Remarks on quiver gauge theories from open topological string theory

Nils Carqueville[*]   Alexander Quintero Vélez[†]

nils.carqueville@physik.uni-muenchen.de   a.velez@maths.gla.ac.uk

[*]*Arnold Sommerfeld Center for Theoretical Physics,*
LMU München, Theresienstr. 37, D-80333 München
[*]*Excellence Cluster Universe, Boltzmannstr. 2, D-85748 Garching*

[†]*Department of Mathematics, University of Glasgow,*
Glasgow, G12 8QW, United Kingdom

We study effective quiver gauge theories arising from a stack of D3-branes on certain Calabi-Yau singularities. Our point of view is a first principle approach via open topological string theory. This means that we construct the natural A_∞-structure of open string amplitudes in the associated D-brane category. Then we show that it precisely reproduces the results of the method of brane tilings, without having to resort to any effective field theory computations. In particular, we prove a general and simple formula for effective superpotentials.

1. Introduction and summary

In this note we wish to revisit and clarify the relation of two approaches to certain quiver gauge theories from string theory. Common to both approaches is the setting of type IIB string theory on $\mathbb{R}^{3,1} \times X$ where X is a Calabi-Yau threefold. One then considers a stack of Minkowski space-filling D3-branes that from the point of view of X are placed at a singular point obtained by shrinking a complex surface $Z \subset X$ to zero size (where we assume X to be the total space of the canonical line bundle on Z). The effective low energy field theory of this arrangement is an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric quiver gauge theory [24].

In order to extract the data of the effective quiver gauge theory from the geometry of Z, several procedures have been developed in [25, 26, 28, 29, 36], most notably the fast inverse algorithm and the brane tiling method. These have been argued to be equivalent and hence we may restrict our attention to the more elegant latter method. As we will recall below, once a brane tiling associated to a given surface Z has been constructed, it is easy to read off the quiver gauge theory data. More precisely, one obtains the quiver that describes the field content of the effective theory as well as its F-term superpotential W.

Independently of the above developments, recent years have seen huge conceptual progress in the understanding of the sector of chiral primaries in type II string theory [7, 22, 37, 68]. This sector is equivalent to the topological B-twist [72] of the associated sigma model with target X; in particular, the effective superpotential is among the data that can be obtained from topologically twisted theories [17]. The D-branes and open strings of such models are described by the objects and morphisms of the bounded derived category $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathrm{Coh}(X))$ of coherent sheaves on X.

In order to capture the full structure of open topological string theory on X one however needs more than just its derived category. Indeed, it was shown in [20, 38, 40] that the amplitudes

$$
W_{i_0 \ldots i_k} = \left\langle \psi_{i_0} \psi_{i_1} \psi_{i_2} \int \psi_{i_3}^{(1)} \ldots \int \psi_{i_k}^{(1)} \right\rangle_{\mathrm{disk}}
$$

of open string states ψ_i and their integrated descendants $\int \psi_i^{(1)}$ are subject to certain constraints. These constraints follow from BRST symmetry and Ward identities and precisely encode a cyclic, unital and minimal A_∞-structure on the D-brane category (which in our case is a subcategory of $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathrm{Coh}(X))$). In terms of the amplitudes $W_{i_0 \ldots i_k}$ this basically means that they have a (graded) cyclic symmetry and that they obey the A_∞-relations

$$
\sum_{\substack{r \geq 0, s \geq 1 \\ r+s \leq k}} (-1)^{|\psi_{i_1}| + \ldots + |\psi_{i_r}| + r} Q^i_{i_1 \ldots i_r j i_{r+s+1} \ldots i_k} Q^j_{i_{r+1} \ldots i_{r+s}} = 0 \tag{1.1}
$$

for all $k \geq 1$, where

$$
Q^i_{i_0 \ldots i_k} = \omega^{ij} W_{j i_0 \ldots i_k}
$$

is defined via the inverse ω^{ij} of the topological metric $\omega_{ij} = \langle \psi_i \psi_j \rangle_{\mathrm{disk}}$. Following [58] we may hence identify any cyclic, unital and minimal A_∞-algebra (or A_∞-category in the case of many branes) with an open topological string theory and vice versa. This means that everything that follows from this mathematical structure may be viewed as derived from first principles.

It is a fact that the A_∞-structure encoded in the "structure constants" $Q^k_{i_0 \ldots i_n}$ or in the amplitudes $W_{i_0 \ldots i_n}$ and the topological metric can be explicitly constucted

Figure 1.1: The 16 equivalence classes of reflexive lattice polygons.

for any open topological string theory we know of. This is true not only for models with non-compact target (relevant for quiver gauge theories) but also compact theories such as sigma models and Landau-Ginzburg models [4, 5, 19, 57]; because of its fundamental nature, the A_∞-approach can in principle be applied to any brane in any open topological string theory. Given this algebraic structure, one immediately obtains the effective F-term superpotential perturbatively as from the worldsheet perspective it is simply the generating function

$$\mathcal{W}_\infty = \sum_{k \geq 2} \sum_{i_0, \ldots, i_k} \frac{W_{i_0 \ldots i_k}}{k+1} u_{i_0} \ldots u_{i_k} \tag{1.2}$$

in terms of formal variables u_i "dual" to the fields ψ_i.

We believe the approach to open topological string theory and its effective field theories via A_∞-algebras to be conceptually very clear. However, from the point of view of efficiently computing superpotentials there are several other powerful techniques. In the case of compact models these include methods relying on geometric aspects and mirror symmetry, renormalisation group flows, or abstract deformation theory; for recent results in these directions see e.g. [1–3, 9, 10, 23, 31–34, 44, 45, 53, 62, 70, 71].

Returning to the subject of this note, it is natural to expect that the effective superpotential $\mathcal{W}_\infty$ of the A_∞-approach coincides with the quiver gauge theory superpotential obtained by seemingly entirely different means from the geometry of the surface Z in X using the brane tiling method. This is the idea behind [6] where it was checked by explicit computations that the results of the brane tiling approach and the approach via A_∞-algebras agree for the special two cases where the surface Z is given by either $\mathbb{P}^2$ or the first del Pezzo surface dP_1.

In the present note we generalise this to all weak toric Fano surfaces Z, i.e. to all toric surfaces represented by reflexive polytopes. It is a classical result that there are exactly 16 equivalence classes of reflexive polygons in the plane, shown

in figure 1.1. Since the A_∞-structure of a D-brane category may be viewed as the defining property of the associated open topological string theory, our result is conceptually relevant as it shows that the brane tiling method follows rigorously from first principles.

We stress that it is not necessary to verify the above correspondence by comparing the explicit computations on both sides for each individual example of a surface. Instead, one may immediately extract an A_∞-structure from the superpotential W of any brane tiling, and this A_∞-structure contains all the information about the effective quiver gauge theory. As we explain in section 2 this is done most naturally by rephrasing the A_∞-relations (1.1) in terms of W and the topological metric, i.e. the Serre pairing on the Calabi-Yau X, using the language of formal non-commutative geometry [54] pioneered in the string theory literature in [58].

In a second step we then show in section 3 that the A_∞-structure encoded in W is the *same* as the natural A_∞-structure of branes wrapping the surface Z. We denote this subsector of the open topological string theory by $\mathbf{D}_Z^b(\mathrm{Coh}(X))$. To construct the A_∞-structure, we first use the elegant method of [48] to find the higher products on $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathrm{Coh}(Z))$ and then extend [6, 8, 18, 66, 67] it to branes in $\mathbf{D}_Z^b(\mathrm{Coh}(X))$. Along the way we provide a general and clear proof of the explicit formula

$$\mathcal{W}_\infty = \sum_j r_j \rho_j \tag{1.3}$$

where r_j are the relations of the quiver associated to Z, i.e. polynomials of elements in Ext_Z^1 of a certain algebra describing fractional branes, and the ρ_j are dual to r_j so that together with the basis of Ext_Z^1 they span the space Ext_X^1 on X.[1] This formula was conjectured and checked in examples in [6] and it is also closely related to the work of [66].

We remark that the outcome (1.3) for the superpotential $\mathcal{W}_\infty$ is a very simple expression. This means that while our conceptual derivation of the identity $\mathcal{W}_\infty = W$ may not make the extraction of the quiver gauge theory data from a given geometry easier still, the result for $\mathcal{W}_\infty$ from the A_∞-approach also does not rank behind its brane tiling equivalent (2.1) for W in terms of simplicity.

The rest of the present note is organised as follows. In sections 2 and 3 we carry out the analysis outlined above and summarised in figure 1.2, and in section 4 we offer a concrete example to complement the abstract argument of the previous two sections. The body of this note is supplemented by several appendices that collect notational conventions, mathematical background material as well as some technical details of our arguments.

Note added. While we were in the process of developing the results presented in this note into further directions, the preprint [30] appeared in which a similar equivalence of A_∞-categories is independently proven. The main differences to

---

[1]The meaning of this will of course be made precise below in section 3.

Figure 1.2: The A_∞-structure A_∞^{oTST} of the open topological string theoretic D-brane category $\mathbf{D}_Z^b(\mathrm{Coh}(X))$ is identical to $A_\infty^{\text{brane tiling}}$, the A_∞-structure encoded in the brane tiling superpotential W.

our approach and proof are as follows. The authors of [30] present a general, yet from the string theory perspective ad hoc definition of an A_∞-structure associated to an arbitrary brane tiling; we on the other hand directly derive it from the superpotential using the formal non-commutative geometry of A_∞-algebras. Furthermore, the work of [30] is purely mathematical and does not discuss the relevance for string theory. We are mainly motivated by the conceptual physical question of how the brane tiling approach to quiver gauge theories can be understood from the principles of open topological string theory. Finally, our proof differs from the one of [30] in that we emphasise the role of the surface Z and find the A_∞-structure on $\mathbf{D}_Z^b(\mathrm{Coh}(X))$ by extending that of $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathrm{Coh}(Z))$; to construct the latter we do not have to perform explicit calculations since we make use of Keller's higher multiplication theorem [48].

2. The A_∞-structure of brane tiling superpotentials

Recall our general assumptions: Z is a toric surface and $X = \mathrm{tot}(\omega_Z)$ is the total space of the canonical bundle of Z. As argued in [28, 29, 36], the data of the effective quiver gauge theory arising from a stack of D-branes wrapping $Z \subset X$ can be described by a certain bipartite graph on a two-torus obtained from the convex polytope of Z called a brane tiling. Such a graph encodes both the quiver and the superpotential, which can be constructed in the following way. The dual graph of the brane tiling is the periodic quiver Q. To each vertex i is associated a gauge group $\mathrm{U}(n_i)$, while each arrow $a\colon i \to j$ corresponds to a chiral superfield Φ_a transforming in the fundamental representation of the gauge group $\mathrm{U}(n_j)$ and

the antifundamental representation of $U(n_i)$. The faces of the periodic quiver are the terms in the superpotential. More precisely, the superpotential is given by

$$\widehat{W} = \sum_{\partial f = a_{i_0} \ldots a_{i_k}} (-1)^f \operatorname{tr}(\Phi_{a_{i_0}} \ldots \Phi_{a_{i_k}})$$

where f ranges over the faces of Q, $\partial f = a_{i_0} \ldots a_{i_k}$ indicates the set of arrows which are contained in the boundary of f, and $(-1)^f$ is $+1$ if f is a white face and -1 if f is a black face. Equivalently, the superpotential can be interpreted entirely in terms of quiver data: instead of $\widehat{W}$ one may consider the corresponding element $W \in \mathbb{C}Q/[\mathbb{C}Q, \mathbb{C}Q]$. Here, $\mathbb{C}Q$ denotes the the path algebra of the quiver Q, and modding out by the commutator space simply accounts for the fact that all terms in $\widehat{W}$ are cyclically symmetric. Put differently, W is a non-commutative[2] cyclic function:

$$W = \sum_{\partial f = a_{i_0} \ldots a_{i_k}} (-1)^f a_{i_0} \ldots a_{i_k} \tag{2.1}$$

is a formal sum of oriented cycles on the quiver Q.

Now, as explained in detail in the next section, one should think of the arrows $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ in the quiver Q as a basis of the degree 1 part of $\Lambda^\bullet = \operatorname{Ext}_X^\bullet(S, S)^\vee$, where S describes the system of fractional branes that a D3-brane decays into when located at the zero section $Z \subset X$. Moreover, the boundary topological metrics of the system induce a non-commutative symplectic form ω on $T\Lambda^\bullet/[T\Lambda^\bullet, T\Lambda^\bullet]$ in the sense of [54], where $T\Lambda^\bullet = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0}(\Lambda^\bullet)^{\otimes n}$ (see the next section for a precise definition of the tensor product involved). Analogously to the ordinary case, one can define Poisson brackets

$$\{F, G\} = \frac{\partial_r F}{\partial u_i} \omega^{ij} \frac{\partial_l G}{\partial u_j}$$

for cyclic functions F and G, where ω^{ij} is the inverse matrix to ω_{ij} and $\{u_i\}$ is a homogeneous basis for $\Lambda^\bullet$. The subscripts r and l refer to right- and left-differentiation. Viewing the superpotential W as an element of $T\Lambda^\bullet/[T\Lambda^\bullet, T\Lambda^\bullet]$ and using (2.1), one finds that, in fact, $\{W, W\} = 0$. Indeed, the only elements appearing in W have degree 1; thus, in matrix notation the relevant part[3] of the

---

[2]This is in line with the fact that there is also a reason [38, 58] why one may also view the generating function $\mathcal{W}_\infty$ of amplitudes in (1.2) as a non-commutative function of its arguments u_i: In the closed sector, the generating function of closed string amplitudes contains the same information as the amplitudes themselves. The reason is that the latter are totally (graded) symmetric. But since open string amplitudes $W_{i_0 \ldots i_n}$ are only cyclically symmetric, only a non-commutative $\mathcal{W}_\infty$ can encode all information on the $W_{i_0 \ldots i_n}$.

[3]The topological metric has ghost number 3 and hence induces non-degenerate pairings $\operatorname{Ext}_X^1(S, S) \times \operatorname{Ext}_X^2(S, S) \to \mathbb{C}$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_X^0(S, S) \times \operatorname{Ext}_X^3(S, S) \to \mathbb{C}$. That being so, only the Ext^1's and the Ext^2's will play a role.

bracket $\{W, W\}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{pmatrix} \partial_r W/\partial a_1 \\ \vdots \\ \partial_r W/\partial a_n \\ \hline 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}}
\left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} & & & * & \cdots & * \\ & \mathbf{0} & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ & & & * & \cdots & * \\ \hline * & \cdots & * & & & \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \mathbf{0} & \\ * & \cdots & * & & & \end{array} \right)
\begin{pmatrix} \partial_l W/\partial a_1 \\ \vdots \\ \partial_l W/\partial a_n \\ \hline 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},
$$

which is clearly zero.

The key observation now is the simple fact that the vanishing of $\{W, W\}$ for an element $W = \sum_{n \geq 2} W_{i_0 \ldots i_n} a_{i_0} \ldots a_{i_n}$ in $T\Lambda^\bullet / [T\Lambda^\bullet, T\Lambda^\bullet]$ is equivalent to the A_∞-relations (1.1) of the A_∞-structure constants $Q^k_{i_0 \ldots i_n} = \omega^{kl} W_{l i_0 \ldots i_n}$ (for an explicit check see e. g. [58, App. D]). Applying the remarks above, we conclude that the brane tiling superpotential W gives $\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_X(S, S)$ the structure of a cyclic A_∞-algebra. Since W only depends on Ext^1-elements it follows that the A_∞-structure that we just found is also unital and minimal.

The main point of this note is that the above A_∞-structure encoded in the brane tiling is not just any accidental such structure, but it is the same as the natural A_∞-structure of the category of D-branes on X wrapping Z. We will show this in the following section, thereby building the conceptual bridge between open topological string theory and quiver gauge theories.

3. The A_∞-structure of the D-brane category $\mathbf{D}^b_Z(\mathrm{Coh}(X))$

In this section we present the first principle approach to quiver gauge theories associated to our class of surfaces Z via A_∞-algebras. We will determine the A_∞-structure on the subsector of open topological string theory consisting of D-branes on X wrapping Z, and show that its superpotential $\mathcal{W}_\infty$ coincides with the brane tiling superpotential. First, however, we must develop our vocabulary.

We start by recalling the definition of a tilting bundle. Let X be a smooth quasi-projective variety, and let $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathrm{Coh}(X))$ be the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves on X. A locally free sheaf $\mathcal{T}$ on X is called a *tilting bundle* if:

(1) it has no higher self-extensions, that is $\mathrm{Ext}^i_X(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}) = 0$ for all $i > 0$,

(2) $\mathcal{T}$ generates the derived category $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathrm{Coh}(X))$, i. e. the smallest triangulated subcategory of $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathrm{Coh}(X))$ that contains $\mathcal{T}$ and is closed under taking direct summands is equal to the whole of $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathrm{Coh}(X))$.

Now let $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathrm{mod}{-}A)$ denote the bounded derived category of finitely generated right modules over the algebra $A = \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{T})$. Then, the functors $\mathbf{R}\,\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{T}, -)$

and $- \otimes_A^{\mathbf{L}} \mathscr{T}$ define mutually inverse equivalences between $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathrm{Coh}(X))$ and $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathrm{mod}\text{--}A)$. For constructions of tilting bundles and their relation to derived categories we refer to [11, 14, 47, 65].

For our purposes the notion of an exceptional collection is more useful. An object E in $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathrm{Coh}(X))$ is said to be *exceptional* if $\mathrm{Hom}(E, E[k]) = 0$ for all $k \neq 0$, and $\mathrm{Hom}(E, E) = \mathbb{C}$. An ordered set of exceptional objects $(E_0, \ldots, E_n)$ is called an *exceptional collection* if $\mathrm{Hom}(E_j, E_i[k]) = 0$ for $j > i$ and all k. Such a sequence is said to be *strong* if it satisfies the additional condition $\mathrm{Hom}(E_j, E_i[k]) = 0$ for all i, j and for $k \neq 0$. Finally, it is called *full* if it generates the category $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathrm{Coh}(X))$. Thus, each full strong exceptional collection defines a tilting bundle $\mathscr{E} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^n E_i$, because the endomorphism algebra of $\mathscr{E}$ is a triangular algebra (cf. [52]). Vice versa, each tilting bundle whose direct summands are line bundles gives rise to a full strong exceptional collection.

Now let us turn to the brane tiling context. For our notation for quivers, brane tilings, perfect matchings and related things, we refer to appendix B. Let A be an algebra defined by a quiver $Q = (Q_0, Q_1)$ with superpotential W associated to some consistent brane tiling, see (B.1). Denote by $\mathscr{M}_\theta$ the fine moduli space of θ-stable representations of A of dimension vector $(1, \ldots, 1)$ for a generic choice of the GIT parameter θ. (See [43] for some background.) An important conclusion of [51] is that this moduli space is a smooth toric Calabi-Yau threefold whose fan is determined by rays corresponding to perfect matchings. Let us recall the construction of a tilting bundle on $\mathscr{M}_\theta$ following [12, 35]. First some terminology is required.

A *walk* γ in Q is a formal composition $a_1^{\varepsilon(1)} \ldots a_m^{\varepsilon(m)}$ where $a_1, \ldots, a_m \in Q_1$ and $\varepsilon(i) \in \{\pm 1\}$. If $\varepsilon(k) = 1$ then a_k is a forward arrow in γ; otherwise $\varepsilon(k) = -1$ and a_k^{-1} is a backward arrow. Given a walk γ we set $|\gamma| = \varepsilon(1)a_1 + \ldots + \varepsilon(m)a_m \in \mathbb{Z}^{Q_1}$. For a fixed perfect matching π and a walk γ, let us write $\chi_\pi(\gamma) \equiv \chi_\pi(w(|\gamma|))$ in the notation of appendix B.

Picking θ as in [43], to each perfect matching we associate a prime toric divisor on $\mathscr{M}_\theta$ as follows:

$$P \ni \pi \longmapsto D_\pi = \{x \in \mathscr{M}_\theta \mid x_a = 0 \text{ for } a \in \pi\}.$$

If D_π is a compact toric divisor then the perfect matching π is said to be *internal*. For any walk γ, one can define a toric divisor on $\mathscr{M}_\theta$ by setting $D(\gamma) = \sum_{\pi \in P} \chi_\pi(\gamma) D_\pi$. We denote by $\mathscr{O}(\gamma) \equiv \mathscr{O}(D(\gamma))$ the sheaf corresponding to $D(\gamma)$.

Now fix a vertex $i_0 \in Q_0$; for any other vertex $i \in Q_0$, choose an arbitrary walk γ_i from i_0 to i. Then there is the following important result.

Theorem 3.1 ([12, 35]). *Let the notation and assumptions be as above. Then $\mathscr{T} = \bigoplus_{i \in Q_0} \mathscr{O}(\gamma_i)$ is a tilting bundle on $\mathscr{M}_\theta$. In addition, $A \cong \mathrm{End}(\mathscr{T})$.*

Now let us go back to the specific context of this section. Let Z be a weak toric Fano surface, and denote by $X = \mathrm{tot}(\omega_Z)$ the total space of its canonical

bundle. As discussed in [42], there is a consistent brane tiling corresponding to Z (see also [69]). Furthermore, the arguments of [43] imply that $\mathscr{M}_\theta$ coincides with X for a particular choice of the GIT parameter θ. In light of the above discussion, we deduce that $\mathscr{T} = \bigoplus_{i \in Q_0} \mathscr{O}(\gamma_i)$ is a tilting bundle on X whose direct summands are line bundles.

To take the next step, we should construct a full strong exceptional collection on Z. To this end, let $\iota\colon Z \hookrightarrow X$ be the inclusion of the zero section. Then it is shown in appendix C that the restriction $\mathscr{E} = \iota^* \mathscr{T}$ is a tilting bundle on Z whose summands are line bundles. This, in turn, gives rise to a full strong exceptional collection on Z. In what follows we denote by B the algebra of endomorphisms of the object $\mathscr{E}$, i.e. $B = \mathrm{End}(\mathscr{E})$.

We observe next that the algebra B can be naturally viewed as the path algebra of a quiver with relations. In order to establish this, we fix an internal perfect matching π. Let $Q(\pi) \subset Q$ be the acyclic subquiver obtained by deleting from Q all the arrows corresponding to π. We regard the path algebra $\mathbb{C}Q(\pi)$ as a subalgebra of $\mathbb{C}Q$. In the notation of appendix B, let J_π be the ideal of relations in $\mathbb{C}Q(\pi)$ generated by the Jacobi ideal J_W; that is, $J_\pi = \mathbb{C}Q(\pi) \cap J_W$. As is apparent from the definition of internal perfect matching, the prime toric divisor D_π is identified with the image of the zero section $\iota\colon Z \hookrightarrow X$. Furthermore, there is a canonical surjective map $\iota^\natural\colon \Gamma(X, \mathscr{T}^\vee \otimes \mathscr{T}) \to \Gamma(Z, \mathscr{E}^\vee \otimes \mathscr{E})$ whose kernel is generated by sections of $\mathscr{T}^\vee \otimes \mathscr{T}$ vanishing at D_π. Observe also that the map sending a path $p = a_1 \ldots a_m$ in $Q(\pi)$ to the product of the corresponding sections $s_1 \ldots s_m \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathscr{O}(\gamma_{t(p)}), \mathscr{O}(\gamma_{h(p)}))$ determines an algebra homomorphism $\eta\colon \mathbb{C}Q(\pi) \to \mathrm{End}(\mathscr{T})$. From this, one readily deduces that η sends paths p, p' in Q_π satisfying $t(p) = t(p')$ and $h(p) = h(p')$ to the same element in $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathscr{O}(\gamma_{t(p)}), \mathscr{O}(\gamma_{h(p)}))$ vanishing at D_π if and only if $p - p' \in J_\pi$. Therefore,

$$B = \mathrm{End}(\mathscr{E}) \cong \mathbb{C}Q(\pi)/J_\pi \,,$$

which proves the initial assertion.

We now turn our attention to obtaining some important consequences of the preceding results. Denote by mod–B the category of finitely generated right modules over B. For each vertex $i \in Q_0$ we have a simple object T_i in mod–B; this is the representation which assigns the field $\mathbb{C}$ to the vertex i and 0 to any other vertex and where each arrow gives the zero map. We obtain in this way a complete set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of simple B-modules. Let T be the sum $\bigoplus_{i \in Q_0} T_i$ of all simple modules. The chain complex of endomorphisms $\mathbf{R}\,\mathrm{Hom}_B^\bullet(T, T)$ has a natural structure of a differential graded algebra. Multiplication is given by composition of endomorphisms. As explained in appendix A, there is an A_∞-structure on the full Ext-algebra $V^\bullet = \mathrm{Ext}_B^\bullet(T, T)$ with $m_1 = 0$ and m_2 is induced by the multiplication of $\mathbf{R}\,\mathrm{Hom}_B^\bullet(T, T)$. This A_∞-structure on $V^\bullet$ is unique up to A_∞-isomorphisms, and we will determine it explicitly below.

As in the case of the space X and its associated algebra A, the category $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathrm{Coh}(Z))$ is equivalent to $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathrm{mod}\text{--}B)$. This equivalence is given by the functor $\mathbf{R}\,\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(\mathscr{E}, -)$. It follows from a general result of [50, Thm. 3.1] that the triangulated subcategory of $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathrm{mod}\text{--}B)$ generated by the T_i is equivalent to the derived category $\mathbf{D}^b(V^\bullet)$ (obtained as the degree zero cohomology of the A_∞-category of "twisted complexes" over $V^\bullet$, see e.g. [56, 59]). Since T_i, $i \in Q_0$, generate the derived category $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathrm{mod}\text{--}B)$, we obtain an equivalence between $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathrm{mod}\text{--}B)$ and $\mathbf{D}^b(V^\bullet)$.

To summarize, we have proved the following.

Proposition 3.2. *The derived category of coherent sheaves $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathrm{Coh}(Z))$ on a weak Fano surface Z is equivalent to the derived category $\mathbf{D}^b(V^\bullet)$.*

Now we consider the algebra A corresponding to X. Keeping the above notation, the category of finitely generated right modules over A will be denoted by mod–A. Again, to every vertex $i \in Q_0$ corresponds canonically a simple object S_i in mod–A. It is easily verified that the S_i coincide with the objects $\iota_* T_i$. These are the fractional branes which give rise to the effective quiver gauge theory. In contrast to the above, these will not be the only simple modules, but anagolously to the case of Z and B we can form the sum $S = \bigoplus_{i \in Q_0} S_i$ and the A_∞-algebra $\Lambda^\bullet = \mathrm{Ext}_A^\bullet(S, S)$. There is the following connection between this new A_∞-algebra and the previous one (again, see appendix A for A_∞-terminology).

Theorem 3.3 ([6, 18, 66, 67]). *$\Lambda^\bullet$ is A_∞-quasi-isomorphic to the trivial extension $V^\bullet \oplus V^\bullet[-3]^\vee$.*

We should take a moment here to make one important point. Let $\mathbf{D}_0^b(\mathrm{mod}\text{--}A)$ be the full triangulated subcategory of $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathrm{mod}\text{--}A)$ generated by the simple modules S_i. Arguing as before, we see that $\mathbf{D}_0^b(\mathrm{mod}\text{--}A)$ is equivalent to the derived category $\mathbf{D}^b(\Lambda^\bullet)$. On the other hand, define $\mathbf{D}_Z^b(\mathrm{Coh}(X)) \subset \mathbf{D}^b(\mathrm{Coh}(X))$ to be the full subcategory consisting of objects all of whose cohomology sheaves are supported on the zero section $Z \subset X$. A result of [15, Lem. 4.4] provides an equivalence between $\mathbf{D}_Z^b(\mathrm{Coh}(X))$ and $\mathbf{D}_0^b(\mathrm{mod}\text{--}A)$. The net conclusion is that there is an equivalence

$$\mathbf{D}_Z^b(\mathrm{Coh}(X)) \cong \mathbf{D}^b(\Lambda^\bullet).$$

This means that the structure of the open topological string theory described by the D-brane category $\mathbf{D}_Z^b(\mathrm{Coh}(X))$ is controlled by the relatively simple A_∞-algebra $\Lambda^\bullet$.

Now we arrive at a key junction. Let $E_0 = \bigoplus_{i \in Q_0} \mathbb{C} \cdot e_i$ be the semisimple commutative subalgebra of $\mathbb{C}Q$ spanned by the trivial paths. We define $E_1 = \bigoplus_{a \in Q_1} \mathbb{C} \cdot a$ with the natural structure of an E_0-bimodule. For each arrow $a \in Q_1$, there is a relation $r_a = \partial_a W$ which is the cyclic derivative of the superpotential W. Let $R = \bigoplus_{a \in Q_1} \mathbb{C} \cdot r_a$ be the E_0-bimodule generated by these. Then the path algebra of Q is $\mathbb{C}Q = T_{E_0} E_1 = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} T_{E_0}^k E_1$, where $T_{E_0}^k E_1 = E_1 \otimes_{E_0} \ldots \otimes_{E_0} E_1$ is

the k-fold tensor product. We learn, at the same time, that the algebra A is the quotient of $T_{E_0}E_1$ by the 2-sided ideal generated by the E_0-bimodule R. We also have the following canonical isomorphisms (cf. [60])

$$E_1^\vee \cong \operatorname{Ext}_A^1(S,S) = \operatorname{Ext}_B^1(T,T) \oplus \operatorname{Ext}_B^2(T,T)^\vee\,,$$
$$R^\vee \cong \operatorname{Ext}_A^2(S,S) = \operatorname{Ext}_B^2(T,T) \oplus \operatorname{Ext}_B^1(T,T)^\vee\,.$$

In the same vein, one defines E_0-bimodules $E_1(\pi) = \bigoplus_{a\in Q(\pi)_1} \mathbb{C}\cdot a$ and $R(\pi) = \bigoplus_{a\in\pi} \mathbb{C}\cdot r_a$, where by $a\in\pi$ we mean that the arrows a crosses the perfect matching π. Once again, we can represent B as the quotient of the tensor algebra $T_{E_0}E_1(\pi)$ by the 2-sided ideal generated by the space of relations $R(\pi)$. Moreover, there are canonical isomorphisms

$$E_1(\pi)^\vee \cong \operatorname{Ext}_B^1(T,T) \quad \text{and} \quad R(\pi)^\vee \cong \operatorname{Ext}_B^2(T,T)\,.$$

The following important result is a version of the minimal model theorem discussed in appendix A that is specially adapted to the case of quivers. It will enable us to take full advantage of the results so far obtained.

Theorem 3.4 (Keller's higher multiplication theorem [48]). *Let* $i\colon R(\pi) \hookrightarrow T_{E_0}E_1(\pi)$ *be the inclusion map and let* λ *be the composite* $R \xrightarrow{i} T_{E_0}E_1(\pi) \to \bigoplus_{k\geq 0} E_1(\pi)^{\otimes k}$. *Then the only non-vanishing higher multiplications* m_k *of* $\Lambda^\bullet = \operatorname{Ext}_B^\bullet(S,S)$ *are equal to the maps*

$$\lambda_k^\vee\colon \operatorname{Ext}_B^1(T,T)^{\otimes k} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_B^2(T,T)\,.$$

Thus essentially one knows these multiplications as soon as one knows all the relations in the quiver.

With all this in place, we are now ready to compare the superpotential extracted from the A_∞-structure inherent in the derived category of coherent sheaves on X and the superpotential extracted from the brane tiling. Let us denote by $\{a_1,\ldots,a_n\}$ the set of arrows in $Q(\pi)$ and consider it as a basis in $E_1(\pi)$. Let $\{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n\}$ be the basis for $\operatorname{Ext}_B^1(T,T)$ which is dual to $\{a_1,\ldots,a_m\}$. Let $\{r_1,\ldots,r_m\}$ be the full set of relations in $Q(\pi)$, where each r_j can be written

$$r_j = \sum_{k\geq 2}\sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_k} (a_{i_1}\ldots a_{i_k}, r_j) a_{i_1}\otimes\ldots\otimes a_{i_k}\,,$$

for some $(a_{i_1}\ldots a_{i_k}, r_j) \in \mathbb{C}$. We write $\{\rho_1,\ldots,\rho_m\}$ for the generators of $\operatorname{Ext}_B^2(T,T)$ dual to $\{r_1,\ldots,r_m\}$. By theorem 3.4, the higher multiplications m_k are "dual to the relations":

$$m_k(\alpha_{i_1},\ldots,\alpha_{i_k}) = \sum_j (a_{i_1}\ldots a_{i_k}, r_j)\rho_j\,.$$

Now consider the basis $\{\boldsymbol{a}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{a}_n, \boldsymbol{\rho}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\rho}_m\}$ of E_1 given by $\boldsymbol{a}_i = (a_i, 0)$ and $\boldsymbol{\rho}_j = (0, \rho_j)$. Then $\mathrm{Ext}^1_A(S, S)$ has a basis $\{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_n, \boldsymbol{r}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{r}_m\}$, where $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_i = (\alpha_i, 0)$ and $\boldsymbol{r}_j = (0, r_j)$. In the same manner, we obtain a basis $\{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1^*, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_n^*, \boldsymbol{r}_1^*, \ldots, \boldsymbol{r}_m^*\}$ of $\mathrm{Ext}^2_A(S, S)$ with $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_i^* = (0, a_i)$ and $\boldsymbol{r}_j^* = (\rho_j, 0)$. We also note that the A_∞-algebra $\Lambda^\bullet = \mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_A(S, S)$ carries a canonical Calabi-Yau pairing given by

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\alpha}_i^*, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_j \rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{r}_i^*, \boldsymbol{r}_j \rangle = \delta_{ij} \,.$$

This pairing coincides with the topological metric. Combining our remarks with the results in appendix A, we have for the higher multiplications $\boldsymbol{m}_k$ of $\Lambda^\bullet$:

$$\boldsymbol{m}_k(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{i_1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{i_k}) = \sum_j (a_{i_1} \ldots a_{i_k}, r_j) \boldsymbol{r}_j^* \,.$$

Together with the cyclicity property this determines all the A_∞-products in $\Lambda^\bullet$.

We have now accumulated all the information necessary to compute the superpotential of the low energy effective field theory of the open topological string theory described by $\mathbf{D}^b_Z(\mathrm{Coh}(X))$; we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{W}_\infty &= \sum_{k \geq 2} \sum_{i_0, \ldots, i_k} \langle \boldsymbol{m}_k(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{i_1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{i_k}), \boldsymbol{r}_{i_0} \rangle \boldsymbol{\rho}_{i_0} \otimes \boldsymbol{a}_{i_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \boldsymbol{a}_{i_k} \\
&= \sum_{k \geq 2} \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_k} \left(\sum_{i,j} (a_{i_1} \ldots a_{i_k}, r_i) \langle \boldsymbol{r}_i^*, \boldsymbol{r}_j \rangle \boldsymbol{\rho}_j \right) \otimes \boldsymbol{a}_{i_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \boldsymbol{a}_{i_k} \\
&= \sum_j \left(\sum_{k \geq 2} \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_k} (a_{i_1} \ldots a_{i_k}, r_j) \boldsymbol{a}_{i_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \boldsymbol{a}_{i_k} \right) \otimes \boldsymbol{\rho}_j \\
&= \sum_j \boldsymbol{r}_j \otimes \boldsymbol{\rho}_j \,.
\end{aligned}$$

This result was argued for heuristically in [6, Sect. 4].

To conclude, we will show that the brane tiling superpotential W is the same as $\mathcal{W}_\infty$. This turns out to be very straightforward. To begin with, each arrow $a \in Q_1$ occurs twice and only twice in the superpotential W and from (2.1) we see that two terms involving any given arrow appear with opposite signs. Furthermore, any pair of terms may have only one single arrow in common. Thus, using the notation preceding theorem 3.4, we deduce that W can be expressed in the form

$$W = \sum_{a \in \pi} r_a a \,,$$

where we recall that the construction fixes an arbitrary internal perfect matching π. Since the arrows crossing π correspond to relations in the quiver $Q(\pi)$, we obtain the desired assertion.

Altogether, we have established the following result.

The A_∞-structure of the D-brane category $\mathbf{D}_Z^b(\mathrm{Coh}(X))$ is the same as the one encoded in the brane tiling superpotential W. In particular, the effective quiver gauge theory can be obtained solely from the fundamental A_∞-structure of $\mathbf{D}_Z^b(\mathrm{Coh}(X))$.

4. An example: dP_2

Let us illustrate how the general formalism of the previous section applies in the concrete case where Z is $\mathbb{P}^2$ blown up at two points, also known as the second del Pezzo surface dP_2. This way we will reproduce the brane tiling superpotential from the A_∞-structure of the open topological string theory described by $\mathbf{D}_Z^b(\mathrm{Coh}(X))$.

The surface dP_2 is the toric variety defined by the polytope with vertices $u_1 = (1,0)$, $u_2 = (0,1)$, $u_3 = (-1,1)$, $u_4 = (-1,0)$ and $u_5 = (0,-1)$. The toric diagram for the total space of the canonical bundle of dP_2 is given by the fan with one-dimensional cones generated by $v_0 = (0,0,1)$, $v_1 = (1,0,1)$, $v_2 = (0,1,1)$, $v_3 = (-1,1,1)$, $v_4 = (-1,0,1)$ and $v_5 = (0,-1,1)$, and three-dimensional cones $\sigma_i = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}v_i + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}v_{i+1} + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}v_0$ for $1 \leq i \leq 5$. Thus the polytope of dP_2 looks as follows:

The associated brane tiling is

where the dash-bounded region is a fundamental domain of the torus. From this

Figure 4.1: The ten perfect matchings of the dP$_2$ tiling. The prime toric divisors corresponding to each perfect matching are indicated.

one may read off the dual periodic quiver Q which is given by

There are ten perfect matchings $\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_{10}$ of the dP$_2$ tiling. We show them in figure 4.1, where also the corresponding prime toric divisors $D_{\pi_1}, \ldots, D_{\pi_{10}}$ are indicated. Here D_i denotes the toric divisor defined by the ray generator v_i, $0 \leq i \leq 5$. Note that the perfect matchings $\pi_6, \ldots, \pi_{10}$ are represented by the toric divisor D_0 so that they are internal matchings.

To determine the tilting bundle on the the canonical bundle of dP$_2$, we need to fix walks in the periodic quiver that connect the vertex 0 to all other vertices. Our choice of walks is shown in figure 4.2. If we choose the trivial walk γ_0 from 0 to itself, the toric divisors computed from the prescription in section 3 are

$$D(\gamma_0) = 0 \,,$$

Figure 4.2: A set of walks in the periodic quiver.

$$D(\gamma_1) = D_0 + D_1 \,,$$
$$D(\gamma_2) = 2D_0 + D_1 + D_5 \,,$$
$$D(\gamma_3) = 3D_0 + D_1 + D_4 + D_5 \,,$$
$$D(\gamma_4) = 4D_0 + D_1 + D_3 + D_4 + D_5 \,.$$

Invoking theorem 3.1, we conclude that

$$\mathscr{T} = \mathscr{O} \oplus \mathscr{O}(D_0 + D_1) \oplus \mathscr{O}(2D_0 + D_1 + D_5)$$
$$\oplus \mathscr{O}(3D_0 + D_1 + D_4 + D_5) \oplus \mathscr{O}(4D_0 + D_1 + D_3 + D_4 + D_5)$$

is a tilting object on the canonical bundle of dP_2.

Now, setting $\mathscr{E}$ to be the restriction of $\mathscr{T}$ to dP_2, it is immediate that

$$\mathscr{E} = \mathscr{O} \oplus \mathscr{O}(D_1) \oplus \mathscr{O}(D_1 + D_5) \oplus \mathscr{O}(D_1 + D_4 + D_5) \oplus \mathscr{O}(D_1 + D_3 + D_4 + D_5)$$

where, by a mild abuse of notation, D_i denotes the toric divisor in dP_2 associated to the vertex u_i, $1 \leq i \leq 5$. Furthermore, by proposition C.1 in appendix C, $\mathscr{E}$ is a tilting bundle on dP_2 whose summands are line bundles and hence

$$(\mathscr{O}, \mathscr{O}(D_1), \mathscr{O}(D_1 + D_5), \mathscr{O}(D_1 + D_4 + D_5), \mathscr{O}(D_1 + D_3 + D_4 + D_5))$$

is a full strong exceptional collection on dP_2. The corresponding quiver is given by

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{(quiver diagram)} \end{array} \tag{4.1}$$

If we order the arrows as illustrated above, then the relations[4] are

$$r_1 = a_1 a_4 a_6 a_8 - a_2 a_4 a_7 \,, \quad r_2 = a_2 a_5 - a_1 a_3 a_6 \,, \quad r_3 = a_3 a_7 - a_5 a_8 \,.$$

---

[4]For a description of how to derive the relations from the collection, see [21].

Thus it follows from (1.3) that the effective superpotential is given by

$$\mathcal{W}_\infty = (\boldsymbol{a_1 a_4 a_6 a_8} - \boldsymbol{a_2 a_4 a_7})\boldsymbol{\rho_1} + (\boldsymbol{a_2 a_5} - \boldsymbol{a_1 a_3 a_6})\boldsymbol{\rho_2} + (\boldsymbol{a_3 a_7} - \boldsymbol{a_5 a_8})\boldsymbol{\rho_3}\,.$$

Now let us pick the internal perfect matching π_{10} of figure 4.1. Deleting the corresponding arrows in the periodic quiver gives the acyclic quiver:

As can be inferred from this picture, the quiver obtained from the exceptional collection is the same as the acyclic quiver which is obtained directly from the tiling. If we label the arrows as shown in (4.1), then the brane tiling superpotential is

$$W = (a_1 a_4 a_6 a_8 - a_2 a_4 a_7)\rho_1 + (a_2 a_5 - a_1 a_3 a_6)\rho_2 + (a_3 a_7 - a_5 a_8)\rho_3\,,$$

where ρ_1, ρ_2 and ρ_3 are the deleted arrows corresponding to the perfect matching π_{10}; compare [27, 64]. We readily see that the expressions for $\mathcal{W}_\infty$ and W match if we make the right identifications (in particular, the deleted arrows must correspond to relations in the acyclic quiver).

Acknowledgements

A. A_∞-algebras

In this appendix we introduce basic notions of the theory of A_∞-algebras as well as the specific constructions that we need for our arguments in the main text. For a broader introduction we refer e.g. to [49, 59]. Note that in the main text we denote the A_∞-products r_n by m_n to avoid confusion with the relations r_j.

Definition A.1. An A_∞-*algebra* is a graded vector space A together with linear maps $r_n : A[1]^{\otimes n} \to A[1]$ of degree $+1$ for all $n \geq 1$ such that

$$\sum_{\substack{i \geq 0, j \geq 1, \\ i+j \leq n}} r_{n-j+1} \circ \left(\mathrm{id}_{A[1]}^{\otimes i} \otimes r_j \otimes \mathrm{id}_{A[1]}^{\otimes(n-i-j)} \right) = 0 \tag{A.1}$$

where $A[1]$ denotes the vector space A with the suspended grading, i. e. if A decomposes into its homogeneous components as $A = \bigoplus_i A_i$, then $A[1]_i = A_{i+1}$.

The first two A_∞-relations in (A.1) say that r_1 squares to zero and is a derivative with respect to the multiplication on A defined via $\mu(a,b) := (-1)^{|a|+1} r_2(a,b)$. One may hence consider the cohomology $H_{r_1}(A)$ of r_1 as we will do below. Furthermore, in the case that all higher products vanish, the $n=3$ equation in (A.1) simply says that μ is associative. We thus find that a *differential graded algebra* (A, r_1, μ) is the special case of an A_∞-algebra with $r_{n\geq 3} = 0$.

If one chooses a basis $\{e_a\}$ of A then the (higher) maps r_n are determined by their structure constants $Q_{a_1 \ldots a_n}^a$ in

$$r_n(e_{a_1}, \ldots, e_{a_n}) = Q_{a_1 \ldots a_n}^a e_a \,.$$

When evaluating the A_∞-relations (A.1) on $e_{a_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{a_n}$ these relations pick up sign factors according to the Koszul rule, e. g. $(\mathbb{1} \otimes r_1)(a \otimes b) = (-1)^{|a|+1} a \otimes r_1(b)$. This way we find that (A.1) is equivalently presented in terms of the structure constants $Q_{a_1 \ldots a_n}^a$, which have to satisfy the constraints (1.1),

$$\sum_{\substack{i \geq 0, j \geq 1, \\ i+j \leq n}} (-1)^{|e_{a_1}| + \ldots + |e_{a_i}| + i} Q_{a_1 \ldots a_i b a_{i+j+1} \ldots a_n}^a Q_{a_{i+1} \ldots a_{i+j}}^b = 0 \,.$$

Definition A.2. An A_∞-algebra (A, r_n) is *minimal* iff $r_1 = 0$. It is *unital* iff there exists $e \in A[1]_{-1}$ such that $r_2(e,a) = -a$, $r_2(a,e) = (-1)^{|a|+1} a$ for all $a \in A[1]$, and all other products r_n vanish if applied to a tensor product involving e. A is *cyclic* with respect to a bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on A iff

$$\langle a_0, r_n(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \rangle = (-1)^{(|a_0|+1)(|a_1|+\ldots+|a_n|+n)} \langle a_1, r_n(a_2, \ldots, a_n \otimes a_0) \rangle \tag{A.2}$$

for all homogeneous elements $a_i \in A$.

Definition A.3. An A_∞-*morphism* between A_∞-algebras A and A' is a family of linear maps $F_n : A[1]^{\otimes n} \to A'[1]$ of degree 0 for all $n \geq 1$ such that

$$\sum_{p=1}^n \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_1, \ldots, i_p \leq n, \\ i_1 + \ldots + i_p = n}} r_p^{A'} \circ \left(F_{i_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes F_{i_p} \right) = \sum_{\substack{i \geq 0, j \geq 1, \\ i+j \leq n}} F_{n-j+1} \circ \left(\mathrm{id}_{A[1]}^{\otimes i} \otimes r_j^A \otimes \mathrm{id}_{A[1]}^{\otimes(n-i-j)} \right).$$

$$\tag{A.3}$$

(F_n) is an A_∞-*isomophism* iff F_1 is an isomorphism, and an A_∞-*quasi-isomorphism* iff F_1 induces an isomorphism on cohomology with respect to r_1.

Now we can formulate the fundamental theorem in A_∞-theory:

Theorem A.4 ([46, 55, 61]). *Any A_∞-algebra (A, r_n) is A_∞-quasi-isomorphic to a minimal A_∞-algebra. Such a minimal model for A is unique up to A_∞-isomorphisms.*

This means that the cohomology $H_{r_1}(A)$ of an A_∞-algebra A can be endowed with an A_∞-structure that is compatible with the one on A. In section 3 we use a special version of this construction due to [48] in the special case of a differential graded algebra A.

Definition A.5. An A_∞-*bimodule* over an A_∞-algebra (A, r_n) is a graded vector space M together with linear maps $r_{m,n}^M : A[1]^{\otimes m} \otimes M[1] \otimes A[1]^{\otimes n} \to M[1]$ of degree $+1$ for all $m, n \geq 1$ such that

$$\sum_{\substack{i,j \geq 0, k \geq 1, \\ i+j+k=m}} r_{m-k+1,n}^M \circ \left(\mathrm{id}_{A[1]}^{\otimes i} \otimes r_k \otimes \mathrm{id}_{A[1]}^{\otimes j} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{M[1]} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{A[1]}^{\otimes m} \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{i,j,k,l \geq 0, \\ i+k=m, j+l=n}} r_{m-i,n-j}^M \circ \left(\mathrm{id}_{A[1]}^{\otimes k} \otimes r_{i,j}^M \otimes \mathrm{id}_{A[1]}^{\otimes l} \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{i,j \geq 0, k \geq 1, \\ i+j+k=n}} r_{m,n-k+1}^M \circ \left(\mathrm{id}_{A[1]}^{\otimes m} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{M[1]} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{A[1]}^{\otimes i} \otimes r_k \otimes \mathrm{id}_{A[1]}^{\otimes j} \right) = 0 \,.$$

Any A_∞-algebra (A, r_n) is a bimodule over itself with $r_{m,n}^A = r_{m+1+n}$. There is also a bimodule structure on the dual $A^\vee = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(A, \mathbb{C})$ given by

$$r_{m,n}^{A^\vee}(a_1, \ldots, a_m, \phi, a_{m+1}, \ldots, a_{m+n})(a)$$
$$= (-1)^{(\sum_{i=1}^m |a_i|)(|\phi| + \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{m+j}|) + |\phi| + \sum_{i=1}^m |a_i| \sum_{j=i+1}^m |a_j|}$$
$$\cdot \phi(r_{m+1+n}(a_{m+1}, \ldots, a_{m+n}, a, a_1, \ldots, a_m)) \,.$$

When we prove the formula (1.3) in section 3 we need to compute the A_∞-structure on $V \oplus V[-3]^\vee$ for the special case of a minimal A_∞-algebra (V, r_n). This can be done if we know the A_∞-structure on the "trivial extension" A_∞-algebra $A \oplus M$ and the bimodule $M[-1]$ for any A_∞-bimodule M over A, which is given by

$$r_n^{A \oplus M}\big((a_1, v_1), \ldots, (a_n, v_n)\big)$$
$$= \left(r_n(a_1, \ldots, a_n), \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{|a_1| + \ldots + |a_{i-1}| + i} r_{i-1,n-i}^M(a_1, \ldots, a_{i-1}, v_i, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_n) \right)$$

and

$$r_{m,n}^{M[-1]}(a_1, \ldots, a_m, v, a_{m+1}, \ldots, a_{m+n})$$
$$= (-1)^{|a_1| + \ldots + |a_k| + k} r_{m,n}^M(a_1, \ldots, a_m, v, a_{m+1}, \ldots, a_{m+n}) \,.$$

18

B. Brane tiling background

In this appendix, we review some relevant properties concerning brane tiltings. A full treatment of the subject can be found in [16].

A graph Γ is *bipartite* if its vertex set V can be partitioned into two sets V' and V'' in such a way that no two vertices from the same set are adjacent. In fact a graph being bipartite means that the vertices of Γ can be coloured black and white such that each edge connects a black vertex to a white one. A *brane tiling* is a polygonal cell decomposition of a torus $T = \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$, whose vertices and edges form a bipartite graph Γ.

Given a brane tiling $\Gamma \subset T$ one can consider the dual tiling with vertices dual to faces, edges dual to edges and faces dual to vertices. The crucial fact is that the edges of the dual tiling inherit a consistent choice of orientation. Therefore the dual graph is a quiver Q, with the additional structure that it provides a tiling of the torus T with oriented faces. We shall refer to the faces of the quiver dual to black (resp. white) vertices of the brane tiling as black (resp. white) faces.

As usual, we denote by Q_0 the set of vertices and by Q_1 the set of arrows of Q. To this information we add the set Q_2 of oriented faces. Let $h(a)$ and $t(a)$ denote the head and tail vertices of an arrow $a \in Q_1$. Then there exists a cochain complex

$$\mathbb{Z}^{Q_2} \xrightarrow{d^2} \mathbb{Z}^{Q_1} \xrightarrow{d^1} \mathbb{Z}^{Q_0} ,$$

where d^1 is defined by $d^1(a) = h(a) - t(a)$, and d^2 is defined by $d^2(f) = \sum_{a \in f} a$. Following [63], we define the group Λ by

$$\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^{Q_1}/(d^2(f) - d^2(f') \mid f, f' \in Q_2)$$

and let $w \colon \mathbb{Z}^{Q_1} \to \Lambda$ be the natural projection.

Now let $\mathbb{C}Q$ denote the path algebra of Q. Then the vector space $\mathbb{C}Q/[\mathbb{C}Q, \mathbb{C}Q]$ consists of all oriented cycles in the quiver Q. The consistent orientation of any face $f \in Q_2$ of the quiver means that we may interpret ∂f as an oriented cycle in the quiver. Thus, we can define an element of $W \in \mathbb{C}Q/[\mathbb{C}Q, \mathbb{C}Q]$ by letting

$$W = \sum_{f \in Q_2} (-1)^f \partial f ,$$

where $(-1)^f$ takes value $+1$ on black faces of Q, and -1 on white faces. This is called the superpotential attached to the brane tiling.

For each arrow $a \in Q_1$ there is a "derivation" $\partial_a \colon \mathbb{C}/[\mathbb{C}Q, \mathbb{C}Q] \to \mathbb{C}Q$ that takes any occurrences of the arrow a in an oriented cycle and removes them leading to a path from $h(a)$ to $t(a)$. Then the superpotential W determines an ideal of relations

$$J_W = (\partial_a W \mid a \in Q_1)$$

in the path algebra $\mathbb{C}Q$; this is usually called the Jacobi ideal. The quotient of the path algebra $\mathbb{C}Q$ by this ideal is the superpotential algebra

$$A = \mathbb{C}Q/J_W \,. \tag{B.1}$$

It was proved in [16] that under certain consistency conditions on the brane tiling the algebra A is a 3-dimensional Calabi-Yau algebra.

A *perfect matching* π in a brane tiling $\Gamma \subset T$ is a choice of edges of Γ such that each vertex of Γ is adjacent to exactly one of the edges. We denote by P the set of all perfect matchings in $\Gamma \subset T$. For any given $\pi \in P$, define the characteristic function $\chi_\pi \colon \mathbb{Z}^{Q_1} \to \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\chi_\pi(a) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & \text{if } a \in \pi, \\ 0 & \text{if } a \notin \pi. \end{array} \right.$$

It is plain that $\chi_\pi(d^2(f)) = 0$ for all $f \in Q_2$. This implies that χ_π induces a well-defined linear map $\Lambda \to \mathbb{Z}$.

C. A tilting bundle on Z

Throughout this appendix Z denotes a weak toric Fano surface and $X = \operatorname{tot}(\omega_Z)$ is the total space of the canonical bundle of Z, which we view as a quasi-projective variety with a fibration $\pi \colon X \to Z$. We shall again let $\iota \colon Z \hookrightarrow X$ denote the inclusion of the zero section. Our aim is to show that the restriction of a tilting bundle on X to the zero section $Z \subset X$ is a tilting bundle. Assertions of this sort have already been considered in [41, Lem. 7.1].

Before focussing on our specific problem, we record the following useful observation. Let T be a compact object in a compactly generated triangulated category $\mathscr{D}$. To say that $\mathscr{D}$ is generated by T is to say that the smallest triangulated subcategory of $\mathscr{D}$ that contains T and is closed under taking direct summands coincides with $\mathscr{D}$. A deep result of [13, Thm. 2.1.2] implies that the latter condition is equivalent to the following one: for any $E \in \mathscr{D}$,

$$\operatorname{Hom}(T, E[k]) = 0 \ \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \Rightarrow \quad E \cong 0 \,.$$

Lastly, it should be mentioned that the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves on a Noetherian scheme is compactly generated. In this category a compact object is a perfect complex.

Now let us come back to the situation at hand.

Proposition C.1. *Let $\mathscr{T}$ be a tilting bundle on X. Then $\mathscr{E} = \iota^*\mathscr{T}$ is a tilting bundle on Z.*

Proof. To begin with, we need to ensure that $\mathscr{E} = \iota^*\mathscr{T}$ has no higher self-extensions. The adjoint property of $\mathbf{L}\iota^*$ and ι_* together with the projection formula shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{R}\operatorname{Hom}^\bullet(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{E}) &= \mathbf{R}\operatorname{Hom}^\bullet(\mathbf{L}\iota^*\mathscr{T}, \mathbf{L}\iota^*\mathscr{T}) \\
&\cong \mathbf{R}\operatorname{Hom}^\bullet(\mathscr{T}, \iota_*\mathbf{L}\iota^*\mathscr{T}) \\
&\cong \mathbf{R}\operatorname{Hom}^\bullet(\mathscr{T}, \mathscr{T} \otimes^{\mathbf{L}} \iota_*\mathscr{O}_Z).
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the tautological exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \pi^*\omega_Z^{-1} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_X \longrightarrow \iota_*\mathscr{O}_Z,
$$

we obtain that the complex $\{\pi^*\omega_Z^{-1} \to \mathscr{O}_X\}$ is a resolution of $\iota_*\mathscr{O}_Z$ by locally free sheaves. Therefore we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{R}\operatorname{Hom}^\bullet(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{E}) &\cong \mathbf{R}\operatorname{Hom}^\bullet(\mathscr{T}, \mathscr{T} \otimes^{\mathbf{L}} \{\pi^*\omega_Z^{-1} \to \mathscr{O}_X\}) \\
&\cong \mathbf{R}\operatorname{Hom}^\bullet(\mathscr{T}, \{\mathscr{T} \otimes \pi^*\omega_Z^{-1} \to \mathscr{T}\}) \\
&\cong \mathbf{R}\Gamma(\mathscr{T}^\vee \otimes^{\mathbf{L}} \{\mathscr{T} \otimes \pi^*\omega_Z^{-1} \to \mathscr{T}\}) \\
&\cong \mathbf{R}\Gamma(\{\mathscr{T}^\vee \otimes \mathscr{T} \otimes \pi^*\omega_Z^{-1} \to \mathscr{T}^\vee \otimes \mathscr{T}\}) \\
&\cong \bigoplus_k H^k(X, \{\mathscr{T}^\vee \otimes \mathscr{T} \otimes \pi^*\omega_Z^{-1} \to \mathscr{T}^\vee \otimes \mathscr{T}\})[-k] \\
&\cong \bigoplus_k H^k(X, \mathscr{T}^\vee \otimes \mathscr{T})[-k].
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mathscr{T}$ is a tilting bundle, we have $H^k(X, \mathscr{T}^\vee \otimes \mathscr{T}) = \operatorname{Ext}_X^k(\mathscr{T}, \mathscr{T}) = 0$ for all $k > 0$, from which we deduce at once that

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_Z^k(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{E}) = H^k(\mathbf{R}\operatorname{Hom}^\bullet(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{E})) = 0 \quad \text{for } k > 0.
$$

Finally we have to prove that $\mathscr{E}$ generates $\mathbf{D}^b(\operatorname{Coh}(Z))$. The above remarks together with the adjoint property of $\mathbf{L}\iota^*$ and ι_* show that for any object $E \in \mathbf{D}^b(\operatorname{Coh}(Z))$

$$
\operatorname{Hom}(\mathscr{E}, E[k]) = 0 \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \iota_*E \cong 0.
$$

Since ι is a closed immersion, one obtains $E \cong 0$. The desired conclusion now follows from the foregoing remarks. $\square$

References

[1] M. Aganagic and C. Beem, *The Geometry of D-Brane Superpotentials*, [arXiv:0909.2245].

[2] M. Alim, M. Hecht, H. Jockers, P. Mayr, A. Mertens, and M. Soroush, *Hints for Off-Shell Mirror Symmetry in type II/F-theory Compactifications*, [arXiv:0909.1842].

[3] M. Alim, M. Hecht, P. Mayr, and A. Mertens, *Mirror Symmetry for Toric Branes on Compact Hypersurfaces*, JHEP **0909** (2009), 126, [arXiv:0901.2937].

[4] S. K. Ashok, E. Dell'Aquila, D.-E. Diaconescu, and B. Florea, *Obstructed D-Branes in Landau-Ginzburg Orbifolds*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **8** (2004), 427–472, [hep-th/0404167].

[5] P. S. Aspinwall, *Topological D-Branes and Commutative Algebra*, [hep-th/0703279].

[6] P. S. Aspinwall and L. M. Fidkowski, *Superpotentials for Quiver Gauge Theories*, JHEP **0610** (2006), 047, [hep-th/0506041].

[7] P. S. Aspinwall and A. Lawrence, *Derived Categories and Zero-Brane Stability*, JHEP **0108** (2001), 004, [hep-th/0104147].

[8] M. R. Ballard, *Sheaves on local Calabi-Yau varieties*, [arXiv:0801.3499].

[9] M. Baumgartl, I. Brunner, and M. R. Gaberdiel, *D-brane superpotentials and RG flows on the quintic*, JHEP **0707** (2007), 061, [arXiv:0704.2666].

[10] M. Baumgartl and S. Wood, *Moduli Webs and Superpotentials for Five-Branes*, [arXiv:0812.3397].

[11] A. A. Beĭlinson, *Coherent sheaves on $\mathbf{P}^n$ and problems in linear algebra*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **12** (1978), no. 3, 68–69.

[12] M. Bender and S. Mozgovoy, *Crepant resolutions and brane tilings II: Tilting bundles*, [arXiv:0909.2013].

[13] A. Bondal and M. van den Bergh, *Generators and representability of functors in commutative and noncommutative geometry*, Mosc. Math. J. **3** (2003), no. 1, 1–36, 258.

[14] A. I. Bondal, *Representations of associative algebras and coherent sheaves*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **53** (1989), no. 1, 25–44.

[15] T. Bridgeland, *T-structures on some local Calabi-Yau varieties*, J. Algebra **289** (2005), no. 2, 453–483 [math/0502050].

[16] N. Broomhead, *Dimer models and Calabi-Yau algebras*, PhD thesis, [arXiv:0901.4662].

[17] I. Brunner, M. R. Douglas, A. Lawrence, and C. Römelsberger, *D-branes on the Quintic*, JHEP **0008** (1994), 015, [hep-th/9906200].

[18] F. Cachazo, B. Fiol, K. Intriligator, S. Katz, and C. Vafa, *A Geometric Unification of Dualities*, Nucl. Phys. B **628** (2002), 3–78, [hep-th/0110028].

[19] N. Carqueville, *Matrix factorisations and open topological string theory*, JHEP **0907** (2009), 005, [arXiv:0904.0862].

[20] K. J. Costello, *Topological conformal field theories and Calabi-Yau categories*, Adv. in Math. **210** (2007), [math.QA/0412149].

[21] A. Craw and G. G. Smith, *Projective toric varieties as fine moduli spaces of quiver representations*, Amer. J. Math. **130** (2008), 1509–1534, [math/0608183].

[22] M. R. Douglas, *D-branes, Categories and $N = 1$ Supersymmetry*, J. Math. Phys. **42** (2001), 2818–2843, [hep-th/0011017].

[23] M. R. Douglas, S. Govindarajan, T. Jayaraman, and A. Tomasiello, *D-branes on Calabi-Yau Manifolds and Superpotentials*, Commun. Math. Phys. **248** (2004), 85–118, [hep-th/0203173].

[24] M. R. Douglas and G. W. Moore, *D-branes, Quivers, and ALE Instantons*, [hep-th/9603167].

[25] B. Feng, A. Hanany, and Y.-H. He, *D-Brane Gauge Theories from Toric Singularities and Toric Duality*, Nucl. Phys. B **595** (2001), 165–200, [hep-th/0003085].

[26] B. Feng, A. Hanany, and Y.-H. He, *Phase Structure of D-brane Gauge Theories and Toric Duality*, JHEP **0108** (2001), 040, [hep-th/0104259].

[27] B. Feng, A. Hanany, Y.-H. He, and A. M. Uranga, *Toric Duality as Seiberg Duality and Brane Diamonds*, JHEP **0112** (2001), 035, [hep-th/0109063].

[28] S. Franco, A. Hanany, K. D. Kennaway, D. Vegh, and B. Wecht, *Brane Dimers and Quiver Gauge Theories*, JHEP **0601** (2006), 096, [hep-th/0504110].

[29] S. Franco, A. Hanany, D. Martelli, J. Sparks, D. Vegh, and B. Wecht, *Gauge Theories from Toric Geometry and Brane Tilings*, JHEP **0601** (2006), 128, [hep-th/0505211].

[30] M. Futaki and K. Ueda, *A-infinity categories associated with dimer models*, [arXiv:0912.1656v1].

[31] S. Govindarajan and H. Jockers, *Effective superpotentials for B-branes in Landau-Ginzburg models*, JHEP **0610** (2006), 060, [hep-th/0608027].

[32] T. W. Grimm, T.-W. Ha, A. Klemm, and D. Klevers, *The D5-brane effective action and superpotential in* $\mathcal{N} = 1$ *compactifications*, Nucl. Phys. B **816** (2009), 139–184, [arXiv:0811.2996].

[33] T. W. Grimm, T.-W. Ha, A. Klemm, and D. Klevers, *Computing Brane and Flux Superpotentials in F-theory Compactifications*, [arXiv:0909.2025].

[34] T. W. Grimm, T.-W. Ha, A. Klemm, and D. Klevers, *Five-Brane Superpotentials and Heterotic/F-theory Duality*, [arXiv:0912.3250].

[35] A. Hanany, C. P. Herzog, and D. Vegh, *Seiberg duality as derived equivalence for some quiver gauge theories*, JHEP **0607** (2006), 001, [hep-th/0602041].

[36] A. Hanany and K. D. Kennaway, *Dimer models and toric diagrams*, [hep-th/0503149].

[37] M. Herbst, K. Hori, and D. Page, *Phases Of N = 2 Theories In* $1 + 1$ *Dimensions With Boundary*, [arXiv:0803.2045].

[38] M. Herbst, C. I. Lazaroiu, and W. Lerche, *Superpotentials,* A_∞ *Relations and WDVV Equations for Open Topological Strings*, JHEP **0502** (2005), 071, [hep-th/0402110].

[39] L. Hille and M. van den Bergh, *Fourier-Mukai transforms*, Handbook of tilting theory, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 332, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2007, pp. 147–177.

[40] C. Hofman and W. K. Ma, *Deformations of Topological Open Strings*, JHEP **0101** (2001), 035, [hep-th/0006120].

[41] A. Ishii and K. Ueda, *Dimer models and exceptional collections*, [arXiv:0911.4529].

[42] A. Ishii and K. Ueda, *Dimer models and the special McKay correspondence*, [arXiv:0905.0059].

[43] A. Ishii and K. Ueda, *On moduli spaces of quiver representations associated with dimer models*, Higher dimensional algebraic varieties and vector bundles, RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu, B9, Res. Inst. Math. Sci. (RIMS), Kyoto, 2008, pp. 127–141, [arXiv:0710.1898].

[44] H. Jockers and M. Soroush, *Effective superpotentials for compact D5-brane Calabi-Yau geometries*, Commun. Math. Phys. **290** (2009), 249–290, [arXiv:0808.0761].

[45] H. Jockers and M. Soroush, *Relative periods and open-string integer invariants for a compact Calabi-Yau hypersurface*, Nucl. Phys. B **821** (2009), 535–552, [arXiv:0904.4674].

[46] T. Kadeishvili, *On the homology theory of fibre spaces*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **35:3** (1980), 183–188, English translation in Russian Math. Surveys, 35:3 (1980), 231–238, [math.AT/0504437].

[47] M. M. Kapranov, *On the derived categories of coherent sheaves on some homogeneous spaces*, Invent. Math. **92** (1988), no. 3, 479–508.

[48] B. Keller, *A-infinity algebras in representation theory*, (2000), contribution to the proceedings of ICRA IX, Beijing 2000.

[49] B. Keller, *Introduction to A-infinity algebras and modules*, Homology, Homotopy and Applications **3** (2001), 1–35, [math.RA/9910179].

[50] B. Keller, *A-infinity algebras, modules and functor categories*, Trends in representation theory of algebras and related topics, Contemp. Math., vol. 406, Amer. Math. Soc., 2006, pp. 67–93.

[51] A. D. King, *Moduli of representations of finite-dimensional algebras*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **45** (1994), no. 180, 515–530.

[52] A. D. King, *Tilting bundles on some rational surfaces*, available at http://www.maths.bath.ac.uk/~masadk/papers/.

[53] J. Knapp and E. Scheidegger, *Towards Open String Mirror Symmetry for One-Parameter Calabi-Yau Hypersurfaces*, [arXiv:0805.1013].

[54] M. Kontsevich, *Formal (non)commutative symplectic geometry*, The Gelfand Mathematical Seminars, 1990-1992, Fields Institute Communications, Birkhäuser Boston, 1993.

[55] M. Kontsevich and Y. Soibelman, *Homological mirror symmetry and torus fibrations*, Symplectic Geometry and Mirror Symmetry (K. Fukaya, Y.-G. Oh, K. Ono, and G. Tian, eds.), World Scientific Publishing Company, 2000, pp. 203–264, [math.SG/0011041].

[56] C. I. Lazaroiu, *Generating the superpotential on a D-brane category: I*, [hep-th/0610120].

[57] C. I. Lazaroiu, *String field theory and brane superpotentials*, JHEP **0110** (2001), 018, [hep-th/0107162].

[58] C. I. Lazaroiu, *On the non-commutative geometry of topological D-branes*, JHEP **0511** (2005), 032, [hep-th/0507222].

[59] K. Lefèvre-Hasegawa, *Sur les A-infini catégories*, PhD thesis, [math.CT/0310337].

[60] D.-M. Lu, J. H. Palmieri, Q.-S. Wu, and J. J. Zhang, *A-infinity structure on Ext-algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **213** (2009), no. 11, 2017–2037, [math/0606144].

[61] S. A. Merkulov, *Strongly homotopy algebras of a Kähler manifold*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices **3** (1999), 153–164, [math.AG/9809172].

[62] D. R. Morrison and J. Walcher, *D-branes and Normal Functions*, [arXiv:0709.4028].

[63] S. Mozgovoy, *Crepant resolutions and brane tilings I: Toric realization*, [arXiv:0908.3475].

[64] S. Mukhopadhyay and K. Ray, *Seiberg duality as derived equivalence for some quiver gauge theories*, JHEP **0402** (2004), 070, [hep-th/0309191].

[65] A. N. Rudakov, *Exceptional collections, mutations and helices*, Helices and vector bundles, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 148, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990, pp. 1–6.

[66] E. Segal, *The A-infinity Deformation Theory of a Point and the Derived Categories of Local Calabi-Yaus*, J. Alg. **320** (2008), 3232–3268, [math/0702539].

[67] P. Seidel, *Suspending Lefschetz fibrations, with an application to Local Mirror Symmetry*, [arXiv:0907.2063].

[68] E. R. Sharpe, *D-branes, derived categories, and Grothendieck groups*, Nucl. Phys. B **561** (1999), 433–450, [hep-th/9902116].

[69] J. Stienstra, *Hypergeometric Systems in two Variables, Quivers, Dimers and Dessins d'Enfants*, Modular forms and string duality, Fields Inst. Commun., Amer. Math. Soc., 2008, pp. 125–161, [arXiv:0711.0464].

[70] J. Walcher, *Opening Mirror Symmetry on the Quintic*, Commun. Math. Phys. **276** (2007), 671–689, [hep-th/0605162].

[71] J. Walcher, *Calculations for Mirror Symmetry with D-branes*, JHEP **0909** (2009), 129, [arXiv:0904.4905].

[72] E. Witten, *Mirror Manifolds And Topological Field Theory*, Mirror symmetry 1 (S.-T. Yau, ed.), AMS/IP studies in advanced mathematics, vol. 9, Amer. Math. Soc., 1991, [hep-th/9112056].