

De Nys, L., Ofosu, E. F., Ryde, G. C., Connelly, J. and Whittaker, A. C. (2022) Physical activity influences cortisol and dehydroepiandrosterone (sulfate) levels in older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of Aging and Physical Activity, (doi: 10.1123/japa.2021-0501).

There may be differences between this version and the published version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

https://eprints.gla.ac.uk/278293/

Deposited on: 30 November 2022

Enlighten – Research publications by members of the University of Glasgow <u>https://eprints.gla.ac.uk</u>

1	Physical activity influences cortisol and dehydroepiandrosterone (sulphate) levels in
2	older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis.
3	Len De Nys <sup>*1</sup> , Esther F. Ofosu <sup>*1</sup> , Gemma C. Ryde <sup>*2</sup> , Jenni Connelly <sup>*1</sup> , Anna C. Whittaker <sup>*1</sup>
4	
5	*1 Faculty of Health Sciences and Sport, University of Stirling, Scotland, UK
6	*2 Institute of Cardiovascular and Medical Sciences, University of Glasgow, Scotland, UK
7	
8	Running head:
9	PHYSICAL ACTIVITY INFLUENCES CORTISOL AND DHEA(S)
10	
11	Corresponding author: Len De Nys, Faculty of Health Sciences and Sport, University of
12	Stirling, Scotland, UK. Len.De.Nys@stir.ac.uk, +32 472 99 49 48
13	
14	Dates:
15	Date of Last Search: 01/09/2021
16	Protocol First Published: 26/02/2021
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

1	
2	
3	Physical activity influences cortisol and dehydroepiandrosterone (sulphate) levels in
4	older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis.
5	

1

### Abstract

Age-related changes affect the ratio between two steroid hormones of the hypothalamic-2 pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, cortisol and dehydroepiandrosterone (sulphate) (DHEA(S)). 3 4 Physical activity (PA) may buffer the effects of chronic stress and counteract the ageing decline of DHEA(S). Therefore, a systematic review was conducted to understand how PA 5 6 influences physiological markers of cortisol and/or DHEA(S) and whether there was a 7 difference in observational associations or experimental effects in older adults aged 65 years and above. A narrative synthesis was performed on nine observational studies, and meta-8 analyses were performed on 22 randomised controlled trials. There was low to moderate-9 quality evidence that regular PA beneficially reduces cortisol and increases DHEA(S) levels. 10 Subgroup analyses showed no clinically important differences between males and females, 11 different exercise modalities or health states. The findings cautiously suggest that regular PA 12 of older adults' own choice as they find enjoyable could be recommended to improve cortisol 13 and/or DHEA(S) levels. 14

15 *Keywords*: exercise, physical fitness, healthy ageing, chronic stress, aged 65 and over

1

### Background

2 In the past century, lifespan has increased significantly (Roser, Ortiz-Ospina, & Ritchie, 2013). Although humans live longer, data suggests these additional years are often 3 4 not spent in good health (Crimmins & Beltrán-Sánchez, 2011; Crimmins, 2004). The increasing long-term multimorbidity of the population poses an enormous burden of capacity 5 on the healthcare system (Barnett et al., 2012). Therefore, research is increasingly needed to 6 7 conceptualize what governs healthy ageing. A lot of progress has been made in evidencing how physical activity (PA) contributes to good health (Macera, Cavanaugh, & Bellettiere, 8 2017; Peterson et al., 2009). For example, a recent study states that being sufficiently active at 9 an older age greatly decreases the odds of disability (Dos Santos & Gobbo, 2021). Despite 10 this progress, research remains to be conducted to fully understand the mechanisms of how 11 12 PA can improve health span, which is essentially defined as maintaining wellness throughout old age (Aronson, 2020). 13

In ageing, hormonal balances and endocrine pathways become increasingly challenged 14 (van den Beld et al., 2018). There is evidence for age-related alterations to the hypothalamic-15 pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, driving imbalances in the adrenal hormones, cortisol and 16 17 dehydroepiandrosterone sulphate (DHEAS) (Ferrari et al., 2001). One of the main stress hormones, cortisol, is key for establishing an adequate response to stress (Ockenfels et al., 18 1995), however when cortisol levels are chronically elevated, its effects will have negative 19 20 implications on health (Juster, McEwen, & Lupien, 2010). On the other hand, DHEA, a steroid hormone also produced in the adrenals, often measured in its active sulphated form 21 DHEAS, appears to counterbalance many of the negative effects of cortisol (Buoso et al., 22 2011; Pluchino et al., 2015), thereby implicating the importance of cortisol:DHEA(S) ratio in 23 ageing (Butcher et al., 2005; Phillips, Burns, & Lord, 2007). Several age-related changes can 24 be noted in these two hormones: older adults display an increased daily cortisol output 25

1 (Heaney, Phillips, & Carroll, 2012a; Karlamangla, Friedman, Seeman, Stawksi, & Almeida, 2 2013; Nater, Hoppmann, & Scott, 2013; Van Cauter, Leproult, & Kupfer, 1996), a blunted cortisol awakening response (CAR) (Kudielka, Buske-Kirschbaum, Hellhammer, & 3 Kirschbaum, 2004) and a flatter diurnal profile (Deuschle et al., 1997; Heaney, Phillips, & 4 Carroll, 2012b; Kumari et al., 2010). In contrast, studies reported a steady decline in levels of 5 the hormone DHEA(S) with age (Heaney et al., 2012a; Orentreich, Brind, Rizer, & 6 Vogelman, 1984) and a flatter diurnal profile in both older males and females compared to 7 younger adults (Al-Turk & Al-Dujaili, 2016). Not surprisingly, the cortisol:DHEA ratio 8 increases with age (Phillips et al., 2007). High cortisol:DHEA(S) ratios are associated with 9 immune impairment (Butcher et al., 2005), dementia (Ferrari et al., 2001), metabolic 10 syndrome (Phillips et al., 2010b), and mortality (Phillips et al., 2010a). As stated above, 11 research has shown changes in endocrine pathways in ageing. However, important questions 12 regarding the role of these hormones and the cortisol:DHEA(S) ratio in healthy ageing remain 13 unanswered, such as how they relate to PA to maintain health. 14

Several previous studies suggest the cortisol:DHEA ratio is an important marker of 15 healthy ageing with an increased cortisol:DHEA ratio relating to poorer physical function 16 (Heaney et al., 2012a), low social support, and higher depression, anxiety and chronic stress 17 (Heaney, Phillips, & Carroll, 2010). Further, Heaney et al. noted that older adults reporting 18 more severe recent stressful events, but low PA show a higher cortisol:DHEA ratio than those 19 reporting fewer stressful experiences (Heaney, Carroll, & Phillips, 2014). Moreover, they 20 found evidence that the observed association between stress severity and cortisol:DHEA was 21 driven by lower DHEA levels in those experiencing more severe stress rather than high levels 22 of cortisol. They argued that regular PA may potentially buffer against negative influence of 23 stressful life events on the cortisol:DHEA ratio. This agrees with the conclusions of a more 24 recent study (Moraes, Deslandes, Maciel-Pinheiro, Corrêa, & Laks, 2016) and adds to the 25

1 consensus that PA may buffer the effects of chronic stress (Rimmele et al., 2009; Unger, 2 Johnson, & Marks, 1997; Zschucke, Renneberg, Dimeo, Wüstenberg, & Ströhle, 2015) and decrease stress reactivity (Rimmele et al., 2007). Similar results are found in experimental 3 studies, where it was shown that exercise programmes can produce psychological and 4 physiological changes (Klaperski, von Dawans, Heinrichs, & Fuchs, 2014; Kraemer, 5 Ratamess, Hymer, Nindl, & Fragala, 2020; Kraemer & Ratamess, 2005). Despite increasing 6 interest on how PA impacts the endocrinology of stress and healthy ageing over the last few 7 decades (for most recent reviews on this topic, see (Anderson & Wideman, 2017; 8 Daskalopoulou et al., 2017; Duclos & Tabarin, 2016; Fragala et al., 2011; Sellami et al., 9 2019)), the association between PA and cortisol and DHEA(S) levels have not yet been 10 systematically reviewed in older adults. 11

12 This review focused on broader physical activity (incorporating exercise) on hormone responses rather than the impact of acute exercise bouts or exercise only for several reasons. 13 First, physical activity goes beyond the regular planned activities that we know as exercise to 14 also incorporate unplanned movement that can contribute to physical health, such as active 15 travel, moving about in the workplace and during chores etc. as part of an active lifestyle and 16 these types of bodily movement all contribute to overall health and have therefore formed the 17 significant evidence base behind global and national physical activity guidelines (Bull et al., 18 2020). For example, both exercise and physical activity improve stress and prevent or 19 improve several physical and mental health problems such as depression, cardiovascular, 20 immunological and metabolic diseases (Hill et al., 2008; Penedo & Dahn, 2005; Ströhle et al., 21 2007). In older adults, an active lifestyle is associated with a higher quality of life (Koltyn, 22 23 2001), and there is consensus that PA in older adults yields salutary psychological and physical effects. This includes moderate-intensity aerobic activity, muscle-strengthening 24 activity, reducing sedentary behaviour, and risk management (Nelson et al., 2007). More 25

specifically, Heaney et al (2014) found that habitual PA buffers the adverse effects of stress in 1 2 older men and women by opposing the stress-associated increases in the ratio between cortisol and DHEA (Heaney et al., 2014). The evidence further shows that higher physical fitness is 3 associated with lower daily cortisol output (Lucertini et al., 2015). In addition, a physically 4 active life yields positive effects on the brain structures, promoting better control of the HPA-5 axis and greater resilience to stress (McEwen & Morrison, 2013). Second, while there is 6 indeed a whole-body adaptation through acute exercise challenges (Hawley, Hargreaves, 7 Jovner, & Zierath, 2014), which not all physical activity might be sufficient to induce, there is 8 also an important behavioural health perspective to active lifestyles that should not be 9 overlooked. This systematic review was conducted to inform future research where 10 11 implementing the research into clinical practice is considered important. Exercise programs are proven to be feasible and effective for multiple health outcomes. However, many people 12 do not continue exercising after the end of a program. Therefore, investigating population 13 associations between longer-term PA as part of an active lifestyle (as well as exercise 14 interventions) and more favourable cortisol levels might yield engaging and pragmatic clinical 15 guidance for long-term health. Third, for healthy ageing, one needs to adapt and effectively 16 respond to the dynamic challenges of daily life. Allostasis is a dynamic concept where the 17 brain is considered to have a role in feedback regulation to adapt to these challenges and 18 where health is conceived as a whole-body adaptation to contexts (Schulkin, 2003; Sterling, 19 2004). Allostatic load has been proposed as a cumulative measure of dysregulation across 20 multiple systems, such as the neuroendocrine system, autonomic nervous system, and immune 21 system (McEwen & Stellar, 1993). The glucocorticoid cascade hypothesis of ageing is a 22 prime example of allostatic load since it recognizes a feed-forward mechanism that gradually 23 wears down a fundamental brain structure, the hippocampus. At the same time, the gradually 24 dysregulated HPA axis promotes pathophysiology in tissues and organs throughout the body 25

(McEwen, 2003). In this model, PA is identified as an important allostatic load covariate in
older adults (Karlamangla, Singer, McEwen, Rowe, & Seeman, 2002; Kubzansky, Kawachi,
& Sparrow, 1999; Seeman, Singer, Rowe, Horwitz, & McEwen, 1997). As a result, in light of
the health impact and practice applications of PA, and the allostatic load model, it is important
to investigate further the associations between PA as well as exercise and more favourable
endocrine profiles in older adults.

7 Therefore, the main aim of this systematic review was to explore the existing literature on how PA influences physiological markers of cortisol and/or DHEA(S) in older adults aged 8 65 years and above. The main objectives were to investigate: (1) whether there is 9 observational evidence to suggest regular PA is associated with lower cortisol and/or higher 10 DHEA(S) levels and which factors contribute most to these levels; (2) the average effect of 11 physical exercise interventions of at least 12 weeks duration on reducing cortisol and/or 12 increasing DHEA(S) levels in older adults. The sub-objectives were to determine different 13 effects between males and females, different exercise types (e.g., aerobic, resistance, mixed-14 types or mind-body) or intensities (low-moderate, moderate-high), and in a healthy population 15 versus those with a specific disease and; and the influence of differences between cortisol or 16 DHEA(S) sample timing, and the number of samples throughout the day. These objectives 17 and sub-objectives were set to reveal current knowledge gaps, provide future directions to 18 develop a better understanding of the intervention effects of PA programmes on endocrine 19 health in older adults, and contribute to the development of better clinical guidance. 20

21

### Methods

22 **Protocol** 

The conduct and analysis of this SR is mainly based on PRISMA (Preferred Reporting
Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) (Page et al., 2021), complemented with

guidance from the Cochrane Handbook (Higgins et al., 2021). The published protocol for the
 review is available at PROSPERO

https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?ID=CRD42021236934, registration
number CRD42021236934. For transparent reporting of the review, the PRISMA checklist
2020 was used (Appendix 1).

## 6 Study eligibility criteria

7 The selection process used for inclusion of studies in the review was as follows: (1) Original sources of peer reviewed evidence to date with both experimental and observational 8 9 designs, in the English language, except for letters and conference abstracts. To this end, it was considered that observational studies would be used to report population-based data that 10 would usefully supplement and extend the data drawn from RCTs. (2) Studies irrespective of 11 publication status, unless exclusion is explicitly justified. (3) Articles addressing the pre-12 defined Population, Intervention, Comparison and Outcome (PICO) criteria (Richardson, 13 Wilson, Nishikawa, & Hayward, 1995). The PICO criteria were: 14 Population: community-dwelling free living older adults, 65 years or older and older 15 individuals in supporting housing or care homes. Eligible studies were also included if they 16 involved only a subset of relevant participants but had separate analyses on them. 17 Intervention: Experimental or observational studies looking at PA, daily living activities, or 18 exercise programs maintained long enough for possible habit formation ( $\geq 12$  weeks). 19 interventions which included PA and/or exercise protocols equal to, or longer than, 12 weeks. 20 Comparison: Controls having an inactive/sedentary lifestyle, or receiving no intervention or 21 22 usual care. Outcome: Cortisol and/or DHEA(S), objectively measured in saliva, blood, hair or urine samples. 23

24 Search strategies

1 The searches were run on 01/03/2021 and re-run just prior to the final analyses on 2 02/09/2021. The following electronic bibliographic databases were searched: PubMed, PEDro, PsycINFO, OvidSP, the Cochrane Library (the Cochrane Central Register of 3 Controlled Trials (CENTRAL)), CINAHL and Web of Science (no data limits were chosen). 4 The search strategy included only terms relating to or describing the PICO criteria of interest 5 and were adapted for use for each specific bibliographic database. Grey literature searches 6 were conducted searching online databases (ClinicalTrials.gov) and using the Google Scholar 7 search engine according to the recommendations of Haddaway (Haddaway, Collins, 8 Coughlin, & Kirk, 2015). The exact search strategy used with suitable search terms for each 9 database is available in Appendix 2. Finally, to identify most prominent papers in this field, 10 the tool "connected papers" (https://www.connectedpapers.com/) was used. Reference lists of 11 key papers, and already included papers, were searched and cross-referenced by hand to 12 supplement initial keyword searches. 13

14 Data collection and management

Search results were collected in Sciwheel (sciwheel.com) and the extracted titles and 15 abstracts of papers were screened using Rayyan (rayyan.gcri.org) (Ouzzani, Hammady, 16 17 Fedorowicz, & Elmagarmid, 2016). Two reviewers (LDN & EO) independently performed a first-stage screening of titles and abstracts to determine whether each study met the eligibility 18 criteria. Any study identified by either reviewer was included for further screening. A second-19 20 stage screening of the selected full-text articles was again performed by the two independent reviewers. Further, a third-stage screening consisted of a pilot data extraction screening of the 21 retrieved full-text articles. Disagreements in the screening process were resolved by 22 consensus. Reasons for exclusion of studies excluded at the pilot data extraction screening 23 were documented. Finally, a flowchart showing the screening and selection process was 24 25 made.

Study authors were contacted to obtain missing data if needed (n = 5). Data extraction
 was conducted in Excel, where two independent reviewers collected the following: study ID,
 design, PICO characteristics, general findings, and statistics relevant to the research question.
 Retrieved data from observational studies were extracted separately from intervention studies.
 Assembling and grouping data elements was done using RevMan 5 software (The Cochrane
 Collaboration, 2020). Any transformations of the reported data can be found in Appendix 3.

## 7 Assessment of risk of bias

Two reviewers (LDN and EO) independently assessed the risk of bias using the 8 9 Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) tool (https://joannabriggs.org/critical-appraisal-tools) (Vardell & Malloy, 2013) for observational studies and the Cochrane Risk of Bias (RoB) 2.0 Tool 10 (Higgins et al., 2011; Ma et al., 2020) for intervention studies. The certainty of all evidence 11 was assessed using the GRADE approach (Guyatt et al., 2008) for the cortisol and DHEA(S) 12 outcomes. For randomized controlled trials, a starting rating of 'High quality' evidence was 13 downgraded by one level if serious concerns (or by two levels for very serious concerns) 14 became apparent in terms of risk of bias, inconsistency, indirectness, imprecision or 15 publication bias. For studies with observational features, a starting rating of 'Low quality' was 16 17 downgraded, as proposed by GRADE guidelines (Schünemann, Brożek, Guyatt, & Oxman, 2013). A funnel plot (Egger's test) (Egger, Smith, Schneider, & Minder, 1997) was performed 18 for the included RCTs to visualize possible reporting bias. There were insufficient 19 observational studies to allow construction of such a plot. 20

## 21 Data synthesis

When included study data were found similar enough in terms of methodological and
 clinical characteristics to ensure meaningful conclusions from a statistically pooled result,
 meta-analyses were performed.

1 Observational studies were considered not similar enough to combine data using metaanalysis, so narrative analyses were conducted. All studies were given equal weight. Effect 2 directions for both outcomes were assessed, and p-values reported for the sign test based on 3 existing guidance (Boon & Thomson, 2021). The p-value from a sign test represents the 4 probability of observing the given number of positive and negative results if the null 5 hypothesis were true. To calculate the p-value of each outcome domain, GraphPad was used 6 (https://www.graphpad.com/quickcalcs/binomial1/). Differences in relative sizes of the 7 studies were accounted for visually, not statistically (Borenstein, Hedges, Higgins, & 8 Rothstein, 2009). 9

Intervention studies were deemed similar enough to allow pooling of data using meta-10 analysis. The data were analysed based on mean, standard deviation (SD) and number of 11 12 participants assessed for both the intervention and comparison groups, and used to calculate the standardized mean differences (SMD) and 95% Confidence Intervals (CI) using the 13 generic inverse variance method in RevMan5 (The Cochrane Collaboration, 2020). Assuming 14 a true effect was not the same in all studies, or that studies were performed in different 15 populations, random-effects models were used to analyse data. These analyses were visualised 16 by forest plots. The degree of heterogeneity was thus assessed through Chi-squared (Chi<sup>2</sup>) 17 statistics. Heterogeneity was quantified and interpreted using the I-squared (I<sup>2</sup>) statistic 18 (Higgins et al., 2011). There were no deviations from the protocol in this final paper. 19

20

## Subgroup analysis and investigation of heterogeneity

Where substantial heterogeneity was present, it was addressed by exploring possible reasons and conducting subgroup analyses as suggested by the Cochrane Handbook (Higgins et al., 2020). Studies were grouped based on the category that best explains heterogeneity and makes most clinical and/or methodological sense to the reader, as *a priori* defined in the protocol. To be consistent across the review, forest plots of the DHEA(S) outcomes low in

1	heterogeneity were visualised with the same subgroups as the studies of cortisol. Meta-
2	analytic scores of subgroups are presented with the overall effects for both outcomes,
3	although there was still substantial statistical heterogeneity across the subgroups for cortisol.
4	Sensitivity analysis
5	To assess robustness of results, several analyses were performed. The effect of
6	intervention duration was compared (12 weeks vs. >12 weeks), also aspects of trial size,
7	quality assessment, patient characteristics and measurement of outcomes were considered.
8	Finally, analyses were performed with and without outliers studies, excluding these
9	sequentially one by one to see if this changed the overall results.
10	Results
11	Results of the search
12	A total of 4834 records were identified through database searches, and an additional
13	18 through reference list searches (see PRISMA diagram Figure 1). After removing
14	duplicates, 3069 titles and abstracts were screened for eligibility, 147 abstracts were obtained
15	for further review and 31 articles met the inclusion criteria. Reasons for exclusion are outlined
16	in Figure 1. One ongoing trial was found NCT03794050, but was paused due to SARS-CoV-2
17	pandemic, and therefore excluded. A table with characteristics of excluded studies during the
18	
	pilot data extraction can be found in Appendix 5.
19	pilot data extraction can be found in Appendix 5. Description of included studies
19 20	pilot data extraction can be found in Appendix 5. <b>Description of included studies</b> Observational studies were synthesized separate from obtained RCTs and study
19 20 21	pilot data extraction can be found in Appendix 5. <b>Description of included studies</b> Observational studies were synthesized separate from obtained RCTs and study characteristics were sorted by outcome (Tables 1 and 2).

1	Included observational studies were all cross-sectional designs (n=9), involving a
2	total of 729 participants (38% female) (Abbasi et al., 1998; Bonnefoy et al., 1998, 2002; de
3	Gonzalo-Calvo et al., 2012; Heaney et al., 2014; Lucertini et al., 2015; Moraes et al., 2016;
4	Pauly et al., 2019; Ravaglia et al., 2001). All studies, except one (Moraes et al., 2016), were
5	conducted in high-income countries; all participants were Caucasians. The included RCT's
6	(22) involved 1346 participants (79% female) (Banitalebi, Faramarzi, Bagheri, & Kazemi,
7	2018; Borst, Vincent, Lowenthal, & Braith, 2002; Campo et al., 2013; Furtado et al., 2016,
8	2020; Furtado et al., 2021; Ha & Son, 2018; Hersey et al., 1994; Ho et al., 2020; Im, Bang, &
9	Seo, 2019; Kim, Park, & Lim, 2018; Lu et al., 2020; Mura et al., 2014; Prakhinkit,
10	Suppapitiporn, Tanaka, & Suksom, 2014; Rieping et al., 2019; Sin, Ibarra, Tae, & Murphy,
11	2015; Son, Pekas, & Park, 2020; Tada, 2018; Tsang et al., 2013; Venturelli et al., 2016;
12	Vrinceanu et al., 2019; Yamada, Nishiguchi, Fukutani, Aoyama, & Arai, 2015), conducted in
13	10 countries. Studies were published between 1994 and 2021, however, most studies were
14	published last five to 10 years ( $n=25$ ).

Observational studies included mostly generally healthy older adults (n= 8), and subgroup analyses in studies were performed by fitness level (n= 4), gender (n= 2), age (n= 1), stress exposure (n= 1) or DHEA level (n= 1). Intervention studies with a cortisol outcome investigated generally healthy older adults (n= 8), or individuals with mood disorders (n=3), cognitive impairment (n=3) or other (n= 3, cancer survivors, metabolic syndrome or frailty). Intervention studies with a DHEA(S) outcome investigated generally healthy older adults (n= 5), frail or pre-frail older adults (n= 1).

## 22 Cortisol or DHEA(S) measurement

Cortisol and/or DHEA(S) samples of both cross-sectional studies and RCTs were
taken either from saliva (n= 19) or blood serum (n= 15). None used urine or hair samples.
Identified studies that focused on the diurnal cortisol slope, took three to six samples during

the day (Heaney et al., 2014; Ho et al., 2020; Lu et al., 2020; Lucertini et al., 2015; Pauly et
al., 2019; Venturelli et al., 2016). Cortisol Awakening Response (CAR) was analysed three
studies (Campo et al., 2015; Heaney et al., 2014; Vrinceanu et al., 2019). All other studies
performed a one-time measurement, almost exclusively in the morning, in a fasted state.

5 **Risk of bias in studies** 

Across all studies a low to moderate risk of bias was identified (Figures 2 and 3). In 6 the cross-sectional studies, the fifth question in the JBI checklist asks if confounding factors 7 are identified in each study. The review team recognised many confounding factors are at play 8 9 in a one-time point endocrinology measurement, certainly when trying to define life stressors, however, many studies did account for at least some confounders. Further, most studies 10 measured PA with reliable and valid questionnaires, these are not robust activity 11 measurements compared to e.g., accelerometery, however, the review team judged that the 12 exposure of all studies was measured in a valid and reliable way in studies that reported clear 13 and standardised (a priori-defined) PA measurement tools. Traffic light plots summarising 14 these decisions are shown in Appendix 7. 15

## 16 **Reporting biases**

The funnel plot showed slight asymmetry due to missing studies in areas of 'no intervention effect' (Figure 4). While reporting bias is thus considered, this asymmetry could be due to chance as the analysis contains few studies with a relatively small number of participants (Sterne et al., 2011). The funnel plot is grouped by intensity solely for consistency, and it should be acknowledged there are too few studies to interpret the findings by each subgroup. Other sources of bias, such as selection bias, performance and detection bias and attrition bias were considered (Appendix 7).

## 24 Results of syntheses and certainty of evidence

## 1 **Observational studies**

2 Cortisol. There was very low-quality evidence suggesting regular PA is associated with lower cortisol in both older males and females compared to being more sedentary (n =3 4 333 with 53% male, p value for sign test = .063 in males, all the studies reported a negative effect direction; and p value for sign test = 0.38 in females, four studies reported a negative 5 6 effect direction, with one unclear result) (Figure 5 and Table 3). GRADE guidelines state that 7 grading the evidence of non-RCTs starts at 'low quality'. It was deemed that inconsistency and/or indirectness did not appear to be an issue with this outcome nor was there any 8 publication bias detected. However, based on quality assessments, studies were downgraded 9 (-1) because some imprecision exists, following the GRADE 'rule of thumb' that information 10 is likely to be insufficient when rating continuous outcomes, when the total number of 11 participants is less than 400 (Schünemann et al., 2013). 12

**DHEA(S).** There was low quality evidence to suggest that being physically active in daily life is associated with higher DHEA(S) levels compared to being more sedentary. (n = 545 with 59% male, p value for sign test = 0.02 in males, with seven out of seven reporting a positive effect direction, and p value for sign test = 0.45 in females, with five out of seven studies reporting a positive effect direction) (Figure 5 and Table 3). The same GRADE factors were considered, but none of these features appeared to be an issue for this outcome, so the overall quality of evidence was not downgraded.

20 **Cortisol:DHEA(S) ratio.** Only one study was found where higher PA levels were 21 associated with a lower cortisol:DHEA(S) ratio (p = .05), mainly driven by significantly 22 higher average DHEA(S) levels in people who regularly engaged in PA (p = .009) compared 23 to those who did not (Heaney et al., 2014).

24 *RCTs* 

1	Cortisol. There was moderate quality evidence that exercise interventions in older
2	adults for at least 12 weeks probably reduces cortisol levels compared to no intervention
3	(SMD = -0.61, [-0.90, -0.33], 17 studies, 736 participants $)$ (Figure 6 and Table 3). The overall
4	quality was graded as moderate because of substantial statistical heterogeneity ( $I^2 = 69\%$ )
5	when pooling the studies. The heterogeneity was explained; thus the overall grade of evidence
6	was downgraded by only one level due to issues of inconsistency. After pre-specified sub-
7	group comparisons and through sensitivity analyses, three studies with the highest effect sizes
8	were found to explain all heterogeneity (Tada et al., 2018, Kim et al., 2018, Venturelli et al.,
9	2016) (SMD = $-1.83$ [ $-2.26$ , $-1.40$ ], three studies, 121 participants). When including these
10	studies, the overall finding in favour of the interventions was relatively strong but with
11	substantial variability. When these three studies were removed from the meta-analysis, the
12	heterogeneity was substantially reduced. Excluding these three articles from the equation still
13	resulted in high quality evidence that exercise interventions of at least 12 weeks reduce
14	cortisol levels slightly compared to controls in older adults (SMD = $-0.35$ [ $-0.51$ , $-0.18$ ], 15
15	studies, 615 participants).

16 DHEA(S). There was moderate quality evidence that exercise interventions in older 17 adults for at least 12 weeks improved DHEA(S) levels slightly compared to no intervention 18 (SMD = 0.39 [0.10, 0.68], six studies, 203 participants) (Figure 7 and Table 3). The studies 19 were considered homogeneous (I<sup>2</sup>=0). The quality of the evidence was rated as moderate due 20 to some imprecision may exist because of the number of participants (n= 203) is lower than 21 the general GRADE 'rule of thumb' of  $n \ge 400$  to be sufficient.

22 Cortisol:DHEA(S) ratio. No RCTs reported the impact of exercise interventions on
 23 the cortisol: DHEA(S) ratio.

24 Sub-grouping intervention studies

1	<b>Cortisol.</b> To further explore heterogeneity in the cortisol outcome, subgroup analyses
2	were conducted by gender, intervention types, intensity, duration, and participants' health
3	status showed no clinically important differences (Table 4). An overview of the intervention
4	specifics and adherence is shown for both outcomes combined in the TiDieR checklist
5	(Appendix 6). Intervention intensity was rated as low-moderate intensity (20-75% $VO_{2max}$ ) or
6	moderate-high intensity (>75% $VO_{2max}$ ) as stated by the American College of Sports
7	Medicine (ASCM) (American College of Sports Medicine, 2013). The forest plots of all
8	relevant sub-groups can be found in Appendix 4.

DHEA(S). Subgrouping of this outcome was explored narratively as there was no
heterogeneity in the pooled data. To sum, there were no important differences detected
between sub-groups. Of the six studies, interventions were as follows: aerobic training (n= 1),
resistance training (n= 2), combined interventions (n= 2) and mind-body interventions (n= 1).
Further, most of the studies were of low-moderate intensity (n= 4). Durations were 12 weeks
(n= 3) or between 24 and 28 weeks (n= 3). Four studies were conducted in generally healthy
older adults, whereas one study was in frail older adults.

16

## Discussion

17 This review used a rigorous systematic approach to assess the impact of PA on cortisol and DHEA(S) levels in older adults. Findings from the narrative synthesis of observational 18 studies suggested that there may be an association between regular PA in daily life and lower 19 total cortisol output compared to being more sedentary. Further, analyses of the DHEA(S) 20 outcomes showed that active older adults may have higher DHEA(S) levels, compared to 21 22 older adults that did not regularly engage in PA. As such, this cautiously confirms the hypothesis that there is low quality evidence that regular PA in daily life is associated with a 23 lower cortisol:DHEA(S) ratio, although only one study directly looked at this ratio as an 24 25 outcome. Results obtained by the meta-analysis of RCTs evidenced with moderate quality

1 evidence that PA interventions of 12 weeks or longer may reduce cortisol and increase

2 DHEA(S) levels compared to control conditions in older adults ( $\geq 65$  years).

**3** Overall completeness and applicability of evidence

The aim of this review was twofold. First to systematically review the existing 4 literature to date on how PA influences physiological markers of cortisol and/or DHEA(S) in 5 older adults, which is the first systematic review on this topic using rigorous methods. The 6 7 second aim was to examine whether there was a difference in observational associations or experimental effects. These two aims were addressed by including nine cross sectional studies 8 9 to assess whether there is evidence to suggest that regular PA is associated with lower cortisol and/or higher DHEA(S) levels, and the 22 RCTs included in a meta-analysis to measure the 10 average effect of physical exercise intervention on these outcomes. The overall certainty of 11 12 evidence was deemed low to very low for observational studies, using the GRADE approach (Schünemann et al., 2013). More studies with observational features with consistent 13 methodologies could improve the precision and consistency across studies, which were the 14 main concern for both outcomes. In contrast, grading the intervention studies revealed 15 moderate certainty of evidence. 16

17 There was substantial heterogeneity when combining the studies statistically for cortisol, however, after sensitivity analysis, three studies (Kim et al., 2018; Tada, 2018; 18 Venturelli et al., 2016) were found to account for all heterogeneity. Removing these did not 19 change the clinical importance of the prior intervention effects. Further, subgroups had too 20 few participants to draw firm conclusions. Therefore, the findings regarding subgroup 21 22 analyses should be considered tentative. More studies differentiating between intervention type, duration or intensity, or differentiating between health states, such as older adults with 23 mood disorders or frail older adults, could possibly determine clinically important differences 24 25 and increase the quality of evidence in the proposed subgroups.

19

1 It should also be noted that the included RCTs did not comment in detail on whether variation in response in the intervention groups might reflect a lack of physiological response 2 to exercise among some participants as opposed to being attributable to intervention factors 3 such as duration. Physiological exercise responses are driven by differences in genetics as 4 well as epigenetic changes and gene transcription mechanisms (Hawley et al., 2014). 5 Consequently, it would be important to measure these factors alongside hormone levels where 6 possible in future exercise/physical activity interventions as they drive exercise effects on 7 hormones via such mechanisms as changing receptor number or sensitivity (Hackney & 8 Hackney, 2005). 9

Observational studies were all cross-sectional, mostly including generally healthy
older adults in high income countries, highlighting a clear research gap in population-based
assessments, whether longitudinally or cross-sectionally, exploring associations between PA
levels and cortisol and/or DHEA(S) levels in an older population with varying health status, in
low to middle income countries.

Included studies where cortisol was the outcome investigated generally healthy older 15 adults (n = 8), those with mood disorders (n = 3), cognitive impairment (n = 3) or other (n = 3, 1)16 17 cancer survivors, metabolic syndrome or frailty). This revealed that little research has been conducted on objective stress measures and their association with PA (>12 weeks) in people 18 with existing disease states. More specifically, no RCTs were identified on reducing cortisol 19 20 through long term resistance or aerobic training in older adults with mood disorders. This is important to note as chronic stress and inadequate cortisol regulation are key drivers of non-21 communicable diseases (Joseph & Golden, 2017; McEwen & Stellar, 1993) and is often seen 22 in older populations experiencing depressive episodes (Murri et al., 2014). Included studies 23 with DHEA(S) as the outcome also investigated generally healthy older adults (n = 5) or frail 24

or pre-frail older adults (n = 1). Thus, quality research is lacking measuring the effects of
 exercise interventions on DHEA(S) in people with specific disease states and/or frailty.

Contrary to expectations, the associations from observational studies between PA and

.

3

4 a decrease in total cortisol output were small. This is in contrast with the present metaanalytic findings, which are in line with other reviews suggesting a regulatory role of PA on 5 stress and cortisol levels (Anderson & Wideman, 2017; Corazza et al., 2013; Fragala et al., 6 7 2011; Sellami et al., 2019). Other PA intervention studies also report a cortisol lowering response in generally healthy older adults (Chaturvedi, Navak, Navak, & Rao, 2016; Ibáñez et 8 al., 2008; Ponzio et al., 2015), however, other quality intervention studies found conflicting 9 results, showing no effects, or even higher cortisol levels after an exercise intervention 10 (Banitalebi et al., 2018; Borst et al., 2002; Häkkinen, Pakarinen, Kraemer, Newton, & Alen, 11 12 2000; Hayes et al., 2013; Izquierdo et al., 2001; Kraemer et al., 1999; Sillanpää et al., 2010). These studies generally used strength and resistance training protocols, which may acutely 13 increase the activation of adrenal glands and stimulate cortisol production (Ahn & Kim, 14 2018). Yet, no important differences in effect sizes were found in the present meta-analysis 15 when exploring subgroup differences by intervention type (aerobic vs. resistance vs. 16 combined vs mind-body). Overall, there is more quality research required to confidently 17 understand the effect of different exercise types. 18

The findings of this review show low-quality evidence that regular PA at older age is associated with increased DHEA(S) levels . There is indeed consensus that DHEA(S) decreases with age for both active and sedentary people (Heaney et al., 2014; Orentreich, Brind, Vogelman, Andres, & Baldwin, 1992) and that regular moderate PA is associated with higher levels of DHEA(S) in older adults (Abbasi et al., 1998; Aldred, Rohalu, Edwards, & Burns, 2009; Bonnefoy et al., 1998; Ravaglia et al., 2001; Tissandier, Péres, Fiet, & Piette, 2001). In addition, the present meta-analytic findings showed exercise interventions of at least 12 weeks probably improves DHEA(S) levels slightly compared to no intervention in older
 adults. Similar results (Sato et al., 2014) and no changes (Häkkinen et al., 2000; Häkkinen et al., 2002) were found in non-controlled resistance training interventions of at least 12 weeks.
 Further, DHEA can be maintained at a high level by long-term training in older adults (de
 Gonzalo-Calvo et al., 2012).

6 Gender

Observational studies included 38% women (out of 729 participants), whereas 7 interventional studies included 79% women (out of 1314 participants). As eight studies 8 9 included females only, findings were meta-analysed, evidencing exercise interventions may reduce cortisol levels slightly in older females, compared to controls. Laughlin & Barret-10 Connor (2000) found, however, that the cortisol:DHEA(S) ratio increased in ageing for both 11 genders, levels of DHEA(S) remained lower, and cortisol and the cortisol:DHEA(S) ratio was 12 higher in women than in men throughout the 50-89 years of age range (Laughlin & Barrett-13 Connor, 2000). These results are in line with a cross-sectional study in a group of healthy 14 older Tunisians investigating the gender-specific age-related alterations in cortisol and 15 DHEAS, stating the cortisol:DHEAS ratio increases with age, with larger increases in women 16 17 (Chehab, Ouertani, Chaieb, Haouala, & Mahdouani, 2007). This echoes the findings of studies on gender effects in ageing on cortisol (Zhao et al., 2003) and DHEA(S) (Berr, Lafont, 18 Debuire, Dartigues, & Baulieu, 1996; Mazat et al., 2001; Zumoff, Strain, Miller, & Rosner, 19 1995). 20

## 21 Exercise intensity, type, duration and adherence

Exercise can act as a stimulus to the HPA axis, increasing cortisol levels. This is due to the intensity and the duration of exercise (McMurray & Hackney, 2000). Essentially, physical exercise programs seek to produce favourable physiological adaptation effects,

1 contributing to improved regulatory capacity, increased receptor number in the target tissue, 2 and improved receptor sensitivity (Hackney & Hackney, 2005). There is more research needed to clearly elucidate exactly how different exercises impact the endocrine regulatory 3 axes. For this reason, an important research question of this systematic review was to 4 differentiate between different exercise intensities, types and duration. PA (incorporating 5 planned exercise) may contribute to influencing hormonal profiles in the longer term, and 6 therefore this review included PA interventions and observational data. To this end, there 7 were no important subgroup differences found between intensity types. However, there is an 8 overarching consensus that the HPA-axis is most impacted when training intensity is higher 9 than 60% of VO<sub>2max</sub> (Caiozzo et al., 1982; Hill et al., 2008). This is evidenced by studies 10 pointing out that this intensity increases the rate of glandular secretions and elevations of 11 cortisol are not due to decreases in metabolic clearance rate (Hill et al., 2008; VanBruggen, 12 Hackney, McMurray, & Ondrak, 2011). Other factors that are also shown to influence 13 hormonal release with exercise are aerobiosis, strength modalities, timing of the day, meal 14 ingestion and participant characteristics (such as previous training and gender) (Hackney & 15 Viru, 1999; Hackney, 2006; Leal-Cerro et al., 2003; Luger et al., 1987; Strüder et al., 1998; 16 Traustadóttir, Bosch, & Matt, 2003). However, not all older adults may be able to exercise at 17 this intensity but would still see some physical and mental health benefits from adopting an 18 active lifestyle (Bull et al., 2020) and possible longer-term benefits on endocrine function. 19 Hence, this review also incorporated PA interventions and observational data. 20

The included RCTs overall reported good adherence rates, and there was no detected difference in adherence between exercise types or intensities (Appendix 6). It is important to note that in one study, although physical wellbeing was maintained after the completion of the programme, the therapeutic effects on depression were not sustained in the follow-up period (Tsang et al., 2013). This highlights the need for long-term adherence to an exercise

1 programme. An exercise duration of 12 weeks could be long enough for participants to form a sustained habit change (Lally, van Jaarsveld, Potts, & Wardle, 2010). This is important, as the 2 largest body of evidence points to the fact that sustained regular exercise is needed to 3 maintain any gained health benefits (Garber et al., 2011). In addition, there is literature 4 suggesting that the affective response to exercise is also important (Wegner et al., 2020). This 5 is clinically important if we want people to engage and maintain in regular exercise for both 6 their mental and physical health. Further, most exercise adherence is seen near the ventilation 7 threshold (65% VO<sub>2max</sub>) (Ekkekakis, Parfitt, & Petruzzello, 2011). However, studies highlight 8 that pleasure and adherence are highest when the intensity (including during HIT) is self-9 selected, rather than imposed (Ekkekakis et al., 2011; Parfitt, Rose, & Burgess, 2006). To sum 10 up, it seems to be important for people to choose the exercise programme they enjoy most, in 11 whichever modality or intensity they will adhere to, to optimize endocrinological responses 12 and healthy ageing. 13

Subgrouping for duration revealed no important effectiveness differences, suggesting an exercise intervention of 12 weeks yields the same effects compared to longer interventions (14-28weeks). This could help guide researchers when deciding on the duration of future exercise interventions (for time/cost efficacy). In clinical practice, however, it should be noted that it is uncertain whether beneficial effects of interventions are maintained for long periods of time (only one study measured this: (Tsang, Mok, Yeung, & Chan, 2003)). This is similar to the consensus that PA of any kind needs to be maintained to sustain health benefits.

### 21 Health status

When subgrouping by health status for the cortisol outcome, the meta-analysis showed exercise interventions may decrease cortisol levels slightly compared to controls in different disease states. This is consistent with findings of other intervention studies showing somewhat reduced cortisol in adults with different health conditions, such as breast cancer patients (Ho, Fong, Cheung, Yip, & Luk, 2016) or females with Multiple Sclerosis (Najafi &
Moghadasi, 2017). However, other research groups found no change in cortisol after exercise
in older adults with rheumatoid arthritis (Häkkinen et al., 2005) or fibromyalgia (Valkeinen et al., 2005).

For DHEA(S), only one study was conducted in frail older adults. This RCT found an
increase in DHEA(S) levels after a multimodal chair-based programme (Furtado et al., 2020),
in accordance with a prior intervention study in older adults (Heaney, Carroll, & Phillips,
2013). Furtado et al. further highlight the importance of maintaining exercise to keep DHEA
levels elevated into older age, as suggested by an earlier review about chronic exercise in
older adults (Corazza et al., 2013).

11 Cortisol and DHEA(S) sampling

Most of the studies (n=16) measured cortisol in saliva, while others (n=6) measured 12 serum cortisol. Cortisol salivary measures are accurate, non-invasive and rapidly sampled 13 14 tests to measure the response to physical stress, making it increasingly used in research (Gatti & De Palo, 2011). Further, research seem to favour saliva measures over serum measures for 15 the clinical assessment of adrenocortical function (Aardal-Eriksson, Karlberg, & Holm, 1998; 16 Gozansky, Lynn, Laudenslager, & Kohrt, 2005; Vining, McGinley, Maksvytis, & Ho, 1983). 17 In contrast, more DHEA(S) samples were taken from blood (n=10) vs. saliva (n=3), although 18 salivary DHEA has the same feasibility advantages as salivary cortisol, and it is shown 19 DHEA(S) concentrations in saliva are highly correlated with those in serum (Ahn, Lee, Choi, 20 Kwon, & Chun, 2007; Whetzel & Klein, 2010). 21

## 22 Number of samples for accurate measurement

The majority of included studies took cortisol and DHEA(S) samples at one point in
time, mostly in the morning. Where samples were taken on multiple days (e.g., pre- post-

1 tests), measurements were at the same time on different days to decrease within subject's 2 diurnal variations. Indeed, often diurnal secretory activity is reliably determined by a single sample in the morning to assess within-subject variations over a certain period in an older 3 population (Kraemer et al., 2006), however, this has limited prognostic value due to intra-4 individual differences (Coste, Strauch, Letrait, & Bertagna, 1994; Pruessner et al., 1997). 5 This, together with the known diurnal rhythmicity of these hormones (Adam & Kumari, 2009; 6 Stalder et al., 2016), the flattening of the diurnal profile with ageing (Deuschle et al., 1997; 7 Van Cauter et al., 1996) and an increased day-to-day variation in older adults (Ice, Katz-Stein, 8 Himes, & Kane, 2004), means that there is a need for protocols with multiple measurements 9 targeting the overall diurnal pattern (Segerstrom, Boggero, Smith, & Sephton, 2014). This 10 further highlights a need for measurement consistency in research, in order to compare 11 different study findings (Dickerson & Kemeny, 2004; Ryan, Booth, Spathis, Mollart, & Clow, 12 2016). An accepted sampling design for cortisol involves e.g., measurements immediately 13 after awakening, 30-min post awakening, noon, in the late afternoon, and immediately prior to 14 bed (Hellhammer et al., 2007). This is similar to the measurement methods of the identified 15 cross-sectional studies (Heaney et al., 2014; Lucertini et al., 2015; Pauly et al., 2019) and 16 RCTs (Ho et al., 2020; Lu et al., 2020; Venturelli et al., 2016). Further guidance for 17 conducting field research on cortisol is given in another article (Saxbe, 2008) and for an 18 overview of the definitions of different cortisol indices, read the review of Khoury et al. 19 (Khoury et al., 2015). 20

21 Strengths and limitations

This systematic review used rigorous methods throughout the whole process to prevent possible bias by carefully following several established guidelines on systematic reviewing, both for narrative- as well as for meta-analyses (see Methods). Further, the selection of studies, critical appraisal and data extraction was conducted by two independent researchers (LDN and EO), while the data analysis and interpretation were carefully followed
by the whole research team (AW, GR, JC). Further, relevant findings were compared with
previous findings in an objective way, by considering the "five C's" (Cite, Compare, Contrast,
Critique and Connect) (Kennedy, 2016) of most prominent trials revealed during the review
process.

Several limitations are considered in the conduct of this review process. First, 6 7 although the sign test is a useful tool to interpret the overall pattern of effect direction, it raises several issues, as acknowledged by the authors who updated the effect direction plot for 8 better research guidance (Boon & Thomson, 2021). Thus, the power of the sign test used in 9 the narrative analysis of observational studies is limited due to the small number of included 10 studies. Also, there are well-recognized caveats about the limitation of p-values and 11 significance testing in judging associations(Sterne & Smith, 2001; Wasserstein, Schirm, & 12 Lazar, 2019), and with vote counting. Therefore, claims made regarding effectiveness of 13 regular PA in the cross-sectional studies were modest. Second, there was substantial 14 heterogeneity in the cortisol outcome, complicating a meaningful summary. However, it was 15 deemed appropriate to combine studies and the heterogeneity was properly explored by 16 conducting a priori defined subgroup analyses and explained after sensitivity analysis. Third, 17 established pitfalls about claims of subgrouping were considered (Burke, Sussman, Kent, & 18 Hayward, 2015), therefore, subgroupings were performed to allow for better interpretation, 19 rather than to let the conclusions of the discussed subgroup influence clinical guidance. 20

21

### Conclusion

This systematic review suggests that engagement in regular PA beneficially impacts cortisol and DHEA(S) levels. The evidence fell into two categories: First, a narrative synthesis of nine cross-sectional studies in older adults showed small associations between regular PA in daily life and lower total cortisol output. However, there was low quality evidence that being physically active in daily life at older age is associated with increased
DHEA(S) levels. Second, meta-analysis of 17 RCTs showed that exercise interventions
probably reduce cortisol levels compared to no intervention. In addition, meta-analytic
findings of six RCTs showed exercise training of at least 12 weeks probably improves
DHEA(S) levels slightly compared to no intervention in older adults

## 6 Implications for practice

7 The general picture emerging from the analysis is that regular PA in older adults is associated with improved cortisol and or DHEA(S) levels and that physical exercise 8 9 interventions of at least 12 weeks of any modality can beneficially improve these levels. The low to moderate certainty of this effect does not extend to different subgroups of health status 10 or low-income countries. Considering the present findings in the light of previous literature 11 about adherence to PA and habit formation, it is recommended that practitioners advise older 12 adults to choose any kind of activity they enjoy doing, will do regularly, and maintain over a 13 long period of time. 14

## **15** Implications for research

16 Further research is required to assess the associations between regular PA and hormonal balances including data from low-to-middle-income countries, varying in socio-17 economic status and ethnicity, in line with a recent review (Daskalopoulou et al., 2017). As 18 feasibility and safety is established in older adults for all discussed exercise modalities and 19 health states, studies should continue to explore exercise intervention effects on cortisol 20 and/or DHEA(S), and the ratio in older adults, differentiating between different health states 21 (e.g., metabolic syndrome, different mood states, cognitive decline, frailty) or different 22 exercise modalities (types or intensities) in well-controlled clinical trials. With this, a 23

systematic review could be repeated to increase the precision of understanding of intervention
 effects in different health states for different exercise modalities.

Saliva samples are accurate, non-invasive and rapidly taken, so future studies could 3 4 certainly use this measurement approach. Further, multiple measurements to make assumptions about the diurnal slope of cortisol are best practice, however, the number of 5 sampling times is clearly a cost/accuracy trade-off. These measures should be complemented 6 7 with a more comprehensive assessment quality of life outcomes (e.g., questionnaires about well-being, anxiety, stress perception, feelings of loneliness), as this will provide more in-8 depth insights on different variables contributing to how PA and hormonal parameters 9 influence the general health of older adults. These recommendations are based on the 10 literature base found through systematic review processes until September 2021. 11

12

# 1 Competing interests

- 2 The author confirms that there are no relevant financial or non-financial competing interests
- 3 to report.

٠		

## References

2	Aardal-Eriksson, E., Karlberg, B. E., & Holm, A. C. (1998). Salivary cortisolan alternative
3	to serum cortisol determinations in dynamic function tests. <i>Clinical Chemistry and</i>
4	<i>Laboratory Medicine</i> , 36(4), 215–222. doi:10.1515/CCLM.1998.037
5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Abbasi, A., Duthie, E. H., Sheldahl, L., Wilson, C., Sasse, E., Rudman, I., &amp; Mattson, D. E. (1998). Association of dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate, body composition, and physical fitness in independent community-dwelling older men and women. <i>Journal of the American Geriatrics Society</i>, 46(3), 263–273. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.1998.tb01036.x</li> </ul>
10	Adam, E. K., & Kumari, M. (2009). Assessing salivary cortisol in large-scale,
11	epidemiological research. <i>Psychoneuroendocrinology</i> , 34(10), 1423–1436.
12	doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2009.06.011
13	Ahn, N., & Kim, K. (2018). The effects of resistance elastic bands exercises on salivary IgA
14	and salivary cortisol levels in elderly women. <i>Biomedical Research</i> , 29(5).
15	doi:10.4066/biomedicalresearch.29-17-2726
16	Ahn, RS., Lee, YJ., Choi, JY., Kwon, HB., & Chun, SI. (2007). Salivary cortisol and
17	DHEA levels in the Korean population: age-related differences, diurnal rhythm, and
18	correlations with serum levels. <i>Yonsei Medical Journal</i> , 48(3), 379–388.
19	doi:10.3349/ymj.2007.48.3.379
20	Al-Turk, W., & Al-Dujaili, E. A. S. (2016). Effect of age, gender and exercise on salivary
21	dehydroepiandrosterone circadian rhythm profile in human volunteers. <i>Steroids</i> , 106,
22	19–25. doi:10.1016/j.steroids.2015.12.001
23 24 25	Aldred, S., Rohalu, M., Edwards, K., & Burns, V. (2009). Altered DHEA and DHEAS response to exercise in healthy older adults. <i>Journal of aging and physical activity</i> , <i>17</i> (1), 77–88. doi:10.1123/japa.17.1.77
26 27	American College of Sports Medicine. (2013). ACSM's guidelines for exercise testing and prescription.
28 29	Anderson, T., & Wideman, L. (2017). Exercise and the cortisol awakening response: A systematic review. <i>Sports medicine - open</i> , <i>3</i> (1), 37. doi:10.1186/s40798-017-0102-3
30 31	Aronson, L. (2020). Healthy aging across the stages of old age. <i>Clinics in geriatric medicine</i> , <i>36</i> (4), 549–558. doi:10.1016/j.cger.2020.06.001
32 33 34 35 36	<ul> <li>Banitalebi, E., Faramarzi, M., Bagheri, L., &amp; Kazemi, A. R. (2018). Comparison of performing 12 weeks' resistance training before, after and/or in between aerobic exercise on the hormonal status of aged women: a randomized controlled trial. <i>Hormone molecular biology and clinical investigation</i>, <i>35</i>(3). doi:10.1515/hmbci-2018-0020</li> </ul>
37	Barnett, K., Mercer, S. W., Norbury, M., Watt, G., Wyke, S., & Guthrie, B. (2012).
38	Epidemiology of multimorbidity and implications for health care, research, and

1 2	medical education: a cross-sectional study. <i>The Lancet</i> , <i>380</i> (9836), 37–43. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60240-2
3	Belvederi Murri, M., Pariante, C., Mondelli, V., Masotti, M., Atti, A. R., Mellacqua, Z.,
4	Amore, M. (2014). HPA axis and aging in depression: systematic review and meta-
5	analysis. <i>Psychoneuroendocrinology</i> , <i>41</i> , 46–62. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2013.12.004
6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Berr, C., Lafont, S., Debuire, B., Dartigues, J. F., &amp; Baulieu, E. E. (1996). Relationships of dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate in the elderly with functional, psychological, and mental status, and short-term mortality: a French community-based study. <i>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America</i>, 93(23), 13410–13415. doi:10.1073/pnas.93.23.13410</li> </ul>
11	Bonnefoy, M., Kostka, T., Patricot, M. C., Berthouze, S. E., Mathian, B., & Lacour, J. R.
12	(1998). Physical activity and dehydroepiandrosterone sulphate, insulin-like growth
13	factor I and testosterone in healthy active elderly people. <i>Age and Ageing</i> , 27(6), 745–
14	751. doi:10.1093/ageing/27.6.745
15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Bonnefoy, M., Patricot, M. C., Lacour, J. R., Rahmani, A., Berthouze, S., &amp; Kostka, T. (2002). [Relation between physical activity, muscle function and IGF-1, testosterone and DHEAS concentrations in the elderly]. <i>La Revue de Medecine Interne</i>, 23(10), 819–827. doi:10.1016/s0248-8663(02)00689-6</li> </ul>
19 20 21	Boon, M. H., & Thomson, H. (2021). The effect direction plot revisited: Application of the 2019 Cochrane Handbook guidance on alternative synthesis methods. <i>Research synthesis methods</i> , <i>12</i> (1), 29–33. doi:10.1002/jrsm.1458
22	Borenstein, M., Hedges, L. V., Higgins, J. P. T., & Rothstein, H. R. (2009). Introduction to
23	Meta-Analysis. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
24	doi:10.1002/9780470743386
25 26 27 28	Borst, S. E., Vincent, K. R., Lowenthal, D. T., & Braith, R. W. (2002). Effects of resistance training on insulin-like growth factor and its binding proteins in men and women aged 60 to 85. <i>Journal of the American Geriatrics Society</i> , <i>50</i> (5), 884–888. doi:10.1046/j.1532-5415.2002.50215.x
29 30 31 32	<ul> <li>Bull, F. C., Al-Ansari, S. S., Biddle, S., Borodulin, K., Buman, M. P., Cardon, G.,</li> <li>Willumsen, J. F. (2020). World Health Organization 2020 guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour. <i>British Journal of Sports Medicine</i>, 54(24), 1451–1462. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2020-102955</li> </ul>
33	Buoso, E., Lanni, C., Molteni, E., Rousset, F., Corsini, E., & Racchi, M. (2011). Opposing
34	effects of cortisol and dehydroepiandrosterone on the expression of the receptor for
35	Activated C Kinase 1: implications in immunosenescence. <i>Experimental Gerontology</i> ,
36	46(11), 877–883. doi:10.1016/j.exger.2011.07.007
37	Burke, J. F., Sussman, J. B., Kent, D. M., & Hayward, R. A. (2015). Three simple rules to
38	ensure reasonably credible subgroup analyses. <i>BMJ (Clinical Research Ed.)</i> , 351,
39	h5651. doi:10.1136/bmj.h5651
40	Butcher, S. K., Killampalli, V., Lascelles, D., Wang, K., Alpar, E. K., & Lord, J. M. (2005).
41	Raised cortisol:DHEAS ratios in the elderly after injury: potential impact upon

neutrophil function and immunity. Aging Cell, 4(6), 319-324. doi:10.1111/j.1474-1 9726.2005.00178.x 2 Caiozzo, V. J., Davis, J. A., Ellis, J. F., Azus, J. L., Vandagriff, R., Prietto, C. A., & 3 McMaster, W. C. (1982). A comparison of gas exchange indices used to detect the 4 anaerobic threshold. Journal of applied physiology: respiratory, environmental and 5 exercise physiology, 53(5), 1184-1189. doi:10.1152/jappl.1982.53.5.1184 6 Campo, R. A., Light, K. C., O'Connor, K., Nakamura, Y., Lipschitz, D., LaStayo, P. C., ... 7 Kinney, A. Y. (2015). Blood pressure, salivary cortisol, and inflammatory cytokine 8 outcomes in senior female cancer survivors enrolled in a tai chi chih randomized 9 controlled trial. Journal of cancer survivorship : research and practice, 9(1), 115–125. 10 doi:10.1007/s11764-014-0395-x 11 Campo, R. A., O'Connor, K., Light, K. C., Nakamura, Y., Lipschitz, D. L., LaStayo, P. C., ... 12 Kinney, A. Y. (2013). Feasibility and acceptability of a Tai Chi Chih randomized 13 14 controlled trial in senior female cancer survivors. Integrative cancer therapies, 12(6), 15 464-474. doi:10.1177/1534735413485418 16 Chaturvedi, A., Nayak, G., Nayak, A. G., & Rao, A. (2016). Comparative assessment of the effects of hatha yoga and physical exercise on biochemical functions in 17 18 perimenopausal women. Journal of clinical and diagnostic research : JCDR, 10(8), KC01-4. doi:10.7860/JCDR/2016/18891.8389 19 20 Chehab, O., Ouertani, M., Chaieb, K., Haouala, F., & Mahdouani, K. (2007). Hormonal status of cortisol and dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate in an elderly Tunisian population. 21 22 Comptes Rendus Biologies, 330(10), 755-763. doi:10.1016/j.crvi.2007.08.004 Corazza, D. I., Sebastião, É., Pedroso, R. V., Andreatto, C. A. A., de Melo Coelho, F. G., 23 24 Gobbi, S., ... Santos-Galduróz, R. F. (2013). Influence of chronic exercise on serum 25 cortisol levels in older adults. European review of aging and physical activity : official journal of the European Group for Research into Elderly and Physical Activity. 26 27 doi:10.1007/s11556-013-0126-8 Coste, J., Strauch, G., Letrait, M., & Bertagna, X. (1994). Reliability of hormonal levels for 28 29 assessing the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenocortical system in clinical pharmacology. British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology, 38(5), 474–479. doi:10.1111/j.1365-30 2125.1994.tb04386.x 31 Crimmins, E. M. (2004). Trends in the health of the elderly. Annual review of public health, 32 33 25, 79–98. doi:10.1146/annurev.publhealth.25.102802.124401 Crimmins, E. M., & Beltrán-Sánchez, H. (2011). Mortality and morbidity trends: is there 34 compression of morbidity? The Journals of Gerontology. Series B, Psychological 35 Sciences and Social Sciences, 66(1), 75-86. doi:10.1093/geronb/gbq088 36 Daskalopoulou, C., Stubbs, B., Kralj, C., Koukounari, A., Prince, M., & Prina, A. M. (2017). 37 Physical activity and healthy ageing: A systematic review and meta-analysis of 38 longitudinal cohort studies. Ageing Research Reviews, 38, 6-17. 39 doi:10.1016/j.arr.2017.06.003 40

1 2 3 4	de Gonzalo-Calvo, D., Fernández-García, B., de Luxán-Delgado, B., Rodríguez-González, S., García-Macia, M., Suárez, F. M., Coto-Montes, A. (2012). Long-term training induces a healthy inflammatory and endocrine emergent biomarker profile in elderly men. <i>Age</i> , <i>34</i> (3), 761–771. doi:10.1007/s11357-011-9266-9
5 6 7 8	Deuschle, M., Gotthardt, U., Schweiger, U., Weber, B., Körner, A., Schmider, J., Heuser, I. (1997). With aging in humans the activity of the hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal system increases and its diurnal amplitude flattens. <i>Life Sciences</i> , 61(22), 2239–2246. doi:10.1016/S0024-3205(97)00926-0
9 10 11	Dickerson, S. S., & Kemeny, M. E. (2004). Acute stressors and cortisol responses: a theoretical integration and synthesis of laboratory research. <i>Psychological Bulletin</i> , <i>130</i> (3), 355–391. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.130.3.355
12 13 14	Dos Santos, V. R., & Gobbo, L. A. (2021). Occupational and leisure-time physical activity decreases the odds of disability in older adults: Prospective study. <i>European journal of sport science</i> , <i>21</i> (6), 927–934. doi:10.1080/17461391.2020.1790669
15 16	Duclos, M., & Tabarin, A. (2016). Exercise and the Hypothalamo-Pituitary-Adrenal Axis. <i>Frontiers of Hormone Research</i> , 47, 12–26. doi:10.1159/000445149
17 18 19	Egger, M., Smith, G., Schneider, M., & Minder, C. (1997). Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. <i>BMJ (Clinical Research Ed.)</i> , <i>315</i> (7109), 629–634. doi:10.1136/bmj.315.7109.629
20 21 22 23	Ekkekakis, P., Parfitt, G., & Petruzzello, S. J. (2011). The pleasure and displeasure people feel when they exercise at different intensities: decennial update and progress towards a tripartite rationale for exercise intensity prescription. <i>Sports medicine (Auckland,</i> <i>N.Z.)</i> , 41(8), 641–671. doi:10.2165/11590680-0000000000000000000000000000000000
24 25 26 27	<ul> <li>Ferrari, E., Cravello, L., Muzzoni, B., Casarotti, D., Paltro, M., Solerte, S. B., Magri, F. (2001). Age-related changes of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis: pathophysiological correlates. <i>European Journal of Endocrinology</i>, <i>144</i>(4), 319–329. doi:10.1530/eje.0.1440319</li> </ul>
28 29 30	Fragala, M. S., Kraemer, W. J., Denegar, C. R., Maresh, C. M., Mastro, A. M., & Volek, J. S. (2011). Neuroendocrine-immune interactions and responses to exercise. <i>Sports medicine (Auckland, N.Z.)</i> , 41(8), 621–639. doi:10.2165/11590430-0000000000000000000000000000000000
31 32 33 34	Furtado, G E, Carvalho, H. M., Loureiro, M., Patrício, M., Uba-Chupel, M., Colado, J. C., Teixeira, A. M. (2020). Chair-based exercise programs in institutionalized older women: Salivary steroid hormones, disabilities and frailty changes. <i>Experimental</i> <i>Gerontology</i> , 130, 110790. doi:10.1016/j.exger.2019.110790
35 36 37 38	<ul> <li>Furtado, G E, Uba-Chupel, M., Carvalho, H. M., Souza, N. R., Ferreira, J. P., &amp; Teixeira, A. M. (2016). Effects of a chair-yoga exercises on stress hormone levels, daily life activities, falls and physical fitness in institutionalized older adults. <i>Complementary therapies in clinical practice</i>, 24, 123–129. doi:10.1016/j.ctcp.2016.05.012</li> </ul>
39 40 41	Furtado, Guilherme Eustáquio, Letieri, R. V., Silva-Caldo, A., Trombeta, J. C. S., Monteiro, C., Rodrigues, R. N., Ferreira, J. P. (2021). Combined Chair-Based Exercises Improve Functional Fitness, Mental Well-Being, Salivary Steroid Balance, and Anti-

- microbial Activity in Pre-frail Older Women. Frontiers in Psychology, 12, 564490. 1 doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2021.564490 2 Garber, C. E., Blissmer, B., Deschenes, M. R., Franklin, B. A., Lamonte, M. J., Lee, I.-M., ... 3 American College of Sports Medicine. (2011). American College of Sports Medicine 4 position stand. Quantity and quality of exercise for developing and maintaining 5 cardiorespiratory, musculoskeletal, and neuromotor fitness in apparently healthy 6 adults: guidance for prescribing exercise. Medicine and Science in Sports and 7 Exercise, 43(7), 1334–1359. doi:10.1249/MSS.0b013e318213fefb 8 Gatti, R., & De Palo, E. F. (2011). An update: salivary hormones and physical exercise. 9 Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports, 21(2), 157–169. 10 doi:10.1111/j.1600-0838.2010.01252.x 11 Gozansky, W. S., Lynn, J. S., Laudenslager, M. L., & Kohrt, W. M. (2005). Salivary cortisol 12 determined by enzyme immunoassay is preferable to serum total cortisol for 13 assessment of dynamic hypothalamic--pituitary--adrenal axis activity. Clinical 14 15 Endocrinology, 63(3), 336–341. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2265.2005.02349.x Guyatt, G. H., Oxman, A. D., Vist, G. E., Kunz, R., Falck-Ytter, Y., Alonso-Coello, P., ... 16 GRADE Working Group. (2008). GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality 17 18 of evidence and strength of recommendations. BMJ (Clinical Research Ed.), 336(7650), 924–926. doi:10.1136/bmj.39489.470347.AD 19 20 Ha, M.-S., & Son, W.-M. (2018). Combined exercise is a modality for improving insulin resistance and aging-related hormone biomarkers in elderly Korean women. 21 22 Experimental Gerontology, 114, 13–18. doi:10.1016/j.exger.2018.10.012 Hackney, A C, & Viru, A. (1999). Twenty-four-hour cortisol response to multiple daily 23 24 exercise sessions of moderate and high intensity. Clinical physiology (Oxford, England), 19(2), 178-182. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2281.1999.00157.x 25 Hackney, Anthony C. (2006). Exercise as a stressor to the human neuroendocrine system. 26 27 Medicina (Kaunas, Lithuania), 42(10), 788–797. Hackney, Anthony C, & Hackney, Z. C. (2005). The exercise-hypogonadal male condition 28 and endurance exercise training. Current trends in endocrinology, 1, 101–106. 29 Häkkinen, A., Pakarinen, A., Hannonen, P., Kautiainen, H., Nyman, K., Kraemer, W. J., & 30 Häkkinen, K. (2005). Effects of prolonged combined strength and endurance training 31 on physical fitness, body composition and serum hormones in women with rheumatoid 32 arthritis and in healthy controls. Clinical and Experimental Rheumatology, 23(4), 505-33 512. 34 Häkkinen, K, Pakarinen, A., Kraemer, W. J., Newton, R. U., & Alen, M. (2000). Basal 35 concentrations and acute responses of serum hormones and strength development 36 during heavy resistance training in middle-aged and elderly men and women. The 37 Journals of Gerontology. Series A, Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences, 55(2), 38 B95-105. doi:10.1093/gerona/55.2.b95 39 Häkkinen, Keijo, Kraemer, W. J., Pakarinen, A., Triplett-McBride, T., McBride, J. M., 40
- 41 Häkkinen, A., ... Newton, R. U. (2002). Effects of heavy resistance/power training on

1	maximal strength, muscle morphology, and hormonal response patterns in 60-75-year-
2	old men and women. <i>Canadian journal of applied physiology = Revue canadienne de</i>
3	<i>physiologie appliquee</i> , 27(3), 213–231. doi:10.1139/h02-013
4 5	Hawley, J. A., Hargreaves, M., Joyner, M. J., & Zierath, J. R. (2014). Integrative biology of exercise. <i>Cell</i> , <i>159</i> (4), 738–749. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2014.10.029
6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Hayes, L. D., Grace, F. M., Sculthorpe, N., Herbert, P., Ratcliffe, J. W., Kilduff, L. P., &amp; Baker, J. S. (2013). The effects of a formal exercise training programme on salivary hormone concentrations and body composition in previously sedentary aging men. <i>SpringerPlus</i>, 2(1), 18. doi:10.1186/2193-1801-2-18</li> </ul>
10	Heaney, J. L. J., Carroll, D., & Phillips, A. C. (2013). DHEA, DHEA-S and cortisol responses
11	to acute exercise in older adults in relation to exercise training status and sex. Age,
12	35(2), 395–405. doi:10.1007/s11357-011-9345-y
13	Heaney, J. L. J., Carroll, D., & Phillips, A. C. (2014). Physical activity, life events stress,
14	cortisol, and DHEA: preliminary findings that physical activity may buffer against the
15	negative effects of stress. <i>Journal of aging and physical activity</i> , 22(4), 465–473.
16	doi:10.1123/japa.2012-0082
17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Heaney, J. L. J., Phillips, A. C., &amp; Carroll, D. (2010). Ageing, depression, anxiety, social support and the diurnal rhythm and awakening response of salivary cortisol. <i>International Journal of Psychophysiology</i>, 78(3), 201–208. doi:10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2010.07.009</li> </ul>
21	Heaney, J. L. J., Phillips, A. C., & Carroll, D. (2012a). Ageing, physical function, and the
22	diurnal rhythms of cortisol and dehydroepiandrosterone. <i>Psychoneuroendocrinology</i> ,
23	37(3), 341–349. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2011.07.001
24	Heaney, J. L. J., Phillips, A. C., & Carroll, D. (2012b). Aging, health behaviors, and the
25	diurnal rhythm and awakening response of salivary cortisol. <i>Experimental aging</i>
26	<i>research</i> , 38(3), 295–314. doi:10.1080/0361073X.2012.672134
27 28 29 30	<ul> <li>Hellhammer, J., Fries, E., Schweisthal, O. W., Schlotz, W., Stone, A. A., &amp; Hagemann, D. (2007). Several daily measurements are necessary to reliably assess the cortisol rise after awakening: state- and trait components. <i>Psychoneuroendocrinology</i>, <i>32</i>(1), 80–86. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2006.10.005</li> </ul>
31	Hersey, W. C., Graves, J. E., Pollock, M. L., Gingerich, R., Shireman, R. B., Heath, G. W.,
32	Hagberg, J. M. (1994). Endurance exercise training improves body composition and
33	plasma insulin responses in 70- to 79-year-old men and women. <i>Metabolism: Clinical</i>
34	<i>and Experimental</i> , 43(7), 847–854. doi:10.1016/0026-0495(94)90265-8
35 36 37 38	<ul> <li>Higgins, J. P. T., Altman, D. G., Gøtzsche, P. C., Jüni, P., Moher, D., Oxman, A. D.,</li> <li>Cochrane Statistical Methods Group. (2011). The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. <i>BMJ (Clinical Research Ed.)</i>, 343, d5928. doi:10.1136/bmj.d5928</li> </ul>
39	Higgins, Thomas, Chandler, Cumpston, Li, Page, & Welch (Eds.). (2021). Cochrane
40	Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions (Vol. 6.2). Cochrane. Retrieved
41	from http://www.training.cochrane.org/handbook

Hill, E. E., Zack, E., Battaglini, C., Viru, M., Viru, A., & Hackney, A. C. (2008). Exercise and 1 circulating cortisol levels: the intensity threshold effect. Journal of Endocrinological 2 Investigation, 31(7), 587-591. doi:10.1007/BF03345606 3 4 Ho, R. T. H., Fong, T. C. T., Chan, W. C., Kwan, J. S. K., Chiu, P. K. C., Yau, J. C. Y., & Lam, L. C. W. (2020). Psychophysiological effects of dance movement therapy and 5 physical exercise on older adults with mild dementia: A randomized controlled trial. 6 The Journals of Gerontology. Series B, Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences, 7 75(3), 560-570. doi:10.1093/geronb/gby145 8 Ho, R. T. H., Fong, T. C. T., Cheung, I. K. M., Yip, P. S. F., & Luk, M.-Y. (2016). Effects of 9 a Short-Term Dance Movement Therapy Program on Symptoms and Stress in Patients 10 With Breast Cancer Undergoing Radiotherapy: A Randomized, Controlled, Single-11 Blind Trial. Journal of Pain and Symptom Management, 51(5), 824–831. 12 doi:10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2015.12.332 13 Ibáñez, J., Gorostiaga, E. M., Alonso, A. M., Forga, L., Argüelles, I., Larrión, J. L., & 14 15 Izquierdo, M. (2008). Lower muscle strength gains in older men with type 2 diabetes after resistance training. Journal of Diabetes and its Complications, 22(2), 112-118. 16 doi:10.1016/j.jdiacomp.2007.06.008 17 18 Ice, G. H., Katz-Stein, A., Himes, J., & Kane, R. L. (2004). Diurnal cycles of salivary cortisol in older adults. Psychoneuroendocrinology, 29(3), 355-370. doi:10.1016/s0306-19 4530(03)00034-9 20 Im, J. Y., Bang, H. S., & Seo, D. Y. (2019). The effects of 12 weeks of a combined exercise 21 22 program on physical function and hormonal status in elderly korean women. 23 International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 16(21). 24 doi:10.3390/ijerph16214196 Izquierdo, M., Häkkinen, K., Antón, A., Garrues, M., Ibañez, J., Ruesta, M., & Gorostiaga, E. 25 M. (2001). Maximal strength and power, endurance performance, and serum 26 hormones in middle-aged and elderly men. Medicine and Science in Sports and 27 28 Exercise, 33(9), 1577–1587. doi:10.1097/00005768-200109000-00022 29 Joseph, J. J., & Golden, S. H. (2017). Cortisol dysregulation: the bidirectional link between 30 stress, depression, and type 2 diabetes mellitus. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 1391(1), 20-34. doi:10.1111/nyas.13217 31 Juster, R.-P., McEwen, B. S., & Lupien, S. J. (2010). Allostatic load biomarkers of chronic 32 33 stress and impact on health and cognition. Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews, 35(1), 2-16. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2009.10.002 34 Karlamangla, A. S., Friedman, E. M., Seeman, T. E., Stawksi, R. S., & Almeida, D. M. 35 (2013). Daytime trajectories of cortisol: demographic and socioeconomic differences--36 findings from the National Study of Daily Experiences. Psychoneuroendocrinology, 37 38(11), 2585–2597. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2013.06.010 38 Karlamangla, A. S., Singer, B. H., McEwen, B. S., Rowe, J. W., & Seeman, T. E. (2002). 39 40 Allostatic load as a predictor of functional decline. MacArthur studies of successful

1 2	aging. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 55(7), 696–710. doi:10.1016/s0895-4356(02)00399-2
3 4	Kennedy, I. (2016). <i>How to do Research: Today's Tips and Tools</i> (1st ed.). South Africa: Kennedy House Publishing.
5	Khoury, J. E., Gonzalez, A., Levitan, R. D., Pruessner, J. C., Chopra, K., Basile, V. S.,
6	Atkinson, L. (2015). Summary cortisol reactivity indicators: Interrelations and
7	meaning. <i>Neurobiology of stress</i> , 2, 34–43. doi:10.1016/j.ynstr.2015.04.002
8	Kim, J., Park, HY., & Lim, K. (2018). Effects of 12 weeks of combined exercise on heart
9	rate variability and dynamic pulmonary function in obese and elderly korean women.
10	<i>Iranian journal of public health</i> , 47(Suppl 1), 74–81.
11	Klaperski, S., von Dawans, B., Heinrichs, M., & Fuchs, R. (2014). Effects of a 12-week
12	endurance training program on the physiological response to psychosocial stress in
13	men: a randomized controlled trial. <i>Journal of Behavioral Medicine</i> , 37(6), 1118–
14	1133. doi:10.1007/s10865-014-9562-9
15	Koltyn, K. F. (2001). The association between physical activity and quality of life in older
16	women. <i>Women's Health Issues</i> , 11(6), 471–480. doi:10.1016/S1049-3867(01)00128-
17	1
18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Kraemer, H. C., Giese-Davis, J., Yutsis, M., O'Hara, R., Neri, E., Gallagher-Thompson, D.,</li> <li> Spiegel, D. (2006). Design decisions to optimize reliability of daytime cortisol</li> <li>slopes in an older population. <i>The American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry</i>, 14(4),</li> <li>325–333. doi:10.1097/01.JGP.0000201816.26786.5b</li> </ul>
22	Kraemer, W J, Häkkinen, K., Newton, R. U., Nindl, B. C., Volek, J. S., McCormick, M.,
23	Evans, W. J. (1999). Effects of heavy-resistance training on hormonal response
24	patterns in younger vs. older men. <i>Journal of Applied Physiology</i> , 87(3), 982–992.
25	doi:10.1152/jappl.1999.87.3.982
26	Kraemer, William J, & Ratamess, N. A. (2005). Hormonal responses and adaptations to
27	resistance exercise and training. <i>Sports medicine (Auckland, N.Z.)</i> , 35(4), 339–361.
28	doi:10.2165/00007256-200535040-00004
29	Kraemer, William J, Ratamess, N. A., Hymer, W. C., Nindl, B. C., & Fragala, M. S. (2020).
30	Growth Hormone(s), Testosterone, Insulin-Like Growth Factors, and Cortisol: Roles
31	and Integration for Cellular Development and Growth With Exercise. <i>Frontiers in</i>
32	<i>endocrinology</i> , 11, 33. doi:10.3389/fendo.2020.00033
33 34 35 36	<ul> <li>Kubzansky, L. D., Kawachi, I., &amp; Sparrow, D. (1999). Socioeconomic status, hostility, and risk factor clustering in the Normative Aging Study: any help from the concept of allostatic load? <i>Annals of Behavioral Medicine</i>, 21(4), 330–338. doi:10.1007/BF02895966</li> </ul>
37	Kudielka, B. M., Buske-Kirschbaum, A., Hellhammer, D. H., & Kirschbaum, C. (2004). HPA
38	axis responses to laboratory psychosocial stress in healthy elderly adults, younger
39	adults, and children: impact of age and gender. <i>Psychoneuroendocrinology</i> , 29(1), 83–
40	98. doi:10.1016/s0306-4530(02)00146-4

1 2 3 4	<ul> <li>Kumari, M., Badrick, E., Sacker, A., Kirschbaum, C., Marmot, M., &amp; Chandola, T. (2010).</li> <li>Identifying patterns in cortisol secretion in an older population. Findings from the</li> <li>Whitehall II study. <i>Psychoneuroendocrinology</i>, <i>35</i>(7), 1091–1099.</li> <li>doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2010.01.010</li> </ul>
5	Lally, P., van Jaarsveld, C. H. M., Potts, H. W. W., & Wardle, J. (2010). How are habits
6	formed: Modelling habit formation in the real world. <i>European Journal of Social</i>
7	<i>Psychology</i> , 40(6), 998–1009. doi:10.1002/ejsp.674
8	Laughlin, G. A., & Barrett-Connor, E. (2000). Sexual dimorphism in the influence of
9	advanced aging on adrenal hormone levels: the Rancho Bernardo Study. <i>The Journal</i>
10	of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism, 85(10), 3561–3568.
11	doi:10.1210/jcem.85.10.6861
12	Leal-Cerro, A., Gippini, A., Amaya, M. J., Lage, M., Mato, J. A., Dieguez, C., & Casanueva,
13	F. F. (2003). Mechanisms underlying the neuroendocrine response to physical
14	exercise. <i>Journal of Endocrinological Investigation</i> , 26(9), 879–885.
15	doi:10.1007/BF03345239
16	Lu, E. Y., Lee, P., Cai, S., So, W. W. Y., Ng, B. F. L., Jensen, M. P., Tsang, H. W. H.
17	(2020). Qigong for the treatment of depressive symptoms: Preliminary evidence of
18	neurobiological mechanisms. <i>International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry</i> , 35(11),
19	1393–1401. doi:10.1002/gps.5380
20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Lucertini, F., Ponzio, E., Di Palma, M., Galati, C., Federici, A., Barbadoro, P., Minelli, A. (2015). High Cardiorespiratory Fitness Is Negatively Associated with Daily Cortisol Output in Healthy Aging Men. <i>Plos One</i>, <i>10</i>(11), e0141970. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0141970</li> </ul>
24	Luger, A., Deuster, P. A., Kyle, S. B., Gallucci, W. T., Montgomery, L. C., Gold, P. W.,
25	Chrousos, G. P. (1987). Acute hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal responses to the stress
26	of treadmill exercise. Physiologic adaptations to physical training. <i>The New England</i>
27	<i>Journal of Medicine</i> , <i>316</i> (21), 1309–1315. doi:10.1056/NEJM198705213162105
28 29 30 31	<ul> <li>Ma, LL., Wang, YY., Yang, ZH., Huang, D., Weng, H., &amp; Zeng, XT. (2020).</li> <li>Methodological quality (risk of bias) assessment tools for primary and secondary medical studies: what are they and which is better? <i>Military Medical Research</i>, 7(1), 7. doi:10.1186/s40779-020-00238-8</li> </ul>
32	Macera, C. A., Cavanaugh, A., & Bellettiere, J. (2017). State of the art review: physical
33	activity and older adults. <i>American journal of lifestyle medicine</i> , 11(1), 42–57.
34	doi:10.1177/1559827615571897
35	Mazat, L., Lafont, S., Berr, C., Debuire, B., Tessier, J. F., Dartigues, J. F., & Baulieu, E. E.
36	(2001). Prospective measurements of dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate in a cohort of
37	elderly subjects: relationship to gender, subjective health, smoking habits, and 10-year
38	mortality. <i>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of</i>
39	<i>America</i> , 98(14), 8145–8150. doi:10.1073/pnas.121177998

1 2 3	McEwen, B. S. (2003). Interacting mediators of allostasis and allostatic load: towards an understanding of resilience in aging. <i>Metabolism: Clinical and Experimental</i> , <i>52</i> (10 Suppl 2), 10–16. doi:10.1016/s0026-0495(03)00295-6
4	McEwen, B. S., & Morrison, J. H. (2013). The brain on stress: vulnerability and plasticity of
5	the prefrontal cortex over the life course. <i>Neuron</i> , 79(1), 16–29.
6	doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2013.06.028
7	McEwen, B. S., & Stellar, E. (1993). Stress and the individual. Mechanisms leading to
8	disease. Archives of Internal Medicine, 153(18), 2093–2101.
9	doi:10.1001/archinte.153.18.2093
10 11	<ul><li>McMurray, R. G., &amp; Hackney, A. C. (2000). Endocrine response to exercise and training. In</li><li>W. E. Garret &amp; D. T. Kirkendall (eds.), <i>Exercise in sport science</i> (pp. 135–61).</li></ul>
12	Milani, R. V., & Lavie, C. J. (2015). Health care 2020: reengineering health care delivery to
13	combat chronic disease. <i>The American Journal of Medicine</i> , 128(4), 337–343.
14	doi:10.1016/j.amjmed.2014.10.047
15 16 17	Moraes, H., Deslandes, A., Maciel-Pinheiro, P. de T., Corrêa, H., & Laks, J. (2016). Cortisol, DHEA, and depression in the elderly: the influence of physical capacity. <i>Arquivos de Neuro-Psiquiatria</i> , 74(6), 456–461. doi:10.1590/0004-282X20160059
18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Mura, G., Cossu, G., Migliaccio, G. M., Atzori, C., Nardi, A. E., Machado, S., &amp; Carta, M. G. (2014). Quality of life, cortisol blood levels and exercise in older adults: results of a randomized controlled trial. <i>Clinical practice and epidemiology in mental health</i> : <i>CP</i> &amp; <i>EMH</i>, <i>10</i>, 67–72. doi:10.2174/1745017901410010067</li> </ul>
22	Najafi, P., & Moghadasi, M. (2017). The effect of yoga training on enhancement of
23	Adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) and cortisol levels in female patients with
24	multiple sclerosis. <i>Complementary therapies in clinical practice</i> , 26, 21–25.
25	doi:10.1016/j.ctcp.2016.11.006
26	Nater, U. M., Hoppmann, C. A., & Scott, S. B. (2013). Diurnal profiles of salivary cortisol
27	and alpha-amylase change across the adult lifespan: evidence from repeated daily life
28	assessments. <i>Psychoneuroendocrinology</i> , 38(12), 3167–3171.
29	doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2013.09.008
30	Nelson, M. E., Rejeski, W. J., Blair, S. N., Duncan, P. W., Judge, J. O., King, A. C.,
31	American Heart Association. (2007). Physical activity and public health in older
32	adults: recommendation from the American College of Sports Medicine and the
33	American Heart Association. <i>Circulation</i> , 116(9), 1094–1105.
34	doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.107.185650
35 36 37 38	<ul> <li>Ockenfels, M. C., Porter, L., Smyth, J., Kirschbaum, C., Hellhammer, D. H., &amp; Stone, A. A. (1995). Effect of chronic stress associated with unemployment on salivary cortisol: overall cortisol levels, diurnal rhythm, and acute stress reactivity. <i>Psychosomatic Medicine</i>, 57(5), 460–467. doi:10.1097/00006842-199509000-00008</li> </ul>
39 40	Orentreich, N., Brind, J. L., Rizer, R. L., & Vogelman, J. H. (1984). Age changes and sex differences in serum dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate concentrations throughout

1 2	adulthood. <i>The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism</i> , 59(3), 551–555. doi:10.1210/jcem-59-3-551
3	Orentreich, N., Brind, J. L., Vogelman, J. H., Andres, R., & Baldwin, H. (1992). Long-term
4	longitudinal measurements of plasma dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate in normal men.
5	<i>The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism</i> , 75(4), 1002–1004.
6	doi:10.1210/jcem.75.4.1400863
7	Ouzzani, M., Hammady, H., Fedorowicz, Z., & Elmagarmid, A. (2016). Rayyan-a web and
8	mobile app for systematic reviews. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 5(1), 210.
9	doi:10.1186/s13643-016-0384-4
10	Page, McKenzie, Bossuyt, Boutron, Hoffmann, Mulrow, C. D., Moher, D. (2021). The
11	PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. <i>BMJ</i>
12	( <i>Clinical Research Ed.</i> ), 372, n71. doi:10.1136/bmj.n71
13	Parfitt, G., Rose, E. A., & Burgess, W. M. (2006). The psychological and physiological
14	responses of sedentary individuals to prescribed and preferred intensity exercise.
15	<i>British journal of health psychology</i> , 11(Pt 1), 39–53. doi:10.1348/135910705X43606
16	Pauly, T., Michalowski, V. I., Nater, U. M., Gerstorf, D., Ashe, M. C., Madden, K. M., &
17	Hoppmann, C. A. (2019). Everyday associations between older adults' physical
18	activity, negative affect, and cortisol. <i>Health Psychology</i> , 38(6), 494–501.
19	doi:10.1037/hea0000743
20	Penedo, F. J., & Dahn, J. R. (2005). Exercise and well-being: a review of mental and physical
21	health benefits associated with physical activity. <i>Current Opinion in Psychiatry</i> , 18(2),
22	189–193. doi:10.1097/00001504-200503000-00013
23	<ul> <li>Peterson, M. J., Giuliani, C., Morey, M. C., Pieper, C. F., Evenson, K. R., Mercer, V.,</li></ul>
24	Health, Aging and Body Composition Study Research Group. (2009). Physical
25	activity as a preventative factor for frailty: the health, aging, and body composition
26	study. <i>The Journals of Gerontology. Series A, Biological Sciences and Medical</i>
27	<i>Sciences</i> , 64(1), 61–68. doi:10.1093/gerona/gln001
28	Phillips, A. C., Burns, V. E., & Lord, J. M. (2007). Stress and exercise: Getting the balance
29	right for aging immunity. <i>Exercise and Sport Sciences Reviews</i> , 35(1), 35–39.
30	doi:10.1097/jes.0b013e31802d7008
31	Phillips, A. C., Carroll, D., Gale, C. R., Lord, J. M., Arlt, W., & Batty, G. D. (2010a).
32	Cortisol, DHEA sulphate, their ratio, and all-cause and cause-specific mortality in the
33	Vietnam Experience Study. <i>European Journal of Endocrinology</i> , <i>163</i> (2), 285–292.
34	doi:10.1530/EJE-10-0299
35	Phillips, A. C., Carroll, D., Gale, C. R., Lord, J. M., Arlt, W., & Batty, G. D. (2010b).
36	Cortisol, DHEAS, their ratio and the metabolic syndrome: evidence from the Vietnam
37	Experience Study. <i>European Journal of Endocrinology</i> , <i>162</i> (5), 919–923.

Pluchino, N., Drakopoulos, P., Bianchi-Demicheli, F., Wenger, J. M., Petignat, P., &
Genazzani, A. R. (2015). Neurobiology of DHEA and effects on sexuality, mood and

doi:10.1530/EJE-09-1078

1 2	cognition. <i>The Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology</i> , <i>145</i> , 273–280. doi:10.1016/j.jsbmb.2014.04.012
3 4 5 6	Ponzio, E., Sotte, L., D'Errico, M. M., Berti, S., Barbadoro, P., Prospero, E., & Minelli, A. (2015). Qi-gong training reduces basal and stress-elicited cortisol secretion in healthy older adults. <i>European Journal of Integrative Medicine</i> , 7(3), 194–201. doi:10.1016/j.eujim.2015.01.002
7 8 9 10	Prakhinkit, S., Suppapitiporn, S., Tanaka, H., & Suksom, D. (2014). Effects of Buddhism walking meditation on depression, functional fitness, and endothelium-dependent vasodilation in depressed elderly. <i>Journal of Alternative and Complementary</i> <i>Medicine</i> , 20(5), 411–416. doi:10.1089/acm.2013.0205
11 12 13 14	Pruessner, J. C., Wolf, O. T., Hellhammer, D. H., Buske-Kirschbaum, A., von Auer, K., Jobst, S., Kirschbaum, C. (1997). Free cortisol levels after awakening: a reliable biological marker for the assessment of adrenocortical activity. <i>Life Sciences</i> , 61(26), 2539–2549. doi:10.1016/S0024-3205(97)01008-4
15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Ravaglia, G., Forti, P., Maioli, F., Pratelli, L., Vettori, C., Bastagli, L., Cucinotta, D. (2001). Regular moderate intensity physical activity and blood concentrations of endogenous anabolic hormones and thyroid hormones in aging men. <i>Mechanisms of Ageing and Development</i>, 122(2), 191–203. doi:10.1016/s0047-6374(00)00234-7</li> </ul>
19 20 21	<ul> <li>Richardson, W. S., Wilson, M. C., Nishikawa, J., &amp; Hayward, R. S. (1995). The well-built clinical question: a key to evidence-based decisions. <i>ACP Journal Club</i>, <i>123</i>(3), A12–3. doi:10.7326/ACPJC-1995-123-3-A12</li> </ul>
22 23 24 25	<ul> <li>Rieping, T., Furtado, G. E., Letieri, R. V., Chupel, M. U., Colado, J. C., Hogervorst, E.,</li> <li>Ferreira, J. P. (2019). Effects of Different Chair-Based Exercises on Salivary</li> <li>Biomarkers and Functional Autonomy in Institutionalized Older Women. <i>Research</i></li> <li><i>quarterly for exercise and sport</i>, 90(1), 36–45. doi:10.1080/02701367.2018.1563272</li> </ul>
26 27 28	Rimmele, U., Seiler, R., Marti, B., Wirtz, P. H., Ehlert, U., & Heinrichs, M. (2009). The level of physical activity affects adrenal and cardiovascular reactivity to psychosocial stress. <i>Psychoneuroendocrinology</i> , <i>34</i> (2), 190–198. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2008.08.023
29 30 31 32	Rimmele, U., Zellweger, B. C., Marti, B., Seiler, R., Mohiyeddini, C., Ehlert, U., & Heinrichs, M. (2007). Trained men show lower cortisol, heart rate and psychological responses to psychosocial stress compared with untrained men. <i>Psychoneuroendocrinology</i> , 32(6), 627–635. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2007.04.005
33 34	Roser, M., Ortiz-Ospina, E., & Ritchie, H. (2013). Life Expectancy. <i>Our World in Data</i> . Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/life-expectancy
35 36 37	Ryan, R., Booth, S., Spathis, A., Mollart, S., & Clow, A. (2016). Use of salivary diurnal cortisol as an outcome measure in randomised controlled trials: a systematic review. <i>Annals of Behavioral Medicine</i> , <i>50</i> (2), 210–236. doi:10.1007/s12160-015-9753-9
38 39 40	<ul> <li>Sato, K., Iemitsu, M., Matsutani, K., Kurihara, T., Hamaoka, T., &amp; Fujita, S. (2014).</li> <li>Resistance training restores muscle sex steroid hormone steroidogenesis in older men. <i>The FASEB Journal</i>, 28(4), 1891–1897. doi:10.1096/fj.13-245480</li> </ul>

1	Saxbe, D. E. (2008). A field (researcher's) guide to cortisol: tracking HPA axis functioning in
2	everyday life. <i>Health psychology review</i> , 2(2), 163–190.
3	doi:10.1080/17437190802530812
4 5	Schulkin, J. (2003). Allostasis: a neural behavioral perspective. <i>Hormones and Behavior</i> , 43(1), 21–7; discussion 28. doi:10.1016/s0018-506x(02)00035-1
6	Schünemann, H., Brożek, J., Guyatt, G., & Oxman, A. (2013). GRADE handbook for grading
7	quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. The GRADE Working Group.
8	Retrieved from http://guidelinedevelopment.org/handbook
9	Seeman, T. E., Singer, B. H., Rowe, J. W., Horwitz, R. I., & McEwen, B. S. (1997). Price of
10	adaptationallostatic load and its health consequences. MacArthur studies of
11	successful aging. Archives of Internal Medicine, 157(19), 2259–2268.
12	doi:10.1001/archinte.157.19.2259
13	Segerstrom, S. C., Boggero, I. A., Smith, G. T., & Sephton, S. E. (2014). Variability and
14	reliability of diurnal cortisol in younger and older adults: implications for design
15	decisions. <i>Psychoneuroendocrinology</i> , 49, 299–309.
16	doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2014.07.022
17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Sellami, M., Bragazzi, N. L., Slimani, M., Hayes, L., Jabbour, G., De Giorgio, A., &amp; Dugué, B. (2019). The Effect of Exercise on Glucoregulatory Hormones: A Countermeasure to Human Aging: Insights from a Comprehensive Review of the Literature. <i>International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health</i>, 16(10). doi:10.3390/ijerph16101709</li> </ul>
22	Sillanpää, E., Häkkinen, A., Laaksonen, D. E., Karavirta, L., Kraemer, W. J., & Häkkinen, K.
23	(2010). Serum basal hormone concentrations, nutrition and physical fitness during
24	strength and/or endurance training in 39-64-year-old women. <i>International journal of</i>
25	<i>sports medicine</i> , 31(2), 110–117. doi:10.1055/s-0029-1242811
26	Sin, MK., Ibarra, B., Tae, T., & Murphy, P. J. M. (2015). Effect of a randomized controlled
27	trial walking program on walking, stress, depressive symptoms and cardiovascular
28	biomarkers in elderly korean immigrants. <i>Journal of Korean Biological Nursing</i>
29	<i>Science</i> , 17(2), 89–96. doi:10.7586/jkbns.2015.17.2.89
30	Son, WM., Pekas, E. J., & Park, SY. (2020). Twelve weeks of resistance band exercise
31	training improves age-associated hormonal decline, blood pressure, and body
32	composition in postmenopausal women with stage 1 hypertension: a randomized
33	clinical trial. <i>Menopause</i> , 27(2), 199–207. doi:10.1097/GME.000000000001444
34	Stalder, T., Kirschbaum, C., Kudielka, B. M., Adam, E. K., Pruessner, J. C., Wüst, S.,
35	Clow, A. (2016). Assessment of the cortisol awakening response: Expert consensus
36	guidelines. <i>Psychoneuroendocrinology</i> , 63, 414–432.
37	doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2015.10.010
38	Sterling, P. (2004). Principles of allostasis: optimal design, predictive regulation,
39	pathophysiology, and rational therapeutics. In J. Schulkin (ed.), <i>Allostasis,</i>
40	<i>homeostasis, and the costs of physiological adaptation</i> (pp. 17–64). Cambridge
41	University Press. doi:10.1017/CBO9781316257081.004

Ed.), 343, d4002. doi:10.1136/bmj.d4002

1

2

3

4

5 Sterne, J. A., & Smith, G. (2001). Sifting the evidence-what's wrong with significance tests? BMJ (Clinical Research Ed.), 322(7280), 226-231. doi:10.1093/ptj/81.8.1464 6

#### 7 Ströhle, A., Höfler, M., Pfister, H., Müller, A.-G., Hoyer, J., Wittchen, H.-U., & Lieb, R. (2007). Physical activity and prevalence and incidence of mental disorders in 8 adolescents and young adults. Psychological Medicine, 37(11), 1657-1666. 9 doi:10.1017/S003329170700089X 10

- Strüder, H. K., Hollmann, W., Platen, P., Rost, R., Weicker, H., & Weber, K. (1998). 11 Hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal and -gonadal axis function after exercise in sedentary 12 and endurance trained elderly males. European Journal of Applied Physiology and 13 Occupational Physiology, 77(3), 285-288. doi:10.1007/s004210050334 14
- Tada, A. (2018). Psychological effects of exercise on community-dwelling older adults. 15 16 Clinical Interventions in Aging, 13, 271–276. doi:10.2147/CIA.S152939
- 17 The Cochrane Collaboration. (2020). Review Manager (RevMan) (5.4). Computer software, The Cochrane Collaboration. 18

#### Tissandier, O., Péres, G., Fiet, J., & Piette, F. (2001). Testosterone, dehydroepiandrosterone, 19 20 insulin-like growth factor 1, and insulin in sedentary and physically trained aged men. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, 85(1-2), 177–184. 21 doi:10.1007/s004210100420 22

- Traustadóttir, T., Bosch, P. R., & Matt, K. S. (2003). Gender differences in cardiovascular and 23 hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis responses to psychological stress in healthy older 24 adult men and women. Stress (Amsterdam, Netherlands), 6(2), 133-140. 25 doi:10.1080/1025389031000111302 26
- Tsang, H. W. H., Mok, C. K., Au Yeung, Y. T., & Chan, S. Y. C. (2003). The effect of 27 Qigong on general and psychosocial health of elderly with chronic physical illnesses: 28 a randomized clinical trial. International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry, 18(5), 441-29 449. doi:10.1002/gps.861 30

#### Tsang, H. W. H., Tsang, W. W. N., Jones, A. Y. M., Fung, K. M. T., Chan, A. H. L., Chan, E. 31 P., & Au, D. W. H. (2013). Psycho-physical and neurophysiological effects of qigong 32 on depressed elders with chronic illness. Aging & mental health, 17(3), 336-348. 33 doi:10.1080/13607863.2012.732035 34

Unger, J. B., Johnson, C. A., & Marks, G. (1997). Functional decline in the elderly: evidence 35 for direct and stress-buffering protective effects of social interactions and physical 36 activity. Annals of Behavioral Medicine, 19(2), 152-160. doi:10.1007/BF02883332 37

#### Valkeinen, H., Häkkinen, K., Pakarinen, A., Hannonen, P., Häkkinen, A., Airaksinen, O., ... 38 Alén, M. (2005). Muscle hypertrophy, strength development, and serum hormones 39 during strength training in elderly women with fibromyalgia. Scandinavian Journal of 40 Rheumatology, 34(4), 309-314. doi:10.1080/03009740510018697 41

1	Van Cauter, E., Leproult, R., & Kupfer, D. J. (1996). Effects of gender and age on the levels
2	and circadian rhythmicity of plasma cortisol. <i>The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology</i>
3	and Metabolism, 81(7), 2468–2473. doi:10.1210/jcem.81.7.8675562
4	van den Beld, A. W., Kaufman, JM., Zillikens, M. C., Lamberts, S. W. J., Egan, J. M., &
5	van der Lely, A. J. (2018). The physiology of endocrine systems with ageing. <i>The</i>
6	<i>lancet. Diabetes &amp; endocrinology</i> , 6(8), 647–658. doi:10.1016/S2213-8587(18)30026-
7	3
8	VanBruggen, M. D., Hackney, A. C., McMurray, R. G., & Ondrak, K. S. (2011). The
9	relationship between serum and salivary cortisol levels in response to different
10	intensities of exercise. <i>International journal of sports physiology and performance</i> ,
11	6(3), 396–407. doi:10.1123/ijspp.6.3.396
12	Vardell, E., & Malloy, M. (2013). Joanna Briggs Institute: an evidence-based practice
13	database. <i>Medical reference services quarterly</i> , 32(4), 434–442.
14	doi:10.1080/02763869.2013.837734
15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Venturelli, M., Sollima, A., Cè, E., Limonta, E., Bisconti, A. V., Brasioli, A., Esposito, F. (2016). Effectiveness of Exercise- and Cognitive-Based Treatments on Salivary Cortisol Levels and Sundowning Syndrome Symptoms in Patients with Alzheimer's Disease. <i>Journal of Alzheimer's Disease</i>, 53(4), 1631–1640. doi:10.3233/JAD-160392</li> </ul>
19	Vining, R. F., McGinley, R. A., Maksvytis, J. J., & Ho, K. Y. (1983). Salivary cortisol: a
20	better measure of adrenal cortical function than serum cortisol. <i>Annals of Clinical</i>
21	<i>Biochemistry</i> , 20 (Pt 6), 329–335. doi:10.1177/000456328302000601
22 23 24 25 26	<ul> <li>Vrinceanu, T., Esmail, A., Berryman, N., Predovan, D., Vu, T. T. M., Villalpando, J. M.,</li> <li>Bherer, L. (2019). Dance your stress away: comparing the effect of dance/movement training to aerobic exercise training on the cortisol awakening response in healthy older adults. <i>Stress (Amsterdam, Netherlands)</i>, 22(6), 687–695. doi:10.1080/10253890.2019.1617690</li> </ul>
27 28 29	Wasserstein, R. L., Schirm, A. L., & Lazar, N. A. (2019). Moving to a World Beyond " <i>p</i> < 0.05". <i>The American statistician</i> , 73(sup1), 1–19. doi:10.1080/00031305.2019.1583913
30	Wegner, M., Amatriain-Fernández, S., Kaulitzky, A., Murillo-Rodriguez, E., Machado, S., &
31	Budde, H. (2020). Systematic Review of Meta-Analyses: Exercise Effects on
32	Depression in Children and Adolescents. <i>Frontiers in psychiatry</i> , 11, 81.
33	doi:10.3389/fpsyt.2020.00081
34	Whetzel, C. A., & Klein, L. C. (2010). Measuring DHEA-S in saliva: time of day differences
35	and positive correlations between two different types of collection methods. <i>BMC</i>
36	<i>Research Notes</i> , 3, 204. doi:10.1186/1756-0500-3-204
37	<ul> <li>Yamada, M., Nishiguchi, S., Fukutani, N., Aoyama, T., &amp; Arai, H. (2015). Mail-Based</li></ul>
38	Intervention for Sarcopenia Prevention Increased Anabolic Hormone and Skeletal
39	Muscle Mass in Community-Dwelling Japanese Older Adults: The INE (Intervention
40	by Nutrition and Exercise) Study. <i>Journal of the American Medical Directors</i>
41	<i>Association</i> , 16(8), 654–660. doi:10.1016/j.jamda.2015.02.017

1 2	Zhao, ZY., Lu, FH., Xie, Y., Fu, YR., Bogdan, A., & Touitou, Y. (2003). Cortisol secretion in the elderly. Influence of age, sex and cardiovascular disease in a Chinese
3	population. Steroids, 68(6), 551–555. doi:10.1016/S0039-128X(03)00083-7
4	Zschucke, E., Renneberg, B., Dimeo, F., Wüstenberg, T., & Ströhle, A. (2015). The stress-
5	buffering effect of acute exercise: Evidence for HPA axis negative feedback.
6	Psychoneuroendocrinology, 51, 414–425. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2014.10.019
7	Zumoff, B., Strain, G. W., Miller, L. K., & Rosner, W. (1995). Twenty-four-hour mean
8	plasma testosterone concentration declines with age in normal premenopausal women.
9	The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism, 80(4), 1429–1430.
10	doi:10.1210/jcem.80.4.7714119
11	

# Table 1

# Characteristics of included cross-sectional studies

Study	Country	Population			PA measurement	Outo	come	Relevant findings	
		Sample size n (%male)	Mean age (SD) in years	Main group – subgroups		Cortisol (times - measure)	DHEA(S) (times - measure)		
Lucertini et al., 2015	Italy, Marche Region	22 (100%)	67.4 (1.5)	Generally healthy – high vs low fitness	Time/week: categorical questionnaire	Saliva sample (6x – diurnal slope)	-	High fitness levels = lower cortisol*	
Pauly et al., 2019	Canada	162 (50%)	71 (6)	Generally healthy, community- dwelling – high and low daily steps	Counts: accelerometery	Saliva sample (4x – diurnal slope)	-	High daily steps were correlated with lower cortisol*	
Bonnefoy et al., 2002	France, Lyon	50 (50%)	71 (4)	Generally healthy – men vs women	Energy expenditure: Questionnaire d'Activité Physique Saint-Etienne (QAPSE)	Blood sample (1x – morning)	Blood sample (1x – morning)	In women, higher PA = higher DHEAS*	
Heaney et al., 2014	UK, Birmingham	36 (50%)	72.6 (5.5)	Generally healthy, community- dwelling – high stress vs low stress group	Time/week: categorical questionnaire	Saliva sample (6x – diurnal slope)	Saliva sample (6x – diurnal slope)	Higher PA = higher DHEA, and therefore lower cortisol:DHEA ratio*	

48
----

Study	Country	Population			PA measurement	Outo	come	Relevant findings	
		Sample size n (%male)	Mean age (SD) in years	Main group – subgroups		CortisolDHEA(S)(times - measure)(times - measure)			
Moraes et al., 2016	Brazil	63 (13%)	71.5 (12)	Mood disorders – depressed vs healthy	Energy expenditure: International Physical activity questionnaire (IPAQ)	Saliva sample (1x – afternoon)	Saliva sample (1x – afternoon)	Depressed patients = lower levels of cortisol than healthy controls	
Abbasi et al., 1998	US, Wisconsin	262 (55%)	69.9 (4.5)	Generally healthy, community- dwelling – men vs women and quartiles of serum DHEAS	Time/week: 7-day activity recall method	-	Blood sample (1x – morning)	Higher PA = higher DHEAS, in men*	
Bonnefoy et al., 1998	France	60 (43%)	70 (4)	Generally healthy, community- dwelling – men vs women and high vs low active	Energy expenditure: QAPSE	-	Blood sample (1x – morning)	Lower habitual PA = lower levels of DHEAS*	
de Gonzalo- Calvo et al., 2012	Spain, Oviedo	26 (100%)	75.5 (5)	Generally healthy, community- dwelling – long term trained vs sedentary	Time/week: checklist about regular physical activity	-	Blood sample (1x – morning)	Trained group = higher DHEA levels*	
Ravaglia et al., 2001	Italy, Bologna	96 (100%)	67.8 (2.2)	Generally healthy – middle-aged vs older adults	Energy expenditure: checklist about regular physical activity	-	Blood sample (1x – morning)	Physically active men = higher DHEAS levels*	

Note. \*: marks significance in study

# Table 2

# Characteristics of included intervention studies

Study			Population		Interv	Out	Relevant findings			
	Sample size n (%mal e)	Mean age (range) (years)	Setting	Health status	Туре	Duration	Control group	Cortisol (times - measure )	DHEA( S) (times - measure )	
Banitale bi et al., 2018	40 (0%)	M=67.3 5	Outpatient	Generally healthy	Resistance /endurance	12 weeks 3x per week (70 mins per session)	Aerobic vs resistanc e vs no exercise	Blood sample (1x mornin g)	-	Exercise = no change in cortisol levels
Borst et al., 2002	62 (45%)	60-85 (M= 68.1)	Centre for exercise and science, University of Florida, Gainesville	Generally healthy	Resistance	24 weeks 3x per week	No exercise program	Blood sample (1x time)	-	Resistanc e group = elevation s in cortisol*
Campo et al., 2013	63 (0%)	65.9 (55-84)	Free living	Cancer survivors	Tai Chi - mind body	12 weeks 3x per week (60mins/sessio n)	Health education	Saliva sample (5x for diurnal slope)	-	Tai chi group = lower cortisol levels*

Study			Population		Interve	Outcome		Relevant findings		
	Sample size n (%mal e)	Mean age (range) (years)	Setting	Health status	Туре	Duration	Control group	Cortisol (times - measure )	DHEA( S) (times - measure )	_ 0
Furtado et al., 2016	35 (0%)	M= 83.81	Social and health care support centres	Generally healthy	Chair based yoga	14 weeks 2-3x per week	No exercise	Saliva sample (1x mornin g)	-	Yoga group = unchange d levels, control group = increased cortisol levels*
Furtado et al., 2021	32 (0%)	82.4 (4.6)	Social and healthcare centres	Generally healthy/ pre-frail	Combined chair- based	14 weeks 2-3x per week (60mins/sessio n)	No exercise	Saliva Sample (1x mornin g)	-	Exercise group = no changes, control group = increased cortisol*
Ho et al., 2020	204 (18%)	M=79	Outpatient psycho- geriatrics/ older adult community centre	Cognitive impairmen t	Dance movement therapy (DMT) /exercise	12 weeks 2x per week (1hr/session)	Regular care	Saliva sample (5x mornin g)	-	DMT group = Improved diurnal cortisol slope*

Study			Population		Interve	ention		Outcome		Relevant findings
	Sample size n (%mal e)	Mean age (range) (years)	Setting	Health status	Туре	Duration	Control group	Cortisol (times - measure )	DHEA( S) (times - measure )	
Kim et al., 2018	20 (0%)	66.4	Free living/ house wives	Metabolic - Obese	Aerobic/resistan ce	12 weeks 3x per week (90- 120mins/sessio n)	No exercise program	Saliva sample (1x mornin g)	-	Exercise groups = decrease in cortisol*
Lu et al., 2020	30 (52%)	60+	Nursing homes	Mood disorder	Qigong	12 weeks 2x per week (60mins/sessio n)	Cognitiv e training	Saliva sample (5x for diurnal slope)	-	Qigong group = decrease in cortisol levels*
Mura et al., 2014	42 (62%)	65+	Community dwelling	Generally healthy	Aerobic /anaerobic	12 weeks	Gymnasti c group	Blood sample (1x mornin g)	-	Both groups = cortisol rise*
Prakhink it et al., 2014	45 (0%)	60-90 years	University hospital/ welfare centre	Mood disorder	Buddhism walking meditation/aero bic	12 weeks 3x per week (20- 30mins/session )	No exercise program	Blood sample (1x mornin g)	-	Buddhis m walking meditatio n group = cortisol decreased

\*

-	2
5	/
-	_

Study	Population				Interve		Outcome		Relevant findings	
	Sample size n (%mal e)	Mean age (range) (years)	Setting	Health status	Туре	Duration	Control group	Cortisol (times - measure )	DHEA( S) (times - measure )	
Rieping et al., 2019	47 (0%)	80	Care home	Generally healthy	Chair based aerobic/ elastic band	14 weeks 3x per week (45 mins/ session)	No exercise program	Saliva sample (1x mornin g)	-	No cortisol level changes
Sin et al.,2015	70 (44%)	60-87	Korean community senior centre	Generally healthy	Walking	12 weeks	Walk on their own and no pedomete r	Blood sample (1x mornin g)	-	No cortisol level changes
Tada, 2018	61 (31%)	70.9 (60-87)	Community- dwelling	Generally healthy	Resistance	24 weeks 2x per week (20mins/sessio n)	No exercise program	Saliva sample (1x mornin g)	-	Exercise group = decrease in cortisol levels*
Tsang et al., 2013	38 (31%)	65+	Psychogeriatri c day clinics/ day care centres/ care homes	Generally healthy vs Depressio n	qigong	12 weeks 3x per week (45mins/sessio n)	No exercise program	Saliva sample (1x mornin g)	-	Exercise groups = decreasin g trend in cortisol

5	3
_	-

Study	Population				Interve	Outcome		Relevant findings		
	Sample size n (%mal e)	Mean age (range) (years)	Setting	Health status	Туре	Duration	Control group	Cortisol (times - measure )	DHEA( S) (times - measure )	
Venturel li et al., 2016	80 (25%)	65-75	Nursing homes	Cognitive impairmen t – Alzheimer 's disease	Aerobic exercise	12 weeks 5x per week (60mins/sessio n)	No exercise program	Saliva sample (5x for diurnal slope)	-	Exercise group = cortisol levels reduced*
Vrincean u et al., 2019	40 (25%)	67.45 (60-86)	Geriatric institution/ gym	Generally healthy	Dance/aerobic	12 weeks 3x per week (60mins/sessio n)	Waiting list	Saliva sample (3x mornin g)	-	Exercise group = decrease in cortisol level*
Furtado et al., 2020	60 (0%)	M= 81	Institutionaliz ed older adults	Frail or pre-frail	Chair Based Exercise (CBE) /resistance	28 weeks 3x per week (45mins/sessio n)	No exercise program	Saliva sample (1x mornin g)	Saliva sample (1x morning )	CBE group = DHEA increased , control group = DHEA decreased *
Ha et al., 2018	20 (0%)	70-80	Living in Korea	Generally healthy	Aerobic /anaerobic /resistance	12 weeks 3x per week (60mins/sessio n)	No exercise program	-	Blood sample (1x time)	Exercise group = increase in DHEAS*

Study		]	Population		Interve	ention		Outcome		Relevant findings
	Sample size n (%mal e)	Mean age (range) (years)	Setting	Health status	Туре	Duration	Control group	Cortisol (times - measure )	DHEA( S) (times - measure )	
Hersey et al., 1994	52 (45%)	M= 72 (70-79)	Living in Gainesville	Generally healthy	Endurance/ resistance exercise	24 weeks 3x per week (35-45 mins/ session)	No exercise program	-	Blood sample (1x morning )	No change in DHEA levels
Im et al., 2019	25 (0%)	M= 70	Fitness centre	Post- menopaus al	Yoga and dance	12 weeks 3x per week (60mins/sessio n)	No exercise program	-	Blood sample (1x morning )	Exercise group = decrease in DHEAS*
Son et al., 2020	20 (0%)	M= 67.7	Multi-health community centre	Generally healthy	Resistance	12 weeks 3x per week (60mins/sessio n)	Sedentar y activities	-	Blood sample (1x morning )	Exercise group = increase in DHEAS*
Yamada et al., 2015	227 (37%)	65+	Community dwelling	Generally healthy	Walking	24 weeks	Walking & nutrition & inactive control	-	Blood sample (1x morning )	walking/ nutrition group = DHEAS increased *

Note. \*: marks significance in study

## Table 3

GRADE table

No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other	Certainty (overall score) <sup>1</sup>	Participants	F	ffect	
Average Outcome	effect of phy e: cortisol	vsical act	ivity intervention	n in older adul	ts, compared t	o controls		N (%male)	SMD [95% CI]	Ι	2
17	RCT	+	-	+	+	undetected	⊕⊕⊕⊖	759 (23%)	-63 [-0.90, -0.36]	69	0%
Outcome	e: DHEA(S)										
6	RCT	+	+	+	-	undetected	$\oplus \oplus \oplus \odot$	203 (14%)	0.44 [0.20, 0.68]	00	%
Evidence of effect of regular physical activity compared to sedentary										Effect P-value (s direction test)	
Ouicome		ortisol					uncenon	М	F		
5	Cross- sectional	+	+	+	-	undetected	€000	333 (53%)	-	.0625	.3750
Outcome	e: DHEA(S)										
7	Cross- sectional	+	+	+	+	undetected	$\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$	545 (59%)	+	.0156	.4531
Note. SM	D= Standard	ised Mea	an Difference, Cl	= Confidence	Interval, I <sup>2</sup> = I-	-square statist	tic, M= Male	, F= Female			

56

<sup>1</sup>4  $\oplus \oplus \oplus \oplus$  High = This research provides a very good indication of the likely effect. The likelihood that the effect will be substantially different\*\* is low.

- 3 ⊕⊕⊕⊙ Moderate = This research provides a good indication of the likely effect. The likelihood that the effect will be substantially different\*\* is moderate.
- 2⊕⊕◯◯ Low = This research provides some indication of the likely effect. However, the likelihood that it will be substantially different\*\* is high.
- 1 ⊕ COC Very low = This research does not provide a reliable indication of the likely effect. The likelihood that the effect will be substantially different\*\* is very high.
- \*\* Substantially different = a large enough difference that it might affect a decision

Cross-sectional studies start out with  $\oplus \oplus \odot \odot$ , conform to the GRADE guidelines.

Based on: Cochrane Consumers and Communication La Trobe University; Ryan, Rebecca; Hill, Sophie (2018): How to GRADE. La Trobe.

Journal contribution. https://doi.org/10.26181/5b57d95632a2c

## 1 Table 4

2 Standardized Mean Differences (SMD) and 95% Confidence intervals (CI) of meta-analytic

## 3 findings of the cortisol outcome subgroups

	SMD [95% CI]	N studies	N participants
	Gender		
Males and females	-0.61, [-0.90, -0.33]	17	736
Females only	-0.52 [-0.79, -0.25]	8	290
Inte	ervention types		
Aerobic exercise	-0.54 [-1,05, -0.03]	6	319
Resistance training	-0.91 [-1.95, 0.14]	3	141
Combined protocol	-0.67 [-1.20, -0.14]	4	127
Mind body exercise	-0.47 [-0.79, -0.14]	4	149
Inter	vention intensity		
Low-moderate	-0.65 [-0.96, -0.33]	15	656
Moderate-high	-0.41 [-1.01, 0.20]	2	80
Inter	vention duration		
12 weeks	-0.58 [-0.89, -0.27]	12	531
14-28 weeks	-0.67 [-1.32, -0.01]	5	205
]	Health status		
Generally healthy participants	-0.59 [-1.02, -0.16]	9	385
Participants with mood disorders	-0.36 [-0.79, 0.06]	3	87
Participants with other health issues	-0.86 [-1.46, -0.26]	6	264

4 *Note*. SMD: Standardized Mean Difference, CI: Confidence interval.

PRISMA flow diagram of the systematic review



*Note*. From: Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, The PRISMA Group (2009). Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. PLoS Med 6(7): e1000097. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed1000097

Critical appraisal of cross-sectional studies, appraised by the JBI-tool



Note. Green: low risk of bias, yellow: unclear information about given topics, yet included in

further analysis. From: robvis tool, https://mcguinlu.shinyapps.io/robvis/.

Critical appraisal of RCTs, appraised by the Cochrane RoB tool



Note. Green: low risk of bias, yellow: some concerns about given topics, yet included in

further analysis. From: robvis tool, https://mcguinlu.shinyapps.io/robvis/.

Egger's test by Funnel plot



Note. AE = aerobic exercise, RES = resistance training, M-B = mind-body exercise, Combined =

intervention including aerobic and resistance training elements.

Effect direction plot summarizing direction of cortisol and DHEA(S) hormone level impacts from cross-sectional studies.

Study	Cortisol male	Cortisol female	DHEA male	DHEA female
Lucertini F. et al., 2015	•	41-		
Pauly T. et al., 2019	•	•		
Bonnefoy M. et al., 2002	*	•	A	<b>A</b>
Heaney J. et al., 2014	•	*		<b>A</b>
Moraes H. et al., 2016	•	•	<b>A</b>	
Abbasi A. et al., 1998			<b>A</b>	<b>A</b>
Bonnefoy M. et al., 1998			<b>A</b>	
de Gonzalo-Calvo D. et al., 2012			<b>A</b>	40-
Ravaglia G. et al., 2001			*	-

Note. Effect direction: upward arrow  $\blacktriangle =$  increase in endocrine outcome, downward arrow  $\blacktriangledown =$  decrease in endocrine outcome, sideways arrow  $\blacklozenge =$  no change/mixed effects/conflicting findings. Sample size: Final sample size (individuals) in intervention group Large arrow  $\blacktriangle >300$ ; medium arrow  $\blacktriangle 50-300$ ; small arrow  $\blacktriangle <50$ . Study quality: denoted by row colour: green = low risk of bias; amber = some concerns; red = high risk of bias.

## 2 Forest plot for cortisol

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	SD         Tota           3.2         2           6.02         1           2.5         1           3.4         5           0.14         1           2.99         2           30007); I² = 77           0.002         2           79.74         2           60         1           60         1           60         1           60         1           60         1           60         1           60         1           60         2           7.8         2           0.22         1	I         Weight           0         5.5%           4         4.9%           3         5.4%           5         7.6%           3         6.1%           4         6.9%           9         36.5%           %           9         6.2%           1         6.2%           6         6.1%           6         18.5%           %         5	N, Random, 95% Cl -1.72 [-2.46, -0.99] -1.22 [-2.07, -0.37] -0.46 [-1.22, 0.31] -0.19 [-0.57, 0.18] 0.00 [-0.64, 0.64] 0.03 [-0.47, 0.52] -0.54 [-1.05, -0.03] -1.90 [-2.51, -1.29] -0.71 [-1.34, -0.09] -0.09 [-0.74, 0.55] -0.91 [-1.95, 0.14]	IV, Random, 95% Cl
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3.2 2 6.02 1 2.5 1 3.4 5 0.14 1 2.99 2 13 0007); I <sup>P</sup> = 77 0.02 2 79.74 2 60 1 60 0002); I <sup>P</sup> = 88 7.8 2 0.22 1	0 5.5% 4 4.9% 3 5.4% 5 7.6% 3 6.1% 4 6.9% 9 36.5% % 9 6.2% 1 6.2% 6 6.1% 6 18.5% % 5 6.6%	-1.72 [-2.46, -0.99] -1.22 [-2.07, -0.37] -0.46 [-1.22, 0.31] -0.19 [-0.57, 0.18] 0.00 [-0.64, 0.64] 0.03 [-0.47, 0.52] - <b>0.54 [-1.05, -0.03]</b> -1.90 [-2.51, -1.29] -0.71 [-1.34, -0.09] -0.09 [-0.74, 0.55] - <b>0.91 [-1.95, 0.14]</b>	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3.2 2 6.02 1 2.5 1 2.5 1 1.4 5 0.14 1 2.99 2 13 0007); I <sup>≠</sup> = 77 0.02 2 79.74 2 60 1 60 1 60 0002); I <sup>≠</sup> = 88 7.8 2 0.22 1 	0 5.5% 4 4.9% 3 5.4% 5 7.6% 3 6.1% 4 6.9% 9 36.5% % 9 6.2% 1 6.2% 6 18.5% % 5 6.6%	-1.72 [-2.46, -0.99] -1.22 [-2.07, -0.37] -0.46 [-1.22, 0.31] -0.19 [-0.57, 0.18] 0.00 [-0.64, 0.64] 0.03 [-0.47, 0.52] -0.54 [-1.05, -0.03] -1.90 [-2.51, -1.29] -0.71 [-1.34, -0.09] -0.91 [-1.95, 0.14]	
$\begin{array}{cccccccc} 12 & 24.6 \\ 14 & 13.7 \\ 56 & 5.5 \\ 34 & 0.3 \\ 44 & 3.86 \\ \hline 180 \\ 40, df = 5 (P = 0.0 \\ 4) \\ \hline 32 & 0.17 \\ 21 & 233.74 \\ 22 & 125 \\ 75 \\ 36, df = 2 (P = 0.0 \\ 9) \\ \hline 29 & 29.95 \\ 20 & 0.73 \\ 14 & 0.89 \\ 14 & 109.65 \\ 77 \end{array}$	6.02 1 2.5 1 3.4 5 0.14 1 2.99 2 <b>13</b> 0007); I <sup>≠</sup> = 77 0.02 2 79.74 2 60 1 60 1 60 0002); I <sup>≠</sup> = 88 7.8 2 0.22 1	4 4.9% 3 5.4% 5 7.6% 3 6.1% 4 6.9% 9 36.5% % 9 6.2% 1 6.2% 6 18.5% % 5 6.6%	-1.22 [-2.07, -0.37] -0.46 [-1.22, 0.31] -0.19 [-0.57, 0.18] 0.00 [-0.64, 0.64] 0.03 [-0.47, 0.52] -0.54 [-1.05, -0.03] -1.90 [-2.51, -1.29] -0.71 [-1.34, -0.09] -0.09 [-0.74, 0.55] -0.91 [-1.95, 0.14]	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2.5 1 3.4 5 0.14 1 2.99 2 13 0007); I <sup>2</sup> = 77 0.02 2 79.74 2 60 1 60 1 60 0002); I <sup>2</sup> = 88 7.8 2 0.22 1	3 5.4% 5 7.6% 3 6.1% 4 6.9% 9 36.5% % 9 6.2% 6 6.1% 6 18.5% %	-0.46 [-1.22, 0.31] -0.19 [-0.57, 0.18] 0.00 [-0.64, 0.64] 0.03 [-0.47, 0.52] - <b>0.54 [-1.05, -0.03]</b> -1.90 [-2.51, -1.29] -0.71 [-1.34, -0.09] -0.09 [-0.74, 0.55] - <b>0.91 [-1.95, 0.14]</b>	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3.4 5 0.14 1 2.99 2 13 0007);  ² = 77 0.02 2 79.74 2 60 1 60 0002);  ² = 88 7.8 2 0.22 1 	5 7.6% 3 6.1% 4 6.9% 9 36.5% % 9 6.2% 1 6.2% 6 6.1% 6 18.5% % 5 6.6%	-0.19 [-0.57, 0.18] 0.00 [-0.64, 0.64] 0.03 [-0.47, 0.52] - <b>0.54 [-1.05, -0.03]</b> -1.90 [-2.51, -1.29] -0.71 [-1.34, -0.09] -0.09 [-0.74, 0.55] - <b>0.91 [-1.95, 0.14]</b>	
34 0.3 44 3.86 80 40, df = 5 (P = 0.0 4) 32 0.17 21 233.74 22 125 75 125 36, df = 2 (P = 0.0 9) 29 29.95 20 0.73 14 0.89 14 109.65 <b>77</b>	0.14 1 2.99 2 13 0007); I <sup>P</sup> = 77 0.02 2 79.74 2 60 1 60 1 60002); I <sup>P</sup> = 86 7.8 2 0.22 1	3 6.1% 4 6.9% 9 36.5% % 9 6.2% 1 6.2% 6 6.1% 6 118.5% % 5 6.6%	0.00 [-0.64, 0.64] 0.03 [-0.47, 0.52] - <b>0.54 [-1.05, -0.03]</b> -1.90 [-2.51, -1.29] -0.71 [-1.34, -0.09] -0.09 [-0.74, 0.55] - <b>0.91 [-1.95, 0.14]</b>	
$\begin{array}{c} 44 \\ 180 \\ 180 \\ 40, df = 5 (P = 0.0 \\ 4) \\ 32 \\ 0.17 \\ 21 \\ 233.74 \\ 22 \\ 125 \\ 75 \\ 36, df = 2 (P = 0.0 \\ 9) \\ 29 \\ 29 \\ 29 \\ 0.73 \\ 14 \\ 0.89 \\ 14 \\ 109.65 \\ 77 \end{array}$	2.99 2 <b>13</b> 0007);  ² = 77 0.02 2 79.74 2 60 1 <b>6</b> 0002);  ² = 88 7.8 2 0.22 1 	4 6.9% 9 36.5% % 9 6.2% 1 6.2% 6 18.5% % 5 6.6%	0.03 [-0.47, 0.52] - <b>0.54 [-1.05, -0.03]</b> -1.90 [-2.51, -1.29] -0.71 [-1.34, -0.09] -0.09 [-0.74, 0.55] - <b>0.91 [-1.95, 0.14]</b>	
<b>180</b> 40, df = 5 (P = 0.0 41) 32 0.17 21 233.74 22 125 75 36, df = 2 (P = 0.0 9) 29 29.95 20 0.73 14 0.89 14 109.65 <b>77</b>	13 0007);  ² = 77 0.02 2 79.74 2 60 1 60 0002);  ² = 86 7.8 2 0.22 1	9 36.5% % 9 6.2% 1 6.2% 6 6.1% 6 18.5% %	- <b>0.54 [-1.05, -0.03]</b> -1.90 [-2.51, -1.29] -0.71 [-1.34, -0.09] -0.09 [-0.74, 0.55] - <b>0.91 [-1.95, 0.14]</b>	
40, df = 5 (P = 0.0 4) 32 0.17 21 233.74 22 125 75 75 36, df = 2 (P = 0.0 9) 29 29.95 20 0.73 14 0.89 14 109.65 77	0007); I <sup>2</sup> = 77 0.02 2 79.74 2 60 1 60 0002); I <sup>2</sup> = 88 7.8 2 0.22 1	% 9 6.2% 1 6.2% 6 6.1% 6 18.5% % 5 6.6%	-1.90 [-2.51, -1.29] -0.71 [-1.34, -0.09] -0.09 [-0.74, 0.55] - <b>0.91 [-1.95, 0.14]</b>	+ •
4) 32 0.17 21 233.74 22 125 75 125 36, df = 2 (P = 0.0 9) 29 29.95 20 0.73 14 0.89 14 109.65 77	0.02 2 79.74 2 60 1 60002); I <sup>a</sup> = 88 7.8 2 0.22 1	9 6.2% 1 6.2% 6 6.1% 6 <b>18.5</b> % %	-1.90 [-2.51, -1.29] -0.71 [-1.34, -0.09] -0.09 [-0.74, 0.55] - <b>0.91 [-1.95, 0.14]</b>	→ ◆
32 0.17 21 233.74 22 125 <b>75</b> 56, df = 2 (P = 0.0 9) 29 29.95 20 0.73 14 0.89 14 109.65 <b>77</b>	0.02 2 79.74 2 60 1 60 0002); P= 88 7.8 2 0.22 1	9 6.2% 1 6.2% 6 6.1% 6 <b>18.5</b> % % 5 6.6%	-1.90 [-2.51, -1.29] -0.71 [-1.34, -0.09] -0.09 [-0.74, 0.55] - <b>0.91 [-1.95, 0.14]</b>	+ + +
32 0.17 21 233.74 22 125 75 56, df = 2 (P = 0.0 9) 29 29.95 20 0.73 14 0.89 14 109.65 77	0.02 2 79.74 2 60 1 60 0002); I <sup>a</sup> = 88 7.8 2 0.22 1	9 6.2% 1 6.2% 6 6.1% 6 <b>18.5</b> % %	-1.90 [-2.51, -1.29] -0.71 [-1.34, -0.09] -0.99 [-0.74, 0.55] - <b>0.91 [-1.95, 0.14]</b>	
32 0.17 21 233.74 22 125 36, df = 2 (P = 0.0 9) 29 29.95 20 0.73 14 0.89 14 109.65 <b>77</b>	0.02 2 79.74 2 60 1 60 0002); I <sup>a</sup> = 88 7.8 2 0.22 1	9 6.2% 1 6.2% 6 6.1% 6 18.5% %	-1.90 [-2.51, -1.29] -0.71 [-1.34, -0.09] -0.09 [-0.74, 0.55] - <b>0.91 [-1.95, 0.14]</b>	
21 233.74 22 125 <b>75</b> 36, df = 2 (P = 0.0 9) 29 29.95 20 0.73 14 0.89 14 109.65 <b>77</b>	79.74 2 60 1 60 2002); I <sup>2</sup> = 88 7.8 2 0.22 1	1 6.2% 6 6.1% <b>6 18.5%</b> % 5 6.6%	-0.71 [-1.34, -0.09] -0.09 [-0.74, 0.55] - <b>0.91 [-1.95, 0.14]</b>	
22 125 <b>75</b> 36, df = 2 (P = 0.0 9) 29 29.95 20 0.73 14 0.89 14 109.65 <b>77</b>	60 1 6 0002); I² = 88 7.8 2 0.22 1	6 6.1% 6 <b>18.5</b> % % 5 6.6%	-0.09 [-0.74, 0.55] -0.91 [-1.95, 0.14]	•
75 66, df = 2 (P = 0.0 9) 29 29.95 20 0.73 14 0.89 14 109.65 77	6 0002); I² = 88 7.8 2 0.22 1	6 18.5% % 5 6.6%	-0.91 [-1.95, 0.14]	
36, df = 2 (P = 0.0 9) 29 29.95 20 0.73 14 0.89 14 109.65 <b>77</b>	0002); I <sup>2</sup> = 88 7.8 2 0.22 1	% 5 6.6%		
9) 29 29.95 20 0.73 14 0.89 14 109.65 <b>77</b>	7.8 2 0.22 1	5 6.6%		
29 29.95 20 0.73 14 0.89 14 109.65 <b>77</b>	7.8 2 0.22 1	5 6.6%		
29 29.95 20 0.73 14 0.89 14 109.65 <b>77</b>	7.8 2 0.22 1	5 6.6%		
29 29.95 20 0.73 14 0.89 14 109.65 <b>77</b>	7.8 2 0.22 1	5 6.6%		
20 0.73 14 0.89 14 109.65 <b>77</b>	0.22 1		-0.65 [-1.20, -0.10]	
14 0.89 14 109.65 77		5 5.8%	-0.57 [-1.26, 0.11]	
14 109.65 77	9.07 1	6 5.6%	-0.38[-1.10]0.35]	
77	19.58 1	6 56%	-0.12[-0.84_0.60]	
	7	2 23.7%	-0.47 [-0.79, -0.14]	•
f = 3 (P = 0.69)	9): I <sup>2</sup> = 0%			
05)	-,,			
,				
10 0.41	0.12 1	0 3.9%	-1.82 [-2.90, -0.74]	
24 25.94	1.33 1	2 5.7%	-0.61 [-1.32 0.10]	
20 0.27	017 1	9 61%	-0.40[-1.04_0.23]	
17 0.31	0.2 1	5 57%	-0.32 [-1.02_0.38]	
71	5.2	6 21.4%	-0.67 [-1.20, -0.14]	•
f = 3 (P = 0.1)	2):  ₹ = 49%			
1)	-//			
.,				
		3 100.0%	-0.61 [-0.90, -0.33]	•
403	33	5 100.070		
<b>403</b> 26. df = 16 (P < 0	<b>33</b> 1.00001); I <sup>2</sup> =	69%		
<b>403</b> 26, df = 16 (P < 0 001)	<b>33</b> 0.00001); I <b>²</b> =	69%	-	-4 -2 0 2 4
1 2 1 7 3, df =	0 0.41 4 25.94 0 0.27 7 0.31 <b>1</b> 3 (P = 0.1	0 0.41 0.12 1 4 25.94 1.33 1 0 0.27 0.17 1 7 0.31 0.2 1 1 5 3 (P = 0.12); I <sup>2</sup> = 49%	$      0  0.41  0.12  10  3.9\% \\ 4  25.94  1.33  12  5.7\% \\ 0  0.27  0.17  19  6.1\% \\ 7  0.31  0.2  15  5.7\% \\ 1  56  21.4\% \\ 3 \ (P=0.12); \ P=49\% \\                                   $	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

## 2 Forest plot for DHEA(S)

	Exp	erimenta	ıl.	0	Control			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
2.3.1 AE									
Yamada 2015 Subtotal (95% CI)	97.8	57.1	15 15	80.6	53.9	25 <b>25</b>	20.6% <b>20.6</b> %	0.31 [-0.34, 0.95] 0.31 [-0.34, 0.95]	-
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable								
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.93 (	(P = 0.35)	)						
2.3.2 RES									
Hersey 1994	788.33	595.22	24	748	704	9	14.5%	0.06 [-0.70, 0.83]	
Son 2019	91.1	40.2	12	80.1	35.6	13	13.7%	0.28 [-0.51, 1.07]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			36			22	28.2%	0.17 [-0.38, 0.72]	
Heterogeneity: Tau² =	0.00; Ch	i² = 0.15,	df = 1 (	(P = 0.7)	$(0); I^2 = 0$	1%			
Test for overall effect:	Z=0.60 (	(P = 0.55)	)						
22280									
2.3.3 M-B	122.2	1427	20.	1000		- 20	11100	10121010.00210	
Im 2019 Subtotal (05% CI)	75.9	40.84	14	43.3	19.38	11	12.1%	0.95 [0.11, 1.79]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			14			11	12.1%	0.95[0.11, 1.79]	
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable								
l est for overall effect:	Z = Z.21 (	(P = 0.03)	)						
2.3.4 Combined									
Ha 2018	43.6	18.2	10	38.59	21.9	10	11.0%	0.24 [-0.64, 1.12]	
Furtado 2020	48.5	45.1	41	29.71	14.25	19	28.1%	0.48 [-0.07, 1.04]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			51			29	39.1%	0.42 [-0.05, 0.88]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.00; Ch	i <sup>2</sup> = 0.22,	df = 1 (	(P = 0.6)	4); $ ^2 = 0$	1%			
Test for overall effect:	Z=1.74	(P = 0.08)	)						
Total (95% CI)			116			87	100.0%	0.39 [0.10, 0.68]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.00; Ch	i <sup>z</sup> = 2.76,	df = 5 (	(P = 0.7)	4); I <sup>2</sup> = 0	1%			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.60 (	(P = 0.00)	9)						-4 -2 0 2 4 Favours (control) Favours (experimental)
Test for subgroup diff	erences:	Chi <sup>2</sup> = 2.3	39, df =	: 3 (P = 1	0.49), P	= 0%			r avera teamoit i avera texperimental