

Gabrielsen, M., Schuldt, M., Munro, J., Borucka, D., Cameron, J., Baugh, M., Mleczak, A., Lilla, S., Morrice, N., and Olson, M.F. (2013) Cucurbitacin covalent bonding to cysteine thiols: the filamentous-actin severing protein Cofilin1 as an exemplary target. Cell Communication and Signaling, 11 (58). ISSN 1478-811X

Copyright © 2013 The Authors.

http://eprints.gla.ac.uk/85778/

Deposited on: 13 Sep 2013

Enlighten – Research publications by members of the University of Glasgow http://eprints.gla.ac.uk

RESEARCH



Open Access

Cucurbitacin covalent bonding to cysteine thiols: the filamentous-actin severing protein Cofilin1 as an exemplary target

Mads Gabrielsen¹, Maike Schuldt¹, June Munro¹, Dagmara Borucka¹, Jenifer Cameron¹, Mark Baugh¹, Andrzej Mleczak², Sergio Lilla¹, Nicholas Morrice¹ and Michael F Olson^{1*}

Abstract

Background: Cucurbitacins are a class of triterpenoid natural compounds with potent bioactivities that led to their use as traditional remedies, and which continue to attract considerable attention as chemical biology tools and potential therapeutics. One obvious target is the actin-cytoskeleton; treatment with cucurbitacins results in cytoskeletal rearrangements that impact upon motility and cell morphology.

Findings: Cucurbitacin reacted with protein cysteine thiols as well as dithiothreitol, and we propose that the cucurbitacin mechanism of action is through broad protein thiol modifications that could result in inhibition of numerous protein targets. An example of such a target protein is Cofilin1, whose filamentous actin severing activity is inhibited by cucurbitacin conjugation.

Conclusions: The implications of these results are that cucurbitacins are unlikely to be improved for selectivity by medicinal chemistry and that their use as chemical biology probes to analyse the role of specific signalling pathways should be undertaken with caution.

Keywords: Actin, Cofilin, Cytoskeleton, Cucurbitacin

Background

The natural compound cucurbitacin triterpenes are a group of structurally related compounds that give a variety of plants and fungi their bitter taste as a defense against being eaten [1]. Historically, cucurbitacin-producing plants or extracts have been used as traditional remedies for diseases such as cancer, inflammation and infection [2]. More recently, cucurbitacins have attracted attention because of several notable properties. Their potent cytotoxicity has led to numerous investigations on their potential utility as anti-cancer therapeutics [3-5]. In addition, they have been used as chemical biology probes to explore the biological roles of signalling pathways including Jak/STAT3 [6,7], NF- κ B [8], MAPK/ERK [9-11] and PI3-kinase [10]. Cucurbitacin analogues also have marked effects on the actin cytoskeleton, which in turn affects

processes such as cell motility, tumour cell invasion and metastasis [12-15]. In fact, the ability of cucurbitacin E to inhibit filamentous actin (F-actin) depolymerisation led to the suggestion that it would be useful as a tool to study actin dynamics and actin-based processes in live cells [16].

With so many apparent biological activities, an important issue is how cucurbitacin compounds interact with and consequently inhibit their protein targets. This question is particularly important if a decision were made to use medicinal chemistry to optimize cucurbitacin compounds as anti-cancer therapeutics by improving their ontarget selectivity and potency while minimizing their reported toxicities [17]. The mode of cucurbitacin binding to protein targets is also an important issue if they are to be used as chemical biology probes with confidence. One attempt to address this question used *in silico* docking of cucurbitacin B and E into the hydrophobic ligand-binding pocket of B-Raf [11]. However, no direct physical measurements were made to validate this hypothetical mechanism of action.



© 2013 Gabrielsen et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

^{*} Correspondence: m.olson@beatson.gla.ac.uk

¹Beatson Institute for Cancer Research, Garscube Estate, Switchback Road Glasgow G61 1BD, UK

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

A previous attempt to characterize important cellular targets of cucurbitacin used biotinylated cucurbitacin E to purify interacting proteins from lysates of U937 human leukaemia cells and mass spectrometry (MS) for protein identification, which led to the discovery of Cofilin1 as a major interacting protein [12]. In this study, we aimed to examine how cucurbitacin analogues affect Cofilin1 activity and to identify the mechanism of action. Using Cofilin1 as an exemplar, we sought to determine if there might be specific or general modes used by cucurbitacin compounds to interact with target proteins, and by inference whether these compounds could potentially be optimized for potency and selectivity.

Results and discussion

To determine how cucurbitacins affect cell viability and the integrity of actin-based cytoskeletal structures, we tested the activities of cucurbitacin D, E and I (Figure 1A) on cell number and the fluorescence intensity of phalloidin-stained F-actin. MCF7 human breast cancer cells were treated with each cucurbitacin analogue at doses ranging from 0.3 nM

to 10 µM for 3 days, then cell number was assessed by counting 4'6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) stained nuclei by high content imaging analysis (Figure 1B). The effect of each cucurbitacin was similar, with a rank order of potency of cucurbitacin E (EC₅₀ = 4.7 nM) > cucurbitacin I $(EC_{50} = 10 \text{ nM}) > \text{cucurbitacin D}$ $(EC_{50} = 32 \text{ nM})$. Interestingly, the -2.1 slope of the cucurbitacin E curve suggested more than one target for the cytotoxic effects. The gross effects of a range of cucurbitacin doses on the fluorescence intensity of phalloidin-stained F-actin was determined by measuring relative single cell phalloidinstaining 4 hours after compound treatment (Figure 1C). As with cell number, the effect of each cucurbitacin was similar, with a rank order of potency; cucurbitacin I $(EC_{50} = 0.15 \ \mu M) > cucurbitacin D (EC_{50} = 0.35 \ \mu M) >$ cucurbitacin E (EC₅₀ = 0.56 μ M). The 0.2 slope of the cucurbitacin E curve again suggested more than one cellular target for effects on phalloidin staining of Factin structures. These data indicate that cucurbitacins are more effective at reducing cell number than for inducing increased F-actin levels, suggesting that the





cytotoxic properties of these compounds may be largely independent of their actions on cytoskeletal rearrangements. Evidence from cucurbitacin E in particular suggests that there may be multiple targets that decrease cell proliferation and influence phalloidin staining of F-actin.

We next determined how cucurbitacin treatment qualitatively affected F-actin structures. MCF7 cells were treated with compound concentrations ranging from 1 nM to 1 μ M for 4 hours, then after fixation, permeabilization and staining with DAPI and phalloidin, images acquired by confocal microscopy (Figure 2). The lowest concentration at which F-actin rearrangements were evident was 10 nM for cucurbitacin E and I, and 30 nM for cucurbitacin D. F-actin was progressively reduced at cellcell interfaces and accumulated as large masses within the cytoplasm with increasing concentrations of each cucurbitacin. Although the fluorescence intensity of phalloidin stained F-actin was least potently affected by cucurbitacin E (Figure 1C), qualitatively it appeared to have the greatest effect on inducing rearrangements (Figure 2).

The effect of cucurbitacin E on increasing cellular Factin has recently been attributed to its direct conjugation with Cys257 on polymerized actin, but not monomeric globular actin (G-actin) [16]. An additional actin regulator identified as a potential target is the F-actin severing protein Cofilin1, which was isolated as a biotin-linked cucurbitacin E interacting protein [12]. To determine how cucurbitacin E might influence Cofilin1 F-actin severing activity, we first determined a Cofilin1 concentration that robustly reduced the level of F-actin that could be pelleted from 16.8 μM total actin by high speed ultracentrifugation. Actin was first polymerized in vitro, and then G-actin (supernatant fraction S) fractionated from F-actin (pelleted fraction P) by centrifugation at 150,000 X g for 1.5 hours (Figure 3A). Increasing Cofilin1 concentrations revealed an efficient effect of shifting actin from P to S fractions at 5 µM. To test the possibility that cucurbitacin E binds covalently to Cofilin1 as it does for actin [16], a range of cucurbitacin E (CuE) concentrations at molar ratios up to 1:100 (relative to constant 5 µM Cofilin1) were incubated with purified Cofilin1 protein for 16 h and examined for their ability to induce a Cofilin1 mobility shift on 12% Bis-Tris polyacrylamide gels. Although low cucurbitacin E concentrations had no obvious effect, reduced Cofilin1 mobility was clearly evident at 1:50 and 1:100 molar ratios (Figure 3B). Covalent modification of actin was similarly achieved with a 1:100 molar ratio of actin protein to cucurbitacin E [16]. To determine whether similar effects were seen with additional cucurbitacin compounds, each of three cucurbitacin compounds was incubated at 1:100 molar ratio with Cofilin1 that had been dialysed to remove dithiothreitol (DTT). The mobility of Cofilin1 on 12% Bis-Tris polyacrylamide gels was slowed by each cucurbitacin (Figure 3C, left panel), consistent with stable modification of Cofilin1 by cucurbitacin compounds and increased mass. The inclusion of 5 mM DTT blocked the mobility shift induced by cucurbitacin compounds (Figure 3C, right panel). However, there was no effect on the Cofilin1 mobility shift if DTT was added after the incubation of cucurbitacin E with Cofilin1 (Figure 3D). These results indicate that Cofilin1 was modified by cucurbitacin compounds to produce stable modifications that could not be reversed by DTT.

The actin severing assay was used to determine whether cucurbitacin E inhibited Cofilin1 F-actin severing activity (Figure 3E). While DMSO vehicle control did not change the S/P ratio, cucurbitacin E notably increased the pelleted F-actin, consistent with a direct effect on inhibiting depolymerisation [16]. The effect of Cofilin1 was to shift actin to the monomeric S fraction, which was unaffected by DMSO vehicle control. In contrast, treatment with cucurbitacin E inhibited the actin severing activity of Cofilin1, since the P fraction was significantly (p = 0.05) increased relative to DMSO-treated Cofilin1 samples (Figure 3F).

Mass spectrometry revealed that each cucurbitacin treated sample had an increased mass (Figure 4A) corresponding to the mass determined for Cofilin1 (19,714.5 Da) plus four times the mass of each cucurbitacin compound used (cucurbitacin D hypothetical/measured masses = 21781.3/21781.5; cucurbitacin E = 21941.3/ 21940.9; cucurbitacin I = 21772.9/21772.2). Incubation with 10 mM DTT for 3 h resulted in virtually complete reaction of 100 µM cucurbitacin E with no loss of mass in the product of the two reactants (hypothetical/measured mass/charge = 709.308/709.309) (Figure 4B). To determine the exact binding sites of cucurbitacin E, the treated Cofilin1 was digested with trypsin, and the fragment sizes determined by MS. Fragmentation by MS-MS of the peptide containing Cys39 revealed that this was a site of cucurbitacin E conjugation (Figure 4C). Fragments corresponding to cucurbitacin E binding to peptides containing cysteines 80 and 139 were also clearly identified, with weaker evidence for binding of cucurbitacin E to cysteine 147 (data not shown).

As there was no loss of mass when cucurbitacin compounds bound to Cofilin1 protein (Figure 4A) or DTT reacted with cucurbitacin E (Figure 4B), it is likely that the covalent binding is in the form of a thioether bond, formed by the reactive α , β keto group on the cucurbitacin undergoing a Michaels addition to Cofilin1 cysteine thiols (Figure 5A).

It is worth noting that the cucurbitacin-conjugated Cofilin1 became extremely hydrophobic with a marked propensity for non-specific binding to dialysis membranes and columns, which resulted in complete loss of detectable cucurbitacin-conjugated Cofilin1 in some procedures.



Only by treating proteins directly in solution or following extraction from polyacrylamide gels could any material be obtained for analysis. Although Cofilin1 was identified as a biotinylated-cucurbitacin E interacting protein in cell lysates by mass spectrometry [12], no mass shift was detected after incubation of purified Cofilin1 or Gelsolin with cucurbitacin I [18], likely due to the loss of cucurbitacin-conjugated protein because of their



hydrophobicity. This property has also likely hampered efforts to identify additional cucurbitacin binding proteins.

To determine whether Cofilin1 inhibition would be sufficient to induce the effects on F-actin structures observed following cucurbitacin treatment (Figure 2), we used siRNA to knockdown Cofilin1. Western blotting showed effective suppression of Cofilin1 protein in MCF7 cells transfected with Cofilin1 siRNA but not non-targeting





control (NTC) siRNA (Figure 6A). Staining transfected cells revealed that Cofilin1 knockdown was not sufficient to reproduce the effects on F-actin structures induced by cucurbitacins (Figure 6B), consistent with the conclusion that there are multiple proteins targets for these compounds.

The sub-cellular localization of Cofilin1 was examined in MCF7 cells treated with DMSO vehicle or cucurbitacin E at 3 nM, 30 nM or 300 nM for 4 h. Fixed cells were stained for F-actin with phalloidin or Cofilin1 using the antibody validated in Figure 6. Although F-actin was found in large perinuclear masses at 30 nM and 300 nM cucurbitacin E, Cofilin1 distribution did not vary greatly from its mixed cytoplasmic/nuclear distribution (Figure 7).

Our results clearly show that cucurbitacin compounds bind covalently to the cysteines present in Cofilin1, and

that this binding inhibits the ability of Cofilin1 to sever Factin. By modelling the addition of cucurbitacin E to Cys139 on our recently solved crystal structure of human Cofilin1 (depicted with space filling; PDB ID 4BEX [19]) that was modelled with associated actin (ribbon) based on the C-terminal Cofilin-like domain of mouse twinfilin (Twf-C) in complex with a single unit of actin (PDB ID 3DAW) [20], it becomes apparent that the conjugated cucurbitacin would disrupt the interface between Cofilin1 and actin (Figure 5B). A similar conjugation to Cys147 would also likely lead to a clash with F-actin that would inhibit actin-severing. The increased hydrophobicity of the surface of cucurbitacin-modified Cofilin1 would also likely interfere with binding to F-actin. Although Cys39 and Cys80 are positioned away from the Cofilin1actin interface, their relatively buried positions could result

Gabrielsen et al. Cell Communication and Signaling 2013, **11**:58 http://www.biosignaling.com/content/11/1/58



actin, resulting in reduced actin-severing activity.

in disruption of protein folding if conjugated to the bulky cucurbitacin molecules, similar to the cucurbitacininduced structural rearrangements observed for human serum albumin [21], which could also inhibit F-actin severing activity.

In addition to cucurbitacin E binding to thiols on Factin [16], Cofilin1 and DTT, cucurbitacin B was reported to form adducts with thiol-containing N-acetylcysteine (NAC) and glutathione, but not thiol-free vitamin C or ascorbic acid [22]. Although NAC blocked the cytotoxicity of cucurbitacin B, vitamin C and ascorbic acid did not [22]. These results suggest that rather than inhibiting the effect of cucurbitacin B through anti-oxidant properties, which NAC, vitamin C and ascorbic acid all share, the inhibitory effect of NAC is more likely to be a consequence of its direct conjugation with cucurbitacin B. In fact, the



ability of the reactive oxygen scavenging NAC to reverse the effects of cucurbitacin has widely been interpreted as evidence that generation of reactive oxygen species is part of its mechanism of action [23]. Our alternative interpretation is that the neutralization of the biological effects of cucurbitacin compounds is via direct conjugation with NAC in tissue culture medium or in cells, meaning that all experiments in which NAC and cucurbitacins have been combined should be interpreted with caution.

Conclusions

Although cucurbitacin compounds have been proposed to be potential anti-cancer drugs and are used to inhibit specific signal transduction pathways, the results of this study and others [16,23] support the conclusion that cucurbitacins non-specifically bind protein targets by forming thioether bonds via a Michaels-type addition. This would allow cucurbitacins to be conjugated with a broad array of potential protein targets, many of which would be inhibited or disrupted as a consequence. As a result, their value as chemical biology probes is limited and must be confirmed by independent means. For example, although cucurbitacin I was reported to be a selective inhibitor of Jak/STAT3 signalling [6] and has been used to test the involvement of this pathway in various processes, the ability of cucurbitacin I to activate Rac1 was not replicated by Jak2 or Stat3 knockdown by siRNA [24]. The findings in this study also indicate that the binding mode of cucurbitacin compounds to protein targets means that optimization for selectivity would be unlikely to work, which would make it very difficult to minimize toxicities or improve the therapeutic window for future clinical development. Optimistically, alternative ways that their potential therapeutic utility could be improved in the future would be through targeted delivery to tumour cells, for example through antibody-conjugation or incorporation in liposome microparticles [25].

Methods

Cell culture

MCF-7 human breast cancer cells were grown in Dulbecco's modified eagle's medium (Gibco) with 10%



MCF7 cells for 4 h, followed by fixation and staining for F-actin structures and Cofilin1 subcellular localization. Despite evident effects on F-actin and cell morphology at 30 nM and 300 nM cucurbitacin E, Cofilin1 distribution was not observably changed.

fetal bovine serum (PAA) at 37° C and 5% CO₂. Transfections with non-targeting control siRNA D001810-01-05 and human Cofilin1 siRNA J012707-05 (Abgene) were performed as described in [26].

Cytotoxicity and actin-phalloidin fluorescence assays

MCF-7 cells were trypsinized and to each well of Greiner black-walled, clear bottomed 96-well plates, 100 μ l of cell suspension (2,000 cells for cytotoxicity, 5,000 cells for phalloidin staining) was added. Plates were left to stand in the hood for 10 minutes to aid uniform cell attachment

prior to placing in CO_2 incubator. After 24 hours attachment, 100 µl of media containing DMSO vehicle or cucurbitacin compounds was added to the wells. For cytotoxicity, cells were cultured for a further 3 days and then after fixation with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 minutes at room temperature and DAPI staining of cell nuclei, cell number was assessed using an Operetta high content imaging system (Perkin Elmer). For actinphalloidin fluorescence measurements, cells were cultured for 4 hours after treatment. After fixation with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 minutes at room temperature, permeabilization with 0.25% (v/v) Triton X-100 and staining of actin structures with Texas Red-conjugated Phalloidin (2 μ g/mL), fluorescence intensity was measured using an Operetta high content imaging system. Imaging of actin structures was done using a Zeiss 710 confocal microscope.

Binding of cucurbitacin to Cofilin1

Cofilin1 was expressed and purified as described previously [27]. Binding of cucurbitacin to Cofilin1 was achieved by incubating 5 μ M Cofilin1 with a dose range from 5 μ M to 500 μ M cucurbitacin (solubilised in 100% DMSO) or an equivalent volume of DMSO at 4°C overnight. Cucurbitacin binding to Cofilin1 was analysed on 12% Bis-Tris SDS-PAGE gels and SimplyBlue (Invitrogen) stained gels scanned on a LiCor Odyssey.

Mass-spectroscopy analysis

5 µmol Cofilin1 was incubated with 0.5 mM Cucurbitacin E, separated by SDS-PAGE, stained with colloidal Coomassie Blue and digested with trypsin. The digests were analysed by LC-MS using an AB-Sciex 5600 TripleTOF mass spectrometer coupled to an Eksigent 2D Ultra HPLC system fitted with a 150×0.075 mm C₁₈ packed emitter (New Objective). Digests were loaded in 2% acetonitrile/0.1% formic acid (Buffer A) and then eluted with a linear gradient of buffer B (100% acetonitrile/0.1% formic acid) at 300 nL/min. LC-MS data was searched using Mascot 2.4 run on a local server against SwissProt allowing for trypsin cleavages and cysteine modifications of oxidation and cucurbitacin isoforms. To determine the intact mass of cucurbitacin modified Cofilin1, complexes were diluted into 25% acetonitrile/0.5% formic acid and separated on an Eskigent 150×0.3 mm ChromXP C18CL column. The mass spectra were acquired on the 5600 TripleTOF with the intact protein script activated (AB-Sciex) from m/z 600-2000 and spectra were deconvoluted using BioAnalyst 1.5 to calculate the exact mass of the protein complex.

100 μ M Cucurbitacin E was incubated plus or minus 10 mM DTT in 50 mM TEAB pH 8 for 3 h at room temperature and diluted 1:10 with 50% acetonitrile/0.1% formic acid. Samples were analysed by MS on 5600 TripleTOF by infusing at 1 μ l/min and data was acquired in negative ion mode (TOF-MS and MS-MS).

F-actin depolymerization assay

The Actin Binding Protein Biochem Kit with Non-Muscle Actin (Cytoskeleton) was used according to manufacturer's instructions. Aliquots of actin polymerization buffer and ATP were rapidly defrosted in a room temperature water bath and kept on ice. Cofilin1 samples were centrifuged for 1 h at 100,000 X g at 4°C, supernatants taken and kept on ice as test protein stocks. General actin

buffer was supplemented with 0.2 mM final ATP. One 250 µg aliquot of actin was diluted to 1 mg/ml with 225 µl of ATP-supplemented general actin buffer, mixed to ensure complete re-suspension and left on ice for 30 min. 25 µl of actin polymerization buffer was pipetted into the actin protein and mixed well. The actin was incubated at room temperature for 1 h and kept as F-actin stock at 21 µM actin. All tubes were incubated at room temperature for 30 min. Afterwards the tubes were centrifuged at 150,000 X g for 1.5 h at 24°C. Supernatants were removed and kept on ice. To each tube 10 μ l of 5× Laemmli reducing sample buffer was added. The pellets were re-suspended in 30 µl water by mixing for 2 min, leaving on ice for 10 min and repeated mixing. The samples were transferred into tubes and supplemented with 30 µl 2× Laemmli reducing-sample buffer. The samples were frozen at -20°C until further analysis by SDS-PAGE. Quantification was performed with a Licor Odyssey by scanning the fluorescence intensity of SimplyBlue (Invitrogen) stained bands at 700 nm.

Abbreviations

DAPI: 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole; DTT: Dithiothreitol; EC₅₀: Half maximal effective concentration; F-actin: Filamentous actin; G-actin: Monomeric globular actinMS mass spectrometry; SDS-PAGE: Sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis; TOF: Time of flight; Twf-C: C-terminal Cofilin-like domain of mouse twinfilin.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

MG, MS, JM, DB, JC, MB, AM, SL and NM performed experiments, MG, MB, SL, NM and MFO analysed and interpreted the data, MG, MS, JM, SL and MFL prepared the figures. MFO designed the research, MG and MFO wrote the manuscript. All authors had a final approval of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

Funded by Breast Cancer Campaign (2012MaySP008) and CRUK.

Author details

¹Beatson Institute for Cancer Research, Garscube Estate, Switchback Road Glasgow G61 1BD, UK. ²Max Planck Institute of Molecular Cell Biology and Genetics, Pfotenhauerstrasse 108, 01307 Dresden, Germany.

Received: 29 May 2013 Accepted: 6 August 2013 Published: 14 August 2013

References

- Chen JC, Chiu MH, Nie RL, Cordell GA, Qiu SX: Cucurbitacins and cucurbitane glycosides: structures and biological activities. *Nat Prod Rep* 2005, 22:386–399.
- Lee DH, Iwanski GB, Thoennissen NH: Cucurbitacin: ancient compound shedding new light on cancer treatment. Sci World J 2010, 10:413–418.
- Chen X, Bao J, Guo J, Ding Q, Lu J, Huang M, Wang Y: Biological activities and potential molecular targets of cucurbitacins: a focus on cancer. *Anticancer Drugs* 2012, 23:777–787.
- PatIolla JM, Rao CV: Triterpenoids for cancer prevention and treatment: current status and future prospects. Curr Pharm Biotechnol 2012, 13:147–155.
- 5. Alghasham AA: Cucurbitacins a promising target for cancer therapy. Int J Health Sci 2013, **7**:77–89.
- Blaskovich MA, Sun J, Cantor A, Turkson J, Jove R, Sebti SM: Discovery of JSI-124 (cucurbitacin I), a selective Janus kinase/signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 signaling pathway inhibitor with potent

antitumor activity against human and murine cancer cells in mice. *Cancer Res* 2003, **63**:1270–1279.

- Sun J, Blaskovich MA, Jove R, Livingston SK, Coppola D, Sebti SM: Cucurbitacin Q: a selective STAT3 activation inhibitor with potent antitumor activity. Oncogene 2005, 24:3236–3245.
- Jin HR, Jin X, Dat NT, Lee JJ: Cucurbitacin B suppresses the transactivation activity of RelA/p65. J Cell Biochem 2011, 112:1643–1650.
- Chan KT, Li K, Liu SL, Chu KH, Toh M, Xie WD: Cucurbitacin B inhibits STAT3 and the Raf/MEK/ERK pathway in leukemia cell line K562. Cancer Lett 2010, 289:46–52.
- Zhou X, Yang J, Wang Y, Li W, Li-Ling J, Deng Y, Zhang M: Cucurbitacin B inhibits 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol 13-acetate-induced invasion and migration of human hepatoma cells through inactivating mitogen-activated protein kinase and PI3K/Akt signal transduction pathways. *Hepatol Res* 2012, 42:401–411.
- 11. Ahmed MS, Halaweish FT: Cucurbitacins: potential candidates targeting mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway for treatment of melanoma. *J Enz Inhib Med Chem* 2013. doi:10.3109/14756366.2012.762646.
- Nakashima S, Matsuda H, Kurume A, Oda Y, Nakamura S, Yamashita M, Yoshikawa M: Cucurbitacin E as a new inhibitor of cofilin phosphorylation in human leukemia U937 cells. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* 2010, 20:2994–2997.
- Zhang T, Li J, Dong Y, Zhai D, Lai L, Dai F, Deng H, Chen Y, Liu M, Yi Z: Cucurbitacin E inhibits breast tumor metastasis by suppressing cell migration and invasion. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2012, 135:445–458.
- Khabbazi S, Jacques RO, Moyano Cardaba C, Mueller A: Janus kinase 2 and signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 activation is not essential for CCL3-, CCL5- or CCL8-induced chemotaxis. *Cell Biochem Func* 2012. doi:10.1002/cbf.2901.
- Ren S, Ouyang DY, Saltis M, Xu LH, Zha QB, Cai JY, He XH: Anti-proliferative effect of 23,24-dihydrocucurbitacin F on human prostate cancer cells through induction of actin aggregation and cofilin-actin rod formation. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 2012, 70:415–424.
- Sorensen PM, Iacob RE, Fritzsche M, Engen JR, Brieher WM, Charras G, Eggert US: The natural product cucurbitacin E inhibits depolymerization of actin filaments. ACS Chemical Biol 2012, 7:1502–1508.
- 17. Raikhlin-Eisenkraft B, Bentur Y: Ecbalium elaterium (squirting cucumber)–remedy or poison? *Clin Toxicol* 2000, 38:305–308.
- Knecht DA, LaFleur RA, Kahsai AW, Argueta CE, Beshir AB, Fenteany G: Cucurbitacin I inhibits cell motility by indirectly interfering with actin dynamics. *PLoS One* 2010, 5:e14039.
- Klejnot M, Gabrielsen M, Wood J, Mleczak A, Talapatra S, Kozielski F, Pannifer A, Olson MF: Analysis of the human Cofilin1 structure reveals conformational changes required for actin-binding. *Acta Crystallogr D: Biol Crystallogr* 2013, 69:1780-1788.
- Paavilainen VO, Oksanen E, Goldman A, Lappalainen P: Structure of the actin-depolymerizing factor homology domain in complex with actin. *J Cell Biol* 2008, 182:51–59.
- Greige-Gerges H, Abou Khalil R, Chahine R, Haddad C, Harb W, Ouaini N: Effect of cucurbitacins on bilirubin-albumin binding in human plasma. *Life Sci* 2007, 80:579–585.
- Kausar H, Munagala R, Bansal SS, Aqil F, Vadhanam MV, Gupta RC: Cucurbitacin B potently suppresses non-small-cell lung cancer growth: identification of intracellular thiols as critical targets. *Cancer Lett* 2013, 332:35–45.
- Yasuda S, Yogosawa S, Izutani Y, Nakamura Y, Watanabe H, Sakai T: Cucurbitacin B induces G2 arrest and apoptosis via a reactive oxygen species-dependent mechanism in human colon adenocarcinoma SW480 cells. *Mol Nutr Food Res* 2010, 54:559–565.
- 24. Lopez-Haber C, Kazanietz MG: Cucurbitacin I inhibits rac1 activation in breast cancer cells by a reactive oxygen species-mediated mechanism and independently of janus tyrosine kinase 2 and p-rex1. *Mol Pharmacol* 2013, **83**:1141–1154.
- Habib L, Khreich N, Jraij A, Abbas S, Magdalou J, Charcosset C, Greige-Gerges H: Preparation and characterization of liposomes incorporating cucurbitacin E, a natural cytotoxic triterpene. Int J Pharm 2013, 448:313–319.
- Croft DR, Crighton D, Samuel MS, Lourenco FC, Munro J, Wood J, Bensaad K, Vousden KH, Sansom OJ, Ryan KM, Olson MF: p53-mediated transcriptional regulation and activation of the actin cytoskeleton

 Scott RW, Hooper S, Crighton D, Li A, Konig I, Munro J, Trivier E, Wickman G, Morin P, Croft DR, et al: LIM kinases are required for invasive path generation by tumor and tumor-associated stromal cells. J Cell Biol 2010, 191:169–185.

doi:10.1186/1478-811X-11-58

Cite this article as: Gabrielsen *et al.*: **Cucurbitacin covalent bonding to cysteine thiols: the filamentous-actin severing protein Cofilin1 as an exemplary target.** *Cell Communication and Signaling* 2013 11:58.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

) BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit