1 Predictors of unmet supportive care needs of adult cancer patients

2 in Ethiopia

3

4 Unmet supportive care needs of adult cancer patients

5

- 6 Tsion Afework ^{1*}, Abigiya Wondimagegnehu ¹, Abel Shita Bogale ², Eva Johanna Kantelhardt ^{3,}
- ⁴, Adamu Addissie ^{1, 4}
- 8 ¹ School of Public Health, Department of Preventive Medicine, Addis Ababa University, Addis
- 9 Ababa, Ethiopia
- ² Mizan Aman College of Health Sciences, Department of Public Health, Southwest
- 11 Ethiopia
- ³ Institute of Medical Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Informatics, Martin-Luther-University,
- 13 Halle (Saale), Germany
- ⁴ Department of Gynaecology, Martin-Luther-University, Halle (Saale), Germany

- 16 Correspondence: Tsion Afework
- 17 Tel +251912442780
- 18 Email tsion.afeork09@gmail.com

19 Acknowledgment

- 20 The study participants, their families, and the research institution are all thanked by the authors.
- 21 The authors wish to thank the data collectors as well as the New South Wales Cancer Council and
- 22 CHeRP for allowing them to utilize the tool.

23 Data availability

- 24 The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon
- 25 reasonable request.

26 Conflict of interest

27 The authors declare that they have no competing interests

Funding statement

29 None

30 Authors contribution

- 31 TA Conceptualization, formal analysis, writing (original draft), writing (reviewing & editing);
- 32 AW writing (reviewing & editing);
- 33 ASB writing (reviewing & editing);
- 34 EJK- writing (reviewing & editing);
- 35 AA Conceptualization and writing (reviewing & editing). All authors have read and approved
- 36 the manuscript.

37 **Disclosure**

38 The author(s) declare no conflict of interest

Abstract

- 40 **Background:** Cancer is a global public health issue that continues to increase because of aging
- and adoption of cancer-causing behaviors. In Ethiopia, cancer belongs to the second most common
- 42 non-communicable disease. Cancer patients face a range of unmet needs in multiple aspects of
- 43 their lives. Supportive care is defined as essential care that helps patients to cope with cancer.
- 44 **Objective:** This study aims to assess the predictors of unmet supportive care needs in adult cancer
- 45 patients in Ethiopia.
- 46 **Methods:** Institution-based cross-sectional study was done from February to March 2019 in adult
- 47 cancer patients. 371 patients were interviewed using convenience sampling. Supportive care needs
- were used as outcome variables, dichotomized as 'no need' and 'unmet needs'. Variables with a p
- value of < 0.2 were candidates for multivariable logistic regression.
- Results: From 371 patients, 69.8% were females with a mean age of 47 years; the commonest type
- 51 of cancer was gynaecological cancer. Information about diagnosis, stage of cancer, time since
- 52 diagnosis, age, wealth index, employment status, gender, type of treatment, history of recurrence,
- 53 type of cancer, and information about diagnosis modified by the source of information were
- 54 predictors of unmet supportive care needs.
- 55 **Conclusions:** The study emphasized the importance of considering sociodemographic, clinical,
- 56 and information-related factors when dealing with cancer patients. Programmes, guidelines, and
- services that focus on supportive care needs should be established and/or implemented.
- **Keywords:** predictors; unmet needs; supportive needs; cancer; Ethiopia.

Introduction

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

Cancer is a major public health and economic issue and its burden is set to spiral. With over 18 million cases in 2018, by 2030, the number of new cases of cancer in low and middle income countries is expected to be 21.6 million per year. Generally, cancer is an increasing health burden for Sub-Saharan Africa and particularly for Ethiopia (Stefan, 2015). In Ethiopia, cancer belongs to the second most common non-communicable disease (NCD) group next to cardiovascular disorders. (Misganaw, Mariam, Ali, & Araya, 2014) Based on the 2018 World Health Organization report, cancer in Ethiopia was estimated to account for 7% of the 39% of deaths from NCDs. (WHO, 2018) Unmet needs assessment distinguishes how well needs have been met and identifies those that remain unmet. (Bonevski et al., 2000) The term 'supportive care needs' is an umbrella term that covers physical, informational, psychological, and psychosocial sequelae (e.g., anxiety and feelings of isolation) of an individual diagnosed with cancer. (Harrison, Young, Price, Butow, & Solomon, 2009) As a result of being diagnosed with cancer, patients have to deal with diminished physical functioning, emotional instability, difficulty concentrating, overall dissatisfaction with care, a higher level of unmet needs, and reduced quality of life. (Okediji, Salako, & Fatiregun, 2017) As the burden of cancer increases, the need for supportive care prevails. (Boyle & Ferlay, 2005) Cancer survivors report a range of unmet needs in multiple areas of their life, including physical health and activities of daily living; psychological, emotional, sexuality, and financial problems are some of the mentioned areas. (Harrison et al., 2009) In the Supportive Care Needs Survey (SCNS), variables such as age, gender, treatment received, remission status, type of cancer, site of cancer, and duration of diagnosis were recommended to be studied as predictors for unmet needs.

(McElduff, Boyes, Zucca, & Girgis, 2004) One clinical characteristic identified from various studies is the time since diagnosis, which is a consistent predictor of greater unmet needs and is associated with changes in physical/daily living, psychological and health system, and information unmet needs over time. (McDowell, Occhipinti, Ferguson, Dunn, & Chambers, 2010) Stage of the disease is significantly associated with the presence and magnitude of unmet needs. In addition, the number of cancer sites has been shown to predict patient care and support, as those who have the disease in multiple sites were found to have higher levels of unmet needs. (Sanson & Girgis, 2000)

The Federal Ministry of Health of Ethiopia has developed national NCD guidelines for cancer control and recommended that palliative care should be strategically linked to cancer prevention, early detection and treatment services by providing pain/symptom management and spiritual/psychosocial support from diagnosis to the end of life and bereavement. (FMOH, 2015) In Ethiopia, one study in 2018 found that the unmet needs of cancer patients were the main

Therefore, this study aimed to fill the information gap and identify the predictors of unmet needs

predictors of health-related quality of life. (Abegaz & Ayele, 2018) However, to our knowledge,

there are a limited data on the factors associated with the unmet needs of these cancer patients.

of adult cancer patients.

Material and methods

Study area

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

120

This study was conducted in a teaching hospital oncology unit. The hospital is major referral centre from all corners of the country, especially for cancer patients. The hospital covers a variety of specialty and subspecialty training in various fields of study and has the only oncology unit in the nation that provides radiation therapy. There are 21 beds devoted to cancer care and the oncology clinic sees a minimum of 120 patients per day: 30 new cases, 30 attending for results, 30 for follow-up, and another 30 for diagnostics). ("The International Network for Cancer Treatment and Research (INCTR). Partner Profile in Cancer Medicine. Tikur Anbessa (Black Lion), Adds Ababa, Ethiopia Specialized Hospital," 2014)

Study design and study period

- This study used an institution facility-based cross-sectional study design and was conducted from
- 116 February 01 to 30 March 2019.

117 Study population

- The study population comprised patients aged \geq 18 years diagnosed with cancer who had a follow-
- up appointment in the oncology unit at the time of the study period.

Eligibility criteria

- 121 Cancer patients above 18 years of age who started follow-up at the oncology unit at least 3 months
- ago, either as an inpatient or outpatient, were included in the study, and those patients in acute pain
- and unable to respond were excluded from the study for ethical reasons.

Sample size determination

The sample size was determined using Epi Info Version 7.2.0.1 with the assumption of single population proportion in the formula. The assumptions taken to determine the sample size were: two-sided significance level ($\alpha = 5\%$), 67.9% proportion of unmet need, (Fazeli A, Bahrami M, Mahmoodzadeh M, & Hasanzadeh A, 2017) 95% confidence level, 5% absolute precision and 10% non-response rate. Accordingly, the sample size was 373.

Sampling procedure

Cancer patients who attended the oncology unit were consecutively included until the required sample size was achieved.

Data collection technique

Data regarding the patient's sociodemographic characteristics, information-related factors, and supportive care needs were assessed using an interviewer-administered structured questionnaire. Data regarding the patient's clinical cancer-related factors were extracted from the patient's card. The data was collected by one oncology nurse and two clinical nurses; the data was collected while patients were either waiting for their turn to go inside to the doctor or after, they were also contacted in the wards were they were admitted while taking their chemotherapy. The data was collected on paper based and coded and entered in to Epi Info software.

Informational status/score: In this study, informational status was assessed as a total rank score composed of 10 different questions, which are basic information patients should be informed about. Information received on their diagnosis, prognosis, treatment is taken, medication benefit and side effects, duration of medication, sequence of treatment, medical and tests a patient undergo

the value might range from 0 to 10 maximum response (Arbabi et al., 2014) (Samimi Ardestani et al., 2015) (Zahedi, 2011) (Stanley, 1998).

Supportive care needs assessment tool

The SCNS was obtained from the Centre for Health Research and Psycho-oncology (CHeRP) at the Cancer Council of New South Wales. The tool was validated in Ethiopia and found to be a valid and reliable tool that possesses a relatively high internal consistency (kappa for all five domains ranging from .79 to .99). (Afework, Wondimagegnehu, Alemayehu, Kantelhardt, & Addissie, 2021) The validated short form of the SCNS (SCNS-26) assesses cancer-specific perceived needs across five domains: physical and daily living; psychological; health system and information; patient care and support; and sexuality. The participants were asked to indicate the level of their need for help over the last month in relation to having cancer based on a five-point Likert scale consisting of 26 items; for each item, participants could choose either 'not applicable' or 'satisfied' under the heading 'no need' or 'low', 'moderate' or 'high' under the heading 'unmet needs'. (McElduff et al., 2004)

Data quality control technique

- Prior to study commencement, the SCNS-26 was translated into the local language of the patients

 (i.e., Amharic) and then back-translated into English to ensure the quality of the translation and

 maintain the consistency of the questionnaire.
 - All collected data were examined for completeness and consistency by the immediate supervisor of the field and by the principal investigator. Experienced clinical nurses were trained for data collection by the principal investigator.

Data management and analysis

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

Data were checked for completeness, consistency, and outliers by the principal investigator and then cleaned and entered into the Epi Data Version 4.4.2.1 software package. The data were then exported to SPSS Version 25 for analysis, which included simple descriptive statistics and multivariable logistic regression. Needs were dichotomized as having unmet needs and no needs. If a patient reports having at least one low to high need in a domain, then it is considered as 'unmet need' in that specific domain, and if a patient reports no need in all items of a single domain, it is considered as 'no need'. (McElduff et al., 2004) The independent variables were sociodemographic, clinical characteristics, and information-related factors. The informational status of patients was measured as one variable, taking a total score of 10 basic information questions related to patient diagnosis. The questions concerned information received about diagnosis, prognosis of the disease, treatment taken, benefits and side effects, duration, sequence of treatment, and medical tests. The value for this variable lies in the range 0–10. (Arbabi et al., 2014; Samimi Ardestani et al., 2015; Stanley, 1998; Zahedi, 2011) The descriptive statistics were summarized using frequency distributions and proportions for categorical variables. Continuous variables such as age, time since diagnosis, and informational status were described using means and standard deviation (SD) for those normally distributed and medians and interquartile range (IQR) for those not normally distributed. The association between independent variables and needs (no and unmet needs) was first examined using binary logistic regression analyses. To identify associated factors of supportive care needs, multivariable logistic regressions were used. Any variable with a p value of < 0.2 on binary regression was a candidate for the multivariable model, along with variables that were clinically significant. (W.Hosmer & Lemeshow, 2000) After inserting the candidate variables into each model, interaction among the variables in each model was checked.

After model building, the goodness of fit of each model was checked using the Hosmer and Lemeshow test; (W.Hosmer & Lemeshow, 2000) in addition, the omnibus test was used to compare the new models with the baseline models. Existence of multicollinearity was checked using the assumption of the variance inflation factor (VIF) being < 10 (W.Hosmer & Lemeshow, 2000). A p value of < 0.05 was used to declare statistical significance and a 95% confidence interval (CI) was constructed.

Results

Sociodemographic characteristics of respondents

From the 373 patients approached, only two refused to participate in the study, leaving 371 participants, of which 259 (69.8%) were females. The sociodemographic characteristics are described in Table 1.

Information about diagnosis and related issues

Overall, the median informational status was $6 \pm (4-8)$. A total of 320 (86.3%) participants claimed that they were fully informed about their cancer diagnosis. Almost a quarter of participants (19.9%) learned about their disease by reading different sources from their own previous experience and from other cancer patients (Table 2).

Clinical characteristics of participants

Gynaecological cancers accounted for 107 (28.8%) of the cases. Two-thirds of the patients were diagnosed at advanced stages of cancer (III and IV) and one-third and one-sixth had a history of

distant metastasis and recurrence, respectively. The median time since cancer diagnosis was 13.00

211 (7–24) months (Table 3).

Among the domains of supportive care needs, the first dimension with a higher frequency of unmet

needs was, physical and daily living 296 (79.8%) followed by health system and information

domain 281 (75.7%). The rest were psychological domain 240 (64.7%), patient care and support

187 (50.4%) and sexuality domain 93 (25.1%) in order of their magnitude in descending order.

Multivariable logistic regression of each domain with sociodemographic,

clinical, and information-related variables

Table 4 gives the results of five logistic regression models, with each of the supportive care needs of the SCNS-26 (needs vs. no need) as the dependent variable and sociodemographic, clinical characteristics and information-related factors as predictor variables. No variable had a multicollinearity issue; after checking for interactions, the only interaction that became significant was between the source of information and informational status (p = 0.019), which is in the patient care and support domain.

Psychological needs

Less information about cancer diagnosis, type of treatment, history of recurrence, and advanced stage of cancer were significantly associated with the unmet psychological needs of cancer patients (Table 4). There was a significant difference in the odds of unmet psychological needs for early-stage versus advanced-stage cancer patients: adjusted odds ratio (AOR) = 0.549 and 95% confidence interval (CI) = 0.320–0.541. The odds of unmet psychological needs were lower in patients undergoing radiotherapy and hormonal therapy (AOR 0.312, CI 95%=0.022-0.792) compared to patients undergoing chemotherapy (AOR =0.154; 95%CI = 0.028–0.852). The odds

of developing unmet psychological needs were lower for those who had more information about their diagnosis and related factors (AOR = 0.896; 95%CI = 0.807–0.995) (Table 4). When all variables were held constant, the odds of unmet need of psychological support were doubled for patients who had a history of recurrence of the disease compared with those whose cancer had not returned (AOR = 2.039; 95%CI = 1.016–4.091) (Table 4).

Health system and information needs

Older age, male gender, higher wealth index, longer time since diagnosis, and more information about diagnosis status were significantly associated with the health system and information dimension (Table 4). For every 1-year increase in age, the odds of unmet health information needs decreased (AOR = 0.956; 95%CI = 0.933-0.980). Compared to females, males were three times more likely to have unmet health system and information needs (AOR = 2.771; 95%CI = 1.153-6.663). There was a significant difference between those who came from the Southern Nations, Nationalities and Peoples' Region (SNNPR) compared to those in Addis Ababa (AOR = 0.386; 95%CI = 0.159-0.936). The odds of unmet health information needs decreased among patients found on the fourth quantile compared to those in the first quintile (from poorest to richest) (AOR = 0.394; 95%CI = 0.157-0.986). For every 1-month increase in time since diagnosis, the odds of unmet health information needs decreased (AOR = 0.986; 95%CI = 0.973-0.999). With a 1-unit increase in the total score of the informational status of the patient, the chance of unmet health information needs decreases by 34% after controlling for the other variables in the model (AOR = 0.661; 95%CI = 0.564-0.774) (Table 4).

Physical and daily living needs

After we fitted the candidates' independent variables, the only significantly associated variable with unmet physical and daily living needs was the employment status of the patient at p < 0.05

(Table 4). After holding all other variables in the model constant, the odds of unmet physical and daily living needs were 67% lower among self-employed versus unemployed patients (AOR = 0.327; 95% CI = 0.153-0.701).

Patient care and support needs

The significant factors associated with unmet patient care and support needs were age, type of treatment currently taken, and informational status modified by source of information at p < 0.05 (Table 4). Among the sociodemographic variables, unmet patient care and support were associated with age. As age increases by 1 year, the unmet needs decrease by 2% (AOR = 0.982; 95%CI = 0.946–1.000). The odds of developing unmet need for patient care and support were five times higher among patients on hormonal therapy compared to patients undergoing chemotherapy (AOR = 5.004; 95%CI = 1.126–22.260). For every 1-unit score increase in informational status, the odds of unmet need for patient care and support increased for those who had mixed sources of information compared to those who had information from the physician only (AOR = 1.380; 95%CI = 1.054–1.806).

Sexuality needs

Marital status was the only variable that was significantly associated with unmet sexuality needs at p < 0.05 (Table 4). There were decreased odds of unmet sexuality needs for patients with no partner compared to patients who had a partner (AOR = 0.211; 95%CI = 0.100–0.446).

Discussion

This study aimed to assess the predictors of unmet supportive care needs of adult cancer patients; we found that the more information a patient had received, older age, male gender, longer time

since cancer diagnosis, patients not currently married, higher wealth, self-employment, mixed sources of information, advanced stage of cancer at diagnosis, history of recurrence and type of treatment were factors for patients to experience more unmet needs and these factors showed significant differences in one or more of the supportive care needs dimensions.

When adjusting for confounders and with all other factors kept constant, the score for information received (informational status) positively influenced patients' unmet health system information needs. This shows that the provision of adequate information to patients about their disease and related factors is an essential component of care, especially for patients with chronic illnesses such as cancer. In this study, 74 (20%) patients had their information from mixed sources (i.e., from reading through on the Internet), from other patients or from their own previous experience. Those who had a mixed source of information were more likely to experience unmet patient care and support needs, regardless of the total informational score. This was an interesting finding that might imply that having information about aspects of the disease, treatment and prognosis was not enough for patients to avoid unmet needs because the source of information still mattered.

As well as the information received, several sociodemographic variables (age, gender, wealth index, marital, and employment status) were significantly related to more than one supportive care needs domain. In our study, an inverse relationship was found between age and unmet health system and information needs (on getting older, the needs tend to decrease), in line with a 2016 study in Iran. (Jabbarzadeh Tabrizi, Rahmani, Asghari Jafarabadi, Jasemi, & Allahbakhshian, 2016) The association between age and unmet health information needs might be due to younger patients being more curious, eager to know about their condition, and having higher expectations, thus expecting more from the health system and experiencing more unmet needs compared with older patients. We found that older patients' care/support needs tend to decrease, but findings in

& Solomon, 2012) Such discrepancy between studies can be due to a difference in participant age (most of our patients were younger), comorbidity status, clinical care setting and cultural factors. Identifying the basis for these disparities is critical for improving care. Cross-cultural and international comparisons of supportive care needs can reveal key cultural and health care system influences that can be efficiently targeted to improve comprehensive cancer care (Arafat, Chowdhury, Qusar, & Hafez, 2016; Moreno et al., 2019). Such influences are not straightforward. For example, while Japanese breast cancer patients prioritize psychological unmet supportive care needs comparable to Caucasian women (Akechi et al., 2011). Chinese breast cancer patients prioritize different needs and information (Lam et al., 2011). Latina American women desire more treatment and survivorship-related information, and minority American women with breast cancer report more social isolation compared to their Caucasian counterparts (Costas-Muniz et al., 2013). Another variable associated with physical/daily living needs was being self-employed. In line with our findings, a cross-sectional study in Australia showed that patients who were not employed (retirees, pensioners, or those in charge of home duties) had four times higher odds of reporting unmet needs compared to those who were employed. (Boyes et al., 2015) This indicates that employed patients might have the ability to seek medical attention whenever they face cancerrelated issues, so they feel more empowered in meeting their health needs compared to unemployed patients. Regarding economic status, those who were in the fourth quintile have a lower chance of having unmet needs in the health system information domain, indicating that patients with higher economic status can meet their needs better. Supporting this, a study in Athens showed that higher

Australia and Iran contradict this. (Jabbarzadeh Tabrizi et al., 2016; Jorgensen, Young, Harrison,

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

income was associated with less psychological (p < 0.028) and health system and information

needs (p < 0.029). (Psychogyiou, Katsaragakis, Lemonidou, Protogiros, & Patiraki, 2018) This might be explained as follows: patients who are more economically stable are more prone to access medical treatments and/or options and thus will have an increased tendency to get more information.

The other variable related to unmet needs regarding the health system and information domain was male gender, which is in line with a previous Iranian study showing that Iranian cancer patients have many information needs and that these needs are more often seen in male cancer patients, with females less eager to obtain information. (Jabbarzadeh Tabrizi et al., 2016) This finding might be due to females being more interactive and able to share their stories with other female patients much faster and easily, which might help them to gain some information. However, we recommend further studies into why male patients have unmet information needs.

In the current study, unmet sexuality needs were related to marital status. Those who had no partner did not develop unmet sexuality needs. In contrast to our findings, Hispanic/Latino patients who are single were twice as likely to have unmet needs; (Moreno et al., 2018) the difference might be due to the fact that in our society the non-married might shy away from saying anything on this issue as it is forbidden for a person to be engaged in a sexual relationship before marriage. On the other hand, our findings were consistent with other study in Iran where being married was protective of unmet sexuality needs. (Rahmani et al., 2014)

Clinical factors such as stage of cancer, history of recurrence, time since diagnosis, and type of treatment were also associated with unmet needs. Advanced stage of cancer was significantly associated with psychological unmet needs, and this study was supported by a study in Malaysia where cancer survivors with an advanced-stage diagnosis had greater physical and psychological needs. (Zobaida et al., 2016) Late-stage diagnosis invariably requires a lengthy and complicated

course of treatment, and the unanticipated side effects and struggle through the lengthy and disruptive treatment procedure might give rise to psychological difficulties such as anxiety, depression and other psychological problems.

Time since diagnosis was significantly associated with unmet health system and information needs. Supporting our findings, a 2016 study in Iran found that this variable was associated with less need for health information. (Jabbarzadeh Tabrizi et al., 2016) This can be due to the fact that patients who survive longer and have repeated hospital visits and routine laboratory investigations tend to have a better understanding of what to do and therefore their unmet information needs decreased over time.

Patients on hormonal therapy in this study showed that they experienced unmet patient care and support needs; this domain assesses needs related to healthcare providers, showing sensitivity to physical/emotional needs, privacy, and choice. The increased patient care and support needs might be because survivors who have completed primary cancer treatment have less contact with healthcare professionals. A finding similar to ours was a Puerto Rican study using mixed types of cancers, which found that receiving a combination of radiation and hormonal therapy was related to unmet needs in the physical/daily living domain (p = 0.024). (Velda. J et al., 2015) This implies that survivors during hormonal treatment should receive constant assessment and they need attention from healthcare providers.

Strength and limitations

This study used a standard validated tool to ensure comparability. During interpretation of the findings in this study, the following should be taken into account: participants may not have disclosed the exact experiences related to sexual characteristics due to cultural and social

desirability bias; the tool assesses the unmet needs that a patient encounters in the last month from the day of the interview, which might cause recall bias; and the informational status reported in this study may not reflect exactly how much the physicians (or healthcare professionals) had disclosed because the response was based on patient report, which might be affected by recall bias and/or social desirability bias. This study was conducted in one specialized centre but even though it is the main and only referral oncology centre in Ethiopia, the generalizability to private hospitals might be affected. Additionally, we have to mention that we performed multiple comparisons, which may have led to too many significant associations.

Conclusion

This study revealed the predictors of unmet supportive care needs and highlighted the importance of considering certain sociodemographic and medical characteristics associated with unmet needs. Such characteristics included older age, male gender, self-employment and patients currently not married, longer time since diagnosis, advanced stage of cancer, and type of treatment to be considered. Furthermore, the information a patient has received about cancer diagnosis and the patient's source of information also play a vital role. To address unmet needs specifically, it will be of great help to assess the supportive care needs of cancer patients in their routine care.—While many aspects of the cancer experience are universal, people have varied reactions to them based on their "worldview." Because there are differences in culture, geographic areas, and clinical care services that influence patients' demands, it is critical to adopt a cross-cultural perspective. Thus assessing the supportive care needs of Ethiopian cancer patients will make research methodologies and evaluations more effective since it will be compatible with the patient culture and experiences, and assess factors associated with unmet needs that are conceptually relevant and representative

of the challenges encountered in the study area. In addition, appropriate physical rehabilitation programmes in the healthcare setting ought to be started for cancer patients. Lastly, patients diagnosed with advanced-stage and recurrent cancers are in greater need of psychological support and healthcare facilities need to establish this service. Future studies would benefit by using a qualitative study in this cultural context regarding sexuality needs and patients' perception of different sources of information about their diagnosis. Furthermore, as this study has shown that there is a change in unmet needs over time, a longitudinal study designed to measure unmet needs could assess causative factors.

References

- Abegaz, T. M., & Ayele, A. A. (2018). Health Related Quality of Life of Cancer Patients in Ethiopia. 2018,
 1467595. doi:10.1155/2018/1467595
 - Afework, T., Wondimagegnehu, A., Alemayehu, N., Kantelhardt, E. J., & Addissie, A. (2021). Validity and reliability of the Amharic version of supportive care needs survey short form 34 among cancer patients in Ethiopia. *BMC Health Serv Res*, 21(1), 484. doi:10.1186/s12913-021-06512-2
 - Akechi, T., Okuyama, T., Endo, C., Sagawa, R., Uchida, M., Nakaguchi, T., . . . Furukawa, T. A. J. P. o. (2011). Patient's perceived need and psychological distress and/or quality of life in ambulatory breast cancer patients in Japan. 20(5), 497-505.
 - Arafat, S., Chowdhury, H. R., Qusar, M., & Hafez, M. J. J. o. B. H. (2016). Cross cultural adaptation & psychometric validation of research instruments: A methodological review. *5*(3), 129-136.
 - Arbabi, M., Rozdar, A., Taher, M., Shirzad, M., Arjmand, M., Ansari, S., & Mohammadi, M. R. (2014). Patients' preference to hear cancer diagnosis. *Iran J Psychiatry*, *9*(1), 8-13.
 - Bonevski, B., Sanson-Fisher, R., Girgis, A., Burton, L., Cook, P., & Boyes, A. (2000). Evaluation of an instrument to assess the needs of patients with cancer. Supportive Care Review Group. *Cancer*, 88(1), 217-225.
- Boyes, A. W., Clinton-McHarg, T., Waller, A. E., Steele, A., D'Este, C. A., & Sanson-Fisher, R. W. (2015).

 Prevalence and correlates of the unmet supportive care needs of individuals diagnosed with a haematological malignancy. *Acta Oncologica*, *54*(4), 507-514.
- 419 doi:10.3109/0284186X.2014.958527

- 420 Boyle, P., & Ferlay, J. (2005). Cancer incidence and mortality in Europe, 2004. Ann Oncol, 16(3), 481-488. 421 doi:10.1093/annonc/mdi098
- 422 Costas-Muniz, R., Sen, R., Leng, J., Aragones, A., Ramirez, J., & Gany, F. (2013). Cancer stage knowledge 423 and desire for information: mismatch in Latino cancer patients? J Cancer Educ, 28(3), 458-465. 424 doi:10.1007/s13187-013-0487-8
- 425 Fazeli A, Bahrami M, Mahmoodzadeh M, & Hasanzadeh A. (2017). Identifying supportive care needs of 426 patients with gastrointestinal cancer treatment in the selected centers of Isfahanin. Annals of Tropical Medicine and Public Health, 10(6), 1637-1642.
 - FMOH. (2015). National cancer control of ethiopia: 2016-2020.

427

428

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449 450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

- 429 Harrison, J. D., Young, J. M., Price, M. A., Butow, P. N., & Solomon, M. J. (2009). What are the unmet 430 supportive care needs of people with cancer? A systematic review. Support Care Cancer, 17(8), 431 1117-1128. doi:10.1007/s00520-009-0615-5
 - The International Network for Cancer Treatment and Research (INCTR). Partner Profile in Cancer Medicine. Tikur Anbessa (Black Lion), Adds Ababa, Ethiopia Specialized Hospital. (2014). http://www.inctr.org/network-magazine/current-edition/partner-profile/tikur-anbessa-blacklion-hospital/.
 - Jabbarzadeh Tabrizi, F., Rahmani, A., Asghari Jafarabadi, M., Jasemi, M., & Allahbakhshian, A. (2016). Unmet Supportive Care Needs of Iranian Cancer Patients and its Related Factors. J Caring Sci, *5*(4), 307-316. doi:10.15171/jcs.2016.032
 - Jorgensen, M. L., Young, J. M., Harrison, J. D., & Solomon, M. J. (2012). Unmet supportive care needs in colorectal cancer: differences by age. Support Care Cancer, 20(6), 1275-1281. doi:10.1007/s00520-011-1214-9
 - Lam, W. W., Au, A. H., Wong, J. H., Lehmann, C., Koch, U., Fielding, R., & Mehnert, A. (2011). Unmet supportive care needs: a cross-cultural comparison between Hong Kong Chinese and German Caucasian women with breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat, 130(2), 531-541. doi:10.1007/s10549-011-1592-1
 - McDowell, M. E., Occhipinti, S., Ferguson, M., Dunn, J., & Chambers, S. K. (2010). Predictors of change in unmet supportive care needs in cancer. Psychooncology, 19(5), 508-516. doi:10.1002/pon.1604
 - McElduff, P., Boyes, A., Zucca, A., & Girgis, A. (2004). The Supportive Care Needs Survey: A guide to administration, scoring and analysis. Newcastle: Centre for Health Research & PsychoOncology.
 - Misganaw, A., Mariam, D. H., Ali, A., & Araya, T. (2014). Epidemiology of major non-communicable diseases in Ethiopia: a systematic review. J Health Popul Nutr, 32(1), 1-13.
 - Moreno, P. I., Ramirez, A. G., San Miguel-Majors, S. L., Castillo, L., Fox, R. S., Gallion, K. J., . . . Penedo, F. J. (2018). Unmet supportive care needs in Hispanic/Latino cancer survivors: prevalence and associations with patient-provider communication, satisfaction with cancer care, and symptom burden. Support Care Cancer, 27(4), 1383-1394. doi:10.1007/s00520-018-4426-4
 - Moreno, P. I., Ramirez, A. G., San Miguel-Majors, S. L., Castillo, L., Fox, R. S., Gallion, K. J., . . . Penedo, F. J. (2019). Unmet supportive care needs in Hispanic/Latino cancer survivors: prevalence and associations with patient-provider communication, satisfaction with cancer care, and symptom burden. Support Care Cancer, 27(4), 1383-1394. doi:10.1007/s00520-018-4426-4
 - Okediji, P. T., Salako, O., & Fatiregun, O. O. (2017). Pattern and Predictors of Unmet Supportive Care Needs in Cancer Patients. Cureus, 9(5), e1234. doi:10.7759/cureus.1234
- 462 Psychogyiou, A., Katsaragakis, S., Lemonidou, C., Protogiros, D., & Patiraki, E. (2018). CN32Most prevalent unmet supportive care needs in Greek ambulatory advanced breast cancer female 463 464 patients receiving chemotherapy. Annals of Oncology, 29(suppl_8). 465 doi:10.1093/annonc/mdy341.031

466 467	Rahmani, A., Ferguson, C., Jabarzadeh, F., Mohammadpoorasl, A., Moradi, N., & Pakpour, V. (2014). Supportive care needs of Iranian cancer patients. <i>Indian J Palliat Care, 20</i> (3), 224-228.
468	doi:10.4103/0973-1075.138400
469	Samimi Ardestani, S. M., Faridhosseini, F., Shirkhani, F., Karamad, A., Farid, L., Fayyazi Bordbar, M. R., &
470	Motlagh, A. (2015). Do Cancer Patients Prefer to Know the Diagnosis? A Descriptive Study
471	Among Iranian Patients. Iran J Psychiatry Behav Sci, 9(4), e1792. doi:10.17795/ijpbs-1792
472 473	Sanson, F. R., & Girgis, B. A. (2000). Sanson-Fisher R, Girgis A, Boyes A, et al: The unmet supportive care needs of patients with cancer. <i>Cancer</i> (88), 225-236.
474	Stanley, R. (1998). Applying the four principles of ethics to continence care. <i>Br J Nurs, 7</i> (1), 44-51.
475	doi:10.12968/bjon.1998.7.1.5794
476	Stefan, D. C. (2015). Cancer Care in Africa: An Overview of Resources. J Glob Oncol, 1(1), 30-36.
477	doi:10.1200/jgo.2015.000406
478	Velda. J, González, Maribel, T., Daniel, C., Elsa, P., & Michael, V. (2015). Identification of supportive care
479	needs in a sample of Puerto Rican cancer patients with the supportive care needs survey.
480	Journal of Nursing Education and Practice, 05.
481	W.Hosmer, D., & Lemeshow, S. (2000). <i>Applied logistic regression</i> (Vol. 2). Canda: A Wiley-Interscience.
482	WHO. (2018). Latest global cancer data by the International Agency for Research on Cancer, the
483	specialized cancer agency of the World Health Organization.
484	Zahedi, F. (2011). The challenge of truth telling across cultures: a case study. J Med Ethics Hist Med, 4,
485	11.
486	Zobaida, E., Verasingam, K., Norlia, b. A., A, M. R., Sami Abdo, R., & Al, D. (2016). Most prevalent unmet
487	supportive care needs and quality of life of breast cancer patients in a tertiary hospital in
488	Malaysia. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 14(26). doi:10.1186/s12955-016-0428-4
489	
469	
490	
491	
492	
493	
494	
495	
496	
497	

 Table 1: Sociodemographic Characteristics of Participants, 2019

Variables	Frequency $(n = 371)$	Percentage (%)			
Gender					
Female	259	69.8			
Male	112	30.2			
Residence					
Urban	289	77.9			
Rural	82	22.1			
Religion					
Orthodox	242	65.2			
Muslim	70	18.9			
Protestant	51	13.7			
Other ^a	8	2.16			
Region	_				
Addis Ababa	153	41.2			
Oromiya	111	29.9			
Amhara	55	14.8			
SNNPR b	41	11.1			
Other ^c	14	3.0			
Marital status	11	3.0			
Married	240	64.7			
Never married	41	11.1			
Divorced/separated	39	10.5			
Widowed	38	10.2			
Living together	13	3.5			
Highest education completed	13	3.3			
No education	87	23.5			
Can read and write	32	8.6			
Primary	99	26.7			
Secondary	72	19.4			
Diploma and degree	74	19.9			
Masters and above	7	1.9			
Occupation	,	1.7			
Housewife	92	24.8			
Unemployed	60	16.2			
Government employee	55	14.8			
Farmer	52	14.0			
Private/NGO d	49	13.2			
Merchant	28	7.5			
Pension	21	5.7			
Other ^e	14	3.8			
Wealth index					
Lowest	74	19.9			
Second	74	19.9			
Middle	75	20.2			
Fourth	74	19.9			
Highest	74	19.9			
Mean (SDf) age (years)					

^a Java, ^{b,} Southern Nations, Nationalities and Peoples' Region; ^{c,} Afar, Dire Dawa, Tigray, and Benishangul-Gumuz; ^d non-governmental organizations; ^{e,} students and daily labourers; ^f standard deviation.

Table 2: Information Received About Diagnosis and Related Issues Claimed by Participants, 2019

Variables	Frequency	Percentage
	(n=371)	(%)
Informed about diagnosis	320	86.3
Informed about spread/stage/current	113	30.5
status of the disease		
Informed about possible causes for the	93	25.1
disease		
Informed about medical tests you	178	48.0
undergo/may undergo		
Informed about medical results you	193	52.0
have received		
Informed about medical treatments	305	82.2
Informed about the sequence of the	247	66.6
medical treatments		
Informed about the expected benefit of	271	73.0
the treatment		
Informed about possible side-effects of	252	67.9
the treatment		
Informed about the duration of the	229	61.7
treatment		
Source of information		
Physician	254	68.5
Physician and reading	43	11.6
Mixed sources ^a	74	19.9
Informational status ^b	Median (IQR) ^c 6 (4–8)	

a, reading sources from physician and patient's own experience and from other patients' previous experience; b, count variable; c, interquartile range.

 Table 3: Clinical Characteristics of Participants, 2019

Variables	Frequency $(n = 371)$	Percentage (%)
Admission status $(n = 370)$		
Not admitted	225	60.8
Admitted	145	39.2
Type of cancer		
Gynaecological cancers ^a	107	28.8
Gastrointestinal cancers b	70	18.9
Head and neck cancers ^c	64	17.3
Breast cancer	75	20.2
Sarcoma	32	8.6

Thyroid cancer	23		6.2
Stage of cancer	25		0.2
Early stage ^d	142		38.3
Advanced stage ^e	229		61.7
Current treatment	,		0117
Chemotherapy	149		40.2
Radiotherapy	22		5.9
Surgery	18		4.9
Hormonal therapy	69		18.6
On follow-up (anti-pain)	111		29.9
Other f	2		0.5
Distance metastasis			
Yes	110		29.6
No	261		70.4
History of recurrence			
Yes	57		15.4
No	314		84.6
Other chronic illness			
Yes	64		17.3
No	307		82.7
Type of chronic illness $(n = 64)$			
HIV	22		34.4
Hypertension	16		25.0
Diabetes mellitus	11		17.1
Asthma	5		7.8
Diabetes and hypertension	6		9.4
Other ^g	4		6.3
Median (IQR $^{\rm h}$) time since diagnosis		13 (7–24)	
(months)			

^{a,} Cervical, ovarian and vulval ;b, colorectal and oesophageal; ^{c,} nasopharyngeal and oropharyngeal; ^{d,} I and II; ^eIII and IV; ^f chemotherapy and radiotherapy combined; ^g HIV and hypertension, deep vein thrombosis, epilepsy, hypertension and asthma; ^h interquartile range.

Table 4: Predictors of Unmet Supportive Care Needs by Domain Among Adult Cancer Patients, 2019

	Psychological needs	Health system information	Patient care support	Physical/daily living	Sexuality needs
Variables	AOR (95%CI)	AOR (95%CI)	AOR (95%CI)	AOR (95%CI)	AOR (95%CI)
		T	T	T	T
Older age	0.986 (0.968-1.005)	0.956* (0.933-0.980)	0.982* (0.964-1.000)	1.001(0.976-1.027)	0.978(0.954-1.003)
Gender		T	T .	T	T .
Female	-	1	1	-	1
Male	-	2.771* (1.153-6.663)	1.614(0.954-2.730)	-	1.338(0.586-3.054)
Marital status			T	T	
With partner	-	-	-	-	1
No partner	-	-	-	-	0.211* (0.100-0.446)
Region					
Addis Ababa	-	1	-	1	-
Oromia	-	1.181(0.578-2.416)	-	1.131(0.559-2.285)	-
Amhara	-	1.893(0.755-4.745)	-	2.569(0.944-6.997)	-
SNNPR	-	0.386*(0.159-0.936)	-	0.519(0.211-1.274)	-
Other	-	0.490(0.088-2.711)	-	1.399(0.155-12.642)	-
Residence					
Urban	-	1	1	1	-
Rural	-	0.842(0.340-2.084)	1.313(0.689-2.501)	1.286(0.483-3.419)	-
Religion		-			
Christian	1	-	-	-	-
Muslim	1.527 (0.822-2.834)	-	-	-	-
Educational status	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u>. </u>
Primary	-	1	1	1	1
No education	-	1.983(0.768-5.122)	0.958(0.473-1.938)	1.040 (0.399-2.706)	0.675(0.284-1.602)
Can read & write	-	0.888(0.297-2.659)	0.958(0.392-2.339)	1.732 (0.418-7.170)	1.962(0.673-5.723)
Secondary	-	0.999(0.422-2.2364)	0.930(0.468-1.848)	1.251 (0.501-3.126)	0.992(0.424-2.326)
Diploma/above	-	0.696(0.285-1.700)	0.561(0.288-1.092)	0.776 (0.307-1.962)	1.820(0.762-4.349)
Wealth index	•	•	· · · · · ·	,	·
First quintile	-	1	-	1	-
Second quintile	-	0.399(0.160-1.000)	-	0.661(0.253-1.729)	-
Third quintile	-	0.971(0.381-2.473)	-	0.648(0.244-1.720)	-

Fourth quintile	-	$0.394^{\circ}(0.157 - 0.986)$	-	0.634(0.241-1.672)	-
Fifth quintile	-	0.760(0.297-1.947)	-	0.433(0.170-1.101)	-
Employment status					
Unemployed	-	1	-	1	1
Employed	-	1.132(00489-2.621)	-	0.597(0.544-1.461)	1.819(0.874-3.786)
Self-employed	-	1.218 (0.572-2.596)	-	0.327* (0.153-0.701)	1.046(0.501-2.186)
Admission status					
Not admitted	1	-	1	1	1
Admitted	0.317(0.067-1.504)	-	2.041(0.496-8.407)	2.222(0.449-10.993)	0.594(0.129-2.729)
Stage at diagnosis					
Advanced	1	1	-	1	1
Early	0.549* (0.320-0.541)	0.889(0.482-1.673)	-	0.523(0.262-1.045)	0.673(0.368-1.232)
Longer time since diagnosis	0.992(0.981-1.004)	0.986* (0.973-0.999)	-	0.999(0.986-1.012)	-
Type of cancer		1		-	
Gynaecological	1	1	-	1	1
Thyroid	2.101(0.604-7.310)	0.781(0.182-3.339)	-	1.360(0.322-5.749)	0.128(0.013-1.294)
Gastrointestinal	0.821(0.401-1.684)	0.978(0.376-2.543)	-	2.141(0.786-5.829)	0.993 (0.383-2.574)
Head & neck	1.229(0.577-2.616)	0.917(0.312-2.695)	-	2.027(0.778-5.286)	0.794 (0.271-2.326)
Breast	0.716(0.288-1.777)	0.679(0.234-1.964)	-	1.669(0.522-5.333)	1.880 (0.687-5.144)
Sarcoma	0.696(0.281-1.725)	0.547(0.170-1.763)	-	0.566(0.190-1.682)	0.811(0.246-2.672)
History of other chronic					
illness					
No	-	-	-	1	1
Yes	-	-	-	2.084(0.878-4.945)	0.818(0.361-1.855)
Type of treatment					
Chemotherapy	1	1	1	1	1
Radiotherapy and/or	0.312*(0.022-0.792)	2.022(0.508-8.043)	1.761(0.331-9.354)	4.541(0.486-42.401)	0.150 (0.017-1.326)
combined					
Surgery	0.311(0.054-1.799)	0.467(0.108-2.021)	4.017(0.789-20.445)	1.406(0.190-10.420)	0.180(0.025-1.291)
Hormonal therapy	0.154* (0.028-0.852)	1.706(0.591-4.926)	5.004* (1.126-22.260)	1.415 (0.244-8.192)	0.320(0.064-1.606)
On follow-up (anti-pain)	0.210(0.042-1.053)	0.809(0.397-1.648)	4.251(0.986-18.324)	1.405 (0.267-7.386)	0.289(0.058-1.436)
History of metastasis	T		T		
No	1	-	-	1	-

Vaa	0.002(0.520.1.701)	I		1.072(0.929.4.641)	
Yes	0.982(0.539-1.791)	_	-	1.972(0.838-4.641)	-
History of recurrence					
No	1	1	1	-	1
Yes	2.039* (1.016-4.091)	2.172(0.916-5.153)	1.045(0.564-1.936)	-	1.643(0.835-3.230)
Informational status					
	0.896* (0.807-0.995)	0.66* (0.564-0.774)	0.869* (0.771-0.979)	0.933(0.809-1.075)	-
Source of information	•	•	•		
Physician	-	-	1	-	1
Physician & reading	-	-	1.614 (0.246-10.574)	-	1.345(0.595-3.041)
Mixed sources	-	-	0.491(0.091-2.655)	-	0.654(0.307-1.393)
Source of information ×	1		1		-
Informational status					
Informational status ×	-	-	1	-	-
Physician					
Informational status ×	-	-	1.073(0.806-1.427)	-	-
Physician & reading					
Informational status ×	-	-	1.380* (1.054-1.806)	-	-
Mixed sources					

^{*} Statistically significant at p < 0.05. AOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; SNNPR, Southern Nations, Nationalities and People

Abbreviations: AOR, adjusted odds ratio; IQR, inter quartile range; NCD, non-communicable disease; SCNS, supportive care needs; SNNPR, southern nation nationality and peoples' region; SPSS, statistical package for the social sciences; SD, standard deviation; VIF; variance inflation factor.

Research ethics and patient consent

To carry out this study, ethical approval was obtained from the Research ethics committee. Written informed consent was obtained from the study participants. Permission was granted from the tool developers to use of the SCNS tool. All methods were performed following the relevant guidelines and regulations.