 investigators in Poland between 25 February and 29 June 2010. This was the largest population of any of the Eastern European countries. All the patients were available for analysis.

Mean  $\pm$  SD age was  $62.1 \pm 9.1$  years and most of the patients were men (72.8%). The median time since first diagnosis of CAD was six years (IQR 2–10 years). 66.8% of patients had a history of MI, 60.6% had a PCI, and 25.7% a CABG. 30.3% of the patients had angina symptoms. Coronary angiography had been performed in 81% of the patients and 73.8% had undergone noninvasive testing for ischaemia. HR measured by pulse palpation (mean [SD] HR 69.3 [9.4] bpm) was highly correlated with HR measured by ECG (68.2 [10.6] bpm) (Pearson correlation coefficient 0.79,  $p < 0.0001$ ).

Patients were divided into three categories according to baseline pulse palpation HR at rest:  $\leq 60$  bpm (218, 21.7%), 61–69 bpm (291, 29.0%), and  $\geq 70$  bpm (495, 49.3%). Resting HR  $\geq 70$  bpm was significantly more frequent among younger patients, in those with a history of diabetes, treated arterial hypertension, no or light regular physical activity, and higher body mass index. Patients with a higher HR had more frequent angina symptoms, lower left ventricular ejection fraction, and more often were admitted to hospital due to heart failure. Additionally, they less frequently had stenosis  $> 50\%$  of the right coronary artery, or a sinus rhythm identified in ECG, and less frequently underwent noninvasive testing for myocardial ischaemia. They also had higher blood pressure (both systolic and diastolic). Patients with low HR ( $\leq 60$  bpm) had lower fasting triglycerides and higher high-density lipoprotein. There was no significant association between HR and gender, history of MI, invasive treatment (CABG or PCI), or time since the first CAD diagnosis. The most important characteristics of clinical and laboratory parameters according to HR are shown in Table 1.

Overall, 89.9% of patients were treated with beta-blockers (any one at any dose), 95.1% with acetylsalicylic acid, 12.7% with thienopyridines, and 95.8% with lipid-lowering agents. Other HR-lowering agents like ivabradine (4.5%), diltiazem or verapamil (4.9%), amiodarone/dronedarone (0.9%), and digoxin or derivatives (0.9%) were used less often. Patients treated with ivabradine had higher HR, but there were no significant differences in the proportions of higher HR in subgroups treated with other HR-lowering agents (including beta-blockers). Additionally, patients with higher HR were treated more often with oral anticoagulants, antidiabetic agents, and proton pump inhibitors. The median number of HR-

lowering agents was one in the whole population and in each HR subgroup. The most important medications according to HR are shown in Table 2.

The entire population was also divided into two groups according to treatment with beta-blockers. Men, patients with a history of treated hypertension, prior MI, or CABG were significantly more frequently treated with beta-blockers, in contrast to patients with peripheral artery disease, carotid disease, and asthma/chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, where beta-blockers were used significantly less often.

Patients treated with beta-blockers had higher body mass index, greater waist circumference, and lower left ventricular ejection fraction. Mean (SD) HR assessed by palpation or ECG was lower in the beta-blocker group (69.1 [9.1] vs. 71.4 [11.5] and 67.9 [10.3] vs. 70.7 [12.6], respectively), but there was no significant difference in the proportion of patients with HR  $\geq$  70 bpm (48.6% vs. 55.4%,  $p = 0.19$ ) despite the use of these agents. Also there were no differences in the usage of beta-blocker according to age or history of PCI (Table 3).

Patients treated with beta-blockers more frequently received acetylsalicylic acid and angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, in contrast to ivabradine and verapamil or diltiazem, which were used less often (Table 3).

Multivariable logistic regression analysis indicated that elevated systolic blood pressure, full reimbursement of cardiovascular agents and diabetes were significantly associated with higher HR, in contrast to increasing physical activity, noninvasive testing for myocardial ischaemia, or stenosis  $> 50\%$  of the right coronary artery, which had a protective effect. Social factors were independent correlates of HR as well. Patients unable to work had a higher HR than those who were employed full time (Table 4.). Also it turns out that not taking HR-lowering agents is not significantly associated with a higher HR after adjustment for these other variables.

## **DISCUSSION**

The CLARIFY registry offers a unique opportunity for very detailed information on the determinants of higher HR in stable CAD patients in Poland and also all over the world. Despite the proven prognostic importance of HR and the threshold  $\geq 70$  bpm in patients with CAD or heart failure [4–9], these determinants are still poorly defined.

Overall, almost half of patients with stable CAD had resting HR  $\geq 70$  bpm and only about 20% had HR  $\leq 60$  bpm, which is the guidelines-recommended target for HR in patients with angina on beta-blockers [17]. Due to the very high beta-blocker use (about 90%), similar

to that seen in a previous Polish RECENT study [18], the proportions of beta-blocker-treated patients in various HR categories were nearly the same. These findings are also consistent with results from the EuroHeart Survey on angina in which 19% of patients had HR  $\leq$  62 bpm [19] and with the overall results of the CLARIFY registry where half of the population had an HR  $\geq$  70 bpm and only 28% of patients had HR  $\leq$  60 bpm [20].

In our study, multivariable analysis revealed some independent predictors of higher HR. Diabetes, higher systolic blood pressure and social factors such as inability to work were correlated with a higher risk of HR  $\geq$  70 bpm. On the other hand, performing noninvasive testing and increased physical activity had a protective influence. The relationship between stenosis  $>$  50% of the right coronary artery, reimbursement of cardiovascular agents and higher HR seems to be rather accidental. Not taking HR-lowering agents appeared not to be significantly associated with risk of higher HR after adjustment for these other variables.

These findings have very important clinical implications. Despite treatment with HR-lowering agents, especially beta-blockers, the proportion of patients with elevated HR remains high. According to European and American guidelines for the management of stable angina, beta-blockers are the first-line anti-anginal therapy [21, 22]. One of the factors that may limit the use of beta-blockers is low blood pressure, but the data shows that patients with HR  $\geq$  70 bpm had generally higher blood pressure. It seems that there is a potential for increasing and adjusting the dose of beta-blockers or adding the second agent (ivabradine) to achieve better HR control.

There are other potential limitations of proper treatment with beta-blockers: inadequate knowledge of evidence or treatment targets by clinicians [23], comorbidities that could be a contraindication or decreased tolerance to beta-blockers, side effects of beta-blockers, or access to medical care and reimbursement.

However, there is a discussion as to whether other HR-lowering agents could provide benefits similar to those of beta-blockers. In stable CAD there is no difference between beta-blockers and calcium antagonists in reducing the rate of cardiac death or MI [24]. Ivabradine, the pure HR-lowering drug, did not improve overall clinical outcome [11], but subgroup analysis of patients with HR  $\geq$  70 bpm showed that ivabradine reduced the incidence of coronary events [7, 12]. The ongoing SIGNIFY trial may answer the question as to whether ivabradine, a pure HR-lowering agent, can improve clinical outcomes in patients with CAD but without heart failure [25].

Higher HR was also correlated with lack of, or low, physical activity. Thus efforts to encourage lifestyle changes seem to be another safe and effective way of reducing HR.

## CONCLUSIONS

It is worth reiterating that, despite a very high rate of treatment with beta-blockers, about half of the stable CAD patients in Poland still have HR  $\geq$  70 bpm. Patients with higher HR more often have symptoms of angina and more frequently need hospitalisation due to heart failure. It seems that there is a possibility of further lowering the HR in many patients with stable CAD. Whether or not this will improve symptoms and outcomes is under investigation.

### CLARIFY Registry Investigators in Poland

Krzysztof Adamaszek (Grudziądz); Dorota Adamczyk-Kot (Jędrzejów); Agata Adamkiewicz-Piejko (Kraków); Joanna Banach (Bydgoszcz); Piotr Błaszczak (Lublin); Paweł Bogdański (Poznań); Piotr Brodzicki (Kraków); Wiesław Bryl (Kościan); Inga Chlewicka (Warszawa); Robert Chyrek (Poznań); Ewa Cisowska-Drozd (Mielec); Jerzy Cygler (Giżycko); Bogusław Demianiuk (Bielsk Podlaski); Małgorzata Dereń (Leżajsk); Jakub Drozd (Lublin); Anna Drzewiecka (Czeladź); Renata Duchowska (Wałbrzych); Mirosław Fic (Jelenia Góra); Anna Frankiewicz (Gdańsk); Romana Furtak (Rzeszów); Waldemar Gadziński (Bydgoszcz); Alicja Gałuszka-Bilińska (Ruda Śląska); Grażyna Głanowska (Gliwice); Marcin Gruchała (Gdańsk); Anna Jankowska (Lublin); Lucyna Jankowska (Szczecin); Piotr Jarmużek (Międzyrzecz); Grzegorz Jarosiński (Katowice); Olga Jerzykowska (Poznań); Monika Jeżewska (Toruń); Rafał Kacorzyk (Przybyśławice); Ewa Kaźmierczak (Poznań); Wojciech Kępa (Skarżysko-Kamienna); Lilianna Kisiel (Augustów); Urszula Kossowska (Ełk); Andrzej Królak (Świdnica); Karolina Królicka (Opole); Waldemar Kulig (Mielec); Lucyna Lenartowska (Wrocław); Jacek Lorenc (Krzeszowice); Elżbieta Łotocka (Lublin); Tomasz Ługowski (Bydgoszcz); Maryla Maćków (Legnica); Maciej Maliszewski (Ostrowiec Świętokrzyski); Gabriela Matuszewska (Zabrze); Katarzyna Modzelewska (Bielsk Podlaski); Tatiana Mularek-Kubzdela (Poznań); Iwona Nikodemka (Dobra); Elżbieta Nowicka (Wrocław); Alicja Nowowiejska-Wiewióra (Zabrze); Artur Olszewski (Bartoszyce); Krzysztof Orzechowski (Świdnik); Tomasz Pitsch (Knurów); Beata Poprawa (Tarnowskie Góry); Andrzej Prokop (Sosnowiec); Aldona Regulska (Krosno); Roman Sadłowski (Bytów); Marek Sidor (Lubartów); Benwenuta Sikorska-Buczowska (Gniezno); Ilona Skoczylas (Pyskowice); Joanna Słaboszewska (Wejherowo); Dorota Sobczyk (Kraków); Marek Sołtysiak (Lubin); Tomasz Stasiuk (Kwidziń); Witold Streb (Rybnik); Jerzy Sudnik (Sokółka); Piotr Szałkowski (Gdańsk); Aleksander Szpak (Brzeg); Marek Szulc (Morąg);

Paweł Turek (Kraków); Grzegorz Walawski (Leżajsk); Jolanta Walczewska (Kraków); Magdalena Węglarz (Bydgoszcz); Małgorzata Winter (Wrocław); Mariola Wrębiak-Trznadel (Dąbrowa Górnicza); Ryszard Wysocki (Ostrów Wielkopolski); Dorota Zalewska (Skała); Joanna Zdrojewska (Szczecin); Rafał Zimoląg (Nowa Sól)

## **References**

1. Murray CJ, Lopez AD. Mortality by cause for eight regions of the world: Global Burden of Disease Study. *Lancet*, 1997; 349: 1269–1276.
2. Anderson GF, Chu E. Expanding priorities-confronting chronic disease in countries with low income. *N Engl J Med*, 2007; 356: 209–211.
3. Steg PG. Heart rate management in coronary artery disease: the CLARIFY registry. *Eur Heart J*, 2009 11 (suppl. D): D13–D18.
4. Diaz A, Bourassa MG, Guertin MC, Tardif JC. Long-term prognostic value of resting heart rate in patients with suspected or proven coronary artery disease. *Eur Heart J*, 2005; 26: 967–974.
5. Kolloch R, Legler UF, Champion A et al. Impact of resting heart rate on outcomes in hypertensive patients with coronary artery disease: findings from the INternational VErapamil-SR/trandolapril STudy (INVEST). *Eur Heart J*, 2008; 29: 1327–1334.
6. Fox K, Borer JS, Camm AJ et al. Resting heart rate in cardiovascular disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol*, 2007; 50: 823–830.
7. Fox K, Ford I, Steg PG et al. Heart rate as a prognostic risk factor in patients with coronary artery disease and left-ventricular systolic dysfunction (BEAUTIFUL): a subgroup analysis of a randomized controlled trial. *Lancet*, 2008; 372: 817–821.
8. Bohm M, Swedberg K, Komajda M et al. Heart rate as a risk factor in chronic heart failure (SHIFT): the association between heart rate and outcomes in a randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*, 2010; 376: 886–894.
9. Hsia J, Larson JC, Ockene JK et al. Resting heart rate as a low tech predictor of coronary events in women: prospective cohort study. *BMJ*, 2009; 338: b219.
10. Freemantle N, Cleland J, Young P, Mason J. Beta Blockade after myocardial infarction: systematic review and meta regression analysis. *BMJ*, 1999; 318: 1730–1737.
11. Fox K, Ford I, Steg PG et al. Ivabradine for patients with stable coronary artery disease and left-ventricular systolic dysfunction (BEAUTIFUL): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*, 2008; 372: 807–816.
12. Fox K, Ford I, Steg PG et al. Relationship between ivabradine treatment and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with stable coronary artery disease and left

- ventricular systolic dysfunction with limiting angina: a subgroup analysis of the randomized, controlled BEAUTIFUL trial. *Eur Heart J*, 2009; 30: 2337–2345.
13. Antoni ML, Boden H, Delgado V et al. Relationship between discharge heart rate and mortality in patients after acute myocardial infarction treated with primary percutaneous coronary intervention. *Eur Heart J*, 2012; 33: 96–102.
  14. Rambihar S, Gao P, Teo K et al. Heart rate is associated with increased risk of major cardiovascular events, cardiovascular and all-cause death in patients with stable chronic cardiovascular disease: an analysis of ONTARGET/TRANSCEND. *Circulation*, 2010; 122: A12667.
  15. Waters DD, Ho J, Demicco DA et al. Heart rate as a predictor of cardiovascular risk in coronary heart disease patients with diabetes. *Eur Heart J*, 2010; 31 (Abstract Suppl.): 801.
  16. Ho JE, Bittner V, Demicco DA et al. Usefulness of heart rate at rest as a predictor of mortality, hospitalization for heart failure, myocardial infarction, and stroke in patients with stable coronary heart disease (Data from the Treating to New Targets [TNT] trial). *Am J Cardiol*, 2010; 105: 905–911.
  17. Gibbons RJ, Chatterjee K, Daley J et al. ACC/AHA/ACP-ASIM guidelines for the management of patients with chronic stable angina: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Committee on management of Patients With Chronic Stable Angina). *J Am Coll Cardiol*, 1999; 33: 2092–2197.
  18. Banasiak W, Wilkins A, Ponikowski P et al. Pharmacotherapy in patients with stable coronary artery disease treated on an outpatient basis in Poland. Results of the multicenter RECENT study. *Kardiol Pol*, 2008; 66: 642-649
  19. Daly CA, Clemens F, Sendon JL et al. Inadequate control of heart rate in patients with stable angina: results from the European heart survey. *Postgrad Med J*, 2010; 86: 212–217.
  20. Ferrari R, Ford I, Greenlaw N et al. Heart rate and use of beta-blockers in stable outpatients with coronary artery disease. *PLoS One*, 2012; 7: e36284. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0036284. Epub 2012 May 3.
  21. Fox K, Garcia MA, Ardissino Det al. Guidelines on the management of stable angina pectoris: executive summary: the Task Force on the Management of Stable Angina Pectoris of the European Society of Cardiology. *Eur Heart J*, 2006; 27: 1341–1381.
  22. Fraker TD, Jr., Fihn SD, Gibbons RJ et al. 2007 chronic angina focused update of the ACC/AHA 2002 guidelines for the management of patients with chronic stable angina: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines Writing Group to develop the focused update of the 2002

- guidelines for the management of patients with chronic stable angina. *J Am Coll Cardiol*, 2007; 50: 2264–2274.
23. Bagnall AJ, Yan AT, Yan RT et al. Optimal medical therapy for non-ST-segment-elevation acute coronary syndromes: exploring why physicians do not prescribe evidence-based treatment and why patients discontinue medications after discharge. *Circulation cardiovascular quality and outcomes*, 2010; 3: 530–537.
24. Heidenreich PA, McDonald KM, Hastie T et al. Meta-analysis of trials comparing beta-blockers, calcium antagonists, and nitrates for stable angina. *JAMA*, 1999; 281: 1927–1936
25. Fox K et al. Rationale, design, and baseline characteristics of the Study assessInG the morbidity-mortality beNefits of the If inhibitor ivabradine in patients with coronary artery disease (SIGNIFY trial): a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of ivabradine in patients with stable coronary artery disease without clinical heart failure. *Am Heart J*, 2013; 166: 654–661.

**Table 1.** The most significant characteristics of the Polish population classified according to resting heart rate

Parameter	No. of patients	Population	Total (n = 1,004)	HR ≤ 60 bpm (n = 218)	HR 61–69 bpm (n = 291)	HR ≥ 70 bpm (n = 495)	P
Age	1,004	Mean (SD)	62.1 (9.1)	63.5 (9.7)	61.9 (8.9)	61.63 (8.9)	0.0368
Gender	1,004	Male	731 (72.8)	157 (72.0)	216 (74.2)	358 (72.3)	0.8092
Body mass index	1,004	Median [Q1, Q3]	28.4 [25.9, 30.9]	27.9 [25.7, 30.4]	28.0 [25.9, 30.7]	28.7 [26.1, 31.4]	0.0283
Time since first CAD diagnosis [years]	1,004	Median [Q1, Q3]	6 [2, 10]	5 [2, 11]	6 [2, 12]	5 [2, 10]	0.3399
Myocardial infarction	1,004	N (%)	671 (66.8)	133 (61.0)	200 (68.7)	338 (68.3)	0.1179

PCI	1,004	N (%)	608 (60.6)	134 (61.5)	179 (61.5)	295 (59.6)	0.8277
CABG	1,004	N (%)	258 (25.7)	68 (31.2)	68 (23.4)	122 (24.6)	0.1022
Hospitalisation for CHF	1,004	N (%)	44 (4.4)	4 (1.8)	11 (3.8)	29 (5.9)	0.0450
Treated hypertension	1,004	N (%)	789 (78.6)	158 (72.5)	226 (77.7)	405 (81.8)	0.0178
Diabetes	1,004	N (%)	279 (27.8)	49 (22.5)	70 (24.1)	160 (32.3)	0.0062
Physical activity	1,004	No physical activity weekly	106 (10.6)	21 (9.6)	24 (8.2)	61 (12.3)	0.0011
		Light physical activity	489 (48.7)	87 (39.9)	140 (48.1)	262 (52.9)	
		At least 20 min of physical activity once or twice a week	207 (20.6)	48 (22.0)	70 (24.1)	89 (18.0)	
		At least 20 min of physical activity at least three times a week	202 (20.1)	62 (28.4)	57 (19.6)	83 (16.8)	
Any angina	1,004	N (%)	304 (30.3)	63 (28.9)	68 (23.4)	173 (34.9)	0.0026
Angina and CCS Class	1,004	No angina	700 (69.7)	155 (71.1)	223 (76.6)	322 (65.1)	0.0453
		Angina CCS Class I	99 (9.9)	19 (8.7)	24 (8.2)	56 (11.3)	
		Angina CCS Class II	170 (16.9)	35 (16.1)	38 (13.1)	97 (19.6)	
		Angina CCS Class III	35 (3.5)	9 (4.1)	6 (2.1)	20 (4.0)	
HDL [mmol/L]	692	Median [Q1, Q3]	1.2 [1.0, 1.4]	1.3 [1.1, 1.5]	1.2 [1.0, 1.4]	1.2 [1.0, 1.4]	0.0411
Fasting triglycerides [mmol/L]	733	Median [Q1, Q3]	1.4 [1.1, 1.9]	1.2 [0.9, 1.6]	1.5 [1.0, 1.9]	1.5 [1.1, 2.0]	< 0.0001
Heart rate (palpation)	1004	Mean (SD)	69.3 (9.4)	57.9 (3.3)	65.3 (2.1)	76.7 (7.1)	

ECG heart rate [bpm]	775	Mean (SD)	68.2 (10.6)	58.7 (6.3)	64.6 (6.4)	75.1 (9.6)	
SBP [mm Hg]	1,004	Mean (SD)	132.6 (15.7)	130.2 (14.9)	130.9 (15.5)	134.7 (15.9)	0.0002
DBP [mm Hg]	1,004	Mean (SD)	79.6 (9.5)	77.2 (9.6)	79.3 (8.6)	80.8 (9.7)	< 0.0001
LVEF [%]	713	Mean (SD)	52.9 (9.6)	53.5 (8.7)	54.2 (9.2)	51.8 (10.1)	0.0104
RCA stenosis > 50%	1,004	N (%)	438 (43.6)	111 (50.9)	135 (46.4)	192 (38.8)	0.0057
Non invasive test for myocardial ischaemia	1,004	N (%)	741 (73.8)	163 (74.8)	233 (80.1)	345 (69.7)	0.0057
ECG rhythm	775	Sinus rhythm	740 (95.5)	179 (96.8)	218 (98.2)	343 (93.2)	0.0124
		AF/atrial flutter	9 (1.2)	0 (0)	0 (0)	9 (2.4)	
		Paced rhythm	26 (3.4)	6 (3.2)	4 (1.8)	16 (4.3)	

HR — heart rate; CAD — coronary artery disease; PCI — percutaneous coronary intervention; CABG — coronary artery bypass grafting; ECG — electrocardiogram; CHF — congestive heart failure; CCS — Canadian Cardiovascular Society; HDL — high density lipoprotein; SBP — systolic blood pressure; DBP — diastolic blood pressure; LVEF — left ventricular ejection fraction; RCA — right coronary artery; AF — atrial fibrillation

**Table 2.** Pharmacotherapy of the Polish population classified according to resting heart rate

Parameter	No. of patients	Population	Total (n = 1,004)	HR ≤ 60 bpm (n = 218)	HR 61–69 bpm (n = 291)	HR ≥ 70 bpm (n = 495)	P
Aspirin	1,004	N (%)	955 (95.1)	208 (95.4)	279 (95.9)	468 (94.5)	0.6870
Thienopyridine	1,004	N (%)	128 (12.7)	23 (10.6)	42 (14.4)	63 (12.7)	0.4297
Oral anticoagulants	1,004	N (%)	64 (6.4)	11 (5.0)	9 (3.1)	44 (8.9)	0.0038
Beta-blockers	1,004	N (%)	903 (89.9)	200 (91.7)	264 (90.7)	439 (88.7)	0.3987
Ivabradine	1,004	N (%)	45 (4.5)	3 (1.4)	12 (4.1)	30 (6.1)	0.0194
Calcium antagonists	1,004	N (%)	268 (26.7)	49 (22.5)	71 (24.4)	148 (29.9)	0.0685
Verapamil or diltiazem	1,004	N (%)	49 (4.9)	5 (2.3)	15 (5.2)	29 (5.9)	0.1219
Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors	1,004	N (%)	753 (75.0)	161 (73.9)	225 (77.3)	367 (74.1)	0.5536
Angiotensin II receptor blockers	1,004	N (%)	175 (17.4)	37 (17.0)	48 (16.5)	90 (18.2)	0.8175

Lipid-lowering drugs	1,004	N (%)	962 (95.8)	208 (95.4)	283 (97.3)	471 (95.2)	0.3450
Long-acting nitrates	1,004	N (%)	139 (13.8)	29 (13.3)	36 (12.4)	74 (14.9)	0.5799
Diuretics	1,004	N (%)	388 (38.6)	78 (35.8)	103 (35.4)	207 (41.8)	0.1254
Digoxin and derivatives	1,004	N (%)	9 (0.9)	1 (0.5)	2 (0.7)	6 (1.2)	0.7478
Amiodarone/dronedarone	1,004	N (%)	9 (0.9)	3 (1.4)	3 (1.0)	3 (0.6)	0.5600
Antidiabetic agents	1,004	N (%)	238 (23.7)	40 (18.3)	60 (20.6)	138 (27.9)	0.0076
Proton pump inhibitors	1,004	N (%)	280 (27.9)	59 (27.1)	67 (23.0)	154 (31.1)	0.0485
Number of heart rate lowering agents	1,004	Median [Q1, Q3]	1 [1, 1]	1 [1, 1]	1 [1, 1]	1 [1, 1]	0.2360

**Table 3.** The most important characteristics and medications of the Polish population classified according to beta-blocker usage

Parameter	Total available	Population	Any BB (n = 903)	No BB (n = 101)	P
Age	1,004	Mean (SD)	62.2 (9.0)	61.7 (9.4)	0.6022
Gender	1,004	Male	668 (74.0)	63 (62.4)	0.0130
Body mass index	1,004	Median [Q1, Q3]	28.4 [26.0, 31.1]	27.1 [24.7, 30.0]	0.0012
Waist circumference [cm]	1,004	Median [Q1, Q3]	97 [90, 104]	94 [84, 102]	0.0369
Heart rate (palpation)	1,004	Mean (SD)	69.1 (9.1)	71.4 (11.5)	0.0218
Heart rate (ECG)	775	Mean (SD)	67.9 (10.3)	70.7 (12.6)	0.0260
Heart rate [bpm]	1,004	Heart rate (palpation) $\geq$ 70 bpm	439 (48.6)	56 (55.4)	0.1929
Left ventricular ejection fraction (%)	713	Mean (SD)	52.68 (9.6)	55.33 (8.5)	0.0358
Treated hypertension	1,004	N (%)	720 (79.7)	69 (68.3)	0.0080
Peripheral arterial disease	1,004	N (%)	91 (10.1)	20 (19.8)	0.0031
Myocardial infarction	1,004	N (%)	615 (68.1)	56 (55.4)	0.0104
Percutaneous coronary intervention	1,004	N (%)	549 (60.8)	59 (58.4)	0.6423
Coronary artery bypass grafting	1,004	N (%)	243 (26.9)	15 (14.9)	0.0085
Carotid disease	1,004	N (%)	33 (3.7)	9 (8.9)	0.0299
Asthma/COPD	1,004	N (%)	47 (5.2)	11 (10.9)	0.0202
Haemoglobin A1c [%]	74	Mean (SD)	6.83 (1.1)	8.23 (1.4)	0.0034
Creatinine [ $\mu$ mol/L]	587	Median [Q1, Q3]	87 [76, 97]	80 [68, 93]	0.0499
High density lipoprotein [mmol/L]	692	Median [Q1, Q3]	1.2 [1.0, 1.4]	1.3 [1.1, 1.6]	0.0036
Low density lipoprotein [mmol/L]	689	Median [Q1, Q3]	2.6 [2.0, 3.2]	2.8 [2.2, 3.8]	0.0264

Parameter	Total available	Population	Any BB (n = 903)	No BB (n = 101)	P
Coronary territories with stenosis > 50%: LAD	1,004	N (%)	505 (55.9)	37 (36.6)	0.0002
Coronary angiography not done	1,004	N (%)	162 (17.9)	29 (28.7)	0.0089
Aspirin	1,004	N (%)	865 (95.8)	90 (89.1)	0.0069
Symptoms indicative of intolerance or contraindication to beta-blockers	1,004	N (%)	74 (8.2)	58 (57.4)	< 0.0001
Ivabradine	1,004	N (%)	28 (3.1)	17 (16.8)	< 0.0001
Verapamil or diltiazem	1,004	N (%)	25 (2.8)	24 (23.8)	< 0.0001
ACE inhibitors	1,004	N (%)	687 (76.1)	66 (65.3)	0.0182

BB —beta-blockers; ECG — electrocardiography; COPD — chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; LAD — left anterior descending artery; ACE — angiotensin-converting enzyme

**Table 4.** Multivariable logistic regression results from stepwise model using the patients in Poland. All significant variables associated with an elevated heart rate ( $\geq 70$  bpm) and forcing heart rate reducing drugs into the model

Variable	Level	Odds ratio (95% confidence interval)	Pr > Chi-square
Systolic blood pressure [per 10 mm Hg]		1.2 (1.1, 1.3)	0.0004
Employment	Employed part-time	0.8 (0.4, 1.3)	0.0007
Employment	Unable to work	1.7 (1.0, 2.6)	
Employment	Unemployed	3.1 (0.9, 10.9)	
Employment	Retired	0.9 (0.6, 1.2)	
Employment	Other	2.4 (1.1, 5.3)	
Diabetes	Yes	1.5 (1.1, 2.0)	0.0057
Physical activity	No physical activity weekly	1.0 (0.6, 1.5)	0.0272
Physical activity	At least 20 min vigorous physical activity once or twice a week	0.7 (0.5, 1.0)	
Physical activity	At least 20 min vigorous activity at least three times a week	0.6 (0.4, 0.9)	
Right coronary artery stenosis > 50%	Yes	0.7 (0.5, 0.9)	0.0030

<b>Variable</b>	<b>Level</b>	<b>Odds ratio (95% confidence interval)</b>	<b>Pr &gt; Chi-square</b>
Non invasive test performed	Yes	0.6 (0.5, 0.9)	0.0032
Reimbursement of cardiovascular agents	Fully reimbursed	2.8 (1.8, 4.2)	< 0.0001
Taking hart rate-lowering medications	No	0.8 (0.5, 1.4)	0.4221