ORIGINAL PAPER

Willingness to Participate in Future HIV Prevention Studies Among Gay and Bisexual Men in Scotland, UK: A Challenge for Intervention Trials

Lisa M. McDaid · Graham J. Hart

Published online: 19 November 2011 © The Author(s) 2011. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract This article examines willingness to participate in future HIV prevention research among gay and bisexual men in Scotland, UK. Anonymous, self-complete questionnaires and Orasure^{1M} oral fluid samples were collected in commercial gay venues. 1,320 men were eligible for inclusion. 78.2% reported willingness to participate in future HIV prevention research; 64.6% for an HIV vaccine, 57.4% for a behaviour change study, and 53.0% for a rectal microbicide. In multivariate analysis, for HIV vaccine research, greater age, minority ethnicity, and not providing an oral fluid sample were associated with lower willingness; heterosexual orientation and not providing an oral fluid sample were for microbicides; higher education and greater HIV treatment optimism were for behaviour change. STI testing remained associated with being more willing to participate in microbicide research and frequent gay scene use remained associated with being more willing to participate in behaviour change research. Having an STI in the past 12 months remained significantly associated with being willing to participate in all three study types. There were no associations between sexual risk behaviour and willingness. Although most men expressed willingness to participate in future research, recruitment of high-risk men, who have the potential to benefit most, is likely to be more challenging.

L. M. McDaid (🖂)

MRC/CSO Social and Public Health Sciences Unit, 4 Lilybank Gardens, Glasgow G12 8RZ, UK e-mail: l.mcdaid@sphsu.mrc.ac.uk

G. J. Hart Faculty of Population Health Sciences, University College London, London, UK **Keywords** Men who have sex with men · HIV prevention · Vaccines · Microbicides · Behaviour change · Trial participation

Introduction

A resurgence in diagnosed HIV has been noted among men who have sex with men (MSM) in North America, Western Europe and Australia [1], and MSM remain the group most at risk of acquiring HIV in the UK, with estimated prevalence of 5% [2]. New HIV prevention strategies are needed and current policy initiatives set prevention as key to efforts to combat the epidemic among MSM in the UK [2, 3].

A recent review of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of interventions to reduce the sexual transmission of HIV infection found only five of 37 had positive effects (all biomedical-three male circumcision, one STI treatment and care and one vaccine trial), and only one, non-biomedical intervention, targeted MSM [4]. Evidence of the potential effectiveness of behavioural HIV prevention interventions for MSM is growing, but few have included biological endpoints to measure HIV or sexually transmitted infection (STI) acquisition [5]. Although male circumcision has been shown to reduce the risk of HIV acquisition among heterosexual men [6], there is not the same evidence for MSM [7]; a finding recently supported by our own data [8]. In addition, it appeared unlikely that a RCT of male circumcision would be viable in this population, with only 14% reporting that they would be willing to participate in a research study on circumcision and HIV prevention [8]. So far, the Preexposure Prophylaxis Initiative (iPrEx) Study is the only biomedical intervention with biological endpoints to have demonstrated efficacy in

MSM [9]. The lack of effect in most RCTs is argued to be partly the result of design and implementation problems; an issue requiring consideration in future studies [4]. Indeed, assessing the acceptability and feasibility of future prevention efforts prior to initiating interventions is an important part of their development [10-13].

Since 1996, we have surveyed the HIV-related sexual behaviour of MSM in Scotland [14–22]. In 2008, we examined men's current and potential future contact with HIV prevention efforts and this article describes the extent to which MSM were willing to participate in future HIV prevention research, the factors associated with this, and the implications for future interventions with this population.

Methods

The 2008 Medical Research Council (MRC) Gay Men's Survey collected anonymous, self-complete questionnaires and (OrasureTM) oral fluid specimens. Time and location sampling was used to recruit a representative sample of men from commercial gay venues (12 bars and 2 saunas) in Glasgow and Edinburgh, Scotland's two largest cities. Bars were surveyed over a 2-week period in the early (7:00–9:00 p.m.) and late (9:00-11:00 p.m.) evening, and no bar was visited twice in the same evening. At the end of the 2-week period, each bar had been visited at both time points on each day of the week. Saunas were surveyed over two early evening periods (5:00-7:00 p.m.) and two weekend late afternoon periods (4:00-6:00 p.m.). Temporary fieldworkers were employed to distribute questionnaires and all men present or entering the venues were invited to participate. Of 2,138 men approached, 1,514 men participated in the survey (70.8% response rate [RR]); 1,508 completed questionnaires (70.5% RR) and 1,277 provided oral fluid samples (59.7% RR). 54 (3.6%) heterosexual men who reported no sexual contact with men in the previous 12 months were excluded from the sample.

The questionnaires included tried and tested measures of demographics, HIV testing history and sexual risk behaviour in the past 12 months used in our surveys since 1996 [14–22]. New questions were pilot tested with the target population, and amended as necessary, prior to initiating the survey. The question on willingness to take part in future research was "We are looking for new ways to prevent HIV. Should the following research studies take place, which would you be willing to take part in?", with participants asked to select 'yes', 'no' or 'don't know' for behaviour change programme (e.g. support using condoms); using rectal microbicides (e.g. special lube to prevent HIV); HIV vaccine; and circumcision (surgical removal of foreskin). The four categories were chosen to reflect prevention methods either available (behaviour

change and circumcision) or under continuing development (vaccines and microbicides) [4]. Willingness to participate in circumcision research was particularly low (13.9%), as has been reported elsewhere [8], and is excluded from the analyses in this article.

Oral fluid specimens were analysed at the West of Scotland Specialist Virology Centre (screened for anti-HIV using an enzyme immunoassay; positives re-screened, and repeat reactives confirmed using Western Blot). Data were analysed with SPSS 15.0. Logistic regression was used to estimate odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals (CI). Ethical approval was granted by University of Glasgow, Faculty of Medicine Ethics Committee.

Men with missing data on any of the willingness to participate variables are excluded from these analyses (N = 134). In multivariate analysis, when compared to the 1,320 men included in the analyses, men who did not answer the willingness questions were significantly more likely to have been surveyed in saunas (12.7% of men who did not answer the questions vs. 5.5% of men who did, adjusted odds ratio [AOR] = 2.33, 95% CI 1.24-4.39, P = 0.009), more likely to be aged 26 years or over (81.4% vs. 70.5%, AOR = 1.79, 95% CI 1.09-2.95, P = 0.023), less likely to have further (29.0% vs. 38.6%), AOR = 0.43, 95% CI 0.24–0.76, P = 0.004) or higher (40.9% vs. 46.0% AOR = 0.46, 95% CI 0.26-0.78,P = 0.005) education, more likely to believe that 'new drug therapies make people with HIV less infectious' (33.3% vs. 16.0%, AOR = 1.76, 95% CI 1.02-3.05, P = 0.042), and were more likely to have not provided an oral fluid sample (29.1% vs. 14.4%, AOR = 2.13, 95% CI 1.36–3.34, P = 0.001). There were no other significant differences in sexual health service use, perceived HIV status, or sexual risk behaviour.

Results

Sample Characteristics

Sample characteristics are shown in Table 1. The majority of the sample were surveyed in bars and identified as gay. The median age of participants was 33 years (range 16–73 years); 76.6% lived in the Glasgow or Edinburgh areas. Only 3.1% reported being from a minority ethnic group. Approximately half reported degree or post-graduate education; 47.9% visited the gay scene at least once a week. Just under half had been tested for HIV or other STIs in the past 12 months; 3.6% had an HIV-positive oral fluid sample (4.2% of those who provided samples). Most men (96.2%) reported some sexual contact in the past 12 months; 26.9% reported 10 or more partners; 12.4% reported UAI with 2 or more partners; 25.2% reported UAI

Table 1 Sample characteristics (N = 1,320)

AIDS Behav	(2012)	16:1420-1429
------------	--------	--------------

	n	%
Demographics		
Survey location		
Edinburgh	545	41.3
Glasgow	775	58.7
Survey venue		
Bar	1,247	94.5
Sauna	73	5.5
Sexual orientation		
Gay	1,187	90.5
Bisexual	108	8.2
Straight	16	1.2
Age		
16–25 years	385	29.5
26–35 years	381	29.2
36–45 years	379	29.0
46+ years	160	12.3
Area of residence		
Glasgow	549	42.7
Edinburgh	436	33.9
Rest of Scotland	208	16.2
Rest of UK	66	5.1
Overseas	28	2.2
Ethnicity	20	
White (UK, Irish or other)	1,276	96.9
Minority ethnic group ^a	41	3.1
Qualifications	11	5.1
Secondary (statutory school level)	190	15.4
Further/vocational	475	38.6
Degree/post-graduate	567	46.0
Frequency of gay scene use	507	40.0
Once month or less	323	24.9
2/3 times a month	354	27.3
1/2 times a week	444	34.2
4/5 times a week	178	13.7
HIV treatment optimism 1	178	13.7
Disagree	944	74.6
Agree		25.4
e	321	23.4
HIV treatment optimism 2	1.062	94.0
Disagree	1,063	84.0
Agree	202	16.0
Sexual health		
HIV test in the past 12 months		
No	675	52.4
Yes	614	47.6
Other STI test in the past 12 months		-
No	713	54.5
Yes	595	45.5

	п	%
HIV status (oral fluid specimen result)		
HIV-negative	1,080	82.1
HIV-positive	47	3.6
Did not provide oral fluid specimen ^b	189	14.4
Sexual risk behaviour in the past 12 month	S	
Number of sexual partners		
Less than 10	932	73.1
10 or more	343	26.9
Number of anal sex partners		
Less than 10	1,126	89.2
10 or more	136	10.8
Number of unprotected anal intercourse (U	AI) partners	
0/1 partner	1,126	87.6
2 or more partners	160	12.4
UAI with casual partners		
No	1,013	78.8
Yes	273	21.2
UAI with partners of unknown/discordant l	HIV status	
No	962	74.8
Yes	324	25.2
STI		
No	1,198	91.4
Yes	113	8.6

Table 1 continued

HIV treatment optimism 1 'I am less worried about HIV infection now that treatments have improved', *HIV treatment optimism 2* 'I believe that new drug therapies make people with HIV less infectious'

^a Black African, Black Caribbean, Indian, Pakistani, Chinese, Arab, Latin American & Other/Mixed

^b An additional 4 samples were not returned from the laboratory

with partners of unknown/discordant HIV status; and 8.6% had had an STI in the past 12 months.

Willingness to Participate in Future HIV Prevention Research Studies

A majority (1,032; 78.2%) of men reported willingness to participate in future HIV prevention research studies, with most being willing to participate in research for an HIV vaccine (64.6%); 20.4% were not willing and 15.0% did not know (Table 2). Again, most men (758; 57.4%) reported being willing to participate in a behaviour change study; 26.6% were not and 16.0% did not know. Rectal microbicide studies were less popular, with 53.0% reporting willing and 18.2% did not know. Overall, 935 men (70.8%) reported that they were willing to take part in biomedical (either vaccine or microbicide) research studies.

Table 2 Willingness to participate in future HIV prevention research studies (N = 1,320)

	Willin	ngness to	partici	pate		
	Yes		No		Don't	know
	n	%	n	%	n	%
HIV vaccine	853	64.6	269	20.4	198	15.0
Behaviour change programme	758	57.4	351	26.6	211	16.0
Using rectal microbicides	699	53.0	381	28.9	240	18.2

Factors Associated with Willingness to Participate in Future HIV Prevention Research Studies

Table 3 shows the factors associated with willingness to participate in future HIV prevention studies ('no' and 'don't know' responses were combined in these analyses as the comparison group). The odds of willingness to participate in each of the future HIV prevention research studies were higher among men who had had an HIV or other STI test, and men who reported having had an STI, in the past 12 months. Willingness to take part in HIV vaccine or microbicide research was lower among older men and men who did not provide oral fluid samples. Willingness was lower for men who resided out with the UK and in men from minority ethnic groups for vaccine research, and among straight men for microbicide research. The likelihood of being willing to take part in a behavioural research study was lower among men with degree or post-graduate education and among men who agreed with the HIV treatment optimism statement 'I am less worried about HIV infection now that treatments have improved'. It was higher among men who visited the gay scene once or twice a week and men reporting 10 or more anal sex partners in the past 12 months. Among HIV-positive men, 72.3% reported being willing to take part in a behavioural research study, compared with 57.9% of HIV-negative men. There were no differences in the proportions of HIV-positive and HIV-negative men reporting willingness to participate in biomedical research, nor between diagnosed and undiagnosed HIV-positive men in willingness to participate in any of the research studies.

Factors significant at the bivariate level were entered into a multivariate model for each of the HIV prevention research studies (Table 4). Having an STI in the past 12 months remained significantly associated with being willing to participate in all three study types. For HIV vaccine research, greater age, minority ethnicity, and not providing an oral fluid sample were associated with lower willingness, while heterosexual orientation and not providing an oral fluid sample were for microbicide research. Higher education level and greater HIV treatment optimism were associated with not being willing to take part in behaviour change research. STI testing remained associated with being more willing to participate in microbicide research and frequency of gay scene use remained associated with being more willing to participate in behaviour change research.

Discussion

This is the first study to assess future willingness to participate in prevention research studies among communitybased surveys of gay and bisexual men in Scotland. First, there are some limitations to note when considering these results. This was a bar and sauna sample so only men who visit the venues surveyed have the opportunity to participate, and our findings should be interpreted within this context. Willingness to participate in prevention research could be different among men who did not answer these questions and in the wider population of gay men who do not frequent the commercial gay scene. Participants were only asked if they would be willing to participate in future research studies, not why this may or may not be the case. As the question was hypothetical, we cannot assume that willingness would equal actual participation. No description of what could be involved in taking part in such research was provided. However, the results provide interesting insight into men's willingness to participate in such studies and this is important for planning future interventions and HIV prevention efforts.

Just over three quarters of the men surveyed reported that they were willing to participate in future HIV prevention research studies, with greater willingness to participate in biomedical than behavioural studies. It is particularly interesting to note that willingness to participate in these studies was considerably higher than the level of willingness to participate in male circumcision research in this population [8]. The former have to date, for the most part, not shown a positive effect on reducing HIV transmission [4], while the latter is the biomedical intervention with, arguably, the greatest effect on (albeit hetero) sexual transmission [4]. This raises the query of whether men are aware of male circumcision as HIV prevention, and its limited potential as an intervention for MSM [7], or whether there is some other reason that such an intervention is unattractive to them. It is also interesting to note that willingness to participate in biomedical research was lower among men who did not provide oral fluid samples to be tested for HIV. When men who did and did not provide oral specimens were compared only age was significantly different, with men aged 26 + years less likely to provide samples [8]. It is possible that men who did not provide

		10 3 3	WILLING TO LAKE PAIT III FILV VACC	TI V VACCIIIE SIUUY	w ullur study	ng to tak	Willing to take part in rectal microbicide study	obicide	Willing study	g to take pai	Willing to take part in HIV prevention behaviour change study	ehaviour chai
	N	%	OR (95% CI)	P value	и	$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\prime\prime}$	OR (95% CI)	P value	и	%	OR (95% CI)	P value
Sample characteristics												
Survey location												
Edinburgh	343	62.9	1		285	52.3	1		305	56.0	1	
Glasgow	510	65.8	1.13 (0.90–1.42)	0.283	414	53.4	1.05 (0.84–1.30)	0.687	453	58.5	1.11 (0.89–1.38)	0.368
Survey venue												
Bar	812	65.1	1		660	52.9	1		718	57.6	1	
Sauna	41	56.2	0.69 (0.43–1.11)	0.122	39	53.4	1.02 (0.64–1.64)	0.934	40	54.8	0.89 (0.56–1.44)	0.640
Sexual orientation												
Gay	781	65.8	1		640	53.9	1		689	58.0	1	
Bisexual	61	56.5	0.68 (0.45–1.01)	0.053	52	48.1	0.79 (0.54–1.18)	0.251	54	50.0	0.72 (0.49–1.07)	0.107
Straight	L	43.8	0.40 (0.15–1.09)	0.074	З	18.8	0.20 (0.06-0.70)	0.012	8	50.0	0.72 (0.27–1.94)	0.519
Age												
16–25 years	276	71.7	1		226	58.7	1		230	59.7	1	
26+ years	570	62.0	$0.64 \ (0.50 - 0.83)$	0.001	469	51.0	0.73 (0.58-0.93)	0.011	520	56.5	0.88 (0.69–1.12)	0.284
Area of residence												
Glasgow	361	65.8	1		301	54.8	1		330	60.1	1	
Edinburgh	280	64.2	0.94 (0.72–1.22)	0.616	231	53.0	0.93 (0.72–1.20)	0.564	251	57.6	0.90 (0.70–1.16)	0.421
Rest of Scotland	136	65.4	0.98 (0.70–1.38)	0.923	103	49.5	0.81 (0.59–1.11)	0.192	118	56.7	0.87 (0.63–1.20)	0.399
Rest of UK	42	63.6	0.91 (0.54–1.55)	0.732	36	54.5	0.99 (0.59–1.65)	0.965	32	48.5	0.63 (0.37–1.04)	0.072
Overseas	13	46.4	0.45 (0.21–0.97)	0.041	12	42.9	0.62 (0.29–1.33)	0.219	14	50.0	0.66 (0.31–1.42)	0.290
Ethnicity												
White (UK, Irish or other)	834	65.4	1		679	53.2	1		735	57.6	1	
Minority ethnic group ^a	18	43.9	0.42 (0.22–0.78)	0.006	19	46.3	0.76 (0.41–1.42)	0.387	21	51.2	0.77 (0.42–1.44)	0.417
Qualifications												
Secondary (statutory school level)	133	70.0	1		105	55.3	1		122	64.2	1	
Further/vocational	313	65.9	0.83 (0.58–1.19)	0.309	264	55.6	1.01 (0.72–1.42)	0.941	271	57.1	0.74 (0.52–1.05)	060.0
Degree/post-graduate	355	62.6	0.72 (0.50–1.02)	0.066	290	51.1	0.85 (0.61–1.18)	0.326	310	54.7	0.67 (0.48–0.94)	0.022
Frequency of gay scene use												
Once month or less	199	61.6	1		161	49.8	1		175	54.2	1	
2/3 times a month	225	63.6	1.09 (0.80-1.48)	0.601	183	51.7	1.08 (0.80–1.46)	0.631	185	52.3	0.93 (0.68–1.25)	0.617
1/2 times a week	295	66.4	1.23 (0.92–1.66)	0.168	246	55.4	1.25 (0.94–1.67)	0.128	282	63.5	1.47 (1.10–1.97)	0.009

 $\underline{\textcircled{O}}$ Springer

	Willing	g to tal	Willing to take part in HIV vaccine study	tine study	Willin study	ig to tak	Willing to take part in rectal microbicide study	obicide	Willing study	to take par	Willing to take part in HIV prevention behaviour change study	haviour change
	N	%	OR (95% CI)	P value	и	%	OR (95% CI)	P value	u	%	OR (95% CI)	P value
HIV treatment optimism 1												
Disagree	616	65.3	1		515	54.6	1		561	59.4	1	
Agree	203	63.2	0.92 (0.70–1.19)	0.514	163	50.8	0.86 (0.67–1.11)	0.241	168	52.3	0.75 (0.58–0.97)	0.027
HIV treatment optimism 2												
Disagree	688	64.7	1		563	53.0	1		613	57.7	1	
Agree	131 0	64.9	1.01 (0.73–1.38)	0.972	115	56.9	1.17 (0.87–1.59)	0.300	116	57.4	0.99 (0.73–1.34)	0.949
Sexual health												
HIV test in the past 12 months												
No	412	61.0	1		328	48.6	1		355	52.6	1	
Yes	417	6.7.9	1.35 (1.07–1.70)	0.010	355	57.8	1.45 (1.16–1.81)	0.001	381	62.1	1.47 (1.18–1.84)	0.001
Other STI test in the past 12 months												
No	431 (60.4	1		337	47.3	1		384	53.9	1	
Yes	416	6.69	1.52 (1.21–1.92)	< 0.001	357	60.0	1.67 (1.34–2.09)	<0.001	367	61.7	1.38 (1.11–1.72)	0.004
HIV status (oral fluid specimen result)	~											
HIV-negative	718	66.5	1		586	54.3	1		625	57.9	1	
HIV-positive	32	68.1	1.08 (0.58–2.01)	0.820	28	59.6	1.24 (0.69–2.25)	0.475	34	72.3	1.90 (0.99–3.65)	0.052
Did not provide oral fluid specimen 100		52.9	0.57 (0.42–0.77)	<0.001	82	43.4	0.65 (0.47–0.88)	0.006	76	51.3	0.77 (0.56–1.05)	0.094
Sexual risk behaviours in past 12 months	ths											
Number of sexual partners												
Less than 10	598 (64.2	1		483	51.8	1		529	56.8	1	
10 or more	226	65.9	1.08 (0.83–1.40)	0.568	190	55.4	1.15 (0.90–1.48)	0.258	197	57.4	1.03 (0.80-1.32)	0.829
Number of anal intercourse partners												
Less than 10	714	63.4	1		587	52.1	1		630	56.0	1	
10 or more	. 26	71.3	1.44 (0.97–2.12)	0.070	82	60.3	1.39 (0.97–2.00)	0.073	06	66.2	1.54 (1.06–2.24)	0.024
Number of unprotected anal intercourse (UAI) partners	se (UAI)	partne	SIC									
0/1 partner	717	63.7	1		585	52.0	1		646	57.4	1	
2 or more partners	113	70.6	1.37 (0.96–1.97)	0.087	96	60.0	1.39 (0.99–1.94)	0.057	89	55.6	0.93 (0.67–1.30)	0.676
UAI with casual partners												
No	649	64.1	1		523	51.6	1		584	57.7	1	
Yes	181	66.3	1.10 (0.83–1.46)	0.494	158	57.9	1.29 (0.98–1.69)	0.067	151	55.3	0.91 (0.70–1.19)	0.488
UAI with partners of unknown/discordant HIV status	dant HIV	status										
No	611 0	63.5	1		503	52.3	1		559	58.1	1	
Yes	219 (67.6	1.20 (0.92–1.57)	0.185	178	54.9	1.11 (0.86–1.43)	0.408	176	54.3	$0.86\ (0.67 - 1.11)$	0.234
												Ī

Table 3 continued

continued
e
le
ę
Ta

r.	-	

						study				study			
	Z	%	0	N % OR (95% CI)	P value	u	%	<i>P</i> value $\frac{1}{n}$ % OR (95% CI) <i>P</i> value $\frac{1}{n}$	P value	u	%	OR (95% CI)	P value
STI													
No	75:	758 63.3	.3 1			615	51.3	1		668	55.8	1	
Yes	8	9 78.	.8 2.	.15 (1.35–3.43)	0.001	79	6.69	89 78.8 2.15 (1.35-3.43) 0.001 79 69.9 2.20 (1.45-3.35) <0.001 85 75.2	<0.001	85	75.2	2.41 (1.55–3.75)	<0.001
OR odds ratio, 95% CI 95% confidence interval, HIV treatment optimism 1 'I am less worried about HIV infection now that treatments have improved', HIV treatment optimism 2 'I believe that new drug therapies make people with HIV less infectious'	nfidence inte ole with HIV	rval, <i>H</i> less in	HV tre	<i>atment optimism</i> . us [°]	/ 'I am less	s worrie	d about	HIV infection now t	hat treatmer	nts have i	nproved', l	HIV treatment optimism	2 'I believe that
^a Black African, Black Caribbean, Indian, Pakistani, Chinese, Arab, Latin American & Other/Mixed	ean, Indian,	Pakist	ani, C	hinese, Arab, Lat	in America	m & O	ther/Mix	xed					

 $\overline{\textcircled{D}}$ Springer

samples have concerns about participating in any kind of biomedical research.

A review of (mainly North American) HIV vaccine preparedness studies found willingness to participate in vaccine trials ranged from 23% to 94% among MSM [23]. Here, almost two-thirds of men expressed willingness to participate in HIV vaccine research studies. This is considerably higher than the proportion reported in the only other UK study of this topic among MSM; 23% reported likelihood of participating in an HIV vaccine trial in a London gym-based survey of MSM [24]. It is possible that men see these as having the greatest potential benefits to themselves and their community, and both personal and altruistic reasons have been identified as motives for participating in previous trials [25]. However, a US study of MSM's knowledge and acceptability of biomedical interventions found vaccines were the most commonly known intervention types [26], so it could be that men are simply more likely to have heard of these. Half of the men surveyed said that they were willing to participate in a rectal microbicide research study. This compares to a previous American study, in which around two-thirds of gay men reported they would be willing to participate in microbicide trials [27].

Although one vaccine trial has reported (limited) positive results [28], it is unlikely that a vaccine will become available in the immediate future, with considerable further research required [28, 29]. So far, all of the microbicide candidates are for vaginal rather than rectal use [4], and few acceptability research studies have been conducted among MSM [30]. If HIV vaccine and rectal microbicide trials were to be initiated with MSM in the UK, further research would be required to assess the factors that could facilitate or prevent participation in this population. Education and community mobilisation could increase willingness to participate in such studies [31], and consideration should be given to describing trial protocols to potential participants as part of assessing willingness to join research projects.

There is continuing interest in the potential for behavioural interventions among MSM in Scotland [3], and over half of the men surveyed indicated willingness to participate in this type of research. However, men who were treatment optimistic, and less worried about HIV now treatments had improved, were less likely to be willing to do so. Although only a minority of MSM are optimistic (see Table 1 and as noted elsewhere [32, 33]), associations between treatment optimism and sexual risk behaviour have been recognised [32–34]. Increases in sexual risk behaviour cannot be fully accounted for by increasing treatment optimism [35–37], but this issue nevertheless remains a challenge and something to consider during recruitment for a behaviour change research study.

Willing to take part in HIV prevention behaviour change

rectal microbicide

part in

Willing to take J

Willing to take part in HIV vaccine study

Table 4 Factors associated with willingness to take part in future HIV prevention research studies: multivariate logistic regression (N = 1,320)

	Willing vaccine	g to take part i e study	in HIV		to take part in to take study	n rectal		to take part in H ur change study	IIV prevention
	AOR	95% CI	P value	AOR	95% CI	P value	AOR	95% CI	P value
Sexual orientation									
Gay				1					
Bisexual				0.82	0.55-1.23	0.334			
Straight				0.22	0.06-0.79	0.020			
Age									
16–25 years	1			1					
26+ years	0.69	0.53-0.90	0.007	0.78	0.61-1.00	0.052			
Area of residence									
Glasgow	1								
Edinburgh	0.95	0.72-1.24	0.680						
Rest of Scotland	0.95	0.67-1.34	0.759						
Rest of UK	1.03	0.59-1.78	0.925						
Overseas	0.55	0.25-1.20	0.132						
Ethnicity									
White (UK, Irish or other)	1								
Minority ethnic group ^a	0.39	0.20-0.74	0.004						
Qualifications									
Secondary (statutory school level)							1		
Further/vocational							0.73	0.51-1.04	0.080
Degree/post-graduate							0.64	0.45-0.91	0.013
Frequency of gay scene use									
Once month or less							1		
2/3 times a month							0.95	0.70-1.29	0.737
1/2 times a week							1.45	1.07-1.95	0.016
4/5 times a week							1.13	0.77-1.66	0.536
HIV treatment optimism 1									
Disagree							1		
Agree							0.75	0.57-0.97	0.030
HIV test in the past 12 months									
No	1			1			1		
Yes	1.09	0.78-1.52	0.609	1.02	0.74-1.39	0.915	1.30	0.95-1.80	0.105
Other STI test in the past 12 months									
No	1			1			1		
Yes	1.29	0.92-1.80	0.138	1.46	1.06-2.01	0.020	0.98	0.71-1.36	0.911
HIV status (oral fluid specimen result)								
HIV-negative	1			1					
HIV-positive	1.04	0.54-2.00	0.912	1.09	0.59-2.02	0.775			
Did not provide oral fluid specimen	0.62	0.45-0.86	0.004	0.70	0.51-0.96	0.029			
Number of anal intercourse partners in	n past 12	months							
Less than 10							1		
10 or more							1.27	0.85-1.88	0.239
STI in past 12 months									
No	1			1			1		
Yes	1.85	1.14-3.03	0.014	1.82	1.18-2.82	0.007	2.22	1.39-3.53	0.001

OR odds ratio, 95% *CI* 95% confidence interval, *HIV treatment optimism 1* 'I am less worried about HIV infection now that treatments have improved' ^a Black African, Black Caribbean, Indian, Pakistani, Chinese, Arab, Latin American & Other/Mixed

Future willingness to participate in vaccine, microbicide or behaviour change research studies was greater among service users, particularly among men who reported having had an STI in the past 12 months. This suggests existing services may be appropriate venues within which to recruit men for future research. Intervention delivery in these settings has previously been identified as a characteristic of successful interventions among people living with HIV [38], though a wider range of recruitment settings may be necessary to recruit sufficient numbers, and relevant risk groups, of MSM [39]. Willingness to participate in behaviour change was also higher among HIV-positive men, a group for whom, to date, behavioural interventions have proven largely ineffective [38].

Previous research found men at higher risk of HIV were more likely to be willing to take part in HIV vaccine trials [23]. Here, the lack of association between sexual risk behaviours (other than having had an STI in the past 12 months) and such willingness suggests it may be difficult to recruit adequate numbers of men at higher risk of HIV (particularly beyond the clinical setting); a finding that has implications for the design (and cost) of such future research. Sherr et al. estimated that a minimum of 15,000 HIV-negative men would need to be approached to recruit 1,000 high-risk HIV-negative men into a vaccine trial (based on a willingness to participate rate of 6.9%) [24]. Our study identified 189 men (12.5% of the total survey sample) who tested HIV-negative, reported UAI with partners of unknown or discordant HIV status in the past 12 months and were willing to participate in an HIV vaccine research study. With a 70.5% survey RR, over 10,000 men would have to be approached to achieve a sample size of 8,000 men in order to recruit 1,000 such high-risk HIV-negative men into a trial.

To be adequately powered to show effect on HIV incidence, trials require large sample sizes. A definitive phase III trial with 95% power to detect an effect with a 60% effective vaccine would require approximately 6,000 participants from a population with annual 2% HIV incidence [40]. Based on the figures noted above, 60,000 men would have to be approached to achieve this sample size, requiring large multi-centre (and even multi-country) studies.

Combination prevention, which incorporates biomedical and behavioural, as well as social and structural, interventions has been argued as the way forward for HIV prevention [41]. The detailed assessment of the acceptability and feasibility of such interventions is vital [10–13], particularly to avoid the flaws that can lead to 'flat' results [4]. Our findings suggest recruitment of high-risk men, who have the potential to benefit most from such interventions, is likely to be challenging, time consuming, and hence costly. The best means of facilitating this should be examined through feasibility studies, prior to initiating intervention trials. Acknowledgments We thank the survey staff and fieldworkers in each city, the venue managers, their staff, and the men who agreed to participate in the survey. The UK Medical Research Council funds Lisa McDaid and the Gay Men's Survey as part of the Sexual Health and Families Programme (MC_US_A540_0045) at the MRC/CSO Social and Public Health Sciences Unit in Glasgow.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- Sullivan PS, Hamouda O, Delpech V, Geduld JE, Prejean J, Semaille C, et al. Re-emergence of the HIV epidemic among men who have sex with men in North America, Western Europe, and Australia, 1996–2005. Ann Epidemiol. 2009;19(6):423–31.
- 2. Health Protection Agency. HIV in the United Kingdom: 2009 Report. London: Health Protection Agency; 2009.
- Scottish Government. HIV action plan in Scotland December 2009– March 2014. Edinburgh: Scottish Government. http://www. scotland.gov.uk/Publications/2009/11/24105426/0 (2009). Accessed 22 Mar 2010.
- Padian NS, McCoy SI, Balkus JE, Wasserheit JN. Weighing the gold in the gold standard: challenges in HIV prevention research. AIDS. 2010;24:621–35.
- Johnson WD, Diaz RM, Flanders WD, Goodman M, Hill AN, Holtgrave D, et al. Behavioural interventions to reduce risk for sexual transmission of HIV among men who have sex with men. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2008;(3):Art. No. CD001230.
- Weiss HA, Halperin D, Bailey RC, Hayes RJ, Schmid G, Hankins CA. Male circumcision for HIV prevention: from evidence to action? AIDS. 2008;22:567–74.
- Templeton DJ, Millett GA, Grulich AE. Male circumcision to reduce the risk of HIV and sexually transmitted infections among men who have sex with men. Curr Opin Infect Dis. 2010;23: 45–52.
- McDaid LM, Weiss HA, Hart GJ. Circumcision among men who have sex with men in Scotland: limited potential for HIV prevention. Sex Transm Infect. 2010;86:404–6.
- Grant RM, Lama JR, Anderson PL, McMahan V, Liu AY, Vargas L, et al. Preexposure chemoprophylaxis for HIV prevention in men who have sex with men. New Eng J Med. 2010;363(27):2587–99.
- Imrie J, Elford J, Kippax S, Hart GJ. Biomedical HIV prevention—and social science. Lancet. 2007;370:10–1.
- Campbell NC, Murray E, Darbyshire J, Emery J, Farmer A, Griffiths F, et al. Designing and evaluating complex interventions to improve health care. BMJ. 2007;334:455–9.
- Craig P, Dieppe P, Macintyre S, Mitchie S, Nazareth I, Petticrew M. Developing and evaluating complex interventions: the new Medical Research Council guidance. BMJ. 2008;337:a1655.
- Prestage GP, Gray R, Down I, Hoare A, McCann PD, Wilson D. The development of Australia's national syphilis action plan is base in interdisciplinary research findings. Int J Interdiscip Soc Sci. 2011;5(11):239–62.
- Hart G, Flowers P, Der G, Frankis J. Homosexual men's HIVrelated sexual risk behaviour in Scotland. Sex Transm Infect. 1999;75:242–6.
- Williamson L, Hart G, Flowers P, Frankis J, Der G. The gay men's task force: the impact of peer education on the sexual health behaviour of gay men in Glasgow. Sex Transm Infect. 2001;77:427–32.

- Flowers P, Hart GJ, Williamson LM, Frankis JS, Der GJ. Does bar-based, peer-led sexual health promotion have a communitylevel effect amongst gay men in Scotland? Int J STD AIDS. 2002;13(2):102–8.
- Hart GJ, Williamson LM, Flowers P, Frankis JS, Der GJ. Gay men's HIV testing behaviour in Scotland. AIDS Care. 2002; 14(5):665–74.
- Hart GJ, Williamson LM. Increase in HIV sexual risk behaviour in gay men in Scotland, 1996–2002: prevention failure? Sex Transm Infect. 2005;81:367–72.
- Williamson LM, Dodds JP, Mercey DE, Johnson AM, Hart GJ. Increases in HIV-related sexual risk behaviour among community samples of gay men in London and Glasgow: how do they compare? J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2006;42:238–41.
- Williamson LM, Hart GJ. HIV prevalence and undiagnosed infection among a community sample of gay men in Scotland. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2007;45:224–30.
- Williamson LM, Dodds J, Mercey DE, Hart GJ, Johnson AM. Sexual risk behaviour and knowledge of HIV status among community samples of gay men in the UK. AIDS. 2008;22: 1063–70.
- Williamson LM, Flowers P, Knussen C, Hart GJ. HIV testing trends among gay men in Scotland, UK (1996–2005): implications for HIV testing policies and prevention. Sex Transm Infect. 2009;85:550–4.
- Dhalla S, Woods R, Strathdee SA, Patrick DM, Hogg RS. HIV vaccine preparedness studies in the organisation for economic cooperation and development (OECD) countries. AIDS Care. 2007;19:1118–27.
- Sherr L, Bolding G, Elford J. Recruiting London gay men into an HIV vaccine trial: is it feasible? AIDS Care. 2004;16(5):565–71.
- Colfax G, Buchbinder S, Vamshidar G, Celum C, McKirnan D, Neidig J, et al. Motivations for participating in an HIV vaccine efficacy trial. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2005;39:359–64.
- Nodin N, Carballo-Diéguez A, Ventuneac AM, Balan IC, Remien R. Knowledge and acceptability of alternative HIV prevention bio-medical products among MSM who bareback. AIDS Care. 2008;20(1):106–15.
- 27. Gross M, Buchbinder SP, Celum C, Heagerty P, Seage GR, for the HIVNET vaccine preparedness study protocol team. Rectal microbicides for US gay men: are clinical trials needed? Are they feasible? Sex Transm Dis. 1998;25(6):296–302.
- Rerks-Ngarm S, Pitisuttithum P, Nitayaphan S, Kaewkungwal J, Chiu J, Paris R, et al. Vaccination with ALVAC and AIDSVAX to

prevent HIV-1 infection in Thailand. New Eng J Med. 2009;361: 2209–20.

- 29. Dolin R. HIV vaccine trial results—an opening for further research. New Eng J Med. 2009;361:2279–80.
- Mantell JE, Myer L, Carballo-Diéguez A, Stein Z, Ramjee G, Morar NS, et al. Microbicide acceptability research: current approaches and future directions. Soc Sci Med. 2005;60:319–30.
- 31. Koblin BA, Holte S, Lenderking B, Heagerty P, for the HIVNET vaccine preparedness study protocol team. Readiness for HIV vaccine trials: changes in willingness and knowledge among high-risk populations in the HIV network for prevention trials. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2000;24:451–7.
- International Collaboration on HIV Optimism. HIV treatments optimism among gay men: an international perspective. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2003;32(5):545–50.
- Elford J. Changing patterns of sexual behaviour in the era of highly active antiretroviral therapy. Curr Opin Infect Dis. 2006;19: 26–32.
- Crepaz N, Hart T, Marks G. Highly active antiretroviral therapy and sexual risk behaviour. JAMA. 2004;292:224–36.
- 35. Elford J, Bolding G, Sherr L. High-risk sexual behaviour increases among London gay men between 1998 and 2001: what is the role of HIV optimism? AIDS. 2002;16(11):1537–44.
- Williamson LM, Hart GJ. HIV optimism does not explain increases in high-rise sexual behaviour among gay men in Scotland. AIDS. 2004;18(5):834–5.
- Elford J. HIV treatment optimism and high-risk sexual behaviour among gay men: the attributable population risk. AIDS. 2004;18: 2216–7.
- Crepaz N, Lyles CM, Wolitski RJ, Passin WF, Rama SM, Herbst JH, et al. Do prevention interventions reduce HIV risk behaviours among people living with HIV? A meta-analytic review of controlled trials. AIDS. 2006;20:143–57.
- 39. Barresi P, Husnik M, Camacho M, Powell B, Gage R, LeBlanc D, et al. Recruitment of men who have sex with men for large HIV intervention trials: analysis of the Explore study recruitment effort. AIDS Educ Prev. 2010;22:28–36.
- Rida W, Fast P, Hoff R, Fleming T. Intermediate-size trials for the evaluation of HIV vaccine candidates: a workshop summary. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 1997;16(3):195–203.
- Coates TJ, Richter L, Caceres C. Behavioural strategies to reduce HIV transmission: how to make them work better. Lancet. 2008; 372:669–84.