REVIEWS 155

letters to the editor, admittedly a source similarly problematic in their affinity to 'public opinion' as MO testimonies, may have teased out the true complexity of popular media sources and whether the glossy, pro-royal front pages, a dominant visual source in this book, were contested by a particular paper's own readers.

This does not detract from what is a vibrant and welcome study of the monarchy's early interaction with the mass media and the ever-evolving mass public. The book sheds new light on how royal efforts to communicate and meet with broad press and public popularity through appeals to emotion developed across the period and continue into the twenty-first century. As history, it makes for fascinating companion reading to Laura Beer's work on the early Labour Party: another political institution that grappled with communicating to mass audiences using popular media and connections to mass culture. Moreover, complementing Laura Clancy's recent work into the modern 'family firm', Owens has provided an important insight into how British royalty has been adept at making itself a powerful, popular, and frequently uncontested presence within mass media culture for decades.

doi:10.1093/tcbh/hwaa020 Advance Access publication 27 June 2020 Christopher Shoop-Worrall *c.shoop-worrall@ucfb.com*

The Pope and the Pill: Sex Catholicism and Women in Post-war England. By David Geiringer. Manchester University Press, Manchester, 2020. 232 pp. ISBN 978-1-5261-3838-5, £80.

Both the history of sex and the history of religion in Britain during the second half of the twentieth century have been under revision in recent decades. Yet, while these developments have occurred in parallel with one another, until recently they have rarely been investigated in tandem. This is the argument of David Geiringer and the focus of his first monograph, The Pope and the Pill. In this text, Geiringer explores the relationship between sex and religion in the post-war period through the lens of Catholic women-making a conscious effort to defy the binaries of the 'sex destroying religion' (p. 3) narrative which, he argues, has dominated the field in recent decades. The Pope and the Pill firstly explores how sex was understood and medicalized across the Catholic hierarchy in the postwar period. Then, through the use of oral history, Geiringer places emphasis on the lived experiences of Catholic women as they tried to navigate their sexuality and their faith during a period of increased liberationism. Centralizing the experiential, rather than the discursive, this text provides a novel and challenging insight into the 'recategorization in the relationship between sex and religion' (p. 6), and offers an exciting revisionist account of the sex lives of Catholic women during this time.

Perhaps the most immediately distinctive feature of *The Pope and the Pill* is its structure. While Geiringer's text is weighted heavily on oral testimony, it also pays careful attention to underutilized archival research. The first third comprises a methodology chapter and a chapter on the construction of Catholic sexuality

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

 $[\]ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ The Author(s) [2020]. Published by Oxford University Press.

156 REVIEWS

through the lens of the Papal Commission on Birth Control. Here, Geiringer shows how the Catholic hierarchy was not immune to changing secular attitudes towards sex during the 1960s and that the Commission was a product of growing liberationism in spite of the outcome of *Humanae Vitae*. More significantly, the latter two-thirds are dedicated to the lives of Geiringer's interviewees which are presented in a way that 'mirrors the life of F. Scott Fitzgerald's eponymous character Benjamin Button' (p. 21). Thus, Geiringer begins with later marriage—a point in which many Catholic women experienced their own 'sexual awakening' (p. 75)—and, contrary to traditional historical prose, traces his interviewees' life histories in reverse. It is argued that 'working backwards enables a clearer picture of how Catholic women lived forwards' (p. 22). Although leading to some repetition and minor issues in clarity at points, this structure is effective in advancing the overall arguments presented in *The Pope and the Pill* and delivers on Geiringer's aim to present women's experiences as 'constitutive' as opposed to 'formative' life events (p. 144).

Indeed, the beating heart of Geiringer's work is the oral testimonies of the Catholic women themselves. Geiringer argues that rather than experiencing a decline in their religiosity, the women interviewed went through a recategorization of their faith which enabled them to exert greater agency as sexually active Catholics. Against the backdrop of these oral testimonies, Geiringer shows how the emotional aspects of marital sex were often ignored by the Catholic hierarchies and how women's experiences were marginalized in the construction of Catholic sexuality. Consequently, Geiringer presents a revisionist narrative that, without whitewashing struggles with natural family planning or crises of faith, provides an optimistic account of the sex lives of Catholic women. Through their words, Geiringer demonstrates how his interviewees' seemingly oppositional identities, as both sexually active and religious women, reached equilibrium through experience, space, and contemplation and advocates for a greater emphasis to be placed on these highly emotional and subjective life events.

The conclusions drawn in *The Pope and the Pill* reflect Geiringer's effective oral history interviewing and rapport with his interviewees. Discussion of highly personal issues, such as masturbation and oral sex, delivers on Geiringer's commitment to 'writing sex back into sex history' (p. 17), and presents a convincing alternative account of Catholic women's sexuality and agency within, as opposed to out with, organized religion. An active acknowledgement of his own positionality is refreshing also, with frequent references to his own subjectivity as a male researcher. This is also reflected in his admission of his familial relationship to one of the key actors in his text, Professor John Marshall, whose previously unarchived papers are used extensively.

At times, Geiringer's argument lacks nuance as grand claims are made at the beginning of the text which feel unresolved by the concluding chapter. This is less an issue of the quality of evidence presented and more an issue of word choice and framing which leans closer towards thesis-style writing than academic text. Despite the undeniable distinctiveness of Geiringer's work, the extent to which his text acts as a 'corrective' (p. 67), as opposed to an extension of the available historiography, is debatable. He also advocates for 'the need to hear, not just listen to Catholic women themselves' (p. 41), yet the women included in the text are

REVIEWS 157

rarely given biographic context outside of the opening chapters. On one occasion, an account is included where an interviewee alludes to a sexual assault, which Geiringer admits to having never followed up on, but insists that it was not the central point of her story. This contradicts his discussion on the importance of feminist oral history and the power balance between researcher and subject, particularly in relation to gender. Some minor copy-editing issues also suggest that this text may have benefitted with further edits to avoid exaggeration and ensure a full transition from thesis to academic text.

Overall, *The Pope and the Pill* is a valuable inclusion to the history of sex and history of religion. It acts as a break from traditional interpretations of the sex lives of Catholic women and as a model for academic oral history interviewing. While there are elements of naivety present in the editing and word choice used, Geiringer nonetheless provides an optimistic and compelling revision of the relationship between sex and religion in the second half of the twentieth century, which would be of interest to historians of sex, gender, religion, and oral history alike.

University of Strathclyde doi:10.1093/tcbh/hwaa026 Advance Access publication 1 July 2020 Kristin Hay kristin.hay@strath.ac.uk

Me, Me Me?: The Search for Community in Post-war England. By Jon Lawrence. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2019. xvii + 327 pp. ISBN 978-0-198-77953-7, £25.

Laments for the decline of community have been a recurrent feature of both academic and popular analysis of British society in recent years. The waning of face-to-face communal interaction has been blamed for the breakdown of social democratic politics, the rise of populism, and an array of contemporary social ills. While its supposed decline clearly warrants further investigation, how can something as nebulous and intangible as community be examined historically? Jon Lawrence has found a way. He has reviewed ten social scientific studies completed across England between the late 1940s and late 2000s, listening carefully to the voices that come out through the field notes, surveys, and interview transcripts in order to identify what community has meant to people and how those meanings have changed over time.

Lawrence finds that communities have always been multi-layered and fissile: in any given neighbourhood, there were often several distinct yet overlapping social networks and there were always people living outside of these networks, either by choice or because of social exclusion. Over the post-war era, increased mobility and the breakdown of social conformity made it increasingly easy to choose the nature and extent of one's personal interactions, but did not eliminate the importance of face-to-face connections. Community existed simultaneously 'as an imagined vision of how social relations should be – but also in the micro-level interactions people experienced in their daily lives', and these imagined and lived