Spironolactone effect on cardiac structure and function of patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction: a pooled analysis of three randomized trials

João Pedro Ferreira[1,2]; John G. Cleland[3]; Nicolas Girerd[2]: Erwan Bozec[2]; Patrick Rossignol[2]; Pierpaolo Pellicori[3]; Franco Cosmi[4]; Beatrice Mariottoni[4]; Scott D. Solomon[5]; Bertram Pitt[6]; Marc A. Pfeffer[5]; Amil M. Shah[5]; Johannes Petutschnigg[7]; Burkert Pieske[7]; Frank Edelmann[7]; Faiez Zannad[2]

[1] Cardiovascular R&D Centre – UnIC@RISE, Department of Physiology and Cardiothoracic Surgery, Faculty of Medicine of the University of Porto, Porto, Portugal & Internal Medicine Departament, Centro Hospitalar de Vila Nova de Gaia/Espinho, Vila Nova de Gaia, Portugal.

[2] Université de Lorraine, Inserm, Centre d'Investigation Clinique Plurithématique 1433, U1116, CHRU de Nancy, F-CRIN INI-CRCT, Nancy, France.

[3] Robertson Centre for Biostatistics and Clinical Trials, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK.

[4] Department of Cardiology, Cortona Hospital, Arezzo, Italy.

[5] Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.

[6] Division of Cardiology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA.

[7] Department of Internal Medicine and Cardiology, Campus Virchow Klinikum, Charité University Medicine Berlin, Berlin, Germany & German Centre for Cardiovascular Research (DZHK), Partner Site Berlin, Berlin, Germany.

Contact to:

Prof. João Pedro Ferreira

UnIC@RISE, Department of Physiology and Cardiothoracic Surgery, Faculty of Medicine of the University of Porto.

Alameda Prof. Hernâni Monteiro, 4200-319 Porto, Portugal.

Telef.: +351 22 551 36 00

Mail.: jpferreira@med.up.pt or jp7ferreira@hotmail.com

Abstract

Background: Spironolactone is currently used in a large proportion of patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF), yet its effects on cardiac structure and function in a large population has not been well established.

Aims: To study the impact of spironolactone on key echocardiographic parameters in HFpEF.

Methods: Individual-patient-data meta-analysis of three randomized trials (HOMAGE, ALDO-DHF, and TOPCAT) comparing spironolactone (9-12 months exposure) to placebo (or control) on the changes of left atrial volume indexed (LAVi), left ventricular mass indexed (LVMi), inter-ventricular septum (IVS) thickness, E/e' ratio, and left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) among patients with stage B (HOMAGE) or C (ALDO-DHF and TOPCAT) HFpEF. Analysis of covariance were used to test the effect of spironolactone on echocardiographic changes.

Results: A total of 984 patients were included in this analysis: HOMAGE=452 (45.9%), ALDO-DHF=398 (40.4%), and TOPCAT=134 (13.6%). The pooled-cohort patient's median age was 71 (66-77)years and 39% were women. Median LAVi was 29 (24-35)ml/m^2, LVMi 100 (84-118)g/m^2, IVS thickness 12 (10-13)mm, E/e' ratio 11 (9-13), and LVEF 64 (59-69)%. Spironolactone reduced LAVi by -1.1 (-2.0 to -0.1)ml/m^2, P=0.03; LVMi by -3.6 (-6.4 to -0.8)g/m2, P=0.01; IVS thickness by -0.2 (-0.3 to -0.1)mm, P=0.01; E/e' ratio by -1.3 (-2.4 to -0.2), P =0.02; and increased LVEF by 1.7 (0.8 to 2.6)%, P <0.01. No treatment-by-study heterogeneity was found except for E/e' ratio with a larger effect in ALDO-DHF and TOPCAT (interactionP<0.01).

Conclusions: Spironolactone improved cardiac structure and function of patients with HFpEF.

Key-words: spironolactone; HFpEF; echocardiography; cardiac structure and function; treatment effect.

Introduction

Spironolactone reduced heart failure (HF) hospitalizations and cardiovascular mortality in patients with heart failure and a preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) enrolled in TOPCAT (Aldosterone Antagonist Therapy for Adults With Heart Failure and Preserved Systolic Function), at least in those with a left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) up to 55-60%.[1-3] The smaller trials HOMAGE (Bioprofiling Response to Mineralocorticoid Receptor Antagonists for the Prevention of Heart Failure) and ALDO-DHF (Aldosterone Receptor Blockade in Diastolic Heart Failure) provided additional mechanistic insight on the effects of spironolactone to improve cardiac function and reduce fibrosis.[4-6]

Echocardiographic substudies were performed in TOPCAT, ALDO-DHF, and HOMAGE, but each of these individual studies might have been underpowered to assess the effect of spironolactone on key measures of cardiac structure and function.[4,5,7]

A pooled analysis of these trials would allow more power to study the effect of spironolactone on key echocardiographic parameters. Thus, in this individual-patient-data (IPD) meta-analysis of three randomized trials (HOMAGE, ALDO-DHF, and TOPCAT) comparing spironolactone to placebo or control, we assessed the effect of spironolactone treatment (9 to 12 months exposure) on the changes of echocardiographic parameters (left atrial volume indexed [LAVi], left ventricular mass indexed [LVMi], inter-ventricular septum [IVS] thickness, E/e' ratio, and LVEF) among patients with stage B (HOMAGE) or C (ALDO-DHF and TOPCAT) HFpEF.

Methods

Included studies

HOMAGE was a multicentre, prospective, randomized, open-label, blinded endpoint (PROBE) trial comparing the effect of spironolactone (25 to 50 mg/day) vs. usual care (without spironolactone or other MRA) on serum markers of collagen metabolism as well as cardiac structure and function in patients with stage B HF and a LVEF ≥45% (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT02556450).[8,9] In short, patients aged 60 years or older with established coronary artery disease or at least two risk factors of cardiovascular disease (of type 2 diabetes mellitus, hypertension, microalbuminuria or an abnormal electrocardiogram) as well as elevated natriuretic peptides were included. An echocardiogram was performed at baseline and last visit (9 months).

ALDO-DHF was a multicentre, prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial comparing the effect of spironolactone (25 mg/day) vs. placebo in patients with symptomatic HF and a LVEF ≥50% and evidence of diastolic dysfunction. The co-primary outcome measure was the change in E/e' ratio from baseline to 12 months (the other co-primary outcome was peak VO2). An echocardiogram was performed at baseline and last visit (12 months).[4]

TOPCAT was a multicentre, prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial comparing the effect of spironolactone (15 to 45 mg/day) vs. placebo in 3445 patients with symptomatic HF and a LVEF ≥45%. The primary outcome was a composite of death from cardiovascular causes, aborted cardiac arrest, or hospitalization for the management of heart failure.[1] We selected the subset of patients from the Americas due to important regional differences found in the trial.[10] A subset of patients from TOPCAT-Americas performed an echocardiographic substudy at baseline and 12 months.[7]

Informed consent was obtained from all participants participating in the respective trials. Ethics approval was obtained for all trials and each participating centre.

Echocardiographic measurements

Our primary hypothesis was that spironolactone would reduce LAVi. In all three studies, echocardiograms were performed by dedicate staff experienced in echocardiographic imaging at a core laboratory in each individual study. To homogenize the echocardiographic methods, we used the same technique of cardiac structure and function assessment across studies. Specifically, LAVi was determined using the biplane Simpson's method at end-systole from the frame preceding mitral valve opening (i.e., LAVi minimum) and adjusted for body surface area (BSA). LVMi was calculated according to the American Society of Echocardiography (ASE) recommended formula for estimation of left ventricular mass (from left ventricular linear dimensions) and indexed to body surface area.[11] IVS thickness was determined at end-diastole from the apical 4-chamber view. Peak early diastolic tissue velocity (e') was measured from the septal and lateral aspects of the mitral annulus. Mitral inflow velocity was assessed by pulsed wave Doppler from the apical 4-chamber view, by positioning the sample volume at the tip of the mitral leaflets. The deceleration time of the E wave was measured as the interval from the peak E wave to its extrapolation to the baseline. E/e' ratio was calculated as lateral E wave divided by e'. LVEF was determined by manually tracing the left ventricular endocardial borders at end-diastole and end-systole in the apical 4- and 2-chamber views and left ventricular volumes derived according to the biplane Simpson's method.

LAVi change was determined in 331 patients in HOMAGE, 385 patients in ALDO-DHF, and 102 patients in TOPCAT (LAVi pooled total N =818). LVMi change was determined in 415 patients in HOMAGE, 388 patients in ALDO-DHF, and 124 patients

in TOPCAT (LVMi pooled total N =927). IVS thickness change was determined in 419 patients in HOMAGE, 397 patients in ALDO-DHF, and 129 patients in TOPCAT (IVS thickness pooled total N =945). E/e' ratio change was determined in 402 patients in HOMAGE, 396 patients in ALDO-DHF, and 92 patients in TOPCAT (E/e' ratio pooled total N =890). LVEF change was determined in 256 patients in HOMAGE, 398 patients in ALDO-DHF, and 134 patients in TOPCAT (LVEF pooled total N =788).

Statistical analysis

A meta-analysis using random-effects models was conducted.[12] Baseline clinical characteristics of patients were summarised by study (and randomized treatment) with medians and 25th to 75th percentiles for continuous variables, plus frequencies and percentages for categorical variables. Treatment effect estimates were assessed by analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) with the change in each echocardiographic parameter of interest as dependent variable plus treatment and the baseline value of the studied echocardiographic parameter as independent variables. A β coefficient and respective 95% confidence interval (95% CI) was obtained from the linear regression model, representing the changes in the echocardiographic parameter of interest with assignment to spironolactone. An ordered treatment-by-study interaction term ("interaction P-trend") was tested in the regression model.[13] Statistical analyses were performed using STATA®, version 17 (Stata Corp, College Station, TX, USA).

Results

Patient's characteristics

A total of 984 patients had the required echocardiographic parameters and were included in this analysis: 452 (45.9%) from HOMAGE, 398 (40.4%) from ALDO-DHF, and 134 (13.6%) from TOPCAT. The pooled-cohort median age of the patients was 71 (66-77) years, HOMAGE and TOPCAT patients had similar median age around 72 years, while ALDO-DHF patients were younger with a median age of 68 years. In the pooled-cohort 39% of the patients were women, HOMAGE had fewer women (25%) than ALDO-DHF and TOPCAT that included roughly 50% women. Comorbidities such as diabetes (32%), hypertension (85%) and coronary artery disease (53%) were highly prevalent, atrial fibrillation was present in 1.6% of the patients, all from TOPCAT. The median systolic blood pressure was 136 mmHg, median potassium was 4.3 mmol/L, and the median eGFR was 72 ml/min/1.73m^2. The median LAVi was 29 (24-35) ml/m^2, LAVi was larger in HOMAGE and TOPCAT (31 ml/m^2) than in ALDO-DHF (27 ml/m^2); median LVMi was 100 (84-118) g/m^2, LVMi was higher in ALDO-DHF (106 g/m^2) than in TOPCAT (101 g/m^2) and HOMAGE (95 g/m^2); median IVS thickness was 12 (10-13) mm, and was similar across studies; median E/e' ratio was 11 (9-13), and was higher in ALDO-DHF (12) than in TOPCAT (11) and HOMAGE (9); median LVEF was 64 (59-69) %, and was higher in ALDO-DHF (67 %) than in HOMAGE (63 %) and TOPCAT (61 %). *Table 1*. Randomization to spironolactone was balanced with around 50% of the patients randomized to active treatment and the other half to placebo (in ALDO-DHF and TOPCAT) or usual care (in HOMAGE) without significant differences in patient characteristics between treatment groups. *Supplementary Table 1*. The comparison of patients with and without an echocardiogram performed is presented in the *Supplementary Table 2*, showing no major differences between groups.

Spironolactone effect on cardiac structure and function

Compared to placebo or usual care, spironolactone reduced LAVi by -1.1 (-2.0 to -0.1) ml/m^2, P =0.03, without significant heterogeneity between trials (interactionP =0.23); reduced LVMi by -3.6 (-6.4 to -0.8) g/m^2, P =0.01, interactionP =0.28); reduced IVS thickness by -0.2 (-0.3 to -0.1) mm, P =0.01, interactionP =0.74; reduced E/e' ratio by -1.3 (-2.4 to -0.2), P =0.02, with a gradient effect from HOMAGE (smallest) to TOPCAT (largest) (interactionP <0.01); and increased LVEF by 1.7 (0.8 to 2.6) %, P <0.01, InteractionP =0.67. *Figure 1*. The mean values of paired echocardiographic measures at baseline and month 9 to 12, and respective changes with treatment are described in the *Supplementary Table 3*.

Subgroup analyses according to age, sex, diabetes, coronary artery disease and hypertension status are displayed in the *Supplementary Table 4*, without significant treatment-by-subgroup interactions.

Discussion

This IPD meta-analysis of HOMAGE, ALDO-DHF, and TOPCAT, showed that spironolactone (compared to placebo or usual care) reduced LAVi, LVMi, IVS thickness, E/e' ratio, and increased LVEF. These findings, in a large population including patients with stage B and C HFpEF, support the use of spironolactone to improve the cardiac structure and function of patients with HFpEF.

The effect of spironolactone to reduce LAVi, LVMi, and IVS thickness, and to increase LVEF was relatively homogeneous across trials; however, the effect of spironolactone on E/e' ratio exhibited some heterogeneity, with a more pronounced effect in ALDO-DHF and TOPCAT than in HOMAGE. These differences in E/e' ratio reduction with spironolactone treatment are likely related to the baseline value of E/e' ratio in each trial. Due to differences in the studied population and inclusion criteria,

baseline E/e' ratio was lower in HOMAGE than in TOPCAT and ALDO-DHF; thus, the margin to reduce E/e' was lower in HOMAGE. Similarly, LAVi reduction was less pronounced in ALDO-DHF (although without statistical heterogeneity) than in HOMAGE and TOPCAT, probably because baseline LAVi was also lower in ALDO-DHF than in HOMAGE and TOPCAT; thus, providing lower margin for reduction with spironolactone in ALDO-DHF. In any case, the effect of spironolactone was overall consistent and directionally similar across trials.

Left atrial enlargement has been associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular events and mortality across several populations with different degrees of cardiovascular risk.[14-17] The risk of worsening HF, atrial fibrillation and stroke increases several fold in HFpEF patients with enlarged left atria compared to patients with normal atria.[18] Importantly, a reduction in left atrial volume has been associated with improvement in clinical outcomes.[19,20] The clinical impact of left atrial volume reduction with MRAs is important as it may be associated with reductions in the incidence of worsening HF and new onset of atrial fibrillation, as demonstrated with eplerenone in patients with HF with reduced LVEF and finerenone in patients with type 2 diabetes and chronic kidney disease.[21,22]

Increased left ventricular mass and left ventricular hypertrophy (herein assessed by LVMi and IVS thickness) are strongly associated with an increased risk for the development of overt HF and a poor prognosis in patients with cardiovascular risk factors, such as those with hypertension.[23,24] Left ventricular hypertrophy is common in patients with HFpEF and is associated with a poor prognosis in this population as well.[25,26] The reduction of LVMi and IVS thickness with spironolactone adds to the favourable effects of this agent in improving cardiac remodelling. Along with spironolactone (and other MRAs), angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors,

angiotensin receptor blockers, beta-blockers, calcium channel blocker, thiazide-type diuretics and SGLT2 inhibitors also demonstrated to reduce left ventricular mass and IVS thickness in people at risk of HF development.[27-33]

Despite its modest correlation with invasively-determined left ventricular filling pressures, E/e' ratio is used as a non-invasive measure of diastolic dysfunction and left ventricular filling pressures.[34-36] The present meta-analysis confirms findings from other studies, suggesting that spironolactone may decrease E/e'.[4,37] However, such effect was more pronounced in patients with higher baseline E/e' ratio who had higher margin for E/e' reduction.

Echocardiographic assessment of LVEF is used to phenotype and select therapies for patients with HF.[38,39] Furthermore, LVEF has strong prognostic implications.[40] Spironolactone improves LVEF in patients with HFrEF.[41,42] Patients with mildly-reduced and preserved ejection fraction have higher LVEF and therefore a lower margin for LVEF improvement with treatment. Still, in our study, spironolactone increased LVEF by 1.7%, adding to the favourable cardiac remodelling effects of this agent in HFpEF.

Limitations

Some limitations should be acknowledged in this study. This is a non-prespecified post-hoc analysis of randomized trials and some differences in echocardiographic measurements and reporting might have occurred; still, the effect of spironolactone was beneficial in improving cardiac structure and function across studies. The studied populations had some differences in age, co-morbidities, HF symptoms, follow-up time, and echocardiographic parameters. Such differences may have contributed to some of the treatment effect heterogeneity observed between trials.

Still, the heterogeneity was only statistically significant for E/e' ratio. HOMAGE was an open-label study, whereas ALDO-DHF and TOPCAT were placebo-controlled; still, the echocardiographic assessment was blinded to treatment in HOMAGE.

Conclusions

Spironolactone (compared to placebo or control) reduced LAVi, LVMi, IVS thickness, E/e' ratio, and increased LVEF in patients with stage B and C HFpEF. These findings provide mechanistic support for the use of spironolactone in HFpEF.

Funding

This work was supported by the European Commission HOMAGE project (grant 305507).

Disclosures

The authors have nothing to disclose in relation to this work.

References

1. Pitt B, Pfeffer MA, Assmann SF, Boineau R, Anand IS, Claggett B, Clausell N, Desai AS, Diaz R, Fleg JL, et al. Spironolactone for heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *N Engl J Med*. 2014;370:1383-1392. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1313731
2. Solomon SD, Claggett B, Lewis EF, Desai A, Anand I, Sweitzer NK, O'Meara E, Shah SJ, McKinlay S, Fleg JL, et al. Influence of ejection fraction on outcomes and efficacy of spironolactone in patients with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *Eur Heart J*. 2015. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehv464
3. Ferreira JP, Packer M, Butler J, Zannad F. Reconsidering the ejection fraction centric view of pharmacologic treatment for heart failure. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2022. doi: 10.1002/ejhf.2457
4. Edelmann F, Wachter R, Schmidt AG, Kraigher-Krainer E, Colantonio C, Kamke W, Duvinage A, Stahrenberg R, Durstewitz K, Loffler M, et al. Effect of spironolactone on diastolic function and exercise capacity in patients with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: the Aldo-DHF randomized controlled trial. *Jama*. 2013;309:781-791. doi: 10.1001/jama.2013.905
5. Cleland JGF, Ferreira JP, Mariottoni B, Pellicori P, Cuthbert J, Verdonschot JAJ, Petutschnigg J, Ahmed FZ, Cosmi F, Brunner La Rocca HP, et al. The effect of spironolactone on cardiovascular function and markers of fibrosis in people at increased risk of developing heart failure: the heart 'OMics' in AGEing (HOMAGE) randomized clinical trial. *Eur Heart J*. 2020. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa758
6. Kobayashi M, Girerd N, Ferreira JP, Kevin D, Huttin O, González A, Bozec E, Clark AL, Cosmi F, Cuthbert J, et al. The association between markers of type I collagen synthesis and echocardiographic response to spironolactone in patients at risk of heart failure: findings from the HOMAGE trial. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2022. doi: 10.1002/ejhf.2579
7. Shah AM, Claggett B, Sweitzer NK, Shah SJ, Deswal A, Anand IS, Fleg JL, Pitt B, Pfeffer MA, Solomon SD. Prognostic Importance of Changes in Cardiac Structure and Function in Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction and the Impact of Spironolactone. *Circ Heart Fail*. 2015;8:1052-1058. doi: 10.1161/circheartfailure.115.002249
8. Cleland JGF, Ferreira JP, Mariottoni B, Pellicori P, Cuthbert J, Verdonschot JAJ, Petutschnigg J, Ahmed FZ, Cosmi F, Brunner La Rocca HP, et al. The effect of spironolactone on cardiovascular function and markers of fibrosis in people at increased risk of developing heart failure: the heart 'OMics' in AGEing (HOMAGE) randomized clinical trial. *Eur Heart J*. 2021;42:684-696. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa758
9. Pellicori P, Ferreira JP, Mariottoni B, Brunner-La Rocca HP, Ahmed FZ, Verdonschot J, Collier T, Cuthbert JJ, Petutschnigg J, Mujaj B, et al. Effects of spironolactone on serum markers of fibrosis in people at high risk of developing heart failure: rationale, design and baseline characteristics of a proof-of-concept, randomised, precision-medicine, prevention trial. The Heart OMics in AGing (HOMAGE) trial. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2020;22:1711-1723. doi: 10.1002/ejhf.1716

10. Pfeffer MA, Claggett B, Assmann SF, Boineau R, Anand IS, Clausell N, Desai AS, Diaz R, Fleg JL, Gordeev I, et al. Regional Variation in Patients and Outcomes in the Treatment of Preserved Cardiac Function Heart Failure With an Aldosterone Antagonist (TOPCAT) Trial. *Circulation*. 2015;131:34-42. doi: 10.1161/circulationaha.114.013255
11. Lang RM, Bierig M, Devereux RB, Flachskampf FA, Foster E, Pellikka PA, Picard MH, Roman MJ, Seward J, Shanewise JS, et al. Recommendations for chamber quantification: a report from the American Society of Echocardiography's Guidelines and Standards Committee and the Chamber Quantification Writing Group, developed in conjunction with the European Association of Echocardiography, a branch of the European Society of Cardiology. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr*. 2005;18:1440-1463. doi: 10.1016/j.echo.2005.10.005
12. da Costa BR, Juni P. Systematic reviews and meta-analyses of randomized trials: principles and pitfalls. *Eur Heart J*. 2014;35:3336-3345. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehu424
13. Riley RD, Lambert PC, Abo-Zaid G. Meta-analysis of individual participant data: rationale, conduct, and reporting. *Bmj*. 2010;340:c221. doi: 10.1136/bmj.c221
14. Gupta S, Matulevicius SA, Ayers CR, Berry JD, Patel PC, Markham DW, Levine BD, Chin KM, de Lemos JA, Peshock RM, et al. Left atrial structure and function and clinical outcomes in the general population. *Eur Heart J*. 2013;34:278-285. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehs188
15. Pellicori P, Zhang J, Lukaschuk E, Joseph AC, Bourantas CV, Loh H, Bragadeesh T, Clark AL, Cleland JG. Left atrial function measured by cardiac magnetic resonance imaging in patients with heart failure: clinical associations and prognostic value. *Eur Heart J*. 2015;36:733-742. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehu405
16. Hoit BD. Left atrial size and function: role in prognosis. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2014;63:493-505. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2013.10.055
17. Inciardi RM, Claggett B, Minamisawa M, Shin SH, Selvaraj S, Gonçalves A, Wang W, Kitzman D, Matsushita K, Prasad NG, et al. Association of Left Atrial Structure and Function With Heart Failure in Older Adults. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2022;79:1549-1561. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2022.01.053
18. Rossi A, Gheorghiade M, Triposkiadis F, Solomon SD, Pieske B, Butler J. Left atrium in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: structure, function, and significance. *Circ Heart Fail*. 2014;7:1042-1049. doi: 10.1161/circheartfailure.114.001276
19. Inciardi RM, Bonelli A, Biering-Sorensen T, Cameli M, Pagnesi M, Lombardi CM, Solomon SD, Metra M. Left atrial disease and left atrial reverse remodelling across different stages of heart failure development and progression: a new target for prevention and treatment. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2022;24:959-975. doi: 10.1002/ejhf.2562
20. Thomas L, Abhayaratna WP. Left Atrial Reverse Remodeling: Mechanisms, Evaluation, and Clinical Significance. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2017;10:65-77. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2016.11.003
21. Swedberg K, Zannad F, McMurray JJ, Krum H, van Veldhuisen DJ, Shi H, Vincent J, Pitt B. Eplerenone and atrial fibrillation in mild systolic heart failure: results from the EMPHASIS-HF (Eplerenone in Mild Patients Hospitalization

And SurvIval Study in Heart Failure) study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2012;59:1598-1603. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2011.11.063
22. Filippatos G, Bakris GL, Pitt B, Agarwal R, Rossing P, Ruilope LM, Butler J, Lam CSP, Kolkhof P, Roberts L, et al. Finerenone Reduces New-Onset Atrial Fibrillation in Patients With Chronic Kidney Disease and Type 2 Diabetes. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2021;78:142-152. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2021.04.079
23. Ovchinnikov A, Belyavskiy E, Potekhina A, Ageev F. Asymptomatic Left Ventricular Hypertrophy Is a Potent Risk Factor for the Development of HFpEF but Not HFrEF: Results of a Retrospective Cohort Study. *J Clin Med*. 2022;11. doi: 10.3390/jcm11133885
24. Drazner MH. The transition from hypertrophy to failure: how certain are we? In: *Circulation*. United States; 2005:936-938.
25. Shah AM, Cikes M, Prasad N, Li G, Getchevski S, Claggett B, Rizkala A, Lukashevich I, O'Meara E, Ryan JJ, et al. Echocardiographic Features of Patients With Heart Failure and Preserved Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2019;74:2858-2873. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2019.09.063
26. Yamanaka S, Sakata Y, Nochioka K, Miura M, Kasahara S, Sato M, Aoyanagi H, Fujihashi T, Hayashi H, Shiroto T, et al. Prognostic impacts of dynamic cardiac structural changes in heart failure patients with preserved left ventricular ejection fraction. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2020;22:2258-2268. doi: 10.1002/ejhf.1945
27. Schlaich MP, Schmieder RE. Left ventricular hypertrophy and its regression: pathophysiology and therapeutic approach: focus on treatment by antihypertensive agents. *Am J Hypertens*. 1998;11:1394-1404. doi: 10.1016/s0895-7061(98)00149-6
28. Schmieder RE, Martus P, Klingbeil A. Reversal of left ventricular hypertrophy in essential hypertension. A meta-analysis of randomized double-blind studies. *Jama*. 1996;275:1507-1513.
29. Brown AJM, Gandy S, McCrimmon R, Houston JG, Struthers AD, Lang CC. A randomized controlled trial of dapagliflozin on left ventricular hypertrophy in people with type two diabetes: the DAPA-LVH trial. *Eur Heart J*. 2020;41:3421-3432. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa419
30. Edwards NC, Steeds RP, Stewart PM, Ferro CJ, Townend JN. Effect of spironolactone on left ventricular mass and aortic stiffness in early-stage chronic kidney disease: a randomized controlled trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2009;54:505-512. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2009.03.066
31. Schneider A, Schwab J, Karg MV, Kalizki T, Reinold A, Schneider MP, Schmieder RE, Schmidt BM. Low-dose eplerenone decreases left ventricular mass in treatment-resistant hypertension. *J Hypertens*. 2017;35:1086-1092. doi: 10.1097/hjh.0000000000001264
32. Pitt B, Reichek N, Willenbrock R, Zannad F, Phillips RA, Roniker B, Kleiman J, Krause S, Burns D, Williams GH. Effects of eplerenone, enalapril, and eplerenone/enalapril in patients with essential hypertension and left ventricular hypertrophy: the 4E-left ventricular hypertrophy study. *Circulation*. 2003;108:1831-1838. doi: 10.1161/01.cir.0000091405.00772.6e
33. Degre S, Detry JM, Unger P, Cosyns J, Brohet C, Kormoss N. Effects of spironolactone-altizide on left ventricular hypertrophy. *Acta Cardiol*. 1998;53:261-267.
34. Wang J, Nagueh SF. Echocardiographic assessment of left ventricular filling pressures. *Heart Fail Clin*. 2008;4:57-70. doi: 10.1016/j.hfc.2007.10.006

35. Mullens W, Borowski AG, Curtin RJ, Thomas JD, Tang WH. Tissue Doppler imaging in the estimation of intracardiac filling pressure in decompensated patients with advanced systolic heart failure. *Circulation*. 2009;119:62-70. doi: 10.1161/circulationaha.108.779223
36. Geske JB, Sorajja P, Nishimura RA, Ommen SR. Evaluation of left ventricular filling pressures by Doppler echocardiography in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: correlation with direct left atrial pressure measurement at cardiac catheterization. *Circulation*. 2007;116:2702-2708. doi: 10.1161/circulationaha.107.698985
37. Kosmala W, Przewlocka-Kosmala M, Marwick TH. Association of Active and Passive Components of LV Diastolic Filling With Exercise Intolerance in Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction: Mechanistic Insights From Spironolactone Response. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2019;12:784-794. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2017.10.007
38. McDonagh TA, Metra M, Adamo M, Gardner RS, Baumbach A, Böhm M, Burri H, Butler J, Čelutkienė J, Chioncel O, et al. 2021 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure. *Eur Heart J*. 2021;42:3599-3726. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehab368
39. Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, Butler J, Casey DE, Jr., Colvin MM, Drazner MH, Filippatos GS, Fonarow GC, Givertz MM, et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/HFSA Focused Update of the 2013 ACCF/AHA Guideline for the Management of Heart Failure: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Failure Society of America. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2017;70:776-803. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2017.04.025
40. Curtis JP, Sokol SI, Wang Y, Rathore SS, Ko DT, Jadbabaie F, Portnay EL, Marshalko SJ, Radford MJ, Krumholz HM. The association of left ventricular ejection fraction, mortality, and cause of death in stable outpatients with heart failure. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2003;42:736-742. doi: 10.1016/s0735-1097(03)00789-7
41. Vizzardi E, D'Aloia A, Giubbini R, Bordonali T, Bugatti S, Pezzali N, Romeo A, Dei Cas A, Metra M, Dei Cas L. Effect of spironolactone on left ventricular ejection fraction and volumes in patients with class I or II heart failure. *Am J Cardiol*. 2010;106:1292-1296. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2010.06.052
42. Cicoira M, Zanolla L, Rossi A, Golia G, Franceschini L, Brighetti G, Marino P, Zardini P. Long-term, dose-dependent effects of spironolactone on left ventricular function and exercise tolerance in patients with chronic heart failure. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2002;40:304-310.

Table 1. Patient's characteristics

Characteristics	Pooled	HOMAGE	ALDO-DHF	TOPCAT
N.	984	452 (45.9%)	398 (40.4%)	134 (13.6%)
Age, years	70.8 (65.7, 76.5)	72.7 (68.3, 78.1)	68.0 (62.0, 73.0)	71.5 (64.0, 78.0)
Women, n. (%)	386 (39.2%)	112 (24.8%)	210 (52.8%)	64 (47.8%)
BMI, Kg/m2	29.0 (26.1, 32.2)	28.1 (25.4, 31.6)	29.0 (26.5, 31.5)	33.0 (28.8, 38.5)
Hypertension, n. (%)	838 (85.2%)	351 (77.7%)	364 (91.5%)	123 (91.8%)
Diabetes mellitus, n. (%)	314 (31.9%)	184 (40.7%)	66 (16.6%)	64 (47.8%)
CAD, n. (%)	523 (53.2%)	331 (73.2%)	153 (38.4%)	39 (29.1%)
Heart rate, bpm	64 (57, 71)	61 (54, 67)	65 (59, 73)	67 (60, 76)
Atrial fibrillation, n. (%)	44 (1.6%)	0	0	44 (32.8%)
SBP, mmHg	136 (124, 149)	140 (128, 156)	134 (124, 147)	124 (112, 134)
DBP, mmHg	78.0 (70, 85)	78 (71, 85)	80 (71, 87)	70 (61, 80)
Potassium, mmol/L	4.3 (4.0, 4.5)	4.3 (4.1, 4.6)	4.2 (3.9, 4.4)	4.2 (3.9, 4.5)
Creatinine, mg/dL	0.9 (0.8, 1.1)	1.0 (0.8, 1.1)	0.9 (0.8, 1.0)	1.1 (0.9, 1.4)
eGFR, ml/min/1.73m2	71.5 (59.8, 84.0)	72.6 (61.6, 84.1)	73.4 (61.0, 85.6)	61.6 (50.7, 74.3)
Hemoglobin, g/dL	13.8 (12.8, 14.7)	14.0 (13.1, 14.9)	13.8 (13.0, 14.7)	12.6 (11.6, 13.4)
NT-proBNP, pg/mL	186 (107, 332)	210 (135, 356)	158 (85, 302)	NA
BNP, pg/mL	533 (276, 967)	NA	NA	533 (276, 967)
LAVi, ml/m2	28.9 (24.2, 35.2)	31.1 (26.2, 36.5)	26.6 (22.3, 32.6)	30.8 (25.0, 40.2)
LVMi, g/m2	100.3 (83.9, 118.3)	94.7 (80.7, 112.3)	106.4 (91.0, 125.7)	100.9 (80.4, 123.4)
IVS, mm	11.6 (10.2, 13.1)	11.0 (9.8, 12.3)	12.0 (11.0, 13.0)	11.6 (10.5, 13.0)
E/e'	10.7 (8.6, 13.1)	9.3 (7.5, 11.5)	11.9 (10.3, 14.0)	10.5 (7.8, 14.5)
LVEF, %	64.0 (59.4, 69.0)	62.9 (58.3, 66.7)	67.0 (62.0, 73.0)	60.5 (56.6, 65.7)
Spironolactone allocation, n. (%)	495 (50.3%)	224 (49.6%)	203 (51.0%)	68 (50.7%)

Legend: BMI, body mass index; CAD, coronary artery disease; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; LAVi, left atrial volume (indexed); LVMi, left ventricular mass (indexed); IVS, inter-ventricular septum thickness (end-diastolic); LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction.

Figure 1. Effect of spironolactone on cardiac structure and function

Legend: LAVi, left atrial volume (indexed); LVMi, left ventricular mass (indexed); IVS, inter-ventricular septum thickness (end-diastolic); LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary Table 1. Patient's characteristics by treatment group

Characteristics	Control/Placebo	Spiro.	P-value
N. =984	489	495	
Study			
HOMAGE, n. (%)	228 (46.6%)	224 (45.3%)	0.91
ALDO-DHF, n. (%)	195 (39.9%)	203 (41.0%)	
TOPCAT, n. (%)	66 (13.5%)	68 (13.7%)	
Age, years	70.6 (65.8, 76.0)	71.0 (65.6, 76.8)	0.92
Women, n. (%)	192 (39.3%)	194 (39.2%)	0.98
BMI, Kg/m2	28.7 (26.1, 32.0)	29.1 (26.1, 32.5)	0.26
Hypertension, n. (%)	413 (84.5%)	425 (85.9%)	0.54
Diabetes mellitus, n. (%)	156 (31.9%)	158 (31.9%)	0.99
CAD, n. (%)	260 (53.2%)	263 (53.1%)	0.99
Heart rate, bpm	63.0 (56.0, 71.0)	64.0 (57.0, 72.0)	0.69
Atrial fibrillation, n. (%)	23 (4.7%)	21 (4.2%)	0.73
SBP, mmHg	136.0 (125.0, 149.0)	136.0 (123.0, 149.0)	0.42
DBP, mmHg	78.0 (70.0, 85.0)	78.0 (70.0, 84.0)	0.78
Potassium, mmol/L	4.2 (4.0, 4.5)	4.3 (4.0, 4.5)	0.39
Creatinine, mg/dL	1.0 (0.8, 1.1)	0.9 (0.8, 1.1)	0.38
eGFR, ml/min/1.73m2	71.6 (58.8, 83.3)	71.4 (60.3, 85.5)	0.51
Hemoglobin, g/dL	13.8 (12.8, 14.8)	13.7 (12.9, 14.6)	0.29
NT-proBNP, pg/mL	184.0 (103.6, 316.1)	187.3 (112.0, 336.8)	0.45
BNP, pg/mL	521.0 (281.0, 967.0)	532.5 (241.5, 1038.0)	0.88
LAVi, ml/m2	29.2 (24.5, 34.9)	28.8 (23.6, 35.4)	0.49
LVMi, g/m2	100.6 (84.0, 120.9)	100.1 (83.5, 117.1)	0.59
IVS, mm	11.7 (10.2, 13.0)	11.6 (10.2, 12.9)	0.45
E/e'	10.8 (8.6, 13.0)	10.6 (8.6, 13.1)	0.85
LVEF, %	64.5 (59.9, 69.0)	64.0 (59.3, 68.8)	0.73

Legend: BMI, body mass index; CAD, coronary artery disease; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; LAVi, left atrial volume (indexed); LVMi, left ventricular mass (indexed); IVS, inter-ventricular septum thickness (end-diastolic); LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction.

Supplementary Table 2. Comparison of patient's characteristics according to echocardiographic availability

Characteristics	HOMAGE		P-value
	No echo.	Echo.	
N.	75	452	
Age, years	74.5 (70.2, 79.8)	72.7 (68.3, 78.1)	0.072
Women, n. (%)	23 (30.7%)	112 (24.8%)	0.28
BMI, Kg/m2	28.0 (25.9, 31.8)	28.1 (25.4, 31.6)	0.56
Hypertension, n. (%)	62 (82.7%)	351 (77.7%)	0.33
Diabetes mellitus, n. (%)	33 (44.0%)	184 (40.7%)	0.59
CAD, n. (%)	48 (64.0%)	331 (73.2%)	0.10
Heart rate, bpm	61.0 (55.0, 69.0)	61.0 (54.0, 67.0)	0.46
Atrial fibrillation, n. (%)	137.0 (125.0, 147.0)	140.0 (128.0, 156.0)	0.081
SBP, mmHg	79.0 (74.0, 83.0)	78.0 (71.0, 85.0)	0.77
DBP, mmHg	4.2 (4.0, 4.5)	4.3 (4.1, 4.6)	0.030
Potassium, mmol/L	0.9 (0.8, 1.1)	1.0 (0.8, 1.1)	0.87
Creatinine, mg/dL	71.6 (59.3, 84.7)	72.6 (61.6, 84.1)	0.61
Hemoglobin, g/dL	14.1 (13.0, 14.8)	14.0 (13.1, 14.9)	0.94
NT-proBNP, pg/mL	220.3 (134.7, 354.5)	210.1 (134.8, 355.7)	0.67
LAVi, ml/m2	28.6 (24.6, 34.4)	31.1 (26.2, 36.5)	0.20
LVMi, g/m2	94.2 (82.5, 111.4)	94.7 (80.7, 112.3)	0.96
IVS, mm	11.0 (9.8, 12.3)	11.0 (9.8, 12.3)	0.97
E/e'	9.5 (7.9, 11.6)	9.3 (7.5, 11.5)	0.50
LVEF, %	62.3 (56.0, 65.8)	62.9 (58.3, 66.7)	0.21
Characteristics	**ALDO-DHF**		**P-value**
	No echo.	**Echo.**	
N.	24	398	
Age, years	65.0 (61.0, 72.5)	68.0 (62.0, 73.0)	0.44
Women, n. (%)	11 (45.8%)	210 (52.8%)	0.51
BMI, Kg/m2	27.6 (24.9, 29.9)	29.0 (26.5, 31.5)	0.055
Hypertension, n. (%)	23 (95.8%)	364 (91.5%)	0.45
Diabetes mellitus, n. (%)	4 (16.7%)	66 (16.6%)	0.99
CAD, n. (%)	12 (50.0%)	153 (38.4%)	0.26
Heart rate, bpm	59.5 (54.5, 70.0)	65.0 (59.0, 73.0)	0.066
Atrial fibrillation, n. (%)	129.0 (109.0, 139.5)	134.0 (124.0, 147.0)	0.069
SBP, mmHg	76.5 (70.5, 87.0)	80.0 (71.0, 87.0)	0.48
DBP, mmHg	4.1 (3.8, 4.5)	4.2 (3.9, 4.4)	0.53
Potassium, mmol/L	0.9 (0.7, 1.0)	0.9 (0.8, 1.0)	0.46
Creatinine, mg/dL	73.0 (60.6, 84.2)	73.4 (61.0, 85.6)	0.70
Hemoglobin, g/dL	13.9 (13.3, 15.0)	13.8 (13.0, 14.7)	0.47
NT-proBNP, pg/mL	152.7 (57.4, 226.6)	158.8 (84.6, 302.4)	0.51
LAVi, ml/m2	26.9 (22.7, 31.1)	26.6 (22.3, 32.6)	0.88
LVMi, g/m2	114.5 (99.9, 124.3)	106.4 (91.0, 125.7)	0.32
IVS, mm	12.0 (11.5, 13.5)	12.0 (11.0, 13.0)	0.60
E/e'	11.6 (10.1, 14.1)	11.9 (10.3, 14.0)	0.67
LVEF, %	66.0 (63.0, 73.5)	67.0 (62.0, 73.0)	0.99
Characteristics	**TOPCAT-Americas**		**P-value**

	No echo.	Echo.	
N.	1633	134	
Age, years	72.0 (64.0, 79.0)	71.5 (64.0, 78.0)	0.77
Women, n. (%)	818 (50.1%)	64 (47.8%)	0.60
BMI, Kg/m2	32.8 (27.9, 38.4)	33.0 (28.8, 38.5)	0.42
Hypertension, n. (%)	1465 (89.8%)	123 (91.8%)	0.47
Diabetes mellitus, n. (%)	724 (44.4%)	64 (47.8%)	0.45
CAD, n. (%)	528 (32.4%)	39 (29.1%)	0.44
Heart rate, bpm	68.0 (61.0, 76.0)	67.0 (60.0, 76.0)	0.47
Atrial fibrillation, n. (%)	129.0 (118.0, 139.0)	124.0 (112.0, 134.0)	0.003
SBP, mmHg	70.0 (62.0, 80.0)	70.0 (61.0, 80.0)	0.19
DBP, mmHg	4.2 (3.9, 4.5)	4.2 (3.9, 4.5)	0.47
Potassium, mmol/L	1.1 (0.9, 1.4)	1.1 (0.9, 1.4)	0.77
Creatinine, mg/dL	61.1 (48.9, 76.7)	61.6 (50.7, 74.3)	0.96
Hemoglobin, g/dL	12.8 (11.7, 14.0)	12.6 (11.6, 13.4)	0.11
NT-proBNP, pg/mL	393.0 (184.0, 791.0)	532.5 (276.0, 967.0)	0.015
LAVi, ml/m2	28.3 (21.5, 36.6)	30.8 (25.0, 40.2)	0.008
LVMi, g/m2	108.9 (89.7, 128.1)	100.9 (80.4, 123.4)	0.013
IVS, mm	12.0 (10.6, 13.4)	11.6 (10.5, 13.0)	0.13
E/e'	11.4 (8.3, 15.6)	10.5 (7.8, 14.5)	0.29
LVEF, %	60.0 (55.6, 64.4)	60.5 (56.6, 65.7)	0.29

Legend: BMI, body mass index; CAD, coronary artery disease; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; LAVi, left atrial volume (indexed); LVMi, left ventricular mass (indexed); IVS, inter-ventricular septum thickness (end-diastolic); LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction.

Supplementary Table 3. Echocardiographic variables description by treatment group

Echo. variable	Baseline		9-12 months		Change	
	Control/Pbo.	Spiro.	Control/Pbo.	Spiro.	Control/Pbo.	Spiro.
LAVi, ml/m2 (n =818)	30.3 ± 8.6	30.3 ± 10.2	30.2 ± 8.8	29.1 ± 9.9	-0.1 ± 5.8	-1.1 ± 6.1
LVMi, g/m2 (n =927)	103.8 ± 28.4	102.7 ± 27.7	102.3 ± 28.9	97.9 ± 25.8	-1.4 ± 18.6	-4.9 ± 19.4
IVS, mm (n =945)	11.7 ± 2.1	11.6 ± 1.9	11.7 ± 2.1	11.3 ± 1.8	-0.1 ± 1.2	-0.2 ± 1.2
E/e', (n =890)	11.4 ± 4.2	11.4 ± 4.2	11.8 ± 4.5	10.8 ± 3.9	0.4 ± 3.0	-0.6 ± 3.1
LVEF, % (n =788)	64.6 ± 8.1	64.7 ± 7.8	63.4 ± 8.5	65.2 ± 7.3	-1.1 ± 7.6	0.5 ± 7.4

Legend: LAVi, left atrial volume (indexed); LVMi, left ventricular mass (indexed); IVS, inter-ventricular septum thickness (end-diastolic); LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; Pbo, placebo; Spiro, spironolactone.

Supplementary Table 4. Subgroup analyses

Variable / Subgroup	Beta	LCI	UCI	IntP
LAVi change				
Age<70yr	-1.1	-2.2	0.0	0.78
Age>=70yr	-0.9	-1.9	0.2	
Men	-0.6	-1.6	0.4	0.27
Women	-1.5	-2.7	-0.3	
No diabetes	-0.8	-1.7	0.1	0.53
Diabetes	-1.4	-2.8	0.1	
No CAD	-0.8	-1.9	0.3	0.63
CAD	-1.2	-2.2	-0.1	
No hypertension	-0.4	-2.4	1.6	0.52
Hypertension	-1.1	-1.9	-0.3	
LVMi change				
Age<70yr	-3.9	-7.2	-0.5	0.89
Age>=70yr	-3.5	-6.6	-0.4	
Men	-4	-6.9	-1.1	0.73
Women	-3.2	-6.8	0.4	
No diabetes	-4.5	-7.2	-1.8	0.30
Diabetes	-1.9	-6	2.2	
No CAD	-5.3	-8.6	-2	0.20
CAD	-2.3	-5.4	0.8	
No hypertension	-1	-7	5	0.33
Hypertension	-4.2	-6.6	-1.7	
IVS change				
Age<70yr	-0.3	-0.5	-0.1	0.25
Age>=70yr	-0.1	-0.3	0.1	
Men	-0.3	-0.5	-0.1	0.05
Women	-0	-0.3	0.2	
No diabetes	-0.3	-0.4	-0.1	0.13
Diabetes	-0	-0.3	0.2	
No CAD	-0.2	-0.4	-0	0.67
CAD	-0.2	-0.4	0	
No hypertension	-0.3	-0.6	0.1	0.74
Hypertension	-0.2	-0.3	-0	
E/e' change				
Age<70yr	-1.2	-1.8	-0.7	0.25
Age>=70yr	-0.8	-1.3	-0.3	
Men	-0.9	-1.4	-0.5	0.74
Women	-1.1	-1.7	-0.5	
No diabetes	-1.1	-1.5	-0.6	0.40
Diabetes	-0.7	-1.4	-0.1	
No CAD	-1	-1.5	-0.4	0.98

CAD	-1	-1.5	-0.5	
No hypertension	-0.7	-1.7	0.3	0.56
Hypertension	-1	-1.4	-0.6	
LVEF change				
Age<70yr	1.1	-0.2	2.4	0.21
Age>=70yr	2.3	1	3.6	
Men	1.2	0	2.5	0.29
Women	2.3	0.8	3.7	
No diabetes	1.7	0.6	2.8	1
Diabetes	1.7	0	3.4	
No CAD	1.7	0.4	3	0.95
CAD	1.7	0.3	3	
No hypertension	0.6	-1.9	3	0.32
Hypertension	1.9	0.9	2.9	

Legend: LAVi, left atrial volume (indexed); LVMi, left ventricular mass (indexed); IVS, inter-ventricular septum thickness (end-diastolic); LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; CAD, coronary artery disease; IntP, interaction P-value.