



Soltanisarvestani, M., Lynskey, N., [Gray, S.](#) , [Gill, J. M.R.](#) , [Pell, J. P.](#) , [Sattar, N.](#) , [Welsh, P.](#) , [Ho, F. K.](#), [Celis-Morales, C.](#) and [Petermann-Rocha, F.](#) (2023) Associations of grip strength and walking pace with mortality in stroke survivors: a prospective study from UK Biobank. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports*, 33(7), pp. 1190-1200. (doi: : [10.1111/sms.14352](https://doi.org/10.1111/sms.14352))

This is the author version of the work. You are advised to consult the publisher version if you wish to cite from it:

<https://doi.org/10.1111/sms.14352>

<https://eprints.gla.ac.uk/295634/>

Deposited on: 19 April 2023

Enlighten – Research publications by members of the University of Glasgow
<http://eprints.gla.ac.uk>

1 **Associations of grip strength and walking pace with mortality in**
2 **stroke survivors: a prospective study from UK Biobank**

3 Maryam Soltanisarvestani¹, Nathan Lynskey¹, Stuart Gray¹, Jason MR Gill¹, Jill P Pell²,
4 Naveed Sattar¹, Paul Welsh¹, Frederick K Ho², Carlos Celis-Morales^{1,3}†, Fanny Peterman-
5 Rocha^{1,4}†

6 ¹British Heart Foundation Glasgow Cardiovascular Research Centre, School of
7 Cardiovascular and Metabolic Health, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK.

8 ²School of Health and Wellbeing, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK.

9 ³Laboratorio de Rendimiento Humano, Grupo de Estudio en Educación, Actividad Física y
10 Salud (GEEAFyS), Universidad Católica del Maule, Talca, Chile

11 ⁴Centro de Investigación Biomédica, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad Diego Portales,
12 Santiago, Chile

13

14 † CC-M and FP-R contributed equally to this work and are joint senior authors

15 **Corresponding author**

16 Dr Fanny Petermann-Rocha

17 College of Medical, Veterinary and Life Sciences

18 University of Glasgow

19 Glasgow, UK

20 Mail: fanny.petermann@glasgow.ac.uk

21

22

23 **Acknowledgement**

24 We are appreciative of the participants in the UK Biobank. This study was carried out with
25 the help of the UK Biobank Resource under Application Number 7155.

26 **Contributors**

27 M.S, C.C-M and F.P-R contributed to the conception and design of the study, advised on all
28 statistical aspects, and interpreted the data. M.S and F.P-R performed the literature search.
29 M.S performed the analyses with the support of F.P-R. All authors critically reviewed the
30 manuscript. All authors approved the final version for submission. C.C-M and F.P-R are the
31 guarantors.

32 **Sources of Funding**

33 UK Biobank was established by the Wellcome Trust medical charity, Medical Research
34 Council, Department of Health, Scottish Government and the Northwest Regional
35 Development Agency. It has also had funding from the Welsh Assembly Government and the
36 British Heart Foundation. All authors had final responsibility for submission for publication.

37 **Competing interest declaration**

38 UK Biobank was established by the Wellcome Trust medical charity, Medical Research
39 Council, Department of Health, Scottish government, and Northwest Regional Development
40 Agency; no financial relationships with any organisations that might have an interest in the
41 submitted work in the previous three years; no other relationships or activities that could
42 appear to have influenced the submitted work.

43 **Ethical approval**

44 UK Biobank was approved by the North West Multi-Centre Research Ethics Committee (Ref:
45 11/NW/0382). All participants provided written informed consent to participate in the UK
46 Biobank study. The study protocol is available online (<http://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/>).

47 **Transparency**

48 The manuscript's guarantor (CC-M and FP-R) affirms that the manuscript is an honest,
49 accurate, and transparent account of the study being reported; that no important aspects of the
50 study have been omitted; and that any discrepancies from the study as planned have been
51 explained.

52 **Data sharing:** No additional data are available.

53 **Patient and public involvement**

54 There was no patient involvement in this study and there are no plans to disseminate the
55 results of the research to study participants.

56 **Word count:** 3070

57

58 **Abstract:**

59 **Introduction** – Although stroke is an emerging cause of disability and mortality globally,
60 associations between physical capability markers and mortality in stroke survivors are less
61 well known. This study investigated the individual and combined associations of walking
62 pace and grip strength with all-cause and stroke mortality in stroke survivors.

63 **Methods** – Individual and combined associations of walking pace and grip strength with
64 stroke deaths and all-cause mortality were investigated using Cox proportional-hazard models
65 adjusted for sociodemographic, lifestyle and health-related variables.

66 **Results** – 7,486 stroke survivors from the UK Biobank study (aged 40 to 70 years; 42.4%
67 women) were included in this prospective study. Over a median follow-up of 12.6 (IQR:
68 11.9-13.3) years, 1,490 (19.9%) participants died, of whom 222 (3.0%) died from stroke.
69 After adjusting for confounding factors, and compared to individuals in the average/brisk
70 walking pace category, those who reported a slow walking pace had 2.00 (95% CI: 1.50 to
71 2.68) and 1.99 (95% CI: 1.78 to 2.23) times higher risk of stroke mortality and all-cause
72 mortality, respectively. Similar associations were identified for participants with low grip
73 strength than those with normal levels. For combined associations, those with both slow
74 walking pace and low grip strength showed the highest risk of stroke mortality (hazard ratio:
75 2.86 [95% CI: 1.93; 4.22]). Similar results were found for all-cause mortality.

76 **Conclusions-** Low grip strength and slow walking pace were associated with a higher risk of
77 stroke and all-cause mortality in stroke survivors. If these associations are causal, improving
78 physical capability among stroke survivors might potentially prolong survival.

79 **Keywords:** stroke, grip strength, walking speed, survival, mortality.

80 **Abbreviations:** Hazard Ratio (HR), Body Mass Index (BMI), Standard Deviation (SD),
81 Metabolic Equivalent (MET).

82 **Introduction**

83 Stroke, also known as cerebrovascular accidents, is a group of medical conditions defined by
84 neurologic abnormalities induced by a disruption in cerebral blood flow.¹ Stroke is the second
85 main cause of mortality and the third main cause of disability globally.² In the UK, nearly
86 130,000 people have a stroke or transient ischaemic attacks each year, over 300,000 people
87 are disabled due to a stroke,³ and about 1 million stroke survivors live in the country.⁴ These
88 figures will probably rise since

89 people are living longer.⁴ Stroke also has considerable economic and societal effects in the
90 UK, with an annual societal cost of £26 billion⁵ – a large number taking into account that in
91 2005 the costs were estimated at £9 billion.⁵ Therefore, implementing preventative strategies
92 would benefit both governments and individuals by reducing health and social care costs.

93 Among the known risk factors associated with stroke, studies have identified both non-
94 modifiable (age, sex, ethnicity, and genetic) and modifiable factors (obesity, poor dietary
95 habits, smoking, alcohol consumption, prevalent chronic diseases and low physical activity
96 levels).⁶⁻⁹ Physical capability – represented by walking pace and muscle strength – is also a
97 significant predictor of stroke mortality.¹⁰⁻¹² However, even if the literature has been
98 extensive regarding the association between these markers and stroke incidence in the general
99 population,¹⁰⁻¹² long-term evidence in stroke survivors comes from clinical trials in a few
100 survivors participants (usually <50)¹³⁻¹⁷ rather than large prospective studies.¹⁸ Moreover,
101 people who have had a stroke have a higher risk of recurrent stroke and a higher mortality
102 rate due to stroke or any causes.^{19,20} According to a five-year prospective study, individuals
103 who survived a stroke, have a nine-times higher risk of recurrent stroke than those with no
104 history of stroke.²¹

105 In this context, while the association of low grip strength and/or slow walking pace with
106 cardiovascular and all-cause mortality in the general population is well established,^{22,23} data
107 on the association between these factors and health outcomes in stroke survivors is less well
108 known. Therefore, this study aimed to investigate the individual and combined association of
109 grip strength and walking pace with stroke and all-cause mortality in stroke survivors from
110 the UK Biobank prospective cohort study.

111 **Method**

112 This is a prospective cohort study using data from UK Biobank. UK Biobank is an open-
113 access and large-scale general population cohort study containing in-depth health
114 information. Between 2006 and 2010, over 500,000 individuals (5.5% response rate) aged 37
115 to 72 years were examined in 22 test sites across the UK, including England, Wales, and
116 Scotland, from diverse socioeconomic and ethnic backgrounds.^{24,25} All participants
117 completed a touchscreen questionnaire, had physical measurements taken, and provided
118 blood, urine, and saliva samples at baseline. Data from UK Biobank can be accessed by
119 submitting an application to UK Biobank directly (<http://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/>). More
120 information about UK Biobank is available elsewhere.^{24,25} For this study, only participants
121 who self-reported at baseline assessment having a stroke were included (Figure 1).

122 **Ethical considerations**

123 All participants provided written informed consent to UK Biobank investigators. UK Biobank
124 was approved by The National Health Service (NHS) (Ref: 11/NW/0382). This study was
125 carried out under application number 7155.

126 **Outcome**

127 The outcomes in this study were mortality due to stroke and all-cause of death. Using the
128 International Classification of Disease 10th version (ICD, 10th), mortality due to stroke was

129 defined as codes I60, I61, I63, and I64, while all-cause mortality was identified as the
130 mortality for any cause. Death certificates from the NHS, Information Centre (England and
131 Wales), and the NHS Central Register Scotland were used to determine the date of death.
132 Details of the linkage can be found at <http://content.digital.nhs.uk/services>. Data on mortality
133 was accessible until October 2021. As a result, mortality follow-up was censored on this date
134 or the date recorded for death.

135 *Exposures*

136 Walking pace and grip strength were included as the main exposures. A touchscreen
137 questionnaire was used to record self-reported walking pace at the baseline visit as a proxy of
138 gait speed. Self-reported walking pace has been previously identified as a good marker of
139 walking speed and a strong predictor of health outcomes.^{26,27} Participants were asked, 'How
140 do you define your regular walking pace?' They selected three options: slow (<3 mph),
141 average (3 to 4 mph), or brisk (>4 mph). The latter two categories were collapsed to provide a
142 dichotomous variable average/brisk or slow walking pace, with the former treated as the
143 reference group.

144 A Jamar J00105 hydraulic hand dynamometer was used to determine grip strength in
145 kilogrammes. The dynamometer measures grip force isometrically and can be adjusted for
146 hand size in five half-inch increments. Isometric grip force was assessed from a single 3-
147 second maximal grip effort, in the right and left arms, with the participant seated upright with
148 their elbow by their side and flexed at 90° so that their forearm was facing forwards and
149 resting on an armrest. For this study, the average of both hands was derived; therefore,
150 participants who skipped measuring left or right hand were excluded from the analyses (e.g.,
151 people who were unable [amputee hand /weakness/paralysis] or just declined). More

152 information about the protocol used can be found here

153 <https://biobank.ndph.ox.ac.uk/ukb/refer.cgi?id=100232>.

154 Values >16 kg in women and >26 kg in men were defined as normal grip, whereas values
155 \leq 16 kg in women and \leq 26 kg in men were defined as low grip using the Foundation for the
156 National Institute of Health cut-off points.²⁸ The normal grip was treated as the reference
157 group.

158 Finally, the walking pace and grip strength categories were combined to investigate their
159 combined associations using the following categories: i) normal grip strength and
160 average/brisk pace (reference); ii) normal grip strength and slow walking pace; iii) low grip
161 strength and average/brisk pace; and iv) low grip strength and slow walking pace.

162 *Covariates*

163 Demographic and lifestyle information was self-reported using the baseline questionnaires.
164 Age was derived from dates of birth and baseline assessment. The ethnic groups included
165 were white, black, south Asian, Chinese, and others. Townsend score was used as a measure
166 of area-based deprivation index, based on the postcode of residence,²⁹ and individual-level
167 deprivation was measured using the highest academic qualification. The frequency of alcohol
168 consumption was self-reported as daily/almost daily, 3-4 times a week, once/twice a week, 1-
169 3 times a month, special occasions only and never. Self-reported smoking status was
170 categorised as never, former or current smoker. Fruit and vegetable, red meat, and processed
171 meat were also self-reported using a touch screen questionnaire. The average time spent
172 driving, using a computer, and watching television, was used to derive the total time spent on
173 sedentary behaviours. Trained nurses measured blood pressure, height and body weight
174 during the baseline assessment. Body mass index (BMI) was derived from weight/height²,
175 and then was classified using the WHO guidelines into: underweight <18.5 kg/ m², normal

176 weight 18.5–24.9 kg/m², overweight 25.0–29.9 kg/m², and obese ≥ 30.0 kg/m². Physician
177 diagnosed prevalent conditions were self-reported during baseline nurse-led interviews.
178 Morbidity counts were derived from a list of 43 long-term conditions described elsewhere^{30,31}
179 and classified as 0 or ≥ 1 . More information about UK Biobank can be found on the online
180 protocol (<http://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk>).

181 *Statistical analyses*

182 The characteristics of the population by grip strength, walking pace, and combined grip
183 strength and walking pace categories are reported by frequencies and proportions for
184 categorical data and means and standard deviations (SD) for continuous variables.

185 Associations of the exposures with stroke and all-cause mortality were first investigated by
186 the separate exposures of grip strength and walking pace using Cox proportional hazard
187 models. Then, the models were re-run using the composite measure derived from the two
188 exposures. Findings are presented as hazard ratios (HR) with their respective confidence
189 intervals (CIs). The time of follow-up was used as the time-dependent variable. The
190 proportional hazard assumption was checked using Schoenfeld residuals.

191 Analyses were adjusted for confounding factors previously reported in the literature using
192 four models, each with increasing covariates. Model 1, included sociodemographic covariates
193 (sex, age, deprivation status, professional qualification, and ethnic group). Model 2 was, as
194 per model 1, but also included lifestyle factors (fruit and vegetable, red and processed meat,
195 alcohol consumption, smoking status, duration of sedentary behaviour, and sleep time).
196 Model 3 was, as per model 2, but also included health-related variables (systolic blood
197 pressure and morbidity count). Model 4 was, as per model 3, but additionally included BMI.
198 These covariates were included considering previous literature on risk factors associated with
199 stroke and the available data in UK Biobank.⁶⁻⁹ Moreover, a directed acyclic graph explaining

200 the association between the exposures, the outcome, and covariates is available in
201 Supplementary Figure 1. In addition, we ran a sensitivity analysis using a 2-year landmark
202 period where we excluded participants who died from a stroke or any cause within the first
203 two years of follow-up. The latter was performed to exclude people who died from possible
204 severe stroke cases from the analyses. However, considering some potential confounder
205 variables were not available in UK Biobank, e-value were calculated to estimate the
206 minimum strength of the association that an unmeasured confounder would be required to
207 explain both the exposure and the outcome as a sensitivity analysis (more details provided in
208 Supplementary material). E-value is an approach to evaluate the confounding effect in
209 observational studies as it is described elsewhere.^{32,33}

210 Participants were excluded if they did not have a history of stroke at baseline (n= 493,561) or
211 had missing data for any of the exposures (n=278) or covariates (n=1,087) (Figure 1). All
212 analyses were conducted using Stata version 17 statistical software (StataCorp LP). Statistical
213 significance was defined as $p < 0.05$.

214 **Results**

215 After excluding individuals who had no history of stroke at baseline and those with missing
216 exposure or covariate data, 7,486 individuals were included in this prospective study (Figure
217 1). The median follow-up period was 12.6 years (interquartile range: 11.9 to 13.3 years;
218 93,710.2 person-days of follow-up) for stroke mortality and 12.3 years (interquartile range:
219 11.5 to 13.1 years; 87,345.2 person-days of follow-up) for all-cause mortality. Over this time,
220 1,490 (19.9%) people died, of whom 222 (3%) died from stroke.

221 The general characteristic of stroke survivors, broken down by walking pace and grip
222 strength categories, are shown in Table 1. Overall, the mean age was 61.0 (6.6) years, and the
223 majority of the study participants were men, white and from higher deprived areas. Compared

224 to those in the low grip category, individuals in the normal category were more likely to have
225 been educated at the college or university level. Also, compared with those in the slow
226 walking pace category, individuals with an average/brisk walking pace were less likely to be
227 current smokers or consume alcohol daily. Baseline cohort characteristics broken down by
228 the combined walking pace and strength categories are contained in Supplementary Table 1.

229 The associations of walking pace and grip strength with stroke and all-cause mortality are
230 shown in Figure 2. Compared to individuals with an average/brisk walking pace, those with a
231 slow walking pace had over 2-fold (HR: 2.12 [95% CI: 1.61 to 2.79]) higher stroke mortality
232 risk (Model 1, Figure 2a). This association was attenuated after including the covariates in
233 models 2 and 3 but remained statistically significant. When the association was further
234 adjusted for BMI (Model 4), individuals with low walking pace had 2.00-times (95% CI: 1.50
235 to 2.68) higher stroke mortality risk. On the other hand, compared to individuals with normal
236 grip strength, those with low grip strength had a 1.96-times (95% CI: 1.46 to 2.63) higher
237 stroke mortality risk (Model 1, Figure 2a). When this association was adjusted for lifestyle
238 factors (Model 2), the association attenuated, and individuals with low grip strength had 1.87-
239 times (95% CI: 1.39 to 2.51) higher risk of stroke mortality. The associations remained
240 significant when the analyses were adjusted for health-related covariates (Model 3) and BMI
241 (Model 4) (Figure 2a).

242 After adjusting walking pace for sociodemographic factors (Model 1, Figure 2b), the highest
243 risk of all-cause mortality was found in individuals with a slow walking pace (HR: 2.29 [95%
244 CI: 2.06 to 2.54]); when this association was adjusted for lifestyle factors and health-related
245 variables (model 2 and 3), this association attenuated to 1.97-times (95% CI: 1.77 to 2.20)
246 and 1.92-times (95% CI: 1.72 to 2.14) higher risk, respectively. With adjusting this
247 association for all covariates, the mortality risk rose to 2-times (HR: 1.99 [95% CI: 1.78 to
248 2.23) higher risk. Following this, individuals with lower grip strength experienced 1.57-times

249 (95% CI: 1.39 to 1.77) higher risk than their counterparts (Model 1, Figure 2b), and after
250 adjusting this association for health-related and adiposity covariates, the risk was reduced to
251 46% (HR: 1.46 [95% CI: 1.29 to 1.65]) but remained statistically significant (Model 4, Figure
252 2b). A similar magnitude of associations was identified for these categories and the two
253 outcomes when a 2-year landmark was applied to the analyses (Supplementary Table 2).

254 Compared to individuals with both normal grip and average/brisk walking pace, individuals
255 with both low grip and slow walking pace had the highest risk of stroke mortality (HR: 2.86
256 [95% CI: 1.93 to 4.22]), followed by those with a normal grip and slow walking pace (HR:
257 1.96 [95% CI: 1.38 to 2.78]) and those with low grip but normal walking pace (HR: 1.89
258 [95% CI: 1.22 to 2.94]) (Model 4, Table 2). Participants with a low grip and slow walking
259 pace also had the highest all-cause mortality risk (HR: 2.31 [95% CI: 1.97 to 2.70]) than the
260 reference group (model 4, Table 2). When the 2-year landmark analysis was carried out, the
261 associations were attenuated, but remained significant (Supplementary Table 3).

262 Finally, e-values measuring unmeasured confounders for the individual and combined
263 associations are available in Supplementary Tables 4 and 5. The e-values ranged from 1.82 to
264 5.17. Hence, it is unlikely that unmeasured confounders would be very strong to attenuate the
265 result since this confounder needs to have, for instance, a HR of 5.17 with the exposure and
266 the outcome to attenuate the association.

267 **Discussion**

268 The main findings of this study highlighted that stroke survivors with a slow walking pace
269 and low grip strength had a higher risk of all-cause and stroke mortality compared to
270 individuals in the highest category of each exposure. The strongest association was seen in
271 individuals with a slow walking pace and, when the two exposures were pooled together, in
272 those with low grip strength and slow walking pace. These associations were kept when we

273 ran a 2-year landmark analysis excluding people who died from possible severe stroke cases
274 from the analyses. Considering gait impairment and low grip strength are among the main
275 issues among stroke survivors, exploring the associations of these markers with mortality in
276 this population provides meaningful information regarding the potential role of these markers
277 during the survival follow-up.

278 Although associations between grip strength and walking pace and both all-cause and stroke
279 mortality have been previously reported both in middle-aged and older populations, as well as
280 in men and women,^{23,31,34-40} studies on stroke survivors are usually clinical trials¹³⁻¹⁷ rather
281 than large prospective studies as it is the case of our work. One observational study stated
282 that impairments in lower contralesional hand-grip strength resulting from stroke had not
283 shown any improvement in 2 years of follow-up compared to the control group; however, in
284 that study, the target population was not large enough, with only 10 participants remaining at
285 the end of the investigation.¹⁸ Regarding experimental studies, Alexander et al.⁴¹ reported that
286 rehabilitation interventions could improve grip strength in stroke survivors. However, the
287 literature regarding the role of physical activity in this population has been inconclusive.¹³⁻¹⁷
288 For instance, Saunders et al. highlighted that even if cardiorespiratory fitness training
289 (especially walking) can improve fitness, balance and waking pace after stroke, the evidence
290 came from moderate to low-quality studies.¹⁷ Consequently, further well-designed control
291 trials are still needed to determine the range of benefits of physical activity and, therefore,
292 physical capability markers.¹⁷

293 The American Stroke Association advised stroke survivors to engage in muscle-strengthening
294 and aerobic activities (low to moderate).⁴² However, stroke survivors may suffer from
295 residual disabilities caused by the stroke.⁴² In chronic stroke patients, for example, severe
296 impairments in movement coordination and precision of arm and joint kinematics have been
297 reported,⁴³ and when compared to age-matched,⁴³ control subjects using the same arm, the

298 ipsilesional arm performed significantly worse.⁴⁴ Also, a stroke might affect the symmetry,
299 regularity, and stability of hemiparetic movement.⁴⁵ According to Fayaz et al., balance
300 problems are observed in about half of the stroke patients.⁴⁶ Moreover, it has been argued that
301 slow gait velocity can be due to a reduction in aerobic endurance and leg strength in chronic
302 stroke patients. Rehabilitation programmes have been one of the key solutions.⁴⁷ However,
303 fewer than 30% of stroke survivors will undertake the physical activity recommendations.⁴⁸
304 A study reported that among the main barriers to not achieving this recommendation are the
305 self-perception of being 'too tired' or the belief that their health status is 'too poor'; therefore,
306 physical activity might damage their health.⁴⁸ In this regard, their health status and belief
307 remain among the challenges to improving stroke survivors' quality of life.

308 **Limitations**

309 There are some limitations to this research that should be considered. Firstly, although many
310 confounding factors were included in our models, unmeasured or residual confounders could
311 still partially influence our findings. However, our e-value analyses provided evidence that it
312 is unlikely that these would be very strong enough to nullify the results (HR: 1.82 to 5.17;
313 Supplementary Tables 4 and 5). Moreover, information regarding stroke severity was
314 unavailable; therefore, we could not look at survival rates by stroke severity. We tried to
315 avoid such potential limitations using a 2-year landmark analysis excluding people who died
316 during this period. Secondly, there is a risk of bias in self-reported data for walking pace.
317 Previous studies have shown that although this variable was self-reported, it has a robust
318 mortality prediction compared to other traditional risk factors.²⁶ Thirdly, even though the grip
319 strength and walking pace categories showed a statistically significant association with the
320 outcomes, wider CIs in the stroke mortality analyses may be attributable to the low number of
321 events available in these categories. Fourthly, the majority of included participants had a
322 white background; therefore, we did not conduct specific analyses by ethnicity due to the

323 small number of participants in the non-white category (315, representing only 4.2% of the
324 total included population). In this context, generalising the summary statistics finding has
325 some limitations, as the prevalence of morbidities, lifestyle factors, and sociodemographic
326 factors are not representative of the UK population. However, the effect size is
327 generalisable.⁴⁹ Future studies should investigate stroke severity and the effect on the
328 variables assessed in the present study. Finally, the observational nature of our study does not
329 allow us to infer causality from the results.

330 **Conclusion**

331 In conclusion, stroke survivors with a slow walking pace and low grip strength had a higher
332 risk of dying due to stroke or for any cause. Among these exposures, the highest risk was
333 identified in individuals with both low grip strength and a slow walking pace. Considering
334 these exposures have been recognised among the main issues in stroke survivors, further
335 public health policies should be put in place to improve muscle strength and physical
336 performance across the stroke population.

337 **Perspective**

338 While the association of low grip strength and/or slow walking pace with cardiovascular and
339 all-cause mortality in the general population is well established, data on the association
340 between these factors and health outcomes in stroke survivors has been less established. Our
341 results highlighted that low grip strength and slow walking pace were associated with a
342 higher risk of stroke and all-cause mortality in stroke survivors. Exploring these markers'
343 associations with mortality in this population provides meaningful information regarding the
344 potential role of these markers during the survival follow-up.

345

346 **References**

347

- 348 1. Zhang Y, Chapman AM, Plested M, Jackson D, Purroy F. The Incidence, Prevalence, and
349 Mortality of Stroke in France, Germany, Italy, Spain, the UK, and the US: A Literature Review.
350 *Stroke Res Treat.* 2012;2012:436125.
- 351 2. Feigin VL, Forouzanfar MH, Krishnamurthi R, et al. Global and regional burden of stroke
352 during 1990-2010: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet.*
353 2014;383(9913):245-254.
- 354 3. Rowe FJ, Wright D, Brand D, et al. A prospective profile of visual field loss following stroke:
355 prevalence, type, rehabilitation, and outcome. *Biomed Res Int.* 2013;2013:719096.
- 356 4. King D, Wittenberg R, Patel A, Quayyum Z, Berdunov V, Knapp M. The future incidence,
357 prevalence and costs of stroke in the UK. *Age Ageing.* 2020;49(2):277-282.
- 358 5. Patel A, Berdunov V, Quayyum Z, King D, Knapp M, Wittenberg R. Estimated societal costs of
359 stroke in the UK based on a discrete event simulation. *Age Ageing.* 2020;49(2):270-276.
- 360 6. Goldstein LB, Adams R, Becker K, et al. Primary prevention of ischemic stroke: A statement
361 for healthcare professionals from the Stroke Council of the American Heart Association.
362 *Stroke.* 2001;32(1):280-299.
- 363 7. Tuomilehto J, Rastenyte D, Jousilahti P, Sarti C, Vartiainen E. Diabetes mellitus as a risk factor
364 for death from stroke. Prospective study of the middle-aged Finnish population. *Stroke.*
365 1996;27(2):210-215.
- 366 8. Synhaeve NE, van Alebeek ME, Arntz RM, et al. Kidney Dysfunction Increases Mortality and
367 Incident Events after Young Stroke: The FUTURE Study. *Cerebrovasc Dis.* 2016;42(3-4):224-
368 231.
- 369 9. Boehme AK, Esenwa C, Elkind MS. Stroke risk factors, genetics, and prevention. *Circulation*
370 *research.* 2017;120(3):472-495.
- 371 10. Kubota Y, Iso H, Yamagishi K, Sawada N, Tsugane S, Group JS. Daily Total Physical Activity and
372 Incident Stroke: The Japan Public Health Center-Based Prospective Study. *Stroke.*
373 2017;48(7):1730-1736.
- 374 11. Elbaz A, Sabia S, Brunner E, et al. Association of walking speed in late midlife with mortality:
375 results from the Whitehall II cohort study. *Age (Dordr).* 2013;35(3):943-952.
- 376 12. Cooper R, Kuh D, Hardy R, Mortality Review G, Falcon, Teams HAS. Objectively measured
377 physical capability levels and mortality: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ.*
378 2010;341:c4467.
- 379 13. Zimelman J, Daly JJ, Roenigk KL, Butler K, Burdsall R, Holcomb JP. Capability of 2 gait
380 measures for detecting response to gait training in stroke survivors: Gait Assessment and
381 Intervention Tool and the Tinetti Gait Scale. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2012;93(1):129-136.
- 382 14. Saltychev M, Sjögren T, Bärlund E, Laimi K, Paltamaa J. Do aerobic exercises really improve
383 aerobic capacity of stroke survivors? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Phys*
384 *Rehabil Med.* 2016;52(2):233-243.
- 385 15. Durand MJ, Boerger TF, Nguyen JN, et al. Two weeks of ischemic conditioning improves
386 walking speed and reduces neuromuscular fatigability in chronic stroke survivors. *J Appl*
387 *Physiol (1985).* 2019;126(3):755-763.
- 388 16. Langhammer B, Lindmark B, Stanghelle JK. Physiotherapy and physical functioning post-
389 stroke: exercise habits and functioning 4 years later? Long-term follow-up after a 1-year
390 long-term intervention period: a randomised controlled trial. *Brain Inj.* 2014;28(11):1396-
391 1405.
- 392 17. Saunders D, Sanderson M, Hayes S, et al. Physical fitness training for stroke patients.
393 *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews.* 2020;3.
- 394 18. Schimmel M, Leemann B, Schnider A, Herrmann FR, Kiliaridis S, Muller F. Changes in oro-
395 facial function and hand-grip strength during a 2-year observation period after stroke. *Clin*
396 *Oral Investig.* 2013;17(3):867-876.

- 397 19. Dhamoon MS, Sciacca RR, Rundek T, Sacco RL, Elkind MS. Recurrent stroke and cardiac risks
398 after first ischemic stroke: the Northern Manhattan Study. *Neurology*. 2006;66(5):641-646.
- 399 20. Feng W, Hendry RM, Adams RJ. Risk of recurrent stroke, myocardial infarction, or death in
400 hospitalised stroke patients. *Neurology*. 2010;74(7):588-593.
- 401 21. Burn J, Dennis M, Bamford J, Sandercock P, Wade D, Warlow C. Long-term risk of recurrent
402 stroke after a first-ever stroke. The Oxfordshire Community Stroke Project. *Stroke*.
403 1994;25(2):333-337.
- 404 22. Nofuji Y, Shinkai S, Taniguchi Y, et al. Associations of Walking Speed, Grip Strength, and
405 Standing Balance With Total and Cause-Specific Mortality in a General Population of
406 Japanese Elders. *J Am Med Dir Assoc*. 2016;17(2):184 e181-187.
- 407 23. Sasaki H, Kasagi F, Yamada M, Fujita S. Grip strength predicts cause-specific mortality in
408 middle-aged and elderly persons. *Am J Med*. 2007;120(4):337-342.
- 409 24. Sudlow C, Gallacher J, Allen N, et al. UK biobank: an open access resource for identifying the
410 causes of a wide range of complex diseases of middle and old age. *PLoS Med*.
411 2015;12(3):e1001779.
- 412 25. Collins R. What makes UK Biobank special? *Lancet*. 2012;379(9822):1173-1174.
- 413 26. Ganna A, Ingelsson E. 5 year mortality predictors in 498 103 UK Biobank participants: a
414 prospective population-based study. *The Lancet*. 2015;386(9993):533-540.
- 415 27. Syddall HE, Westbury LD, Cooper C, Sayer AA. Self-reported walking speed: a useful marker
416 of physical performance among community-dwelling older people? *J Am Med Dir Assoc*.
417 2015;16(4):323-328.
- 418 28. Alley DE, Shardell MD, Peters KW, et al. Grip strength cutpoints for the identification of
419 clinically relevant weakness. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2014;69(5):559-566.
- 420 29. Phillimore P, Beattie A, Townsend P. Widening inequality of health in northern England,
421 1981-91. *BMJ*. 1994;308(6937):1125-1128.
- 422 30. Barnett K, Mercer SW, Norbury M, Watt G, Wyke S, Guthrie B. Epidemiology of
423 multimorbidity and implications for health care, research, and medical education: a cross-
424 sectional study. *Lancet*. 2012;380(9836):37-43.
- 425 31. Steell L, Ho FK, Sillars A, et al. Dose-response associations of cardiorespiratory fitness with
426 all-cause mortality and incidence and mortality of cancer and cardiovascular and respiratory
427 diseases: the UK Biobank cohort study. *Br J Sports Med*. 2019;53(21):1371-1378.
- 428 32. Blum MR, Tan YJ, Ioannidis JPA. Use of E-values for addressing confounding in observational
429 studies—an empirical assessment of the literature. *International Journal of Epidemiology*.
430 2020;49(5):1482-1494.
- 431 33. Haneuse S, VanderWeele TJ, Arterburn D. Using the E-Value to Assess the Potential Effect of
432 Unmeasured Confounding in Observational Studies. *JAMA*. 2019;321(6):602-603.
- 433 34. Shimizu M, Misumi M, Yamada M, Ohishi W, Yamamoto H, Kihara Y. Choice reaction time
434 and grip strength as predictors of cardiovascular mortality in middle-aged and elderly
435 Japanese: from the Radiation Effects Research Foundation Adult Health study. *Intern Med J*.
436 2018;48(11):1331-1336.
- 437 35. Chua KY, Lim WS, Lin X, Yuan JM, Koh WP. Hand-grip Strength and Timed Up-and-Go (TUG)
438 Test are Predictors of Short-Term Mortality among Elderly in a Population-Based Cohort in
439 Singapore. *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2020;24(4):371-378.
- 440 36. Bohannon RW. Grip Strength: An Indispensable Biomarker For Older Adults. *Clin Interv*
441 *Aging*. 2019;14:1681-1691.
- 442 37. Abizanda P, Navarro JL, Garcia-Tomas MI, Lopez-Jimenez E, Martinez-Sanchez E, Paterna G.
443 Validity and usefulness of hand-held dynamometry for measuring muscle strength in
444 community-dwelling older persons. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr*. 2012;54(1):21-27.
- 445 38. Cai Y, Liu L, Wang J, Gao Y, Guo Z, Ping Z. Linear association between grip strength and all-
446 cause mortality among the elderly: results from the SHARE study. *Aging Clin Exp Res*.
447 2021;33(4):933-941.

- 448 39. Celis-Morales CA, Gray S, Petermann F, et al. Walking Pace Is Associated with Lower Risk of
449 All-Cause and Cause-Specific Mortality. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2019;51(3):472-480.
- 450 40. Argyridou S, Zaccardi F, Davies MJ, Khunti K, Yates T. Walking pace improves all-cause and
451 cardiovascular mortality risk prediction: A UK Biobank prognostic study. *Eur J Prev Cardiol.*
452 2020;27(10):1036-1044.
- 453 41. Remsik AB, Gjini K, Williams L, Jr., et al. Ipsilesional Mu Rhythm Desynchronization
454 Correlates With Improvements in Affected Hand Grip Strength and Functional Connectivity
455 in Sensorimotor Cortices Following BCI-FES Intervention for Upper Extremity in Stroke
456 Survivors. *Front Hum Neurosci.* 2021;15:725645.
- 457 42. Billinger SA, Arena R, Bernhardt J, et al. Physical activity and exercise recommendations for
458 stroke survivors: a statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart
459 Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke.* 2014;45(8):2532-2553.
- 460 43. Woytowicz EJ, Rietschel JC, Goodman RN, et al. Determining Levels of Upper Extremity
461 Movement Impairment by Applying a Cluster Analysis to the Fugl-Meyer Assessment of the
462 Upper Extremity in Chronic Stroke. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2017;98(3):456-462.
- 463 44. Maenza C, Good DC, Winstein CJ, Wagstaff DA, Sainburg RL. Functional Deficits in the Less-
464 Impaired Arm of Stroke Survivors Depend on Hemisphere of Damage and Extent of Paretic
465 Arm Impairment. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair.* 2020;34(1):39-50.
- 466 45. Li M, Tian S, Sun L, Chen X. Gait Analysis for Post-Stroke Hemiparetic Patient by Multi-
467 Features Fusion Method. *Sensors (Basel).* 2019;19(7).
- 468 46. Khan F, Chevidikunnan MF. Prevalence of Balance Impairment and Factors Associated with
469 Balance among Patients with Stroke. A Cross Sectional Retrospective Case Control Study.
470 *Healthcare (Basel).* 2021;9(3).
- 471 47. Taylor-Piliae RE, Latt LD, Hepworth JT, Coull BM. Predictors of gait velocity among
472 community-dwelling stroke survivors. *Gait Posture.* 2012;35(3):395-399.
- 473 48. Nicholson SL, Greig CA, Sniehotta F, et al. Quantitative data analysis of perceived barriers
474 and motivators to physical activity in stroke survivors. *J R Coll Physicians Edinb.*
475 2017;47(3):231-236.
- 476 49. Batty GD, Gale CR, Kivimäki M, Deary IJ, Bell S. Comparison of risk factor associations in UK
477 Biobank against representative, general population based studies with conventional
478 response rates: prospective cohort study and individual participant meta-analysis. *BMJ.*
479 2020;368:m131.

480

481

482 **Table 1 – cohort characteristics by physical activity, grip strength and walking pace.**

483

	Total stroke survivors	Grip strength		Walking pace	
		Normal	Low	Average/brisk	Slow
Total number	7,486	5,678	1,275	5,166	1,787
Age (years), mean (SD)	61.0 (6.6)	61.7 (6.2)	60.8 (6.7)	60.9 (6.8)	61.3 (6.3)
Sex (female), n (%)	3,172 (42.4)	2,419 (39.7)	753 (54.0)	2,339 (42.5)	833 (41.9)
Ethnicity, n (%)					
White	7,171 (95.8)	5,876 (96.4)	1,295 (92.9)	5,294 (96.2)	1,877 (94.5)
South Asian	132 (1.8)	75 (1.2)	57 (4.1)	91 (1.6)	41 (2.1)
Black	104 (1.4)	84 (1.4)	20 (1.4)	64 (1.7)	40 (2.0)
Chinese	8 (0.1)	8 (0.1)	0.00 (0.00)	7 (0.1)	1 (0.0)
Other	71 (0.9)	49 (0.8)	22 (1.6)	44 (0.8)	27 (1.4)
Smoke, n (%)					
Never	3,170 (42.3)	2,594 (42.6)	576 (41.3)	2,536 (46.1)	634 (31.9)
Previous	3,227 (43.1)	2,643 (43.4)	584 (41.9)	2,341 (42.6)	886 (44.6)
Current	1,089 (14.6)	855 (14.0)	234 (16.8)	623 (11.3)	466 (23.5)
Deprivation, n (%)					
Lower	2,085 (27.8)	1,798 (29.5)	287 (20.6)	1,726 (31.4)	359 (18.1)
Middle	2,341 (31.3)	1,956 (32.1)	385 (27.6)	1,830 (33.3)	511 (25.7)
Higher	3,060 (40.9)	2,338 (38.4)	722 (51.8)	1,944 (35.3)	1,116 (56.2)
Sleep time, n (%)					
Normal 7-9 h/day	4,989 (66.4)	4,174 (68.5)	815 (58.5)	3,884 (70.6)	1,105 (55.6)
Short sleep <7 h/day	2,124 (28.4)	1,665 (27.3)	459 (32.9)	1,453 (26.4)	671 (33.8)
Long sleep >9 h/day	373 (5.0)	253 (4.1)	120 (8.6)	163 (3.0)	210 (10.6)
Morbidity count, n (%)					
0	1,087 (14.5)	967 (15.9)	120 (8.6)	975 (17.7)	112 (5.6)
≥ 1	6,399 (85.5)	5,125 (84.1)	1,274 (91.4)	4,525 (82.3)	1,874 (94.4)
Alcohol intake frequency, n (%)					
Daily or almost daily	2,074 (27.7)	1,513 (24.8)	561 (40.2)	1,284 (23.3)	790 (39.8)
3–4 times a week	2,557 (34.2)	2,090 (34.3)	467 (33.5)	1,923 (35.0)	634 (31.9)
Once or twice a week	2,855 (38.1)	2,489 (40.9)	366 (26.7)	2,293 (41.7)	562 (28.3)
BMI (kg/m ²), mean (SD)	28.8 (5.0)	28.7 (4.9)	29.2 (5.5)	28.1 (4.5)	30.7 (5.9)
BMI categories, n (%)					
Underweight (<18.5 kg/ m ²)	28 (0.4)	19 (0.3)	9 (0.6)	16 (0.3)	12 (0.6)
Normal weight (18.5–24.9 kg/m ²)	1,650 (22.0)	1,363 (22.8)	287 (20.6)	1,362 (24.8)	288 (14.5)
Overweight (25.0–29.9 kg/m ²)	3,186 (42.6)	2,626 (43.1)	560 (40.2)	2,487 (45.2)	699 (35.2)
Obese (≥30.0 kg/m ²)	2,622 (35.0)	2,084 (34.2)	538 (38.6)	1,635 (29.7)	987 (49.7)
Systolic BP (mm Hg), mean (SD)	139.8 (18.8)	138.0 (18.4)	140.2 (18.8)	140.1 (18.5)	139.1 (19.7)
Red meat, (times/week), mean (SD)	2.2 (1.5)	2.3 (1.7) 19	2.2 (1.5)	2.2 (1.5)	2.3 (1.7)
Processed meat, (times/week),	2.0 (1.1)	1.9 (1.1)	2.0 (1.1)	1.9 (1.1)	2.1 (1.1)

mean (SD)					
Fruit and vegetable, (grams/day) mean (SD)	330.7 (216.5)	329.8 (211.3)	330.9 (217.7)	337.8 (212.8)	310.8 (225.3)
Sedentary behaviour (h/day), mean (SD)	5.4 (2.5)	5.4 (2.7)	5.4 (2.4)	5.3 (2.3)	5.9 (3.0)
Education status, (%)					
None of the options	3,312 (44.2)	2,545 (41.8)	767 (55.0)	2,182 (39.7)	1,130 (56.9)
CSEs	322 (4.3)	264 (4.3)	58 (4.7)	251 (4.6)	71 (3.6)
0-levels	1,547 (20.7)	1,284 (21.1)	263 (18.9)	1,184 (21.5)	363 (18.3)
A-levels	677 (9.0)	565 (9.3)	112 (8.0)	524 (9.5)	153 (7.7)
College/ University degree	1,628 (21.8)	1,434 (23.5)	194 (13.9)	1,359 (24.7)	269 (13.5)

484 Continuous variables are presented as mean (SD); categorical variables are presented as n (%). SD
485 indicates standard deviation; n, total number; h/day, hours per day; BMI, body mass index; CSE,
486 Certificate of Secondary Education; BP, blood pressure. Low grip strength was defined as ≤ 16 kg in
487 women and ≤ 26 kg in men using the National Institute of Health cut-off points. Slow walking pace
488 was self-reported using a questionnaire about the regular walking pace.

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

Table 2. Associations between grip strength and walking pace categories and mortality due to stroke and all-cause

Models	Normal grip and average/brisk walking pace		Normal grip and slow walking pace			Low grip and average/brisk walking pace			Low grip and slow walking pace		
	Events/Total participants	HR (95% CI)	Events/Total participants	HR (95% CI)	p-value	Events/Total participants	HR (95% CI)	p-value	Events/Total participants	HR (95% CI)	p-value
Stroke mortality											
Model 1	98/4,813	1.00 (Ref.)	56/1,279	2.07 (1.48; 2.89)	<0.001	26/687	1.92 (1.24; 2.98)	0.003	42/707	2.99 (2.06; 4.34)	<0.001
Model 2	98/4,813	1.00 (Ref.)	56/1,279	1.84 (1.31; 2.60)	<0.001	26/687	1.88 (1.21; 2.92)	0.005	42/707	2.70 (1.83; 3.97)	<0.001
Model 3	98/4,813	1.00 (Ref.)	56/1,279	1.83 (1.30; 2.59)	0.001	26/687	1.90 (1.22; 2.95)	0.004	42/707	2.74 (1.85; 4.05)	<0.001
Model 4	98/4,813	1.00 (Ref.)	56/1,279	1.96 (1.38; 2.78)	<0.001	26/687	1.89 (1.22; 2.94)	0.005	42/707	2.86 (1.93; 4.22)	<0.001
All-cause mortality											
Model 1	694/4,813	1.00 (Ref.)	420/1,279	2.31 (2.04; 2.61)	<0.001	133/687	1.43 (1.19; 1.73)	<0.001	243/707	2.64 (2.27; 3.07)	<0.001
Model 2	694/4,813	1.00 (Ref.)	420/1,279	1.98 (1.74; 2.25)	<0.001	133/687	1.40 (1.16; 1.69)	<0.001	243/707	2.28 (1.95; 2.66)	<0.001
Model 3	694/4,813	1.00 (Ref.)	420/1,279	1.92 (1.69; 2.18)	<0.001	133/687	1.40 (1.16; 1.68)	0.001	243/707	2.24 (1.91; 2.61)	<0.001
Model 4	694/4,813	1.00 (Ref.)	420/1,279	2.00 (1.75; 2.27)	<0.001	133/687	1.39 (1.15; 1.68)	0.001	243/707	2.31 (1.97; 2.70)	<0.001

Data presented as hazard ratios and their 95% CI. Normal grip and average-brisk pace categories were defined as the reference group. Models 1 was adjusted for Model 1 featured sociodemographic covariates (sex, age, deprivation status, professional qualification, and ethnicity). Model 2, as per model 1, but also included lifestyle factors (fruit and vegetable, red meat, and processed meat, alcohol consumption, smoking status, sedentary behaviour, and sleep-time). Model 3, as per model 2, but also included health-related variables (systolic blood pressure, and history of morbidities). Model 4, as per model 3, but additionally included BMI. Low grip strength was defined as ≤ 16 kg in women and ≤ 26 kg in men using the National Institute of Health cut-off points. Slow walking pace was self-reported using a questionnaire about the regular walking pace.

Figure 1. Diagram of sample selection

Figure 2. Associations of walking pace and grip strength with mortality due to stroke and all-cause mortality. Data presented as adjusted HR and their 95% confidence interval. Average/brisk pace and normal grip were treated as the reference categories. Analysis were adjusted for sociodemographic covariates (model 1), lifestyle factors (model 2), health-related variables (model 3) and BMI (model 4). Low grip strength was defined as ≤ 16 kg in women and ≤ 26 kg in men using the National Institute of Health cut-off points. Slow walking pace was self-reported using a questionnaire about the regular walking pace.

