## A systematic evaluation of physical activity and diet policies in Scotland: results from the 2021 Active Healthy Kids Report Card

# Simone A. Tomaz<sup>1</sup>, John J. Reilly<sup>2</sup>, Avril Johnstone<sup>3</sup>, Adrienne Hughes<sup>2</sup>, Jenni Robertson<sup>4</sup>, Leone C.A. Craig<sup>5</sup>, Farid Bardid<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Health Sciences and Sport, University of Stirling, Stirling, FK9 4LA, UK

<sup>2</sup>Physical Activity for Health Group, Department of Psychological Sciences and Health, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, G1 1QE, UK

<sup>3</sup>MRC/CSO Social and Public Health Sciences Unit, School of Health and Wellbeing, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, G12 8TB, UK

<sup>4</sup>School of Pharmacy and Life Sciences, Robert Gordon University, Aberdeen, AB10 7GJ, UK

<sup>5</sup>Institute of Applied Health Sciences, School of Medicine, Medical Sciences and Nutrition, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, AB25 2ZD, UK

<sup>6</sup>Strathclyde Institute of Education, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, G4 0LT, UK

Address correspondence to Simone A. Tomaz, E-mail: simone.tomaz@stir.ac.uk.

#### ABSTRACT

**Background** Policymaking regarding physical activity (PA) and diet plays an important role in childhood health promotion. This study provides a detailed examination of Scottish government and policy for child and adolescent PA and diet and discusses strengths and areas for improvement.

**Methods** Scottish policy documents (n = 18 [PA]; n = 10 [diet])—published in 2011–20—were reviewed for grading using an adapted version of the Health-Enhancing Physical Activity Policy Audit Tool Version 2.

**Results** There is clear evidence of leadership and commitment to improving PA and diet and tackling obesity in children and adolescents. The allocation of funds and resources for policy implementation has increased substantially over the past decade. Progress through early key stages of public policymaking—policy agenda and formation—has improved. However, there is limited information on later key stages, including policy monitoring and evaluation.

**Conclusions** Childhood PA and diet are a clear priority in Scotland, and PA and diet policies clearly support the desire to achieve other goals, including reducing inequalities and increasing active travel in Scotland. Nonetheless, future policies should be further strengthened through clear(er) plans of implementation, and monitoring and evaluation to support their societal impact.

Keywords food and nutrition, obesity, physical activity

## Introduction

Physical activity (PA) and a healthy diet play an important role in children and adolescents' current and future health and wellbeing. Participation in regular moderate- to vigorousintensity physical activity (MVPA) has cognitive, physical and mental health benefits for children and young people, including improved academic performance, improved physical fitness and fewer symptoms of depression.<sup>1</sup> Similarly, good nutrition profoundly impacts children's growth and development with long-term benefits for both individuals and societies. Therefore, it is crucial for governments to have policies that prioritize PA opportunities and support healthy diets. Policy actions, as outlined in the World Health Organization's (WHO) Global Action Plan for the Prevention and Control of Noncommunicable Diseases<sup>2</sup> and the Physical Activity Strategy for the WHO European Region<sup>3</sup> can support the achievement of Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).<sup>4</sup> PA and diet are intrinsically linked to several SDGs, including ensuring healthy lives and promoting wellbeing for all, quality

Simone A. Tomaz, Lecturer John J. Reilly, Professor Avril Johnstone, Research Associate Adrienne Hughes, Lecturer Jenni Robertson, Research Assistant Leone C.A. Craig, Lecturer Farid Bardid, Lecturer Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/jpubhealth/advance-article/doi/10.1093/pubmed/fdae022/7612654 by University of Glasgow user on 29 February 2024

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, 1 distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

education, gender equality, reduced inequalities and sustainable cities and communities.<sup>4,5</sup> In Scotland, the SDGs are contextualized and implemented through the National Performance Framework, which sets out to develop 'a more successful country with opportunities for all of Scotland to flourish through increased wellbeing, and sustainable and inclusive economic growth'. Moreover, this framework comprises a set of interrelated national outcomes to which relevant SDGs are mapped. One of these national outcomes is health, which covers multiple indicators including PA and healthy weight. In 2020, the Scottish Government published a national review on current evidence regarding actions and progress towards meeting the SDGs, and the challenges and next steps.<sup>6</sup> The review indicates a poor performance on both PA and diet indicators amongst children and youth, with a significant proportion of children not meeting the recommendation of an average of 60 min of MVPA across the week, nor the recommended minimum five portions of fruit and vegetables daily.7,8

As part of the Global Matrix initiative launched by the Active Healthy Kids Global Alliance (AHKGA), the 2021 Active Healthy Kids Scotland Report Card has summarized the status of PA and health indicators in Scottish children and adolescents prior to the COVID-19 pandemic.<sup>9</sup> These indicators include overall PA, sedentary behaviour (SB), diet, and government and policy, amongst others. The focus on government and policy in the context of PA and diet in children and adolescents is important considering the increasing international evidence describing persistently low PA and high SB levels, poor diet and high prevalence of childhood obesity. The need for evaluating the effectiveness of policies that aim and/or promise to address these issues is increasing. Therefore, this study aims to provide a comprehensive evaluation of evidence informing Scottish government and policies addressing PA and diet, and highlight strengths and areas for improvement in existing Scottish policies.

#### Methods

The AHKGA defines the Government and Policy indicator as 'any governmental body with authority to influence physical activity opportunities or participation of children and youth through policy, legislation or regulation'. As such, the Scottish Government website (https://www.gov.scot/) was searched for existing policy documents for both PA and diet. In addition to this, Google and Google Scholar searches were conducted using renditions of the following search phrases for PA: 'physical activity policies Scotland', 'physical education policy Scotland' and 'Scotland physical activity', and for Diet:

'diet policy Scotland', 'dietary policy Scotland', 'food policy Scotland' and 'obesity policy Scotland'. Lastly, the Report Card team met regularly to discuss any other policy documents that would be worth considering. Policy documents were considered for grading if the policy was relevant for the 'catchment' time of the 2021 Report Card. This included policies published after the release of the 2018 Report Card,<sup>10</sup> as well as policies published prior to 2017 but with an update within this period, or policies that were deemed current. To be consistent with previously published Report Cards, grades are based on data pertaining to children and young people from birth to 18 years. In the context of the Government and Policy grade, policy documents and instruments for the same age band were considered. Importantly, policy documents and initiatives published in response to the COVID-19 pandemic were not considered for grading.

Policy documents that contained both PA and diet elements were considered separately for their PA and diet components. It is relevant to note that one document considered for the PA component, the UK Chief Medical Officer (CMO) Guidelines for Physical Activity,<sup>7</sup> is not housed within the Scottish Government: Scotland is one of four nations that make up the UK, and while health is devolved to Scotland, the UK CMO Guidelines for PA is an example of a collaboration between devolved Governments, making the guidelines pertinent to Scotland and relevant to include.

Unlike previously published report cards, where no tool was used to score data for the Government and Policy indicator, the Health-Enhancing Physical Activity (HEPA) Policy Audit Tool Version 2 (PAT v2<sup>11</sup>), as adapted, and described by Ward and colleagues<sup>12</sup> for the analysis of policies within the 2018 Active Healthy Kids Wales Report Card,<sup>13</sup> was used. This tool is useful in assessing and collating information for a variety of relevant policy characteristics (shown in Table 1). In addition, this tool allows users to not only descriptively appraise policies, but also provide a quantitative metric (as a score out of 100, where an 'A' means that We are succeeding with a large majority of children, 87-93%; an 'F' means that We are succeeding with very few children, <20%; see Supplementary Table for the full description). In addition to the score provided, and in accordance with the AHKGA, the policy documents and instruments were also considered according to the following three benchmarks: (i) evidence of leadership and commitment in providing PA/healthy diet opportunities for all children and youth; (ii) allocated funds and resources for the implementation of PA/healthy diet promotion strategies and initiatives for all children and youth; (iii) demonstrated progress through the key stages of public policymaking (i.e. policy agenda, policy formation, policy implementation, policy evaluation and decisions about the future). The policy

evaluation and grade (within the Report Card) was shared with stakeholders and feedback on the Report Card was invited prior to publication.<sup>9</sup>

No ethical approval was sought for this study, as the study relied exclusively on publicly available sources.

## Results

A narrative summary and explanation for the provided score for each criterion of the HEPA PAT v2 follows. Table 1 provides a breakdown of how each score was allocated and Table 2 provides an overview of the policy documents considered.

#### Number and breadth of relevant policies

There were 18 national policies/strategies/action plans/guidelines that mentioned and/or addressed PA and 10 related to diet in children. These policy documents represented a variety of directorates ('sectors') and departments within the Scottish Government (14 for PA, 6 for diet, out of 43). Scores of 9 and 6 (out of 10) were provided for PA and diet, respectively.

## **Identified supporting actions**

Twelve of the 18 PA policy documents mentioned specific actions to promote PA. In some cases, the actions are for the purpose of improving/increasing PA; for others, PA is promoted to meet another goal (e.g. reduce overweight/obesity, active travel). Eight of the 10 diet policy documents mentioned specific actions to improve diet or tackle obesity. Scores of 12 and 8 (out of 20) were provided for PA and diet, respectively.

## Identified accountable organization(s)

Twelve of the 18 PA policy documents (67%) mentioned partner organizations. These partners included Paths for All, sportscotland, Cycling Scotland, Sustrans, Actify and The Daily Mile. A score of 15 was allocated. All 10 of the diet policy documents (100%) had identifiable accountable organizations, including Local Authorities, the NHS, Food Standards Scotland, and Food and Drink industry bodies. The maximum score of 25 was allocated.

## Identifiable reporting structures

Seven of the 18 PA policy documents (39%) identified monitoring and reporting systems, although the structure of this information was highly variable between policy documents. A score of 5 was allocated. Only 3 of the 10 diet policies (30%) identify monitoring and reporting systems. A score of 5 was allocated.

## **Identified funding**

Three of the 18 PA policy documents (17%) mentioned or reported funding that is specifically allocated to promoting/increasing PA. A score of 2 was allocated. Five out of 10 diet policies (50%) had identified their funding. Many of the sources listed were vague. A score of 10 was allocated.

## Monitoring and evaluation plan

Six of the 18 PA policy documents (33%) explicitly referenced their plan for monitoring and evaluation. It is promising to note that there were some policies that had been updated or had progress reports published (Table 2). A score of 2.5 was allocated. Four of the 10 diet policies (40%) had a published monitoring and evaluation plan. Many policies have promised evaluation plans, but they have not yet been published. A score of 5 was allocated.

Overall, the government indicator for PA was graded 'C-', which corresponds with a total score of 45.5/100 or 45.5%. The indicator for diet was graded 'C+' overall, corresponding to a score of 59/100 or 59% (see Supplementary Table S1).

## Discussion

## Main finding of this study

This study aimed to evaluate Scottish policies for PA and diet in children and adolescents using a formal and systematic method. The findings indicate that Scotland has many creditable policies that lay a firm foundation for the improvement, promotion, and support of PA and healthy diets in children. The grades awarded (based on the AHKGA methodology used for the 2021 Active Healthy Report Card for the Government and Policy indicator) were 'C-' and 'C+' for PA and diet, respectively.

## What is already known on this topic

Policies tend to include limited information on implementation, and monitoring and evaluation stages. Importantly, this is noted in previous policy evaluations carried out in previous Active Healthy Kids Scotland report cards in 2013,<sup>14</sup> 2016<sup>15</sup> and 2018,<sup>10</sup> where the evaluation (as well as the grade awarded) over the past decade has been broadly similar. It should be noted that this is the first time that separate grades have been provided for PA and diet policy. Over this period, there have been multiple policies targeting PA and/or diet, but there are persistent concerns and identifiable gaps when it comes to implementation and evaluation and funding. In the Table 1 Scoring rubric adapted from the HEPA Policy Audit Tool Version 2 (HEPA PAT v2) with scores for the Government & Policy Indicator (PA and diet policy) from the 2021 Active Healthy Kids Scotland Report Card

Number and breadth of relevant policies     Policy number     Score     Policy breadth (no. of sectors)       Policies/strategies/action plans that reference physical activity     20+     5     10+       15-19     4     8-9     10-14     3     6-7       5-9     2     4-5     1-4     1     1-3     0     0     0       Identified supporting actions     No. of policies with identifiable actions     Score     Score     Score     3     Score     Score     3     Score     3     Score     Score     3     Score		Score	Score	
policies(no. of sectors)Policies/strategies/action plans that20+510+reference physical activity15-1948-910-1436-75-924-51-411-3000Identified supporting actionsNo. of policies with identififiable = crionsScoreStrategic documents with specificActual number of documents to0-20actions that promote physical activitymaximum of 20ScoreIdentified accountableresponsibilities for delivery of actionsScoreDiscreet organizations specifically100%25identified as responsible for delivery of20Score20%20102020%50020%50020%500Identifiable reporting structures75%12.5Strategic documents with explicit50%7.520%7.533%520%300Identified fundingProportion (%) of policies with identifiedScoreExplicit references to funding to support1533%520%102075%1520%102075%1520%10202016Explicit references to funding to support151620%1020151620%1020161620		PA	Diet	
Policies/strategies/action plans that reference physical activity $20+$ 5 $10+$ $5-9$ 48-9 $10-14$ 36-7 $5-9$ 24-5 $1-4$ 1 $1-3$ $0$ 00dentified supporting actionsNo. of policies with identifiable actionsScoreStrategic documents with specific actions that promote physical activityRectual number of documents $0-20$ Scoremaximum of 20Toportion (%) of policies with identified actions specifically100%25Discret organizations specifically100%25ScoreO00040%20%50015actions60%1540%5000155001055000155100%15555000155100%15555000155100%15555100%15555100%25300100%105555100%105510100%1010510510%101010510%1010101010%1010105 <td></td> <td>9 (4 + 5)</td> <td>6 (3 + 3)</td>		9 (4 + 5)	6 (3 + 3)	
reference physical activity15-1948-910-1436-75-924.51-411-3000Identified supporting actionsNo. of policies with identifiable - ectonsScoreStrategic documents with specificActual number of documents o-2regionsibilities for delivery of documents o-2-2identified accountableProportion (%) of policies with identifiedScoreorganizations)Proportion (%) of policies with identifiedScoreorganizations)60%10-post colspan="2">Ad%10actions the physical activity80%20actions60%10-actions60%10-actions5actions5actions5actions5actions5actions5adformat of reports55%adformat of reports60%10-adformat of reports75%adformat of reports75%adformat of reports60%adformat of reports75%adformat of reports75%adformat of progress of functions75%adformat of progress and impat10%<	5	(1 + 3)	(3   3)	
10–1436–75–924–51–400Identified supporting actionsNo. of policies with identifibible to actions that promote physical activityScoreStrategic documents with specific actions that promote physical activityActual number of documents to maximum of 200–20Identified accountable organization(s)Proportion (%) of policies with identified to delivery of actionsScoreDiscreet organizations specifically identified as responsible for delivery of actions00%250015100%500015100%20%1025100%1020%500100%15100%15100%1512.567%10100%1533%51020%30014100%10251410100%1533%510100%1533%51020%30014Explicit references to funding to support 	4			
5-924-51-411-3000Identified supporting actionsNo. of policies with identifiable actual number of documents at promote physical activityScoreStrategic documents with specific actions that promote physical activityProportion (%) of policies with identified accountable or genomizations specifically00%5Office organization specifically100%25ScoreDiscrete organization specifically100%25Factions00%205020%500Score20%500Score20%500Score20%500Score20%500Score20%500Score30%12.550Score30%12.550Score30%12.550Score30%12.550Score30%12.533%5030%1010151630%1010151630%1010151630%1010151640%1015161640%1015161650%1010151660%1010161610%10%101616 </td <td>3</td> <td></td> <td></td>	3			
In-411-3000Identified supporting actions Strategic documents with specific actions that promote physical activityNo. of policies with identified = trionsScoreIdentified accountable organizations(s)Proportion (%) of policies with identified accountable maximum of 20ScoreDiscreet organizations specifically identified as responsible for delivery of actions80%200060%1500%02020%5000%1020%20%5000%15500%12.567%100%7.533%20%2.533%20%3020%3020%3020%2.533%20%3020%2.533%20%3020%3020%2.533%20%3020%5020%5020%5020%5020%5020%5020%5020%5020%5020%5020%5020%5020%5020%5020%5020%50<	2			
Identified supporting actions000Strategic documents with specific actions that promote physical activity identified accountable organizations()Actual number of documents to Proportion (%) of policies with identified maximum of 200ScoreDiscreet organizations specifically identified as responsible for delivery of actions100%25ScoreDiscreet organizations specifically identified as responsible for delivery of actions00%20Score00%20150Score20%00Score20%50Score20%50Score20%50Score20%50Score20%50Score20%50Score20%50Score20%50Score20%510Score20%1533%Score20%30020%30020%30020%30020%30020%101510%20%510%1020%510%1020%510%2520%101010%20%510%1020%510%1020%510%1020%5	1			
Identified supporting actionsNo. of policies with identifiable actionsScoreStrategic documents with specific actions that promote physical activityActual number of documents to maximum of 200-20Identified accountable organizationsProportion (%) of policies with identified responsibilities for delivery of 20ScoreDiscreet organizations specifically identified as responsible for delivery of actions100%2500%01020%5020%5020%5020%5020%102520%20520%20520%20520%20520%15100%2150%2.567%102.567%10520%2.533%20%20220%3020%2.533%20%2.5520%101050%101050%101020%201020%201020%201020%201020%201020%201020%201020%201020%201020%201020%201020%20102	0			
Strategic documents with specific actions that promote physical activity actions that promote physical activity actions that promote physical activity organization(s)Actual number of documents to naximum of 200-20Identified accountable organization(s)Proportion (%) of policies wit-icens tesponsibilities for delivery of 80%SooreDiscreet organizations specifically actions100%25100%1510actions60%1020%0020%5020%5020%10520%12.5567%10530%2.533%30%533%20%3020%3020%3020%3020%3020%3020%3020%3020%3020%3020%3020%3020%10120%10120%10120%10120%10120%10120%10120%10120%10120%10120%10120%10120%10120%101 <trr></trr>	Ū	12	8	
organization(s)responsibilities for delivery of 25Discreet organizations specifically identified as responsible for delivery of actions100%25100%1540%1020%500Uentifiable reporting structuresProportion (%) of policies with explicit reporting systems including frequency and format of reportsProportion (%) of policies with explicit 75%Score20%255520%25520%25520%25520%25520%25330%25320%3320%3320%3320%3320%3320%3320%3320%3320%3320%3320%3320%3320%3320%3320%10320%10120%5320%10220%5320%10320%10320%10320%10320%10320%10320%10120%10120%20%120%<		12	U	
Discreet organizations specifically identified as responsible for delivery of actions100%2580%2060%1540%1020%500Proportion (%) of policies with textified systems for reporting delivery 100%5and format of reports100%1575%12.567%1050%7.533%520%300Identified funding Explicit references to funding to support identified actionsForportion (%) of policies with textified 36%ScoreExplicit references to funding to support identified actions100%5510%2035520%3105520%102051025%1550%102510%201025%510%201025%510%01025%510%01025%510%0105510%0105510%01051625%00101610%010151610%010151610%010151610%010151610%01015 <td></td> <td>15</td> <td>25</td>		15	25	
Discreet organizations specifically identified as responsible for delivery of actions100%2580%20actions60%1540%102020%5020%07Strategic documents with explicit reporting systems including frequency and format of reports100%1575%12.567%1060%7.533%520%333%520%03620%03620%36620%36620%36620%36620%36620%36620%36620%36620%36620%36620%105720%1025720%1025720%207720%102510%20%2010220%102510%1010220%1010120%101120%101120%201120%201120%201120%20%1				
identified as responsible for delivery of actions Advise 20%				
40%1020%500Identifiable reporting structuresProportion (%) of policies with explicitScoresystems for reporting delivery10%12.575%10.52.576%2.533%202.533%20%3.00Identified fundingProportion (%) of policies with explicitScore10%10.53.520%3.0010%0010%0010%0010%101020%101020%101020%101020%101010%101020%10<				
20%51001010101010%1510%12.510%2.510%2.510%2.510%3010%010%10<				
00Identifiable reporting structuresProportion (%) of policies with explicit reporting systems including frequency and format of reportsScore100%1575%12.567%1050%7.533%520%300Proportion (%) of policies with explicitExplicit references to funding to support identified actionsScore100%2050%1050%2060%1050%1050%1050%1050%1050%1050%1050%20100%2050%1050%1025%2000Proportion (%) of included purpleScore100%1050%2010%1050%510%1050%510%1010%550%550%550%550%550%550%550%550%550%550%550%550%550%550%550%550%550%550%550%5 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>				
Identifiable reporting structuresProportion (%) of policies with etwilidieScoreStrategic documents with explicitsystems for reporting deliverstations5reporting systems including frequency100%12.567%010.5567%07.533%3000Identified fundingScoreExplicit references to funding to support100%7100%00550%000Identified actions100%0100%10550%010550%010550%0102510%00010%10010%10010%10010%10010%10010%10010%10010%1101010%1101010%1101010%1101010%1101010%1101010%1101010%1101010%1101010%1101010%1101010%1101010%1101010%1101010%1101010%1101010%1101010%11010 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>				
Strategic documents with explicitsystems for reporting delive y= extionsreporting systems including frequence10%12.5and format of reports67%0.067%3.53.3%3.020%3.00.00.0100%00.00.0Stanting for going of policies w= 1-witherScoreStatistic references to funding to support100%0.0100%0.00.00.0				
reporting systems including frequency100%15and format of reports75%12.567%1050%50%7.533%33%520%20%30Identified fundingProportion (%) of policies with identified actionsScoreExplicit references to funding to support100%2050%10550%10550%102050%102050%102050%102050%102050%102050%102050%102050%510%60010550%10550%101060%101060%101060%101060%101075%7.550%50%2.550%50%550%50%550%50%550%50%5550%5550%5550%5550%5550%5550%5550%5550%5550%5550%5550%5550%55<		5	5	
and format of reports5%2.56%05%50%5%3%3%5%3%20%00Moritority of policies wither striftedScoreIndition groupes with entified stringScoreString string st				
67%1050%7.533%520%300Moreories with ident of each of the second of the secon				
50%7.533%520%300thentified fundingExplicit references to funding to supportForportion (%) of policies with fieldScore100%2075%1550%1025%510%220010%220%510%220%010%220%010%220%010%020%010%1020%1020%1020%1020%220%320%320%320%1020%1020%1020%1020%1020%1020%1020%1020%1020%1020%1020%2.520%2.520%2.520%3.520%3.520%3.520%3.520%3.520%3.520%3.520%3.520%3.530%3.530%3.530%3.530%3.530%3.530%3.530%3.5<				
33%520%300Identified fundingPropriton (%) of policies with fieldScoreExplicit references to funding to support100%20%2075%1550%1025%510%220%010%220%520%320%10%20%220%010%220%010%020%220%10%20%10%20%10%20%520%520%520%10%20%520%2.520%2.520%2.520%2.520%2.520%2.520%3.520%3.520%3.520%3.520%3.520%3.520%3.520%3.520%3.520%3.520%3.520%3.520%3.520%3.520%3.520%3.520%3.520%3.520%3.53.63.53.73.53.83.63.93.63.93.63.93.6				
20% 03 0Identified funding tipelitit references to funding to support identified actionsProportion (%) of policies with references to main generationsScore100%20 75%15 50%10 50%10 50%20%20 50%20 50%20 50%10 50%Monitoring and evaluation plan evaluation of progress and impact of the policyProportion (%) of included per support to 20%Score 50%100%00550%10 60%5550%10 50%5550%5 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
Identified funding Explicit references to funding to support identified actions0Score100%2075%1550%1025%210%225%210%20010%210%210%210%210%210%210%210%210%210%210%210%210%210%210%210%1010%510%210%310%310%310%310%310%310%310%310%310% <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
Identified funding Explicit references to funding to support identified actionsProportion (%) of policies withied identified sourcesScore100%2075%1550%1025%210%220010%210%210%210%210%210%210%210%210%010%1010%1010%1010%1010%251				
Explicit references to funding to supportfunding sourcesidentified actions100%2075%1550%1025%510%200Monitoring and evaluation planProportion (%) of included policies with identified systems for monitoring and evaluation of progress and impact of the policyScore100%1075%7.550%2.525%2.5100%10				
identified actions 100% 20 75% 15 50% 10 25% 5 100 2 25% 2 10% 2 0 Monitoring and evaluation plan Explicit reference to monitoring and evaluation of progress and impact of evaluation the policy 100% 10 50% 5 50% 5 50% 5 50% 5 50% 5 100% 10 100% 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		2	10	
75%1550%1025%510%200ScoreIdentified systems for monitoring and evaluation of progress and impact of the policy80%100%10100%1025%5.550%5.225%2.510%1				
50%1025%510%200Monitoring and evaluation plan evaluation of progress and impact of the policyProportion (%) of included publicsScore100%0100%1075%5.550%525%2.510%1				
25%510%200Monitoring and evaluation planProportion (%) of included power with identified systems for monitoring and evaluation of progress and impact ofScorethe policy100%100%1050%550%525%2.510%1				
10% 02 0Monitoring and evaluation plan Explicit reference to monitoring and evaluation of progress and impact of the policyProportion (%) of included public is with identified systems for monitoring and evaluationScore100%1075%7.550%525%2.510%1				
00Monitoring and evaluation plan Explicit reference to monitoring and evaluation of progress and impact of the policyProportion (%) of included policies with identified systems for monitoring and evaluationScore100%1075%7.550%525%2.510%1				
Monitoring and evaluation planProportion (%) of included point with source with identified systems for monitoring and evaluation of progress and impact of 100%Scorethe policy100%1075%7.550%525%2.510%10				
Explicit reference to monitoring and evaluation of progress and impact of the policyidentified systems for monitoring and evaluation100%1075%7.550%525%2.510%1				
evaluation of progress and impact of   evaluation     the policy   100%   10     75%   7.5     50%   5     25%   2.5     10%   1		2.5	5	
100%   10     75%   7.5     50%   5     25%   2.5     10%   1				
75% 7.5   50% 5   25% 2.5   10% 1				
50% 5   25% 2.5   10% 1				
25% 2.5 10% 1				
10% 1				
0				
0				

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/jpubhealth/advance-article/doi/10.1093/pubmed/fdae022/7612654 by University of Glasgow user on 29 February 2024

Name/title of policy document	Publication year(s)	Identified supporting actions	Identified accountable organization(s)	Identifiable reporting structures	ldentified funding	Monitoring and evaluation plan
Obesity Route Map—Action Plan	2011; 2020	1	1	1	_	1
National parenting strategy	2012	1	1	1	$\checkmark$	_
Play Strategy for Scotland: Our Action Plan	2013	1	1	_	_	_
Let's get Scotland Walking: The National Walking	2014; 2019	1	1	1	_	1
Strategy, Action Plan 2016–2026						
Cycling Action Plan for Scotland: 2017–2020	2017	1	1	1	$\checkmark$	1
Public Health Priorities for Scotland	2018	1	1	_	_	_
A healthier future: Scotland's diet and healthy weight delivery plan	2018	-	_	-	-	—
A More Active Scotland: Scotland's Physical Activity Delivery Plan	2018	1	1	1	-	$\checkmark$
Restricted Roads (20 mph Speed Limit)—(Scotland) Bill:	2018	1	1	1	_	_
Policy memorandum						
Sport for Life: A Vision for Scotland	2019	1	_	1	_	1
Included, engaged, and involved. Part 1: promoting and managing school attendance	2019	-	_	_	-	_
UK Chief Medical Officers' Physical Activity Guidelines	2019	1	_	_	_	1
Protecting Scotland's Future: The Government's	2019; 2020	_	✓	_	1	_
Programme for Scotland 2019–20 Realizing the ambition: Being Me: National practice	2020	_	1	_	_	_
guidance for early years in Scotland						
Recommendation report from the Scottish Government's	2020	1	1	-	—	-
Body Image Advisory Group on Good Body Image Scotland and the Sustainable Development Goals: A	2020	-	_	_	_	_
national review to drive action						
Update to the Climate Change Plan: 2018–2032	2020	_	~	—	—	—
Scotland's National Outdoor Play & Learning Position	2020	~	_	_	—	—
Statement						
Better eating, better learning: a new context for school	2014	~	<b>v</b>	_	—	—
food		,				
Beyond the School Gate—Improving Food Choices in the School Community	2014	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>	_	<b>v</b>	_
Recipe for Success: Scotland's national food and drink	2014	1	1	—	1	—
policy, becoming a Good Food Nation		,		,	,	
Universal Free School Meals	2015			V	~	
Criteria for healthcare retail standard	2015	✓		<b>v</b>	_	1
Revised Dietary Goals for Scotland	2016	_		_	—	
A fairer, healthier Scotland: A strategic Framework for Action (2017–2022)	2017	_	J	<b>v</b>	_	V
Fair Food Fund, under Poverty and Social Justice Policy (2017)	2017	1	1	-	1	-
Soft Drinks Industry Levy (2018), under The Finance Act (2017)	2018; 2017	1	1	_	_	_
A healthier future: Scotland's diet and healthy weight delivery plan (Superseded Obesity Route Map (2010))	2018	1	1	_	1	_

Table 2 Policy documents considered for the Government Indicator for the 2021 Active Healthy Kids Scotland Report Card

present policy evaluation, only 3 out of 18 PA and only 5 out of 10 diet policies mentioned funding allocated. These gaps highlight important areas that need to be addressed in policy documents.

#### What this study adds

The present study found instances where policy lacks evidence of evaluation of either process or outcome, e.g. key policy targets in relation to time spent in MVPA in children and adolescents are undermined by the fact that the main surveillance tool, the Scottish Health Survey,<sup>16</sup> does not measure MVPA,<sup>9</sup> and detailed up-to-date dietary information for children in Scotland is lacking. This is important because it is difficult to determine the impact of a policy if evidence of monitoring and evaluation is lacking. Other concerns over the past decade include the evidence that PA policies do not address all children and adolescents (as specified in the AHKGA policy benchmark), but focused on adolescents and girls, while often overlooking other specific groups.<sup>17,18</sup> Future policy documents would benefit from giving specific attention to other vulnerable or underrepresented groups who are likely to benefit even more from robust PA and diet policies (e.g. children with chronic conditions, children with disabilities, children from different ethnic groups, children with specific dietary requirements). Furthermore, future policy documents would benefit from making explicit reference(s) to monitoring and evaluation of progress to determine impact of the policy. For instance, the Cycling Action Plan for Scotland: 2017-2020 is one of two policy documents to 'tick all the boxes' (see Table 2) and one of ten explicitly referencing monitoring and evaluation. This Cycling Action Plan has, arguably, influenced a number of more recent developments and successes (which will be reviewed in future publications of the Scottish Active Healthy Kids Report Card), including but not limited to the implementation of the Free Bikes Pilots for School Age Children Who Cannot Afford Them initiative,<sup>19</sup> and an upward trend between 2013 and 2022 for children cycling to school, according to the most recently available Hands Up Scotland Survey.<sup>20</sup> The other high-scoring policy of Universal Free School Meals (2015) has been monitored and evaluated (focusing on processes) since its implementation,<sup>21,22</sup> providing support for further roll-out beyond the initial primary 1 to 3 stage, with free school meals now provided up to primary 5. There has been a general decline in uptake of school meals; however, there is a higher uptake of free school meals amongst primary 1 to 5 pupils who receive universal free school meals versus means-tested primary 6 to 7 pupils (72% versus 42% in 2023).<sup>23</sup> The policy has been criticized for lack of impact evaluation, although there is evidence from other

countries that universal free school meal provision increases uptake in those who are already eligible, due to reduced stigma and that providing free school meals to vulnerable children can improve health and learning outcomes.<sup>24–26</sup>

For children aged <5 years, the policies and position statements addressing play are particularly welcome, given that active and outdoor play is positively associated with PA.<sup>27,28</sup> There are comprehensive policies that were not evaluated in this study (due to having no explicit mention of PA) but may enable active and outdoor play. For example, in Scotland, the expansion of free childcare hours (from 16 to 30 h per week) for all 3- and 4-year-olds and eligible 2-yearolds, as well as a focus on increasing outdoor nature-based provision, could support more children across the socioeconomic spectrum to engage in active and outdoor play, as well as through providing healthy and balanced nutritious meals and snacks.<sup>29,30</sup> Similarly, the proposal to extend early learning and childcare funding to parents who choose to defer their child's P1 start (the first year of formal schooling in Scotland) from August 2023 may also influence PA and diet. This policy affords parents and caregivers across the socioeconomic spectrum more freedom to choose their child's education path with less/no financial 'penalty', as state schooling in Scotland is fully subsidized by the government. This is relevant because it may enable children to stay in a playpromoting environment for longer in comparison to primary school, where play opportunities may be less frequent. Finally, the additional financial support for parents of children under 5 years through the Best Start Grant could potentially enable parents to provide suitable clothing for poor weather, which is an important barrier to enable children to play and engage in PA, while also supporting low-income families to buy healthy foods.<sup>31</sup> Having comprehensive and interconnected policies that target multiple levels of the system could not only benefit the PA levels and diets of young children presently, but also impact older children and the wider population.

#### Limitations of this study

The present study aimed to provide a more comprehensive and objective evaluation of PA and diet policies for children and adolescents in Scotland than in previous years by using a policy audit tool. The HEPA PAT v2 is intended to provide a more systematic and comprehensive appraisal of policy. The experience of using it in the present Report Card is worth discussing, as the grades produced by the two methods (i.e. expert consensus used in previous report cards versus the HEPA PAT v2) are similar. The HEPA PAT v2 has currently been used by few research groups to evaluate children's PA policy within the context of the AHKGA (e.g. Wales<sup>13</sup>). To the best of our knowledge, it has not previously been applied to diet policies. Although the HEPA PAT v2 is a useful and informative tool for policy evaluation, its use in the Scottish Report Card 2021 has identified some potential modifications and considerations, which may improve its use in the future (for Report Cards or other purposes). Firstly, the HEPA PAT v2 focuses on the assessment of policy that exists, thus, policy that does not yet exist cannot be evaluated. For instance, the absence of Scottish policies in important areas for child and adolescent public health, such as time spent in sedentary behaviour and/or sleep, is a concern, especially considering the evidence reporting the detrimental effects of excessive screen time and poor sleep quality/quantity on adolescents<sup>32</sup> and the publication of the WHO guidelines on time spent in these behaviours in children.<sup>33,34</sup> Notably, the WHO guidelines on SB, screen time and sleep (specifically for children <5 years) were not accepted by the CMOs in the UK.35 This absence in policy was (or would be) identified and highlighted using the previous AHKGA methodology (i.e. expert consensus). The HEPA PAT v2 may also be viewed as a time-consuming 'counting exercise', given its methodology, and may lead to assumptions that policies that 'tick all the boxes' will automatically be more impactful than policies that tick fewer boxes. There are also notable issues with underestimated scoring when using the HEPA PAT v2; e.g. for Identified Funding, if the Proportion (%) of Policies with Identified Funding Sources was 33%, this section was scored as 25% (see Table 1). Therefore, we would argue that there is value in approaching policy evaluation with pragmatism and combining HEPA PAT v2 and other methods, especially those that are repeatable, for the sake of consistency, objectivity and rigour. Lastly, the HEPA PAT v2 has a focus on targeted policy aspects and this does not lend itself to overarching policy objectives. For instance, Scottish public health policy has for many years-to its credit-focused on reducing inequalities in health. This is, in principle, not a specific PA or dietary policy objective and is therefore not easily incorporated into policy appraisal but is deserving of attention.

## Conclusion

This paper reports a systematic evaluation of Scotland's many creditable policies targeting both PA and diet for children, and highlights the importance of policy evaluation for PA, diet and other facets of public health. The creditable policies reviewed in this paper are indicative of the prioritization of PA and healthy diets, not only for the health of Scottish children, but as a means for Scotland to achieve other goals (e.g. active travel supports climate change goals; good nutrition is intrinsically linked with achievement of the Sustainable Development Goals). In addition, there is evidence of good links between the policies and organizations accountable for implementation. Future policy documents pertaining to PA and diet, as well as public health more generally, would be strengthened by having clear(er) plans of implementation, monitoring, evaluation and impact.

## Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the stakeholders who appraised and provided feedback on the policy evaluation and grade (within the Report Card) prior to publication of the Report Card.

## Funding

AJ was supported by the UK Medical Research Council (MC\_UU\_00022/4) and the Chief Scientist Office (SPHSU19).

## Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at the *Journal of Public Health* online.

## References

- Poitras VJ, Carson V, Lee EY. *et al.* Systematic review of the relationships between objectively measured physical activity and health indicators in school-aged children and youth. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab* 2016;**41**:S197–239 https://doi.org/10.1139/apnm-2015-0663.
- World Health Organization. Global Action Plan for the Prevention and Control of NCDs 2013–2020. World Health Organization; 2013. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241506236 (26 May 2021, date last accessed).
- World Health Organization. *Physical Activity Strategy for the WHO European Region 2016–2025*. World Health Organization; 2016. https://a pps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/329407 (26 May 2021, date last accessed).
- United Nations. Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. United Nations; 2015. https://sdgs.un.org/2030agenda (26 May 2021, date last accessed).
- Development Initiatives 2017. *Global Nutrition Report 2017: Nourishing the SDGs*. Global Nutrition Report 2017. Bristol, UK: Development Initiatives; 2017. 10.1891/1058-1243.21.1.9. https://globalnutritionreport.org/documents/822/Global\_Nutrition\_Report\_2017.pdf (26 May 2021, date last accessed).
- 6. The Scottish Government. *Scotland and the Sustainable Development Goals: A national review to drive action*. https://www.gov.scot/publications/scotland-sustainable-development-goals-national-review-drive-action/ (26 May 2021, date last accessed).

- DAVIES DSC, Atherton F, MCBride M, Calderwood C. UK Chief Medical Officers' Physical Activity Guidelines. Department of Health and Social Care. 2019. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/physica l-activity-guidelines-uk-chief-medical-officers-report (29 May 2023, date last accessed).
- The Scottish Government. *Revised Dietary Goals for Scotland*. The Scottish Government; 2016. http://www.gov.scot/Resourcc/0049/00497558.pdf (26 May 2021, date last accessed).
- Bardid F, Tomaz SA, Johnstone A. *et al.* Results from Scotland's 2021 report card on physical activity and health for children and youth: grades, secular trends, and socio-economic inequalities. *J Exerc Sci Fit* 2022;**20**(**4**):317–22.
- Hughes AR, Johnstone A, Bardid F. *et al.* Results from Scotland's 2018 report card on physical activity for children and youth. *J Phys* Act Health 2018;15(2):S402–3.
- Bull F, Milton K, Kahlmeier S. *Health-enhancing Physical Activity* (*HEPA*) Policy Audit Tool (PAT): Version 2. World Health Organization, Regional Office for Europe. 2015; https://apps.who.int/iris/ha ndle/10665/369593 (26 May 2021, date last accessed).
- Ward MR, Tyler R, Edwards LC. *et al.* The AHK-Wales report card 2018: policy measures—is it possible to 'score' qualitative data? *Health Promot Int* 2021;**36**(4):1151–9.
- Richards AB, Mackintosh KA, Swindell N. *et al.* WALES 2021 Active Healthy Kids (AHK) report card: the fourth pandemic of childhood inactivity. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2022;**19**(13):8138.
- Reilly JJ, Dick S, McNeill G. *et al.* Results from Scotland's 2013 report card on physical activity for children and youth. *J Phys Act Health* 2014;11(s1):S93–7.
- Reilly JJ, Johnstone A, McNeill G. *et al.* Results from Scotland's 2016 report card on physical activity for children and youth. *J Phys Act Health* 2016;**13(11)**:S251–5.
- The Scottish Government. The Scottish Health Survey 2021—Volume 1: Main Report. The Scottish Government; 2022. https://www.gov.sco t/publications/scottish-health-survey-2021-volume-1-main-repo rt/ (26 May 2021, date last accessed).
- Farooq MA, Parkinson KN, Adamson AJ. *et al.* Timing of the decline in physical activity in childhood and adolescence: gateshead millennium cohort study. *BrJ Sports Med* 2018;**52**(15):1002–6.
- Farooq A, Martin A, Janssen X. *et al.* Longitudinal changes in moderate-to-vigorous-intensity physical activity in children and adolescents: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obes Rev* 2020;**21**(1):e12953.
- Transport Scotland. Evaluation of Free Bikes Pilots for School Age Children Who Cannot Afford Them. Transport Scotland; 2022. www.transport.go v.scot (30 November 2023, date last accessed).
- 20. Sustrans. *Hands Up Scotland Survey 2022: Overview*. 2023. https://www. sustrans.org.uk/media/12112/hands-up-scotland-survey-2022\_ove rview.pdf (30 November 2023, date last accessed).
- 21. Eadie D, Ford A, Stead M. et al. Process Evaluation of the Implementation of Universal Free School Meals (UFSM) for P1 to P3: Research With Schools and Local Authorities. Edinburgh: NHS Health Scotland; 2016.

- Ford A, Eadie D, Stead M. Process Evaluation of the Implementation of Universal Free School Meals: Research With Parents. Edinburgh, 2015.
- The Scottish Government. School Healthy Living Survey: School Meal Uptake and PE Provision Statistics 2023. 2023. https://www.gov.scot/ publications/school-healthy-living-survey-school-meal-uptake-andpe-provision-statistics-2023/pages/section-1-school-meal-uptake/ (30 November 2023, date last accessed).
- Kitchen S, Tanner E, Brown V, Natcen CP, Crawford C, Dearden L. *Evaluation of the Free School Meals Pilot: Impact Report*. Department of Education. 2013. https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/ system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/184047/DFE-RR227.pdf (30 November 2023, date last accessed).
- 25. Cohen JFW, Hecht AA, Mcloughlin GM. *et al.* Universal school meals and associations with student participation, attendance, academic performance, diet quality, food security, and body mass index: a systematic review. *Nutrients* 2021;**13**(3):911.
- Holford A, Rabe B. Impact of the Universal Infant Free School Meal policy. 2020. www.iser.essex.ac.uk (30 November 2023, date last accessed).
- Brussoni M, Gibbons R, Gray C. *et al.* What is the relationship between risky outdoor play and health in children? A systematic review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2015;**12**(6): 6423–54.
- Janssen I. Active play: an important physical activity strategy in the fight against childhood obesity. *Can J Public Health* 2014;105(1): e22–7.
- NHS Health Scotland. Setting the Table. Edinburgh; 2019. https:// www.healthscotland.com/uploads/documents/30341-SettingtheTa ble.pdf (30 November 2023, date last accessed).
- The Scottish Government. Nutritional Support for Young Children: Scottish Milk and Healthy Snack Scheme launches. 2021. https://www.gov.scot/ news/nutritional-support-for-young-children/ (30 November 2023, date last accessed).
- The Scottish Government. Best Start Grant and Best Start Foods. 2022. https://www.mygov.scot/best-start-grant-best-start-foods (19 July 2023, date last accessed).
- Ashton JJ, Beattie RM. Screen time in children and adolescents: is there evidence to guide parents and policy? *Lancet Child Adolesc Health* 2019;3(5):292–4.
- World Health Organization. Guidelines on Physical Activity, Sedentary Behaviour and Sleep for Children Under 5 Years of Age. World Health Organization; 2019. https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/311664 (26 May 2021, date last accessed).
- 34. World Health Organization. WHO Guidelines on Physical Activity and Sedentary Behaviour. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2020. https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/336656/978924 0015128-eng.pdf?sequence=1&cisAllowed=y (26 May 2021, date last accessed).
- Reilly JJ, Hughes AR, Janssen X. *et al.* GRADE-ADOLOPMENT process to develop 24-hour movement behavior recommendations and physical activity guidelines for the under 5s in the United Kingdom, 2019. *J Phys Act Heal* 2020;17(1):101–8.