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#### Abstract

Reduction of the heteroleptic $\operatorname{Ln}($ III $)$ precursors [ $\left.\operatorname{Ln}(T p)_{2}(O T f)\right]$ (Tp = hydrotris(1-pyrazolyl)borate; OTf $=$ triflate) with either an aluminyl( $(1)$ anion or $\mathrm{KC}_{8}$ yielded the adduct-free homoleptic $\operatorname{Ln}(॥)$ complexes dimeric 1-Eu $\left[\left\{E u(T p)\left(\mu-\kappa^{1}: \eta^{5}-\mathrm{Tp}\right)\right\}_{2}\right]$ and monomeric 1-Yb [Yb(Tp) $)_{2}$. Complexes 1-Ln have good solubility and stability in both non-coordinating and coordinating solvents. Reaction of 1-Ln with $2 \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ yielded 1-Ln $\left(\mathrm{OPPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$. All complexes are intensely coloured and 1-Eu is photoluminescent. The electronic absorption data show the $4 f-5 d$ electronic transitions in Ln(ı). Single-crystal X-ray diffraction data reveal first $\mu-\kappa^{1}: \eta^{5}$-coordination mode of the unsubstituted Tp ligand to lanthanides in 1-Eu.


Divalent lanthanide $\operatorname{Ln}$ (II) ions have remarkable physical and chemical properties. ${ }^{1}$ Complexes of $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{II})$ are electron-transfer reagents in important synthetic transformations. ${ }^{10,2}$ The physical properties of $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{II})$ include single-molecule magnetism, ${ }^{3}$ and unique optical properties. ${ }^{4}$ Judicious choice of ancillary ligand is essential to supporting $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{II})$ ions. ${ }^{5}$

The tridentate nitrogen donor scorpionate ligand hydrotris(1pyrazolyl)borate (Tp) ligand has been shown to support $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{II})$ in homoleptic $\left[\operatorname{Ln}\left(\mathrm{Tp}^{\mathrm{R}}\right)_{2}\right]$ complexes where $\mathrm{Tp}^{\mathrm{R}}=3$ and/or 5-substituted-pyrazolyl. ${ }^{6}$ These $\left[\operatorname{Ln}\left(\mathrm{Tp}^{\mathrm{R}}\right)_{2}\right]$ complexes display a wide range of chemical reactivity, ${ }^{7}$ and are also useful synthons for organometallic Ln complexes, ${ }^{8}$ and heterometallic Ln and transition metal complexes. ${ }^{9}$ The $\left[\operatorname{Ln}\left(\mathrm{Tp}^{\mathrm{iPr} 2}\right)_{2}\right](\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Eu}, \mathrm{Yb})$ complexes exhibit properties intermediate between Ln nitrides and metallocenes in light-emitting diodes (LEDs). ${ }^{10}$ The first molecular Eu(II)based electroluminescent thin film device was synthesised using $\left[\mathrm{Eu}\left(\mathrm{Tp}^{3,5-\mathrm{R}}\right)_{2}\right](\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}) .{ }^{11}$ Recently the potential of $\left[\mathrm{Eu}\left(\mathrm{Tp}^{3-\mathrm{R}}\right)_{2}\right]$ $\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)$ complexes have been demonstrated in organic LEDs (OLEDs). ${ }^{6 h}$

[^0]In contrast to $\mathrm{Cp}^{\mathrm{R}} \operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{II})$ chemistry $\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{\mathrm{R}}=\right.$ substituted cyclopentadienyl), the synthetic routes to $\left[\operatorname{Ln}\left(\mathrm{Tp}^{\mathrm{R}}\right)_{2}\right]$ complexes, with one exception, ${ }^{6 d}$ have always utilised $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{II})$ precursors. ${ }^{6,7}$ Lanthanide chemistry of the unsubstituted Tp ligand has remained largely unexplored. Moreover the THF-adduct complexes $\left[\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{Tp})_{2}(\mathrm{THF})_{2}\right]$ were reported to be unstable in solution. ${ }^{6 b, 12}$ Here we report the reduction chemistry of the heteroleptic $\operatorname{Ln}($ III $)$ precursors $\left[\operatorname{Ln}(T p)_{2}(\mathrm{OTf})\right],{ }^{13}$ to synthesise stable adduct-free homoleptic $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes $\left[\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{Tp})_{2}\right]$ 1-Ln $(\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Eu}, \mathrm{Yb})$, and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ adduct complexes 1-Ln $\left(\mathbf{O P P h}_{3}\right)_{2}$.

The formal reduction potentials $\left(E^{0}\right)$ of $\mathrm{Ln}^{3+} / \mathrm{Ln}^{2+}$ for $\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Eu}$ -0.35 V and $\mathrm{Yb}-1.15 \mathrm{~V}$ in aqueous solution $v s$. the Normal Hydrogen Electrode (NHE) make them the most accessible $\operatorname{Ln}($ III $)$ candidates for reduction. ${ }^{14}$ Upon reduction of the parent $\operatorname{Ln}($ III $)$, the stability of these classical $\operatorname{Ln}($ II $)$ is achieved by either the attainment of half-filled 4 f orbitals in $\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{II})$ or full 4 f orbitals in $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{II})$. It is of note that the redox potentials of $\operatorname{Ln}($ III $)$ depend on the ancillary ligand environment, for example $\left[\operatorname{Ln}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}\right](\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Eu}, \mathrm{Yb})$ were recently reported to be $c a .1 \mathrm{~V}$ more difficult to reduce than their formal reduction potentials. ${ }^{15}$ There are no data in the literature for the $E^{0}$ of $\mathrm{Ln}^{3+} / \mathrm{Ln}^{2+}$ in a Tp ligand environment, but the reduction potentials are expected to be intermediate between the above examples. We therefore explored the reduction chemistry of our $\mathrm{Ln}(\mathrm{III})(\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Eu}, \mathrm{Yb})$ precursors with various reductants.

Complex 1-Yb was first isolated by reduction of $\left[\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{Tp})_{2^{-}}\right.$ $(\mathrm{OTf})]$ with $\left[\left\{\mathrm{K}\left[\mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{NON}^{\text {Dipp }}\right)\right]\right\}_{2}\right]^{16}\left(\mathrm{NON}^{\text {Dipp }}=\left\{\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{NDipp}\right)_{2}\right\}^{2-}\right.$, Dipp $=2,6-{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}$, see ESI, $\dagger$ SIIa). This demonstrates the utility of aluminium $(\mathrm{I})^{17}$ in lanthanide reduction chemistry. However, the reaction of $\left[\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{Tp})_{2}(\mathrm{OTf})\right]$ with $\left[\left\{\mathrm{K}\left[\mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{NON}^{\mathrm{Dipp}}\right)\right]\right\}_{2}\right]$ yielded the $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{III})$ 'ate'-salt $\left[\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{Tp})_{2}(\mu \text {-OTf })_{2} \mathrm{~K}(18\right.$-crown- 6$\left.)\right]$ 2-Y (see ESI, $\dagger$ SV).

Our previous work on the metathesis chemistry of $\left[\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{Tp})_{2}(\mathrm{OTf})\right]^{13}$ had shown that elimination of $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{OTf})$ in noncoordinating solvents was most effective, and this is also the case for the reduction chemistry. Neither clean reduction nor $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{OTf})$ elimination could be achieved in ethereal solvents (see Fig. S68 for the crystal structure of 1-Yb(DME) co-crystallised with KOTf, DME $=1,2$-dimethoxyethane, ESI $\dagger$ ). While metallic K


Scheme 1 (a) Synthesis of $\left[\left\{E u(T p)\left(\mu-\kappa^{1}: \eta^{5}-\mathrm{Tp}\right)\right\}_{2}\right]$ 1-Eu and $\left[\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{Tp})_{2}\right]$ 1-Yb complexes by reduction of the $\operatorname{Ln}\left(\right.$ III) precursor complexes $\left[\operatorname{Ln}(T p)_{2}(O T f)\right]$. (b) Synthesis of bis-adduct complexes $\left[\operatorname{Ln}(T p)_{2}\left(O P P h_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ 1-Ln $\left(\mathrm{OPPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ ( $\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Eu}, \mathrm{Yb}$ ) by reaction of two $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ with 1-Ln.
does reduce $\left[\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{Tp})_{2}(\mathrm{OTf})\right]$ the reaction conditions could not be adequately controlled to achieve clean formation of $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{II})$. Therefore, the adduct-free divalent lanthanide complexes 1-Ln were synthesised by the reduction of $\left[\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{Tp})_{2}(\mathrm{OTf})\right]$ with excess $\mathrm{KC}_{8}$ (Scheme 1) at ambient temperature in toluene with stirring, followed by filtration and subsequent removal of volatiles in vacuo (1-Eu $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{Tp})\left(\mu-\kappa^{1}: \eta^{5}-\mathrm{Tp}\right)\right\}_{2}\right] 94 \%$, 1-Yb $\left.\left[\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{Tp})_{2}\right] 87 \%\right)$. Complexes 1-Ln have good solubility and stability in both coordinating and non-coordinating solvents. Furthermore, reaction of $1-\mathrm{Ln}$ with two equivalents of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ in toluene resulted in the isolation of the bis-adduct complexes $\mathbf{1 - L n}\left(\mathbf{O P P h}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathbf{1 - E u}\left(\mathbf{O P P h}_{3}\right)_{2} 91 \%\right.$, 1-Yb $\left.\left(\mathbf{O P P h}_{3}\right)_{2} 87 \%\right)$. Elemental analyses of $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{L n}$ and $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{L n}\left(\mathbf{O P P h}_{3}\right)_{2}$ are consistent with their respective formulations.

No resonances were observed by NMR spectroscopy for the paramagnetic complexes 1-Eu and 1-Eu( $\left.\mathbf{O P P h}_{3}\right)_{2}$, consistent with the half-filled $4 \mathrm{f}^{7}$ electronic configuration of $\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{II})$. The corrected Evans' method magnetic moments ( $\mu_{\text {eff }}$ ) for 1-Eu and 1-Eu( $\left.\mathbf{O P P h}_{3}\right)_{2}$ in $d_{6}$-benzene at room temperature were found to be in the ranges of $7.62-7.78 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$ and 7.19-7.46 $\mu_{\mathrm{B}}$, respectively. These data are consistent with the calculated spin-only magnetic moment ( $\mu_{\mathrm{so}}$ ) of $7.94 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$ for $4 \mathrm{f}^{7} \mathrm{Gd}(\mathrm{III}),{ }^{18}$ and $\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{II})$
literature examples, for both organometallics, ${ }^{19}$ and coordination compounds. ${ }^{20}$ Complexes $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{Y b}$ and $\mathbf{1 - Y b}\left(\mathbf{O P P h}_{3}\right)_{2}$ are full-shell $4 \mathrm{f}^{14}$ and diamagnetic as expected, with NMR data similar to $\left[\mathrm{Yb}\left(\mathrm{Tp}^{\mathrm{R}}\right)_{2}\right]$ complexes. ${ }^{6 b-d, f}$ In $d_{6}$-benzene the Tp-pyrazolyl protons in 1-Yb are observed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy at $\delta=5.97,7.42$ and 7.67 ppm and the Tp-borohydride at $\delta=4.96 \mathrm{ppm}$, in the expected 6:6:6:2 ratio. The Tp -pyrazolyl protons are observed at very similar chemical shifts in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of $\mathbf{1 - Y b}\left(\mathbf{O P P h}_{3}\right)_{2}$.

Diffusion-ordered NMR spectroscopy ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{DOSY}$ ) of 1-Yb, and $\mathbf{1 - Y b}$ with 1 or 2 equivalents of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ show that $\mathbf{1 - Y b}$ is monomeric, and that in solution there is an equilibrium between $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ and $\mathbf{1 - Y b}\left(\mathbf{O P P h}_{3}\right)_{x}$ (see ESI, $\dagger$ S1.6). The resonances assigned to $\mathbf{1 - Y b}(\mathbf{O P P h})_{2}$ in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR data are therefore an equilibrium average. The phenyl protons of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$, appear at $\delta=6.92,7.02$ and 7.49 ppm in the expected 12:6:12 ratio for two equivalents of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$, slightly shifted from free $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}(\delta=6.97-7.08$ and $7.72-7.82 \mathrm{ppm}$ in a $9: 6$ ratio). By ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR the singlet resonance at $\delta=27.63 \mathrm{ppm}$ assigned to $1-\mathrm{Yb}\left(\mathbf{O P P h}_{3}\right)_{2}$, is shifted from free $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}(\delta=24.72 \mathrm{ppm})$. The Tp -borohydrides of both $\mathbf{1 - Y b}$ and $\mathbf{1 - Y b}(\mathbf{O P P h})_{2}$ were observed as doublet resonances at $\delta=-1.85 \mathrm{ppm}$ by ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR. The pyrazolyl carbon resonances of $\mathbf{1 - Y b}$ and $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{- Y b}\left(\mathbf{O P P h}_{3}\right)_{2}$ were assigned via 2D ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}^{13} \mathrm{C}$ HSQC NMR experiments (see ESI $\dagger$ ). The IR spectra of 1-Ln are near-identical, with weak absorptions between 2350$2560 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ assigned to the $\nu_{\mathrm{BH}}$ of the Tp ligands. ${ }^{6 d, f, 13}$ The IR data for 1- $\mathbf{L n}\left(\mathbf{O P P h}_{3}\right)_{2}$ are consistent with 1-Ln but additionally display strong $\nu_{\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O}}$ at $1117 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The $\nu_{\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O}}$ is shifted from $1184 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in free $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ to lower wavenumbers upon coordination to the $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{II}) .{ }^{21}$

Complexes 1-Ln and 1- $\mathbf{L n}\left(\mathbf{O P P h}_{3}\right)_{2}$ are intensely coloured due to Laporte allowed $4 \mathrm{f}^{n-1}-5 \mathrm{~d}^{1}$ transitions characteristic of $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{II}){ }^{22}$ The electronic absorption spectra of 1- Ln in both noncoordinating (a) and coordinating (b) solvents, and 1-Ln(OPPh $)_{2}$ in MeCN (c) are shown in Fig. 1. In toluene (Fig. 1a) or hexane (Fig. S53 and S54 in ESI $\dagger$ ) complexes 1-Ln display broad and strong absorptions ( $\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Eu} \varepsilon=1.45-3.67 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \mathrm{Ln}=$ $\mathrm{Yb} \varepsilon=0.68-1.93 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) in the near UV and visible, with $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ of 395 nm for 1-Eu, and $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ of 341 nm and 520 nm for $1-\mathbf{Y b}$.

Photoluminescence (PL) of 1-Eu is also shown in Fig. 1, in the solid-state under a UV lamp (d) and the excitation and emission spectra in toluene solution (e). Complex 1-Eu shows emission at 590 nm ( $\lambda_{\mathrm{Ex}}$ of 389 nm ), and the ExcitationEmission Matrix data (EEM, Fig. S61, ESI $\dagger$ ) demonstrate that the emission of 1-Eu originates only from the parity-allowed $4 f^{6} 5 d^{1}$ to $4 f^{7}$ transition of $\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{II})$. The PL data for 1-Eu are very similar to those reported for $\left[\mathrm{Eu}\left(\mathrm{Tp}^{3-\mathrm{CH} 3}\right)_{2}\right]^{6 h}$ and consistent with other $\left[\operatorname{Eu}\left(\mathrm{Tp}^{\mathrm{R}}\right)_{2}\right]$ examples. ${ }^{6 f-h, 10}$ The $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ and $\lambda_{\mathrm{Em}}$ values in $\left[\mathrm{Eu}\left(\mathrm{Tp}^{\mathrm{R}}\right)_{2}\right]$ complexes correlate well to the relative donorstrength of the Tp ligand. The energy of the excitation and emission from molecular $\operatorname{Ln}$ (II) complexes in solution follows the spectrochemical series. The energy gap between the 4 f and the 5 d reduces in magnitude as the interaction with the ancillary ligands increases $\mathrm{O}<\mathrm{N}<\mathrm{C}$, for example the data for $\left[\operatorname{Ln}\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{\mathrm{R}}\right)_{2}\right]$ are significantly red-shifted from $\left[\operatorname{Ln}\left(\mathrm{Tp}^{\mathrm{R}}\right)_{2}\right]^{22 a}$ In acetonitrile, the transition envelopes for 1-Ln (Fig. 1b) are in very similar spectral positions. In $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{Y b}(\mathbf{M e C N})$ there is also no


Fig. 1 Overlay of the electronic absorption spectra of 1-Ln in either toluene (a) or in MeCN (b) and overlay of the electronic absorption spectra of 1- $\mathrm{Ln}\left(\mathrm{OPPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ (c) in MeCN , all spectra recorded at room temperature. The traces are coloured in the colour of the complex. Data ( $\lambda_{\max }$ and $\varepsilon$ ) are tabulated in ESI, $\dagger$ Table S3. Photoluminescence of a solid sample of 1-Eu under a UV lamp (d). Excitation (LHS, $\lambda_{\mathrm{Em}}=590 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) and emission (RHS, $\lambda_{\mathrm{Ex}}=389 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) spectra of 1-Eu, recorded in toluene (e).
change in magnitude of molar extinction co-efficient, however, in 1-Eu(MeCN) $\varepsilon$ decreases significantly. The increased solvent cut-off in MeCN allows for the observation of additional absorptions in the UV for 1-Ln. No spectral features in these data originate from the Tp ligand. The data for $\mathbf{1 - L n}\left(\mathbf{O P P h}_{3}\right)_{2}$ in acetonitrile are near-identical to $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{L n}$, with the addition of the $\pi-\pi^{*}$ transitions arising of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}\left(\lambda_{\max }=265-272, \varepsilon=2.6-5.3 \times\right.$ $\left.10^{3} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right){ }^{23}$

The solid-state molecular structures of 1-Eu (a), 1-Yb (b), 1-Yb(THF) (c), and 1-Eu( $\left.\mathbf{O P P h}_{3}\right)_{2}$ (d) are shown in Fig. 2. The structures of $1-\mathrm{Eu}(\mathbf{T H F})_{2}$ are shown in Fig. S63 and S64 and 1- $\mathbf{Y b}\left(\mathbf{O P P h}_{3}\right)$ in Fig. S69 ( $\mathrm{ESI} \dagger$ ). Important structural metrics are tabulated in the ESI $\dagger$ (see S4), data comparison in Table S4 and crystallographic information in Table S5. The $\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{N}\left(\kappa^{3}-\right.$ Tp), ${ }^{6 a, d_{s} f, 10} \mathrm{Eu}-\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{Tp}\right),{ }^{24} \mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{THF}),{ }^{1 a, b}$ and $\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{OPPh}_{3}\right)^{21 c, 23}$ bond distances in 1-Ln, 1-Ln $(\mathbf{T H F})_{x}$ and 1-Ln $\left(\mathbf{O P P h}_{3}\right)_{x}(\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Eu}$, $x=2 ; \mathrm{Yb}, x=1$ ) fall within the expected ranges. All data are consistent with the lanthanide contraction ${ }^{25}$ and the increase in ionic radius upon reduction from $\operatorname{Ln}$ (III) to $\operatorname{Ln}\left(\right.$ II). ${ }^{13}$ In the absence of coordinating solvents, complex 1-Eu (a) is an unusual example of a dimeric structure, whereas complex 1-Yb (b) is monomeric as expected. ${ }^{6 a, c, d, f}$ In 1-Eu (a) each $\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{II})$ is bound by a $\kappa^{3}-\mathrm{Tp}$ ligand and an $\mu-\kappa^{1}: \eta^{5} \mathrm{Tp}$ ligand. The $\mu-\kappa^{1}: \eta^{5}$ binding mode has been seen in Eu(II) pyrazolyl complexes, ${ }^{24}$ but this is the first example of $\mu-\kappa^{1}: \eta^{5} \mathrm{Tp}$ binding with bridging of lanthanide metal centres. ${ }^{6 f-h, d, 10}$ In 1-Eu a significantly longer bond distance is observed for $\mathrm{Eu}-\mathrm{N}\left(\mu-\kappa^{1}: \eta^{5}-\mathrm{Tp}\right)$. Either dissolution in THF of 1-Ln or the addition of $2 \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ to 1-Ln in toluene, and recrystallisation resulted in single-crystals of the Lewis base adduct complexes 1-Ln(THF) $)_{x}$ (c) or $\mathbf{1 - L n}\left(\mathbf{O P P h}_{3}\right)_{x}$ (d) $(\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Eu}, x=2 ; \mathrm{Yb}, x=1)$. Complexes $1-\mathrm{Ln}(\mathrm{THF})_{x}$ and 1-Ln $\left(\mathrm{OPPh}_{3}\right)_{x}$ are all monomeric, with two axial $\kappa^{3}$-coordinated Tp ligands bound to each Ln metal centre, and either one ( Yb ) or two (Eu) adduct molecules bound in the equatorial plane. In the single-crystal of $\mathbf{1 - Y b}\left(\mathbf{O P P h}_{3}\right)$ the binding of one $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ ligand is attributed to the specific crystallisation conditions.

(c)

(d)


Fig. 2 Crystal structures of 1-Eu (a), 1-Yb (b), 1-Yb(THF) (c), 1-Eu(OPPh $)_{2}$ (d). Hydrogen atoms and lattice solvent molecules omitted for clarity and pyrazolyl carbon atoms of Tp, backbone carbon atoms of THF, phenyl carbon atoms of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ displayed in wireframe. Displacement ellipsoids drawn at $50 \%$ probability. Data and crystallographic information can be found in the ESI, $\dagger$ S4 and Tables S4, S5.

Reduction of $\operatorname{Ln}($ III $)$ in $\left[\operatorname{Ln}(T p)_{2}(\mathrm{OTf})\right]$ has been demonstrated to be an excellent route to $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{II})$ in $\left[\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{Tp})_{2}\right](\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Eu}, \mathrm{Yb})$. The binding strength in combination with ability of the unsubstituted Tp ligand to bridge, bend and flex, results in very stable and soluble $\operatorname{Ln}$ (II) complexes. Enabling in turn the collection of both solid-state and solution-state spectroscopic data. Highlights include the direct observation of the effect of solvent on $4 f-5 d$ electronic transitions in $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{II})$, PL from $\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{II})$ and first example of $\mu-\kappa^{1}: \eta^{5} \mathrm{Tp}$ binding to lanthanides. Exploration of the chemistry of $\left[\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{Tp})_{2}\right]$ is ongoing in our laboratory, and we anticipate future study of the chemical and physical properties of these $\operatorname{Ln}$ (II) complexes.
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