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# On Extension-Shearing Coupled Laminates 
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#### Abstract

The definitive list of Extension-Shearing coupled composite laminates with up to 21 plies is derived. The listings comprise of individual stacking sequences of entirely nonsymmetric laminates, are characterized in terms of angle- and cross-ply sub-sequence relationships as well as the blend-ratio of unbalanced angle-plies. Dimensionless parameters, including lamination parameters, are provided, from which the extensional and bending stiffness terms are readily calculated. Because this new class of coupled non-symmetric laminate possesses in-plane coupling behaviour only it can also be manufactured flat under a standard elevated temperature curing process. Such laminates can be configured to produce bending-twisting coupling in wing-box type structures, which can be exploited to great effect in the design for passive load alleviation in wind-turbine blades, or for aero-elastic compliance in fixed wing aircraft or helicopter rotor-blades. It should be recognised that similar behaviour can also be achieved using less sophisticated designs, such as applying off-axis material alignment to otherwise balanced and symmetric laminates or by using un-balanced and symmetric
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designs, but additional forms of coupling behaviour arise in these cases, leading to detrimental effects on both stiffness and strength, which are demonstrated though comparisons of the structural response of competing laminate designs.

## Keywords

Bending-Twisting coupling; Buckling; Extensional (or Membrane) Anisotropy; Extension-Shearing Coupling; Non-dimensional Stiffness Parameters; Lamination Parameters; Laminate Stacking Sequences.

## Nomenclature

$\mathbf{A}, \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{ij}} \quad=$ extensional stiffness matrix and its elements $(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}=1,2,6)$
$\mathbf{B}, \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{ij}} \quad=$ coupling stiffness matrix and its elements $(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}=1,2,6)$
D, $D_{i j} \quad=$ bending stiffness matrix and its elements $(i, j=1,2,6)$
$\mathrm{E}_{1,2}, \mathrm{G}_{12}=$ in-plane Young's moduli and shear modulus
$H \quad=$ laminate thickness (= number of plies, $n \times$ ply thickness, $t)$
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{xy}} \quad=$ moment resultants
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{xy}} \quad=$ force resultants
$n \quad=$ number of plies in laminate stacking sequence
$\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{ij}} \quad=$ reduced stiffness $(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}=1,2,6)$
$\mathrm{Q}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{ij}} \quad=$ transformed reduced stiffness $(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}=1,2,6)$
$t \quad=$ ply thickness
$\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad=$ laminate invariant $(\mathrm{i}=1,2,3,4,5)$
$\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}=$ principal axes
$\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad=$ layer k interface distance from laminate mid-plane
$\alpha_{1,2}, \alpha_{\text {Iso }}=$ principal and isotropic coefficients of thermal expansion
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \quad=$ vector of in-plane strains $\left(=\left\{\varepsilon_{x}, \varepsilon_{y}, \gamma_{x y}\right\}^{T}\right)$
$\kappa \quad=$ vector of curvatures $\left(=\left\{\kappa_{\mathrm{x}}, \kappa_{\mathrm{y}}, \kappa_{\mathrm{xy}}\right\}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)$
$\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ij}} \quad=$ Poisson ratio $(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}=1,2)$
$\theta_{\mathrm{k}} \quad=$ ply orientation for layer k
$\xi_{1-4} \quad=$ lamination parameters for extensional stiffness
$\xi_{9-10} \quad=$ lamination parameters for bending stiffness
$\zeta \quad=$ bending stiffness parameter for laminate $\left(=n^{3}\right)$
$\zeta_{ \pm} \quad=$ bending stiffness parameter for angle-ply sub-sequence
$\zeta_{0}, \zeta_{\bullet} \quad=$ bending stiffness parameter for cross-ply sub-sequences
,$+- \pm \quad=$ angle plies, used in stacking sequence definition

O, $\bigcirc$ cross-plies, used in stacking sequence definition

## Matrix sub-scripts

$0 \quad=$ All elements zero

F $\quad=$ All elements Finite

S $\quad=$ Specially orthotropic or Simple form, see Eqs. (3) - (4)

## 1. Introduction

This article focuses on the identification of laminated composite materials possessing isolated mechanical Extension-Shearing coupling, i.e., with no other coupling present. It is one of a series, providing a unified approach to the characterization of coupled composite laminates. The first article [1] in the series identified the 24 unique classes of thermo-mechanically coupled laminate, incorporating all possible interactions between Extension, Shearing, Bending and Twisting. Novel nomenclature and associated behavioural descriptors were developed for each laminate class; the relevant aspects of which are summarised below. Benchmark configurations were also derived with behaviour similar to conventional materials, such as metals, and against which all unique forms of laminate behaviour, arising from isolated or combined mechanical coupling effects, are now being systematically characterised.

Laminated composite materials can be characterized in terms of their response to mechanical (and/or thermal) loading, which is associated with a description of the coupling behaviour, unique to this type of material, i.e. coupling between in-plane (i.e. extension or membrane) and out-of-plane (i.e. bending or flexure) responses when $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{ij}} \neq$ 0 in Eq. (1), coupling between in-plane shearing and extension when $\mathrm{A}_{16}=\mathrm{A}_{26} \neq 0$, and coupling between out-of-plane bending and twisting when $\mathrm{D}_{16}=\mathrm{D}_{26} \neq 0$.

$$
\begin{align*}
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\end{align*}
$$

Whilst Eq. (1) describes the well-known ABD relation from classical laminate plate theory, it is more often expressed using compact notation:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{N}  \tag{2}\\
\mathbf{M}
\end{array}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\
\mathbf{B} & \mathbf{D}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \\
\boldsymbol{\kappa}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The coupling behaviour, which is dependent on the form of the elements in each of the extensional $[\mathbf{A}]$, coupling $[\mathbf{B}]$ and bending $[\mathbf{D}]$ stiffness matrices is now described by an extended subscript notation, defined previously by the Engineering Sciences Data Unit, or ESDU [2] and subsequently augmented for the purposes of this article. Hence, balanced and symmetric stacking sequences, which generally give rise to coupling between bending and twisting and are referred to by the designation $\mathbf{A}_{S} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{F}}$, signifying that the elements of the extensional stiffness matrix [A] are simple or specially orthotropic in nature, i.e. uncoupled, since:
$\mathrm{A}_{16}=\mathrm{A}_{26}=0$,
the bending-extension coupling matrix $[\mathbf{B}]$ is null, whilst all elements of the bending stiffness matrix [D] are finite, i.e. $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{ij}} \neq 0$.

Laminates possessing coupling between in-plane shearing and extension only and, by the same rationale, are referred to by the designation $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{S}}$, signifying that all elements of the extensional stiffness matrix $[\mathbf{A}]$ are finite, i.e. $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{ij}} \neq 0$, the bendingextension coupling matrix $(\mathbf{B})$ is null, and the elements of the bending stiffness matrix [D] are specially orthotropic in nature, i.e. uncoupled, since:
$\mathrm{D}_{16}=\mathrm{D}_{26}=0$

This designation is however not listed as part of the ten laminate classifications described in the ESDU data item [2]. Extensional anisotropy, or more appropriately,

Extension-Shearing coupling, is discussed at length in much of the preamble of articles on anisotropic composite laminate materials, but no specific details of stacking sequences for such laminates are given, particularly in the context of laminates with standard ply orientations for use in air vehicle construction. Indeed recently published work [3], describing in detail an application for laminates with shear-extension coupling reveals, only through additional calculation of the laminate stiffness terms, that significant Bending-Twisting coupling also exists in the stacking sequences adopted. These observations suggest that there is no other currently published or accessible data on composite laminate materials with Extension-Shearing coupled ( $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{S}}$ ) properties. Indeed, this class of coupled non-symmetric laminate can be manufactured flat under a standard elevated temperature curing process given the absence of bending-extension coupling; elastic coupling in non-symmetric laminates is generally understood to produce warping, with respect to the intended shape.

This article presents therefore the definitive list of angle-ply stacking sequences for Extension-Shearing ( $\underline{\boldsymbol{E}-\boldsymbol{S}}$ ) coupling, with the designation $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{0}} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{S}}$, together with the dimensionless stiffness parameters from which the elements of the extensional (A) and bending stiffness (D) matrices are readily calculated. These new stacking sequences complement the definitive list of Fully Orthotropic (or Simple) laminates, with the designation $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{S}}$, for up to 21 plies [4].

## 2. Derivation of stacking sequences

Bartholomew [5] performed the original work in establishing a definitive list of specially orthotropic laminate stacking sequences $\left(\mathbf{A}_{S} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{S}\right)$, from which the

Engineering Sciences Data Unit (ESDU) has since published [6] the so called definitive list with up to 21 plies, including information on extending this list by the addition of orthotropic plies on the top and bottom surface of the laminate. The list contains 75 symmetric sequences and 653 anti-symmetric sequences, together with 49 additional non-symmetric (asymmetric) sequences. This relatively small number of possible sequences for thin laminates clearly leaves limited scope for composite tailoring, particularly where ply terminations are necessary and specially orthotropic characteristics are a design requirement, and was the key motivation leading to the redevelopment of the definitive list for fully uncoupled, or specially orthotropic laminates with up to 21 plies [4], including the scope for laminate taper [7]. In the derivation of this revised list for standard ply configurations, e.g. $\pm 45,0$ and $90^{\circ}$, the general rule of symmetry is relaxed. Cross plies, as well as angle plies, are therefore no longer constrained to be symmetric about the laminate mid-plane. Consequently, the mixing of 0 and $90^{\circ}$ plies requires special attention to avoid violation of the rules for special orthotropy. The resulting sequences are characterized by sub-sequence symmetries using a double prefix notation, the first character of which relates to the form of the angle-ply sub-sequence and the second character to the cross-ply sub-sequence. The double prefix contains any combination of the following characters: $A$ to indicate $\underline{\text { Anti- }}$ symmetric (angle plies only); $C$ for cross-symmetric (cross plies only); $N$ for Nonsymmetric; and $S$ for Symmetric. To avoid the trivial solution of a stacking sequence with cross plies only, all laminates have an angle-ply $(+)$ on one surface of the laminate. As a result, the other surface ply may have equal (+) or opposite (-) orientation or it may indeed be a cross ply ( O ) of 0 or $90^{\circ}$ orientation. A subscript notation, using these three symbols, is employed to differentiate between similar forms of sequence. The
form (and number of sequences) in the definitive list [4] can be summarized as: $A A$ (210), $A N(14,532), A S(21,609), S C(12), S N(192), S S(1,029),{ }_{+} N S_{+}(220),{ }_{+} N S_{-}(296)$, ${ }_{+} N N_{+}(5,498),{ }_{+} N N_{-}(15,188)$ and ${ }_{+} N N_{\circ}(10,041)$. This is in contrast to the published [6] listings, containing $S$ (75), $A$ (653) and undefined (49) non-symmetric stacking sequences for laminates with up to 21 plies.

Extensional stiffness terms $\mathrm{A}_{16}=\mathrm{A}_{26}=0$ are the key characteristics for specially orthotropic form. However, for computational expedience, this check was not formally included in the algorithm used to determine the definite list of Fully Orthotropic Laminates [4], because a simple check confirming that angle plies are balanced, i.e. that $n_{+}=n_{-}$, is sufficient. This check led to the identification of a rather surprising and highly significant by-product with $\mathrm{A}_{16}=\mathrm{A}_{26} \neq 0$, resulting from $n_{+} \neq n_{-}$, but with $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{ij}}=$ $D_{16}=D_{26}=0$, i.e. laminates with extensional anisotropy or Extension-Shearing coupling, and referred to by the designation $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{S}}$. Table 1 provides a summary of the number of Extension-Shearing coupled stacking sequences for each ply-number grouping up to a maximum of 21 plies and provides cross-referencing to the tables of laminate stacking sequences that follow in the appendix of supplementary data.

### 2.1 Arrangement and form of stacking sequence data

For compatibility with the previously published data, similar symbols have been adopted for defining all of the stacking sequences that follow. Additional symbols and parameters are necessarily included to differentiate between cross plies $\left(0^{\circ}\right.$ and $\left.90^{\circ}\right)$, given that symmetry about the laminate mid-plane is no longer assumed. Also in common is the assumption of constant ply thickness throughout the laminate.

As adopted in the published ESDU listings [6], the new sequences are ordered in terms of ascending numbers of plies, $n$, or bending stiffness parameter $\zeta\left(=n^{3}\right)$, which are in turn ordered by ascending value of the bending stiffness parameter for the angle plies $\left(\zeta_{ \pm}\right)$and finally by one of the two cross ply sub-sequences $\left(\zeta_{0}\right)$ within the laminate. This ordering provides each sequence with a unique designation. The sequences are then listed in Tables A5 - A8 according to sub-sequence symmetry, with form (and number of sequences) ${ }_{+} N N_{+}(296),{ }_{+} N N_{-}$(28) and ${ }_{+} N N_{\circ}$ (14).

The stiffness parameters are hereby extended to include both cross plies $\left(\zeta_{0}\right.$ and $\left.\zeta_{\bullet}\right)$, including percentage values to indicate the relative proportion ( $n_{ \pm} / n, n_{\mathrm{o}} / n$ and $n_{\bullet} / n$ ) and relative contribution to bending stiffness $\left(\zeta_{ \pm} / \zeta_{,} \zeta_{0} / \zeta\right.$ and $\left.\zeta_{\bullet} / \zeta\right)$ of each ply sub-sequence within the laminate, i.e. a sub-sequence containing either $\pm$, O or $\bigcirc$ plies.

Comparison of the relative proportion and the contribution to bending stiffness provides a measure of efficiency of the sub-laminate for each ply orientation, in the same sense that the radius of gyration, relating cross-sectional area and second moment of area, provides as assessment of the geometric efficiency of a beam to resist bending.

Whilst the elements of the bending stiffness matrix [D] are readily obtained from $\zeta_{ \pm}, \zeta_{\circ}$ and $\zeta_{\bullet}$, as for Simple or fully uncoupled laminates $\left(\mathbf{A}_{S} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{S}\right)$, the elements of the extensional stiffness matrix [A] now require a modification with respect to the blend ratio of angle plies. Blend ratio is defined elsewhere [3] as the percentage proportion of negative ( $n_{-}$) to positive $\left(n_{+}\right)$plies. It is redefined here however, to simplify the calculation of the elements of the extensional stiffness matrix, as the ratio of the number of positive $\left(n_{+}\right)$plies to the total number of angle plies $\left(n_{ \pm}\right)$, expressed as a percentage. The laminate sequences of Table A5 possess a blend ratio of $20 \%$, whereas Tables A6,

A7 and A8 have blend ratios of $28.6 \%, 71.4 \%$ and $28.6 \%$, respectively. All stacking sequences presented in Tables A5 - A8 have even-ply numbers with non-symmetric angle-ply and cross-ply sub-sequences.

### 2.2 Calculation of extensional, coupling and bending stiffness terms

The calculation procedure for the elements of the extensional [A] and bending [D] stiffness matrices, using the dimensionless parameters provided in Tables $2-5$, are as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\left\{n_{ \pm}\left(n_{+} / n_{ \pm}\right) \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{ij}+}^{\prime}+n_{ \pm}\left(1-n_{+} / n_{ \pm}\right) \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{ij}-}^{\prime}+n_{\circ} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{ij},}^{\prime}+n_{\bullet} \mathrm{Q}^{\prime} \mathrm{ij}_{\bullet}\right\} \times t  \tag{5}\\
& \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\left\{\zeta_{ \pm} / 2 \times \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{ij}+}^{\prime}+\zeta_{ \pm} / 2 \times \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{ij}-}^{\prime}+\zeta_{\bigcirc} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{ij}}^{\prime}+\zeta_{\bullet} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{ij}}^{\prime}\right\} \times t^{3} / 12 \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

The form of Eq. (6) was chosen because it is then readily modified to account for laminates with bending anisotropy by replacing $\zeta_{ \pm} / 2 \times \mathrm{Q}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{ij}+}$ with $\zeta_{ \pm}\left(\zeta_{+} / \zeta_{ \pm}\right) \times \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{ij}+}^{\prime}$ or $\zeta_{+}$ $\times \mathrm{Q}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{ij}}$, , and $\zeta_{ \pm} / 2 \times \mathrm{Q}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{ij}-}$ with $\zeta_{ \pm}\left(1-\zeta_{+} / \zeta_{ \pm}\right) \times \mathrm{Q}^{\prime} \mathrm{ij}^{\prime}$, or $\zeta_{-} \times \mathrm{Q}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{ij}-}$. The use of this modified equation requires the calculation of an additional stiffness parameter, $\zeta_{+}$, relating to the bending stiffness contribution of positive ( $\theta$ ) angle plies.

The transformed reduced stiffness terms in Eqs. (5) and (6) are given by:
$\mathrm{Q}^{\prime}{ }_{11}=\mathrm{Q}_{11} \cos ^{4} \theta+2\left(\mathrm{Q}_{12}+2 \mathrm{Q}_{66}\right) \cos ^{2} \theta \sin ^{2} \theta+\mathrm{Q}_{22} \sin ^{4} \theta$
$\mathrm{Q}^{\prime}{ }_{12}=\mathrm{Q}^{\prime}{ }_{21}=\left(\mathrm{Q}_{11}+\mathrm{Q}_{22}-4 \mathrm{Q}_{66}\right) \cos ^{2} \theta \sin ^{2} \theta+\mathrm{Q}_{12}\left(\cos ^{4} \theta+\sin ^{4} \theta\right)$
$\mathrm{Q}^{\prime}{ }_{16}=\mathrm{Q}^{\prime}{ }_{61}=\left\{\left(\mathrm{Q}_{11}-\mathrm{Q}_{12}-2 \mathrm{Q}_{66}\right) \cos ^{2} \theta+\left(\mathrm{Q}_{12}-\mathrm{Q}_{22}+2 \mathrm{Q}_{66}\right) \sin ^{2} \theta\right\} \cos \theta \sin \theta$
$\mathrm{Q}^{\prime} 22=\mathrm{Q}_{11} \sin ^{4} \theta+2\left(\mathrm{Q}_{12}+2 \mathrm{Q}_{66}\right) \cos ^{2} \theta \sin ^{2} \theta+\mathrm{Q}_{22} \cos ^{4} \theta$
$\mathrm{Q}^{\prime}{ }_{26}=\mathrm{Q}^{\prime}{ }_{62}=\left\{\left(\mathrm{Q}_{11}-\mathrm{Q}_{12}-2 \mathrm{Q}_{66}\right) \sin ^{2} \theta+\left(\mathrm{Q}_{12}-\mathrm{Q}_{22}+2 \mathrm{Q}_{66}\right) \cos ^{2} \theta\right\} \cos \theta \sin \theta$
$\mathrm{Q}_{66}^{\prime}=\left(\mathrm{Q}_{11}+\mathrm{Q}_{22}-2 \mathrm{Q}_{12}-2 \mathrm{Q}_{66}\right) \cos ^{2} \theta \sin ^{2} \theta+\mathrm{Q}_{66}\left(\cos ^{4} \theta+\sin ^{4} \theta\right)$
and the reduced stiffness terms by:
$\mathrm{Q}_{11}=\mathrm{E}_{1} /\left(1-v_{12} \mathrm{v}_{21}\right)$
$Q_{12}=v_{12} E_{2} /\left(1-v_{12} v_{21}\right)=v_{21} E_{1} /\left(1-v_{12} v_{21}\right)$
$\mathrm{Q}_{22}=\mathrm{E}_{2} /\left(1-v_{12} v_{21}\right)$
$\mathrm{Q}_{66}=\mathrm{G}_{12}$

For optimum design of angle ply laminates, lamination parameters are often preferred, since these allow the stiffness terms to be expressed as linear variables. The optimized lamination parameters may then be matched against a corresponding set of laminate stacking sequences. In the context of the parameters presented in the current article, the necessary six lamination parameters are related through the following expressions:
$\xi_{1}=\left\{n_{ \pm}\left(n_{+} / n_{ \pm}\right) \cos \left(2 \theta_{+}\right)+n_{ \pm}\left(1-n_{+} / n_{ \pm}\right) \cos \left(2 \theta_{-}\right)+n_{\bigcirc} \cos \left(2 \theta_{\circ}\right)+n_{\bullet} \cos \left(2 \theta_{\bullet}\right)\right\} / n$
$\xi_{2}=\left\{n_{ \pm}\left(n_{+} / n_{ \pm}\right) \cos \left(4 \theta_{+}\right)+n_{ \pm}\left(1-n_{+} / n_{ \pm}\right) \cos \left(4 \theta_{-}\right)+n_{\circ} \cos \left(4 \theta_{\circ}\right)+n_{\bullet} \cos \left(4 \theta_{\bullet}\right)\right\} / n$
$\xi_{3}=\left\{n_{ \pm}\left(n_{+} / n_{ \pm}\right) \sin \left(2 \theta_{+}\right)+n_{ \pm}\left(1-n_{+} / n_{ \pm}\right) \sin \left(2 \theta_{-}\right)+n_{\text {○ }} \sin \left(2 \theta_{\text {○ }}\right)+n_{\bullet} \sin \left(2 \theta_{\bullet}\right)\right\} / n$
$\xi_{4}=\left\{n_{ \pm}\left(n_{+} / n_{ \pm}\right) \sin \left(4 \theta_{+}\right)+n_{ \pm}\left(1-n_{+} / n_{ \pm}\right) \sin \left(4 \theta_{-}\right)+n_{\bigcirc} \sin \left(4 \theta_{\odot}\right)+n_{\bullet} \sin \left(4 \theta_{\bullet}\right)\right\} / n$
relating to extensional stiffness, and
$\xi_{9}=\left\{\zeta_{ \pm}\left(\zeta_{+} / \zeta_{ \pm}\right) \cos \left(2 \theta_{+}\right)+\zeta_{ \pm}\left(1-\zeta_{+} / \zeta_{ \pm}\right) \cos \left(2 \theta_{-}\right)+\zeta_{\circ} \cos \left(2 \theta_{\circ}\right)+\zeta_{\bullet} \cos \left(2 \theta_{\bullet}\right)\right\} / \zeta$
$\xi_{10}=\left\{\zeta_{ \pm}\left(\zeta_{+} / \zeta_{ \pm}\right) \cos \left(4 \theta_{+}\right)+\zeta_{ \pm}\left(1-\zeta_{+} / \zeta_{ \pm}\right) \cos \left(4 \theta_{-}\right)+\zeta_{\circ} \cos \left(4 \theta_{\circ}\right)+\zeta_{\bullet} \cos \left(4 \theta_{\bullet}\right)\right\} / \zeta^{\prime}$
where the bending stiffness parameter $\zeta_{+}=\zeta_{-}=\zeta_{ \pm} / 2$ for $\left(\mathrm{A}_{F} \mathrm{~B}_{0} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$ laminates contained in this article, hence Eqs. (10) reduce to:
$\xi_{9}=\left\{\zeta_{ \pm} \cos \left(2 \theta_{ \pm}\right)+\zeta_{\circ} \cos \left(2 \theta_{\circ}\right)+\zeta_{\bullet} \cos \left(2 \theta_{\bullet}\right)\right\} / \zeta$
$\xi_{10}=\left\{\zeta_{ \pm} \cos \left(4 \theta_{ \pm}\right)+\zeta_{\circ} \cos \left(4 \theta_{\circ}\right)+\zeta_{\bullet} \cos \left(4 \theta_{\bullet}\right)\right\} / \zeta$
Elements of the fully populated extensional stiffness matrix [A] are related to the lamination parameters [8] by:
$\mathrm{A}_{11}=\left\{U_{1}+\xi_{1} U_{2}+\xi_{2} U_{3}\right\} \times H$
$\mathrm{A}_{12}=\mathrm{A}_{21}=\left\{-\xi_{2} U_{3}+U_{4}\right\} \times H$
$\mathrm{A}_{16}=\mathrm{A}_{61}=\left\{\xi_{3} U_{2} / 2+\xi_{4} U_{3}\right\} \times H$
$\mathrm{A}_{22}=\left\{U_{1}-\xi_{1} U_{2}+\xi_{2} U_{3}\right\} \times H$
$\mathrm{A}_{26}=\mathrm{A}_{62}=\left\{\xi_{3} U_{2} / 2-\xi_{4} U_{3}\right\} \times H$
$\mathrm{A}_{66}=\left\{-\xi_{2} U_{3}+U_{5}\right\} \times H$
and the Simple or uncoupled bending stiffness matrix [D] by:
$\mathrm{D}_{11}=\left\{U_{1}+\xi_{9} U_{2}+\xi_{10} U_{3}\right\} \times H^{3} / 12$
$\mathrm{D}_{12}=\left\{U_{4}-\xi_{10} U_{3}\right\} \times H^{3} / 12$
$\mathrm{D}_{22}=\left\{U_{1}-\xi_{9} U_{2}+\xi_{10} U_{3}\right\} \times H^{3} / 12$
$\mathrm{D}_{66}=\left\{-\xi_{10} U_{3}+U_{5}\right\} \times H^{3} / 12$
where the laminate invariants are given in terms of the reduced stiffnesses of Eqs. (8) by:
$\mathrm{U}_{1}=\left\{3 \mathrm{Q}_{11}+3 \mathrm{Q}_{22}+2 \mathrm{Q}_{12}+4 \mathrm{Q}_{66}\right\} / 8$
$\mathrm{U}_{2}=\left\{\mathrm{Q}_{11}-\mathrm{Q}_{22}\right\} / 2$
$\mathrm{U}_{3}=\left\{\mathrm{Q}_{11}+\mathrm{Q}_{22}-2 \mathrm{Q}_{12}-4 \mathrm{Q}_{66}\right\} / 8$
$\mathrm{U}_{4}=\left\{\mathrm{Q}_{11}+\mathrm{Q}_{22}+6 \mathrm{Q}_{12}-4 \mathrm{Q}_{66}\right\} / 8$
$\mathrm{U}_{5}=\left\{\mathrm{Q}_{11}+\mathrm{Q}_{22}-2 \mathrm{Q}_{12}+4 \mathrm{Q}_{66}\right\} / 8$

### 2.3 Example calculations

For IM7/8552 carbon-fiber/epoxy material with Young's moduli $\mathrm{E}_{1}=161.0 \mathrm{GPa}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ $=11.38 \mathrm{GPa}$, shear modulus $\mathrm{G}_{12}=5.17 \mathrm{GPa}$ and Poisson ratio $v_{12}=0.38$, lamina thickness $t=0.1397 \mathrm{~mm}$ and stacking sequence $N N$ 58: $[+/ \mathrm{O} /-/+/-5 / \mathrm{O} /-/ \mathrm{O} /-/ \mathrm{O} /-/+2 /-]_{\mathrm{T}}$, the non-dimensional parameters are verified by the calculations presented in Table 2, where the first two columns provide the ply number and orientation, respectively. Subsequent columns illustrate the summations, for each ply orientation, of $\left(z_{k}-z_{k-1}\right),\left(z_{k}^{2}-z_{k-1}^{2}\right)$ and $\left(z_{k}^{3}-z_{k-1}^{3}\right)$, relating to the $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{D}$ matrices, respectively. The distance from the laminate mid-plane, $z$, is expressed in term of ply thickness $t$, which is assumed to be of unit value.

The non-dimensional parameters arising from the summations of Table 2 are: $n_{+}\left(={ }_{A} \Sigma_{+}\right)$ $=4, n_{-}=10$ and $n_{\circ}=4$, where $n_{ \pm}=14$, and; $\zeta_{+}\left(=4 \times{ }_{D} \Sigma_{+}\right)=2416, \zeta_{-}=2416$ and $\zeta_{\mathrm{O}}=$ 1000, where $n^{3}=18^{3}=\zeta=\zeta_{+}+\zeta_{-}+\zeta_{\bigcirc}=5832$ and $\zeta_{ \pm}=4832$. The $\mathbf{B}$ matrix summations confirm that $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{ij}}=0$ for this laminate.

For fiber angles $\theta= \pm 45^{\circ}$ and $0^{\circ}$ in place of symbols $\pm$ and $O$ respectively, the transformed reduced stiffnesses are given in Table 4, which are readily calculated using Eqs. (7).

Through Eqs. (5) and (6), the final stiffness matrices are derived for the laminate:
$\left[\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{A}_{11} & \mathrm{~A}_{12} & \mathrm{~A}_{16} \\ & \mathrm{~A}_{22} & \mathrm{~A}_{26} \\ \text { Sym. } & & \mathrm{A}_{66}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}190,433 & 81,757 & -31,676 \\ & 105,963 & -31,676 \\ \text { Sym. } & & 83,771\end{array}\right] \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}$
$\left[\begin{array}{ccc}D_{11} & D_{12} & D_{16} \\ & D_{22} & D_{26} \\ \text { Sym. } & & D_{66}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}92,829 & 45,514 & 0 \\ & 58,485 & 0 \\ \text { Sym. } & & 46,575\end{array}\right]$ N.mm
given that:
$\mathrm{A}_{16}=\left\{n_{ \pm}\left(n_{+} / n_{ \pm}\right) \mathrm{Q}^{\prime}{ }_{16+}+n_{ \pm}\left(1-n_{+} / n_{ \pm}\right) \mathrm{Q}^{\prime}{ }_{16-}+n_{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{Q}^{\prime}{ }_{16}\right\} \times t$
$A_{16}=\{14 \times(4 / 14) \times 37,791+14(1-4 / 14) \times-37,791+4 \times 0\} \times 0.1397=-31,676$
$\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}$
and
$\mathrm{D}_{16}=\left\{\zeta_{ \pm} / 2 \times \mathrm{Q}^{\prime}{ }_{16+}+\zeta_{ \pm} / 2 \times \mathrm{Q}^{\prime}{ }_{16-}+\zeta_{0} \mathrm{Q}^{\prime}{ }_{16}\right\} \times t^{3} / 12$
$D_{16}=\{2416 \times 37,791+2416 \times-37,791+1000 \times 0\} \times 0.1397^{3} / 12=0 \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{mm}$

Noting that $\xi_{4}=0$ for $\theta_{+}=45^{\circ}$, the extensional lamination parameters ( $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}$ and $\xi_{3}$ ) are calculated from Eqs. (9):
$\xi_{1}=\left\{n_{ \pm}\left(n_{+} / n_{ \pm}\right) \cos \left(2 \theta_{+}\right)+n_{ \pm}\left(1-n_{+} / n_{ \pm}\right) \cos \left(2 \theta_{-}\right)+n_{\bigcirc} \cos \left(2 \theta_{\bigcirc}\right)\right\} / n$
$\xi_{1}=\left\{14 \times(4 / 14) \times \cos \left(90^{\circ}\right)+14 \times(1-4 / 14) \times \cos \left(-90^{\circ}\right)+4 \times \cos \left(0^{\circ}\right)\right\} / 18=0.22$
$\xi_{2}=\left\{n_{ \pm}\left(n_{+} / n_{ \pm}\right) \cos \left(4 \theta_{+}\right)+n_{ \pm}\left(1-n_{+} / n_{ \pm}\right) \cos \left(4 \theta_{-}\right)+n_{\bigcirc} \cos \left(4 \theta_{\circ}\right)\right\} / n$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi_{2}=\left\{14 \times(4 / 14) \times \cos \left(180^{\circ}\right)+14 \times(1-4 / 14) \times \cos \left(-180^{\circ}\right)+4 \times \cos \left(0^{\circ}\right)\right\} / 18=-0.56 \\
& \xi_{3}=\left\{n_{ \pm}\left(n_{+} / n_{ \pm}\right) \sin \left(2 \theta_{+}\right)+n_{ \pm}\left(1-n_{+} / n_{ \pm}\right) \sin \left(2 \theta_{-}\right)+n_{\circ} \sin \left(2 \theta_{\circ}\right)\right\} / n \\
& \xi_{3}=\left\{14 \times(4 / 14) \times \sin \left(90^{\circ}\right)+14 \times(1-4 / 14) \times \sin \left(-90^{\circ}\right)+4 \times \sin \left(0^{\circ}\right)\right\} / 18=-0.33
\end{aligned}
$$ and the bending lamination parameters from Eqs. (11):

$\xi_{9}=\left\{\zeta_{ \pm} \cos \left(2 \theta_{ \pm}\right)+\zeta_{\circ} \cos \left(2 \theta_{\circ}\right)\right\} / \zeta$
$\xi_{9}=\left\{4832 \times \cos \left(90^{\circ}\right)+1000 \times \cos \left(0^{\circ}\right)\right\} / 5832=0.17$
$\xi_{10}=\left\{\zeta_{ \pm} \cos \left(4 \theta_{ \pm}\right)+\zeta_{o} \cos \left(4 \theta_{\circ}\right)\right\} / \zeta$
$\xi_{10}=\left\{4832 \times \cos \left(180^{\circ}\right)+1000 \times \cos \left(0^{\circ}\right)\right\} / 5832=-0.66$

Lamination parameter design spaces, including all stacking sequences with up to 21 plies listed in the appendix, are illustrated in Figs (1) and (2). For standard ply orientations ( $\pm 45^{\circ}, 0^{\circ}$ and $90^{\circ}$ ), these simplify to 3 -dimensional extensional stiffness and 2-dimensional bending stiffness design spaces, respectively, with the bounds shown. The bounds on the extensional stiffness design space are also illustrated by way of an isometric plot for clarity.

A second stacking sequence $\left[-2 /+2 / \mathrm{O} /-/ \mathrm{O} /-/ \mathrm{O} /-{ }_{5} /+_{2} / \mathrm{O} /-\right]_{\mathrm{T}}$ is now presented, demonstrating the use of the modified stiffness equations described below Eq. (6), to account for laminates with Bending-Twisting coupling, i.e. $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{F}} \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{0}} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{F}}$. Calculations for the non-dimensional parameters are presented in Table 3, using the same format as Table 2.

In this laminate the non-dimensional parameters arising from the summations are: $n_{+}(=$ $\left.{ }_{\mathrm{A}} \Sigma_{+}\right)=4, n_{-}=10$ and $n_{\circ}=4$, as before, but now $\zeta_{+}\left(=4 \times{ }_{\mathrm{D}} \Sigma_{+}\right)=1744, \zeta_{-}=3088$ and
$\zeta_{О}=1000$, where $n^{3}=18^{3}=\zeta=\zeta_{+}+\zeta_{-}+\zeta_{\bigcirc}=5832$ and $\zeta_{ \pm}=4832$. The $\mathbf{B}$ matrix summations again confirm that $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{ij}}=0$ for this laminate.

For the same material properties and fibre orientations used in the first example, the only change to the stiffness matrices between the two sequences involves the elements $D_{16}$ and $D_{26}$, which are zero in the first and non-zero in the second, given that:
$\mathrm{D}_{16}=\left\{\zeta_{+} \times \mathrm{Q}^{\prime}{ }_{16+}+\zeta_{-} \times \mathrm{Q}^{\prime}{ }_{16-}+\zeta_{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{Q}^{\prime}{ }_{16_{O}}\right\} \times t^{3} / 12$
$D_{16}=\{1744 \times 37,791+3088 \times-37,791+1000 \times 0\} \times 0.1397^{3} / 12=-11,540 \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{mm}$

Writing the second stacking sequence in reverse order, i.e. $\left[-/ \mathrm{O} /+_{2} /-_{5} / \mathrm{O} /-/ \mathrm{O} /-/ \mathrm{O} /+_{2} /-_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{T}}$, does not change the laminate stiffness properties, but reveals that changes from the first sequence, i.e. $\left[+/ \mathrm{O} /-/+/-{ }_{5} / \mathrm{O} /-/ \mathrm{O} /-/ \mathrm{O} /-/+_{2} /-\right]_{\mathrm{T}}$, involve only a switch in the signs of ply numbers $1,3,15$ and 17 .

## 3. Structural Response

This section presents a selection of results illustrating the effect of Extension-Shearing coupling behaviour. Such coupled laminates can be configured to produce BendingTwisting coupling in wing-box type structures to achieve aero-elastic compliance in fixed wing aircraft or helicopter rotor-blades. This laminate tailoring concept can also be seen to extend to new geodesic fuselage designs, involving angled or helical stiffener arrangements [9], in order to counteract the tendency for Bending-Twisting coupling behaviour due to angled stiffeners at $+\phi$ on the inner surface of the fuselage skin and $-\phi$ on the outer surface.

Extension-Shearing behaviour can also be achieved by using less sophisticated designs, such as applying off-axis material alignment to otherwise balanced and symmetric laminates [10] or by using un-balanced and symmetric designs [3], but BendingTwisting coupling behaviour arises in these cases, leading to detrimental effects on both stiffness and buckling strength, which is demonstrated by the structural response comparisons that follow for competing laminate designs with matching stiffness properties.

Comparisons are made against a fully uncoupled isotropic $\left(\mathbf{A}_{I} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)$ laminate datum configuration, and the Extension-Shearing coupled $\left(\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$ and Extension-Shearing Bending-Twisting coupled ( $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{F}}$ ) laminates derived in the previous section, where all elements of the $\mathbf{A B D}$ matrix are identical except for $\mathrm{D}_{16}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{26}$, which are zero in the $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{S}}$ laminate. This latter comparison is particularly important given that $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{F}}$ laminates may be readily derived using un-balanced and symmetric configurations, as has been demonstrated elsewhere [3]. The comparison also serves to isolate the effects of Bending-Twisting coupling, i.e. $\mathrm{D}_{16}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{26}$.

### 3.1 Plate instability

In the first set of results, the linear (Eigenvalue) buckling response and non-linear loaddeflection response of a compression $\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)$ loaded, simply supported, square plate are considered, see Fig. 3.

Results were generated with the ABAQUS finite element code [11] using a thin plate element (S8R5), using the NAFEMS benchmark 3DNLG-6, for buckling of a flat plate with an initial imperfection when subjected to in-plane shear [12], but modified here for
compression loading, as illustrated in Fig. 3. Plate dimensions of $250 \mathrm{~mm} \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$, together with an 18-ply laminate, of total thickness $H=(n \times t=18 \times 0.1397 \mathrm{~mm}=)$ 2.51 mm , ensure that the results are representative of the thin plate solution.

Eigenvalue results reveal that the Extension-Shearing and Bending-Twisting coupled $\left(\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{F}}\right)$ laminate has a compression buckling strength $13.6 \%$ higher than the fully isotropic datum $\left(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{I}} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)$ laminate, and that this increases to $15.9 \%$ when BendingTwisting coupling is eliminated, i.e. for the Extension-Shearing coupled ( $\mathbf{A}_{F} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{S}}$ ) laminate.

Note that the $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{I}} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\text {I }}$ laminate datum configuration was chosen specifically to allow the Eigenvalue buckling load to be verified against the closed form buckling solution. Hence for compatibility, the boundary conditions for all cases were chosen such that at the plate centre, indicated by point (c) on Fig. 3(a), in-plane displacements, $\delta_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{y}}$, are prevented together with in-plane rotation, i.e. rotation about the z -axis. Out-ofplane displacement constraints, $\delta_{z}$, are also applied to the plate perimeter. The NAFEMS benchmark 3DNLG-6 was found to converge to within approximately $2 \%$ of the closed form solution.

The $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{I}}$ laminate stacking sequence is defined as $N N$ 1071: $\left[ \pm /-/ \mathrm{O}_{3} /+_{2} / \mathrm{O} / \mp / \pm /-{ }_{2} / \mathrm{O}_{2} /+\right]_{\mathrm{T}}$, where the angle plies $\pm$ represent $\pm 60^{\circ}$. By contrast to the stiffnesses presented in the previous section for the $\mathbf{A}_{F} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{S}}$ and $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{F}}$ laminates, the stiffnesses for the $\mathbf{A}_{I} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{I}}$ laminate are: $\mathrm{A}_{11}=\mathrm{A}_{22}=173,473, \mathrm{~A}_{12}=56,482$ and $\mathrm{A}_{66}=$ $58,496 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}$, and $\mathrm{D}_{\text {Iso }}=\mathrm{D}_{11}=\mathrm{D}_{22}=91,409, \mathrm{D}_{12}=29,762$ and $\mathrm{D}_{66}=30,823 \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{mm}$. Note that the principal material axis, i.e. the 0 degree ply direction, corresponds to the $x$-axis of Fig. 3(a).

A fully uncoupled $\mathbf{A}_{S} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{S}$ laminate: $\left[ \pm / \mp / \mathrm{O}_{5}\right]_{\mathrm{A}}$ is also chosen for comparison since it has identical bending stiffness properties to the $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{S}}$ laminate: $\left[+/ \mathrm{O} /-/+/-5 / \mathrm{O} /-/ \mathrm{O} /-/ \mathrm{O} /-/++_{2} /-\right]_{\mathrm{T}}$.

The post-buckling results include a $1 \%$ (of the laminate thickness, $H$,) initial imperfection in the form of a single half-wave across both the length and width of the plate. A Riks analysis was performed to generate the results, with a maximum load of approaching twice the initial buckling load. The usual load-deflection curves for the out-of-plane response $\left(\delta_{z}\right)$ at the centre of the plate are presented in Fig. 3(c) for all three laminates, normalized against their respective Eigenvalue results, $N_{\mathrm{x}, \text { crit. }}$. Here the bifurcation point is difficult to determine. By contrast, the in-plane load-displacement behaviour offers greater fidelity. Figures 3(b) and (c) illustrate the in-plane displacements for the $\mathbf{A}_{I} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{I}}$ and $\mathbf{A}_{S} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{S}}$ laminates, respectively. The $\delta_{\mathrm{x}}$ displacements at the two corner nodes, indicated by points (a) and (b) on the configuration sketch in Fig. 3, are identical, as expected, and represent end shortening. In-plane displacements $\delta_{\mathrm{y}}$ are of equal and opposite magnitude and arise from Poisson ratio effects, which dissipate after buckling, hence the change in sign in the postbuckled state.

Figures 3(d) and (e) demonstrate that the responses of the $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{S}}$ and $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{F}}$ laminates are identical up to initial buckling. However, the $\delta_{\mathrm{x}}$ displacements are no longer identical and $\delta_{\mathrm{y}}$ displacements are no longer of equal and opposite magnitude due to Extension-Shearing coupling. The responses of the two laminates differ in the post-buckled state due to the Bending-Twisting coupling of the latter, for which a mode change is apparent.

The effect of Bending-Twisting coupling also has a marked effect on shear buckling. This is seen from the buckling interaction curves of Figs (4) and (5). Figure (4) represents the results for a series of simply supported square plates joined end-to-end to form a long plate, supported at regular transverse intervals by ribs to form square bays. This is representative of classical 2-spar wing box construction, as illustrated in Fig. 6. The results for the fully isotropic laminate give rise to a compression buckling load factor $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}}=4.00$, which is identical to the isolated square plate. However, mode interaction between adjacent bays when shear load is present leads to a higher buckling load factor than for the isolated plate. Figure (5) represents the results for an infinitely long plate, with ribs removed, also representing classical wing box construction, and for which the compression buckling load factor $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}}=4.00$ for the fully isotropic laminate. Figures (4) and (5) together demonstrate that the relative increase in buckling interaction strength for each of the laminate comparators depends on geometry, boundary conditions and coupling stiffness magnitude. The Simple $\left(\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$ and Extension-Shearing coupled ( $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{F}} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{S}}$ ) laminates share the same buckling envelope due to matching bending stiffness properties; unbalanced angle plies have no influence given that in-plane and out-of-plane actions are uncoupled, i.e. $\mathbf{B}=0$, and the bending stiffness is orthotropic. The buckling envelope is also symmetric, as expected, but buckling strength comparisons with the fully isotropic $\left(\mathbf{A}_{I} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)$ laminate, depend on boundary conditions. The effect of $\mathrm{D}_{16}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{26}$ is clearly visible from the buckling envelope of the Extension-Shearing and Bending-Twisting coupled ( $\left.\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{F}}\right)$ laminate, which has matching orthotropic bending stiffness properties to the Simple $\left(\mathbf{A}_{S} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$ and Extension-Shearing coupled ( $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{S}}$ ) laminates. Whilst compression buckling strength is always reduced, shear buckling strength is more favourable when the
resulting principal compressive stress direction and the biased angle ply orientation (or principal bending stiffness direction) are in the same sense.

### 3.2 Static wing box behaviour

A second set of results is now considered for the wing-box configuration illustrated in Fig. 6, previously considered by Baker [3]. This symmetric structural configuration gives rise to bend-twist coupling deformation when unbalanced laminate skins are employed with their relative orientations aligned as shown. A similar configuration is used here, and again the $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{S}}$ and $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{F}}$ laminates derived in the previous section are used for comparison, since all elements of the ABD matrix are identical except for $\mathrm{D}_{16}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{26}$, which are zero in the $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{S}}$ laminate. The wing box structure is simplified as an open section rectangular box with a length of 5 m , a width of 400 mm and depth of 100 mm . One end of the wing box is fully built in and a tip load of $1,000 \mathrm{~N}$ is applied at the free end with the resultant coincident with the shear centre. This was applied though a reference node attached to nodes on the free edges of the wing box by rigid elements, from which the load-displacement behaviour could be then be interrogated. Modelling details are provided in Fig. 7.

The $\mathbf{A}_{I} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\text {I }}$ laminate was first applied to all skins of the wing-box, resulting in a tip deflection of 134.77 mm from a linear static analysis. The top and bottom skins were then replaced in turn by the $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{S}}$ laminate and then by the $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{F}}$ laminate. The $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{S}}$ skin configuration gave rise to an average tip displacement of 309.97 mm , together with a tip rotation of $0.62^{\circ}$. The $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{F}}$ laminate gave exactly the same results. These results suggest that Bending-Twisting coupling of the laminate skin
panels has negligible effect on the magnitude of Bending-Twisting deformation of the wing box. The $0^{\circ}$ fibre direction corresponds to the forward direction indicated on Fig. 6. This had the effect of reducing the axial stiffness along the wing, resulting in higher tip deflections that the isotropic laminate, and increasing the twist magnitude at the wing tip. It should be noted that these two laminate comparators were chosen for their matching stiffness properties rather than as a demonstration of the maximum twist that can be achieved through laminate tailoring. A geometrically non-linear analysis revealed that the twist magnitude for the $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{F}}$ laminate was augmented by approximately $13 \%$, due to secondary Bending-Twisting at the laminate level. This will be investigated further in a subsequent article detailing the definitive list of laminates with Extension-Shearing and Bending-Twisting coupling $\left(\mathbf{A}_{F} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{F}}\right)$.

## 4. Tapering of Extension-Shear coupled laminates

It is well known that ply terminations of fewer than 4 plies, specifically in balanced and symmetric laminate construction, are problematic [7], and generally result in the localised introduction of undesirable mechanical coupling behaviour and thermal warping effects. Applying a tapered laminate design algorithm, developed for related work on terminations for standard [14] and non-standard [15] ply orientations, reveals that neither 2-ply nor 4-ply terminations are possible between any of the ply number groupings presented in this article, thus restricting the applicability of this class of laminate in practical construction; were ply terminations are generally required without changing the coupling characteristics of the material.

## 5. Conclusions

The definitive list of laminate stacking sequences for Extension-Shearing coupling, or extensional anisotropy, with up to 21 plies has been developed. The listings, which contain only even-ply number groupings with non-symmetric angle-ply and cross-ply sub-sequences, are presented along with dimensionless parameters from which the laminate stiffness matrix is readily calculated.

This class of coupled non-symmetric laminate can be manufactured flat under a standard elevated temperature curing process by virtue of the decoupled nature between in-plane and out-of-plane behaviour.

Like-with-Like comparisons of the structural response of laminates with matching stiffness properties reveal that those possessing Bending-Twisting coupling $\left(\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{F}}\right)$ as well as Extension-Shearing coupling, and which can be constructed using unbalanced and symmetric designs, have no apparent additional benefit in terms of the BendingTwisting coupling response of tailored wing-box structures, yet carry the penalty of a lower compression buckling strength compared to the new laminate class, presented herein, possessing Extension-Shearing coupling only $\left(\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$.
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Figure 6
The Datum Case
has identical
laminate skins
throughout.
Only the top and
bottom skins should
be modified to
incorporate the Test
Case laminate.

|  | Laminate sta |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Datum: | Test: |
|  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{A}_{11}=$ | $\mathbf{1 7 3 , 4 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 0 , 4 3 3}$ |
| $\mathrm{A}_{12}=$ | $\mathbf{5 6 , 4 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 1 , 7 5 7}$ |
| $\mathrm{A}_{16}=$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $-\mathbf{3 1 , 6 7 6}$ |
| $\mathrm{A}_{22}=$ | $\mathbf{1 7 3 , 4 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 5 , 9 6 3}$ |
| $\mathrm{A}_{26}=$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{- 3 1 , 6 7 6}$ |
| $\mathrm{A}_{66}=$ | $\mathbf{5 8 , 4 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 , 7 7 1}$ |
| $\mathrm{B}_{11}=$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| $\mathrm{B}_{12}=$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| $\mathrm{B}_{16}=$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| $\mathrm{B}_{22}=$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| $\mathrm{B}_{26}=$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| $\mathrm{B}_{66}=$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| $\mathrm{D}_{11}=$ | $\mathbf{9 2 , 8 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 , 8 2 9}$ |
| $\mathrm{D}_{12}=$ | $\mathbf{4 5 , 5 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 , 5 1 4}$ |
| $\mathrm{D}_{16}=$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| $\mathrm{D}_{22}=$ | $\mathbf{9 2 , 8 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 , 4 8 5}$ |
| $\mathrm{D}_{26}=$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| $\mathrm{D}_{66}=$ | $\mathbf{4 6 , 5 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 , 5 7 5}$ |

Datum: $\left[ \pm 60 /-60 / 0_{3} / 60_{2} / 0 / \mp 60 / \pm 60 /-60_{2} / 0_{2} / 60\right]_{T}$ Test: $\left[45 / 0 /-45 / 45 /-45_{5} / 0 /-45 / 0 /-45 / 0 /-45 / 45_{2} /-45\right]_{T}$
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## Figure Captions

Figure 1 - First angle projection: (a) plan; (b) front elevation and; (c) side elevation of lamination parameter design space relating to extensional stiffness for ExtensionShearing coupled laminates with up to 21 plies for standard ply orientations $\left( \pm 45^{\circ}, 0^{\circ}\right.$ and $90^{\circ}$ ). (d) Isometric view of extensional lamination parameter design space.

Figure 2 - Lamination parameter design space relating to bending stiffness for Extension-Shearing coupled laminates with up to 21 plies for standard ply orientations $\left( \pm 45^{\circ}, 0^{\circ}\right.$ and $\left.90^{\circ}\right)$.

Figure 3 - Compression loaded simply supported square plate (configuration and axis system) with details of (a) out-of-plane response, $\delta_{\mathrm{z}}$, at plate centre for all laminate comparators. In-plane responses ( $\delta_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{y}}$ ) at corner nodes for the: (b) fully isotropic $\left(\mathbf{A}_{I} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)$ laminate and; (c) fully uncoupled $\left(\mathbf{A}_{S} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$; (d) Extension-Shearing coupled $\left(\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$ and; (e) Extension-Shearing and Bending-Twisting coupled $\left(\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathbf{B}_{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{F}}\right)$ laminates with matching stiffness properties.

Figure 4 - Buckling interaction envelopes for a square plate, continuous over supports in the longitudinal (x-axis) direction, highlighting the effect of isolated mechanical coupling properties.

Figure 5 - Buckling interaction envelopes for an infinitely long plate, highlighting the effect of isolated mechanical coupling properties.

Figure 6 - Cantilever box-beam model (after Ref. 3) showing (a) general configuration, uniform stresses due to bending (force resultant acting through shear centre) and relative ply orientations for top and bottom skin; (b) relative deformations (exaggerated) between top and bottom skin and; (c) bend-twist coupling deformation (exaggerated) arising from unbalanced laminate skins.

Figure 7 - Cantilever wing box model modelling details.

## Tables

Table 1 - Number of extensionally anisotropic stacking sequences (AFB0DS) with cross-referencing to Tables of laminate stacking sequences for 7 through 21 ply laminates. Form corresponds to prefix designations for Non-symmetric ( $N$ ) angle-plies and Non-symmetric ( $N$ ) cross-plies respectively. Subscripts arranged before and after prefix designations denote angle plies (,+- ) or cross plies $(\mathrm{O})$ and correspond to top ply and bottom ply orientations, respectively.

| Form | Number of plies, $n$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Table |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |  |
| ${ }_{+} N N_{+}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | 8 | - | 44 | - | 284 | - | A5 |
| + ${ }^{+} N_{\text {- }}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | 24 | - | $\begin{gathered} \text { A6 \& } \\ \text { A7 } \end{gathered}$ |
| ${ }_{+} \mathrm{NN}_{0}$ | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | - | A8 |

Table 2 - Calculation procedure for the non-dimensional parameters for an $A_{F} B_{0} D_{S}$ laminate.

|  |  | A |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |  |  | D |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ply | $\theta$ | $\left(z_{k}-z_{k-1}\right)$ |  | $\begin{gathered} { }_{A \Sigma_{n}} \\ \underline{\underline{4}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} A^{\Sigma_{-}} \\ \underline{\underline{10}} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\left(z_{k}^{2}-z_{k-1}{ }^{2}\right)$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} { }_{\mathrm{B}} \Sigma_{-} \\ \underline{\underline{0}} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\left(z_{k}^{3}-z_{k-1}{ }^{3}\right)$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D}^{\Sigma}{ }_{n} \\ & \underline{250} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & { }_{\mathrm{D}} \Sigma_{-} \\ & \underline{\underline{604}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D}^{\Sigma_{+}} \\ & \underline{\underline{604}} \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 | + | 1 |  | $\rightarrow$ |  | 1 | -17 |  | $\rightarrow$ |  | -17 | 217 |  | $\rightarrow$ |  | 217 |
| 2 | 0 | 1 | $\rightarrow$ | 1 |  |  | -15 | $\rightarrow$ - | -15 |  |  | 169 | $\rightarrow 1$ | 169 |  |  |
| 3 | - | 1 |  | $\xrightarrow{\longrightarrow}$ | 1 |  | -13 |  |  | -13 |  | 127 |  |  | 127 |  |
| 4 | + | 1 |  |  | $\rightarrow$ | 1 | -11 |  |  |  | -11 | 91 |  |  |  | 91 |
| 5 | - | 1 |  | $\rightarrow$ | 1 |  | -9 |  | $\rightarrow$ | -9 |  | 61 |  |  | 61 |  |
| 6 | - | 1 |  | $\rightarrow$ | 1 |  | -7 |  |  | -7 |  | 37 |  | $\rightarrow$ | 37 |  |
| 7 | - | 1 |  | $\rightarrow$ | 1 |  | -5 |  | $\rightarrow$ | -5 |  | 19 |  | $\rightarrow$ | 19 |  |
| 8 | - | 1 |  | $\cdots$ | 1 |  | -3 |  |  | -3 |  | 7 |  | $\rightarrow$ | 7 |  |
| 9 | - | 1 |  | $\rightarrow$ | 1 |  | -1 |  | $\rightarrow$ | -1 |  | 1 |  | $\rightarrow$ | 1 |  |
| 10 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | $\xrightarrow{-}$ | 1 |  |  | 1 | $\xrightarrow{-}$ | 1 |  |  | 1 | $\xrightarrow{\rightarrow}$ | 1 |  |  |
| 11 | - | 1 |  | $\xrightarrow{\rightarrow}$ | 1 |  | 3 |  | $\rightarrow$ | 3 |  | 7 |  | $\rightarrow$ | 7 |  |
| 12 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | $\xrightarrow{\rightarrow}$ | 1 |  |  | 5 | $\rightarrow$ | 5 |  |  | 19 |  | 19 |  |  |
| 13 | - | 1 |  | $\rightarrow$ | 1 |  | 7 |  |  | 7 |  | 37 |  | $\rightarrow$ | 37 |  |
| 14 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | $\rightarrow$ | 1 |  |  | 9 |  | 9 |  |  | 61 |  | 61 |  |  |
| 15 | - | 1 |  | $\rightarrow$ | 1 |  | 11 |  |  | 11 |  | 91 |  |  | 91 |  |
| 16 | + | 1 |  |  | $\rightarrow$ | 1 | 13 |  |  | $\rightarrow$ | 13 | 127 |  |  |  | 127 |
| 17 | + | 1 |  |  | $\rightarrow$ | 1 | 15 |  |  | $\rightarrow$ | 15 | 169 |  |  |  | 169 |
| 18 | - | 1 |  | $\rightarrow$ | 1 |  | 17 |  |  | 17 |  | 217 |  |  | 217 |  |

Table 3 - Calculation procedure for the non-dimensional parameters for an $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{F}} \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{0}} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{F}}$ laminate.

|  |  | A |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |  | D |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ply | $\theta$ | $\left(z_{k}-z_{k-1}\right)$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} { }_{\mathrm{A} \Sigma_{-}} \\ \underline{\underline{10}} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\left(z_{k}^{2}-z_{k-1}^{2}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{B}} \Sigma_{\cap} \\ \underline{\underline{0}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{B}^{\Sigma} \\ \underline{\underline{0}} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\left(z_{k}^{3}-z_{k-1}{ }^{3}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D}^{\Sigma}{ }_{\circ} \\ & \underline{\underline{250}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D}^{\Sigma} \\ & \underline{\underline{772}} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 1 | - | 1 |  | $\rightarrow$ | 1 |  | -17 | $\rightarrow$ | -17 |  | 217 | $\rightarrow$ | 217 |  |
| 2 | - | 1 |  | $\rightarrow$ | 1 |  | -15 | $\rightarrow$ | -15 |  | 169 | $\rightarrow$ | 169 |  |
| 3 | + | 1 |  |  | $\rightarrow$ | 1 | -13 | $\rightarrow$ |  | -13 | 127 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 127 |
| 4 | + | 1 |  |  | $\rightarrow$ | 1 | -11 | $\rightarrow$ |  | -11 | 91 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 91 |
| 5 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | $\rightarrow$ | 1 |  |  | -9 | $\rightarrow \quad-9$ |  |  | 61 | $\rightarrow 61$ |  |  |
| 6 | - | 1 |  | $\rightarrow$ | 1 |  | -7 | $\rightarrow$ | -7 |  | 37 | $\rightarrow$ | 37 |  |
| 7 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | $\rightarrow$ | 1 |  |  | -5 | $\rightarrow-5$ |  |  | 19 | $\rightarrow 19$ |  |  |
| 8 | - | 1 |  | $\rightarrow$ | 1 |  | -3 | $\rightarrow$ | -3 |  | 7 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 |  |
| 9 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | $\rightarrow$ | 1 |  |  | -1 | $\xrightarrow{\rightarrow}-1$ |  |  | 1 | $\xrightarrow{\rightarrow} 1$ |  |  |
| 10 | - | 1 |  | $\rightarrow$ | 1 |  | 1 | $\rightarrow$ | 1 |  | 1 | $\rightarrow$ | 1 |  |
| 11 | - | 1 |  | $\rightarrow$ | 1 |  | 3 | $\rightarrow$ | 3 |  | 7 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 |  |
| 12 | - | 1 |  | $\rightarrow$ | 1 |  | 5 | $\cdots$ | 5 |  | 19 | $\rightarrow$ | 19 |  |
| 13 | - | 1 |  | $\rightarrow$ | 1 |  | 7 | $\xrightarrow{\rightarrow}$ | 7 |  | 37 | $\rightarrow$ | 37 |  |
| 14 | - | 1 |  | $\rightarrow$ | 1 |  | 9 | $\rightarrow$ | 9 |  | 61 | $\rightarrow$ | 61 |  |
| 15 | + | 1 |  | $\rightarrow$ |  | 1 | 11 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 11 | 91 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 91 |
| 16 | + | 1 |  |  | $\rightarrow$ | 1 | 13 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 13 | 127 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 127 |
| 17 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | $\xrightarrow{\rightarrow}$ | 1 |  |  | 15 | $\rightarrow 15$ |  |  | 169 | $\xrightarrow{\rightarrow} 169$ |  |  |
| 18 | - | 1 |  | $\rightarrow$ | 1 |  | 17 | $\rightarrow$ | 17 |  | 217 | $\rightarrow$ | 217 |  |

Table 4 - Transformed reduced stiffness ( $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ ) for IM7/8552 carbon-fiber/epoxy with $\theta=-45^{\circ}, 45^{\circ}, 0^{\circ}$ and $90^{\circ}$.

| $\theta$ | $\mathrm{Q}^{\prime}{ }_{11}$ | $\mathrm{Q}^{\prime}{ }_{12}$ | $\mathrm{Q}^{\prime}{ }_{16}$ | $\mathrm{Q}^{\prime}{ }_{22}$ | $\mathrm{Q}^{\prime}{ }_{26}$ | $\mathrm{Q}^{\prime}{ }_{66}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -45 | 50,894 | 40,554 | $-37,791$ | 50,894 | $-37,791$ | 41,355 |
| 45 | 50,894 | 40,554 | 37,791 | 50,894 | 37,791 | 41,355 |
| 0 | 162,660 | 4,369 | 0 | 11,497 | 0 | 5,170 |
| 90 | 11,497 | 4,369 | 0 | 162,660 | 0 | 5,170 |
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Table A5 - Stacking sequences for 7 through 21 ply laminates of the form ${ }_{+} N N_{+}$with blend ratio $\left(n_{+} / n_{ \pm}\right)=20 \%$.

| Ref. | Sequence | $n \quad n$ | $n_{ \pm} n_{0}$ |  | $\zeta$ | $\zeta_{ \pm}$ | $\zeta \bigcirc$ | $\zeta$ | $\begin{gathered} n_{ \pm} / n \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $n_{\circ} / n$ <br> (\%) | $n$ • $n$ <br> (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \zeta_{ \pm} / \zeta \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \zeta_{0} / \zeta \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \zeta_{\bullet} / \zeta \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NN 1 |  | 1410 | 100 | 4 | 2744 | 2032 | 0 | 712 | 71.4 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 74.1 | 0.0 | 25.9 |
| NN 2 | $+-9-9--0^{-9}-{ }^{-9}$ | 1410 | 100 | 4 | 2744 | 2032 | 0 | 712 | 71.4 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 74.1 | 0.0 | 25.9 |
| NN 3 | $+-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}--\mathrm{O}--\mathrm{O}+$ | 1410 | 104 | 0 | 2744 | 2032 | 712 | 0 | 71.4 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 74.1 | 25.9 | 0.0 |
| NN 4 | $+\mathrm{O}_{-}-\mathrm{O}_{-}-\mathrm{O}_{-} \mathrm{O}-+$ | 1410 | 104 | 0 | 2744 | 2032 | 712 | 0 | 71.4 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 74.1 | 25.9 | 0.0 |
| NN 5 | $+0^{-0}-0^{-O}-0-0-+$ | 1610 | $10 \quad 0$ | 6 | 4096 | 2704 | 0 | 1392 | 62.5 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 66.0 | 0.0 | 34.0 |
| NN 6 | $+-\bigcirc--\bigcirc-\bigcirc---\bigcirc+$ | 1610 | 100 | 6 | 4096 | 2704 | 0 | 1392 | 62.5 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 66.0 | 0.0 | 34.0 |
| NN 7 | $+O_{-} \mathrm{O}_{--} \mathrm{O}_{-} \quad-\mathrm{O}_{--\mathrm{O}}^{-}+$ | 1610 | 102 | 4 | 4096 | 2704 | 488 | 904 | 62.5 | 12.5 | 25.0 | 66.0 | 11.9 | 22.1 |
| NN 8 | $+-\bigcirc \bigcirc--O_{-}-O_{-}-\bigcirc-\bigcirc+$ | 1610 | 102 | 4 | 4096 | 2704 | 488 | 904 | 62.5 | 12.5 | 25.0 | 66.0 | 11.9 | 22.1 |
| NN 9 | $+-\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}---\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}+$ | 1610 | 104 | 2 | 4096 | 2704 | 904 | 488 | 62.5 | 25.0 | 12.5 | 66.0 | 22.1 | 11.9 |
| NN 10 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}---\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}--\mathrm{O}-+$ | 1610 | 104 | 2 | 4096 | 2704 | 904 | 488 | 62.5 | 25.0 | 12.5 | 66.0 | 22.1 | 11.9 |
| NN 11 | $+-\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}--\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}+$ | 1610 | 106 | 0 | 4096 | 2704 | 1392 | 0 | 62.5 | 37.5 | 0.0 | 66.0 | 34.0 | 0.0 |
| NN 12 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}---\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OO}-+$ | 1610 | 106 | 0 | 4096 | 2704 | 1392 | 0 | 62.5 | 37.5 | 0.0 | 66.0 | 34.0 | 0.0 |
| NN 13 |  | 1810 | 100 | 8 | 5832 | 3472 | 0 | 2360 | 55.6 | 0.0 | 44.4 | 59.5 | 0.0 | 40.5 |
| NN 14 | $+\bigcirc-0^{-O}-0-0-0_{-} 0$ | 1810 | 100 | 8 | 5832 | 3472 | 0 | 2360 | 55.6 | 0.0 | 44.4 | 59.5 | 0.0 | 40.5 |
| NN 15 | $+-\bigcirc \bigcirc--O_{-}-\bigcirc-O-O-O+$ | 1810 | 100 | 8 | 5832 | 3472 | 0 | 2360 | 55.6 | 0.0 | 44.4 | 59.5 | 0.0 | 40.5 |
| NN 16 |  | 1810 | 100 | 8 | 5832 | 3472 | 0 | 2360 | 55.6 | 0.0 | 44.4 | 59.5 | 0.0 | 40.5 |
| NN 17 | $+9-9-9-0-0-0-0+$ | 1810 | 100 | 8 | 5832 | 3472 | 0 | 2360 | 55.6 | 0.0 | 44.4 | 59.5 | 0.0 | 40.5 |
| NN 18 | $+\bigcirc---\bigcirc-O-O-O-O_{+}$ | 1810 | 100 | 8 | 5832 | 3472 | 0 | 2360 | 55.6 | 0.0 | 44.4 | 59.5 | 0.0 | 40.5 |
| NN 19 |  | 1810 | 102 | 6 | 5832 | 3472 | 56 | 2304 | 55.6 | 11.1 | 33.3 | 59.5 | 1.0 | 39.5 |
| NN 20 | $+-\bigcirc \bigcirc-O_{-}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}+$ | 1810 | 102 | 6 | 5832 | 3472 | 56 | 2304 | 55.6 | 11.1 | 33.3 | 59.5 | 1.0 | 39.5 |
| NN 21 |  | 1810 | 102 | 6 | 5832 | 3472 | 296 | 2064 | 55.6 | 11.1 | 33.3 | 59.5 | 5.1 | 35.4 |
| NN 22 |  | 1810 | 102 | 6 | 5832 | 3472 | 296 | 2064 | 55.6 | 11.1 | 33.3 | 59.5 | 5.1 | 35.4 |
| NN 23 | $+\mathrm{O}_{--\mathrm{OO}}^{-}-\mathrm{O}_{-} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}_{-\mathrm{O}}^{-\mathrm{O}}+$ | 1810 | 104 | 4 | 5832 | 3472 | 712 | 1648 | 55.6 | 22.2 | 22.2 | 59.5 | 12.2 | 28.3 |
| NN 24 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}_{-}+$ | 1810 | 104 | 4 | 5832 | 3472 | 712 | 1648 | 55.6 | 22.2 | 22.2 | 59.5 | 12.2 | 28.3 |
| NN 25 | $-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}_{-}-\mathrm{O}_{-} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{O}_{-+}$ | 1810 | 102 | 6 | 5832 | 3472 | 728 | 1632 | 55.6 | 11.1 | 33.3 | 59.5 | 12.5 | 28.0 |
| NN 26 | +-OO--O--O-O-O-O+ | 1810 | 102 | 6 | 5832 | 3472 | 728 | 1632 | 55.6 | 11.1 | 33.3 | 59.5 | 12.5 | 28.0 |
| NN 27 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}_{-} \mathrm{O}_{-}$ | 1810 | 104 | 4 | 5832 | 3472 | 784 | 1576 | 55.6 | 22.2 | 22.2 | 59.5 | 13.4 | 27.0 |
| NN 28 | $+-\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}_{-}-\mathrm{O}_{-} \mathrm{O}_{-} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}_{+}$ | 1810 | 104 | 4 | 5832 | 3472 | 784 | 1576 | 55.6 | 22.2 | 22.2 | 59.5 | 13.4 | 27.0 |
| NN 29 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}---\mathrm{OO}+$ | 1810 | 106 | 2 | 5832 | 3472 | 1008 | 1352 | 55.6 | 33.3 | 11.1 | 59.5 | 17.3 | 23.2 |
| NN 30 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OOO}--\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}+$ | 1810 | 106 | 2 | 5832 | 3472 | 1008 | 1352 | 55.6 | 33.3 | 11.1 | 59.5 | 17.3 | 23.2 |
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| NN 31 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-$ | - - $\mathrm{OOO}_{--\mathrm{O}}^{+}$ | 1810 | 6 | 2 | 5832 | 3472 | 1008 | 1352 | 55.6 | 33.3 | 11.1 | 59.5 | 17.3 | 23.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NN 32 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{-}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ | $-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OO}--\mathrm{O}+$ | 1810 | 6 | 2 | 5832 | 3472 | 1008 | 1352 | 55.6 | 33.3 | 11.1 | 59.5 | 17.3 | 23.2 |
| NN 33 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}_{-}$ | $\bigcirc-\bigcirc--O^{+}$ | 1810 | 4 | 4 | 5832 | 3472 | 1072 | 1288 | 55.6 | 22.2 | 22.2 | 59.5 | 18.4 | 22.1 |
| NN 34 | + $\mathrm{O}---\mathrm{O}$ | $-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}--\mathrm{O}+$ | 1810 | 4 | 4 | 5832 | 3472 | 1072 | 1288 | 55.6 | 22.2 | 22.2 | 59.5 | 18.4 | 22.1 |
| NN 35 | $+\mathrm{O}_{-} \mathrm{O}_{-} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ | $-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}+$ | 1810 | 4 | 4 | 5832 | 3472 | 1288 | 1072 | 55.6 | 22.2 | 22.2 | 59.5 | 22.1 | 18.4 |
| NN 36 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-$ | O-O-- ${ }^{-0}+$ | 1810 | 4 | 4 | 5832 | 3472 | 1288 | 1072 | 55.6 | 22.2 | 22.2 | 59.5 | 22.1 | 18.4 |
| NN 37 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}^{-9}-$ | O-O---O + | 1810 | 2 | 6 | 5832 | 3472 | 1352 | 1008 | 55.6 | 11.1 | 33.3 | 59.5 | 23.2 | 17.3 |
| NN 38 | + O | $-\bigcirc-0-0-$ | 1810 | 2 | 6 | 5832 | 3472 | 1352 | 1008 | 55.6 | 11.1 | 33.3 | 59.5 | 23.2 | 17.3 |
| NN 39 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-$ | - - - 0 + | 1810 | 2 | 6 | 5832 | 3472 | 1352 | 1008 | 55.6 | 11.1 | 33.3 | 59.5 | 23.2 | 17.3 |
| NN 40 | $+\mathrm{O}$ | - - - - - $0+$ | 1810 | 2 | 6 | 5832 | 3472 | 1352 | 1008 | 55.6 | 11.1 | 33.3 | 59.5 | 23.2 | 17.3 |
| NN 41 | $+-\mathrm{O} 0$ | - - $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}+$ | 1810 | 4 | 4 | 5832 | 3472 | 1576 | 784 | 55.6 | 22.2 | 22.2 | 59.5 | 27.0 | 13.4 |
| NN 42 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ | $-\bigcirc-\bigcirc \bigcirc-+$ | 1810 | 4 | 4 | 5832 | 3472 | 1576 | 784 | 55.6 | 22.2 | 22.2 | 59.5 | 27.0 | 13.4 |
| NN 43 | $+-\mathrm{OOO}--\mathrm{O}$ | $-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}+$ | 1810 | 6 | 2 | 5832 | 3472 | 1632 | 728 | 55.6 | 33.3 | 11.1 | 59.5 | 28.0 | 12.5 |
| NN 44 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-$ | $-\mathrm{O}_{--\mathrm{O}}^{-\mathrm{O}}$ - + | 1810 | 6 | 2 | 5832 | 3472 | 1632 | 728 | 55.6 | 33.3 | 11.1 | 59.5 | 28.0 | 12.5 |
| NN 45 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OO}-$ | $-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}+$ | 1810 | 4 | 4 | 5832 | 3472 | 1648 | 712 | 55.6 | 22.2 | 22.2 | 59.5 | 28.3 | 12.2 |
| NN 46 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-0$ | $-\bigcirc \bigcirc-O_{+}$ | 1810 | 4 | 4 | 5832 | 3472 | 1648 | 712 | 55.6 | 22.2 | 22.2 | 59.5 | 28.3 | 12.2 |
| NN 47 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OO}$ | $-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}+$ | 1810 | 6 | 2 | 5832 | 3472 | 2064 | 296 | 55.6 | 33.3 | 11.1 | 59.5 | 35.4 | 5.1 |
| NN 48 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-$ | $--\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}_{-}$ | 1810 | 6 | 2 | 5832 | 3472 | 2064 | 296 | 55.6 | 33.3 | 11.1 | 59.5 | 35.4 | 5.1 |
| NN 49 | $+-\mathrm{OOO}$ | $-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}+$ | 1810 | 6 | 2 | 5832 | 3472 | 2304 | 56 | 55.6 | 33.3 | 11.1 | 59.5 | 39.5 | 1.0 |
| NN 50 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ | - - - ${ }_{-} \mathrm{OOO}_{-+}$ | 1810 | 6 | 2 | 5832 | 3472 | 2304 | 56 | 55.6 | 33.3 | 11.1 | 59.5 | 39.5 | 1.0 |
| NN 51 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}-$ | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}---\mathrm{OO}+$ | 1810 | 8 | 0 | 5832 | 3472 | 2360 | 0 | 55.6 | 44.4 | 0.0 | 59.5 | 40.5 | 0.0 |
| NN 52 | $+-\mathrm{OOO}-\mathrm{O}_{-}$ | $-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}+$ | 1810 | 8 | 0 | 5832 | 3472 | 2360 | 0 | 55.6 | 44.4 | 0.0 | 59.5 | 40.5 | 0.0 |
| NN 53 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OOO}-{ }_{-}$ | $-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}+$ | 1810 | 8 | 0 | 5832 | 3472 | 2360 | 0 | 55.6 | 44.4 | 0.0 | 59.5 | 40.5 | 0.0 |
| NN 54 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-$ | $--\mathrm{OOO}-\mathrm{O}_{+}$ | 1810 | 8 | 0 | 5832 | 3472 | 2360 | 0 | 55.6 | 44.4 | 0.0 | 59.5 | 40.5 | 0.0 |
| NN 55 | $+\mathrm{OO}--\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ | $-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}+$ | 1810 | 8 | 0 | 5832 | 3472 | 2360 | 0 | 55.6 | 44.4 | 0.0 | 59.5 | 40.5 | 0.0 |
| NN 56 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-$ | $-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OOO}-+$ | 1810 | 8 | 0 | 5832 | 3472 | 2360 | 0 | 55.6 | 44.4 | 0.0 | 59.5 | 40.5 | 0.0 |
| NN 61 | +0-0-00- | -0--000- | 2010 | 0 | 10 | 8000 | 4336 | 0 | 3664 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 54.2 | 0.0 | 45.8 |
| NN 62 |  | -0-00- | 2010 | 0 | 10 | 8000 | 4336 | 0 | 3664 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 54.2 | 0.0 | 45.8 |
| NN 63 | $+$ | - | 2010 | 0 | 10 | 8000 | 4336 | 0 | 3664 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 54.2 | 0.0 | 45.8 |
| NN 64 | + - - - | $--\bigcirc--\bigcirc$ | 2010 | 0 | 10 | 8000 | 4336 | 0 | 3664 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 54.2 | 0.0 | 45.8 |
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## Continued

| NN 65 |  |  | 2010 | 0 | 10 | 8000 | 4336 | 0 | 3664 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 54.2 | 0.0 | 45.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NN 66 |  |  | 2010 | 0 | 10 | 8000 | 4336 | 0 | 3664 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 54.2 | 0.0 | 45.8 |
| NN 67 |  | - - - - - | 2010 | 0 | 10 | 8000 | 4336 | 0 | 3664 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 54.2 | 0.0 | 45.8 |
| NN 68 |  |  | $20 \quad 10$ | 0 | 10 | 8000 | 4336 | 0 | 3664 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 54.2 | 0.0 | 45.8 |
| NN 69 |  |  | 2010 | 0 | 10 | 8000 | 4336 | 0 | 3664 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 54.2 | 0.0 | 45.8 |
| NN 70 |  | - | 2010 | 0 | 10 | 8000 | 4336 | 0 | 3664 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 54.2 | 0.0 | 45.8 |
| NN 71 |  |  | 2010 | 0 | 10 | 8000 | 4336 | 0 | 3664 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 54.2 | 0.0 | 45.8 |
| NN 72 | $+$ |  | 2010 | 0 | 10 | 8000 | 4336 | 0 | 3664 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 54.2 | 0.0 | 45.8 |
| $N N 73$ | $+\bigcirc-0-0-0$ |  | 2010 | 2 | 8 | 8000 | 4336 | 56 | 3608 | 50.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 54.2 | 0.7 | 45.1 |
| NN 74 | + - - - - | - | $20 \quad 10$ | 2 | 8 | 8000 | 4336 | 56 | 3608 | 50.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 54.2 | 0.7 | 45.1 |
| NN 75 | +OO---0 | - | 2010 | 2 | 8 | 8000 | 4336 | 152 | 3512 | 50.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 54.2 | 1.9 | 43.9 |
| NN 76 | $+-0-0000$ | $--\mathrm{O}---\bigcirc$ | 2010 | 2 | 8 | 8000 | 4336 | 152 | 3512 | 50.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 54.2 | 1.9 | 43.9 |
| NN 77 |  |  | 2010 | 2 | 8 | 8000 | 4336 | 152 | 3512 | 50.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 54.2 | 1.9 | 43.9 |
| NN 78 | + $0--9-0$ | O | 2010 | 2 | 8 | 8000 | 4336 | 152 | 3512 | 50.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 54.2 | 1.9 | 43.9 |
| NN 79 | + $0-00$ | - ${ }^{-O}$ - | 2010 | 2 | 8 | 8000 | 4336 | 296 | 3368 | 50.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 54.2 | 3.7 | 42.1 |
| NN 80 | +-00-0 | --O--0 | $20 \quad 10$ | 2 | 8 | 8000 | 4336 | 296 | 3368 | 50.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 54.2 | 3.7 | 42.1 |
| NN 81 | + - - - - 0 - | $\bigcirc$ | 2010 | 4 | 6 | 8000 | 4336 | 352 | 3312 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 4.4 | 41.4 |
| NN 82 | + $0-\mathrm{O}_{-}$ | $\mathrm{OOO}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ | 2010 | 4 | 6 | 8000 | 4336 | 352 | 3312 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 4.4 | 41.4 |
| NN 83 | +O--OO--O | $-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}=$ | $20 \quad 10$ | 4 | 6 | 8000 | 4336 | 424 | 3240 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 5.3 | 40.5 |
| NN 84 | $+-\bigcirc \bigcirc-O_{-} \mathrm{O}_{-}$ | O--O | 2010 | 4 | 6 | 8000 | 4336 | 424 | 3240 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 5.3 | 40.5 |
| NN 85 | +O---OO- | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ | 2010 | 4 | 6 | 8000 | 4336 | 424 | 3240 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 5.3 | 40.5 |
| NN 86 | $+9--\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ | $\bigcirc 0$ | $20 \quad 10$ | 4 | 6 | 8000 | 4336 | 424 | 3240 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 5.3 | 40.5 |
| NN 87 | +9-9-O-0-- | - $0-0$ | 2010 | 2 | 8 | 8000 | 4336 | 488 | 3176 | 50.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 54.2 | 6.1 | 39.7 |
| NN 88 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ | $---\bigcirc \bigcirc-O^{+}$ | 2010 | 2 | 8 | 8000 | 4336 | 488 | 3176 | 50.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 54.2 | 6.1 | 39.7 |
| NN 89 | +-000 | - - 0 - - + | $20 \quad 10$ | 2 | 8 | 8000 | 4336 | 488 | 3176 | 50.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 54.2 | 6.1 | 39.7 |
| NN 90 | + $0-0$ | $\bigcirc-\bigcirc-O_{-0}+$ | 2010 | 2 | 8 | 8000 | 4336 | 488 | 3176 | 50.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 54.2 | 6.1 | 39.7 |
| NN 91 | $+\bigcirc-\bigcirc-\bigcirc \bigcirc O_{-}$ | $-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OOO}-+$ | 2010 | 4 | 6 | 8000 | 4336 | 496 | 3168 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 6.2 | 39.6 |
| NN 92 | +-0000--0 | - | $20 \quad 10$ | 4 | 6 | 8000 | 4336 | 496 | 3168 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 6.2 | 39.6 |
| NN 93 | + ${ }^{-0}-\mathrm{OOO}_{-}$ | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}--\mathrm{O}+$ | 2010 | 6 | 4 | 8000 | 4336 | 576 | 3088 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 7.2 | 38.6 |
| NN 94 | $+\bigcirc--\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ | $-\mathrm{OOO}---\bigcirc+$ | 2010 | 6 | 4 | 8000 | 4336 | 576 | 3088 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 7.2 | 38.6 |

## Continued

| NN 95 | $+\mathrm{O}_{-O-\mathrm{O}}^{-\mathrm{O}}-$ | $\mathrm{OO}--\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}+$ | $20 \quad 10$ | 4 | 6 | 8000 | 4336 | 640 | 3024 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 8.0 | 37.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NN 96 | $+\bigcirc-O--0$ | $-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}+$ | 2010 | 4 | 6 | 8000 | 4336 | 640 | 3024 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 8.0 | 37.8 |
| NN 97 | +O-O-OOO | $-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OO}-+$ | 2010 | 4 | 6 | 8000 | 4336 | 712 | 2952 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 8.9 | 36.9 |
| NN 98 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ | $\bigcirc \mathrm{O}_{---\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{O}+$ | 2010 | 4 | 6 | 8000 | 4336 | 712 | 2952 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 8.9 | 36.9 |
| NN 99 | $+-\bigcirc \bigcirc 0-0_{-}$ |  | 2010 | 4 | 6 | 8000 | 4336 | 712 | 2952 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 8.9 | 36.9 |
| NN 100 | $+\bigcirc-\mathrm{O}--\mathrm{O}$ | $-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}+$ | 2010 | 4 | 6 | 8000 | 4336 | 712 | 2952 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 8.9 | 36.9 |
| NN 101 | + - - 0 | - - - - + | 2010 | 4 | 6 | 8000 | 4336 | 928 | 2736 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 11.6 | 34.2 |
| NN 102 | + $0-00$ | $-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-0 \bigcirc-+$ | 2010 | 4 | 6 | 8000 | 4336 | 928 | 2736 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 11.6 | 34.2 |
| NN 103 |  | -OOOO-- - + | 2010 | 4 | 6 | 8000 | 4336 | 928 | 2736 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 11.6 | 34.2 |
| NN 104 | +-0-0 | + | 2010 | 4 | 6 | 8000 | 4336 | 928 | 2736 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 11.6 | 34.2 |
| NN 105 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ |  | 2010 | 4 | 6 | 8000 | 4336 | 928 | 2736 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 11.6 | 34.2 |
| NN 106 | $+\mathrm{O}_{-} \mathrm{O}_{-} \mathrm{O}^{-}$ | $-\mathrm{OOO}---\bigcirc+$ | 2010 | 4 | 6 | 8000 | 4336 | 928 | 2736 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 11.6 | 34.2 |
| NN 107 | + - - 0 -00- |  | $20 \quad 10$ | 4 | 6 | 8000 | 4336 | 928 | 2736 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 11.6 | 34.2 |
| NN 108 | + ${ }^{-1}-\mathrm{OOO}_{-}$ | $-\mathrm{O}+$ | 2010 | 4 | 6 | 8000 | 4336 | 928 | 2736 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 11.6 | 34.2 |
| NN 109 | +-000--O- | - $0^{\circ} \mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}^{+}$ | 2010 | 4 | 6 | 8000 | 4336 | 928 | 2736 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 11.6 | 34.2 |
| NN 110 | + $0--\mathrm{OO}$ | OO-O-O-O+ | $20 \quad 10$ | 4 | 6 | 8000 | 4336 | 928 | 2736 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 11.6 | 34.2 |
| NN 111 | $+$ | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{-O}+$ | 2010 | 4 | 6 | 8000 | 4336 | 1000 | 2664 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 12.5 | 33.3 |
| NN 112 | +O-O-O-OOO | $-0-\mathrm{OO}+$ | 2010 | 4 | 6 | 8000 | 4336 | 1000 | 2664 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 12.5 | 33.3 |
| NN 113 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ | - | $20 \quad 10$ | 4 | 6 | 8000 | 4336 | 1000 | 2664 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 12.5 | 33.3 |
| NN 114 | + - - - - | $\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}+$ | 2010 | 4 | 6 | 8000 | 4336 | 1000 | 2664 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 12.5 | 33.3 |
| NN 115 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ | $\bigcirc \bigcirc-+$ | 2010 | 2 | 8 | 8000 | 4336 | 1016 | 2648 | 50.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 54.2 | 12.7 | 33.1 |
| NN 116 | + - 0 | - | $20 \quad 10$ | 2 | 8 | 8000 | 4336 | 1016 | 2648 | 50.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 54.2 | 12.7 | 33.1 |
| NN 117 | $+\bigcirc \bigcirc-O_{-}-$ | $-\bigcirc \bigcirc 0-9-+$ | 2010 | 4 | 6 | 8000 | 4336 | 1096 | 2568 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 13.7 | 32.1 |
| NN 118 | +-O-OOOO | $--\mathrm{O}--\mathrm{OO}+$ | 2010 | 4 | 6 | 8000 | 4336 | 1096 | 2568 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 13.7 | 32.1 |
| NN 119 | +-0-0000- | - - $0+$ | $20 \quad 10$ | 4 | 6 | 8000 | 4336 | 1216 | 2448 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 15.2 | 30.6 |
| NN 120 | + $-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OO}=$ | $0-$ - - $0-0+$ | 2010 | 4 | 6 | 8000 | 4336 | 1216 | 2448 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 15.2 | 30.6 |
| NN 121 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OOO}$ | $---\bigcirc \bigcirc--\bigcirc+$ | 2010 | 4 | 6 | 8000 | 4336 | 1216 | 2448 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 15.2 | 30.6 |
| NN 122 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ | O-O-OO-+ | $20 \quad 10$ | 4 | 6 | 8000 | 4336 | 1216 | 2448 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 15.2 | 30.6 |
| NN 123 | $+\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}^{-9-}$ | OOOO-- - + | 2010 | 4 | 6 | 8000 | 4336 | 1216 | 2448 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 15.2 | 30.6 |
| NN 124 | $+\mathrm{O}^{-O-O-O-}$ | $\bigcirc \bigcirc--\bigcirc-00+$ | 2010 | 4 | 6 | 8000 | 4336 | 1216 | 2448 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 15.2 | 30.6 |
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| NN 125 | $+-\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}_{-} \mathrm{O}_{--\mathrm{O}}^{+}+$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 12162448 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 15.2 | 30.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NN 126 |  | $20 \quad 10 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 12162448 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 15.2 | 30.6 |
| NN 127 | $+\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}_{--\mathrm{O}}+\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}_{-0+}^{+}$ | $2010 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 12162448 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 15.2 | 30.6 |
| NN 128 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}_{---\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}_{-} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}_{+}$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 12162448 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 15.2 | 30.6 |
| NN 129 |  | $2010 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 12642400 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 15.8 | 30.0 |
| NN 130 |  | $20 \quad 10 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 12642400 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 15.8 | 30.0 |
| NN 131 | $+\mathrm{O}_{-} \mathrm{O}_{-} \mathrm{OO}_{-} \mathrm{O}_{-} \mathrm{O}_{--} \mathrm{OOOO}_{-+}$ | $2010 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 12882376 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 16.1 | 29.7 |
| NN 132 | $+\mathrm{O}$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 12882376 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 16.1 | 29.7 |
| NN 133 | $+-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OO}$ | $2010 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 12882376 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 16.1 | 29.7 |
| NN 134 | +O-O-O-O-O-O- - - | $20 \quad 10 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 12882376 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 16.1 | 29.7 |
| NN 135 | $+-\mathrm{OOO}--\mathrm{O}-\quad-\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}+$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 12882376 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 16.1 | 29.7 |
| NN 136 | $+\bigcirc \bigcirc-O--\bigcirc \bigcirc-O-O-O-O+$ | 20104 | 6 | 80004336 | 12882376 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 16.1 | 29.7 |
| NN 137 | + - - - - - - - - + | $20 \quad 10 \quad 2$ | 8 | 80004336 | 13522312 | 50.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 54.2 | 16.9 | 28.9 |
| NN 138 |  | $2010 \quad 2$ | 8 | 80004336 | 13522312 | 50.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 54.2 | 16.9 | 28.9 |
| NN 139 |  | $2010 \quad 2$ | 8 | 80004336 | 13522312 | 50.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 54.2 | 16.9 | 28.9 |
| NN 140 | $+-\mathrm{OO}-9-9-9--0--\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}+$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 2$ | 8 | 80004336 | 13522312 | 50.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 54.2 | 16.9 | 28.9 |
| NN 141 |  | $2010 \quad 2$ | 8 | 80004336 | 13522312 | 50.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 54.2 | 16.9 | 28.9 |
| NN 142 | $+\bigcirc \bigcirc-O_{-O-O-O--O \bigcirc}$ | 20102 | 8 | 80004336 | 13522312 | 50.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 54.2 | 16.9 | 28.9 |
| NN 143 |  | $20 \quad 10 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 13602304 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 17.0 | 28.8 |
| NN 144 | $+-9-000-20-200+$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 13602304 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 17.0 | 28.8 |
| NN 145 | $+-\bigcirc \bigcirc O-O-O-O--O--O+$ | $2010 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 13842280 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 17.3 | 28.5 |
| NN 146 | $+\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}_{-} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OO}-+$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 13842280 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 17.3 | 28.5 |
| NN 147 | $+9-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OO}---0-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}+$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 14082256 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 17.6 | 28.2 |
| NN 148 | $+\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}_{---\mathrm{O}}^{-\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}_{-0}+$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 14082256 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 17.6 | 28.2 |
| NN 149 |  | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 80004336 | 14402224 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 18.0 | 27.8 |
| NN 150 | $+\mathrm{O}_{-} \mathrm{O}_{-} \mathrm{O}_{-} \mathrm{OOO} \mathrm{O}_{-} \mathrm{O}_{-} \mathrm{O}_{+}$ | $2010 \quad 6$ | 4 | 80004336 | 14402224 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 18.0 | 27.8 |
| NN 151 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}---\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}+$ | $2010 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 14562208 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 18.2 | 27.6 |
| NN 152 | $+\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}_{---\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}_{-\mathrm{O}}^{-\mathrm{O}}+$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 14562208 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 18.2 | 27.6 |
| NN 153 | O-OO-- - - - - - - - + | $2010 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 15042160 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 18.8 | 27.0 |
| NN 154 | $+\bigcirc \bigcirc-O_{-} \mathrm{O}_{-}-\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc O_{-} \mathrm{O}_{-}$ | $2010 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 15042160 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 18.8 | 27.0 |

## Continued

| NN 155 | $+-\mathrm{O}-0 \bigcirc \mathrm{OO}-\quad-\mathrm{O}_{-}--0 \mathrm{OO}+$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 1504 | 2160 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 18.8 | 27.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NN 156 |  | $20 \quad 10 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 1504 | 2160 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 18.8 | 27.0 |
| NN 157 | $+\bigcirc \bigcirc-O_{-O-O-O-}^{-O-}+$ | $2010 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 1504 | 2160 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 18.8 | 27.0 |
| NN 158 | $+-0000-0-000-0-0+$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 1504 | 2160 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 18.8 | 27.0 |
| NN 159 | $+\mathrm{O}_{-\mathrm{O}}^{-\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OO}---\mathrm{O}_{-} \mathrm{O}_{+}$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 80004336 | 1512 | 2152 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 18.9 | 26.9 |
| NN 160 |  | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 80004336 | 1512 | 2152 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 18.9 | 26.9 |
| NN 161 | $+O O_{-} O_{--} O_{-O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OO}-+$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 80004336 | 1512 | 2152 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 18.9 | 26.9 |
| NN 162 | $+-90-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{OO}+$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 80004336 | 1512 | 2152 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 18.9 | 26.9 |
| NN 163 | $+-\bigcirc \bigcirc O--O-O_{-}-O_{-}$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 80004336 | 1512 | 2152 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 18.9 | 26.9 |
| NN 164 | $+\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}--\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 80004336 | 1512 | 2152 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 18.9 | 26.9 |
| NN 165 | $+-\mathrm{OOO}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}+$ | 20104 | 6 | 80004336 | 1576 | 2088 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 19.7 | 26.1 |
| NN 166 | $+\bigcirc \bigcirc--\bigcirc \bigcirc-O-O-O-O_{-}$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 1576 | 2088 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 19.7 | 26.1 |
| NN 167 | $+\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O} \mathrm{O}_{-+}+$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 1576 | 2088 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 19.7 | 26.1 |
| NN 168 | $+9-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-9 \bigcirc---\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{OO}+$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 1576 | 2088 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 19.7 | 26.1 |
| NN 169 | $+\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OOO}--\mathrm{OO}+$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 1576 | 2088 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 19.7 | 26.1 |
| NN 170 |  | 20104 | 6 | 80004336 | 1576 | 2088 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 19.7 | 26.1 |
| NN 171 |  | $20 \quad 10 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 1648 | 2016 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 20.6 | 25.2 |
| NN 172 | $+O O_{--O} O_{-O-O-O-O-+}$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 1648 | 2016 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 20.6 | 25.2 |
| NN 173 | $+-\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OO}--\mathrm{OO}+$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 1648 | 2016 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 20.6 | 25.2 |
| NN 174 | $+\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{-OO}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OO}+$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 1648 | 2016 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 20.6 | 25.2 |
| NN 175 | $+-0000-0-0^{-000-O-O+}$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 1696 | 1968 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 21.2 | 24.6 |
| NN 176 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}_{-O} \mathrm{O}_{-}-\mathrm{O}_{-} \mathrm{O}_{-} \mathrm{OOO}_{-}+$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 1696 | 1968 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 21.2 | 24.6 |
| NN 177 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{-OO}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 80004336 | 1728 | 1936 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 21.6 | 24.2 |
| NN 178 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}_{-} \mathrm{O}_{-} \mathrm{OOOO}_{-+}$ | 20106 | 4 | 80004336 | 1728 | 1936 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 21.6 | 24.2 |
| NN 179 | $+\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OO}---\mathrm{O}$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 80004336 | 1728 | 1936 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 21.6 | 24.2 |
| NN 180 | $+-9 \mathrm{OOO}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{-}-\mathrm{OOO}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}_{+}$ | 20106 | 4 | 80004336 | 1728 | 1936 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 21.6 | 24.2 |
| NN 181 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\bigcirc-O_{--} \mathrm{O}^{-O}+$ | $2010 \quad 2$ | 8 | 80004336 | 1736 | 1928 | 50.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 54.2 | 21.7 | 24.1 |
| NN 182 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-0 \cdot---0--0 \mathrm{O}+$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 2$ | 8 | 80004336 | 1736 | 1928 | 50.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 54.2 | 21.7 | 24.1 |
| NN 183 | + $09--$ - $0-0-0-0-00+$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 2$ | 8 | 80004336 | 1736 | 1928 | 50.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 54.2 | 21.7 | 24.1 |
| NN 184 | $+\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{-O}-\mathrm{O}-\bigcirc \bigcirc-O_{-} 0 O_{+}$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 2$ | 8 | 80004336 | 1736 | 1928 | 50.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 54.2 | 21.7 | 24.1 |
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| NN 185 |  | $20 \quad 10 \quad 2$ | 8 | 80004336 | 1736 | 1928 | 50.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 54.2 | 21.7 | 24.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NN 186 |  | $20 \quad 10 \quad 2$ | 8 | 80004336 | 1736 | 1928 | 50.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 54.2 | 21.7 | 24.1 |
| NN 187 | $+-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OOO}-\quad-\mathrm{O}---\mathrm{O}+$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 1768 | 1896 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 22.1 | 23.7 |
| NN 188 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}_{-} \mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 1768 | 1896 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 22.1 | 23.7 |
| NN 189 |  | $20 \quad 10 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 1768 | 1896 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 22.1 | 23.7 |
| NN 190 | +OO---O--OOO-O- + | $20 \quad 10 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 1768 | 1896 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 22.1 | 23.7 |
| NN 191 |  | $\begin{array}{llll}20 & 10 & 4\end{array}$ | 6 | 80004336 | 1768 | 1896 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 22.1 | 23.7 |
| NN 192 |  | $20 \quad 10 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 1768 | 1896 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 22.1 | 23.7 |
| NN 193 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}_{-}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OOOO}$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 80004336 | 1776 | 1888 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 22.2 | 23.6 |
| NN 194 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 80004336 | 1776 | 1888 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 22.2 | 23.6 |
| NN 195 | +-OO-O-O-O- O-O | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 80004336 | 1776 | 1888 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 22.2 | 23.6 |
| NN 196 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OOO}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OO}$ | $20 \quad 106$ | 4 | 80004336 | 1776 | 1888 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 22.2 | 23.6 |
| NN 197 |  | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 80004336 | 1776 | 1888 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 22.2 | 23.6 |
| NN 198 | $+-\mathrm{OOOO}-\mathrm{O}-\quad-\mathrm{OOO}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}+$ | $2010 \quad 6$ | 4 | 80004336 | 1776 | 1888 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 22.2 | 23.6 |
| NN 199 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}_{-O-O}^{+}$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 1792 | 1872 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 22.4 | 23.4 |
| NN 200 | $+\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}_{--\mathrm{O}}+\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}_{-0-\mathrm{O}}^{+}$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 1792 | 1872 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 22.4 | 23.4 |
| NN 201 |  | $20 \quad 10 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 1792 | 1872 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 22.4 | 23.4 |
| NN 202 | $+-9 O O-O-O-O_{-} 0-00$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 1792 | 1872 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 22.4 | 23.4 |
| NN 203 | $+-\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 80004336 | 1872 | 1792 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 23.4 | 22.4 |
| NN 204 | $+\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O} \mathrm{O}_{-}+$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 80004336 | 1872 | 1792 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 23.4 | 22.4 |
| NN 205 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{OO}--\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OO}+$ | $20 \quad 106$ | 4 | 80004336 | 1872 | 1792 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 23.4 | 22.4 |
| NN 206 | $+\mathrm{O}_{-} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}_{-} \mathrm{O}_{-} \mathrm{O}_{-} \mathrm{O}_{-} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 80004336 | 1872 | 1792 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 23.4 | 22.4 |
| NN 207 |  | $20 \quad 10 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 1888 | 1776 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 23.6 | 22.2 |
| NN 208 | $+\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}^{-O O-O-O-O--O+}$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 1888 | 1776 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 23.6 | 22.2 |
| NN 209 |  | $20 \quad 10 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 1888 | 1776 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 23.6 | 22.2 |
| NN 210 | $+-\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc-O_{-}-0^{-O O-O-O+}$ | $2010 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 1888 | 1776 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 23.6 | 22.2 |
| NN 211 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}^{-0}-\mathrm{OOO}_{-+}$ | 20104 | 6 | 80004336 | 1888 | 1776 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 23.6 | 22.2 |
| NN 212 |  | $20 \quad 10 \quad 4$ | 6 | 80004336 | 1888 | 1776 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 54.2 | 23.6 | 22.2 |
| NN 213 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OO}---\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 80004336 | 1896 | 1768 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 23.7 | 22.1 |
| NN 214 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}_{-\mathrm{O}}^{-\mathrm{O}}-\mathrm{OO}---\mathrm{O}+$ | 20106 | 4 | 80004336 | 1896 | 1768 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 23.7 | 22.1 |
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| NN 275 | $+-\mathrm{OOO}-\mathrm{O}_{-}$ |  | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 8000 | 4336 | 2400 | 1264 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 30.0 | 15.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NN 276 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OO}-$ |  | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 8000 | 4336 | 2400 | 1264 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 30.0 | 15.8 |
| NN 277 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ | $\bigcirc \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}+$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 8000 | 4336 | 2448 | 1216 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 30.6 | 15.2 |
| NN 278 | $+-\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}$ | - ${ }_{-} \mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}_{-} \mathrm{O}+$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 8000 | 4336 | 2448 | 1216 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 30.6 | 15.2 |
| NN 279 | $+\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}--\mathrm{O}$ | $\bigcirc-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}+$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 8000 | 4336 | 2448 | 1216 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 30.6 | 15.2 |
| NN 280 | +OO--OO | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}+$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 8000 | 4336 | 2448 | 1216 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 30.6 | 15.2 |
| NN 281 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}---\mathrm{OO}$ | - - - - - $0+$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 8000 | 4336 | 2448 | 1216 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 30.6 | 15.2 |
| NN 282 | $+\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{OO}_{-}$ | - - $0-\mathrm{OO}-+$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 8000 | 4336 | 2448 | 1216 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 30.6 | 15.2 |
| NN 283 | + ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{OO}--\mathrm{O}$ | $-\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc-O-+$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 8000 | 4336 | 2448 | 1216 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 30.6 | 15.2 |
| NN 284 | $+-\mathrm{O}$ | $-\mathrm{O}--\mathrm{OOO}+$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 8000 | 4336 | 2448 | 1216 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 30.6 | 15.2 |
| NN 285 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{OO}--\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OO}+$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 8000 | 4336 | 2448 | 1216 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 30.6 | 15.2 |
| NN 286 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ | $--\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{OO}+$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 8000 | 4336 | 2448 | 1216 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 30.6 | 15.2 |
| NN 287 | $+-\mathrm{O}=0000$ | $-00+$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 8000 | 4336 | 2568 | 1096 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 32.1 | 13.7 |
| NN 288 | $+\mathrm{O}$ | $-\mathrm{OOOO}-\mathrm{O}-+$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 8000 | 4336 | 2568 | 1096 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 32.1 | 13.7 |
| NN 289 | +-OOOO--O | $--\mathrm{OOO}-\mathrm{O}+$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 8$ | 2 | 8000 | 4336 | 2648 | 1016 | 50.0 | 40.0 | 10.0 | 54.2 | 33.1 | 12.7 |
| NN 290 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OOO}$ | $-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OOOO}_{-+}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}20 & 10 & 8\end{array}$ | 2 | 8000 | 4336 | 2648 | 1016 | 50.0 | 40.0 | 10.0 | 54.2 | 33.1 | 12.7 |
| NN 291 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ | ---OO--OO+ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 8000 | 4336 | 2664 | 1000 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 33.3 | 12.5 |
| NN 292 | $+\mathrm{OO}-$ | $-\mathrm{OOO}---\mathrm{O}+$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 8000 | 4336 | 2664 | 1000 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 33.3 | 12.5 |
| NN 293 | +OO---OOO | -0-9--00+ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 8000 | 4336 | 2664 | 1000 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 33.3 | 12.5 |
| NN 294 | $+\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{OO}$ | O-O-O-O+ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 8000 | 4336 | 2664 | 1000 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 33.3 | 12.5 |
| NN 295 | $+-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OO}$ | $-\mathrm{O}--\mathrm{OO}$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 8000 | 4336 | 2736 | 928 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 34.2 | 11.6 |
| NN 296 | +OO | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}--\mathrm{O}+$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 8000 | 4336 | 2736 | 928 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 34.2 | 11.6 |
| NN 297 | $+-\mathrm{OOO}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OO}+$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 8000 | 4336 | 2736 | 928 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 34.2 | 11.6 |
| NN 298 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OO}$ | $-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OO}+$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 8000 | 4336 | 2736 | 928 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 34.2 | 11.6 |
| NN 299 | $+\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ | $00_{---00+}^{+}$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 8000 | 4336 | 2736 | 928 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 34.2 | 11.6 |
| NN 300 | $+\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{-}$ | O | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 8000 | 4336 | 2736 | 928 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 34.2 | 11.6 |
| NN 301 | $+-\mathrm{OOO}--\bigcirc$ | - - $-0-\mathrm{O}+$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 8000 | 4336 | 2736 | 928 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 34.2 | 11.6 |
| NN 302 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ | -009O- + | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 8000 | 4336 | 2736 | 928 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 34.2 | 11.6 |
| NN 303 | $+\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ | - - $\mathrm{O}_{-\mathrm{O}}$ - $\mathrm{O}_{-+}$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 8000 | 4336 | 2736 | 928 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 34.2 | 11.6 |
| NN 304 | $+\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}^{-} \mathrm{O}-$ | $-\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc-\bigcirc-+$ | $20 \quad 10 \quad 6$ | 4 | 8000 | 4336 | 2736 | 928 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 54.2 | 34.2 | 11.6 |
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| NN 335 | $+-\mathrm{OOO}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ | O--OO--OO+ | 201010 | 0 | 8000 |  | 3664 | 0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 54.2 | 45.8 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NN 336 | + O-O-O-OOO | - - -00- -00 + | 201010 | 0 | 8000 | 4336 | 3664 | 0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 54.2 | 45.8 | 0.0 |
| NN 337 | + $\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{-}$ - $\mathrm{OOO}-$ | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{-} \mathrm{OO}_{+}$ | 201010 | 0 | 8000 | 4336 | 3664 | 0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 54.2 | 45.8 | 0.0 |
| NN 338 | $+\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ | - $000-$ - - 00 + | 201010 | 0 | 8000 | 4336 | 3664 | 0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 54.2 | 45.8 | 0.0 |
| NN 339 | +-0000--0- | - - $000-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}+$ | 201010 | 0 | 8000 | 4336 | 3664 | 0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 54.2 | 45.8 | 0.0 |
| NN 340 | $+\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{OO}$ - | OOO-O-O-O+ | 201010 | 0 | 8000 | 4336 | 3664 | 0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 54.2 | 45.8 | 0.0 |
| NN 341 | $+\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{-}^{-\mathrm{OO}}$ | $-\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}+$ | 201010 | 0 | 8000 | 4336 | 3664 |  | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 54.2 | 45.8 | 0.0 |
| NN 342 | + $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OOO}-$ | - O-- OOOO-+ | 201010 | 0 | 8000 | 4336 | 3664 | 0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 54.2 | 45.8 | 0.0 |
| NN 343 | $+\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{O}$ | - $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OOO}-+$ | 201010 | 0 | 8000 | 4336 | 3664 | 0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 54.2 | 45.8 | 0.0 |
| N 344 | OOO- - O | -00000-O- | 2010 |  |  |  |  |  | 50. | 50.0 | 0.0 | 54 |  |  |

Concluded.

Table A6 - Stacking sequences for 7 through 21 ply laminates of the form ${ }_{+} N_{-}$with blend ratio $\left(n_{+} / n_{ \pm}\right)=28.6 \%$.


Table A7 - Stacking sequences for 7 through 21 ply laminates of the form ${ }_{+} N_{-}$with blend ratio $\left(n_{+} / n_{ \pm}\right)=71.4 \%$.


Table A8 - Stacking sequences for 7 through 21 ply laminates of the form ${ }_{+} N N_{\text {○ }}$ with blend ratio $\left(n_{\downarrow} / n_{ \pm}\right)=28.6 \%$.

| Ref. | Sequence | $n \quad n$ | $n_{ \pm} n_{\circ}$ |  | $\zeta$ | $\zeta_{ \pm}$ | $\zeta \bigcirc$ | $\zeta$ | $\begin{gathered} n_{ \pm} / n \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $n_{0} / n$ (\%) | $n$ •/n <br> (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \zeta_{ \pm} / \zeta \\ & (\%) \\ & \hline \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \zeta_{0} / \zeta \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \zeta_{\bullet} / \zeta \\ & (\%) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NN 345 | +9-9-+-9-- - - - $-9+-9++9$ | 201 | 140 | 6 | 8000 | 5024 | 0 | 2976 | 70.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 62.8 | 0.0 | 37.2 |
| NN 346 |  | 201 | 142 | 4 | 8000 | 5024 | 152 | 2824 | 70.0 | 10.0 | 20.0 | 62.8 | 1.9 | 35.3 |
| NN 347 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-+-\mathrm{O}---\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}++-\mathrm{O}$ | 201 | 144 | 2 | 8000 | 5024 | 2824 | 152 | 70.0 | 20.0 | 10.0 | 62.8 | 35.3 | 1.9 |
| NN 348 | $+\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-+-\mathrm{O}--\quad--\mathrm{O}--\mathrm{O}++-\mathrm{O}$ | 201 | 146 | 0 | 8000 | 5024 | 2976 | 0 | 70.0 | 30.0 | 0.0 | 62.8 | 37.2 | 0.0 |
| NN 349 | $+--0+0-$ | 201 | 14 0 | 6 | 8000 | 5648 | 0 | 2352 | 70.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 70.6 | 0.0 | 29.4 |
| NN 350 | $+\bigcirc--+-\bigcirc-O_{-}-O_{-}-O_{-+}$ | 201 | 140 | 6 | 8000 | 5648 | 0 | 2352 | 70.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 70.6 | 0.0 | 29.4 |
| NN 351 | +9--+9---9 - - $0--+-+9$ | 201 | 140 | 6 | 8000 | 5648 | 0 | 2352 | 70.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 70.6 | 0.0 | 29.4 |
| NN 352 |  | 201 | 142 | 4 | 8000 | 5648 | 8 | 2344 | 70.0 | 10.0 | 20.0 | 70.6 | 0.1 | 29.3 |
| NN 353 | $+\mathrm{O}-+-\mathrm{OO}--\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}--+-+\bigcirc$ | 201 | 142 | 4 | 8000 | 5648 | 296 | 2056 | 70.0 | 10.0 | 20.0 | 70.6 | 3.7 | 25.7 |
| NN 354 | $+\mathrm{O}--+-\mathrm{O}--\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}--+-+\mathrm{O}$ | 201 | 144 | 2 | 8000 | 5648 | 2056 | 296 | 70.0 | 20.0 | 10.0 | 70.6 | 25.7 | 3.7 |
| NN 355 | $+\mathrm{O}-\frac{1}{+} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}_{-} \mathrm{O} \quad \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\frac{-}{+}+\mathrm{O}$ | 201 | 144 | 2 | 8000 | 5648 | 2344 | 8 | 70.0 | 20.0 | 10.0 | 70.6 | 29.3 | 0.1 |
| NN 356 | $+--\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{OO}--\mathrm{O}-----\mathrm{O}+-+\mathrm{O}$ | 201 | 146 | 0 | 8000 | 5648 | 2352 | 0 | 70.0 | 30.0 | 0.0 | 70.6 | 29.4 | 0.0 |
| $N N 357$ | $+\mathrm{O}--+-\mathrm{OO}--\quad-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}--+-+\mathrm{O}$ |  | 146 |  | 8000 | 5648 | 2352 | 0 | 70.0 | 30.0 | 0.0 | 70.6 | 29.4 | 0.0 |
| NN 358 | $+\mathrm{O}_{-}+\mathrm{O}_{-}-\mathrm{O}^{\text {O }} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{O}_{-} \mathrm{O}_{--+}^{++} \mathrm{O}$ | 201 | 146 | 0 | 8000 | 5648 | 2352 | 0 | 70.0 | 30.0 | 0.0 | 70.6 | 29.4 | 0.0 |

