Supporting information: Health inequalities at the intersection of multiple social determinants among under five children residing Nairobi urban slums: an application of multilevel analysis of individual heterogeneity and discriminatory accuracy (MAIHDA).

Statistical details

Let y_{ij} denote a binary health outcome (i.e., whether has an outcome or not) for child i(i = 1, ..., n) in intersectional strata j (j = 1, ..., N) where:

$$y_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ absence of health outcome} \\ 1 \text{ Presence of health outcome} \end{cases}$$
Eq. (1)

 y_{ij} is assumed to follow a Bernoulli distribution, with probabilities $\pi_{ij} = Pr(y_{ij} = 0)$ the probability of child *i* from intersectional stratus *j* having no health outcome and $1 - \pi_{ij} = Pr(y_i = 1)$ the probability of child *i* from stratus *j* having a health outcome. Let X'_{ij} be a vector of social determinants of health (SDOH) used as explanatory variables. The multilevel logistic for model 1 with no main effects takes the form:

$$logit(\pi_{ij}) = log\left(\frac{\pi_{ij}}{1-\pi_{ij}}\right) = \beta_0 + \mu_{0j}$$
 Eq. (2)

where β_0 is the intercept and $\mu_{0j} \sim N(0, \sigma_{\mu}^2)$ represents the random intercept for the intersectional stratum level residual which is normally distributed with mean 0 and variance σ_{μ}^2 . Model 1 include explanatory variables, so the intersectional stratum random effect captures both the main effects of SDOH used to define intersectional strata and their interactions. Assuming no omitted variable bias, the intersectional strata level residual μ_{0j} captures the unique intersectional effects for each intersectional strata (i.e., intersectional -specific differences in health condition) while accounting for sample size differences for each social group.

Eq. 2 can be extended into model 2 by including main effects (i.e., SDOH used in construction intersectional strata) as explanatory variables and takes the form:

$$logit(\pi_{ij}) = log\left(\frac{\pi_{ij}}{1 - \pi_{ij}}\right) = \beta_0 + X'_{ij}\beta + \mu_{0j} \qquad \text{Eq. (3)}$$

where β_0 is the intercept, X'_{ij} is a vector of vector of SDOH used in creating intersectional strata with coefficient vector β , and $\mu_{0j} \sim N(0, \sigma_{\mu}^2)$ is a random intercept assumed to follow a normal distribution with mean 0 and variance σ_{μ}^2 .

We used variance partitioning coefficient (VPC) to estimate discriminatory accuracy of intersectional strata n models 1 and 2 (1, 2). VPC indicates the share of the total individual variance in the in the probability of having a health outcome that is accounted for at the intersectional strata level (2). VPCs were calculated for both model 1 and 2 using Equation (4):

$$VPC = \left(\frac{\sigma_{\mu}^2}{\sigma_{\mu}^2 + 3.29}\right) \times 100\%$$
 Eq. (4)

Where σ_{μ}^2 denotes the between stratum variance in the propensity for having a health outcome, while 3.29 indicates the within stratum between individual stratum variance constrained equal to the variance of the standard logistic distribution (3). VPC will be presented as the percentage share of individual variance which lies between strata. In model 2, assuming no relevant variables were omitted when constructing strata, VPC inform on the existence of intersectional multiplicative interaction effects (1, 4, 5).

The proportion of variance explained by the adding main effects is estimated by calculating the proportional change in variance (PCV) of intersectional strata between null model and model including fixed effects (1)

$$PCV = \left(\frac{\sigma_{\mu(1)}^2 - \sigma_{\mu(2)}^2}{\sigma_{\mu(1)}^2}\right) \times 100\%$$
 Eq. (5)

where $\sigma_{\mu(1)}^2$ and $\sigma_{\mu(2)}^2$ represents the intersectional strata variances in the null model and the model containing main effects respectively. The PCV represents the proportion of the total betweenstratum variance of intersectional strata of the null model that is explained after including main effects. In the absence of any stratum specific interactions, the main effects used to construct the intersectional strata would completely explain the between stratum variance and all stratum random effects would be equal to zero. This implies that, the lower the PCV, the higher the amount explained variance which can be due to interaction effects or to omitted variable bias (1, 4, 5). For model 3, we just added the explanatory variables which were not included in model 2.

Diarrhea

 Table a1: Distribution of socio determinants characteristics for diarrhea in Nairobi Cross-sectional survey 2012

Variable	Categories	Diarrhea		
		Yes	No	
Children demograph	ic characteristics			
Age	1 year and less (infants)	124 (21.3%)	458 (78.7%)	582 (33.5%)
	2 -5 years	180 (15.6%)	976 (84.4%)	1,156 (66.5%)
Sex	Male	161 (19.0%)	687 (81.0%)	848 (48.8%)
	Female	143 (16.1%)	747 (83.9%)	890 (51.2%)
	_			
Women characterist	ics	25 (22 424)		100 (5.00()
Age	18 years and under	25 (23.1%)	83 (76.9%)	108 (6.2%)
	19 years and above	279 (17.1%)	1,351 (82.9%)	1,630 (93.8%)
Education	Primary	104 (18.5%)	/22 (81.5%)	880 (51.0%)
	Nono	130 (10.5%)	087 (83.3%) 25 (86.2%)	823 (47.4%) 28 (1 7%)
	None	4 (13.878)	25 (80.278)	20 (1.770)
Head of household d	emographic characteristics			
Gender	Female	43 (19.1%)	182 (80.9%)	225 (12.9%)
	Male	261 (17.3%)	1.252 (82.7%)	1.513 (87.1%)
		- ()	, - ()	, (,
Ethnicity	Kamba	39 (13.3%)	255 (86.7%)	294 (16.9%)
•	Kikuyu	46(13.9%)	284 (86.1%)	330 (19.0%)
	Luhya	106 (21.8%)	380 (78.2%)	486 (28.0%)
	Luo	72 (20.5%)	280 (79.5%)	352 (20.3%)
	Other	41 (14.9%)	235 (85.1%)	276 (15.9%)
Age	17 – 24years	25 (18.4%)	111 (81.6%)	136 (7.8%)
	25 -34 years	186 (18.7%)	807 (81.3%)	993 (57.1%)
	35 years above	93 (15.3%)	516 (84.7%)	609 (35.0%)
education	None	13 (10.4%)	112 (89.6%)	125 (7.2%)
	educated	191 (18.1%)	864 (81.9%)	1,055 (60.7%)
	Don't know and not applicable	100 (17.9%)	458 (82.1%)	558 (32.1%)
Social Structure				
Woalth index	Pich	120 (14 0%)	740 (86.0%)	860 (40 5%)
Wealth muex	Middle	86 (22.1%)	303 (77 9%)	389 (22.4%)
	Poor	98 (20.0%)	391 (80.0%)	489 (28 1%)
	1001	50 (20.070)	551 (55.576)	105 (20.176)
Length of stav	New migrants	36 (25.0%)	108 (75.0%)	144 (8.3%)
0 /	Old migrants	123 (18.0%)	559 (82.0%)	682 (39.2%)
	Not applicable	145 (15.9%)	767 (84.1%)	912 (52.5%)
Household religion	Catholic	63 (14.8%)	363 (85.2%)	426 (24.5%)
	Protestant	220 (19.3%)	921 (80.7%)	1,141 (65.7%)
	Other	21 (12.3%)	150 (87.7%)	171 (9.8%)
Disability in	Yes	6 (25.0%)	18 (75.0%)	20 (1.4%)
household	No	282 (17.8%)	1,299 (82.2%)	1,581 (91.0%)
	Missing/Not applicable	16 (12.0%)	117 (88.0%)	133 (7.7%)
T		0 (0 70()	05 (04 20()	104 (5.0%)
Tenure	No rent paid	9 (8.7%)	95 (91.3%)	104 (6.0%)
	Paystellt	295 (10.1%)	1,559 (61.9%)	1,034 (94.0%)
Food availability	enough	51 (13 5%)	376 (86 5%)	377 (21 7%)
i oou avanability	not enough	253 (18 6%)	1 108 (81 4%)	1 361 (78 3%)
		200 (10.070)	1,100 (01.4/0)	1,001 (70.070)
Income generating	Employed	94 (19.7%)	383 (80.3%)	477 (27.4%)
activity	Own business	22 (14.2%)	133 (85.8%)	155 (8.9%)
	Not applicable	188 (17.0%)	918 (83.0%)	1,106 (63.6%)
		. ,		
Health Insurance	Yes	69 (13.7%)	436 (86.3%)	505 (29.1%)
	No	235 (19.1%)	998 (80.9%)	1,233 (70.9%)
health catastrophic	No	276 (17.2%)	1,324 (82.8%)	1,600 (92.1%)
costs	Yes	28 (20.3%)	110 (79.7%)	138 (7.9%)
Total		304 (17.5%)	1,434 (82.5%)	1,738 (100.0%)

		Coefficient	Chandrad F	Divolute
		Coefficient	Standard Error	P-value
Intercept	Category (reference)			
Child age	1 year and less (ref)			
	2 -5 years	-0.39	0.14	0.01***
Child Sex	Female (ref)			
	Male	0.20	0.13	0.25
Head of household sex	Female (ref)			
	Male	-0.13	0.18	0.49
Head of household age	17 – 24 (ref)			
	25 -34	0.03	0.25	0.92
	35 and above	-0.22	0.24	0.37
Head of household	Kamba (ref)			
ethnicity	Kikuyu	0.06	0.24	0.81
	Luhya	0.60	0.20	0.01**
	Luo	0.52	0.22	0.01**
	Other	0.13	0.24	0.56
			•	
Wealth index	Rich (ref)			
	Middle	0.56	0.16	0.01***
	Poor	0.44	0.15	0.01***
		0.77	0.10	0.01
Length of stav	New migrants (ref)			
0	Missing	-0.57	0.21	0.01**
	Old migrants	-0.42	0.22	0.06
Health Insurance	INO (PET) Ves	-0.40	0.15	0.01***
	163	-0.40	0.15	0.01
Catastrophic health	No (ref)			
costs	Yes	0.20	0.22	0.37
Feed as with O	Francisk (raf)			
Food security8	Enougn (ref) Not enough	0.37	0.17	0.02*
	NOT CHOUGH	0.37	0.17	0.02
Income generating	Employed (ref)			
activity	Missing/Not applicable	-0.18	0.14	0.20
	Own business	-0.39	0.26	0.13
Highest Education	None (ref)			
Ingriest Euulation	educated	0.63	0.32	0.04*
	Don't know/not applicable	0.64	0.30	0.03*
	. ,			
Religion	Catholic (ref)	0.22	0.45	0.04*
	Protestant	0.32	0.16	0.04* 0.43
	Julei	-0.22	0.27	0.43
Disability	Missing/not applicable (ref)			
	No	0.46	0.28	0.09
	Yes	0.89	0.54	0.10
Women age	18 years and below (rof)			
women age	19 years and over	-0.38	0.24	0.11
	,			
Women education	Primary (ref)			
	Post primary	-0.14	0.13	0.28
Tenure	None No rent naid (rof)	-0.35	0.55	0.52
renure	Pays rent	0.84	0.35	0.02**
	~,-···	•		

Table a2: Univariate analyses for diarrhea in Nairobi Cross-sectional survey 2012

. ** P<.05: significant

Fever

Table a3: Distribution of socio determinants characteristics for fever in Nairobi Cross-sectional survey 2012.

Variable	Categories	Fever		
		Yes	No	
Children demograph	ic characteristics			
Age	1 year and less (infants)	110 (18.9%)	473 (81.1%)	583 (33.7%)
	2 -5 years	186 (16.2%)	962 (83.8%)	1,148 (66.3%)
<u> </u>		100 (15 00()		
Sex	Male	130 (15.3%)	719 (84.7%)	849 (49.0%)
	Female	166 (18.8%)	716 (81.2%)	882 (51.0%)
Manan abayastariat	ing			
	18 years and under	27 (24 2%)	81 (75 7%)	111 (6 4%)
Age	10 years and above	27 (24.5%)	04 (75.7%)	1 620 (0.4%)
Education	19 years and above	209 (10.0%)	1,331 (83.4%)	1,020 (9.4%)
Lucation	Post primary	120 (16.0%)	FON (02.370)	800 (50.8%)
	None	0 (0 0%)	28 (100 0%)	28 (1 6%)
	None	0 (0.070)	28 (100.070)	28 (1.0%)
Head of household d	lemographic characteristics			
Gender	Female	33 (15,1%)	185 (84,9%)	218 (12.6%)
o cinaci	Male	263 (17.4%)	1.250 (82.6%)	1.513 (87.4%)
		200 (2717)	2)200 (02:07:0)	2,020 (07177)
Ethnicitv	Kamba	28 (9.6%)	265 (90.4%)	293 (16.9%)
	Kikuvu	42 (12.8%)	285 (87.2%)	327 (18.9%)
	Luhya	110 (22.7%)	375 (77.3%)	485 (28.0%)
	Luo	62 (17.7%)	288 (82.3%)	350 (20.2%)
	Other	54 (19.6%)	222 (80.4%)	276 (15.9%)
		- .,	(30)	
Age	17 – 24vears	27 (20.1%)	107 (79.9%)	134 (7.7%)
0 -	25 - 34 years	180 (18.2%)	809 (81.8%)	989 (57.1%)
	35 years above	89 (14.6%)	519 (85.4%)	608 (35.1%)
		(
education	None	23 (18.9%)	99 (81.1%)	122 (7.0%)
	Educated	181 (17.4%)	862 (82.6%)	1,043 (60.3%)
	Don't know and not applicable	92 (16.3%)	474 (83.7%)	566 (32.7%)
		· · /		
Social Structure				
Wealth index	Rich	123 (14.5%)	728 (85.5%)	851 (49.2%)
	Middle	80 (20.5%)	311 (79.5%)	391 (22.6%)
	Poor	93 (19.0%)	396 (81.0%)	489 (28.2%)
Length of stay	New migrants	34 (23.4%)	111 (76.6%)	145 (8.4%)
	Old migrants	123 (18.3%)	549 (81.7%)	672 (38.8%)
	Not applicable	139 (15.2%)	775 (84.8%)	914 (52.8%)
Household religion	Catholic	74 (17.5%)	348 (82.5%)	422 (24.4%)
	Protestant	197 (17.3%)	943 (82.7%)	1,140 (65.9%)
	Other	25 (14.8%)	144 (85.2%)	169 (9.8%)
Disability in	Yes	5 (20.8%)	19 (79.2%)	24 (1.4%)
household	No	270 (17.2%)	1,302 (82.8%)	1,572 (90.8%)
	Missing/Not applicable	21 (15.6%)	114 (84.4%)	135 (7.8%)
Tenure	No rent paid	19 (18.1%)	86 (81.9%)	105 (6.1%)
	Pays rent	277 (17.0%)	1,349 (83.0%)	1,626 (93.9%)
Food security	enough	49 (13.2%)	323 (86.8%)	372 (21.5%)
	Not enough	247 (18.2%)	1,112 (81.8%)	1,359 (78.5%)
Income generating	Employed	78 (16.6%)	393 (83.4%)	471 (27.2%)
activity	Own business	25 (16.2%)	129 (83.8%)	154 (8.9%)
	Not applicable	193 (17.5%)	913 (82.5%)	1,106 (63.9%)
Health Insurance	Yes	80 (15.9%)	423 (84.1%)	503 (29.1%)
	No	216 (17.6%)	1,012 (82.4%)	1,228 (70.9%)
health catastrophic	No	260 (16.3%)	1,335 (83.7%)	1,595 (92.1%)
costs	Yes	36 (26.5%)	100 (73.5%)	136 (7.9%)
Total		296 (17.1%)	1,435 (82.9%)	1,731 (100.0%)

Children	1	Coefficient	Standard Error	P-value
Child age	1 year and less (ref)	0.42	0.42	0.20
	2 -5 years	-0.18	0.13	0.20
Child Sex	Female (ref)			
	Male	-0.24	0.13	0.05*
Head of household sex	Female (ref)			
	Male	0.17	0.20	0.41
Head of household age	17 – 24 (ref)			
	25 -34	0.42	0.23	0.59
	35 and above	-0.39	0.24	0.11
Ethnic	Kamba (ref)			
	Kikuyu	0.33	0.25	0.20
	Luhya	1.02	0.23	0.01**
	Luo	0.71	0.24	0.01**
	Other	0.83	0.25	0.01**
Wealth index	Rich (ref)			
	Middle	0.42	0.16	0.01**
	Poor	0.33	0.15	0.02**
Length of stay	New migrants (ref)			
	Missing Old migrants	-0.54 -0.31	0.22 0.22	0.01* 0.16
Health insurance	No (ref)			
	Yes	-0.12	0.14	0.40
Catastrophic health	No (ref)			
expenditure	Yes	0.61	0.21	0.01**
Food security	Enough (ref)	0.22	0.47	0.02**
	Not enough	0.38	0.17	0.02**
Income generating	Employed (ref)	0.05	0.45	0.67
activity	Missing/Not applicable	0.06 -0.02	0.15 0.25	0.67 0.92
	Gwii business	-0.02	0.25	0.52
Highest Education	None (ref) Educated	-0.18	0.26	0.49
	Don't know/not applicable	-0.10	0.25	0.68
Religion	Catholic (ref)	0.55	0.07	0.45
	Protestant Other	-0.20 -0.02	0.25 0.15	0.42 0.91
Disability	Missing/not applicable (ref)			
	No	0.18	0.25	0.93
	Yes	0.35	0.56	0.52
Women age	18 years and below (ref) 19 – 49 years	-0.48	0.23	0.04**
Women education	Primary (ref)			
	Post primary	-0.06	0.13	0.60
	None	-15.04	453.47	0.97
Tenure	No rent paid (ref) Pays rent	-0.07	0.26	0.78
	i aya i circ	0.07	0.20	0.70

Table a4. Utilvaliale allalvses results for rever in thailobile loss-sectional survey 201	Table a4: Univ	ariate analyses re	esults for fever	in Nairobi Cro	oss-sectional sur	vev 2012
---	----------------	--------------------	------------------	----------------	-------------------	----------

. ** P<.05: significant

Cough

Variable	Categories	Cough		
		Yes	No	
Children demographi	ic characteristics			
Age	1 year and less (infants)	153 (26.6%)	423 (73.4%)	576 (33.5%)
0	2 -5 vears	277 (24.2%)	868 (67.2%)	1.145 (66.5%)
	/	(,.)		
Sex	Male	202 (24 0%)	638 (76.0%)	840 (48 8%)
JCA	Fomalo	202 (25.0%)	652 (74.1%)	881 (51 2%)
	Terride	220 (23.370)	055 (74.170)	881 (51.270)
Woman characteristi				
Ago	19 years and under	20 (26 00/)	70 (72 10/)	108 (6.2%)
Age	10 years and above	29 (20.9%)	1 212 (75.1%)	1 612 (02 7%)
E du cati a a	19 years and above	401 (24.9%)	1,212 (75.1%)	1,013 (93.7%)
Education	Primary	222(25.4%)	051(74.0%)	8/3 (50.7%)
	Post primary	205 (25.1%)	613 (74.9%)	818 (47.5%)
	None	3 (10.0%)	27 (90.0%)	30 (17.0%)
Head of household d	emographic characteristics	(()		
Gender	Female	50 (22.5%)	1/2 (//.5%)	222 (12.9%)
	Male	380 (25.4%)	1,119 (74.5%)	1,499 (87.1%)
Ethnicity	Kamba	44(15.0%)	250 (85.0%)	294 (17.1%)
	Kikuyu	70 (21.4%)	257 (78.6%)	327 (19.0%)
	Luhya	144 (30.0%)	336 (70.0%)	480 (27.9%)
	Luo	96 (27.7%)	250 (42.3%)	346 (20.1%)
	Other	76 (17.7%)	198 (72.3%)	274 (15.9%)
Age	17 – 24 years	35 (25.9%)	100 (74.1%)	135 (7.8%)
0	25 - 34 years	264 (26.9%)	719 (73.1%)	983 (57.1%)
	35 years above	131 (21.7%)	472 (78.3%)	603 (35.0%)
		(
education	None	26 (21.5%)	95 (78,5%)	121 (7.0%)
	educated	263 (25.1%)	784 (74 9%)	1 047 (60 8%)
	Don't know and not applicable	141 (27 4%)	412 (74 5%)	553 (32 1%)
		111(2717/0)		000 (02:270)
Social Structure				
Wealth index	Pich	199 (22 2%)	659 (77 9%)	846 (40.2%)
Wealth muex	Middle	108 (22.270)	050 (77.878)	297 (22 5%)
	Rear	108 (27.9%)	279 (72.1%)	307 (22.3%) 499 (39.4%)
	PUOI	154 (27.5%)	554 (72.5%)	488 (28.4%)
La salla a Calas	Nie of the second s	50 (24 70()	04 (65 20()	
Length of stay	New migrants	50 (34.7%)	94 (65.3%)	144 (8.4%)
	Old migrants	162 (23.9%)	515 (76.1%)	677 (39.3%)
	Missing/Not applicable	218 (24.2%)	682 (75.8%)	900 (52.3%)
Household religion	Catholic	101 (24.05%)	319 (76.0%)	420 (24.4%)
	Protestant	293 (25.8%)	842 (74.2%)	1,135 (66.0%)
	Other	36 (21.7%)	130 (78.3%)	166 (9.6%)
Disability	No	390 (25.0%)	1,173 (75.0%)	1,563 (90.8%)
	Yes	8 (33.3%)	16 (66.7%)	24 (1.4%)
	Missing/Not applicable	32 (23.9%)	102 (76.1%)	134 (7.8%)
Tenure	No rent paid	20 (19.4%)	83 (80.6%)	103 (60.0%)
	Pays rent	410 (25.3%)	1,208 (74.7%)	1,208 (74.7%)
Food security	enough	87 (23.5%)	284 (76.5%)	371 (21.6%)
	not enough	343 (25.4%)	1,007 (74.6%)	1,350 (78.4%)
	-			
Income generating	Employed	120 (25.3%)	355 (74.7%)	475 (27.6%)
activity	Own business	38 (24.4%)	118 (75.6%)	156 (9.1%)
/	Not applicable	272 (25.0%)	818 (75.0%)	1.090 (60.5%)
	- PF	()	(/ 0)	,,
Health Insurance	Yes	130 (26 1%)	368 (73 9%)	498 (28 9%)
	No	300 (24 5%)	972 (75 5%)	1 223 (71 1%)
		500 (27.570)	JZJ (1J.J/0)	1,223 (/ 1.1/0)
hoalth catactrophic	No	280 (24 0%)	1 201 /76 00/1	1 591 (01 0%)
	NO	500 (24.0%)	1,2U1 (70.U%)	1,301 (91.9%)
CUSIS (40%	162	JU (33./%)	90 (64.3%)	140 (7.7%)
unresnola) Tatal		420 (25 00()	1 201 /75 200	1 721 (100 000)
I OTAI		430 (25.0%)	1,291 (75.0%)	1,721 (100.0%)

 Table a5: Distribution of socio determinants characteristics for cough in in Nairobi Cross-sectional survey 2012

		Coefficient	Standard Error	P-value
Intercept	Category (reference)			
Child age	1 year and less (ref)			
crind age	2 - 5 years	-0.13	0 1 2	0.30
		-0.15	0.12	0.50
Child Sox	Fomale (ref)			
child Sex	Malo	-0.10	0 11	0.28
	Male	-0.10	0.11	0.56
Lload of household	Formala (raf)			
sex	Mala	0.16	0.17	0.26
00/1	Male	0.10	0.17	0.30
the staff because and	47 24/0			
Head of nousehold	17 – 24 (ret)	0.05	0.04	0.00
uge	25-34	0.05	0.21	0.82
	35 and above	-0.23	0.22	0.29
Head of household	Kamba (ref)			
ethnicity	Kikuyu	0.44	0.21	0.04*
	Luhya	0.89	0.19	0.01**
	Luo	0.78	0.20	0.01**
	Other	0.78	0.21	0.01**
Wealth index	Rich (ref)			
	Middle	0.30	0.14	0.03*
	Poor	0.28	0.13	0.03*
Length of stay	New migrants (ref)			
	Missing	-0.51	0.19	0.01**
	Old migrants	-0.53	0.20	0.0/**
Health insurance	No (ref)			
	Yes	0.08	0.12	0.49
Catastrophic health	No (ref) Xos	0.56	0.19	0.01**
expenditure	165	0.50	0.18	0.01
Food security	Enough (ref)			
	Not enough	0.11	0.14	0.44
Income concreting	Employed (rof)			
activity	Missing/Not applicable	-0.02	0.13	0.90
,	Own business	-0.05	0.21	0.82
Highest Education	None (ref)	0.00	0.24	0.00
	educated	0.22	0.24	0.36
		0.20	0.20	0.50
Religion	Catholic (ref)			
	Protestant	-0.13	0.22	0.54
	Other	0.09	0.13	0.48
Disability	No (ref)			
,	Missing/not applicable	-0.05	0.21	0.78
	Yes	0.40	0.43	0.35
Women ago	18 years and below (ref)			
women age	19 – 49 years	-0.10	0.22	0.64
Women education	Primary (ref)			-
	Post primary	-0.02	0.11	0.86
	None	-1.12	0.61	0.07
Tenure	No rent paid (ref)			
	Pays rent	0.34	0.25	0.18

Table a6: Univariate analyses for cough in Nairobi Cross-sectional survey 2012

. ** P<.05: significant

		Model 1		Model 2	
	Category (reference)	Odd Ratio	95% CI	Odd Ratio	95% CI
Intercept Child age	1 year and less (ref)	0.18**	(0.14, 0.22)	0.03	(0.01, 0.11)
0	2 -5 years			0.78	(0.54, 1.15)
Ethnic group	Kamba (ref) Kikuyu Luhya Luo Other			0.88 1.94** 1.35 1.03	(0.44, 1.15) (1.10, 3.56) (0.74, 2.52) (0.53, 199)
Wealth index	Rich (ref) Middle Poor			1.64** 1.09	(1.04, 2.60) (0.96, 1.97)
Length of stay	New migrants (ref) Missing/not applicable			0.65	(0.40, 1.05)
	Old migrants			0.73**	(0.45, 1.21)
Health insurance	No (ref) Yes			0.70**	(0.49, 0.96)
Religion	Catholic (ref) Protestants Other/not applicable			1.37 0.90	(0.90, 2.13) (0.36, 2.13)
Food security	Enough (ref) Not enough			1.56	(0.97, 2.56)
Tenure	No rent (ref) Rented			2.07	(0.79, 6.50)
Education	none (ref) educated			2.44**	(1.27, 5.04)
Strata		0.40		0.32	
variance Strata N Individual		491 1,180		491 1,738	
AUC -ROC VPC PCV		87.17% 11.26%		76.73% 9.57% 16.59%	

Table a7: Fixed effects, strata variance, area under the curve, variance partition coefficient and proportional change of variance for diarrhea (model 1 and 2) in Nairobi Cross-sectional Survey 2012 – sensitivity analysis.

95% CI: 95% credible interval; **: significant odds ratio; AUC-ROC: area under the receiver operating characteristic curve; VPC: variance partition coefficient; PCV: proportional change in variance



Figure a1: Estimated intersectional effects estimates and their corresponding 95% credible intervals (CI) for each stratum for diarrhea ranked from lowest to highest: model 1 (panel A) and model 2 (panel B) – sensitivity analysis when "Don't know and not applicable" in education variable are included in model.

References

1. Merlo J, Yang M, Chaix B, Lynch J, Råstam L. A brief conceptual tutorial on multilevel analysis in social epidemiology: investigating contextual phenomena in different groups of people. Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health. 2005;59(9):729-36.

2. Goldstein H, Browne W, Rasbash J. Partitioning variation in multilevel models. Understanding statistics: statistical issues in psychology, education, and the social sciences. 2002;1(4):223-31.

3. Goldstein H. Multilevel statistical models: John Wiley & Sons; 2011.

4. Evans CR, Leckie G, Merlo J. Multilevel versus single-level regression for the analysis of multilevel information: The case of quantitative intersectional analysis. Soc Sci Med. 2020;245:112499.

5. Persmark A, Wemrell M, Zettermark S, Leckie G, Subramanian S, Merlo J. Precision public health: mapping socioeconomic disparities in opioid dispensations at Swedish pharmacies by multilevel analysis of individual heterogeneity and discriminatory accuracy (MAIHDA). PloS one. 2019;14(8):e0220322.