TITLE: Evaluation of an indwelling bolus equipped with a triaxial accelerometer for the characterisation of the diurnal pattern of bovine reticuloruminal contractions

Giovanni Capuzzello, Lorenzo Viora, Elena Borelli, Nicholas N. Jonsson[1]

School of Biodiversity, One Health and Veterinary Medicine, University of Glasgow, Bearsden Road, Glasgow, G61 1QH, UK.

[1]Corresponding author: Nicholas N. Jonsson; Nicholas.Jonsson@glasgow.ac.uk; 01413305806

Short title: Characterisation of the diurnal pattern of bovine reticuloruminal motility

ABSTRACT

This observational study aimed to describe the diurnal pattern of reticuloruminal contraction rate (RRCR) and the proportion of time spent ruminating by cattle, using two commercial devices equipped with triaxial accelerometers: an indwelling bolus (placed in the reticulum) and a neck collar. The three objectives of this study were (1) to determine whether the indwelling bolus provided observations consistent with RRCR as determined by clinical examination using auscultation and ultrasound; (2) to compare estimates of time spent ruminating using the indwelling bolus and a collar-based accelerometer, (3) to describe the diurnal pattern of RRCR using the indwelling bolus data. Six rumen-fistulated, non-lactating Jersey cows were fitted with an indwelling bolus (SmaXtec Animal Care GmbH, Graz, Austria) and a neck collar (Silent Herdsman, Afimilk Ltd. Kibbutz Afikim, Israel), and data were collected over two weeks. Cattle were housed together in a single straw-bedded pen and fed *ad libitum* hay. To assess the agreement between the indwelling bolus and traditional methods of assessing reticuloruminal contractility in the first week, the RRCR was determined over 10 minutes, twice a day, by ultrasound (US) and auscultation (AUSC). Mean inter-contraction intervals (ICI) derived from bolus (BICI) and ultrasound (USICI), and from auscultation (AUSCICI) were 40.4 (± 4.7), 40.1 (± 4.0) and 38.4 (± 3.3) s. Bland-Altmann plots showed similar performance of the methods with small biases. The Pearson correlation coefficient for the time spent ruminating derived from neck collars and indwelling boluses was 0.72 ($p < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$). The indwelling boluses generated a consistent diurnal pattern for all the cows. In conclusion, a robust relationship was observed between clinical observation and the indwelling boluses for estimation of ICI and, similarly, between the indwelling bolus and neck collar for estimating rumination time. The indwelling boluses showed a clear diurnal pattern for RRCR and time spent ruminating, indicating that they should be useful for assessing reticuloruminal motility.

Keywords: reticuloruminal contractions; diurnal pattern; triaxial accelerometer; indwelling bolus; ultrasound; rumen; motility.

Ruminant digestive physiology has been widely studied because information about forestomach motility can be used as an overall indicator of cattle health (Grünberg and Constable, 2009). The forestomach motility largely depends on the contractions of the first two forestomachs of cattle: the reticulum and the rumen, also referred to as the reticulorumen (Grünberg and Constable, 2009). There are three main reticuloruminal contraction patterns: primary, secondary and rumination (Beauchemin, 2018). Primary contractions are responsible for mixing the ingesta, and begin with the reticulum's biphasic contraction to subsequently involve the rumen in a craniocaudal order (Foster, 2017). Secondary contractions are associated with the eructation process and occur independently of the primary contractions (Foster, 2017). Rumination refers to the process in which a bolus of ingesta is regurgitated from the reticulorumen, re-masticated, re-insalivated and finally re-swallowed (Beauchemin, 2018). An additional reticular contraction preceding the normal biphasic contraction of the reticulum is necessary for rumination to proceed (Beauchemin, 2018). For this reason, the term reticuloruminal contraction rate (RRCR) refers to the complete reticuloruminal contraction cycle, including the biphasic contractions occurring in the primary cycle and the extra-reticular contractions occurring during rumination (Sellers and Stevens, 1966). The RRCR transiently increases in frequency and amplitude during eating (Balch, 1952; Ruckebusch, 1993), and decreases during rumination and recumbency (Sellers and Stevens, 1966). Lactating dairy cows spend about 7 h/d ruminating (range: 2.5–10.5 h/d), 4.5 h/d eating (range: 2.4–8.5 h/d) (Beauchemin, 2018). Dairy cows with unrestricted feed access tend to spend less time eating, and they ruminate for a longer period (Beauchemin, 2018).

RRCR can be assessed by measuring the frequency of contractions (number of contractions per unit of time) or intercontraction interval (ICI – time unit divided by the number of contractions). The ICI averages 40-60 s for the primary contractions and 120 s for the secondary contractions

(Grünberg and Constable, 2009). Methods for measuring the RRCR are classified as either invasive or non-invasive, depending on whether surgery is required to apply the measuring device (Braun and Rauch, 2008; Han *et al*., 2022). Invasive methods include electrodes applied in the forestomach to measure electrical activity (Plaza *et al.*, 1996; Wierzbicka *et al.*, 2021) and placement within the reticulum of air- or water-filled pressure devices (Holtenius *et al.*, 1971; Egert-McLean *et al.*, 2019; Scheurwater *et al.*, 2021). Non-invasive methods include ultrasonography and indwelling reticuloruminal boluses, which directly measure reticular movement; less direct non-invasive methods include clinical examination, auscultation and palpation of the paralumbar fossae; however, they cannot differentiate between primary and secondary cycles (Grünberg and Constable, 2009).

Previous experimental studies have used prototype indwelling boluses to measure the temperature, pH, ICI, and contraction amplitude of the reticular motility of cows on various diets (Cantor *et al.*, 2018; Arai *et al.*, 2019; Hamilton *et al.*, 2019; Francesio *et al.*, 2020), and to assess the effects of xylazine and atropine (Choi *et al.*, 2020). Similarly, neck collars mounted with accelerometers have been widely used to assess the amount and proportion of time spent ruminating (Konka *et al.*, 2014; Iqbal *et al.*, 2021; Pavlovic *et al.*, 2022). In a recent study conducted in the Netherlands, 5 years of data were collected using neck collars equipped with triaxial accelerometers (Nedap, Groenlo, The Netherlands), demonstrating a distinct diurnal pattern for time spent ruminating (Hut *et al.*, 2019). To the best of our knowledge, no reports have described and characterised the pattern and type of RRCR using a commercial indwelling accelerometer bolus (Han *et al*., 2022). The three objectives of this study were (1) to determine whether the indwelling bolus provided observations were consistent with RRCR as determined by clinical examination using auscultation and ultrasound; (2) to compare estimates of time spent ruminating using the indwelling bolus and a collar-based accelerometer, (3) to describe the diurnal pattern of RRCR using the indwelling bolus data.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals and Experimental Procedures

The data were obtained from six rumen-fistulated, adult, non-lactating, non-pregnant Jersey cows aged between 6 and 12 years, on the University of Glasgow research unit (Cochno farm) for 14 days in June 2021, with approval under Home Office Project Licence PP7153972. The cows were 623.5 ± 31.15 kg (mean ± standard deviation). A full clinical assessment of the animals was performed two days before the experiment, and no abnormalities were detected in any of the cows. Cattle remained healthy throughout the trial, with no abnormalities. Rumen fistula surgery was performed some years before the present study (2019 for 2 cows and 2013 for 4 cows). Cows were housed together in a single straw-bedded pen (~100 m^2) throughout the study. The total feed fence and water trough lengths were 9 and 1.1 meters, respectively. No other animals were housed in the shed during the study period. Hay and water were offered *ad libitum* throughout the study; hay was replenished daily at 7.30-8.00 and 15.45-16.00. The hay was introduced 6 weeks before the trial to stabilize the RRCR, flora and pH (Sellers and Stevens, 1966). Feed analysis was outsourced to an external laboratory (SRUC, Veterinary and Analytical Services, Pentlands Science Park Bush Loan, Penicuik, Midlothian, EH26 0PZ, UK) (supplementary file).

Two devices each equipped with a tri-axial accelerometer – an indwelling reticuloruminal bolus (SmaXtec Animal Care GmbH, Graz, Austria), and a neck collar (Silent Herdsman, Afimilk Ltd. Kibbutz Afikim, Israel) – were applied to the six cows. Collars were fitted to cows 6 weeks before the study period and boluses were inserted by a trained technician through the rumen fistulae directly into the reticulum 3 days before starting the study. Cows were individually moved into a crush next to their pen for auscultation and ultrasound examination. The ultrasonographic examination was performed as previously described (Braun and Schweizer, 2015). The sternal region was clipped and contact gel was applied; the ultrasonography was performed using a convex 3.5 MHz probe (CTS-900V, SIUI, China) placed on the ventral paramedian area of the abdomen, to the left of the caudal projection of the xiphoid (Braun and Schweizer, 2015). A contraction was considered to occur when the ventral wall of the reticulum lifted noticeably above the ventral abdominal wall. Simultaneously, a second operator recorded ruminal contractions identified by auscultation of the left paralumbar fossa. The recording period was 10 min/cow and started for both operators when the first RRCR was detected ultrasonographically. The examination was performed twice daily, between 09.00-10.30 h and 16:30 h and 18:00 h, for three days (Monday, Wednesday, and Friday). The time of sunrise ranged from 04.32 h to 04.37 h and sunset from 21.54 h to 22.03 h, with average daylight of 17 h/d. During the second week of the study, collars and boluses were left on the animals and there was no clinical examination to prevent any possible perturbation to the normal diurnal pattern of RRCR.

Data collection

Clinical data were initially recorded on pre-printed paper record sheets and subsequently transferred to a spreadsheet (Microsoft Excel, 2020). Accelerometer data were obtained as plain text files from the commercial web-platforms for each product (SmaXtec, Austria, and Silent Herdsman, Afimilk, Israel), and additional, pre-summarised data for reticuloruminal motility were provided by smaXtec. In each case, the raw accelerometer data were filtered and transformed by the commercially protected algorithms of the manufacturing company. For collars, hourly summarised time spent rumination (collar rumination time – CRT, min/h), eating (collar eating time – CET, min/h) were acquired. For the boluses, 10 minutes summarised time spent ruminating (bolus rumination time - BRT, min/h, from the commercial platform), inter-contraction interval (BICI, seconds) and contraction duration (BCD, seconds) summarised every 30-60 s and supplied directly by smaXtec were gathered and were aggregated to the hour for consistency with the collar data. Time-series data from the devices were filtered to two datasets: 1) hourly summarised bolus and collar data for the entire study period, 2) bolus data corresponding with the 10-min periods of the clinical examinations.

Statistical analysis

Summary statistics of the mean, SD, first, and third percentile were calculated for each variable. The ICI was calculated from the 10 min period of the clinical examination (ultrasound and auscultation) as ICI = 600 s/number of contractions. Statistical analyses were performed using R (R core Team, 2020), using the “ggplot”, “tidyverse”, “lubridate”, and “mgcv” packages. Distributions were checked. Pearson's correlation coefficients were calculated and Bland-Altmann plots were generated to compare clinical examination (USICI and AUSCICI) variables with bolus contraction intervals (BICI) and to assess the relationship between the rumination and activity indices from neck collars and boluses. A cyclic generalized additive model (GAM) with cow as fixed effect and smoothed time was fitted using the R function “gam” in the package “mcgcv”, with up to 24 knots, to define the effects of hour of day (diurnal pattern).

RESULTS

Table 1 lists summary statistics for the two data sets obtained from indwelling reticuloruminal boluses and neck collars. For the hourly collar data, 1296 observations were recorded for the entire study period and 99907 data points were obtained from indwelling boluses. Thirty-six and thirty-five 10-min intervals were measured for AUSC and US respectively. The mean ICI for indwelling boluses (BICI) and ultrasound (USICI) were 40.4 (± 4.7) s and 40.1 (±4.0) s respectively. For data obtained during the 10 minutes of clinical examination, the Pearson correlation coefficients (R) for BICI and USICI were 0.55 (95% CI: 0.31-0.77; $p = 0.00054$); for AUSCICI and BICI $R = 0.40$ (95% CI: 0.06-0.62; $p = 0.018$; for AUSCICI and USICI $R = 0.69$ (95% CI: 0.47-0.83; $p = 4.6 \times 10^{-6}$). Polyphasic distributions were observed for BICI and bolus contraction duration (BCD). A zero-inflated distribution was observed for some of the parameters recorded: CRT, CET, BRT.

<< Table 1 near here >>

The Bland-Altman plot shows the indwelling reticuloruminal bolus agreement with the ultrasound examination (Figure 1). Differences between ICI as assessed by ultrasonographic examination and indwelling boluses (USICI - BICI) are plotted against the mean of both estimates. The mean difference (bias) was -0.27 s and the 95% C.I. for the difference between the observations was -8.2 to 7.6 s.

<< Figure 1 near here >>

Figure 2 shows the collar rumination time (CRT) and the bolus rumination time (BRT) for all the cows in the study period within 24 h. The Pearson correlation coefficient for time spent ruminating between the collar and the bolus was 0.72 (C.I. 95%: 0.69-0.74; $p < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$). The upper boxplot of Figure 2 shows the BRT is at the higher end of its range from midnight to early morning, decreases sharply through the morning, then increases in the middle of the day before falling in the afternoon and increasing again late at night. The pattern for BRT appears congruent with that of the CRT. On average, the time spent eating measured by the collar (CET) was 8.8 h/d, and the eating pattern was approximately the inverse of the time spent ruminating (Supplementary file).

<< Figure 2 near here >>

Figure 3 shows the diurnal pattern of reticuloruminal motility measured by the indwelling boluses for each individual cow. A common diurnal pattern can be seen for all the animals. The cyclic GAM is summarised in Table 2: The smoothed effect of time was significant and each cow had a significant effect on BICI. Despite all terms being significant, the model explained only 14% of the variance.

<< Figure 3 and Table 3 near here >>

Figure 4 shows the relationship between BICI and BCD. Except for Cow 994, all cows showed two peaks in density, a major peak at CD ~10 s and ICI ~ 50-60 s and a minor peak at CD ~8 s and ICI ~ 40-45 s. Cow 994 showed the same major peak, but the minor peak was less evident.

DISCUSSION

In our study, an indwelling bolus and a neck collar, both equipped with a triaxial accelerometer, were used to characterise the diurnal pattern of time spent ruminating, and the bolus was also used to measure RRCR. The RRCR data provided by the indwelling boluses were consistent with the ultrasonographic examination, and there was an excellent correspondence between the diurnal patterns of the proportion of time ruminating from the indwelling boluses and the neck collars.

The first objective of our study was to determine whether the indwelling bolus provided observations on RRCR that were consistent with those obtained from clinical examination using auscultation and ultrasound. Although there is no recognised gold standard to measure RRCR, among the non-invasive methods, ultrasonography has been assessed as a valid method to measure the biphasic contractions of the reticulorumen (Braun and Schweizer, 2015). Braun and Schweizer (2015) visualised the biphasic reticular and rumen atrium contractions of 45 cows over a 9 minutes observation period, estimating the CD and counting the number of contractions in this period. The CD of the first reticular, the second reticular and the ruminal atrial contractions were 2.0-3.2 seconds (2.5 ± 0.32), 4.1-6.7 seconds (5.3 ± 1.02), and 2.2-7.5 seconds (5.0 ± 0.83), respectively. The number of contractions in 9 minutes of examination for the first, second reticular, and rumen atrium contractions were 6-17 (11.0 ± 2.12), 6-17 (11.0 ± 2.12), 6-15 (10.7 ± 2.10), respectively. Calculating an ICI from these data suggests values of 49.1 s for the first and the second reticular contractions and 50.5 s for the ruminal atrial contractions. These results are broadly consistent with our study, in which the ICI measured by the indwelling boluses was ~ 47 s over the entire study. Two prototypes of accelerometer-based bolus have been described previously (Hamilton *et al*., 2019; Francesio *et al*., 2020), but only one provided an estimate of ICI of approximately ~ 51 s (Francesio *et al*., 2020), using the same cows as were used in the present study. The indwelling boluses used in our study provided information consistent with our clinical observations and with previous investigations. Regardless of our attempts to standardise the clinical observation period in our study, frequency spectrum resolution inevitably introduces potential for error in our clinical estimates of ICI. We attempted to estimate the period between contractions during a finite window of observation, commencing with the identification of a reticular contraction, and continuing for 600 s, meaning that from about 540 s onward, no contraction would be likely to be followed by another recorded contraction. This would likely lead to something like a 5-10% error in our clinical estimates. Frequency spectrum resolution is not commonly discussed in medical and biological sciences, although it is acknowledged as an issue with remote heart-rate estimation, and computational solutions to the problem are dependent on a larger volume of data than our clinical observations (Pan et al., 2022).

Regarding the second objective of comparing estimates of time spent ruminating using the indwelling bolus and a collar-based accelerometer, a useful correlation of 0.72 (95% CI: 0.69-0.64) was obtained for the time spent ruminating measured by the indwelling boluses and the neck collars, and the patterns of temporal variation were the same (Fig.2). The neck collars (Afimilk Silent Herdsman) were previously shown to identify rumination and eating with a sensitivity of 85%, and an accuracy of 90% (Konka *et al.*, 2014). The diurnal pattern of time spent ruminating measured by the neck collars in our study is consistent with published literature using similar devices: a recent study in the Netherlands evaluated five years of rumination data measured by a neck collar and showed a similar pattern to ours (Hut *et al.*, 2022). The time spent ruminating has been extensively studied (Stangaferro *et al.*, 2016a–c; Stevenson, 2022) because variation in time spent ruminating has been associated with subclinical and clinical diseases (Liboreiro *et al.*, 2015).

Our third objective was to characterise the diurnal pattern of time spent ruminating, which has previously been evaluated in non-lactating dairy cows with a neck collar (Schirmann et al., 2012). The periods when the proportion of time spent ruminating was highest were between feed deliveries during the day and at night (it was positively associated with lying behaviour) (Schirmann *et al.*, 2012). In our study, the indwelling boluses reported similar diurnal patterns of rumination and eating, consistent with the collars, and with previous work. The reticuloruminal motility was also consistent in all six cows (Fig 3). The BICI was shorter in the morning around feeding time, consistent with the literature (Balch, 1952; Braun and Rauch, 2008). Although the hay was offered *ad libitum* and was replenished twice a day, the influence of this replenishment on the behavioural pattern of reticulorumen contractions cannot be completely ruled out (DeVries *et al.*, 2003).

The distributions of CD and ICI were polyphasic, and contour density plots of CD against ICI showed that most cattle had two peaks: a major peak at CD ~10 s and ICI ~ 50-60 s and a minor peak at CD ~ 8 s and ICI ~ 40-45 s. We propose that these two peaks represent different types of contractions. The primary contraction cycle begins with a biphasic contraction of the reticulum, followed by a contraction which passes through the rumen in a craniocaudal direction. During rumination, a reticular contraction precedes the usual bi-phasic contraction (Ruckebusch, 1983). In the study of Braun and Rauch 2008, during eating, the ICI was ~ 39, and the CD ~ 7.3 s; during resting, the ICI was ~ 49.5 s, the CD was ~ 7 s, and during rumination, the CD was 9.4 s, and the ICI is 55 s; comparing our results to this study, the major peaks shown by the indwelling boluses (CD: 10 s, ICI: 50-60 s) appear similar to the rumination peaks of Braun and colleagues, and are consistent with the observations of Gasteiner *et al.* (2022). They found that rumination-associated contractions had longer (12 s) CD than feeding-associated contractions (7 s). With regard to the minor peak shown in our study, the CD was

~8 s, and ICI was ~ 40-45 s, which are consistent with those obtained by Braun and colleagues for the eating and resting behaviour patterns. In a recent study, where the RRCR was measured with water filled open-tipped catheter (Scheurwater *et al.*, 2021), the ICI for rumination was around 48 s, and for eating was 34 s; however, the large variation between the behaviours did not allow classification of the patterns by using a set threshold (Scheurwater *et al.*, 2021).

The value of neck collar-mounted accelerometers has been demonstrated for the detection of changes in rate of rumination over time. Variation in rumination rate from accelerometers has been used to diagnose disease in cattle (Cook *et al.*, 2021). Our data show similar performance from an indwelling bolus, using the commercially available data. However, it is possible that there is further potential for the bolus device to be exploited to provide precise indications of the ICI and the CD. With this information, it might be possible to achieve earlier and more consistent diagnosis and characterisation of disease states such as parturient hypocalcaemia. The extent to which the estimates of rumination rate are directly linked to the ICI and CD has not yet been made publicly available, if they have been determined.

CONCLUSION

Changes in reticuloruminal motility provide information about rumen function and are used as an indicator of cow health. We report a consistent characterisation of the diurnal pattern of RRCR using a commercial indwelling bolus, supporting the use of these devices to assess reticuloruminal motility. Further investigation of the relationship between ICI and CD in health and disease should enable early diagnosis of disease conditions using this technology.

Acknowledgements

We thank smaXtec for the provision of their non-commercial data for use in the study, and Birgit Großschedl, Michael Astl and Stefan Rosenkranz from smaXtec for technical support with data and equipment. Thanks also to Malcom McColl and all the staff of Cochno farm for animal care. This project was supported by the University of Glasgow School of Veterinary Medicine residency training programme, which is partly funded by Harbro Limited.

Author contributions

Giovanni Capuzzello: methodology, investigation, data curation, formal analysis, writing-original draft, project management

Lorenzo Viora: supervision, resources, project administration

Elena Borelli: investigation, writing

Nicholas N. Jonsson: conceptualization, data curation, methodology, investigation, formal analysis, supervision, writing – review and editing

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest in this work.

References:

Arai S, Okada H, Sawada H, Takahashi Y, Kimura K and Itoh T (2019) Evaluation of ruminal motility in cattle by a bolus-type wireless sensor. *Journal of Veterinary Medical Science* **81**, 1835–1841.

Balch CC (1952) Factors affecting the utilization of food by dairy cows **-** the rate of contraction of the reticulum. *British Journal of Nutrition* **6**, 366–375.

Beauchemin KA (2018) Invited review: Current perspectives on eating and rumination activity in dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* **101**, 4762–4784.

Braun U and Rauch S (2008) Ultrasonographic evaluation of reticular motility during rest, eating, rumination and stress in 30 healthy cows. *Veterinary Record* **163**, 571–574.

Braun U and Schweizer A (2015) Ultrasonographic assessment of reticuloruminal motility in 45 cows. *Schweizer Archiv Fur Tierheilkunde* **157**, 87–95.

Cantor MC, Costa JHC and Bewley JM (2018) Impact of observed and controlled water intake on reticulorumen temperature in lactating dairy cattle. *Animals* **8**, 1–10.

Choi W, Ro Y, Hong L, Ahn S, Kim H, Choi C, Kim H and Kim D (2020) Evaluation of ruminal motility using an indwelling 3-axis accelerometer in the reticulum in cattle. *Journal of Veterinary Medical Science* **82**, 1750–1756.

Cook JG, Pepler PT and Viora L (2021) Association of days in close up, gestation length, and rumination around time of calving with disease and pregnancy outcomes in multiparous dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* **104**, 9093–9105.

DeVries TJ, Von Keyserlingk MAG and Beauchemin KA (2003) Short communication: Diurnal feeding pattern of lactating dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* **86**, 4079–4082.

Egert-McLean AM, Sama MP, Klotz JL, McLeod KR, Kristensen NB and Harmon DL (2019) Automated system for characterizing short-term feeding behavior and real-time forestomach motility in cattle. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture* **167**, 105037.

Foster D (2017) Disorders of Rumen Distension and Dysmotility. *Veterinary Clinics of North America - Food Animal Practice* **33**, 499–512.

Francesio A, Viora L, Denwood MJ, Tulley W, Brady N, Hastie P, Hamilton A, Davison C, Michie C and Jonsson NN (2020) Contrasting effects of high-starch and high-sugar diets on ruminal function in cattle. *Journal of Dairy Research* **87**, 175–183.

Gasteiner J, Fasching C, Astl M and Steinwidder A (2022) Telemetric and long term measurement of reticuloruminal motility - Precision and accuracy of derived rumination time. In P*roceedings of 31st World Buiatric Congress*, pp 186–187. 4-8 September, 2022. Madrid,

Grünberg W and Constable PD (2009) Function and dysfunction of the ruminant forestomach. In *Food Animal Practice*, 5th ed, pp 12–19. Eds DE Anderson, M Rings and EJ Abrahamsen. St. Louis, Missouri: Saunders Elsevier.

Hamilton AW, Davison C, Tachtatzis C, Andonovic I, Michie C, Ferguson HJ, Somerville L and Jonsson NN (2019) Identification of the rumination in cattle using support vector machines with motion-sensitive bolus sensors. *Sensors (Switzerland)* **19**,.

Han CS, Kaur U, Bai H, Reis BR dos, White R, Nawrocki RA, Voyles RM, Kang MG and Priya S (2022) Invited review: Sensor technologies for real-time monitoring of the rumen environment. *Journal of Dairy Science* **0**, 6379–6404.

Holtenius P, Jacobsson SO and Jonson G (1971) A Method for Recording the Motor Activity of the Reticulum in Cattle. *Acta Veterinaria Scandinavica* **12**, 313–324.

Hut PR, Mulder A, van den Broek J, Hulsen JHJL, Hooijer GA, Stassen EN, van Eerdenburg FJCM and Nielen M (2019) Sensor based eating time variables of dairy cows in the transition period related to the time to first service. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine* **169**, 104694.

Hut PR, Kuiper SEM, Nielen M, Hulsen JHJL, Stassen EN and Hostens MM (2022) Sensor based time budgets in commercial Dutch dairy herds vary over lactation cycles and within 24 hours.

PLoS ONE **17**, 1–19.

Iqbal MW, Draganova I, Morel PCH and Morris ST (2021) Validation of an accelerometer sensor-based collar for monitoring grazing and rumination behaviours in grazing dairy cows. *Animals* **11**,.

Konka J, Michie C and Andonovic I (2014) Automatic classification of eating and ruminating in cattle using a collar mounted accelerometer. *Proceedings of the 39th ICAR Session, May 19-23* 1–8.

Liboreiro DN, Machado KS, Silva PRB, Maturana MM, Nishimura TK, Brandão AP, Endres MI and Chebel RC (2015) Characterization of peripartum rumination and activity of cows diagnosed with metabolic and uterine diseases. *Journal of Dairy Science* **98**, 6812–6827.

Pan H, Zou Y, Gu M (2022) A spectrum estimation approach for accurate heartbeat detection using Doppler radar based on combination of FTPR and TWV. *EURASIP J. Adv. Signal Process*. **67**.

Pavlovic D, Czerkawski M, Davison C, Marko O, Michie C, Atkinson R, Crnojevic V, Andonovic I, Rajovic V, Kvascev G *et al.* (2022) Behavioural Classification of Cattle Using Neck-Mounted Accelerometer-Equipped Collars. *Sensors* **22**, 1–18.

Plaza MA, Arruebo MP, Sopena J, Bonafonte JI and Murillo MD (1996) Myoelectrical activity of the gastrointestinal tract of sheep analysed by computer. *Research in Veterinary Science* **60**, 55–60.

Ruckebusch Y (1993) Motility of gastrointestinal tract. In *The Ruminant Animal: Digestive Physiology and Nutrition*, pp 64–107. Ed D Church. Waveland Press.

Scheurwater J, Hostens M, Nielen M, Heesterbeek H, Schot A, van Hoeij R and Aardema H (2021) Pressure measurement in the reticulum to detect different behaviors of healthy cows. *PLoS ONE* **16**, 1–13.

Schirmann K, Chapinal N, Weary DM, Heuwieser W and von Keyserlingk MAG (2012) Rumination and its relationship to feeding and lying behavior in Holstein dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* **95**, 3212–3217.

Sellers AF and Stevens CE (1966) Motor functions of the ruminant forestomach. *Physiological Reviews* **46**, 634–661.

Stangaferro ML, Wijma R, Caixeta LS, Al-Abri MA and Giordano JO (2016a) Use of rumination and activity monitoring for the identification of dairy cows with health disorders: Part III. Metritis. *Journal of Dairy Science* **99**, 7422–7433.

Stangaferro ML, Wijma R, Caixeta LS, Al-Abri MA and Giordano JO (2016b) Use of rumination and activity monitoring for the identification of dairy cows with health disorders: Part II. Mastitis. *Journal of Dairy Science* **99**, 7411–7421.

Stangaferro ML, Wijma R, Caixeta LS, Al-Abri MA and Giordano JO (2016c) Use of rumination and activity monitoring for the identification of dairy cows with health disorders: Part I. Metabolic and digestive disorders. *Journal of Dairy Science* **99**, 7395–7410.

Stevenson JS (2022) Late-gestation ear-surface temperatures and subsequent postpartum health, activity, milk yield, and reproductive performance of dairy cows. *Theriogenology* **181**, 170–179.

Wierzbicka M, Domino M, Zabielski R and Gajewski Z (2021) Long-term recording of reticulo-rumen myoelectrical activity in sheep by a telemetry method. *Animals* **11**, 1–14.

Figure Captions

Figure 1. Bland-Altman plot agreement for indwelling boluses (smaXtec, Austria) and ultrasonography examination in the 10 minutes observational period. The x-axis shows mean values for bolus and ultrasound ICI , and the y-axis shows the difference in values (seconds) between the USICI and the BICI. The black horizontal line in the middle indicates the mean, and the horizontal grey lines represent the 95 % CI.

Figure 2. Boxplots showing the diurnal pattern of the BRT (upper plot) and the CRT (lower plot), measured in min/h over 24 hours. The boxes' bottoms and tops represent the first and third quartiles; the heavy black horizontal lines represent the median. The whiskers indicate 1.5 times the interquartile range, and the black dots are the outliers.

Figure 3. Cyclic generalized additive model (GAM) of the diurnal reticuloruminal inter-contraction interval measured by the indwelling boluses (y-axis) by hour of day (x-axis), for each cow for data from the entire study period.

Figure 4. Density plot of data from the entire study period showing the bolus inter contraction interval (BICI, s) on y-axis and bolus contraction duration (BCD, s) in the x-axis. Each panel shows one of the cows in the study. For each of the cows there seem to be two points of higher density of observations, likely consistent with two distinct types of contraction: one with a relatively shorter contraction duration and period, and one with longer duration and period.

Table 1. Summary of the descriptive statistic.

Variable	Number of observations	Minimum	1st Quartile	Median	Mean	SD	3rd Quartile	Max	Mean (h/d*)
AUSCICI [1] Auscultation inter contraction interval (s)	36	33.3	37.5	37.5	38.4	± 3.3	40	46	NA.
USICI [1] Ultrasound inter contraction interval (s)	35	33.3	37.5	40.1	40.1	± 4.0	42.9	50	NA
BICI [1] Bolus inter contraction interval (s)	582	24	32	40	40.4	± 4.7	46	47.7	NA
BICI [2] Bolus inter contraction interval (s)	99907	24	38	44	46.9	± 13.5	40.4	236	NA
BCD [2] Bolus contraction duration (s)	99907	6	7.5	9	9.3	± 1.9	11	15	NA
BRT[2] Bolus rumination time (min/h)	1296	0	9.5	26.2	23.9	± 16.1	35.9	60	9.6
CRT [2] Collar rumination time (min/h)	1296	6	6	22.5	21.4	± 15.4	33	60	8.6
CET [2] Collar eating time (min/h)	1296	0	0	15.8	22	± 21.9	40.5	61.5	8.8

[1] Data were collected during the 10 minutes of clinical examination.[2] Data were collected during the 14 days study period

Table 2. Cyclic generalised Additive Model summary table for the bolus inter-contraction interval (BICI).

Family	Link function	Formula	Adjusted R^2	Deviance Explained
Gaussian	Identity	BICI ~s(hour) +CowID	0.14	14 %

Parametric coefficients

Factor	Estimate	Std.Error	t Value	Pr(>t)
(Intercept)	46.871	0.097	484.422	$< 2 \times 10^{-16}$
Cow 760	5.443	0.141	38.714	$< 2 \times 10^{-16}$
Cow 809	-0.562	0.136	-4.128	3.7×10^{-5}
Cow 826	-0.903	0.136	-6.643	3.1×10^{-11}
Cow 978	-1.742	0.135	-12.875	$< 2 \times 10^{-16}$
Cow 994	-1.577	0.136	-11.639	$< 2 \times 10^{-16}$

Approximate Significance of Smooth terms

Factor	edf	Red. df		p-value
S (hour)	21.54	22625.4		$< 2 \times 10^{-16}$

Figure 1


Ultrasound minus Bolus
20
10
0
-10
-20
35
40
45
50
Average Measure (s)

Figure 2


BRT (min/h)
0
20
40
60
0
8
16
Hour of the day
CRT (min/h)
0
20
40
60
0
8
16
Hour of the day

Figure 3



Figure 4


All Cows
709
760
809
826
978
994
70
60
50
40
Intercontraction Interval (s)
8
10
12
Contraction Duration (s)
level
(0.000, 0.005]
(0.005, 0.010]
(0.010, 0.015]
(0.015, 0.020]
(0.020, 0.025]
(0.025, 0.030]
(0.030, 0.035]
(0.035, 0.040]
(0.040, 0.045]