



University
of Glasgow

Fiani, C. and Marsella, S. (2022) Investigating the Non-verbal Behavior Features of Bullying for the Development of an Automatic Recognition System in Social Virtual Reality. In: 2022 International Conference on Advanced Visual Interfaces (AVI 2022), Rome, Italy, 06-10 Jun 2022, ISBN 9781450397193 (doi: [10.1145/3531073.3534492](https://doi.org/10.1145/3531073.3534492))

Publisher's URL: <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3531073.3534492>

There may be differences between this version and the published version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

Copyright © 2022 The Authors. This is the author's version of the work. It is posted here for your personal use. Not for redistribution. 2022 International Conference on Advanced Visual Interfaces (AVI 2022), Rome, Italy, 06-10 Jun 2022, ISBN 9781450397193

<http://eprints.gla.ac.uk/273338/>

Deposited on: 09 March 2023

Enlighten – Research publications by members of the University of
Glasgow

<http://eprints.gla.ac.uk>

Investigating the Non-verbal Behavior Features of Bullying for the Development of an Automatic Recognition System in Social Virtual Reality

Cristina Fiani
c.fiani.1@research.gla.ac.uk
University of Glasgow
Glasgow, Scotland, UK

Stacy Marsella
stacy.marsella@glasgow.ac.uk
University of Glasgow
Glasgow, Scotland, UK

ABSTRACT

We look at the possibilities of automatically detecting social discomfort and social anxiety via non-verbal behaviors in social Virtual Reality (VR). This is important because a well-developed automatic recognition system could facilitate interventions and moderation in social VR without requiring real-time parental supervision. To initially explore this question of recognition, we prototyped a small set of 3D stimuli representing a bullying scenario and explored in a small formative preliminary study what human observers perceived from the stimuli. Future work is required with different problematic situations in social VR and evaluations with more participants before developing an automatic recognition system.

CCS CONCEPTS

• **Human-centered computing** → *Human computer interaction (HCI); Collaborative and social computing.*

KEYWORDS

non-verbal behaviors, bullying, social virtual reality, automatic analyses of human behavior

ACM Reference Format:

Cristina Fiani and Stacy Marsella. 2022. Investigating the Non-verbal Behavior Features of Bullying for the Development of an Automatic Recognition System in Social Virtual Reality. In *Proceedings of the 2022 International Conference on Advanced Visual Interfaces (AVI 2022)*, June 6–10, 2022, Frascati, Rome, Italy. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 3 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3531073.3534492>

1 INTRODUCTION

In today's digital world of near-ubiquitous connectivity and increasing use of devices and the digital escape of the "Metaverse" [17], people's interaction, especially that of children and adolescents, is changing [13, 14]. Social Virtual Reality (VR) raises concerns about unsupervised children's interaction with friends and potentially others around the world using headsets [15]. Users can connect via an avatar using their body, moving from 2D to 3D, with the feeling of "being there" [3]. They can also inflict virtual harm on others [10]. As VR is experienced through a headset, it is difficult for parents to

observe and understand clearly what is happening and be aware of the misuses and abuses of the technology [13]. Little to no regulations are in place in the virtual environments which have been increasingly attracting children and teenagers [14]. While headset tracking technology is allowing more realistic social interactions of non-verbal behaviors such as eye-tracking, facial and mouth tracking, gesture and voice, little is known about non-verbal behaviors in situations such as bullying, social discomfort and social anxiety. As an initial step, we considered social anxiety and social discomfort in a one-off bullying situation. We crafted 3D stimuli with two virtual characters, limited to stereotyped non-verbal behaviors based on the literature.

The ultimate goal is to address the following research questions:

RQ 1: What factors form the subjective sense of being bullied?

RQ 2: Can we use these factors to build an automatic recognition system?

In this ongoing project, we attempted to address our first research question with a preliminary study and seven observers.

2 RELATED WORK

2.1 Proxemics in virtual environments

Studies have looked at proxemics, the study of interpersonal space between individuals, in virtual environments [12, 21]. Trajectory and interpersonal distances in shared space play an integral role in non-verbal communication and define the types of social interactions [12]. Proxemics theory [7] holds that each individual has their own radius of personal space in a shared space with another individual. The smaller the radius, the more the interaction becomes intimate. There are many factors, such as age, culture environmental context, relationship and emotional state of individuals, that affect proximal relationship between people [21]. We must turn beyond proxemics alone, then, to consider other behaviors.

2.2 Non-verbal communication in social virtual reality

Non-verbal cues are crucial in face-to-face social interactions as they convey internal mental states, sometimes unconscious, into physical behaviors. In social VR, non-verbal communication is increasingly used; in particular, facial behavior, gaze, proximity (spatial behavior) and kinetics (gestural behavior) [13]. Increasingly realistic 3D avatars allow full embodiment and seem to lead to deeper connections and social interactions. While this may enable more effective verbal disclosure, non-verbal disclosure, emotion recognition and co-presence, it may also lead to negative situations [2].

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the owner/author(s).
AVI 2022, June 6–10, 2022, Frascati, Rome, Italy
© 2022 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).
ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-9719-3/22/06.
<https://doi.org/10.1145/3531073.3534492>

A study reported that participants use non-verbal communication to protect themselves [15]. For example, a participant mentioned the “talking to hand” gesture to combat unwanted interactions. A harasser could also say that their behavior is just a bug or tracking error in the system [15]. If we are able to identify the combination of non-verbal behavioral features that are classified into harassment or bullying then we could detect these misuses and abuses.

2.3 Non-verbal Cues in Social Anxiety, Personality and Dominance

While studies have been interested in bystanders and third-party roles in bullying [9, 16, 20], non-verbal behaviors of bullying have been unexplored. Nevertheless, researchers have been investigating non-verbal behaviors in social anxiety in real-world situations. In particular, a study showed that *“the severity of social anxiety was positively correlated with the self-directed perception of other individuals’ gaze, especially when the “lookers” had a negative facial expression”* [19]. This result is disputed by a recent study showing that the context should be taken into consideration and that social anxiety is measured more accurately with heart rate [18]. Dominance and personality traits based on non-verbal behaviors have been researched. It was demonstrated that posture and body language combined with verbal cues could predict the personality of individuals as extroverted or introverted but this would also depend on consistency of the cues [8]. Furthermore, examining dominance-submissiveness non-verbal strategies, researchers have reported “physical potency” (signals of threat, stares, motion, height, weight) and “resource control” (space, precedence, possession) [4].

3 METHODS

We developed two virtual characters using the same character base [11] and created animations that mimic a short non-verbal bullying situation that could occur in social VR. We then evaluated the situation via a questionnaire. Software used included: Character Creator 3 [1, 11] and the Virtual Human Project in Unity [6]. A pilot test was conducted with seven participants, three males, three females and one unknown, of age between 22 and 37 years old. After showing each animation in order, two questions were asked: “What do you think is happening?” and “How are you feeling from the perspective shown?”. Animations can be viewed: link [5].

4 RESULTS

We collected the answers and observed their evolution. After viewing all animations, participants evaluated from 1 to 5 the extent to which character 1 (victim) exhibits social anxiety (Mean = 3.71, SD = 0.95) and character 2 (bully) induces social discomfort to the viewer (Mean = 4.71, SD = 0.49). Looking at results for question 1 (What do you think is happening?). **Animation 1. (Top view)** Answers were neutral, describing the main interaction of a character circling around another one. Some participants did not truly understand what was happening: P5 thought the character was walking around the other and P3 thought the character in the center was timing the laps of the one running around. **Animation 2. (Frontal view)** As the perspective changed, answers were more precise and subjective: P1 mentioned someone was “racing” instead of “running”, P5 also mentioned “running” instead of “walking”, P6 noted the character

is running “too close” to the other. P7 was still not sure what was happening. **Animation 3. (Closer view with laughter)** Terms like “bullying”, “mocking”, “mean” and “annoying” appeared at this stage of the evaluation. **Animation 4. (Victim’s perspective)** Six participants understood it was the perspective from the victim’s eyes, being circled by the bully. P7 was still not sure about the situation occurring. **Animation 5. (Bully’s perspective)** Five participants understood it was the bully’s eyes. However, P3 answered that someone was having a psychotic episode and P7 was not sure. Looking at results for question 2 (How are you feeling from the perspective shown?). **Animation 1.** Terms like “indifferent” appeared twice but participants were not certain how to answer. **Animation 2.** P1 and P5 felt “displeased” and noticed the person standing in the center looked “uncomfortable” or “not happy”. P2, P3 and P7 mentioned this perspective did not clarify the situation. P4 felt “nervous for the person in the middle”. **Animation 3.** Feelings like “sad”, “uncomfortable” were noted and three participants mentioned that the bullying situation is clearer. **Animation 4.** Adjectives participants used included “intimidated” (P1), “disoriented” (P3), “stressed” (P4), “weird” (P5) and “annoying” (P7). **Animation 5.** Terms used included “dominant” (P1), “strange” (P3), “weird” (P5), “compassion for the terrorized character” (P6) and “annoying dizzying” (P7).

5 DISCUSSION

We can clearly observe the viewer’s progression of the understanding and feelings of the situation occurring from animation 1 to animation 5. We can attempt to answer our first research questions: RQ 1. The results provide initial insight into the data required for eventually building automatic recognition systems. It would be the combination of non-verbal behaviors (proxemics, facial expression, gaze and sound) that can lead to subjective senses of the bullying situation. RQ 2. We may be able to use those results to build an automatic recognition system. However, there are limitations to consider and future work before confirming these answers. The number of participants for the pilot test was limited. Gaze patterns and proxemics vary across cultures and differ depending on the context. We only looked at one specific case of bullying and developed animations based on stereotypes. Some facial expressions and gestures may not be apparent in other bullying situations. The non-verbal features can be combined with verbal recognition and physiological measurements such as heart rate. Questions used to evaluate animations may also need modification to collect quantifiable data and better evaluate the extent to which non-verbal features lead to less variations and enable to detect that a negative situation is occurring. Privacy would also have to be considered.

6 CONCLUSION

We were able to create a bullying scenario from different perspectives with a combination of non-verbal cues and explored in a preliminary study what human observers perceived. The question remains as to whether the responses obtained are significant enough to give a valid interpretation that can lead to developing an automatic recognition system. Further research is required with a higher number of more diverse participants and more varied situations (of bullying, harassment etc.) that may occur in social VR.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank Mark McGill and Mohamed Khamis, my incoming PhD supervisors. I also want to thank Stacy Marsella for his support throughout the project, Geoffrey Gorisse who developed the Virtual Human Project and suggested the use of Character Creator 3 and Tobias Kolodziej for the character base used.

REFERENCES

- [1] 2022. Character Creator 3 - Free Trial. <https://www.reallusion.com/character-creator/download.html>
- [2] Jeremy N Bailenson, Nick Yee, Dan Merget, and Ralph Schroeder. 2006. The Effect of Behavioral Realism and Form Realism of Real-Time Avatar Faces on Verbal Disclosure, Nonverbal Disclosure, Emotion Recognition, and Copresence in Dyadic Interaction. 15 (2006), 359–372. Issue 4. <http://direct.mit.edu/pvar/article-pdf/15/4/359/1624480/pres.15.4.359.pdf>
- [3] Woodrow Barfield and Claudia Hendrix. 1995. The effect of update rate on the sense of presence within virtual environments. *Virtual Reality* 1995 1:1 1 (6 1995), 3–15. Issue 1. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02009709>
- [4] Judee K. Burgoon and Norah E. Dunbar. 2006. Nonverbal expressions of dominance and power in human relationships. *The SAGE Handbook of Nonverbal Communication* (1 2006), 279–298. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781412976152.N15>
- [5] Cristina Fiani. 2022. Animations. <https://youtube.com/playlist?list=PL0U-U5mRRSYxbQjEwXj75IZWkt5tq3a>
- [6] Geoffrey Gorisse. 2021. Virtual human (avatar and agent) procedural animation toolkit for Unity. <https://github.com/GeoffreyGorisse/VHProject>
- [7] Edward Hall. 1968. Proxemics. *Current Anthropology* 9 (1968), 83–108. Issue 2/3. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2740724?seq=1>
- [8] Katherine Isbister and Clifford Nass. 2000. Consistency of personality in interactive characters: verbal cues, non-verbal cues, and user characteristics. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies* 53 (8 2000), 251–267. Issue 2. <https://doi.org/10.1006/IJHC.2000.0368>
- [9] Fakhra Jabeen, Jan Treur, Nizar A. Hirzalla, Thomas M. Maaiveld, and Charlotte Gerritsen. 2020. Victim no more: a temporal-causal analysis of how a mediator can help out a bullying victim. <https://doi.org/10.1080/24751839.2020.1724739> 4 (2020), 229–250. Issue 2. <https://doi.org/10.1080/24751839.2020.1724739>
- [10] Konstantina Kilteni, Raphaela Groten, and Mel Slater. 2012. The Sense of Embodiment in Virtual Reality. *Presence: Teleoperators and Virtual Environments* 21 (11 2012), 373–387. Issue 4. https://doi.org/10.1162/PRES_A_00124
- [11] Tobias Kolodziej. 2016. Linus - CC Project - Character Creator/actor - Reallusion Marketplace. <https://marketplace.reallusion.com/linus---cc-project>
- [12] Leon O.H. Kroczeck, Michael Pfaller, Bastian Lange, Mathias Müller, and Andreas Mühlberger. 2020. Interpersonal Distance During Real-Time Social Interaction: Insights From Subjective Experience, Behavior, and Physiology. *Frontiers in Psychiatry* 11 (6 2020), 561. <https://doi.org/10.3389/FPSYT.2020.00561/BIBTEX>
- [13] Divine Maloney, Guo Freeman, and Andrew Robb. 2020. A Virtual Space for All: Exploring Children’s Experience in Social Virtual Reality. *CHI PLAY 2020 - Proceedings of the Annual Symposium on Computer-Human Interaction in Play* (11 2020), 472–483. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3410404.3414268>
- [14] Divine Maloney, Guo Freeman, and Andrew Robb. 2021. Stay Connected in An Immersive World: Why Teenagers Engage in Social Virtual Reality. *Proceedings of Interaction Design and Children, IDC 2021* (6 2021), 69–79. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3459990.3460703>
- [15] Divine Maloney, Guo Freeman, and Donghee Yvette Wohn. 2020. “Talking without a Voice”: Understanding Non-Verbal Communication in Social Virtual Reality. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 4 (10 2020). Issue CSCW2. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3415246>
- [16] Corey A. Pavlich, Stephen A. Rains, and Chris Segrin. 2017. The Nonverbal Bully: Effects of Shouting and Conversational Distance on Bystanders’ Perceptions. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/08934215.2017.1315439> 30 (9 2017), 129–141. Issue 3. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08934215.2017.1315439>
- [17] Giuseppe Riva, Luca Bernardelli, Matthew H.E.M. Browning, Gianluca Castelnuovo, Silvia Cavedoni, Alice Chirico, Pietro Cipresso, Dirce Maria Bengel de Paula, Daniele Di Lerna, Javier Fernández-Álvarez, Natàlia Figueras-Puigderrajols, Kei Fuji, Andrea Gaggioli, Jose Gutiérrez-Maldonado, Upyong Hong, Valentina Mancuso, Milena Mazzeo, Enrico Molinari, Luciana F. Moretti, Angelica B. Ortiz de Gortari, Francesco Pagnini, Elisa Pedrolì, Claudia Repetto, Francesca Sforza, Chiara Stramba-Badiale, Cosimo Tuena, Clelia Malighetti, Daniela Villani, and Brenda K. Wiederhold. 2020. COVID Feel Good—An Easy Self-Help Virtual Reality Protocol to Overcome the Psychological Burden of Coronavirus. *Frontiers in Psychiatry* 11 (9 2020). <https://doi.org/10.3389/FPSYT.2020.563319>
- [18] Lara Rösler, Stefan Göhring, Michael Strunz, and Matthias Gamer. 2021. Social anxiety is associated with heart rate but not gaze behavior in a real social interaction. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry* 70 (3 2021), 101600. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JBTEP.2020.101600>
- [19] Lars Schulze, Babette Renneberg, and Janek S. Lobmaier. 2013. Gaze perception in social anxiety and social anxiety disorder. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience* 7 (12 2013), 872. Issue DEC. <https://doi.org/10.3389/FNHUM.2013.00872/BIBTEX>
- [20] Masanori Takano and Takaaki Tsunoda. 2019. Self-Disclosure of Bullying Experiences and Social Support in Avatar Communication: Analysis of Verbal and Nonverbal Communications. <https://ojs.aaai.org/index.php/ICWSM/article/view/3353/3221>
- [21] Julie Williamson, Jie Li, Vinoba Vinayagamoorthy, David A. Shamma, and Pablo Cesar. 2021. Proxemics and Social Interactions in an Instrumented Virtual Reality Workshop. *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings* (1 2021). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3411764.3445729>