Assessment of Magnetic Resonance Imaging Artefacts Caused by Equine Anaesthesia Equipment: A Cadaver Study
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\textbf{A B S T R A C T}

Acquisition of magnetic resonance images of the equine limb is still sometimes conducted under general anaesthesia. Despite low-field systems allow the use of standard anaesthetic equipment, possible interferences of the extensive electronic componentry of advanced anaesthetic machines on image quality is unknown. This prospective, blinded, cadaver study investigated the effects of seven standardised conditions (Tafonius positioned as in clinical cases, Tafonius on the boundaries of the controlled area, anaesthetic monitoring only, Mallard anaesthetic machine, Bird ventilator, complete electronic silence in the room (negative control), source of electronic interference [positive control]) on image quality through the acquisition of 78 sequences using a 0.31T equine MRI scanner. Images were graded with a 4-point scoring system, where 1 denoted absence of artefacts and 4 major artefacts requiring repetition in a clinical setting. A lack of STIR fat suppression was commonly reported (16/26). Ordinal logistic regression showed no statistically significant differences in image quality between the negative control and either the non-Tafonius or the Tafonius groups ($P = 0.535$ and $P = 0.881$, respectively), and with the use of Tafonius compared to the other anaesthetic machines ($P = 0.578$). The only statistically significant differences in scores were observed between the positive control and the non-Tafonius ($P = 0.006$) and the Tafonius groups ($P = 0.017$). Our findings suggest that anaesthetic machines and monitoring do not appear to affect MRI scan quality and support the use of Tafonius during acquisition of images with a 0.31T MRI system in a clinical context.
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1. Introduction

Imaging of the equine limb using MRI [magnetic resonance imaging] has proved a valuable modality as part of the investigation of lameness, providing the clinician with additional diagnostic information to allow tailored individual prognostication and treatment [1]. Advantages are particularly evident when investigating lameness that affects the equine foot, where the hoof capsule limits the diagnostic value of conventional imaging modalities [2,3]. In addition, when compared to computed tomography (CT), low-field MRI systems (LFMRI) have been shown to produce higher anatomic visualisation scores of structures like the distal sesamoidan impar ligament, synovial structures, and the distal deep flexor tendon (DDFT) [4]. Furthermore, a study comparing CT, and LFMRI showed that, despite CT allowing identification of an overall higher number of lesions in the DDFT compared to LFMRI, lesions distal to the proximal margin of the navicular bone, splits, and core lesions were identified with LFMRI only [5].

Equine MRI is commonly conducted in the standing horse to avoid the potential risks of general anaesthesia [2,6]. However, patients are sometimes anaesthetized for acquisition of images, particularly for regions proximal to the foot (more susceptible to pendulous sway motion) in order to reduce movement artefacts [1,3]. Anaesthesia of the equine patient for MRI presents multiple challenges, some of which are specifically related to this imaging modality. The use of high-field MRI systems (1.5 Tesla and above) requires a dedicated room to accommodate the MRI unit, the
use of MRI compatible anaesthetic equipment, and remote patient monitoring during image acquisition [7]. Furthermore, the requirements for patient positioning and limb traction during acquisition of images have been associated with post-anaesthetic complications, such as myopathies and neuropathies [8,9]. Although low-field MRI systems may offer lower image resolution for structures such as articular cartilage [1,10], they can permit more straightforward patient access and allow use of standard positioning (including surgical tables) and anaesthetic equipment [11]. Nevertheless, anaesthesia in horses involves a higher degree of morbidity and mortality [12] compared to anaesthesia in other veterinary species [13], with respiratory complications being responsible for approximately a quarter of all non-fatal complications [14].

Various advanced ventilation strategies have been proposed to reduce the effects of recumbency and anaesthesia on the equine lung while trying to minimise the cardiocirculatory effects of mechanical ventilation [15–19]. Advanced respiratory management requires complex equipment. The Tafonui (Vetronic Services Ltd), a recently developed generation large animal ventilator [20], delivers spontaneous and mechanical ventilation to support anaesthetized horses using a microprocessor/servo-controlled piston. This device allows the delivery of more accurate tidal volumes compared to traditional pneumatic large animal ventilators [21], independent control of inspiratory and respiratory rate, adjustable inspired fraction of oxygen (FiO2), adjustable continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP), and adjustable positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP). The advanced features of the Tafonui have been successfully employed to apply CPAP [22,23], and step-wise increases in PEEP and alveolar recruitment manoeuvres to improve ventilation and oxygenation in anaesthetized horses [24] and mules [25].

However, the use of complex electronic equipment utilised in modern anaesthesia ventilators and monitors such as the Tafonui may generate electrical interference adversely affecting MRI image quality when compared to using other simpler machines (for example the Mallard medical equine anaesthesia machine or the Bird anaesthesia ventilator) with separate anaesthesia monitoring (Datex S5). Zipper artefacts, characterized by one or more spurious bands of electronic noise extending across the image, can be caused by radio waves (RF) entering the scanning room from electronic equipment during the acquisition of images.

To the best of the authors’ knowledge, a rational and unbiased assessment of the effects of modern anaesthetic equipment, such as the Tafonui, on low-field MRI image quality has not been conducted. Avoiding the use of more advanced anaesthesia technology without good evidence that it is affecting MRI image quality may unnecessarily compromise outcomes in equine patients. Conversely, potential anaesthesia equipment-related artefacts may have the capacity to reduce the diagnostic yield of MRI and may significantly increase scan and anaesthesia time and any associated morbidities. Therefore, the aim of this study was to test whether the use of Tafonui consistently affected image quality through the acquisition of a series of images of the fetlock of a cadaver limb under standardised conditions. We hypothesised that, despite the extensive electronic components, the Tafonui would not generate artefacts that would affect image quality when used at a clinically useful distance from the MRI system isocentre.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Specimens and MRI unit

This prospective, blinded, cadaver study was conducted at Glasgow Equine Hospital and Practice, University of Glasgow, following approval from the Ethical Committee of the University of Glasgow School of Biodiversity, One Health and Veterinary Medicine (EA29/22).

Two equine cadaver forelimbs were sourced from the Undergraduate School (Vet Anatomy), University of Glasgow School of Biodiversity, One Health and Veterinary Medicine with appropriate consent for research and teaching purposes already in place. The specimens were collected soon after euthanasia and refrigerated for a maximum of 24 hours before their use.

The feet of the limbs collected were checked visually for the presence of shoes and other ferromagnetic material, and were cleaned before application of a light bandage for imaging (Fig. 1a and 1b).

As this study aimed to assess solely the presence of electronic interference-related artefacts, the only inclusion criteria were that the limbs were cut above the carpus, and of suitable size to fit into the coil of a 0.31T Esoate O-Scan equine MRI scanner (Fig. 1a). Notably, as we did not investigate any biological or pathological features of the limb itself, the limb was used as a phantom to determine the presence of interferences across the image. As such, the leg did not constitute the experimental unit in this study and the same leg could be used across multiple replicates. One limb was used for two replicates of data collection on the first study day and a second limb for the other two replicates on data collection day 2.

The controlled area, defined as the area outside which it is considered safe to place ferromagnetic objects and electronic equipment around a particular MRI scanner, with the minimum distance set at least at 0.5mT, was marked with a yellow and black tape on the floor. This area measured 198 cm from the magnet isocentre on each side on a Y axis, and 120 cm from the magnet isocentre on each side on a Z axis, leading to a distance of 232 cm from the magnet isocentre to each corner of the delimited controlled area (Fig. 2a). This latter distance was used for comparative distances between the anaesthetic machines tested and the magnet core.

2.2. Study design

Limbs were positioned into the MRI coil and stabilized by MRI-compatible foam positioners (Fig. 1a and 1b). Limbs were positioned in a manner that replicated clinical positioning at the institution, typically performed with the patient in left lateral recumbency with the limb positioned parallel to the horizontal ground surface. With the exception of the negative control (see below), the equine surgery table (Haico Telgte II, DRE Vet) and monitoring cables (electrocardiographic monitoring, pulse oximeter, and invasive blood pressure monitoring transducer) were positioned as for normal clinical cases in all the conditions tested; the surgery table was left unplugged from the power supply. All anaesthetic machines were utilized in mechanical ventilation mode, ventilating an
Fig. 2. Schematic representation of the setup for the seven conditions under which the MRI images were acquired. In all the conditions, distance A measures 120 cm (Z axis), distance B measures 198 cm (Y axis), distance C measures 232 cm (distance from the magnet isocentre to each corner of the controlled area); (A) negative control; (B) positive control. Distance D (front frame of the Tafonius to the magnet isocentre) measures 91 cm; (C) Datex S5 monitor only. Distance D measures 268 cm; (D) Mallard anaesthetic machine with Date S5 monitor. Distance D measures 244 cm; (E) Bird anaesthesia ventilator with Datex S5 monitor. Distance D measures 248 cm; (F) Tafonius positioned as in clinical cases. Distance D measures 243 cm; (G) Tafonius in close proximity. Distance D equals C and measures 232 cm.

Fig. 3. Set up of 6 of the seven conditions tested (the negative control is not displayed). (A) Positive control: MRI RF shields removed and Tafonius positioned within the controlled area; (B) Standard monitoring only: Datex S5 monitor on, monitoring equipment, and equine surgery table positioned as in normal clinical cases; (C) Mallard anaesthesia machine positioned as for standard clinical cases; (D) Bird anaesthesia ventilator positioned as for standard clinical cases; (E) Tafonius with integrated monitor on placed as for standard clinical cases; (F) Tafonius in close proximity (i), with detail of the front frame on the boundaries of the controlled area (ii).

equine 30L black rubber rebreathing bag (Burtons) during images acquisition.

At the beginning of each data collection day, standard localizer sequences were performed in each orthogonal plane to ensure positioning of the equine limb was subjectively comparable between the two data collection days.

Images were acquired under the following conditions (Fig. 2 and Fig. 3):

1. Negative control. Complete electronic silence in the room. No electronic equipment was present in the room, all sockets were turned off, and doors were kept closed during image acquisition (Fig. 2a).
2. Positive control. RF shields removed from machine. Source of electrical interference (Tafonius, Vetronic Services Ltd) placed within the controlled area boundaries (within which the field strength is 0.5 m Tesla or greater) (Fig. 2b and Fig. 3a). The
front frame of the Tafonius was placed at 91 cm from the magnet isocentre.

3. Standard monitoring only. Datex S5 monitor (Datex-Ohmeda S/5 compact anaesthesia monitor) on and monitoring equipment (ECG, pulse oximeter probe, invasive blood pressure transducer) positioned in room as for standard clinical cases (Fig. 2c and Fig. 3b). The distance between the monitor and the MRI isocentre was 268 cm.

4. Standard machine + monitoring. Mallard anaesthesia machine (Mallard Medical Model 2800CP) positioned in room as for standard clinical cases and ventilating a rubber bag to simulate mechanical ventilation. Monitor positioned as above and turned on (Fig. 2d and Fig. 3c). The distance between the front frame of the Mallard anaesthetic machine and the MRI isocentre was 244 cm.

5. Standard machine 2. As 4 but with Bird anaesthesia ventilator (Bird Mark 7 respirator) in place of Mallard medical machine (Fig. 2e and Fig. 3d). The distance between the front frame of the Bird anaesthetic machine and the MRI isocentre was 248 cm.

6. Tafonius. Tafonius positioned normally in room as used for a clinical case. In mechanical ventilation mode with rubber bag attached and monitor on (Fig. 2f and Fig. 3e). The distance between the front frame of the Tafonius and the MRI isocentre was 243 cm.

7. Tafonius in close proximity. As 6 but with the Tafonius positioned as close to the MRI machine as the controlled area boundaries allow (Fig. 2g and Fig. 3f[i]-f[iii]). The distance between the front frame of the Tafonius and the MRI isocentre was 232 cm.

For each experimental condition, three MRI sequences of the fetlock region were acquired, replicating the routine limited fetlock MRI study used at the institution. The sequences were: transverse turbo multi echo (proton density and T2-weighted), sagittal short tau inversion recovery (STIR), sagittal turbo 3D T1 weighted. The three sequences for each of the seven experimental conditions were replicated 4 times during two separate experimental sessions. The order of the experimental conditions, the replicates, and the identifying number assigned to each condition were randomised by the primary investigator (BT). The only exception was within the positive controls, which were replicated twice at the end of all the experiments. This decision was dictated by concerns of causing damage to the equipment which would have prevented acquisition of further sequences.

Images were reviewed and scored for artefact in a blinded fashion by a board-certified veterinary radiologist experienced with the interpretation of low-field equine fetlock MRI examinations (MB). MRI studies were uploaded on and digitally transferred using PACS (picture archiving and communication system) and viewed in DICOM (digital imaging and communications in medicine) format.

The degree of artefact in each study was graded using a 4-point scale adapted from Byrne et al (2021) (Table 1). A free text qualitative description was also recorded for the nature of any artefact detected by the observer.

Images were graded assigning separate soft tissue and bone scores for each overall study, without differentiation of scores for individual sequences acquired within each study as a whole. Such grading was adopted as it reflects what would be done for normal clinical cases at the institution.

2.3. Statistical analysis

Scores included in the analysis were divided by scan type (soft tissue and bone) and by condition. Separate soft tissue and bone scores were used as independent outcomes. Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics in Jamovi (the Jamovi project, Sydney, Australia) and presented as median and range in box and whisker plots. To further investigate whether the choice of machine influenced scan quality, we used ordinal outcomes logistic regression in Jamovi. Conditions were grouped into negative, positive, Tafonius (which encompassed Tafonius as used in normal clinical cases and Tafonius in close proximity), and non-Tafonius (which encompassed monitoring only, Bird, and Mallard) groups and included in the model as the independent variable, while the dependent variable was represented by the grade assigned to each study. Model strength was evaluated using the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) and McFadden’s $R^2$. The level of significance was set at $P < 0.05$.

3. Results

A total of 78 sequences were acquired from 26 complete MRI studies (four replicates for six of the seven conditions and two replicates for the positive control group). Data analyzed by MRI study type therefore generated 52 scores used for analysis, with eight scores for six out seven conditions and four scores for the positive controls.

Qualitatively, all images displayed some degree of loss of definition of the palmar soft tissue both at the proximal and distal edge of the scanned area. The distinction between trabecular and cortical / subcondral bone was very good in all images. The definition of soft tissue was very similar between studies other than the positive controls, and difference between grade 1 and 2 was deemed minimal. Overall, eight replicates were scored 1 (two Bird, two Tafonius in close proximity, one Tafonius as in normal clinical cases, one negative, one monitoring only, and one Mallard).

The two positive controls were associated with background noise and poor signal-to-noise ratio, which would have required repetition of scans in a clinical setting. In particular, one of the two positive controls would have required repetition of the transverse turbo multi echo (proton density and T2-weighted) sequence, while the other positive control repetition of all the sequences. In the other conditions, the artefact detected in images graded 2 or higher was lack of STIR fat suppression (16/26). Examples of sagittal T1 sequences of each grade are shown in Fig. 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Summary</th>
<th>Grading qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Excellent quality</td>
<td>The study is characterized by optimal tissue definition with no artefacts detected. Images in the study are of ideal quality. The study would not be repeated in a routine clinical context</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>High diagnostic quality</td>
<td>Mild loss of tissue definition with presence of artefacts that do not limit interpretation. The study would not be repeated in a routine clinical context</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Satisfactory diagnostic quality</td>
<td>Moderate loss of tissue definition with presence of artefacts that do not limit interpretation. The study would not be repeated in a routine clinical context</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Non-diagnostic</td>
<td>Presence of artefacts severely affects the study and prevents assessment of significant structures. The study would be repeated in a routine clinical context</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Ideal quality refers to the highest quality achievable with a low-field (0.3T) system, as the one utilised in this study.
As shown in Table 2, scan quality was good in all groups and comparable to the negative control with only the positive control group requiring repeats due to major artefacts (grade 4).

Figure 5 provides a graphic representation of the distribution of scores in the seven conditions tested, which were then grouped into positive, negative, Tafonius and non-Tafonius groups as shown in Figure 6.

The grouped conditions were included into an ordinal logistic regression model to investigated whether scores significantly differed between the Tafonius and non-Tafonius groups (Table 3). No statistically significant differences in image quality were detected between the negative control and the non-Tafonius group ($P = 0.535$), as well as with the use of Tafonius compared to other large animal anaesthetic machines ($P = 0.578$). The only statistically significant difference in scores was observed between the positive control and the non-Tafonius group reference ($P = 0.006$).

Similarly, when the grouped conditions were included into the ordinal logistic regression model utilizing the Tafonius group as reference, the only statistically significant difference in scores was observed between the positive control and the Tafonius group ($P = 0.017$) (Table 3).

4. Discussion

We acquired a series of images of the fetlock of two equine cadaver limbs to investigate the impact of large animal anaesthetic types of equipment on image quality using a low-field MRI system. Results of the present study showed no significant effect of
Fig. 5. Box plot of the distribution of scores split by soft tissue and bone scan type in the seven conditions tested. The box represents the 25th-75th quartile (interquartile range), the horizontal line within the box represents the median, the vertical lines (whiskers) represent the minimum and maximum value, and outliers are shown as dots beyond the whiskers.

Fig. 6. Box plot of the distribution of scores split by soft tissue and bone scan type in the conditions tested grouped as positive, negative, Tafonius, and non-Tafonius groups. The box represents the 25th-75th quartile (interquartile range), the horizontal line within the box represents the median, the vertical lines (whiskers) represent the minimum and maximum value, and outliers are shown as dots beyond the whiskers.

Table 3
Results of ordinal logistic regression to investigate whether there was a statistically significant difference between scores in groups when compared to non-Tafonius and to the Tafonius groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grouped</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Non-Tafonius</td>
<td>0.493</td>
<td>0.796</td>
<td>0.620</td>
<td>.535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Non-Tafonius</td>
<td>3.295</td>
<td>1.200</td>
<td>2.745</td>
<td>.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tafonius</td>
<td>Non-Tafonius</td>
<td>0.364</td>
<td>0.653</td>
<td>0.557</td>
<td>.578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Tafonius</td>
<td>2.929</td>
<td>1.225</td>
<td>2.390</td>
<td>.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Tafonius</td>
<td>0.129</td>
<td>0.857</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>.881</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The McFaddens R² and AIC for the model were 0.078 and 105, respectively.

the anaesthetic equipment used on image quality. In particular, our findings support the research hypothesis, suggesting that Tafonius, when used at a clinically useful distance from the MRI system isocentre, does not appear to generate clinically significant artefacts that affect image quality. Also, on the basis of the positive control, the internal shielding of the system seemed to prove effective in protecting against major interferences.

The vast majority of the MRI studies acquired were of satisfactory to excellent quality (grades 1, 2, and 3), with no significant differences between groups and when compared to the negative control. Our results therefore show that various large
animal anaesthetic machines, when used appropriately, do not significantly interfere with image quality when using a 0.3T low-field MRI system with internal shielding such the one utilized in this study. This is consistent with previous published veterinary literature [26], where the signal-to-noise ratio and the presence of artefacts were investigated in relation to the use of an equine surgery table and anaesthetic equipment utilizing an open bore 0.2T magnet with no internal shielding. As in our study, also this work demonstrated no statistically significant effect of anaesthetic equipment on MRI image quality. Contrary to the findings of the current study, the same work [26] observed a significant negative effect of anaesthetic monitoring. This highlights that the findings of the current study are applicable to the Esaote O-scan MRI system but may not directly apply to different low-field MRI acquisition systems (with different shielding properties). A particular consideration pertains to the distance between any potential source of electronic interference and the MRI isocentre: the demarcated controlled area at our institution is broader compared to the minimum safety distances from the MRI isocentre advised by the manufacturer. This implies that all our anaesthetic monitoring equipment, including monitoring cables (all positioned outside or on the boundaries of the controlled area) sat at a greater distance compared to that normally considered safe for electronic equipment and ferromagnetic material. Furthermore, the previous study was conducted in live patients, while we used fresh, refrigerated cadaver limbs.

To the best of the authors’ knowledge, this is the first study specifically testing the possible interference caused by the extensive electronic components of Tafonius on MR images. In order to improve ventilation and oxygenation in anaesthetized equine patients, this state-of-the-art anaesthetic equipment enables the anaesthetist to apply advanced ventilatory strategies when required. Previous studies have demonstrated that the degree of alveolar collapse can be reduced by application of an alveolar recruitment manoeuvre (ARM) and continuous PEEP [16,27,28]. An alternative approach consists of an ARM provided by stepwise incremental and decremental peak inspiratory pressure (PIP) and PEEP, which has been found to be amongst the most effective methods to redistribute ventilation and improve oxygenation [29,30]. Additional strategies involve the use of continuous CPAP, which also proved effective in redistributing ventilation to the dependent lung regions, thereby decreasing ventilation/perfusion mismatch [23]. Tafonius allows the delivery and accurate titration of all the above-mentioned strategies, with the ultimate goal of improving patients’ outcome. Results of our study showed no evidence that Tafonius causes poorer scan quality compared to images acquired with the other anaesthetic machines tested, hence supporting its use in a clinical context also in patients anaesthetized for MRI procedures.

In the present study, only the positive controls were graded as nondiagnostic and would have been repeated in a clinical context. The presence of true positive controls is reassuring in terms of validation of our findings.

However, we observed a certain degree of variability in image quality between replicates, notably also amongst the positive and negative control groups. In fact, not all the positive control sequences demonstrated the same degree of artefacts, although they were conducted in similar conditions. Likewise, the negative controls failed to display the best image quality amongst all the other setups. This variability did not seem to be directly related to the anaesthetic equipment used. Additional methodological factors and sources of variability might explain variations in grading detected in our study and the lack of fat suppression as the artefact detected.

Fluctuations in environmental temperature can affect the homogeneity of the magnetic field, impacting the quality of images and consistency between scans, while the temperature of tissues can alter suppression of the fat signal [31].

Ambient temperature was kept as constant as possible in the MRI room at our institution, although minor fluctuations cannot be ruled out, and temperature was not specifically recorded in the present study.

The use of equine cadaver limbs may be associated with altered tissue contrast between structures compared to the live patient. This might have affected image quality due to tissue autolysis [32] thus leading to overall inferior image quality compared to live patients [33]. However, recent equine research in advanced imaging techniques on the fetlock of Thoroughbred racehorses using a LFMRI system has demonstrated that diagnostic quality of MR images doesn’t significantly differ between live, fresh cadaver, and frozen/thawed tissues [32]. Moreover, the use of cadaver limbs in equine MRI studies has been widely adopted by multiple other authors and has demonstrated high diagnostic value to investigate the pathology of the equine distal limb [34–39].

STIR sequences are particularly affected by tissue temperature, and fat signal might not be sufficiently suppressed unless the inversion time is adjusted [31]. In our study, STIR sequences were acquired following the usual clinical protocol, with no adjustments of the TE (echo time) to accommodate the lower temperature of cadaver limbs. While this might explain why lack of suppression was frequently detected, it is worth mentioning that the same protocol was consistently applied to all scans, and approximately 40% showed adequate fat suppression. It is therefore unlikely for this aspect to account for all of the variation observed.

Study planning by the operator and positioning of the area of interest at the magnet isocenter are crucial steps to optimize image quality [31]. During the research presented here, accurate and reproducible positioning of the limb as in normal clinical cases was performed by an experienced veterinary surgeon (CB) in both experimental sessions, with the fetlock placed at the MRI isocenter. As shown by our results, loss of definition was observed solely at the distal edges of the image, where the magnetic field homogeneity is lower compared to the center of the field of view. Furthermore, while the use of multiple observers might have strengthened our findings, we believe the use of a single operator (BT) to run all the sequences minimized the variability associated with study planning.

Other electrical activity in the room or in the adjacent rooms might have affected the homogeneity of the magnetic field, introducing a source of variability. We ensured that electrical silence was present in the room during images acquisition, and all doors leading to the MRI suite were kept close at all times. We could not control activities (which also encompassed the use of electronic equipment) in adjacent rooms, and although this might explain part of the variability we observed in our studies, no zipper artefacts were detected in any of our images.

Finally, regular servicing of the machine, for example shimming, is important to optimize the magnet homogeneity [31]. During the present project, all the sequences were acquired in close succession, hence this aspect should be relatively consistent across the study.

Overall, the considerations elucidated above can account for relatively minor variations (especially within grade 1 and 2) which we believe would have limited relevance in a clinical context.

There are a number of limitations in our study to acknowledge. Firstly, we acknowledge the relatively low sample size, especially for the positive controls. As this represents pilot work, an a priori sample size calculation could not be performed. However, considering that we endeavoured to keep confounding variables constant and that the focus was solely on the presence of artefacts, we feel a higher number of scans would be unlikely to yield different results. This preliminary study will be valuable to inform
prospective clinical studies using non-cadaver limbs. Secondly, only one radiologist reviewed and scored the scans. Nonetheless, scoring was performed by a specialist veterinary radiologist with extensive experience specifically in equine imaging, who was unaware of group assignment. The presence of artefacts was also graded utilizing a subjective scoring system adapted from a previously published scoring system [3], and detailed definitions were provided for each grade. Thirdly, some other factors possibly affecting scan quality were not fully controlled or recorded, such as temperature and activity in adjacent rooms. We suspect all these factors were responsible for only minor, non-clinically significant variations, and they might explain the baseline variability observed in our study.

5. Conclusions

Our results suggest that MRI scan quality is not affected by anaesthetic equipment when this is used at the recommended distances from the MRI isocenter. In particular, there is no clear evidence that the extensive electronic components of the Tafonius are responsible for clinically relevant artefacts. Based on our results, use of Tafonius is suitable during acquisition of images with a 0.3T MRI system equipped with internal shieling in a clinical context.
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