JAMA Internal Medicine | Original Investigation

Efficacy and Safety of Daprodustat for Treatment of Anemia of Chronic Kidney Disease in Incident Dialysis Patients A Randomized Clinical Trial

Ajay K. Singh, MBBS; Borut Cizman, MD; Kevin Carroll, PhD, CStat, CSci; John J. V. McMurray, MD; Vlado Perkovic, MBBS, PhD; Vivekanand Jha, MD; Kirsten L. Johansen, MD; Renato D. Lopes, MD, MHS, PhD; Iain C. Macdougall, MD; Gregorio T. Obrador, MD; Sushrut S. Waikar, MD; Christoph Wanner, MD; David C. Wheeler, MD; Andrzej Wiecek, MD, PhD; Nicole Stankus, MD; Frank Strutz, MD; Allison Blackorby, MSc; Alexander R. Cobitz, MD; Amy M. Meadowcroft, PharmD; Gitanjali Paul, PhD; Prerna Ranganathan, MSc; Sangeeta Sedani, MSc, BSc; Scott Solomon, MD

IMPORTANCE Daprodustat, a hypoxia-inducible factor prolyl hydroxylase inhibitor, is being evaluated as an oral alternative to conventional erythropoiesis-stimulating agent (ESA) therapy. Few studies of anemia treatment in an incident dialysis (ID) population have been reported.

OBJECTIVE To evaluate the efficacy and safety of daprodustat vs darbepoetin alfa in treating anemia of chronic kidney disease in ID patients.

DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS This prospective, randomized, open-label clinical trial was conducted from May 11, 2017, through September 24, 2020, in 90 centers across 14 countries. Patients with advanced CKD were eligible if they planned to start dialysis within 6 weeks from screening or had started and received hemodialysis (HD) or peritoneal dialysis (PD) within 90 days before randomization, had a screening hemoglobin (Hb) concentration of 8.0 to 10.5 g/dL (to convert to grams per liter, multiply by 10) and a randomization Hb of 8.0 to 11.0 g/dL, were ESA-naive or had received limited ESA treatment, and were iron-replete.

INTERVENTIONS Randomized 1:1 to daprodustat or darbepoetin alfa.

MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES The primary analysis in the intent-to-treat population evaluated the mean change in Hb concentration from baseline to evaluation period (weeks 28-52) to assess noninferiority of daprodustat vs darbepoetin alfa (noninferiority margin, -0.75 g/dL). The mean monthly intravenous (IV) iron dose from baseline to week 52 was the principal secondary end point. Rates of treatment-emergent and serious adverse events (AEs) were also compared between treatment groups to assess safety and tolerability.

RESULTS A total of 312 patients (median [IQR] age, 55 [45-65] years; 194 [62%] male) were randomized to either daprodustat (157 patients; median [IQR] age, 52.0 [45-63] years; 96 [61%] male) or darbepoetin alfa (155 patients; median [IQR] age, 56.0 [45-67] years; 98 [63%] male); 306 patients (98%) completed the trial. The mean (SD) Hb concentration during the evaluation period was 10.5 (1.0) g/dL for the daprodustat and 10.6 (0.9) g/dL for the darbepoetin alfa group, with an adjusted mean treatment difference of -0.10 g/dL (95% CI, -0.34 to 0.14 g/dL), indicating noninferiority. There was a reduction in mean monthly IV iron use from baseline to week 52 in both treatment groups; however, daprodustat was not superior compared with darbepoetin alfa in reducing monthly IV iron use (adjusted mean treatment difference, 19.4 mg [95% CI, -1.0 to 49.9 mg]). Adverse event rates were 76% for daprodustat vs 72% for darbepoetin alfa.

CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE This randomized clinical trial found that daprodustat was noninferior to darbepoetin alfa in treating anemia of CKD and may represent a potential oral alternative to a conventional ESA in the ID population.

TRIAL REGISTRATION Clinical Trials.gov Identifier: NCT03029208

JAMA Intern Med. 2022;182(6):592-602. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2022.0605 Published online April 4, 2022. + Visual Abstract
 + Supplemental content

Author Affiliations: Author affiliations are listed at the end of this article.

Corresponding Author: Ajay K. Singh, MBBS, MBA, Renal Division, Brigham and Women's Hospital, 75 Francis St, MRB 4, Boston MA 02115 (ajay_singh@hms.harvard.edu).

jamainternalmedicine.com

he first 90 days of initiating dialysis is a period of high risk for patients, with mortality twice as high as the mortality in the subsequent 9 months.¹⁻³ Incident dialysis (ID) is arbitrarily defined as dialysis initiated within 90 to 120 days.^{1,2,4} Incident dialysis patients undergo abrupt physiological and psychological changes, including metabolic flux from clearances of uremic mediators, correction of anemia, and changes in parameters of metabolic bone disease, blood pressure, and extracellular volume.^{2,5} Patients also have evidence of heightened inflammation and protein-calorie malnutrition.^{2,5} Few studies have examined the efficacy and safety of erythropoiesis stimulating agents (ESAs) and the novel hypoxia-inducible factor prolyl hydroxylase inhibitors (HIF-PHIs) during this important phase of chronic kidney disease (CKD).

The discovery of the oxygen-sensing pathway and the subsequent identification and testing of compounds that induce the synthesis and secretion of endogenous erythropoietin represent an alternative strategy to correct anemia of CKD. These HIF-PHI compounds stimulate erythropoiesis via the inhibition of HIF-PH domain enzymes.⁶ This inhibition stabilizes HIF-a transcription factors and induces HIF-responsive genes involved in adaptation to hypoxia, including endogenous erythropoietin, vascular endothelial growth factor, and certain genes that regulate iron uptake, mobilization, and transport, resulting in decreased hepcidin production.^{7,8}

The potential advantages of HIF-PHI agents compared with conventional ESAs include physiologic endogenous erythropoietin levels, oral dosing, greater iron availability for erythropoiesis, and correction of anemia in patients who are hyporesponsive to ESAs.⁹⁻¹¹ Daprodustat is an HIF-PHI agent that increased hemoglobin (Hb) to target goals as effectively as epoetin alfa or darbepoetin alfa in previous clinical trials in patients with CKD and those receiving dialysis.¹²⁻¹⁴ However, the efficacy and safety of daprodustat in ID patients has not been examined.

In the recently published open-label randomized Anemia Studies in Chronic Kidney Disease: Erythropoiesis via a Novel Prolyl Hydroxylase Inhibitor Daprodustat-Dialysis (ASCEND-D) and Non-Dialysis (ASCEND-ND) trials,^{15,16} some of the authors of this study reported the safety and efficacy of the HIF-PHI daprodustat compared with ESAs in correcting anemia. These studies demonstrated that daprodustat was noninferior to conventional ESAs for hemoglobin efficacy and cardiovascular safety. However, these studies enrolled patients who were comparatively stable physiologically. In this article, we report the primary results from the ASCEND-ID (Anemia Studies in CKD: Erythropoiesis via a Novel PHI Daprodustat in Incident Dialysis) trial to evaluate the efficacy and safety of daprodustat vs darbepoetin alfa for 52 weeks in ID patients.

Methods

Study Design and Oversight

The ASCEND-ID trial was a global, randomized, open-label, active-control-group, phase 3 clinical trial comparing the

jamainternalmedicine.com

Key Points

Question Is daprodustat, a hypoxia-inducible factor prolyl hydroxylase inhibitor, an effective oral alternative to darbepoetin alfa in the treatment of anemia of chronic kidney disease (CKD) in incident dialysis (ID) patients?

Findings In this randomized clinical trial of 312 ID patients, daprodustat was noninferior to darbepoetin alfa in treating anemia of CKD; the difference in mean hemoglobin concentration between study arms during the evaluation period was 10.5 g/dL for patients receiving daprodustat and 10.6 g/dL for patients receiving darbepoetin alfa.

Meaning The study results suggest that daprodustat represents an oral alternative treatment to a conventional erythropoiesisstimulating agent in the ID population.

efficacy and safety of daprodustat and darbepoetin alfa in patients recently initiating hemodialysis (HD) or peritoneal dialysis (PD). The trial was conducted from May 11, 2017, through September 24, 2020 (ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT03029208; EudraCT Number: 2016-000507-86). The study was approved by the ethics committee or institutional review board at each participating institution and was conducted according to the recommendations of Good Clinical Practice and the Declaration of Helsinki.¹⁷ All patients provided written informed consent. The trial protocol, amendments, and reporting and analysis plan are available in Supplement 1. This study followed the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) reporting guideline.

The ASCEND-ID trial consisted of 4 periods: screening, stabilization (day 1 to week 28), evaluation period (weeks 28 to 52), and follow-up (weeks 56 to 58) (**Figure 1**). Randomized patients were evaluated every 2 weeks up to week 8 and then every 4 weeks up to week 52. All patients completed a posttreatment follow-up visit between weeks 56 and 58.

The ASCEND-ID trial was developed in collaboration with the steering committee (eTable 1 in Supplement 2), which provided academic and scientific leadership as well as oversight during the study, as described for the ASCEND-D and ASCEND-ND trials.^{15,16,18,19} An external, unblinded, independent data-monitoring committee evaluated safety data.

Eligibility Criteria

Eligibility was determined at the screening visit, with a subset of entry criteria reconfirmed at day 1 (randomization). Patients with advanced CKD were eligible if they planned to start dialysis within 6 weeks from the screening visit or had recently initiated it (started and received HD or PD within 90 days before randomization), had a screening blood Hb concentration of 8.0 to 10.5 g/dL (to convert to grams per liter, multiply by 10.0) and a randomization Hb concentration of 8.0 to 11.0 g/dL, and were iron-replete (serum ferritin level >100 ng/mL [to convert to micrograms per liter, multiply by 1.0] and transferrin saturation >20%). Patients were excluded from the study if they had used any ESA treatment within 8 weeks before screening, except for limited use as a part of dialysis initiation. Complete inclusion and exclusion criteria are provided in eTable 2 in Supplement 2.

Figure 1. ASCEND-ID Study Design



Although investigators and patients were aware of the allocated treatment, the sponsor and steering committee remained blind to aggregate treatment assignment throughout the trial. ESA indicates erythropoiesis-stimulating agent; Hb, hemoglobin; IV, intravenous; rhEPO, recombinant human erythropoietin; SC, subcutaneous; and TSAT, transferrin saturation.

^a Limited use was defined as no more than 6 weeks of short-acting ESA (rhEPO or biosimilars; maximum of 20 000 U total) or long-acting ESA (darbepoetin

alfa [maximum of 100 µg total] or methoxy polyethylene glycol-epoetin beta [maximum of 125 µg total]) received before or after starting dialysis.

^b SI conversion factor: To convert hemoglobin to grams per liter, multiply by 10.0.

^c SI conversion factor: To convert ferritin to micrograms per liter, multiply by 1.0.

Randomization

Patients were stratified by dialysis modality (HD vs PD) and by planned vs unplanned or urgent dialysis start. An unplanned or urgent start was defined as no nephrology care or referral within the previous 4 months and/or an HD start with temporary vascular access with no previous planning for chronic dialysis or recent (<2 weeks) PD catheter insertion. Following stratification, patients were randomized 1:1 to daprodustat or darbepoetin alfa. A central randomization approach was used to help protect against allocation bias owing to the openlabel design.

Intervention

The starting doses of daprodustat and darbepoetin alfa, based on the HemoCue (point of care) Hb concentration at randomization on day 1, are outlined in eTable 3 in Supplement 2. A protocol-specified dose adjustment algorithm to achieve and maintain Hb concentrations within 10.0 to 11.0 g/dL was applied for both treatments (eTable 4 in Supplement 2).

Iron management criteria (eMethods in Supplement 2) were implemented to ensure patients remained iron replete and not iron overloaded. A rescue algorithm was used to minimize inadequate Hb response to the assigned anemia treatment (eTable 5 in Supplement 2).

Objectives and End Points

The primary objective was to demonstrate the noninferiority of daprodustat compared with darbepoetin alfa in increasing and maintaining the Hb concentration during the evaluation period, assessed as the mean change in Hb concentration from baseline during this period. The key secondary outcome was the mean monthly intravenous (IV) iron dose during the study period (baseline to week 52).

Safety and tolerability were compared between randomized treatment groups, including incidence and severity of treatment-emergent adverse events (AEs) and serious AEs. Although this study was not designed to evaluate major adverse cardiovascular events (MACEs), along with thromboembolic events and hospitalization for heart failure, these were adjudicated by the independent data-monitoring committee, led by the Duke Clinical Research Institute in collaboration with George Clinical.

Statistical Analysis

The study was designed to enroll approximately 300 patients to provide at least 100 patients receiving daprodustat for 1 year to meet International Council for Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Pharmaceuticals for Human Use guidelines for sufficient treatment exposure.²⁰ With this sample size and the assumption of an SD of 1.5 g/dL between patients for the primary end point, there was more than 90% power to test the primary noninferiority hypothesis.

For the primary end point, the mean change in Hb concentration from baseline to the evaluation period was analyzed using an analysis-of-covariance model adjusting for baseline Hb concentration, dialysis modality type, and dialysis start manner in the intent-to-treat population. Missing Hb values were imputed using multiple imputation assuming missing at random. For each missing value between baseline and week 52 (inclusive), 200 imputed values were generated using a regression model that included treatment, baseline Hb concentration, prior scheduled Hb concentrations, dialysis type, and Daprodustat for Treatment of Anemia of Chronic Kidney Disease in Incident Dialysis Patients

Original Investigation Research



dialysis start manner; Rubin's rules²¹ were used to combine the results of the simulated data sets. Noninferiority of daprodustat compared with darbepoetin alfa was declared if the lower bound of the 2-sided 95% CI of the difference in Hb concentration between daprodustat and darbepoetin alfa exceeded -0.75 g/dL. The prespecified noninferiority margin was based on a combination of statistical reasoning, clinical judgment, and regulatory guidance and has been used consistently in other worldwide daprodustat phase 3 studies (detailed rationales are provided in the eMethods in Supplement 2).^{15,16} Subgroup analyses were performed to assess consistency with the overall primary Hb results (a complete list of subgroups with rationale is provided in the eMethods in Supplement 2). Although the target range for dose titration was 10.0 to 11.0 g/dL, an Hb analysis range (10.0 to 11.5 g/dL), which factored in Hb variance, was used when assessing efficacy.

The key secondary outcome, IV iron dose, was analyzed in the intent-to-treat population using an analysis-ofcovariance model adjusted for baseline monthly IV iron dose, dialysis type, and dialysis start manner. The analysis used ontreatment IV iron data only; data after a red blood cell or whole blood transfusion were excluded. Conditional to the primary outcome of achieving noninferiority at the 1-sided 2.5% significance level, superiority of daprodustat compared with darbepoetin alfa for the IV iron outcome was tested at the 1-sided 2.5% significance level.

The exploratory time to the first on-treatment red blood cell or whole blood transfusion outcome was analyzed using

jamainternalmedicine.com

a Cox proportional hazards regression model adjusted for treatment, dialysis type, and dialysis start manner. Statistical analyses were conducted using SAS, version 9.4 (SAS Institute).

Results

Study Population

Overall, 518 patients were screened worldwide, with 206 (40%) not meeting eligibility criteria. Reasons for exclusion after screening are detailed in **Figure 2**. The remaining 312 patients (60%; median [IQR] age, 55 [45-65] years; 194 [62%] male) were randomized at 90 centers in 14 countries to either daprodustat (157 patients; median [IQR] age, 52.0 [45-63] years; 96 [61%] male) or darbepoetin alfa (155 patients; median [IQR] age, 56.0 [45-67] years; 98 [63%] male). Randomized treatment was prematurely discontinued in 45 patients (29%) receiving daprodustat and 39 (25%) receiving darbepoetin alfa; 306 patients (98%) completed the study. Vital status was confirmed in all but 1 of the 312 patients (>99%) at week 52.

Baseline Characteristics and Treatment

Baseline characteristics for the intent-to-treat population are shown in **Table 1** and were generally similar between arms as well as for dialysis type (eTable 6 in <u>Supplement 2</u>). Overall, 252 (81%) of the patients were undergoing HD and 216 (69%) had planned initiation of dialysis.

PercentanceArea median (QR), y32.04 (3-5.0)Sec52.04 (3-5.0)Sec52.04 (3-5.0)Sec52.04 (3-5.0)Sec52.04 (3-5.0)Sec52.04 (3-5.0)Fanale50.01 (3.0)Sec52.04 (3.0)Sec52.04 (3.0)Sec52.04 (3.0)Sec52.04 (3.0)Sec52.04 (3.0)American or Black16.00American or Black10.00American factor and black10.00Nation and and antibio and antibio and antibio and antibio ant	Table 1. Baseline Characteristics for the ITT Population			
GuncelsinDappedia (n= 153)Dehappedia (n= 155)Set50 (45-07)Set50 (45-07)India0 (01)0 (01)Set50 (37)50 (37)Set50 (13)10 (01)Set16 (10)13 (02)Africa America on dehacity16 (10)13 (02)Africa America on Saka16 (10)31 (20)Native Harvailian of the Pacific Islander00Native Harvailian of the Pacific Islander10 (02)31 (20)Native Harvailian of the Pacific Islander10 (02)10 (05)Native Harvailian of the Pacific Islander10 (02)10 (05)Native Harvailian of the Pacific Islander10 (02)20 (13)Native Harvailian of the Pacific Islander10 (02)20 (13)Diajsis Stati manner10 (20)20 (13)Phoned10 (05)10 (05)10 (05)Diajsis Stati manner10 (05)10 (05)Diajsis Stati manner10 (05)10 (05)Diajsis Stati manner10 (03)10 (05)Diajsis Rtimeline (10)10 (13)10 (13)Resetine BM. median (08), Ig ² 10 (13)10 (13)Resetine BM. median (08), Ig ² 10 (13)10 (13)Resetine BM. median (08), Ig ² 10 (13)10 (13)National Laboration10 (Patients ^a		
Age. media (108), y56.0 (45-0)56.0 (45-0)Made96.0 (61)96.0 (63)Ferdade0.0 (63)57.0 (73)Self-identification can attricity10.0 (0.0 (1.0 (1.0 (1.0 (1.0 (1.0 (1.0	Characteristic	Daprodustat (n = 157)	Darbepoetin (n = 155)	
Set	Age, median (IQR), y	52.0 (45-63)	56.0 (45-67)	
Main96 (61)98 (63)Fernale61 (63)57 (7)Self-identified race and ethnicity113 (8)Arrican American or Bick16 (10)13 (8)Arrican American or Bick5(3)2 (1)Arrican American or Stack Native5(3)2 (1)Native Howailan or ether Pacific Islander00White10 (70)107 (69)Mixed race*00Dialysis syst at andomization110 (70)21 (70)Dialysis syst at andomization10 (70)21 (70)Pended196 (80)107 (89)Dialysis syst at andomization100 (80)107 (89)Dialysis star at andomization100 (80)107 (89)Bealen weight, median (02), 1008 (5)4 (3)Bealen weight, median (02), 10051 (87)51 (87)Bealen weight, median (02), 10051 (87)51 (87)Bealen weight, median (02), 10075 0 (63 (87.4)74 (03 (63 (87.4))Andra at andomization12 (13)12 (13)Bealen weight, median (02), 10012 (13)12 (13)Bealen weight, median (02), 10012 (13)12 (13)Andra at andomization12 (8)14 (9)Andra at andomization12 (8)14 (9)Bealen weight, median (02), 10012 (13)14 (13)Andra at andomization12 (8)14 (9)Andra at andomization12 (8)14 (9)Andra at addiant bear at at andomization12 (13)14 (13)Andra at addiant bear at addiant bear at a	Sex			
Fendle61(9)7(37)Self-identified race and ethnicitySelf-identified race and ethnicityArricca. American or Black16 (10)31 (8)Arricca. Indication or Abask Native5 (3)2 (1)Akain2 (6 (7)31 (20)Native Havailian or other Pacific Islander007 (69)Niked race ³ 02 (2 (3)Dalysis Start matter110 (70)2 (2 (3)Pip31 (20)2 (2 (3)Pip31 (20)2 (2 (3)Dalysis start matter19 (69)107 (69)Upland48 (31)46 (31)Dalysis starts at randomization8 (5)(3)Dalysis starts at randomization5 (5) (5) (5) (7)Baseline BM, medino (0Q)2 (1 (2) - 2) (2)Baseline BM, medino (0Q)2 (1 (2) - 2) (2)Baseline BM, medino (0Q), Ig"7 (30 (6) (6) (7) (7)Baseline BM, medino (0Q), Ig"2 (1 (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2)	Male	96 (61)	98 (63)	
Self-administryAfricin American of Back16 (10)13 (8)American indian of Aska Native5 (3)2 (1)Asia26 (17)31 (20)Native Hawain or other Pacific Islander00Native Maxain or other Pacific Islander00Native Maxain or other Pacific Islander00Dialysis type at randomization10 (70)126 (81)Pino16 (80)126 (81)10Dialysis star tandomization109 (68)107 (68)Dialysis star tandomization109 (68)107 (68)Dialysis star tandomization109 (68)107 (68)Dialysis star tandomization109 (68)107 (68)Dialysis star tandomization109 (68)151 (97)Baseline weight median (QR) (grid25 (12 (24 - 21))26 (22 - 30-5)Baseline weight median (QR) (grid25 (16 (3 - 87 - 4))26 (12 - 30-5)Baseline weight median (QR) (grid26 (12 - 42 - 1))26 (22 - 30-5)Coronary attry disease history47 (80)45 (9)Coronary attry disease history2 (14)26 (15 - 30-5)Angina pectris2 (13)14 (9)Angina pectris3 (20)4 (10)Angina pectris3 (20)4 (10)Angina pectris3 (20)4 (10)Angina pectris3 (20)4 (10)Angina pectris3 (8)6 (5)Toronary attry disease3 (20)4 (10)Angina pectris3 (8)4 (8)Toronary attry disease3 (20)4 (10)<	Female	61 (39)	57 (37)	
Aracican metian or Alaska Native16 (10)13 (8)Asian26 (37)31 (20)Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander00Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander00White110 (70)107 (50)Mixed racle ¹⁰ 02 (1)Dalysis type at randomization156 (80)106 (81)PO11 (20)29 (19)Dalysis start manner190 (69)107 (69)Planned190 (69)107 (69)Unplanned8 (8) (3)43 (3)Dalysis start instance8 (5)4 (3)Planned8 (5)4 (3)Dalysis start instance2 (1) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2	Self-identified race and ethnicity			
Anercain Indian or Alaska Native5 (3)2 (1)Asian26 (17)31 (20)Asian00Wite and other Pacific Islander10 (70)107 (69)Wite arcs ^h 02 (1)Dialysis tyse at randomization12 (68)2 (61)PD12 (20)2 (9 (19)Dialysis tyse at randomization2 (9 (19)Dialysis star transmer190 (69)4 (3 (31)Dialysis star transmer4 (8 (31)4 (3 (31)Dialysis star transmer4 (10)4 (3 (31)Dialysis star transmer3 (10)4 (3 (31)Dialysis star transmer4 (10)4 (3 (31)Dialysis star transmer3 (10)4 (3 (31)Dialysis not initiated8 (5)4 (3 (31)Dialysis not initiated8 (5)4 (3 (31)Dialysis not initiated14 (9 (15)15 (107)Baseline weight, median (00), kg ⁴ 2 (14)2 (3 (15)Cordinascular disease history4 (10)4 (29)Cordinascular disease history2 (10)4 (29)Cordinascular disease history2 (10)4 (10)Ariari fibrillation8 (5)8 (5)Marcal disease1 (20)9 (6)Ariari fibrillation8 (5)8 (5)Marcal disease7 (4)9 (6)Stroke7 (4)9 (6)Transient Schemic attack2 (11)1 (12)Cardica arrest1 (21)1 (21)Transient Schemic attack2 (11)1 (21)Cardica arrest2 (13)<	African American or Black	16 (10)	13 (8)	
Asian26 (17)31 (20)Native Havailan or other Pacific Islander00Media ras ^{ba} 000Media ras ^{ba} 000Dalylois type at randomization11 (20)126 (81)Pio126 (80)126 (81)0Pio126 (80)126 (81)0Pio120 (9)107 (69)0Dalysis start mamer100 (69)107 (69)0Dalysis start mamer8 (31)48 (31)0Dalysis start anomization54 (3)181 (27)Dalysis start atomization26 (22 - 29.1)26.3 (22 - 9.0.5)0Baseline Mil, median (URN) 's26 (22 - 4.2.1)26.3 (22 - 9.0.5)0Baseline Mil, median (URN) 's26 (12 - 4.2.9.1)26.3 (22 - 9.0.5)0Baseline Mil, median (URN) 's26 (12 - 4.2.9.1)26.3 (2.99.0.5)0Baseline Mil, median (URN) 's27.0 (3.0.6.7.4)7.0 (4.0.7.4)0Arrian farbitation20 (12 - 4.2.9.1)26.3 (2.99.0.5)0Mil Hort Colo12 (13 - 10.1)26.026.00Arrian farbitation12 (0.1)26.026.00Stocke<	American Indian or Alaska Native	5 (3)	2 (1)	
Neter taxailar or other Pacific Islander00White10070107690Mixed rac®02101Dalysis tar transmer126 (80)126 (81)Paned100020 (13)Dalysis start mamer83 (31)48 (31)Phaned109048 (31)48 (31)Dalysis start starton55 (50)43 (3)Dalysis start starton55 (50)51 (97)Baseline BMJ, median (0R), %g ⁴ 55 (65)43 (5)Baseline Might, median (0R), %g ⁴ 75 (65)74 (65)Baseline Might, median (0R), %g ⁴ 75 (65)45 (22)Corrent answer22 (14)23 (15)Arrial fibrilitation8 (5)46 (3)Baseline weight, median (0R), %g ⁴ 20 (8)46 (9)Angina pectoris32 (20)96 (6)Angina pectoris12 (8)8 (5)Mixed race12 (8)96 (6)Arrial fibrilitation8 (5)8 (5)Dates weight, median (0R), Marce12 (8)96 (6)Angina pectoris12 (8)96 (6)Arrial fibrilitation8 (5)8 (5)Mixed races12 (8)96 (6)Torensbenbic events13 (8)8 (3)Diabets70 (45)70 (45)Corrent20 (20)96 (6)Torensbenbic events13 (8)8 (3)Diabets70 (45)70 (45)Corrent20 (20)97 (6) (20)Torensbenic ladysis biod pressure, median (0R), media30 (30-10)Baseline po	Asian	26 (17)	31 (20)	
White110 (70)107 (69)Mixed race ¹⁰ 02 (1)Dalysis type at randomization226 (80)126 (81)PD31 (20)29 (19)Dalysis start manner	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	0	0	
Mace02 (1)Dalysis type at randomizationJ26 (80)J26 (81)H0126 (80)J26 (81)PD31 (20)29 (19)Dalysis start manner190 (69)107 (69)Planned109 (69)107 (69)Unplanned48 (31)48 (31)Dalysis status at randomization54 (3)Baseline Keight, Median (10R) ⁶ 6 (5)4 (3)Baseline Keight, Median (10R) ⁶ 26 (122.4-29.1)26 (122.4-29.1)Baseline Keight, Median (10R) ⁶ 7 (50 (63.0-87.4)74.0 (63.0-87.4)Cardiovascular disease history47 (30)45 (29)Cardiovascular disease history21 (14)23 (15)Cardiovascular disease history21 (8)14 (9)Atrial fibrillation8 (5)8 (5)Mycordial Infarction12 (8)9 (6)Atrial fibrillation12 (8)9 (6)Stocke7 (4)9 (6)Transient ischemic attack21 (13)0Cardio acrest21 (13)0Diabetes70 (45)70 (45)Garder32 (13)14 (9)Stolic9 (6)10Diabetes70 (45)14 (10)Stolic13 (8)8 (5)Diabetes70 (45)14 (10)Stolic13 (126-150)4 (13)Diabetes70 (70-84)76 (70-85)Arterial pressure, median (0R), mg/d ¹ 31 (34-1)37 (35-40)High-sensitivity CRP, median (0R), mg/d ¹ 31 (34-1)37 (35-40)<	White	110 (70)	107 (69)	
Dialysis type at andomizationH0126 (80)126 (81)P0120 (20)29 (19)Dialysis tart manner199 (69)48 (31)Panned109 (69)48 (31)Dialysis statts at randomization50 (50)48 (31)Baceining dialysis statts at randomization51 (70)Baceining dialysis statts at randomization51 (70)Baseline BMI, median (108), kg ⁴ 61 (22.4.2.1.1)26 3 (22.9.0.5)Baseline BMI, median (108), kg ⁴ 75.0 (63.0.87.4)45 (20)Coronary artery disease history710 (30)45 (20)Coronary artery disease history21 (14)23 (15)Yalvular hard disease history12 (8)46 (9)Myocardial Infraction12 (8)9 (6)Arta fibrillation45 (29)9 (6)Arta fibrillation21 (14)9 (6)Arta fibrillation12 (8)9 (6)Myocardial Infraction21 (13)4 (14)Myocardial Infraction13 (8)8 (5)Corrert13 (13)4 (3)Tormboenbolic events13 (13)4 (3)Diabets70 (45)4 (3)Baseline postidialysis blood pressure, median (108), multi M ¹ 3 (3) (1-0)Myocardial Infraction13 (12-63)4 (3)Baseline (108), might13 (12-61)14 (120-154)Diabetic13 (12-61)14 (120-154)Diabetic13 (12-61)14 (120-154)Diabetic14 (100, multi M ¹)14 (120-154)Diabetic14 (109, multi M ¹) <td< td=""><td>Mixed race^b</td><td>0</td><td>2 (1)</td></td<>	Mixed race ^b	0	2 (1)	
HD126 (80)126 (80)126 (81)PO31 (20)29 (19)Dalayis start manner29 (19)Planned109 (69)107 (69)Unplanned48 (31)48 (31)Dalayis stat art andomization48 (31)48 (31)Dalayis stat art andomization50 (22.4-29.1)5.4 (22.9-0.5)Baseline BMI, median (0QP), fed7.5 (163.0-87.4)7.4 (163.0-87.4)Baseline BMI, median (0QP), fed7.5 (163.0-87.4)7.4 (163.0-87.4)Cardioaxoular disease history47 (30)45 (29)Coronary artery disease22 (14)23 (15)Morage Later State	Dialysis type at randomization			
PD31 (20)29 (19)Dialysis start mannerPlanned109 (69)107 (69)Unplanned48 (31)48 (31)Dialysis status at randomizationBiaseline BMI, median (00)*8 (5)15 (107)Baseline BMI, median (00)*26.1 (22.4-29.1)26.3 (22.9-30.5)Baseline BMI, median (00)*47 (30)45 (20)Coronary artery disease history47 (30)45 (20)Coronary artery disease history47 (30)45 (20)Coronary artery disease history20 (14)23 (15)Hard failure2 (14)1 (<1)	HD	126 (80)	126 (81)	
Dialysis start mannerPlanned109 (69)107 (69)Unplanmed84 (31)Dialysis status at randomizationDialysis status at randomizationBaseline BM, median (UQR)*86 (5)4 (3)Baseline BM, median (UQR)*26.1 (22.4-29.1)26.3 (22.9-30.5)Baseline BM, median (UQR)*26.1 (22.4-29.1)26.3 (22.9-30.5)Baseline BM, median (UQR)*75.0 (63.0-87.4)74.0 (63.0-87.4)Cardiovascular disease history47 (3045 (29)Cardiovascular disease history2 (11)1 (<1)	PD	31 (20)	29 (19)	
Planed 109 (69) 107 (69) Unplaned 48 (31) 48 (31) Dialysis status at andomization ************************************	Dialysis start manner			
Upplanned48 (31)48 (31)Dialysis ottatus at randomizationDialysis ottimitated8 (5)4 (3)Receiving dialysis149 (95)151 (97)Baseline BMI, median (10R), kgd26.1 (22.4 - 29.1)26.3 (22.9 - 30.5)Baseline weight, median (10R), kgd75.0 (63.0-87.4)74.0 (63.0-87.4)Cardiovascular disease history47 (30)45 (29)Coronary artery disease22 (14)23 (15)Heart failure21 (12)1 (-1)Valvular heart disease12 (8)41 (9)Angina pectoris3 (2)9 (6)Arrain fibrillation8 (5)8 (5)Myocardial infarction21 (13)9 (6)Stroke70 (45)9 (6)Thrombeenbolic events13 (8)8 (5)Diabetes70 (45)70 (45)Diabetes70 (45)70 (45)Smoking statusUUEurert31 (12)44 (9)Baseline postdialysis blood pressure, median (10R), mm Hg"33 (2)-48 (31)Systolic139 (126-150)140 (120-154)Diabotic79 (70-84)76 (70-85)Arterial pressure, median (0R), mg/dt ⁴ 3 (0 1-0.7)4 (0 1-0.9)High-sensitivity CRP, median (0R), mg/dt ⁴ 3 (0 1-0.7)4 (0 1-0.9)High-sensitivity CRP, median (0R), mg/dt ⁴ 3 (0 1-0.7)4 (0 1-0.9)High-sensitivity CRP, median (0R), mg/dt ⁴ 3 (0 1-0.7)4 (0 1-0.9)High-sensitivity CRP, median (0R), mg/dt ⁴ 3 (0 1-0.7)4 (0 1-0.9)High-sensi	Planned	109 (69)	107 (69)	
Dialysis status at randomization Dialysis not initiated 8 (5) 4 (3) Receiving dialysis 151 (97) 55 Baseline BM, median (IQR) ¹ 26.1 (22.4-29.1) 26.3 (22.9-30.5) Baseline weight, median (IQR), Ig ⁴⁰ 75.0 (63.0-87.4) 74.0 (63.0-87.4) Cardiovascular disease history 47 (30) 45 (29) Coronary attry disease 22 (14) 23 (15) Valvular heart disease 12 (8) 14 (9) Angina pectoris 3 (2) 9 (6) Artial fibrillation 8 (5) 8 (5) Myocardial infarction 12 (8) 9 (6) Transient ischemic attack 2 (1) 2 (1) Cardioa arrest 2 (1) 2 (1) Cardioa arrest 2 (1) 0 Thromboembolic events 13 (8) 8 (5) Diabetes 70 (45) 70 (45) Carcer 3 (2) 4 (3) Baseline potidialysis blood pressure, median (IQR), mm Hg ^a 3 (2) 4 (3) Systolic 13 (13 (1) 14 (9) 6	Unplanned	48 (31)	48 (31)	
Dialysis not initiated 8 (5) 4 (3) Receiving dialysis 149 (05) 151 (07) Baseline weight, median (10R)k (0°) 26.1 (22.4-29.1) 26.3 (22.9-30.5) Baseline weight, median (10R)k (0°) 75.0 (63.0-87.4) 74.0 (63.0-87.4) Cardiovascular disease history 47 (30) 45 (29) Cardiovascular disease history 74.0 (63.0-87.4) 23 (15) Heart failure 2 (14) 23 (15) Valvular heart disease 12 (8) 9 (6) Angina pectoris 3 (2) 9 (6) Ariaf Ibrillation 8 (5) 8 (5) Myocardial infarction 2 (1) 2 (1) Stroke 7 (4) 9 (6) Transient ischemic attack 2 (1) 2 (1) Cardica arest 2 (1) 0 Thromboembolic events 13 (8) 8 (5) Diabetes 70 (45) 70 (45) Sonking status 12 13 (126-150) 140 (120-154) Diabetes 79 (70-84) 76 (70-85) 77 (85-100) Baseline postidialysis blood p	Dialysis status at randomization			
Receiving dialysis 149 (95) 151 (97) Baseline BMI, median (UQR) 26.1 (22.4-29.1) 26.3 (22.9-30.5) Baseline weight, median (UQR), kg ^d 75.0 (63.0-87.4) 74.0 (63.0-87.4) Cardioxscular disease history 47 (30) 45 (29) Cardioxscular disease history 47 (30) 45 (29) Coronary artery disease 22 (14) 23 (15) Heart failure 2 (1) 1 (<1)	Dialysis not initiated	8 (5)	4 (3)	
Baseline BMI, median (IQR) ^k 26.1 (22.4-29.1) 26.3 (22.9-30.5) Baseline weight, median (IQR), kg ^d 75.0 (63.0-87.4) 74.0 (63.0-87.4) Cardiovascular disease history 47 (30) 45 (29) Caronary artery disease 22 (14) 23 (15) Heart failure 2 (1) 1 (<1)	Receiving dialysis	149 (95)	151 (97)	
Baseline weight, median (IQR), kg ^d 75.0 (63.0-87.4) 74.0 (63.0-87.4) Gardiovascular disease history 47 (30) 45 (29) Cornary artery disease 22 (14) 23 (15) Heart failure 2 (1) 1 (<1)	Baseline BMI, median (IQR) ^c	26.1 (22.4-29.1)	26.3 (22.9-30.5)	
Cardiovascular disease history 47 (30) 45 (29) Coronary artery disease 22 (14) 23 (15) Heart failure 2 (1) 1 (<1)	Baseline weight, median (IQR), kg ^d	75.0 (63.0-87.4)	74.0 (63.0-87.4)	
Coronary artery disease 22 (14) 23 (15) Heart failure 2 (1) 1 (<1)	Cardiovascular disease history	47 (30)	45 (29)	
Heart failure 2 (1) 1 (<1) Valvular heart disease 12 (8) 14 (9) Angina pectoris 3 (2) 9 (6) Atrial fibrillation 8 (5) 8 (5) Myocardial infarction 12 (8) 9 (6) Stroke 7 (4) 9 (6) Transient ischemic attack 2 (1) 2 (1) Cardia carrest 2 (1) 0 Thromboembolic events 13 (8) 8 (5) Diabetes 70 (45) 70 (45) Carcer 3 (2) 4 (3) Smoking status 21 (13) 14 (9) Current 21 (13) 14 (9) Former 24 (2) 4 (3) Baseline postdialysis blood pressure, median (IQR), mm Hg ^e 5 Systolic 139 (126-150) 140 (120-154) Diastotic 79 (70-84) 76 (70-85) Arterial pressure, mend (IQR), mg/dt ¹ 0.3 (0.1-0.7) 0.4 (0.1-0.9) Albumin, median (IQR), g/dt ¹ 0.3 (0.1-0.7) 0.4 (0.1-0.9) Alburotin, g/dL 0.3 (0.1-0.7)	Coronary artery disease	22 (14)	23 (15)	
Valvular heart disease 12 (8) 14 (9) Angina pectoris 3 (2) 9 (6) Atrial fibrillation 8 (5) 8 (5) Myocardial infarction 12 (8) 9 (6) Stroke 7 (4) 9 (6) Transient ischemic attack 2 (1) 2 (1) Cardiac arrest 2 (1) 0 Thromboembolic events 13 (8) 8 (5) Diabetes 70 (45) 70 (45) Carcer 3 (2) 4 (3) Smoking status 21 (13) 14 (9) Former 34 (22) 48 (31) Baseline postdialysis blood pressure, median (1QR), mm Hg ^e 35 (3) 440 (120-154) Systolic 139 (126-150) 140 (120-154) Diastolic 79 (70-84) 76 (70-85) Arterial pressure, median (1QR), mg/dL ^f 0.3 (0.1-0.7) 0.4 (0.1-0.9) Albumin, median (QR), g/dL ^g 38 (3.4-4.1) 3.7 (3.5-4.0) Hemoglobin, g/dL 0.3 (0.1-0.7) 0.4 (0.1-0.9) Median (1QR), g/dL ^g 0.5 (1.0) 9.5 (8.9-10.0)	Heart failure	2 (1)	1 (<1)	
Angina pectoris 3 (2) 9 (6) Atrial fibrillation 8 (5) 8 (5) Myocardial infarction 12 (8) 9 (6) Stroke 7 (4) 9 (6) Transient ischemic attack 2 (1) 0 Cardiac arrest 2 (1) 0 Thromboembolic events 13 (8) 8 (5) Diabetes 70 (45) 70 (45) Carcer 3 (2) 4 (3) Smoking status	Valvular heart disease	12 (8)	14 (9)	
Atrial fibrillation 8 (5) 8 (5) Myocardial infarction 12 (8) 9 (6) Stroke 7 (4) 9 (6) Transient ischemic attack 2 (1) 2 (1) Cardiac arrest 2 (1) 0 Thromboembolic events 13 (8) 8 (5) Diabetes 7 (45) 70 (45) Carcer 3 (2) 4 (3) Smoking status Current 21 (13) 14 (9) Former 34 (22) 48 (31) Baseline postdialysis blood pressure, median (IQR), mm Hg ^d Systolic 139 (126-150) 140 (120-154) Diabetes 79 (70-84) 76 (70-85) Arterial pressure, mean (IQR) 79 (789-106) 97 (86-107) High-sensitivity CRP, median (IQR), mg/dL ^f 3.8 (3.4-4.1) 3.7 (3.5-4.0) Hemoglobin, g/dL 9.5 (6.0) Median (IQR) 9.4 (8.7-10.1) 9.5 (8.9-10.0) Median (IQR), No./µL ^g 6.6 (5.4-7.8) 6.4 (5.3-8.3) Platelets, median (IQR	Angina pectoris	3 (2)	9 (6)	
Myocardial infarction 12 (8) 9 (6) Stroke 7 (4) 9 (6) Transient ischemic attack 2 (1) 2 (1) Cardiac arrest 2 (1) 0 Thromboembolic events 13 (8) 8 (5) Diabetes 70 (45) 70 (45) Carcer 3 (2) 4 (3) Smoking status 2 11 (3) 14 (9) Former 3 4 (22) 48 (31) Baseline postdialsysis blood pressure, median (IQR), mm Hg ^{et} 5 5 Systolic 139 (126-150) 140 (120-154) Diastolic 79 (70-84) 76 (70-85) Arterial pressure, mean (IQR) 97 (89-106) 97 (86-107) High-sensitivity CRP, median (IQR), mg/dL ^f 0.3 (0.1-0.7) 0.4 (0.1-0.9) Albumin, median (IQR), g/dL ^g 3.8 (3.4-4.1) 3.7 (3.5-4.0) Hemoglobin, g/dL 9.4 (8.7-10.1) 9.5 (8.9-10.0) Median (IQR) 9.4 (8.7-10.1) 9.5 (8.9-10.0) Median (IQR), No./µL ^g 6.6 (5.4-7.8) 6.4 (5.3-8.3) Platelets, median (IQR), No./µL	Atrial fibrillation	8 (5)	8 (5)	
Stroke 7 (4) 9 (6) Transient ischemic attack 2 (1) 2 (1) Cardiac arrest 2 (1) 0 Thromboembolic events 13 (8) 8 (5) Diabetes 70 (45) 70 (45) Cancer 3 (2) 4 (3) Smoking status Current 21 (13) 14 (9) Former 3 (2) 4 (3) Baseline postdialysis blood pressure, median (IQR), mm Hg ^e Systolic 139 (126-150) 140 (120-154) Diabtolic 79 (70-84) 76 (70-85) Arterial pressure, mean (IQR) 97 (89-106) 97 (86-107) High-sensitivity CRP, median (IQR), mg/dL ^f 0.3 (0.1-0.7) 0.4 (0.1-0.9) Albumin, median (IQR), g/dL ^g 3.8 (3.4-4.1) 3.7 (3.5-4.0) Hemoglobin, g/dL Median (IQR), g/dL ^g 9.4 (8.7-10.1) 9.5 (8.9-10.0) Mean (SD) 9.5 (1.0) 9.5 (1.0) Weatian (IQR), No./µL ^g 6.6 (5.4-7.8) 6.4 (5.3-8.3) <td>Myocardial infarction</td> <td>12 (8)</td> <td>9 (6)</td>	Myocardial infarction	12 (8)	9 (6)	
Transient ischemic attack 2 (1) 2 (1) Cardiac arrest 2 (1) 0 Thromboembolic events 13 (8) 8 (5) Diabetes 70 (45) 70 (45) Cancer 3 (2) 4 (3) Smoking status 21 (13) 14 (9) Former 34 (22) 48 (31) Baseline postdialysis blood pressure, median (IQR), mm Hg ^e 5 5 Systolic 139 (126-150) 140 (120-154) Diabotic 79 (70-84) 76 (70-85) Arterial pressure, mean (IQR) 97 (89-106) 97 (86-107) High-sensitivity CRP, median (IQR), mg/dL ^f 0.3 (0.1-0.7) 0.4 (0.1-0.9) Albumin, median (IQR), g/dL ^g 9.4 (8.7-10.1) 9.5 (8.9-10.0) Hemoglobin, g/dL 9.4 (8.7-10.1) 9.5 (8.9-10.0) White blood cell count, median (IQR), No./µL ^g 6.6 (5.4-7.8) 6.4 (5.3-8.3) Platelets, median (IQR), No./µL ^g 219 (171-280) 204 (172-249)	Stroke	7 (4)	9 (6)	
Cardiac arrest 2 (1) 0 Thromboembolic events 13 (8) 8 (5) Diabetes 70 (45) 70 (45) Cancer 3 (2) 4 (3) Smoking status 21 (13) 14 (9) Former 34 (22) 48 (31) Baseline postdialysis blood pressure, median (IQR), mm Hg ^e 5 5 Systolic 139 (126-150) 140 (120-154) Diabtolic 79 (70-84) 76 (70-85) Arterial pressure, mean (IQR) 97 (89-106) 97 (86-107) High-sensitivity CRP, median (IQR), mg/dL ^f 0.3 (0.1-0.7) 0.4 (0.1-0.9) Albumin, median (IQR), g/dL ^g 3.8 (3.4-4.1) 3.7 (3.5-4.0) Hemoglobin, g/dL 9.4 (8.7-10.1) 9.5 (8.9-10.0) Median (IQR) 9.4 (8.7-10.1) 9.5 (8.9-10.0) Median (IQR), No./µL ^g 6.6 (5.4-7.8) 6.4 (5.3-8.3) Platelets, median (IQR), No./µL ^g 6.6 (5.4-7.8) 6.4 (5.3-8.3) Platelets, median (IQR), No./µL ^g 219 (171-280) 204 (172-249)	Transient ischemic attack	2 (1)	2 (1)	
Thromboembolic events 13 (8) 8 (5) Diabetes 70 (45) 70 (45) Cancer 3 (2) 4 (3) Smoking status 21 (13) 14 (9) Current 21 (13) 14 (9) Former 34 (22) 48 (31) Baseline postdialysis blood pressure, median (IQR), mm Hg ^e 35 (20 - 40 (120 - 154)) Systolic 139 (126 - 150) 140 (120 - 154) Diastolic 79 (70 - 84) 76 (70 - 85) Arterial pressure, mean (IQR) 97 (89 - 106) 97 (86 - 107) High-sensitivity CRP, median (IQR), mg/dL ^f 0.3 (0.1 - 0.7) 0.4 (0.1 - 0.9) Albumin, median (IQR), g/dL ^g 9.4 (8.7 - 10.1) 9.5 (8.9 - 10.0) Median (IQR) 9.4 (8.7 - 10.1) 9.5 (8.9 - 10.0) Median (IQR) 9.5 (1.0) 9.5 (1.0) 9.5 (1.0) Mite blood cell count, median (IQR), No./µL ^g 6.6 (5.4 - 7.8) 6.4 (5.3 - 8.3) Platelets, median (IQR), No. ×10 ³ /µL ^g 219 (171 - 280) 204 (172 - 249)	Cardiac arrest	2 (1)	0	
Diabetes 70 (45) 70 (45) Cancer 3 (2) 4 (3) Smoking status 5 5 Current 21 (13) 14 (9) Former 34 (22) 48 (31) Baseline postdialysis blood pressure, median (IQR), mm Hg ^e 140 (120-154) Systolic 139 (126-150) 140 (120-154) Diastolic 79 (70-84) 76 (70-85) Arterial pressure, mean (IQR) 97 (89-106) 97 (86-107) High-sensitivity CRP, median (IQR), mg/dL ^f 0.3 (0.1-0.7) 0.4 (0.1-0.9) Albumin, median (IQR), g/dL ^g 9.4 (8.7-10.1) 9.5 (8.9-10.0) Median (IQR) 9.5 (1.0) 9.5 (1.0) Median (IQR) 9.5 (1.0) 9.5 (1.0) White blood cell count, median (IQR), No./µL ^g 6.6 (5.4-7.8) 6.4 (5.3-8.3) Platelets, median (IQR), No. ×10 ³ /µL ^g 219 (171-280) 204 (172-249)	Thromboembolic events	13 (8)	8 (5)	
Cancer 3 (2) 4 (3) Smoking status 21 (13) 14 (9) Current 21 (12) 48 (31) Baseline postdialysis blood pressure, median (IQR), mm Hg ^e 34 (22) 48 (31) Baseline postdialysis blood pressure, median (IQR), mm Hg ^e 139 (126-150) 140 (120-154) Systolic 139 (126-150) 140 (120-154) Diastolic 79 (70-84) 76 (70-85) Arterial pressure, mean (IQR) 97 (89-106) 97 (86-107) High-sensitivity CRP, median (IQR), mg/dL ^f 0.3 (0.1-0.7) 0.4 (0.1-0.9) Albumin, median (IQR), g/dL ^g 3.8 (3.4-4.1) 3.7 (3.5-4.0) Hemoglobin, g/dL	Diabetes	70 (45)	70 (45)	
Smoking status Current 21 (13) 14 (9) Former 34 (22) 48 (31) Baseline postdialysis blood pressure, median (IQR), mm Hg ^e 34 (22) 48 (31) Systolic 139 (126-150) 140 (120-154) Diastolic 79 (70-84) 76 (70-85) Arterial pressure, mean (IQR) 97 (89-106) 97 (86-107) High-sensitivity CRP, median (IQR), mg/dL ^f 0.3 (0.1-0.7) 0.4 (0.1-0.9) Albumin, median (IQR), g/dL ^g 3.8 (3.4-4.1) 3.7 (3.5-4.0) Hemoglobin, g/dL Wedian (IQR) 9.4 (8.7-10.1) 9.5 (8.9-10.0) Median (IQR) 9.4 (8.7-10.1) 9.5 (8.9-10.0) 9.5 (1.0) White blood cell count, median (IQR), No./µL ^g 6.6 (5.4-7.8) 6.4 (5.3-8.3) Platelets, median (IQR), No. ×10 ³ /µL ^g 219 (171-280) 204 (172-249) Transferrin saturation. median (IQR), % 28 (23-35) 30 (23-35)	Cancer	3 (2)	4 (3)	
Current 21 (13) 14 (9) Former 34 (22) 48 (31) Baseline postdialysis blood pressure, median (IQR), mm Hg ^e 5 Systolic 139 (126-150) 140 (120-154) Diastolic 79 (70-84) 76 (70-85) Arterial pressure, mean (IQR) 97 (89-106) 97 (86-107) High-sensitivity CRP, median (IQR), mg/dL ^f 0.3 (0.1-0.7) 0.4 (0.1-0.9) Albumin, median (IQR), g/dL ^g 3.8 (3.4-4.1) 3.7 (3.5-4.0) Hemoglobin, g/dL	Smoking status			
Former 34 (22) 48 (31) Baseline postdialysis blood pressure, median (IQR), mm Hg ^e 5 139 (126-150) 140 (120-154) Diastolic 139 (126-150) 140 (120-154) 140 (120-154) Diastolic 79 (70-84) 76 (70-85) Arterial pressure, mean (IQR) 97 (89-106) 97 (86-107) High-sensitivity CRP, median (IQR), mg/dL ^f 0.3 (0.1-0.7) 0.4 (0.1-0.9) Albumin, median (IQR), g/dL ^g 3.8 (3.4-4.1) 3.7 (3.5-4.0) Hemoglobin, g/dL	Current	21 (13)	14 (9)	
Baseline postdialysis blood pressure, median (IQR), mm Hg ^e Systolic 139 (126-150) 140 (120-154) Diastolic 79 (70-84) 76 (70-85) Arterial pressure, mean (IQR) 97 (89-106) 97 (86-107) High-sensitivity CRP, median (IQR), mg/dL ^f 0.3 (0.1-0.7) 0.4 (0.1-0.9) Albumin, median (IQR), g/dL ^g 3.8 (3.4-4.1) 3.7 (3.5-4.0) Hemoglobin, g/dL	Former	34 (22)	48 (31)	
Systolic139 (126-150)140 (120-154)Diastolic79 (70-84)76 (70-85)Arterial pressure, mean (IQR)97 (89-106)97 (86-107)High-sensitivity CRP, median (IQR), mg/dLf0.3 (0.1-0.7)0.4 (0.1-0.9)Albumin, median (IQR), g/dLg3.8 (3.4-4.1)3.7 (3.5-4.0)Hemoglobin, g/dL9.4 (8.7-10.1)9.5 (8.9-10.0)Median (IQR)9.5 (1.0)9.5 (1.0)Men (SD)9.5 (1.0)9.5 (1.0)White blood cell count, median (IQR), No./µLg6.6 (5.4-7.8)6.4 (5.3-8.3)Platelets, median (IQR), No. ×10 ³ /µLg219 (171-280)204 (172-249)Transferrin saturation, median (IQR), %28 (23-35)30 (23-35)	Baseline postdialysis blood pressure, median (IQR), mm Hg ^e			
Diastolic79 (70-84)76 (70-85)Arterial pressure, mean (IQR)97 (89-106)97 (86-107)High-sensitivity CRP, median (IQR), mg/dL f0.3 (0.1-0.7)0.4 (0.1-0.9)Albumin, median (IQR), g/dL g3.8 (3.4-4.1)3.7 (3.5-4.0)Hemoglobin, g/dL9.4 (8.7-10.1)9.5 (8.9-10.0)Median (IQR)9.5 (1.0)9.5 (1.0)Men (SD)9.5 (1.0)9.5 (1.0)White blood cell count, median (IQR), No./µL g6.6 (5.4-7.8)6.4 (5.3-8.3)Platelets, median (IQR), No. ×10 ³ /µL g219 (171-280)204 (172-249)Transferrin saturation, median (IQR), %28 (23-35)30 (23-35)	Systolic	139 (126-150)	140 (120-154)	
Arterial pressure, mean (IQR) 97 (89-106) 97 (86-107) High-sensitivity CRP, median (IQR), mg/dL ^f 0.3 (0.1-0.7) 0.4 (0.1-0.9) Albumin, median (IQR), g/dL ^g 3.8 (3.4-4.1) 3.7 (3.5-4.0) Hemoglobin, g/dL 9.4 (8.7-10.1) 9.5 (8.9-10.0) Median (IQR) 9.5 (1.0) 9.5 (1.0) Mean (SD) 9.5 (1.0) 9.5 (1.0) White blood cell count, median (IQR), No./μL ^g 6.6 (5.4-7.8) 6.4 (5.3-8.3) Platelets, median (IQR), No. ×10 ³ /μL ^g 219 (171-280) 204 (172-249) Transferrin saturation, median (IQR), % 28 (23-35) 30 (23-35)	Diastolic	79 (70-84)	76 (70-85)	
High-sensitivity CRP, median (IQR), mg/dL ^f $0.3 (0.1-0.7)$ $0.4 (0.1-0.9)$ Albumin, median (IQR), g/dL ^g $3.8 (3.4-4.1)$ $3.7 (3.5-4.0)$ Hemoglobin, g/dL $Median (IQR)$ $9.4 (8.7-10.1)$ $9.5 (8.9-10.0)$ Mean (SD) $9.5 (1.0)$ $9.5 (1.0)$ $9.5 (1.0)$ White blood cell count, median (IQR), No./µL ^g $6.6 (5.4-7.8)$ $6.4 (5.3-8.3)$ Platelets, median (IQR), No. ×10 ³ /µL ^g $219 (171-280)$ $204 (172-249)$ Transferrin saturation, median (IQR), % $28 (23-35)$ $30 (23-35)$	Arterial pressure, mean (IQR)	97 (89-106)	97 (86-107)	
Albumin, median (IQR), g/dL ^g 3.8 (3.4-4.1) 3.7 (3.5-4.0) Hemoglobin, g/dL 9.4 (8.7-10.1) 9.5 (8.9-10.0) Median (IQR) 9.4 (8.7-10.1) 9.5 (8.9-10.0) Mean (SD) 9.5 (1.0) 9.5 (1.0) White blood cell count, median (IQR), No./μL ^g 6.6 (5.4-7.8) 6.4 (5.3-8.3) Platelets, median (IQR), No. ×10 ³ /μL ^g 219 (171-280) 204 (172-249) Transferrin saturation, median (IOR), % 28 (23-35) 30 (23-35)	High-sensitivity CRP, median (IQR), mg/dL ^f	0.3 (0.1-0.7)	0.4 (0.1-0.9)	
Hemoglobin, g/dL 9.4 (8.7-10.1) 9.5 (8.9-10.0) Median (IQR) 9.5 (1.0) 9.5 (1.0) Mean (SD) 9.5 (1.0) 9.5 (1.0) White blood cell count, median (IQR), No./μL ^g 6.6 (5.4-7.8) 6.4 (5.3-8.3) Platelets, median (IQR), No. ×10 ³ /μL ^g 219 (171-280) 204 (172-249) Transferrin saturation, median (IQR), % 28 (23-35) 30 (23-35)	Albumin, median (IQR), g/dL ^g	3.8 (3.4-4.1)	3.7 (3.5-4.0)	
Median (IQR) 9.4 (8.7-10.1) 9.5 (8.9-10.0) Mean (SD) 9.5 (1.0) 9.5 (1.0) White blood cell count, median (IQR), No./μL ^g 6.6 (5.4-7.8) 6.4 (5.3-8.3) Platelets, median (IQR), No. ×10 ³ /μL ^g 219 (171-280) 204 (172-249) Transferrin saturation, median (IQR), % 28 (23-35) 30 (23-35)	Hemoglobin, g/dL			
Mean (SD) 9.5 (1.0) 9.5 (1.0) White blood cell count, median (IQR), No./μL ^g 6.6 (5.4-7.8) 6.4 (5.3-8.3) Platelets, median (IQR), No. ×10 ³ /μL ^g 219 (171-280) 204 (172-249) Transferrin saturation, median (IQR), % 28 (23-35) 30 (23-35)	Median (IQR)	9.4 (8.7-10.1)	9.5 (8.9-10.0)	
White blood cell count, median (IQR), No./μL ^g 6.6 (5.4-7.8) 6.4 (5.3-8.3) Platelets, median (IQR), No. ×10 ³ /μL ^g 219 (171-280) 204 (172-249) Transferrin saturation, median (IOR), % 28 (23-35) 30 (23-35)	Mean (SD)	9.5 (1.0)	9.5 (1.0)	
Platelets, median (IQR), No. ×10 ³ /µL ^g 219 (171-280) 204 (172-249) Transferrin saturation, median (IOR), % 28 (23-35) 30 (23-35)	White blood cell count, median (IOR). No. /uL ^g	6.6 (5.4-7.8)	6.4 (5.3-8.3)	
Transferrin saturation, median (IOR), % 28 (23-35) 30 (23-35)	Platelets, median (IOR), No. ×10 ³ /uL ^g	219 (171-280)	204 (172-249)	
	Transferrin saturation, median (IOR). %	28 (23-35)	30 (23-35)	

(continued)

596 JAMA Internal Medicine June 2022 Volume 182, Number 6

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics for the ITT Population (continued)

	Patients ^a	
Characteristic	Daprodustat (n = 157)	Darbepoetin (n = 155)
Ferritin, median (IQR), ng/mL	365 (221-518)	373 (239-649)
Hepcidin, median (IQR), ng/mL	118.4 (76.8-198.6)	124.8 (78.2-205.3)
Cholesterol, median (IQR), mg/dL ^h		
Total	164.1 (139.0-191.1)	164.1 (140.9-187.3)
Low-density lipoprotein	91.9 (71.0-112.0)	90.0 (73.0-110.0)
High-density lipoprotein	46.3 (38.6-60.0)	44.4 (38.6-54.1)
Medication		
Diabetes medications	56 (36)	54 (35)
Insulin	40 (25)	42 (27)
ACE inhibitor or ARB	73 (46)	58 (37)
Beta blocker	80 (51)	77 (50)
Statin	64 (41)	50 (32)
Aspirin	39 (25)	40 (26)
Vitamin K antagonist	3 (2)	3 (2)
Phosphate binder ⁱ		
Iron-based	2 (1)	3 (2)
Calcium-based	55 (35)	56 (36)
Not calcium- or iron-based	12 (8)	13 (8)
Vitamin D	56 (36)	67 (43)
Calcimimetics	3 (2)	3 (2)
Oral iron	25 (16)	21 (14)
Intravenous iron	105 (67)	109 (70)
Standardized IV iron dose, median (IQR), mg/mo ^j	87.0 (0-229.8)	130.5 (0-279.5)
Standardized IV iron dose, mean (SD), mg/mo	159.3 (207.1)	180.1 (209.9)

Abbreviations: ACE, angiotensin-converting enzyme; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; BMI, body mass index, calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared; CRP, C-reactive protein; HD, hemodialysis; ITT, intent-to-treat; IV, intravenous; PD, peritoneal dialysis.

SI conversion factors: To convert albumin to grams per liter, multiply by 10.0; cholesterol to millimoles per liter, multiply by 0.0259; CRP to milligrams per liter, multiply by 10.0; ferritin to micrograms per liter, multiply by 1.0; hemoglobin to grams per liter, multiply by 10.0; platelets to number $\times 10^9$ per liter, multiply by 1.0; white blood cell count to number $\times 10^9$ per liter, multiply by 0.001.

^a All baseline laboratory tests were performed by a central laboratory except for hemoglobin, which uses central laboratory values if available or a point-of-care HemoCue value if the central laboratory value is missing. Data are presented as the number (percentage) of patients unless otherwise indicated.

^b Patients selected multiple race categories.

 $^{\rm c}$ For patients with in-clinic dialysis, postdialysis values were used. Data are presented from 154 patients in the daprodustat arm and 153 in the

darbepoetin alfa arm.

^d Data are presented from 155 patients in the daprodustat arm and 153 in the darbepoetin alfa arm.

^e For patients with in-clinic dialysis, postdialysis values were used. Data are presented from 155 patients in the daprodustat arm and 154 in the darbepoetin alfa arm.

^f Data are presented from 155 patients in the daprodustat arm and 152 in the darbepoetin alfa arm.

^g Based on the Safety Population.

^h Data are presented from 154 patients in the daprodustat arm for cholesterol and high-density lipoprotein and 155 for low-density lipoprotein; data are presented from 150 patients in the darbepoetin alfa arm for cholesterol and high-density lipoprotein and 154 for low-density lipoprotein.

ⁱ Patients may have used more than 1 type of phosphate binder, so percentages may sum to more than 100.

^j Includes patients receiving no IV iron.

The mean (SD) baseline Hb concentration was 9.46 (1.00) g/dL in the daprodustat group and 9.49 (0.97) g/dL in the darbepoetin alfa group. Baseline IV iron use was similar between groups (daprodustat, 67%; darbepoetin alfa, 70%), although the median (IQR) standardized IV iron dose at baseline was lower in the daprodustat group (87 mg/mo [0-230 mg/mo]) than in the darbepoetin alfa group (130 mg/mo [0-280 mg/mo]).

Treatment Exposure and Dosing

Randomized treatment exposure was similar between the 2 arms, with 135 patients (86%) in the daprodustat arm and 139 (90%) in the darbepoetin arm receiving randomized treatment for more than 6 months. The median (IQR) for the daily

jamainternalmedicine.com

dose of daprodustat was 2 mg (0-8 mg) and for the 4 weekly doses of darbepoetin alfa was 60 µg (30-160 µg) (eFigure 1 in Supplement 2). The total median (IQR) duration of exposure was 12.0 months (9.6-12.0 months) for the daprodustat arm and 12 months (11.8-12.1 months) for the darbepoetin arm.

Hemoglobin Efficacy

During the evaluation period, the mean (SD) Hb concentration was 10.5 (1.0) g/dL in the daprodustat arm and 10.6 (0.9) g/dL in the darbepoetin alfa arm. The mean Hb concentration for both the daprodustat and the darbepoetin alfa arms remained in the analysis range of 10.0 to 11.5 g/dL (**Figure 3A**). The adjusted mean (SE) change from baseline in the

Figure 3. Line Plots for Mean Hemoglobin Levels and Mean On-Treatment Monthly IV Iron Dose



B Mean on-treatment monthly IV iron dose



Dashed vertical lines indicate the evaluation period and whiskers, 95% CIs. BL, baseline; FU, follow-up; IV, intravenous; and SCR, screening. To convert hemoglobin to grams per liter, multiply by 10.0.

daprodustat vs darbepoetin alfa groups was 1.02 (0.09) g/dL vs 1.12 (0.09) g/dL, giving a treatment difference of -0.10 g/dL (95% CI, -0.34 to 0.14 g/dL), achieving noninferiority of daprodustat compared with darbepoetin alfa at the prespecified noninferiority margin of -0.75 g/dL.

Subgroup analysis of the primary Hb outcome showed similar results for HD and PD and for a planned and unplanned dialysis start (eFigure 2 in Supplement 2). Supplementary analyses provided findings that were consistent with those of the primary analysis (eFigure 3 in Supplement 2). The Hb response to daprodustat and darbepoetin alfa from baseline to the evaluation period was maintained regardless of the baseline high-sensitivity C-reactive protein level (eTable 7 in Supplement 2).

Markers of Iron Metabolism and Use

Although there was a reduction in mean monthly IV iron use from baseline to week 52 in both treatment groups compared with baseline, daprodustat was not superior to darbepoetin alfa in reducing monthly IV iron use (adjusted mean treatment difference, 19.4 mg/mo [95% CI, -11.0 to 49.9]; Figure 3B). Mean (SD) monthly IV iron use between the 2 treatments groups was generally similar (daprodustat, 142 (161) mg; darbepoetin alfa, 128 (137) mg).

Patients who received daprodustat had an increase in total iron-binding capacity and a reduction in ferritin level, whereas transferrin saturation and the total iron level remained relatively stable (eFigure 4A-D in Supplement 2). Results were similar for patients receiving darbepoetin alfa aside from total iron-binding capacity, which remained similar to baseline, and hepcidin level, which was reduced in both treatment groups. A reduction of 26% (from a mean [IQR] of 112.6 [76.8-198.6] ng/mL to 82.8 [48.0-170.1] ng/mL) in hepcidin level was observed among patients who received daprodustat, and a 10% reduction (from a mean [IQR] of 111.6 [78.2-205.3] to 100.2 [66.5-182.1] ng/mL) was observed among those who received darbepoetin alfa.

Rescue and Transfusions

Three percent of patients in both treatment groups (5 in daprodustat and 5 in darbepoetin alfa) met the rescue criteria, resulting in permanent discontinuation of randomized treatment. The rate of a first occurrence of red blood cell or whole blood transfusion during the on-treatment period was similar between the groups (daprodustat, 18 patients [12%]; darbepoetin alfa, 21 [14%]; hazard ratio, 0.88; 95% CI, 0.47-1.66).

Adverse Events and Other Safety Outcomes

The proportion of patients experiencing treatment-emergent AEs and serious AEs was generally similar between the treatment arms (**Table 2**). Adverse event rates were 76% for daprodustat vs 72% for darbepoetin alfa.

The incidence of potential AEs of special interest was generally similar between treatment groups for each category of

Table 2. Summary of Treatment-Emergent AEs, Serious AEs, and First Occurrence of Adjudicated MACE

	Patients, No. (%)	
Variable	Daprodustat (n = 157)	Darbepoetin (n = 155)
Treatment-emergent AE type ^a		
Any AE	120 (76)	112 (72)
Any serious AE	52 (33)	51 (33)
Most common (≥5%) AEs	120 (76)	112 (72)
Hypertension	29 (18)	25 (16)
Dialysis hypotension	21 (13)	15 (10)
Diarrhea	14 (9)	11 (7)
Fluid overload	14 (9)	9 (6)
Headache	12 (8)	9 (6)
Upper respiratory tract infection	7 (4)	11 (7)
Hypotension	7 (4)	9 (6)
Muscle spasms	7 (4)	9 (6)
Nasopharyngitis	7 (4)	9 (6)
Adjudicated MACE type		
Patients, No. ^b	157	155
First occurrence of MACE	19 (12)	15 (10)
All-cause mortality	14 (9)	9 (6)
Nonfatal myocardial infarction	5 (3)	5 (3)
Nonfatal stroke	0	1 (1)
Incidence rate/100 PYs (2-sided 95% CI)	11.65 (7.02 to 18.20)	9.24 (5.17 to 15.24)
Absolute rate difference/100 PYs (95% CI) ^c	2.41 (-4.61 to 9.43)	

Abbreviations: AE, adverse event; MACE, major adverse cardiovascular event; PY, person-year.

^b All randomized patients.

^a Adverse events were defined as treatment-emergent if they started or became worse on or after treatment start, up to the day after the last nonzero dose date. ^c A rate difference greater than 0 indicated a lower risk with daprodustat compared with darbepoetin alfa.

AEs of special interest (eTable 8 in Supplement 2), aside from a worsening of hypertension, which occurred in 38 patients (24%) in the daprodustat group vs 29 (19%) in the darbepoetin alfa group. However, both randomized treatments showed a similar effect on blood pressure (BP); when focusing on objective measures, the adjusted mean difference in systolic BP was -0.09 mm Hg (95% CI, -4.72 to 4.53) and in diastolic BP was 1.99 mm Hg (95% CI, -0.85 to 4.82). Fewer patients in the daprodustat group (91 [59%]) compared with the darbepoetin alfa group (100 [65%]) experienced on-treatment BP elevations (eTable 9 in Supplement 2). More patients in the daprodustat group required changes in on-treatment BP medication; 87 patients (58%) receiving daprodustat and 73 (50%) receiving darbepoetin alfa required at least 1 change (eTable 9 in Supplement 2).

Exploratory Cardiovascular Outcomes

An assessment of the first occurrence of a MACE showed that 19 patients (12%) randomized to daprodustat vs 15 (10%) randomized to darbepoetin alfa experienced a first MACE (Table 2), whereas the overall rate of all-cause mortality in the daprodustat arm was 11% compared with 8% in the darbepoetin alfa arm.

A first occurrence of a MACE or a thromboembolic event occurred in 26 patients (17%) in the daprodustat group and 27 (17%) in the darbepoetin alfa group, whereas the first occur-

jamainternalmedicine.com

rence of MACE or a hospitalization for heart failure occurred in 24 patients (15%) in the daprodustat group and 18 (12%) in the darbepoetin alfa group.

Discussion

This randomized clinical trial demonstrated that daprodustat was noninferior to darbepoetin alfa for increasing and maintaining Hb concentration for 52 weeks in patients receiving both incident HD and PD. The difference in Hb change from baseline was 0.10 g/dL between the treatment arms. Furthermore, the response to daprodustat was comparable with the response to darbepoetin alfa across several subgroups and was robust for both treatments, even among patients with higher levels of inflammatory markers such as high-sensitivity Creactive protein. Iron use, a key secondary outcome, was similar between patients treated with daprodustat and darbepoetin alfa, and there was not a significant reduction in iron use in the daprodustat-treated patients compared with patients treated with darbepoetin alfa. Overall, daprodustat's safety profile appeared to be similar to that of darbepoetin alfa, and no unexpected safety concerns were identified.

Efficacy of daprodustat was observed across key subgroups, ie, in both HD and PD recipients and in those with planned vs unplanned dialysis starts. Effectiveness in ID patients, especially those who have had an unplanned start, is important, because a high prevalence of ESA hyporesponsiveness and an increased risk of cardiovascular events and death have been found in these patients.²² Unplanned start of dialysis is frequently associated with the use of a temporary dialysis catheter and metabolic and fluid shifts^{23,24} that in turn are associated with a high risk for hospitalization. The daprodustat-induced Hb response was independent of baseline levels of high-sensitivity C-reactive protein, further supporting its efficacy among ID patients who have increased levels of inflammation.

Some indices of iron kinetics differed between treatment groups, especially for hepcidin (an approximate 17% difference between groups) and for total iron-binding capacity, which was increased with daprodustat and unchanged for the comparator; however, there was no between-group difference in IV iron use. The effect of HIF-PHIs on hepcidin levels in ID patients has been previously reported.^{14,25} Because iron is mostly delivered intravenously rather than orally in patients receiving dialysis, it is possible that an effect of daprodustat on iron use was not observed because of a greater effect of hepcidin on the egress of iron on the enterocyte. The lack of treatment difference in IV iron use, despite changes in parameters of iron metabolism, is currently unclear, and further study is warranted.

There was no effect on BP values between the daprodustat and darbepoetin alfa arms in this study. Although there was a higher percentage of worsening hypertension in the daprodustat arm than in the darbepoetin alfa arm, the objective BP values were similar.

Other HIF-PHIs have been studied in an ID population, although the definition for "incident" included patients up to 4 months after dialysis initiation, and the size, duration, and follow-up within those studies varied.^{26,27} A vadadustat trial of 3923 total patients included a cohort of 369 patients in the pooled dialysis program who initiated dialysis within 16 (incident population) and 12 (prevalent population) weeks of randomization, with a median follow-up of 1.2 years.²⁶ Roxadustat trials included pooled data from 3 studies for 1530 patents who initiated dialysis within 4 months of randomization and were followed for a mean of approximately 1.5 years, with follow-up varying and minimal follow-up in 2 of the 3 studies for patients who permanently stopped study treatment.²⁷ Collectively, HIF-PHI studies in the ID population reported to date have demonstrated Hb efficacy and no safety concerns, including cardiovascular safety.

The recently published cardiovascular outcome trials in patients receiving prevalent dialysis (ASCEND-D) and no dialysis (ASCEND-ND) provide further information about the use of daprodustat in patients with CKD.^{15,16} These studies, along with the ASCEND-ID study, demonstrated noninferiority for Hb efficacy and for cardiovascular outcomes (eTable 10 in Supplement 2). Although cardiovascular outcomes were adjudicated in the ASCEND-ID study (but not formally tested given the limited duration of the study and the small number of events), cardiovascular safety was generally consistent across treatment groups.

Limitations

The limitations of this study include a relatively short 52week study treatment length and a small sample size, which limited the evaluation of MACE safety outcomes. The openlabel design may have contributed to biased AE reporting. In addition, given that darbepoetin alfa was used in this trial, conclusions about noninferiority to other ESAs may be limited.

Conclusions

In this randomized clinical trial, the ASCEND-ID study showed noninferiority of daprodustat to darbepoetin alfa in the treatment of anemia in ID patients. Monthly IV iron use was similar in both study arms, and although changes in iron kinetics with daprodustat were observed, the significance of these findings is not clear. Daprodustat was effective in maintaining Hb concentrations in a subgroup of patients with an unplanned dialysis start, in patients receiving PD, and in patients with inflammation. The safety profile was similar between treatment groups in this 52-week study; the scientific and medical communities are still waiting for long-term safety data and recommend additional studies.²⁸ Based on the efficacy and shortterm safety data in this study, daprodustat may represent a potential oral alternative to one of the conventional ESAs for patients with CKD who are starting dialysis.

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Accepted for Publication: February 08, 2022.

Published Online: April 4, 2022. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2022.0605

Open Access: This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the CC-BY-NC-ND License. © 2022 Singh AK et al. *JAMA Internal Medicine*.

Author Affiliations: Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts (Singh, Solomon); Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts (Singh, Solomon); GlaxoSmithKline, Collegeville, Pennsylvania (Cizman, Blackorby, Cobitz, Meadowcroft, Paul, Ranganathan, Sedani); KJC Statistics, Cheshire, United Kingdom (Carroll); British Heart Foundation Cardiovascular Research Centre, Glasgow University, Glasgow, United Kingdom (McMurray); Faculty of Medicine, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia (Perkovic): George Institute for Global Health. New Delhi, India (Jha); School of Public Health, Imperial College, London, United Kingdom (Jha); Prasanna School of Public Health, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal, India (Jha); Hennepin Healthcare, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis (Johansen); Duke Clinical Research Institute, Duke Health, Durham, North Carolina (Lopes); King's College Hospital, London, United Kingdom (Macdougall); School of Medicine, Universidad Panamericana, Mexico City, Mexico (Obrador); School of Medicine, Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts (Waikar); Boston Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts (Waikar); Division of Nephrology, University of Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany (Wanner); Department of Renal Medicine,

University College London, London, United Kingdom (Wheeler); Medical University of Silesia, Katowice, Poland (Wiecek); Section of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois (Stankus); DKD Helios Klinik Wiesbaden, KfH und

Nierenzentrum-Rheumatologie Wiesbaden, Germany (Strutz).

Author Contributions: Dr Singh had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Concept and design: Singh, Cizman, Carroll, Jha, Macdougall, Wanner, Wheeler, Wiecek, Blackorby, Cobitz, Meadowcroft, Paul, Solomon. Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: Singh, Carroll, McMurray, Perkovic, Jha, Johansen, Lopes, Macdougall, Obrador, Waikar, Wanner, Wheeler, Wiecek, Stankus, Strutz, Blackorby, Cobitz, Meadowcroft, Paul, Ranganathan, Sedani. *Drafting of the manuscript:* Singh, Cizman, Johansen, Wheeler, Wiecek, Strutz, Meadowcroft, Ranganathan.

Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Singh, Cizman, Carroll, McMurray, Perkovic, Jha, Johansen, Lopes, Macdougall, Obrador, Waikar, Wanner, Wheeler, Wiecek, Stankus, Blackorby, Cobitz, Meadowcroft, Paul, Sedani. Solomon.

Statistical analysis: Carroll, Blackorby, Paul, Ranganathan.

Administrative, technical, or material support: Singh, Wheeler, Cobitz, Ranganathan, Sedani, Solomon.

Supervision: Singh, Cizman, Perkovic, Jha, Obrador, Wanner, Wheeler, Stankus, Cobitz, Solomon.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: Dr Singh reported receiving personal fees from GlaxoSmithKline (GSK) Consulting and income for serving as chair of the steering committee during the conduct of the study. Dr Carroll reported receiving personal fees from GSK during the conduct of the study. Dr McMurray reported receiving payment and travel and accommodation fees through Glasgow University from GSK as a co-principal investigator and steering committee member for the Harmony-Outcomes, ASCEND-D, and ASCEND-ND trials; AstraZeneca as a principal investigator of the DAPA-HF trial, co-principal investigator of the DELIVER trial, executive committee member for the DETERMINE and PRIORITIZE trials, and advisory board member for the AZD9977 trial; Bayer as a steering committee member for the FINEARTS-HF trial; Amgen as a steering committee member for the GALACTIC-HF trial; Theracos as a principal investigator for the BEST trial; DalCor Pharmaceuticals as a steering committee member for the Dal-GenE trial: Bristol Myers Souibb (BMS) as a steering committee member for the STAND-UP clinical trial; Cytokinetics as a steering committee member for the ATOMIC-HF, COSMIC-HF, and GALACTIC-HF trials; Novartis as an executive committee member and co-principal investigator of the ATMOSPHERE trial, co-principal investigator of the PARADIGM-HF trial, and executive/steering committee member for the PARACHUTE-HF. PARADISE-MI, and PERSPECTIVE trials as well as for participation in a company advisory board for the cardio-metabolic field; Alnylam Pharmaceuticals for participation in a company advisory board about development of ALN-AGT01; Cardurion for participation in a company advisory board; Ionis Pharmaceuticals as a consultant for the Ionis angiotensinogen program; and Boehringer Ingelheim for participation as a consultant for the Empa Pragmatic trial; he also reported receiving personal lecture fees from Corbus and KBP Biosciences as a scientific advisor and personal fees from Abbott, Alkem Metabolics, Eris Lifesciences, Hikma, Lupin, Sun Pharmaceuticals, Medscape and TheHeart.Org, ProAdWise Communications, Radcliffe Cardiology, and Servier, all outside the submitted work. Dr Perkovic reported serving on the steering committee and advisory board of GSK during the conduct of the study and receiving honoraria for Steering Committees, Advisory Board participation, and/or scientific presentations from Baver, Janssen, AbbVie, BMS, Eli Lilly, Pfizer, Servier, AstraZeneca, Novo Nordisk, Relypsa, Baxter, Sanofi, Gilead; Novartis, Durect, Astellas, Merck, Tricida, Dimerix, Mundipharma; UpToDate;

Mitsubishi Tanabe, Mundipharma, Travere, and Otsuka outside the submitted work. Dr Jha reported receiving personal fees paid to the institution from GSK during the conduct of the study and from AstraZeneca, Baxter Healthcare, Zydus Cadila, Bayer, and Boehringer Ingelheim outside the submitted work. Dr Johansen reported receiving personal fees from and serving on the trial steering committee for GSK during the conduct of the study and receiving personal fees from and serving on the advisory board for Akebia outside the submitted work. Dr Lopes reported receiving personal fees from Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim, BMS, Daiichi Sankyo, GSK, Medtronic, Merck, Pfizer, Portola, and Sanofi and grants from BMS, GSK, Medtronic, Pfizer, and Sanofi outside the submitted work. Dr Macdougall reported receiving personal fees from GSK during the conduct of the study and from GSK and Vifor Pharma outside the submitted work. Dr Obrador reported receiving personal fees from and serving on the steering committee for GSK during the conduct of the study; receiving personal fees from and serving on the advisory board for Roche Mexico outside the submitted work; and serving as the national leader for Mexico, Colombia, and Guatemala for the Janssen Research & Development CREDENCE trial. Dr Waikar reported receiving personal fees from GSK during the conduct of the study. Dr Wanner reported receiving personal fees from and serving on the steering committee for GSK during the conduct of the study and receiving personal fees from Akebia, Amgen, Astellas, AstraZeneca, Baver, Boehringer Ingelheim, Chiesi, FMC, Idorsia, Lilly, Mundipharma, MSD, Gilead, Tricida, and Vifor outside the submitted work. Dr Wheeler reported receiving personal fees from GSK during the conduct of the study and from Amgen. Astellas. AstraZeneca. Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim, Gilead, Mundipharma/Napp, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Tricida, Vifor, and Zydus outside the submitted work. Dr Wiecek reported serving on the steering committee for GSK during the conduct of the study, and receiving personal fees from Astellas, AstraZeneca, Baver, GSK, Medice, Roche and Sandoz outside the submitted work. Dr Stankus reported receiving grants from GSK during the conduct of the study and from Akebia outside the submitted work. Dr Strutz reported receiving personal fees from GSK. Vifor, Baver, Norgine. AstraZeneca, Otsuka and Astellas outside the submitted work. Ms Blackorby reported being an employee of GSK during the conduct of the study and holding stock in GSK outside the submitted work. Dr Cobitz reported being an employee of GSK during the conduct of the study and holding stock in GSK outside the submitted work. Dr Meadowcroft reported being an employee of GSK during the conduct of the study and holding stock in GSK outside the submitted work. Dr Paul reported being an employee of GSK during the conduct of the study and holding stock in GSK outside the submitted work. Dr Ranganathan reported being an employee of GSK during the conduct of the study and holding stock in GSK outside the submitted work. Dr Solomon reported receiving grants from GSK to the institution and personal fees from GSK during the conduct of the study; grants from Actelion, Alnylam, Amgen, AstraZeneca, Bellerophon, Bayer, BMS, Celladon, Cytokinetics, Eidos, Gilead, GSK, Ionis, Lilly, Mesoblast, MyoKardia, the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. National Institutes of Health.

Neurotronik, Novartis, Novo Nordisk, Respicardia, Sanofi Pasteur, and Theracos and from US2.AI to the institution; and personal fees from Abbott, Action, Akros, Alnylam, Amgen, Arena, AstraZeneca, Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim, BMS, Cardior, Cardurion, Corvia, Cytokinetics, Daiichi Sankyo, GSK, Lilly, Merck, Myokardia, Novartis, Roche, Theracos, Quantum Genomics, Cardurion, Janssen, Cardiac Dimensions, Tenaya, Sanofi Pasteur, Dinaqor, Tremeau, CellProthera, Moderna, American Regent, Sarepta, Lexicon, AnaCardio, Akros, and PureHealth outside the submitted work. No other disclosures were reported.

Funding/Support: This study was sponsored by GSK.

Role of the Funder/Sponsor: Employees and subcontractors of GSK had a role in the study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, and writing of the manuscript.

Data Sharing Statement: See Supplement 3.

Additional Contributions: We thank all the investigators, research staff, and patients who contributed to this research. Lata Kler, PhD, of GSK provided strategic input into the ASCEND-ID study. Editorial support including assembling figures, grammatical editing, and referencing was provided by Fishawack Indicia Ltd, UK, part of Fishawack Health, and was funded by GSK.

REFERENCES

1. Bradbury BD, Fissell RB, Albert JM, et al. Predictors of early mortality among incident US hemodialysis patients in the Dialysis Outcomes and Practice Patterns Study (DOPPS). *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2007;2(1):89-99. doi:10.2215/CJN.01170905

2. Broers NJ, Cuijpers AC, van der Sande FM, Leunissen KM, Kooman JP. The first year on haemodialysis: a critical transition. *Clin Kidney J*. 2015;8(3):271-277. doi:10.1093/ckj/sfv021

3. Fort J, Cuevas X, García F, et al; ANSWER study. Mortality in incident haemodialysis patients: time-dependent haemoglobin levels and erythropoiesis-stimulating agent dose are independent predictive factors in the ANSWER study. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2010;25(8):2702-2710. doi:10.1093/ndt/gfq073

4. Soucie JM, McClellan WM. Early death in dialysis patients: risk factors and impact on incidence and mortality rates. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 1996;7(10):2169-2175. doi:10.1681/ASN.V7102169

5. McIntyre CW, Rosansky SJ. Starting dialysis is dangerous: how do we balance the risk? *Kidney Int*. 2012;82(4):382-387. doi:10.1038/ki.2012.133

6. McMahon GM, Singh AK. Prolyl-hydroxylase inhibitors for the treatment of anemia in chronic kidney disease. *Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens*. 2019; 28(6):600-606. doi:10.1097/MNH. 000000000000554

7. Koury MJ, Haase VH. Anaemia in kidney disease: harnessing hypoxia responses for therapy. *Nat Rev Nephrol.* 2015;11(7):394-410. doi:10.1038/nrneph. 2015.82

8. Semenza GL. Oxygen sensing, homeostasis, and disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;365(6):537-547. doi:10.1056/NEJMra1011165

9. Del Vecchio L, Locatelli F. Investigational hypoxia-inducible factor prolyl hydroxylase inhibitors (HIF-PHI) for the treatment of anemia associated with chronic kidney disease. *Expert Opin*

Investig Drugs. 2018;27(7):613-621. doi:10.1080/ 13543784.2018.1493455

10. Kaplan JM, Sharma N, Dikdan S. Hypoxia-inducible factor and its role in the management of anemia in chronic kidney disease. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2018;19(2):E389. doi:10.3390/ ijms19020389

11. Semenza GL, Agani F, Booth G, et al. Structural and functional analysis of hypoxia-inducible factor 1. *Kidney Int*. 1997;51(2):553-555. doi:10.1038/ki. 1997.77

12. Akizawa T, Nangaku M, Yonekawa T, et al. Efficacy and safety of daprodustat compared with darbepoetin alfa in Japanese hemodialysis patients with anemia: a randomized, double-blind, phase 3 trial. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2020;15(8):1155-1165. doi:10.2215/CJN.16011219

13. Holdstock L, Meadowcroft AM, Maier R, et al. Four-week studies of oral hypoxia-inducible factor-prolyl hydroxylase inhibitor GSK1278863 for treatment of anemia. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2016;27(4): 1234-1244. doi:10.1681/ASN.2014111139

14. Meadowcroft AM, Cizman B, Holdstock L, et al. Daprodustat for anemia: a 24-week, open-label, randomized controlled trial in participants on hemodialysis. *Clin Kidney J.* 2019;12(1):139-148. doi:10.1093/ckj/sfy014

15. Singh AK, Carroll K, McMurray JJV, et al; ASCEND-ND Study Group. Daprodustat for the treatment of anemia in patients not undergoing dialysis. *N Engl J Med*. 2021;385(25):2313-2324. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2113380 Singh AK, Carroll K, Perkovic V, et al; ASCEND-D Study Group. Daprodustat for the treatment of anemia in patients undergoing dialysis. *N Engl J Med.* 2021;385(25):2325-2335. doi:10.1056/ NEJMoa2113379

17. World Medical Association. World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki: ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. *JAMA*. 2013;310(20):2191-2194. doi:10.1001/jama.2013.281053

18. Perkovic V, Blackorby A, Cizman B, et al. The ASCEND-ND trial: study design and participant characteristics. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. Published online December 2, 2021. doi:10.1093/ndt/gfab318

19. Singh AK, Blackorby A, Cizman B, et al. Study design and baseline characteristics of patients on dialysis in the ASCEND-D trial. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. Published online March 21, 2021. doi:10.1093/ndt/gfab065

20. International Council for Harmonisation. E1: the extent of population exposure to assess clinical safety for drugs intended for long-term treatment of non-life-threatening conditions. 1994. Accessed December 16, 2021. https://www.ich.org/page/ efficacy-guidelines

21. Rubin D. Multiple Imputation for Nonresponse in Surveys. John Wiley & Sons, Inc; 1987. doi:10.1002/ 9780470316696

22. Akizawa T, Saito A, Gejyo F, et al; JET Study Group. Low hemoglobin levels and hypo-responsiveness to erythropoiesis-stimulating agent associated with poor survival in incident Japanese hemodialysis patients. *Ther Apher Dial*. 2014;18(5):404-413. doi:10.1111/1744-9987.12155

23. Chan CT, Blankestijn PJ, Dember LM, et al; Conference Participants. Dialysis initiation, modality choice, access, and prescription: conclusions from a Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) controversies conference. *Kidney Int.* 2019;96(1):37-47. doi:10.1016/j.kint.2019.01.017

24. Jaar BG. The Achilles heel of mortality risk by dialysis modality is selection bias. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2011;22(8):1398-1400. doi:10.1681/ASN.2011060597

25. Besarab A, Chernyavskaya E, Motylev I, et al. Roxadustat (FG-4592): correction of anemia in incident dialysis patients. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2016; 27(4):1225-1233. doi:10.1681/ASN.2015030241

26. Eckardt KU, Agarwal R, Aswad A, et al. Safety and efficacy of vadadustat for anemia in patients undergoing dialysis. *N Engl J Med*. 2021;384(17): 1601-1612. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2025956

27. Provenzano R, Fishbane S, Szczech L, et al. Pooled analysis of roxadustat for anemia in patients with kidney failure incident to dialysis. *Kidney Int Rep.* 2020;6(3):613-623. doi:10.1016/j.ekir.2020.12.018

28. Wish JB, Eckardt KU, Kovesdy CP, Fishbane S, Spinowitz BS, Berns JS. Hypoxia-inducible factor stabilization as an emerging therapy for CKD-related anemia: report from a scientific workshop sponsored by the National Kidney Foundation. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2021;78(5):709-718. doi:10.1053/j.ajkd.2021.06.019