



Antifungal therapy of *Candida* biofilms: Past, present and future

Olabaya H. Ajetunmobi^a, Hamid Badali^a, Jesus A. Romo^a, Gordon Ramage^b, Jose L. Lopez-Ribot^{a,*}

^a Department of Molecular Microbiology & Immunology, South Texas Center for Emerging Infectious Diseases, The University of Texas at San Antonio, San Antonio, TX, USA

^b Glasgow Biofilm Research Network, School of Medicine, College of Medical, Veterinary and Life Sciences, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, G12 8TA, UK

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Antifungal agents
Candida spp.
Biofilm

ABSTRACT

Virtually all *Candida* species linked to clinical candidiasis are capable of forming highly resistant biofilms on different types of surfaces, which poses an additional significant threat and further complicates therapy of these infections. There is a scarcity of antifungal agents, and their effectiveness, particularly against biofilms, is limited. Here we provide a historical perspective on antifungal agents and therapy of *Candida* biofilms. As we reflect upon the past, consider the present, and look towards the future of antifungal therapy of *Candida* biofilms, we believe that there are reasons to remain optimistic, and that the major challenges of *Candida* biofilm therapy can be conquered within a reasonable timeframe.

1. Introduction

Different *Candida* species are considered to be opportunistic pathogenic fungi, capable of causing infections in humans ranging from superficial to invasive candidiasis [1]. Candidiasis is among the most common fungal infections, and its incidence has increased in the last few decades mostly as a result of a growing population of at-risk individuals, including both medically- and immune-compromised patients [1,2]. Although *Candida albicans* is still responsible for the majority of *Candida*-related infections, non-*albicans* *Candida* species including *C. glabrata*, *C. parapsilosis*, *C. tropicalis*, *C. krusei* and most recently *C. auris* have become increasingly important as causative agents of candidiasis [3–5]. Invasive candidiasis typically carries high levels of mortality, as its diagnosis and treatment are still problematic [6,7].

A majority of manifestations of infections caused by *Candida* spp are associated with a biofilm etiology [5,8,9]. The ability of different species within this genus to adhere to inert and biological surfaces and subsequently form biofilms has been well established during the last couple of decades and, among pathogenic fungi, *Candida* spp. are the most frequently associated with biofilm formation [5,8,9]. *Candida* biofilms are a consortia of cells attached to a surface and enveloped within a matrix of self-produced exo-polymeric substances, reflecting optimal conditions for obtaining nutrients and disposing of metabolic waste products [10]. Furthermore, *Candida* cells within these highly organized

structures are protected from a variety of environmental stresses, including host defenses and antifungal treatment, and as such biofilm development contributes greatly to the pathogenesis of candidiasis and greatly complicates treatment for these patients [5,9]. *Candida* biofilm formation also carries a significant financial burden to our health-care systems.

In *Candida* spp., the biofilm life-cycle occurs through multiple stages [11,12]. In an initial “colonization” stage, yeast cells attach to a surface. This is followed by a “proliferation” phase where cells replicate and grow, leading to an incipient organized structure. The subsequent “maturation” phase is characterized by the production of the extracellular matrix which encapsulates the entire structure. In *C. albicans*, but not other species such as *C. glabrata* and *C. auris*, the proliferation and maturation stages are also intimately linked with increased filamentation, leading to more robust biofilms with increased ultrastructural complexity [12,13]. Once the biofilm has reached maturity, a final “dispersion” stage involves the detachment of yeast cells from a fully matured biofilm so that the entire *Candida* biofilm developmental process can be fully replicated at a different site [14,15]. For example, this is often how invasive (or deep seated) candidiasis originates after dispersion and subsequent hematogenous dissemination from a biofilm formed inside a central venous catheter.

Seminal work from the Mitchell and Nobile groups, among others, has demonstrated that the *Candida* biofilm developmental process is also

* Corresponding author. Department of Molecular Microbiology & Immunology, The University of Texas at San Antonio, One UTSA Circle, San Antonio, TX, 78249, USA.

E-mail address: jose.lopezribot@utsa.edu (J.L. Lopez-Ribot).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biofilm.2023.100126>

Received 27 December 2022; Received in revised form 19 April 2023; Accepted 20 April 2023

Available online 23 April 2023

2590-2075/© 2023 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

highly regulated at the molecular level [16–18]. This has been best studied and characterized in *C. albicans*, where over fifty transcriptional regulators have been described to control this process. Among these, a core set of nine key regulators give rise to a highly orchestrated and interconnected network in which the individual regulators control each other and approximately one thousand other target genes, including many other transcriptional regulators [17,19]. Interestingly, this *C. albicans* biofilm network is composed mostly of novel genes that evolved relatively recently during evolution, which may explain in part the success of this species as an opportunistic pathogen [17]. The entire biofilm developmental process is also finely controlled by quorum sensing mechanisms, with farnesol being a key molecule in this aspect [20].

As mentioned briefly above, from a clinical standpoint, one of the major adverse consequences of *Candida* biofilm formation is the fact that sessile cells show high levels of tolerance to antifungal therapy as compared to their planktonic counterparts [21,22]. To begin with, the antifungal arsenal is exceedingly short, mostly a consequence of the paucity of selective targets for antifungal drug development due to the close relatedness of human and fungal cells [21,23–25], and this lack of highly selective targets also contributes to the elevated toxicity frequently associated with antifungal therapy [23]. It has been demonstrated that the tolerance to antifungal treatment of *Candida* biofilms is multifactorial, with increased cell density, the biofilm matrix, the overexpression of efflux pumps, changes in ergosterol content, cell stress responses and the presence of persister cells being among the main factors contributing to the overall recalcitrance to antifungal drug treatment [26–28]. This exacerbated biofilm tolerance complicates the management of biofilm-associated *Candida* infections considerably and contributes to therapeutic failure. In addition, *Candida* biofilms afford fungal cells a safe haven, constitute reservoirs for persistent sources of candidiasis, and can also negatively affect the function of implanted devices [29]. As such, there is an urgent need for the development of novel antifungals and other alternative approaches for the treatment of *Candida* infections, and of biofilms in particular. Within this context, this review aims to illustrate the history of antifungal therapy against *Candida* biofilms and its limitations, while also highlighting potential new avenues that may offer renewed optimism for the development of novel effective strategies to combat their threat.

2. The past

Polyenes, azoles and echinocandins are the main classes of existing antifungal agents used for the treatment of *Candida* infections [23–25]. All of these classes were discovered and further developed during the past century, with echinocandins representing the newest class of approved antifungals about two decades ago [30,31].

The polyenes are the oldest class of antifungal agents, their discovery and development dating back to the 1950s, with amphotericin B being the first ever FDA-approved antifungal for the treatment of invasive fungal infections, including candidiasis [23,24]. These amphipathic compounds act as a sponge extracting ergosterol from the fungal cell membrane, leading to the formation of pores, causing leakage of cellular components and ultimately death of the fungal cell [32,33]. As such, members of this class are considered to be fungicidal agents and display broad spectrum of activity against medically-important fungi. However, their efficacy is severely compromised by their intrinsic toxicity, particularly nephrotoxicity, and also by infusion-related toxicity [23]. Despite its inherent drawbacks, amphotericin B remained the “gold standard” of antifungal therapy for decades, mostly due to the lack of viable alternatives. From the very early reports on biofilm activity, it was already demonstrated that cells within *Candida* biofilms show increased resistance against polyenes [22]. For example, amphotericin B was approximately 10 times less potent when tested under biofilm-versus planktonic-growing conditions, but these high concentrations needed to effectively kill biofilms are considered toxic and

unsafe, effectively restricting its use [22]. Nevertheless, the Ghannoum group demonstrated that newer liposomal formulations of amphotericin B showed unique activity against *Candida* biofilms [34], which can be attributed to better penetration of these formulation across the biofilm matrix.

Azole derivatives was the next class of antifungals, developed mostly in the 1980s and 1990s [23,24]. They represent the largest class of antifungal agents used today in clinical medicine [35]. Azoles also target ergosterol, but by inhibiting its biosynthetic pathway, most specifically the cytochrome P-450 14- α lanosterol demethylase, and they are considered fungistatic drugs [23,25]. In particular, after its introduction in the 1980s, fluconazole rapidly became first line therapy against *Candida* infections, mostly due to its improved safety profile compared to amphotericin B. This time coincided too with the increase of oropharyngeal candidiasis seen in HIV-infected patients. However, the development of resistance and the decreased susceptibility of several *Candida* spp (i.e. *C. glabrata* and *C. krusei*) represented major challenges to the use of fluconazole for the treatment of candidiasis. Unfortunately, early work on *Candida* biofilms demonstrated their intrinsic resistance to azole derivatives, and in particular fluconazole, with minimum inhibitory concentration values as much as 1000 times higher than those obtained for planktonic populations [22], which severely restricted the clinical use of fluconazole (and other azole derivatives) for the treatment of biofilm-associated candidiasis.

Echinocandins, the newest class of antifungals, were first discovered in the 1970s, although their development took place mostly during the 1990s, and it was not until the early 2000s when caspofungin, the first FDA-approved member of this class, entered the market [25,30,31]. These drugs are a group of semisynthetic lipopeptide antibiotics which inhibit 1,3- β -D-glucan synthase, the key enzyme for the synthesis of glucan, the main structural component of the *Candida* cell wall [30]. Agents within this class display potent fungicidal activity against the majority of species within the *Candida* genus, which added to their excellent safety profile (the cell wall is fungal specific and not present in mammalian cells), contributed to echinocandins becoming front-line therapy for the treatment of candidiasis [30]. However, the emergence of resistance, through mutations in the gene encoding the target enzyme, may limit their efficacy [36]. Interestingly, the development of echinocandins occurred at the very same time that different groups were starting their pioneering work on *Candida* (mostly *C. albicans*) biofilms. Early work in these academic laboratories in the late 1990s and early 2000s demonstrated the potent antifungal activity of physiological concentrations of echinocandins against *C. albicans* biofilms, with subsequent studies extended to other *Candida* spp [34,37,38].

3. The present

Unfortunately, during the last couple of decades research and development (R&D) on antifungal drugs has been mostly discontinued at a majority of large pharmaceutical companies, as big pharma has prioritized more profitable drugs to treat chronic conditions. As a result, antifungal R&D now largely relies on the efforts of much smaller biotechnology companies, with also much more limited financial resources [25]. Compared to anti-virals and anti-bacterials, there are relatively few companies aimed at developing the next generation of antifungal agents [25]. We note that, in the US, the GAIN (Generating Antibiotic Incentives Now), the Orphan Drug Act and the FDA’s Fast Track designation are all applicable to antifungal drug development. Also, the Qualified Infectious Disease Product (QIDP) designation is reserved for antibacterial and antifungal drug candidates intended to treat serious or life-threatening infections. At the present time there are a handful of investigational agents at different stages of the antifungal development pipeline. Although the majority still target ergosterol (same as azoles) or 1,3- β -D-glucan (same as echinocandins), they also include some novel classes with novel targets and mechanisms [25,39,40]. Altogether, these new agents offer new hope for the treatment of

Candida infections; however, relatively little is known about their activity specifically against *Candida* biofilms.

Rezafungin (formerly CD101) is a new long-acting echinocandin with improved stability and extended half life as compared to the first generation drugs within this class, thereby potentially allowing for once a week dosing [41]. It is being developed by Cidara Therapeutics, which recently filed for and was granted Priority Review for rezafungin for the treatment of candidemia and invasive candidiasis. Consistent with previous studies of echinocandins, rezafungin is also active against *C. albicans* biofilms, as treatment with this drug both inhibits biofilm formation and is effective against mature biofilms [42]. Its activity against biofilms formed by other *Candida* species is presumed, although remains to be evaluated.

Also targeting 1,3- β -D-glucan synthase but structurally different to the echinocandins, Ibrexafungerp (formerly SCY-078, formerly MK-3118) is a new semi-synthetic terpenoid drug, currently being developed by Scynexis Inc [43]. Ibrexafungerp was recently approved for the treatment of vulvovaginal candidiasis in 2021, and as such represents the first approved drug within a novel antifungal class in more than 20 years. Regarding its anti-biofilm activity, somewhat unsurprisingly since it shares the same target with echinocandins, ibrexafungerp has been shown to display activity against biofilms formed by different *Candida* species, including *C. albicans*, *C. parapsilosis*, *C. tropicalis*, *C. glabrata* [44], and most recently *C. auris* [45].

Belonging to an entirely new class of antifungals, with novel chemical structure, target and mechanism of action, fosmanogepix (formerly APX001) is a new orally available broad-spectrum antifungal agent [39, 46] currently being developed by Amlyx Pharmaceuticals, which was recently purchased by Pfizer Inc. This new first-in-class small molecule antifungal is actually an N-phosphonoxyethyl prodrug which is rapidly and completely metabolized by systemic alkaline phosphatases to the active moiety, manogepix (APX001A, formerly designated E1210). Fosmanogepix inhibits the inositol acyltransferase Gwt1 which catalyzes an early step in the glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchor biosynthesis pathway, thereby preventing GPI-anchored protein maturation, a process critical for linkages between different components of the fungal cell wall [39,46]. There is limited information on the activity of this new class of antifungals, but in an early study manogepix inhibited *C. albicans* adherence and biofilm formation in a concentration dependent manner among other virulence factors [47].

Other notable antifungals presently being developed include the tetrazoles VT-1129, VT-1161, and VT-1598 (Mycovia Pharmaceuticals, following its acquisition of Viamet Pharmaceuticals). Their molecular target is the same as for the azoles, 14- α -lanosterol demethylase [48], but these tetrazoles display increased selectivity for the fungal enzyme over mammalian cytochrome p-450 enzymes [48]. To our knowledge, their anti-biofilm activity against *Candida* spp. has not been exhaustively tested.

4. The future

Drug discovery and development represents an arduous, failure-prone, time-consuming and very costly proposition; up to 20 years and 2 billion dollars [49]. Thus, as we look towards the future of *Candida* biofilm treatment, we need to consider current work being performed today in a number of, mostly, academic laboratories which could serve as the basis for future management strategies. This work is now firmly based on our increasing understanding of *Candida* biofilm biology, physiology, resistance and pathogenesis; and greatly facilitated by a number of methodologies developed during the last couple of decades to study *Candida* biofilms [9,50]. Thus, numerous groups around the world are harnessing these existing knowledge and technical skills in order to identify and further develop novel drugs and alternative strategies for the therapy of biofilm-associated *Candida* infections. In this section we would like to highlight what we consider to be some of the most promising and advanced approaches, without discounting many others

which may also eventually offer excellent prospects to add or complement our existing antifungal arsenal and management modalities. We would like to note that none of the approaches mentioned below have yet reached the clinical setting.

4.1. Screening of chemical libraries to identify new compounds with inhibitory activity against *Candida* biofilms

For many years now screening, and particularly high throughput screening (HTS), have become the cornerstone of drug discovery in the pharmaceutical industry. With the advances in technology, the existence of high quality and diverse chemical libraries, as well as the establishment of core screening facilities in a number of universities, academic laboratories can now implement these techniques in search of inhibitors of their particular process of interest. Low and medium throughput screening techniques can also be implemented in more resource-limited laboratories even in the absence of sophisticated equipment. The main attractiveness of these techniques is the savings in cost, time, reagents and effort involved in the identification of novel chemical matter of interest.

To illustrate this approach, readers are referred to two relatively recent articles on the screening, identification and further characterization of small molecule inhibitors of *C. albicans* biofilm formation, for which a total of 50,000 compounds from commercially available chemical libraries were screened using the well established multi-well format of *Candida* biofilm formation and susceptibility testing. Pierce et al. reported on the identification of a new series of diazaspino-decane structural analogs which were largely represented among the bioactive compounds [51]; whereas as reported in Romo et al. the two main hits belonged to a novel series of bioactive compounds with a common biaryl amide core structure [52–54]. After a series of dose-response secondary assays to confirm their biofilm inhibitory activity, establishing their potency, and determining their cytotoxicity, the leading compounds in these studies underwent subsequent *in vitro* and *in vivo* characterization. To briefly summarize some of the main observations: i) both leading compounds inhibited *C. albicans* biofilm formation and filamentation *in vitro* without affecting planktonic growth at relatively low concentrations (approximately 5 micromolar), ii) serial passage experiments indicated that prolonged exposure to increasing concentrations of these compounds are highly unlikely to induce resistance, iii) both compounds were effective *in vivo* in the murine models of hematogenously disseminated and oral candidiasis [51–54]. Altogether these biofilm inhibitors, with novel chemical structures and mode of action (inhibition of biofilm formation), behave as true anti-virulence compounds; and these studies provide proof of concept for the future implementation of anti-virulence approaches against *C. albicans* (and potentially other fungal infections) which would be less likely to foster the development of resistance.

Also, a complementary approach has been the screening of chemical libraries in search for compounds that synergize with or potentiate the anti-*Candida* biofilm activity of current, clinically-used antifungal agents. One such screen was reported by the LaFleur group, to identify potentiators of the clotrimazole biofilm activity. Using HTS, they identified a total of 19 potentiators of clotrimazole biofilm activity against *C. albicans*, which were subsequently validated for their ability to inhibit biofilms alone, and in the presence of clotrimazole [55]. Likewise, the Thevisen group identified artemisinins as new potentiators of miconazole activity against *C. albicans* biofilms [56].

4.2. Turbinmicin

The Andes group recently reported on the discovery of a novel antifungal molecule, termed turbinmicin, which interestingly is produced by the associated microbiome of a marine animal [57]. The fungal vesicle delivery pathway was identified as the target of turbinmicin. The authors hypothesized and subsequently demonstrated that this new

antifungal inhibited the vesicle-delivered biofilm matrix, thereby effectively negating the protection that the biofilm matrix affords to *C. albicans* biofilms, since many of the *Candida* biofilm matrix components are delivered by extracellular vesicles and this process is critical for drug resistance/tolerance [58]. Some very elegant follow-up studies demonstrated that turbinmicin treatment nearly completely abrogated the production of biofilm vesicles and that this new molecule was also active against other *Candida* spp, including *C. tropicalis*, *C. glabrata*, and *C. auris* [58]. Furthermore, turbinmicin was effective in vivo in the rat central venous catheter model of *C. albicans* biofilms [58], which mimics a severe clinical biofilm infection, thereby corroborating the potential clinical value of turbinmicin as a novel *Candida* biofilm therapeutic.

4.3. EntV

As commensal of humans and also during infection, *Candida* spp interact with multiple bacteria within the normal microbiota. Thus, it is not surprising that some bacteria may actually synergize with or compete and antagonize against *Candida*. Characterization of these interactions may lead to new avenues for the treatment of candidiasis. This is exemplified by a series of articles in the last few years by the Lorenz and Garsin groups, who initially reported on the interactions between *Enterococcus faecalis* and *C. albicans*, whereby the bacterium can inhibit *C. albicans* morphogenesis, biofilm formation, and overall virulence [59]. This effect was associated with a signaling event in which a bacterial-derived product inhibited *C. albicans* filamentation and biofilm formation, with subsequent studies identifying this product as EntV, a bacteriocin produced by *E. faecalis* as a pre-pro-peptide. The active form of EntV is 68 amino acids [60], which per se does not exhibit antifungal activity, inhibits *C. albicans* biofilm formation both in vitro and in vivo [61]. In a recent study aimed at optimizing its potential anti-*Candida* therapeutic the authors identified a shorter 12-mer peptide derived from EntV which maintained the inhibitory activity, including against *Candida* biofilms [62].

4.4. Repurposing

Drug repurposing, also referred to as repositioning, is the search for new therapeutic indications for already existing drugs [63,64], which constitutes an auspicious alternative pathway to antifungal drug development [65]. This pathway is particularly appealing within the academic environment and offers an ideal opportunity for collaboration between academia, governments, and international organizations, to fill the existing void in the antifungal drug pipeline. One of the distinct advantages of repurposing is the fact that the leading candidate repositionable drugs are already approved or at the very least have been through several stages of clinical development, and therefore the pharmacological properties of these drugs, and their safety in humans are already established [63,64]. This makes the repurposing pathway significantly faster, cheaper, and more likely to succeed as compared to “de novo” drug discovery that searches for entirely new chemical matter. As such, it can also lead to a much faster deployment of new antifungals and significantly shorten the translation from the bench to the bedside [66,67].

There are many notable examples of repurposing efforts by many different groups of investigators in the antifungal space, and readers are referred to excellent review on this topic. Initial repurposing efforts were mostly piece-meal, focusing on single or perhaps a handful of compounds in order to identify their potential antifungal activity; but more recent efforts have adopted the more powerful “screening” strategy from the drug discovery field, as described above [68]. These have also benefited from the availability of a number of “repurposing libraries” where hundreds to thousands of drugs can be screened at a fast pace using relevant models of *Candida* growth and/or biofilm formation. There are many examples of such efforts in the recent literature aimed specifically at the identification of repositionable compounds with

anti-*Candida* biofilm inhibitory activity [68]. While initial studies mostly used *C. albicans*, most recently these studies have been also extended to *C. auris*, due to the urgency in identifying new compounds effective against this multi-drug resistant emergent species [67,69,70]. A few notable leading repositionable candidates, among others, identified during this work are auranofin, ebselen, alexidine and niclosamide, with ongoing studies aimed at further evaluating their activity both in vitro and in vivo, including in biofilm-relevant models, and advancing their development as antifungals, with emphasis on the treatment of resistant *Candida* infections, including biofilm-associated candidiasis [69,71–74].

4.5. Nanotechnological approaches

Nanotechnological approaches represent another promising alternative for the prevention and treatment of biofilm-associated infections, which has been gaining traction over the last few years in the field of *Candida* biofilms [75]. Generally speaking, “nanomaterials”, “nanoparticles” or “nanoantibiotics” are considered to be single-structures, free or in a composite, with a size of less than 100 nm in at least one of their three dimensions. Within this nanometric scale the physicochemical properties of materials display new or improved physicochemical properties as compared to the same materials at larger scales. The inhibitory activity of a variety of nanomaterials against *Candida* biofilms has been evaluated during approximately the last 10–15 years, with the majority of research being on metal nanoparticles, and more specifically silver nanoparticles (AgNPs), synthesized by different methods. While initial experiments demonstrated the increased activity of AgNPs against *C. albicans*, including preformed biofilms [76,77], most recently this research has also expanded to other *Candida* spp., and in particular *C. auris* [78,79]. The increased antifungal activity of nanoparticles is generally associated with the smaller size of the nanoparticles, with shape and surface area also playing a major role. Ultrastructural observations indicated that the anti-biofilm effect of AgNPs is achieved mostly via cell wall disruption of *Candida* cells [76]. AgNPs can also accumulate outside the *Candida* cell surface, interact with cell wall components and in the process release ionic silver leading to cell death [80]. The synthesis and anti-*Candida* biofilm activity of bismuth metallic nanoparticles has also been recently reported [81–83]. Other types of nanoparticles, including different polymeric nanoparticles (i.e. chitosan, curcumin) also display inhibitory activity against *Candida* biofilms [84,85]. Importantly, nanoparticles have been described to display potent activity against mixed *Candida*/bacterial biofilms which normally exhibit high levels of resistance against both antibacterial and antifungal antibiotics [86]. Of course, one of the current major impediments of the use of nanoparticles is their limitations for systemic therapy.

4.6. Other approaches

Due to the multiple alternative strategies being developed early in the “basic” research process, the following list is not meant to be comprehensive, but rather our intention is to highlight just a handful of other approaches currently under investigation which we believe hold promise for their eventual utilization to combat the threat of *Candida* biofilms. These include the use antimicrobial peptides, inhibitors of other components within the biofilm matrix (i.e. extracellular DNA), the use of probiotics, hsp90 inhibition, as well as modulators of quorum sensing [87–93]. An interesting methodology being developed and applied to the *Candida* biofilm field is that of photodynamic therapy (PDT), where the inhibitory activity is mediated by the action of reactive oxygen species generated by the photoactivation of a photosensitizer by a light source [94–97]. For catheter-related candidemia, several promising strategies are the development of catheter locks [98,99], the development of novel surface coatings which inhibit *Candida* attachment and/or subsequent biofilm formation [100,101], and the

Table 1
Novel approaches against *Candida* biofilms.

Approach	Main thrust/techniques	Representative references
Screening Chemical Libraries	Identification of new compounds with anti-biofilm activity, alone or in combination	51, 52, 55, 56
Turbinmicin	High throughput screening (HTS) Produced by the microbiome of a marine animal Inhibits extracellular vesicle-delivered biofilm matrix	57, 58
EntV	Antifungal peptide produced by <i>E. faecalis</i>	60
Drug Repurposing	Search for new therapeutic indications for existing drugs Screening Repurposing libraries	68
Nanotechnology	Nanomaterials, nanoparticles or nanoantibiotics Size of less than 100 nm in at least one of their three dimensions Potent anti-biofilm activity, including against mixed biofilms	76, 78
Other ^a	Antimicrobial peptides Targeting extracellular DNA Probiotics Hsp 90 inhibition Modulators of quorum-sensing Photodynamic therapy (PDT) Catheter locks Surface coatings Inhibition of dispersion	87–102

^a this list is not all inclusive.

inhibition of biofilm dispersal from a catheter biofilm that would prevent the most serious establishment of invasive candidiasis at distal organs [102] (Table 1).

5. Conclusion

There are significant shortcomings associated with the management of *Candida* infections with a biofilm etiology. Notably, the lack of effective antifungal therapeutics greatly contributes to the excess morbidity and mortality rates associated with biofilm-associated candidiasis. Research on *Candida* biofilms has literally exploded in the last two decades. Lessons from the past and our increasing understanding of *Candida* biofilms offer new opportunities for the development of novel therapeutics to combat the threat that these devastating infections pose to an increasing number of at-risk patients.

CRedit authorship contribution statement

Olabayo H. Ajetunmobi: Writing – original draft, Preparation. **Hamid Badali:** Writing – original draft, Preparation. **Jesus A. Romo:** Writing – original draft, Preparation. **Gordon Ramage:** Writing – original draft, Preparation, Writing – review & editing. **Jose L. Lopez-Ribot:** Writing – original draft, Preparation, Writing – review & editing, Funding acquisition.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Data availability

No data was used for the research described in the article.

Acknowledgements

Biofilm work in the JL-R laboratory is supported by NIH grants R33AI140823 and R21AI156100 from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases. Additional support was provided by the Margaret Batts Tobin Foundation, San Antonio, TX. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript, and the content is solely the responsibility of the authors.

References

- [1] Brown GD, Denning DW, Gow NA, Levitz SM, Netea MG, White TC. Hidden killers: human fungal infections. *Sci Transl Med* 2012;4(165):165rv13. Epub 2012/12/21. doi: 4/165/165rv13 [pii] 10.1126/scitranslmed.3004404. PubMed PMID: 23253612.
- [2] Thomas-Ruddel DO, Schlattmann P, Pletz M, Kurzai O, Bloos F. Risk factors for invasive *Candida* infection in critically ill patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Chest* 2022;161(2):345–55. Epub 20211018. doi: 10.1016/j.chest.2021.08.081. PubMed PMID: 34673022; PMCID: PMC8941622.
- [3] Chakrabarti A, Singh S. Multidrug-resistant *Candida auris*: an epidemiological review. *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther* 2020;18(6):551–62. Epub 20200413. doi: 10.1080/14787210.2020.1750368. PubMed PMID: 32237924.
- [4] Kean R, Brown J, Gulmez D, Ware A, Ramage G. *Candida auris*: a decade of understanding of an enigmatic pathogenic yeast. *J Fungi (Basel)*. 2020;6(1). Epub 20200226. doi: 10.3390/jof6010030. PubMed PMID: 32110970; PMCID: PMC7150997.
- [5] Silva S, Negri M, Henriques M, Oliveira R, Williams DW, Azeredo J. *Candida glabrata*, *Candida parapsilosis* and *Candida tropicalis*: biology, epidemiology, pathogenicity and antifungal resistance. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 2012;36(2):288–305. Epub 20110606. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6976.2011.00278.x. PubMed PMID: 21569057.
- [6] Koehler P, Stecher M, Cornely OA, Koehler D, Vehreschild M, Bohlius J, Wisplinghoff H, Vehreschild JJ. Morbidity and mortality of candidaemia in Europe: an epidemiologic meta-analysis. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2019;25(10):1200–12. Epub 20190427. doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.2019.04.024. PubMed PMID: 31039444.
- [7] Tsay SV, Mu Y, Williams S, Epton E, Nadle J, Bamberg WM, Barter DM, Johnston HL, Farley MM, Harb S, Thomas S, Bonner LA, Harrison LH, Hollick R, Marceaux K, Mody RK, Pattee B, Shrum Davis S, Phipps EC, Tesini BL, Gellert AB, Zhang AY, Schaffner W, Hillis S, Ndi D, Graber CR, Jackson BR, Chiller T, Magill S, Vallabhaneni S. Burden of candidemia in the United States, 2017. *Clin Infect Dis* 2020;71(9):e449–53. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa193>. PubMed PMID: 32107534.
- [8] Cuellar-Cruz M, Lopez-Romero E, Villagomez-Castro JC, Ruiz-Baca E. *Candida* species: new insights into biofilm formation. *Future Microbiol* 2012;7(6):755–71. <https://doi.org/10.2217/fmb.12.48>. PubMed PMID: 22702528.
- [9] Wall G, Montelongo-Jauregui D, Vidal Bonifacio B, Lopez-Ribot JL, Uppuluri P. *Candida albicans* biofilm growth and dispersal: contributions to pathogenesis. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 2019;52:1–6. Epub 20190511. doi: 10.1016/j.mib.2019.04.001. PubMed PMID: 31085405; PMCID: PMC6842673.
- [10] Ramage G, Saville SP, Thomas DP, Lopez-Ribot JL. *Candida* biofilms: an update. *Eukaryot Cell* 2005;4(4):633–8. Epub 2005/04/12. doi: 4/4/633 [pii]. 10.1128/EC.4.4.633-638.2005. PubMed PMID: 15821123; PMCID: 1087806.
- [11] Chandra J, Kuhn DM, Mukherjee PK, Hoyer LL, McCormick T, Ghannoum MA. Biofilm formation by the fungal pathogen *Candida albicans*: development, architecture, and drug resistance. *J Bacteriol* 2001;183(18):5385–94. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.183.18.5385-5394.2001>. PubMed PMID: 11514524; PMCID: PMC95423.
- [12] Gulati M, Nobile CJ. *Candida albicans* biofilms: development, regulation, and molecular mechanisms. *Microb Infect* 2016;18(5):310–21. Epub 20160122. doi: 10.1016/j.micinf.2016.01.002. PubMed PMID: 26806384; PMCID: PMC4860025.
- [13] Sherry L, Ramage G, Kean R, Borman A, Johnson EM, Richardson MD, Rautema-Richardson R. Biofilm-forming capability of highly virulent, multidrug-resistant *Candida auris*. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2017;23(2):328–31. <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2302.161320>. PubMed PMID: 28098553; PMCID: PMC5324806.
- [14] Uppuluri P, Acosta Zaldivar M, Anderson MZ, Dunn MJ, Berman J, Lopez Ribot JL, Kohler JR. *Candida albicans* dispersed cells are developmentally distinct from biofilm and planktonic cells. *mBio* 2018;9(4). Epub 20180821. doi: 10.1128/mBio.01338-18. PubMed PMID: 30131358; PMCID: PMC6106089.
- [15] Uppuluri P, Chaturvedi AK, Srinivasan A, Banerjee M, Ramasubramanian AK, Kohler JR, Kadosh D, Lopez-Ribot JL. Dispersion as an important step in the *Candida albicans* biofilm developmental cycle. *PLoS Pathog* 2010;6(3):e1000828. Epub 20100326. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1000828. PubMed PMID: 20360962; PMCID: PMC2847914.
- [16] Nobile CJ, Mitchell AP. Genetics and genomics of *Candida albicans* biofilm formation. *Cell Microbiol* 2006;8(9):1382–91. Epub 2006/07/20. doi: CMI761 [pii]. 10.1111/j.1462-5822.2006.00761.x. PubMed PMID: 16848788.
- [17] Nobile CJ, Fox EP, Nett JE, Sorrells TR, Mitrovich QM, Hernday AD, Tuch BB, Andes DR, Johnson AD. A recently evolved transcriptional network controls biofilm development in *Candida albicans*. *Cell* 2012;148(1–2):126–38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.05.042>.

- doi.org/10.1016/j.cjell.2011.10.048. PubMed PMID: 22265407; PMCID: 3266547.
- [18] Nobile CJ, Andes DR, Nett JE, Smith FJ, Yue F, Phan QT, Edwards JE, Filler SG, Mitchell AP. Critical role of Bcr1-dependent adhesins in *C. albicans* biofilm formation in vitro and in vivo. *PLoS Pathog* 2006;2(7):e63. Epub 2006/07/15. doi: 06-PLPA-RA-0002R2 [pii]. 10.1371/journal.ppat.0020063. PubMed PMID: 16839200; PMCID: 1487173.
- [19] Fox EP, Bui CK, Nett JE, Hartooni N, Mui MC, Andes DR, Nobile CJ, Johnson AD. An expanded regulatory network temporally controls *Candida albicans* biofilm formation. *Mol Microbiol* 2015;96(6):1226–39. Epub 20150423. doi: 10.1111/mmi.13002. PubMed PMID: 25784162; PMCID: PMC4464956.
- [20] Ramage G, Saville SP, Wickes BL, Lopez-Ribot JL. Inhibition of *Candida albicans* biofilm formation by farnesol, a quorum-sensing molecule. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2002;68(11):5459–63. Epub 2002/10/31. PubMed PMID: 12406738; PMCID: 129887.
- [21] Pierce CG, Srinivasan A, Uppuluri P, Ramasubramanian AK, Lopez-Ribot JL. Antifungal therapy with an emphasis on biofilms. *Curr Opin Pharmacol* 2013;13(5):726–30. Epub 20130904. doi: 10.1016/j.coph.2013.08.008. PubMed PMID: 24011516; PMCID: PMC3795934.
- [22] Ramage G, Vande Walle K, Wickes BL, Lopez-Ribot JL. Standardized method for in vitro antifungal susceptibility testing of *Candida albicans* biofilms. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2001;45(9):2475–9. Epub 2001/08/15. PubMed PMID: 11502517; PMCID: 90680.
- [23] Odds FC, Brown AJ, Gow NA. Antifungal agents: mechanisms of action. *Trends Microbiol* 2003;11(6):272–9. Epub 2003/06/26. doi: S0966842X03001173 [pii]. PubMed PMID: 12823944.
- [24] Ostrosky-Zeichner L, Casadevall A, Galgiani JN, Odds FC, Rex JH. An insight into the antifungal pipeline: selected new molecules and beyond. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 2010;9(9):719–27. Epub 2010/08/21. doi: nrd3074 [pii]. 10.1038/nrd3074. PubMed PMID: 20725094.
- [25] Wall G, Lopez-Ribot JL. Current antimycotics, new prospects, and future approaches to antifungal therapy. *Antibiotics (Basel)* 2020;9(8). Epub 20200725. doi: 10.3390/antibiotics9080445. PubMed PMID: 32722455; PMCID: PMC7460292.
- [26] Kaur J, Nobile CJ. Antifungal drug-resistance mechanisms in *Candida* biofilms. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 2023;71:102237. Epub 20221124. doi: 10.1016/j.mib.2022.102237. PubMed PMID: 36436326.
- [27] Ramage G, Rajendran R, Sherry L, Williams C. Fungal biofilm resistance. *Internet J Microbiol* 2012;2012:528521. Epub 20120208. doi: 10.1155/2012/528521. PubMed PMID: PMC32299327.
- [28] Taff HT, Mitchell KF, Edward JA, Andes DR. Mechanisms of *Candida* biofilm drug resistance. *Future Microbiol* 2013;8(10):1325–37. <https://doi.org/10.2217/fmb.13.101>. PubMed PMID: 24059922; PMCID: PMC3859465.
- [29] Ramage G, Martinez JP, Lopez-Ribot JL. *Candida* biofilms on implanted biomaterials: a clinically significant problem. *FEMS Yeast Res* 2006;6(7):979–86. Epub 2006/10/18. doi: FYR117 [pii]. 10.1111/j.1567-1364.2006.00117.x. PubMed PMID: 17042747.
- [30] Denning DW. Echinocandins: a new class of antifungal. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2002;49(6):889–91. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkf045>. PubMed PMID: 12039879.
- [31] Johnson MD, Perfect JR. Caspofungin: first approved agent in a new class of antifungals. *Expert Opin Pharmacother* 2003;4(5):807–23. <https://doi.org/10.1517/14656566.4.5.807>. PubMed PMID: 12740003.
- [32] Anderson TM, Clay MC, Cioffi AG, Diaz KA, Hisao GS, Tuttle MD, Nieuwkoop AJ, Comellas G, Maryum N, Wang S, Uno BE, Wildeman EL, Gonen T, Rienstra CM, Burke MD. Amphotericin forms an extramembranous and fungicidal sterol sponge. *Nat Chem Biol* 2014;10(5):400–6. Epub 20140330. doi: 10.1038/nchembio.1496. PubMed PMID: 24681535; PMCID: PMC3992202.
- [33] Gray KC, Palacios DS, Dailey I, Endo MM, Uno BE, Wilcock BC, Burke MD. Amphotericin primarily kills yeast by simply binding ergosterol. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2012;109(7):2234–9. Epub 20120117. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1117280109. PubMed PMID: 22308411; PMCID: PMC3289339.
- [34] Kuhn DM, Ghannoum MA. *Candida* biofilms: antifungal resistance and emerging therapeutic options. *Curr Opin Invest Drugs* 2004;5(2):186–97. Epub 2004/03/27. PubMed PMID: 15043393.
- [35] Perfect JR. The antifungal pipeline: a reality check. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 2017;16(9):603–16. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrd.2017.46>. PubMed PMID: 28496146.
- [36] Wiederhold NP. Pharmacodynamics, mechanisms of action and resistance, and spectrum of activity of new antifungal agents. *J Fungi (Basel)*. 2022;8(8). Epub 20220816. doi: 10.3390/jof8080857. PubMed PMID: 36012845; PMCID: PMC9410397.
- [37] Bachmann SP, VandeWalle K, Ramage G, Patterson TF, Wickes BL, Graybill JR, Lopez-Ribot JL. In vitro activity of caspofungin against *Candida albicans* biofilms. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2002;46(11):3591–6. Epub 2002/10/18. PubMed PMID: 12384370; PMCID: 128731.
- [38] Marcos-Zambrano LJ, Gomez-Perosanz M, Escribano P, Zaragoza O, Bouza E, Guinea J. Biofilm production and antibiofilm activity of echinocandins and liposomal amphotericin B in echinocandin-resistant yeast species. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2016;60(6):3579–86. Epub 20160523. doi: 10.1128/AAC.03065-15. PubMed PMID: 27021323; PMCID: PMC4879372.
- [39] Hoenigl M, Sprute R, Egger M, Arastehfar A, Cornely OA, Krause R, Lass-Flörl C, Prattes J, Spec A, Thompson 3rd GR, Wiederhold N, Jenks JD. The antifungal pipeline: fosmanogepix, ibrexafungerp, olorofim, opelconazole, and rezafungin. *Drugs* 2021;81(15):1703–29. Epub 20211009. doi: 10.1007/s40265-021-01611-0. PubMed PMID: 34626339; PMCID: PMC8501344.
- [40] Wiederhold NP. The antifungal arsenal: alternative drugs and future targets. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2018;51(3):333–9. Epub 20170907. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2017.09.002. PubMed PMID: 28890395.
- [41] Zhao Y, Perez WB, Jimenez-Ortiguosa C, Hough G, Locke JB, Ong V, Bartizal K, Perlin DS. CD101: a novel long-acting echinocandin. *Cell Microbiol* 2016;18(9):1308–16. Epub 20160722. doi: 10.1111/cmi.12640. PubMed PMID: 27354115; PMCID: PMC5096055.
- [42] Chandra J, Ghannoum MA. CD101, a novel echinocandin, possesses potent antibiofilm activity against early and mature *Candida albicans* biofilms. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2018;62(2). Epub 20180125. doi: 10.1128/AAC.01750-17. PubMed PMID: 29133552; PMCID: PMC5786756.
- [43] Jallow S, Govender NP. Ibrexafungerp: a first-in-class oral triterpenoid glucan synthase inhibitor. *J Fungi (Basel)*. 2021;7(3). Epub 20210225. doi: 10.3390/jof7030163. PubMed PMID: 33668824; PMCID: PMC7996284.
- [44] Marcos-Zambrano LJ, Gomez-Perosanz M, Escribano P, Bouza E, Guinea J. The novel oral glucan synthase inhibitor SCY-078 shows in vitro activity against sessile and planktonic *Candida* spp. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2017;72(7):1969–76. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkx010>. PubMed PMID: 28175309.
- [45] Larkin E, Hager C, Chandra J, Mukherjee PK, Retuerto M, Salem I, Long L, Isham N, Kovanda L, Borroto-Esoda K, Wring S, Angulo D, Ghannoum M. The emerging pathogen *Candida auris*: growth phenotype, virulence factors, activity of antifungals, and effect of SCY-078, a novel glucan synthesis inhibitor, on growth morphology and biofilm formation. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2017;61(5). Epub 20170424. doi: 10.1128/AAC.02396-16. PubMed PMID: 28223375; PMCID: PMC5404565.
- [46] Berkow EL, Lockhart SR. Activity of novel antifungal compound APX001A against a large collection of *Candida auris*. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2018;73(11):3060–2. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dky302>. PubMed PMID: 30085167.
- [47] Watanabe NA, Miyazaki M, Horii T, Sagane K, Tsukahara K, Hata K. EI210, a new broad-spectrum antifungal, suppresses *Candida albicans* hyphal growth through inhibition of glycosylphosphatidylinositol biosynthesis. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2012;56(2):960–71. Epub 20111205. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00731-11. PubMed PMID: 22143530; PMCID: PMC3264227.
- [48] Warrilow AG, Parker JE, Price CL, Nes WD, Garvey EP, Hoekstra WJ, Schotzinger RJ, Kelly DE, Kelly SL. The investigational drug VT-1129 is a highly potent inhibitor of *Cryptococcus* species CYP51 but only weakly inhibits the human enzyme. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2016;60(8):4530–8. Epub 20160722. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00349-16. PubMed PMID: 27161631; PMCID: PMC4958158.
- [49] Rennane S, Baker L, Mulcahy A. Estimating the cost of industry investment in drug research and development: a review of methods and results. *Inquiry* 2021; 58:469580211059731. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00469580211059731>. PubMed PMID: 35170336; PMCID: PMC8855407.
- [50] Van Dijk P, Sjollem J, Cammue BP, Lagrou K, Berman J, d'Enfert C, Andes DR, Arendrup MC, Brakhage AA, Calderone R, Canton E, Coenye T, Cos P, Cowen LE, Edgerton M, Espinel-Ingroff A, Filler SG, Ghannoum M, Gow NAR, Haas H, Jabra-Rizk MA, Johnson EM, Lockhart SR, Lopez-Ribot JL, Maertens J, Munro CA, Nett JE, Nobile CJ, Pfaller MA, Ramage G, Sanglard D, Sanguinetti M, Spriet I, Verweij PE, Warris A, Wauters J, Yeaman MR, Zaat SAJ, Thevissen K. Methodologies for in vitro and in vivo evaluation of efficacy of antifungal and antibiofilm agents and surface coatings against fungal biofilms. *Microb Cell* 2018; 5(7):300–26. Epub 20180614. doi: 10.15698/mic2018.07.638. PubMed PMID: 29992128; PMCID: PMC6035839.
- [51] Pierce CG, Chaturvedi AK, Lazzell AL, Powell AT, Saville SP, McHardy SF, Lopez-Ribot JL. A novel small molecule inhibitor of *Candida albicans* biofilm formation, filamentation and virulence with low potential for the development of resistance. *NPJ Biofilms Microbiomes* 2015;1. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nnpjbiofilms.2015.12>. PubMed PMID: 26691764; PMCID: PMC4681527.
- [52] Romo JA, Pierce CG, Chaturvedi AK, Lazzell AL, McHardy SF, Saville SP, Lopez-Ribot JL. Development of anti-virulence approaches for candidiasis via a novel series of small-molecule inhibitors of *Candida albicans* filamentation. *mBio* 2017;8(6). <https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01991-17>. PubMed PMID: 29208749; PMCID: PMC5717394.
- [53] Romo JA, Pierce CG, Esqueda M, Hung CY, Saville SP, Lopez-Ribot JL. In vitro characterization of a biaryl amide anti-virulence compound targeting *Candida albicans* filamentation and biofilm formation. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* 2018;8:227. Epub 20180710. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2018.00227. PubMed PMID: 30042929; PMCID: PMC6048184.
- [54] Romo JA, Zhang H, Cai H, Kadosh D, Koehler JR, Saville SP, Wang Y, Lopez-Ribot JL. Global transcriptomic analysis of the *Candida albicans* response to treatment with a novel inhibitor of filamentation. *mSphere* 2019;4(5). Epub 20190911. doi: 10.1128/mSphere.00620-19. PubMed PMID: 31511371; PMCID: PMC6739497.
- [55] LaFleur MD, Lucumi E, Napper AD, Diamond SL, Lewis K. Novel high-throughput screen against *Candida albicans* identifies antifungal potentiators and agents effective against biofilms. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2011;66(4):820–6. Epub 20110128. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkq530. PubMed PMID: 21393183; PMCID: PMC3058565.
- [56] De Cremer K, Lanckacker E, Cools TL, Bax M, De Brucker K, Cos P, Cammue BP, Thevissen K. Artemisinins, new miconazole potentiators resulting in increased activity against *Candida albicans* biofilms. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2015;59(1):421–6. Epub 20141103. doi: 10.1128/AAC.04229-14. PubMed PMID: 25367916; PMCID: PMC4291436.
- [57] Zhang F, Zhao M, Braun DR, Erickson SS, Piotrowski JS, Nelson J, Peng J, Ananiev GE, Chanana S, Barns K, Fossen J, Sanchez H, Chevrette MG, Guzei IA, Zhao C, Guo L, Tang W, Currie CR, Rajski SR, Audhya A, Andes DR, Bugni TS.

- A marine microbiome antifungal targets urgent-threat drug-resistant fungi. *Science* 2020;370(6519):974–8. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abd6919>. PubMed PMID: 33214279; PMCID: PMC7756952.
- [58] Zhao M, Zhang F, Zarnowski R, Barns K, Jones R, Fossen J, Sanchez H, Rajski SR, Audhya A, Bugni TS, Andes DR. Turbinicidin inhibits *Candida* biofilm growth by disrupting fungal vesicle-mediated trafficking. *J Clin Invest* 2021;131(5). <https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI145123>. PubMed PMID: 33373326; PMCID: PMC7919718.
- [59] Cruz MR, Graham CE, Gagliano BC, Lorenz MC, Garsin DA. Enterococcus faecalis inhibits hyphal morphogenesis and virulence of *Candida albicans*. *Infect Immun* 2013;81(1):189–200. Epub 20121031. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00914-12. PubMed PMID: 23115035; PMCID: PMC3536143.
- [60] Brown AO, Graham CE, Cruz MR, Singh KV, Murray BE, Lorenz MC, Garsin DA. Antifungal activity of the Enterococcus faecalis peptide EntV requires protease cleavage and disulfide bond formation. *mBio* 2019;10(4). Epub 20190702. doi: 10.1128/mBio.01334-19. PubMed PMID: 31266876; PMCID: PMC6606811.
- [61] Graham CE, Cruz MR, Garsin DA, Lorenz MC. Enterococcus faecalis bacteriocin EntV inhibits hyphal morphogenesis, biofilm formation, and virulence of *Candida albicans*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2017;114(17):4507–12. Epub 20170410. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1620432114. PubMed PMID: 28396417; PMCID: PMC5410809.
- [62] Cruz MR, Cristy S, Guha S, De Cesare GB, Evdokimova E, Sanchez H, Borek D, Miramon P, Yano J, Fidel Jr PL, Savchenko A, Andes DR, Stogios PJ, Lorenz MC, Garsin DA. Structural and functional analysis of EntV reveals a 12 amino acid fragment protective against fungal infections. *Nat Commun* 2022;13(1):6047. Epub 20221013. doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-33613-1. PubMed PMID: 36229448; PMCID: PMC9562342.
- [63] Ashburn TT, Thor KB. Drug repositioning: identifying and developing new uses for existing drugs. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 2004;3(8):673–83. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrd1468>. PubMed PMID: 15286734.
- [64] Oprea TL, Mestres J. Drug repurposing: far beyond new targets for old drugs. *AAPS J* 2012;14(4):759–63. Epub 20120724. doi: 10.1208/s12248-012-9390-1. PubMed PMID: 22826034; PMCID: PMC3475856.
- [65] Butts A, Krysan DJ. Antifungal drug discovery: something old and something new. *PLoS Pathog* 2012;8(9):e1002870. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002870>. PubMed PMID: 22969422; PMCID: PMC3435257.
- [66] Donlin MJ, Meyers MJ. Repurposing and optimization of drugs for discovery of novel antifungals. *Drug Discov Today* 2022;27(7):2008–14. Epub 20220427. doi: 10.1016/j.drudis.2022.04.021. PubMed PMID: 35489676.
- [67] Izadi A, Aghaei Gharebolagh S, Sadeghi F, Talebi M, Darmiani K, Zarrinnia A, Zarei F, Peymaei F, Khojasteh S, Borman AM, Mahmoudi S. Drug repurposing against *Candida auris*: a systematic review. *Mycoses* 2022;65(8):784–93. Epub 20220619. doi: 10.1111/myc.13477. PubMed PMID: 35665544.
- [68] Wall G, Lopez-Ribot JL. Screening repurposing libraries for identification of drugs with novel antifungal activity. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2020;64(9). Epub 20200820. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00924-20. PubMed PMID: 32660991; PMCID: PMC7449171.
- [69] Wall G, Chaturvedi AK, Wormley Jr FL, Wiederhold NP, Patterson HP, Patterson TF, Lopez-Ribot JL. Screening a repurposing library for inhibitors of multidrug-resistant *Candida auris* identifies esbelsen as a repositionable candidate for antifungal drug development. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2018;62(10). Epub 20180924. doi: 10.1128/AAC.01084-18. PubMed PMID: 30104269; PMCID: PMC6153848.
- [70] Abduljalil H, Bakri A, Albashaireh K, Alshanta OA, Brown JL, Sherry L, Kean R, Nile C, McLean W, Ramage G. Screening the Toctriscreen bioactive compound library in search for inhibitors of *Candida* biofilm formation. *APMIS* 2022;130(9): 568–77. Epub 20220720. doi: 10.1111/apm.13260. PubMed PMID: 35791082; PMCID: PMC9541805.
- [71] Mamouei Z, Alqarhi A, Singh S, Xu S, Mansour MK, Ibrahim AS, Uppuluri P. Alexidine dihydrochloride has broad-spectrum activities against diverse fungal pathogens. *mSphere* 2018;3(5). Epub 20181031. doi: 10.1128/mSphere.00539-18. PubMed PMID: 30381356; PMCID: PMC65211222.
- [72] Siles SA, Srinivasan A, Pierce CG, Lopez-Ribot JL, Ramasubramanian AK. High-throughput screening of a collection of known pharmacologically active small compounds for identification of *Candida albicans* biofilm inhibitors. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2013;57(8):3681–7. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00680-13>. PubMed PMID: 23689719; PMCID: 3719724.
- [73] Sutar Y, Nabeela S, Singh S, Alqarhi A, Solis N, Ghebremariam T, Filler S, Ibrahim AS, Date A, Uppuluri P. Niclosamide-loaded nanoparticles disrupt *Candida* biofilms and protect mice from mucosal candidiasis. *PLoS Biol* 2022;20(8):e3001762. Epub 20220817. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.3001762. PubMed PMID: 35976859; PMCID: PMC9385045.
- [74] Wiederhold NP, Patterson TF, Srinivasan A, Chaturvedi AK, Fothergill AW, Wormley FL, Ramasubramanian AK, Lopez-Ribot JL. Repurposing aurano-fin as an antifungal: in vitro activity against a variety of medically important fungi. *Virulence* 2017;8(2):138–42. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21505594.2016.1196301>. PubMed PMID: 27268469; PMCID: PMC5354159.
- [75] Li B, Pan L, Zhang H, Xie L, Wang X, Shou J, Qi Y, Yan X. Recent developments on using nanomaterials to combat *Candida albicans*. *Front Chem* 2021;9:813973. Epub 20211223. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2021.813973. PubMed PMID: 35004630; PMCID: PMC8733329.
- [76] Lara HH, Romero-Urbina DG, Pierce C, Lopez-Ribot JL, Arellano-Jimenez MJ, Jose-Yacamán M. Effect of silver nanoparticles on *Candida albicans* biofilms: an ultrastructural study. *J Nanobiotechnol* 2015;13:91. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12951-015-0147-8>. PubMed PMID: 26666378; PMCID: PMC4678641.
- [77] Monteiro DR, Gorup LF, Silva S, Negri M, de Camargo ER, Oliveira R, Barbosa DB, Henriques M. Silver colloidal nanoparticles: antifungal effect against adhered cells and biofilms of *Candida albicans* and *Candida glabrata*. *Biofouling* 2011;27(7):711–9. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08927014.2011.599101>. PubMed PMID: 21756192.
- [78] Lara HH, Ixtepan-Turrent L, Jose Yacamán M, Lopez-Ribot J. Inhibition of *Candida auris* biofilm formation on medical and environmental surfaces by silver nanoparticles. *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces* 2020;12(19):21183–91. Epub 20200116. doi: 10.1021/acsami.9b20708. PubMed PMID: 31944650; PMCID: PMC8243355.
- [79] Vazquez-Munoz R, Lopez FD, Lopez-Ribot JL. Silver nanoantibiotics display strong antifungal activity against the emergent multidrug-resistant yeast *Candida auris* under both planktonic and biofilm growing conditions. *Front Microbiol* 2020;11:1673. Epub 20200728. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.01673. PubMed PMID: 32849347; PMCID: PMC7399222.
- [80] Vazquez-Munoz R, Avalos-Borja M, Castro-Longoria E. Ultrastructural analysis of *Candida albicans* when exposed to silver nanoparticles. *PLoS One* 2014;9(10): e108876. Epub 20141007. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0108876. PubMed PMID: 25290909; PMCID: PMC4188582.
- [81] Vazquez-Munoz R, Arellano-Jimenez MJ, Lopez-Ribot JL. Bismuth nanoparticles obtained by a facile synthesis method exhibit antimicrobial activity against *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Candida albicans*. *BMC Biomed Eng* 2020;2:11. Epub 20201014. doi: 10.1186/s42490-020-00044-2. PubMed PMID: 33073175; PMCID: PMC7558697.
- [82] Vazquez-Munoz R, Arellano-Jimenez MJ, Lopez-Ribot JL. Fast, facile synthesis method for BAL-mediated PVP-bismuth nanoparticles. *MethodsX* 2020;7:100894. Epub 20200419. doi: 10.1016/j.mex.2020.100894. PubMed PMID: 32405464; PMCID: PMC7210455.
- [83] Vazquez-Munoz R, Lopez FD, Lopez-Ribot JL. Bismuth nanoantibiotics display anticandidal activity and disrupt the biofilm and cell morphology of the emergent pathogenic yeast *Candida auris*. *Antibiotics (Basel)* 2020;9(8). Epub 20200729. doi: 10.3390/antibiotics9080461. PubMed PMID: 32751405; PMCID: PMC7460268.
- [84] Anwar SK, Elmonaem SNA, Moussa E, Aboulela AG, Essawy MM. Curcumin nanoparticles: the topical antimycotic suspension treating oral candidiasis. *Odontology*; 2022. Epub 20220913. doi: 10.1007/s10266-022-00742-4. PubMed PMID: 36100802.
- [85] Lara HH, Guisbiers G, Mendoza J, Mimun LC, Vincent BA, Lopez-Ribot JL, Nash KL. Synergistic antifungal effect of chitosan-stabilized selenium nanoparticles synthesized by pulsed laser ablation in liquids against *Candida albicans* biofilms. *Int J Nanomed* 2018;13:2697–708. Epub 20180503. doi: 10.2147/IJN.S151285. PubMed PMID: 29760550; PMCID: PMC5937483.
- [86] Lara HH, Lopez-Ribot JL. Inhibition of mixed biofilms of *Candida albicans* and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* by positively charged silver nanoparticles and functionalized silicone elastomers. *Pathogens* 2020;9(10). Epub 20200925. doi: 10.3390/pathogens9100784. PubMed PMID: 32992727; PMCID: PMC7600790.
- [87] Martins M, Lazzell AL, Lopez-Ribot JL, Henriques M, Oliveira R. Effect of exogenous administration of *Candida albicans* autoregulatory alcohols in a murine model of hematogenously disseminated candidiasis. *J Basic Microbiol* 2012;52(4):487–91. Epub 20111103. doi: 10.1002/jobm.201100158. PubMed PMID: 22052380.
- [88] Martins M, Henriques M, Lopez-Ribot JL, Oliveira R. Addition of DNase improves the in vitro activity of antifungal drugs against *Candida albicans* biofilms. *Mycoses* 2012;55(1):80–5. Epub 20110612. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0507.2011.02047.x. PubMed PMID: 21668524; PMCID: PMC3175262.
- [89] Parolin C, Croatti V, Giordani B, Vitali B. Vaginal lactobacillus impair *Candida* dimorphic switching and biofilm formation. *Microorganisms* 2022;10(10). Epub 20221021. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms10102091. PubMed PMID: 36296367; PMCID: PMC9609122.
- [90] Pusateri CR, Monaco EA, Edgerton M. Sensitivity of *Candida albicans* biofilm cells grown on denture acrylic to antifungal proteins and chlorhexidine. *Arch Oral Biol* 2009;54(6):588–94. Epub 20090227. doi: 10.1016/j.archoralbio.2009.01.016. PubMed PMID: 19249746; PMCID: PMC2693315.
- [91] Bezerra LP, Silva AF, Santos-Oliveira R, Alencar LM, Amaral JL, Neto NA, Silva RG, Belem MO, de Andrade CR, Oliveira JT, Freitas CD, Souza PF. Combined antibiofilm activity of synthetic peptides and antifungal drugs against *Candida* spp. *Future Microbiol* 2022;17:1133–46. Epub 20220726. doi: 10.2217/fmb-2022-0053. PubMed PMID: 35880557.
- [92] Haring M, Amann V, Kissmann AK, Herberger T, Synatschke C, Kirsch-Pietz N, Perez-Erviti JA, Otero-Gonzalez AJ, Morales-Vicente F, Andersson J, Weil T, Stenger S, Rodriguez A, Standker L, Rosenau F. Combination of six individual derivatives of the pom-1 antibiofilm peptide doubles their efficacy against invasive and multi-resistant clinical isolates of the pathogenic yeast *Candida albicans*. *Pharmaceutics* 2022;14(7). Epub 20220624. doi: 10.3390/pharmaceutics14071332. PubMed PMID: 35890228; PMCID: PMC9319270.
- [93] Robbins N, Uppuluri P, Nett J, Rajendran R, Ramage G, Lopez-Ribot JL, Andes D, Cowen LE. Hsp90 governs dispersion and drug resistance of fungal biofilms. *PLoS Pathog* 2011;7(9):e1002257. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002257>. Epub 2011/09/21.
- [94] Amorim CF, Iglesias BA, Pinheiro TR, Lacerda LE, Sokolonski AR, Pedreira BO, Moreira KS, Burgo TAL, Meyer R, Azevedo V, Portela RW. Photodynamic inactivation of different *Candida* species and inhibition of biofilm formation induced by water-soluble porphyrins. *Photodiagnosis Photodyn Ther* 2023;42: 103343. Epub 20230218. doi: 10.1016/j.pdpdt.2023.103343. PubMed PMID: 36806829.
- [95] Bujdakova H. Management of *Candida* biofilms: state of knowledge and new options for prevention and eradication. *Future Microbiol* 2016;11(2):235–51. Epub 20160205. doi: 10.2217/fmb.15.139. PubMed PMID: 26849383.

- [96] Junqueira JC, Jorge AO, Barbosa JO, Rossoni RD, Vilela SF, Costa AC, Primo FL, Goncalves JM, Tedesco AC, Suleiman JM. Photodynamic inactivation of biofilms formed by *Candida* spp., *Trichosporon mucoides*, and *Kodamaea ohmeri* by cationic nanoemulsion of zinc 2,9,16,23-tetrakis(phenylthio)-29H, 31H-phthalocyanine (ZnPc). *Laser Med Sci* 2012;27(6):1205–12. Epub 20120126. doi: 10.1007/s10103-012-1050-2. PubMed PMID: 22278349.
- [97] Pereira CA, Romeiro RL, Costa AC, Machado AK, Junqueira JC, Jorge AO. Susceptibility of *Candida albicans*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, and *Streptococcus mutans* biofilms to photodynamic inactivation: an in vitro study. *Laser Med Sci* 2011;26(3):341–8. Epub 20101111. doi: 10.1007/s10103-010-0852-3. PubMed PMID: 21069408.
- [98] Cateau E, Rodier MH, Imbert C. In vitro efficacies of caspofungin or micafungin catheter lock solutions on *Candida albicans* biofilm growth. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2008;62(1):153–5. Epub 20080410. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkn160. PubMed PMID: 18407917.
- [99] Bouza E, Guinea J, Guembe M. The role of antifungals against *Candida* biofilm in catheter-related candidemia. *Antibiotics (Basel)* 2014;4(1):1–17. Epub 20141225. doi: 10.3390/antibiotics4010001. PubMed PMID: 27025612; PMCID: PMC4790322.
- [100] Agarwalla SV, Ellepola K, Silikas N, Castro Neto AH, Seneviratne CJ, Rosa V. Persistent inhibition of *Candida albicans* biofilm and hyphae growth on titanium by graphene nanocoating. *Dent Mater* 2021;37(2):370–7. Epub 20201225. doi: 10.1016/j.dental.2020.11.028. PubMed PMID: 33358443.
- [101] Araujo D, Henriques M, Silva S. Portrait of *Candida* species biofilm regulatory network genes. *Trends Microbiol* 2017;25(1):62–75. Epub 20161004. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2016.09.004. PubMed PMID: 27717660.
- [102] Uppuluri P, Srinivasan A, Ramasubramanian A, Lopez-Ribot JL. Effect of fluconazole, amphotericin B and caspofungin against *Candida albicans* biofilms under conditions of flow and on biofilm dispersion. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2011. Epub 2011/04/27. doi: AAC.01701-10 [pii]. 10.1128/AAC.01701-10. PubMed PMID: 21518839.