

Public mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic: impacts on children's rights

A. MacLachlan, C. McMellon & J. Inchley

To cite this article: A. MacLachlan, C. McMellon & J. Inchley (2023) Public mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic: impacts on children's rights, *The International Journal of Human Rights*, 27:9-10, 1406-1425, DOI: [10.1080/13642987.2022.2057958](https://doi.org/10.1080/13642987.2022.2057958)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/13642987.2022.2057958>



© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group



Published online: 05 May 2022.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 2556



View related articles [↗](#)



View Crossmark data [↗](#)



Citing articles: 1 View citing articles [↗](#)

Public mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic: impacts on children's rights

A. MacLachlan , C. McMellon and J. Inchley 

MRC/CSO Social and Public Health Science Unit, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK

ABSTRACT

Restrictions on social and economic activities imposed by governments around the world in response to COVID-19, including the closure of schools and childcare facilities, have had a detrimental impact on children's mental health and wellbeing. Initial responses to support mental health during the pandemic have largely focussed on immediate support and crisis management. However, as governments plan for recovery from the pandemic it is important to focus on the wider determinants of children's mental health including their relationships and the environments and societies in which they live in order to prevent a future global mental health crisis. This narrative review draws on the Independent Children's Rights Impact Assessment on the response to COVID-19 in Scotland to evaluate how the measures implemented by the Scottish Government have impacted on children's rights related to the wider determinants of mental health. The review reflects on the indivisibility of both children's rights and the different aspects of children's lives, particularly when considering issues such as mental health. Using the Scottish context as an exemplar, it highlights the value of a rights-based framework for providing a holistic view that can inform preventative approaches to support better mental health among children in the future.

ARTICLE HISTORY



Received 19 June 2021
Accepted 21 March 2022

KEYWORDS

Children's rights; mental health; public health; COVID-19; pandemic

Introduction

There is growing concern that the COVID-19 pandemic may cause a global mental health crisis.¹ Prior to the pandemic, children's mental health was already recognised as a major global public health challenge, with worsening trends in recent years.^{2,3,4} It is estimated that 10–20% of adolescents experience mental illness,⁵ with 50% of all mental illness in adults beginning before the age of 14.⁶ Children's experiences of mental health, both positive and/or negative, have clear long-term implications, with those experiencing poor mental health more likely to have poorer educational attainment and employment prospects, social relationship difficulties, worse physical health and substance misuse problems.⁷ There is growing evidence that the pandemic has exacerbated

CONTACT C. McMellon  christina.mcmellon@glasgow.ac.uk  MRC/CSO Social and Public Health Science Unit, University of Glasgow, Berkeley Square, 99 Berkeley Street, Glasgow G3 7HR, UK

© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group
This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

mental disorders among children,^{8,9} and fears over the impact of restrictions such as school and childcare closures, social distancing and isolation policies on their long-term mental health outcomes.

Box 1. Mental health terminology

For the purposes of this article the following terminology has been used with reference to mental health:

- Mental health: encompasses all aspects of emotional, psychological and social wellbeing, including both positive mental health and wellbeing and mental disorders.
- Public mental health: supra-individual influences on mental health and wellbeing encompassing social, behavioural, organisational, environmental and cultural determinants of health.
- Mental disorders: encompasses a wide range of diagnosable mental health conditions that affect mood, thinking and behaviour, such as depression, anxiety disorders and addictive behaviours.

The realisation of children's rights enables children to develop and reach their full potential, of which positive mental health is key. The United Nations Committee on the Rights of the Child (UNCRC) focuses on securing children's rights to survival, dignity, wellbeing, health, development, participation and non-discrimination.¹⁰ In response to the COVID-19 pandemic, in April 2020 the UNCRC issued a statement warning of the 'grave physical, emotional and psychological effect' of the pandemic on children, especially in countries that have declared states of emergency or mandatory lockdowns, and urged states to respect the rights of the child when implementing measures to tackle the virus.¹¹ In addition, the World Health Organisation (WHO) recognises the importance of ensuring that mental health interventions respect the rights of the child,¹² and highlighted the importance of integrating human rights protections into the response to the COVID-19 pandemic.¹³ However, some have argued that the rights of children have been ignored in the response to the current pandemic with potential for long-term harm.¹⁴ It is therefore important to consider how government responses to support children's mental health during and beyond the pandemic have, and in theory will continue to, fulfil obligations to uphold children's rights.

An Independent Children's Rights Impact Assessment (CRIA) was commissioned by the Children and Young People's Commissioner for Scotland to look at the impacts on children's rights across all of the Scottish Government measures introduced in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.¹⁵ The Independent CRIA was published in June 2020, as Scotland was emerging from the first nationwide lockdown and consequently many of the measures assessed focused on crisis management. It is now important to refocus on wider recovery strategies that fulfil children's rights, prevent further deterioration of children's mental health and focus on children whose pre-existing disadvantages have been escalated by the pandemic.

While mental health is, in part, determined by individual characteristics (e.g. genetic, physiological and psychological factors), it is also heavily influenced by supra-individual factors including people's relationships, and the environments and societies in which they live.¹⁶ These social, behavioural, organisational, environmental and cultural determinants of health are widely recognised in established models of public health.¹⁷ Public mental health approaches focus on prevention and early intervention at the population level, while also recognising that there are certain groups who are at greater risk and may need additional, targeted support.¹⁸ Türmen et al. (2001)¹⁹ start to unpick the connection between public health and rights as they describe a WHO health

promotion approach based on a children's rights framework, which focusses on a commitment to realising rights across the spectrum as a framework for meeting healthcare needs:

In addition to basic needs, survival, and maximum development, access to health and to health services are fundamental human rights. However, the effective respect, protection, and fulfilment of these rights depends on the realization of other rights, including the rights to education and access to appropriate information; to privacy; to protection from all forms of violence; to rest, leisure, and play; to an adequate standard of living; and to participation.

The Independent CRIA focussed on nine different aspects of children's rights, linked to recommendations from the UNCRC in response to the pandemic²⁰: physical health;²¹ mental health;²² education;²³ poverty, food and digital access;²⁴ rest and leisure;²⁵ children's protection, children's hearings and care;²⁶ domestic abuse;²⁷ children with additional support needs (ASN) and disabilities;²⁸ and children in conflict with the law and children in secure care.²⁹ While this provides a useful framework for analysing the impact of government measures, the interrelatedness and indivisibility of children's rights means that it is challenging to draw clear distinctions between the different aspects of children's lives. The mental health analysis focussed largely on rights related to access to mental health services and information and only touched on the wider determinants of children and young people's mental health. This review article looks more closely across the different analyses of the Independent CRIA to evaluate how the measures introduced by the Scottish Government impacted on children's rights in relation to the wider social and economic determinants of mental health. The analysis is an exemplary illustration based on the Scottish context of how a government response to the pandemic has affected children's mental health and impacted on children's rights. Although other countries' responses to the pandemic have varied in the timing and level of restrictions, many of the points raised here will be pertinent in other contexts, and particularly those where similar 'stay at home' orders were imposed at some point during the pandemic. These insights will be important as countries move towards recovery to ensure that society and the economy can be restructured in a way that upholds children's rights and, in doing so, incorporate preventative approaches to support their mental health.

Materials and methods

Full details of the methodology and impact assessment templates used for the Independent CRIA have been published.³⁰ Briefly, the Independent CRIA looked at the impact of emergency measures enacted in Scotland in response to the COVID-19 pandemic on the rights of children up to 18 years of age. In the context of the assessment, measures relate to legislation, policy and guidance enacted in Scotland. The analyses were conducted between 22 May and 16 June 2020, and focused on the measures and available evidence at this time.

This review article looks across the nine analyses shown in [Figure 1](#) below and discusses links between mental health and children's rights as set out in the UNCRC and its General Comments. The review is structured around the UK Office of National Statistics (ONS) children's wellbeing measurement framework.³¹ This framework was developed in 2014 in consultation with children and experts in children's health and wellbeing, and was

Physical health	Poverty	Domestic abuse
Mental health	Rest, recreation and play	Children and young people with disabilities and ASNs
Education	Child Protection, Children's Hearings and Care	Children and young people in conflict with the law and in secure care

Figure 1. CRIA framework of children's rights.

Personal well-being
Our relationships
Health
What we do
Where we live
Household finances
Schools and skills
Future and voice

Figure 2. ONS children's wellbeing framework (Jordan & Ress, 2020).

revised in 2020 to ensure it remains consistent with the circumstances in which children now live.³² The revised framework covers eight domains related to children's wellbeing that reflect key social and economic determinants of public mental health as shown in [Figure 2](#). Although the ONS wellbeing framework is used for this analysis, it is important to recognise that children's wellbeing and children's rights, while are often paired together, are conceptually different and are implemented differently in policy and practice.³³ The analysis identifies key children's rights associated with the different ONS framework areas and aims to assess how policy decisions during the pandemic uphold both children's rights and their mental health and wellbeing separately, recognising that the fulfilment of one of these obligations may be possible without the other.

Results

The results below are presented under each of the eight ONS wellbeing domains. However, it must be recognised that these domains are not always distinct and there is inevitable overlap. This is explored further in the discussion.

Personal wellbeing

The WHO describes mental health as 'a state of wellbeing in which every individual realises his or her own potential, can cope with the normal stresses of life, can work

productively and fruitfully, and is able to make a contribution to her or his community'.³⁴ Although some view wellbeing as a distinct concept from mental disorders,³⁵ others argue that wellbeing represents positive aspects of mental health.³⁶ During the pandemic emotional wellbeing has been identified as key area of concern among children, and evidence shows a reduction in subjective wellbeing and mood, particularly among older children and girls.^{37,38,39,40,41,42} Increases in mental illness such as anxiety and depression among children have also been reported.^{43,44} However, there are also reports of improvements in some children's wellbeing, mainly due to reduced academic pressure and the opportunity to spend more time with their families.^{45,46,47}

Articles 17 and 24 of the UNCRC recognise children's right to access information aimed at the promotion of wellbeing and health. However, evidence reviewed for the Independent CRIA indicated that not all children were able to experience these rights. A survey of 2418, 11–24-year-olds in Scotland indicated that 40% were not confident in accessing information about support for their mental health and wellbeing,⁴⁸ and among 5000 parents of 0–16-year-olds in the UK, 42% felt that they would benefit from support around managing their child(ren)s emotions during the pandemic.⁴⁹ Within the Scottish Government measures, the detrimental impact of the pandemic on children's mental wellbeing has been recognised,⁵⁰ and provisions have been made including establishing a working group to ensure health and wellbeing is a focus for children in the return to school,⁵¹ and provision of additional funding for youth work organisations to develop resources for children and parents to support mental health and wellbeing.⁵²

A further issue raised within the Independent CRIA was that some groups of children, such as those with disabilities or ASN, may find it difficult to communicate their wellbeing needs effectively, particularly during a time of crisis.⁵³ Concerns were raised that increased distress as a result of the pandemic may result in behaviour that causes some young people to be criminalised rather than receive the support that they require,⁵⁴ with potential implications for their rights under UNCRC Article 37 regarding punishment and imprisonment.

Relationships

Relationships with family members, peers and other adults during childhood provide the basis for children to learn how to positively relate to others throughout their lives.⁵⁵ Children who have positive relationships with family and friends have higher levels of wellbeing,^{56,57,58} while lack of good relationships and long-term feelings of loneliness or isolation are associated with depression, anxiety and suicidal behaviours.^{59,60,61}

Within the UNCRC, General Comment 20 recognises that strong relationships and support from key adults, as well as opportunities for building and sustaining friendships, are important for promoting resilience among adolescents. Similarly, General Comment 7 recognises the role of relationships in early childhood development, particularly with parents and other caregivers, but also with other children.

The Independent CRIA identified the impact of Scottish Government measures upon children's ability to form and maintain relationships. For some, particularly younger children, positive impacts on family relationships were reported as a result of spending more time at home together with immediate family members.^{62,63,64} However, the closure of

schools, childcare settings, and community and sports venues, restrictions on gatherings and the requirement for people to stay at home⁶⁵ have resulted in many children struggling with loneliness and worrying about their social relationships with extended family or friends, and the loss of support they would usually receive through their families, schools and communities.^{66,67,68,69,70} In addition, physical distancing measures⁷¹ have also affected children, and limited their ability to form friendships and develop healthy relationships, including with trusted adults such as teachers, who can be key in providing psychosocial support. This may particularly affect younger children, whose main peer interactions are developed through play (see 'What we do' section).⁷²

The relationships of children who already have limited family contact such as those in care,⁷³ secure residential settings or young offenders' institutions have been disproportionately affected by these measures.⁷⁴ Many of these children have faced additional pressures on their mental health during the pandemic, and are particularly affected by the loss of informal support networks and social isolation. Physical distancing and isolation have made accessing professional support and maintaining interaction with family and friends more challenging for children in residential or secure care.⁷⁵

Health

There are close links between physical and mental health. Almost a third of people with long-term physical health conditions also experience mental illness, and almost half of those with mental illness also have a long-term physical health condition.⁷⁶ UNCRC Article 24 recognises children's right to access appropriate healthcare services to support both their physical and mental health. While emergency healthcare services continued to operate during the pandemic, measures were put in place limiting face-to-face mental health services and other non-emergency care.⁷⁷ There is evidence of parents and carers being fearful or reluctant to take children to hospital in order to avoid the virus and overburdening the National Health Service (NHS), although increased numbers of calls to the NHS24 healthcare phoneline (a free UK-wide medical advice service) were reported.⁷⁸ For many children, health issues would usually be picked up by and supported through schools, childcare settings and community services rather than formal healthcare settings. Therefore, the closure of these settings and services during the pandemic has implications for the longer-term health of children, as health conditions may not have been detected or treated as rapidly.

The Independent CRIA highlighted that the impact on children's right to access healthcare services has disproportionately affected those children with existing health conditions. Children who have a disability or serious illness are recognised as being at greater risk of mental disorders.^{79,80} A survey of 1986 UK families with a disabled or seriously ill child reported that 82% of children had experienced a negative impact on their mental health as a result of the pandemic, and 65% of parents/carers wanted more information on how to support their child(ren)'s mental health and wellbeing.⁸¹ These families reported a reduction in access to the support services (including mental health support) provided through healthcare, education and other community settings they would usually rely on,

with particular difficulties highlighted for children with ASN or disabilities in accessing online support due to communication or language barriers.⁸²

The pandemic has also had a detrimental impact on the mental health of children with pre-existing mental health conditions. A UK-wide survey of 2111 young people with a history of mental health needs reported that 83% had experienced a negative impact of the pandemic on their existing mental health.⁸³ As a result of the closure of face-to-face mental health services,⁸⁴ 26% of these children did not receive support services during this time largely due to difficulties accessing online support from home.⁸⁵

What children do

The closure of schools, childcare settings, recreational and leisure facilities⁸⁶ have had a major impact on children's daily routines. Many children have experienced boredom and frustration at staying at home and, although the majority of children felt that they had been making healthy choices, were getting enough exercise and that they had energy, there was a decline over time and older children were less likely to report this than younger children.^{87,88}

UNCRC Article 31 recognises the rights of the child to rest and leisure and to engage in play and recreational activities, and General Comment 17 highlights the benefits of play and recreation for children's mental health and wellbeing. Play can support mental health by developing children's abilities to form emotional relationships with others and resilience to cope with stress and anxiety.⁸⁹ There is also evidence that play might support children going through periods of isolation or quarantine, via promoting coping, expression, sociability, and skill development.⁹⁰ Young children have been particularly affected in this respect, as they are less able to engage with peers and services remotely.

The way in which children use their rest and leisure time changed substantially during the pandemic. Screen use increased among children who were reliant on this for accessing education, entertainment, and contact with family and friends.⁹¹ Time spent on screens may displace other health promoting activities such as sleep, exercise and family time.^{92,93,94} In addition, while social media can help children maintain relationships and provide access to mental health support through social networking sites,^{95,96} there are concerns about the detrimental impact of excessive social media use on children's mental health related to online harassment or cyberbullying, poor sleep, low self-esteem and poor body image.^{97,98}

One group of children at particular risk of reduced free time and recreational activities during the pandemic are young carers, whose responsibilities increased during lockdown, alongside losing access to respite activities and the regular support offered by schools and local services.^{99,100} In a survey of 30 young carers in Scotland, 63% reported concerns about their mental health and wellbeing and 80% felt isolated or lonely as a result of the pandemic.¹⁰¹ The introduction of a measure to remove the duty of local authorities to assess the needs of carers,¹⁰² is likely to have had a negative impact on the rights of young carers under Article 31, and also Article 3 that states that all decisions should be made with the best interests of the child as a primary consideration. Other groups of children highlighted as being particularly vulnerable to the mental health impacts of reduced leisure opportunities include young offenders,¹⁰³ due to the

suspension of programmes of work, education and counselling within young offenders' institutions,¹⁰⁴ and disabled and seriously ill children,¹⁰⁵ whose families must find appropriate ways to educate and entertain them at home without access to support services and specialised facilities.¹⁰⁶

Where children live

Safe and supportive environments are essential for good health and wellbeing. This is recognised in UNCRC General Comment 4, which states that the health and development of adolescents are strongly determined by the environments in which they live including home, neighbourhood, school and online environments.

The importance of ensuring vulnerable children are protected while stay at home orders are in place was highlighted in the Independent CRIA with reference to Article 19, the right of the child to be protected from all forms of violence, abuse, neglect and maltreatment. It is well established that exposure to these adverse childhood experiences is associated with increased risk of mental disorders both during childhood and in adulthood, with a cumulative impact of multiple experiences.^{107,108,109} Stay at home orders have put women and children experiencing domestic abuse at greater risk and some children may be staying in unsafe situations for longer.^{110,111,112,113} The closure of schools and early childcare settings is likely to have exacerbated this, as child protection issues are often picked up within these settings. While provisions were put in place for vulnerable children to attend educational hubs¹¹⁴ which might be seen as a safer and more supportive environment than at home, evidence suggests that a high proportion of vulnerable children did not attend these.^{115,116}

Children at particular risk include those whose families have multiple complex needs, such as families under additional financial strain (see household finances), or where parents have existing mental health conditions.^{117,118,119} The pandemic reduced access to the professional support networks on which these families would usually rely. Where support services were still available, they were delivered almost entirely online and for some this was not as accessible or effective as face-to-face services.^{120,121} In areas with high levels of poverty and disadvantage in Scotland, voluntary and community sector organisations within local neighbourhoods provided vital support to families and children during the pandemic including emotional support for families in crisis as well as practical support such as food parcels and help with caring responsibilities.¹²² However, this support was inconsistent, with neighbourhoods with higher levels of community connections and existing infrastructure finding it easier to mobilise support for vulnerable children and their families and ensure that the right families received the right support.

Inequity in access to safe, outdoor space to play and exercise, either within the home or local community, was another issue highlighted by the Independent CRIA. Time spent outdoors and in nature is associated with better mental health and wellbeing among children, with benefits including reduced stress and increased resilience.^{123,124} Those living in deprived socio-economic circumstances have been particularly affected by this as they are less likely to have access to gardens, limited access to greenspace within local communities and may be experiencing overcrowding at home with limited space to relax and unwind.¹²⁵

Household finances

Children and families living in deprived socioeconomic circumstances are more likely to experience poor mental health and wellbeing,^{126,127,128} and are also recognised as a group at particular risk of not having their rights realised. General Comment 20 on the rights of adolescents and General Comment 7 on the rights of young children, both highlight that growing up in poverty can create conditions less conducive to positive family functioning due to the challenges of coping with these stressors on a day-to-day basis.

A recurring theme across the Independent CRIA was that children living in deprived socioeconomic circumstances are likely to have experienced a greater impact of the pandemic than those living in wealthier circumstances. Groups of children particularly affected by issues associated with limited household finances include those with disabilities or ASN whose parents may be less able to work due to increased caring responsibilities, young carers, children with care-experience, and children supported by lone parents.^{129,130,131} Despite measures implemented to support personal finances, including the UK Job Retention Scheme which paid 80% of wages of furloughed staff, and an uplift in Universal Credit (UK social security payment),¹³² many families have seen their income reduced during the pandemic.¹³³ There is a greater risk of stress, mental health problems and child protection issues among families who are out of work, struggling financially and under increased pressure.¹³⁴ In addition, children's mental health has been affected by stress and worry over family finances, with almost one in three 11–24-year-olds and one in five 8–14-year-olds in Scotland reporting concerns about their own or their family's financial situation.^{135,136}

UNCRC Article 24 recognises children's right to access nutritious food, and research shows associations between food insecurity and poorer mental health among children.¹³⁷ The Independent CRIA raised issues around food access for those living in deprived socioeconomic circumstances, particularly with school closures limiting access to free school meals. While measures were put in place to continue to provide free meals to eligible families,¹³⁸ the way in which this was implemented with a non-standardised approach across different regions added to the stress of families in already difficult circumstances, and there has been an increased demand on food banks during pandemic.¹³⁹

Digital exclusion due to lack of access to technology such as laptops, tablets, mobile phones and reliable internet connection was also recognised as an issue for children in households with limited finances.^{140,141} UNCRC General Comment 17 acknowledges the central role that electronic media play in children's daily lives, and encourages states to take all necessary measures to ensure equality of opportunity for all children to experience those benefits. Digital exclusion was highlighted across many sections of the Independent CRIA, with particular reference to UNCRC Article 2 (the right to non-discrimination). During lockdown children became reliant on digital methods to access many of the things that support mental health, including information and services as well as the ability to participate fully in online education, entertainment and cultural activities and to maintain relationships with family and friends. While the Scottish Government recognised that the pandemic disproportionately affected those living in disadvantaged socioeconomic circumstances,¹⁴² and committed to supporting digital access for all children, evidence included in the Independent CRIA indicated that digital access continued to be an issue for many children living in low-income households.¹⁴³

Schools and skills

Schools and education environments influence children's mental health,^{144,145} and there are close links between mental health and educational attainment.^{146,147,148} UNCRC Article 28 recognises the right of every child to access education, while Article 29 and General Comment 1 set out the aims of education to include not only literacy and numeracy, but also life skills that give children the tools needed to pursue their options in life. Schools play an important role in educating children about health, well-being and relationships,¹⁴⁹ as well as providing opportunities to identify and support children who are struggling with their mental health, and facilitating peer interactions and other opportunities to develop supportive relationships.¹⁵⁰ The importance of schools for children's development, both in terms of their educational attainment and wellbeing, has been widely recognised in the Scottish Government's response to the pandemic, with an Education Wellbeing working group set up to ensure a focus on this as children returned to schools in August 2020¹⁵¹ and prioritisation of school access in future response to the pandemic.¹⁵²

School closures were identified as one of the key sources of worry and anxiety among children.^{153,154} Among 2418, 11–24-year-olds in Scotland, 42% were extremely or moderately concerned about school closure and 49% about exams and coursework,¹⁵⁵ and among 3968, 8–14-year-olds 41% were concerned about doing schoolwork at home.¹⁵⁶ The cancellation of exams¹⁵⁷ also added to stress and anxiety among older children who worried about how estimated grades might penalise some pupils, particularly those from more deprived areas or those taking more practical subjects, and the long-term impact that may have on young people's future education and employment prospects.¹⁵⁸ However, for some children, learning from home was a positive experience with many enjoying the reduced academic pressure and finding it easier to work in a quieter environment at home where they could receive one-to-one support from family members.¹⁵⁹

A UK-wide survey of 871 parents/carers of children with ASN reported that among those who received support via schools before the pandemic, 80% had this support stopped or postponed during school closures.¹⁶⁰ Other measures brought in to extend the time for local authorities to confirm educational placements and appeals¹⁶¹ are also likely to have increased stress and anxiety for children with ASN or disabilities, who may need to access school placements that provide specialised support and facilities.

Future and voice

Future and voice is a new item within the ONS wellbeing framework. With regard to children's future happiness and wellbeing, *voice* refers to children wanting their needs to be considered by those in positions of power, and for them to be empowered to express themselves and have a say in decision that affect their lives.¹⁶² One of the most common worries among children was about the impact of the pandemic on their future, particularly around educational attainment and employment.^{163,164,165} Groups of children already facing disadvantage were highlighted as the most likely to continue to be affected in the future.

Involvement in decision making is enshrined in UNCRC Article 12 and contributes to meeting other rights including those related to mental health (General Comment 20).

Children's participation in decision-making about issues that affect their lives is vital for developing resilience and preventing discrimination. This is particularly true at times of crisis,¹⁶⁶ but within early measures there was a lack of involvement of children and their families, particularly around key issues such as school closures and exam cancellations. While evidence about children's experiences of the pandemic were included as part of the Independent CRIA, the majority was collected via online surveys or through remote data collection methods, meaning that those groups who faced digital exclusion were not fairly represented. Independent CRIA findings reinforce the need to put in place appropriate processes to ensure the voices of children, including vulnerable groups, are heard and taken into account in future decision-making.

Discussion

The Independent CRIA is a useful framework to capture the full range of impacts of COVID-19 measures on children's rights. However, any in-depth rights-based consideration of public mental health requires us to look across all domains of children's lives. While the Independent CRIA was based on nine separate domains, the relationship and interdependence between children's experiences within all aspects of their lives and their mental health was widely recognised. This adds further weight to the value of a public health approach that takes account of wider social, economic and cultural factors when developing strategies to support the mental health of children.

The indivisibility of rights has been central to the United Nations work since the 1950s. This view of rights as a system where every right is equally important, and both relies upon and is impacted by every other right, has been critiqued in the literature as being unrealistic and conceptually problematic.¹⁶⁷ It is beyond the scope of this paper to fully engage with this debate but, the evidence presented here makes it clear that a comprehensive consideration of public mental health requires engagement across multiple interrelated rights.

This review highlights how measures implemented in Scotland during the COVID-19 pandemic have impacted on a range of children's rights and are likely to have contributed to the growing mental health crisis among children.¹⁶⁸ It is acknowledged that many more children will require access to professional health-care services to support their mental health and it is important that national governments meet children's rights to access such services. However, it is also clear that for many children and their families the support they rely on for their mental health does not come from professional health-care services, but rather from the familial and social contexts in which they are situated. As the pandemic and subsequent lockdowns have put restrictions upon children's lives, some of these contexts have been temporarily removed and others have assumed a higher focus. It is no surprise to see that family context mediates children's experiences of the pandemic, and that inequalities in family circumstances are heightened during a period where children are spending more time at home and are more dependent on their families for support. Therefore, finding ways to re-establish, develop and maintain positive relationships among families, peers and service providers will be critical to future mental health strategies.

Arguably, the closure of schools and childcare settings has had the biggest impact on children's lives, with wide-ranging implications for children's rights across multiple

domains including access to education, mental health support services and nutritious food; relationships with friends and trusted adults; opportunities for recreation and play; and provision of a safe space for vulnerable children. Schools are already recognised as a key setting through which public mental health interventions could be delivered.¹⁶⁹ This analysis has further highlighted the critical role that schools are likely to play in children's recovery from the pandemic, and the importance of prioritising schools and child-care settings remaining open if future restrictions are required.

UNCRC General Comment 25, on children's rights in the digital environment, recognises the importance of access to digital technologies to support children to realise the full range of their rights, including during times of crisis. However, General Comment 25 also highlights that if digital inclusion is not achieved, existing inequalities may increase, and new ones may arise. During the pandemic, government measures restricting face-to-face interactions led to a reliance on digital access across many aspects of children's lives, and digital exclusion was recognised as impacting on children's rights across multiple domains including access to information, support services, education and relationships. Although concerns about the potentially negative consequences of excessive screen time and social media use on mental health remain, it could be argued that digital access is now more critical than ever in supporting both children's rights and their mental health. Therefore, future strategies for children's mental health should consider how the use of digital technology can be optimised across settings to ensure child safety and equity of access for all.

Throughout the Independent CRIA it is clearly recognised that the impact of the pandemic on the mental health of children has largely been influenced by the circumstances in which they live and the opportunities they have available to them. This is supported by more recent evidence indicating signs of recovery among the majority of children as restrictions were eased in Scotland, but highlighting that there are still specific groups of children who are particularly struggling with their mental health and wellbeing.^{170,171} The Independent CRIA identified several groups of children at-risk of not having their rights realised, raising issues with regard to UNCRC Article 2 (non-discrimination). Looking across the different analyses the intersectionality between these groups is clear, with many vulnerable children facing multiple challenges. This highlights the vital importance of developing public mental health strategies that recognise and offer targeted support for at-risk groups alongside universal approaches.

A final area of focus for developing recovery strategies relates to the importance of involving children in decision-making to support their mental health. Across all measures a lack of consultation with children was noted, and one of the key recommendations of the Independent CRIA was to redesign decision-making processes to ensure that children's rights to participate are embedded at a structural level. UNCRC Article 12 enshrines the right for children to be involved in both individual decisions about their lives, but also be provided with the opportunity to be involved in collective decision making, for example by informing the development of services, policies and research. While there is no hierarchy of children's rights, children's participation in structural decision making has the potential to underpin other elements of children's rights, as policies and services aimed at addressing children's needs that are developed without taking their views into account are likely to be less accessible and acceptable to children.¹⁷² However, there is little evidence of children's participation in collective decision-

making during the pandemic. In a survey completed by children's participation and rights experts in April 2020, 70% could not identify a single COVID-19-related children's participation initiative and none were aware of any initiatives that had yet led to government action.¹⁷³ With children worried about their future prospects, their involvement in decision-making around public mental health strategies, for example mental health service delivery and education reforms, will ensure that future plans will address those areas most important to children to provide the greatest impact.

The Independent CRIA represents a snapshot of a moment in time during which Scotland was emerging from the first national lockdown (June 2020), and since then Scotland has experienced periods of easing and tightening of restrictions at both the local and national level. As long as the pandemic continues the response measures will continue to impact in multiple ways upon the lives and rights of children across Scotland and the world. In October 2020 the Scottish Government published a plan outlining their response to the mental health impacts of COVID-19.¹⁷⁴ Although it is not possible to know whether this was directly influenced by the Independent CRIA, the plan addresses some of the issues raised and, if implemented effectively, has the potential to support children's rights in relation to their mental health as they recover from the pandemic. In addition, further measures were introduced to address some of the wider determinants highlighted here including prioritising reopening schools,¹⁷⁵ increased food voucher availability¹⁷⁶ and laptops for pupils and families who need them.¹⁷⁷

While the Independent CRIA addresses Scottish legislation and policy measures, the pandemic will have consequences for the realisation – or lack of realisation – of children's rights in every country and context. The impact of the pandemic and lockdown on children's mental public health is a global concern.¹⁷⁸ In this paper, we demonstrate the importance of recognising the full indivisible system of children's rights and the value of a rights-based framework to gaining a holistic view of public mental health. It is important to recognise that this analysis is based on the specific context and measures implemented in Scotland, where children may have differing mental health needs compared with other countries, both as a result of the specific measure implemented by the government in response to the pandemic, and the underlying culture, and health, education and social care systems available. However, key areas for upholding children's rights identified here that will be applicable to all contexts are the need for equitable access to services and support (e.g. healthcare and education systems, digital access) for all children, but particularly those with pre-existing disadvantages, and the importance of involving children in the decision making process as countries set out a pathway for recovery from the pandemic.

Notes

1. United Nations, 'Covid-19 and the Need for Action on Mental Health' (United Nations Policy Brief, 2020).
2. V. Patel et al., 'Mental Health of Young People: A Global Public-Health Challenge', *The Lancet* 369, no. 9569 (2007): 1302–13.
3. World Health Organization, 'Adolescent Mental Health' (World Health Organization, 2020).
4. S. Collishaw, 'Annual Research Review: Secular Trends in Child and Adolescent Mental Health', *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry* 56, no. 3 (2015): 370–93.

5. Ronald C. Kessler et al., 'Lifetime Prevalence and Age-of-Onset Distributions of Mental Disorders in the World Health Organization's World Mental Health Survey Initiative', *World Psychiatry: Official Journal of the World Psychiatric Association (WPA)* 6, no. 3 (2007): 168–76.
6. Mental Health Foundation, 'Fundamental Facts About Mental Health', (2015).
7. S.C. Davies, *Annual Report of the Chief Medical Officer 2013, Public Mental Health Priorities: Investing in the Evidence* (London: Department of Health, 2014).
8. R. Quinn Millar, N., J. Cameron, and A. Colson, *Considering the Evidence of the Impacts of Lockdown on the Mental Health and Wellbeing of Children and Young People within the Context of the Individual, the Family, and Education* (Glasgow: Mental Health Foundation, 2020).
9. NHS Digital, 'Mental Health of Children and Young People in England, 2020: Wave 1 Follow up to the 2017 Survey', (2020).
10. United Nations Committee on the Rights of the Child, 'General Comment No. 13: The Right of the Child to Freedom from All Forms of Violence', (United Nations, 2011).
11. United Nations Committee on the Rights of the Child, 'The Effects of Coronavirus on Children', (2020).
12. World Health Organization, 'Mental Health Action Plan 2013–2020', (2013).
13. World Health Organization, 'Addressing Human Rights as Key to the Covid-19 Response', (2020).
14. Ellen Townsend, 'Debate: The Impact of School Closures and Lockdown on Mental Health in Young People', *Child and Adolescent Mental Health* 25, no. 4 (2020): 265–6.
15. Children and Young People's Commissioner Scotland, 'Independent Children's Rights Impact Assessment on the Response to Covid-19 in Scotland', (2020).
16. World Health Organization and Calouste Gulbenkian Foundation, 'Social Determinants of Mental Health', (2014).
17. M. Whitehead and G. Dahlgren, 'What Can Be Done About Inequalities in Health?', *The Lancet* 338, no. 8774 (1991): 1059–63.
18. Royal College of Psychiatrists, *No Health without Public Mental Health: The Case for Action* (London: Royal College of Psychiatrists, 2010).
19. Tomris Türmen, Troedsson Hans, and Stahlhöfer Marcus, 'A Human Rights Approach to Public Health: Who Capacity Building in the Area of Children's Rights', *Health and Human Rights* 5, no. 2 (2001): 147–54.
20. UN Committee on the Rights of the Child, 'Crc Covid-19 Statement', (2020).
21. Z. Picton-Howell, 'Independent Children's Rights Impact Assessment on the Response to Covid-19 in Scotland, Appendix 1: Health' (Children and Young People's Commissioner Scotland, 2020).
22. C. McMellon and A. MacLachlan, 'Independent Children's Rights Impact Assessment on the Response to Covid-19 in Scotland, Appendix 2: Mental Health' (Children and Young People's Commissioner Scotland, 2020).
23. L. Colucci-Gray and K. Reid, 'Independent Children's Rights Impact Assessment on the Response to Covid-19 in Scotland, Appendix 3: Education' (Children and Young People's Commissioner Scotland, 2020).
24. M. Treanor, 'Independent Children's Rights Impact Assessment on the Response to Covid-19 in Scotland, Appendix 4: Poverty, Food and Digital Access' (Children and Young People's Commissioner Scotland, 2020).
25. T. Casey, 'Independent Children's Rights Impact Assessment on the Response to Covid-19 in Scotland, Appendix 5: Rest and Leisure' (Children and Young People's Commissioner Scotland, 2020).
26. F. Mitchell, 'Independent Children's Rights Impact Assessment on the Response to Covid-19 in Scotland, Appendix 6: Child Protection, Children's Hearings and Care' (Children and Young People's Commissioner Scotland, 2020).
27. C. Houghton, F. Morrison, and L. McCabe, 'Independent Children's Rights Impact Assessment on the Response to Covid-19 in Scotland, Appendix 7: Domestic Abuse' (Children and Young People's Commissioner Scotland).

28. S. Riddell, 'Independent Children's Rights Impact Assessment on the Response to Covid-19 in Scotland, Appendix 8: Children with Additional Support Needs (Asn) and Disabilities', (Children and Young People's Commissioner Scotland, 2020).
29. C. Lightowler and D. Nolan, 'Independent Children's Rights Impact Assessment on the Response to Covid-19 in Scotland, Appendix 9: Children in Conflict with the Law and Children in Secure Care', (Children and Young People's Commissioner Scotland, 2020).
30. Observatory of Children's Human Rights Scotland, 'Independent Children's Rights Impact Assessment on the Response to Covid-19 in Scotland, Appendix 10: Methodology' (Children and Young People's Commissioner Scotland, 2020).
31. Office for National Statistics, 'Young People's Well-Being Measures' (2020).
32. Office for National Statistics, 'Children's Views on Well-Being and What Makes a Happy Life, UK: 2020', (2020).
33. E. Kay and M. Tisdall, 'Children's Rights and Children's Wellbeing: Equivalent Policy Concepts?', *Journal of Social Policy* 44, no. 4 (2015): 807–23.
34. World Health Organization, 'Promoting Mental Health: Concepts, Emerging Evidence, Practice', (Geneva, 2004).
35. Patalay and Fitzsimons, 'Correlates of Mental Illness and Wellbeing in Children'.
36. Greenspoon and Saklofske, 'Toward an Integration of Subjective Well-Being'.
37. Children's Parliament, 'How Are You Doing? Survey Report April and May', (2020).
38. Children's Parliament, 'Corona Times Journal Edition 2', (2020).
39. E. Fox et al., 'Oxford Arc Study: Achieving Resilience During Covid-19. Summary Report 1', (2020).
40. Girlguiding, 'Girlguiding Research Briefing: Early Findings on the Impact of Covid-19 on Girls and Young Women', (2020).
41. Children's Parliament 'How Are You Doing? Survey Report April', (2020).
42. Scottish Youth Parliament, YouthLink Scotland, and Young Scot, 'Lockdown Lowdown – What Young People in Scotland Are Thinking About Covid-19', (2020).
43. Fox et al., 'Oxford Arc Study'.
44. Princes Trust and YouGov, 'Young People in Lockdown' (2020).
45. Children's Parliament, 'Corona Times Journal Edition 2', (2020).
46. Girlguiding, 'Girlguiding Research Briefing'.
47. Children's Parliament, 'Corona Times Journal Edition 1', (2020).
48. Scottish Youth Parliament, YouthLink Scotland, and Young Scot, 'Lockdown Lowdown'.
49. P. Waite et al., 'Report 2: Covid-19 Worries, Parent/Carer Stress and Support Needs, by Child Special Educational Needs and Parent/Carer Work Status. Co-Space Study', (2020).
50. **Scottish Government Measure:** Coronavirus (COVID-19): framework for decision making – Scotland's route map through and out of the crisis.
51. **Scottish Government Measure:** Coronavirus (COVID-19): supporting pupils, parents and teachers – learning during term 4.
52. Together, 'Analysis of Scottish Government's Response to UN Committee's 11 Recommendations', (2020).
53. S. Riddell, 'Independent Children's Rights Impact Assessment'.
54. C. Lightowler and D. Nolan, 'Independent Children's Rights Impact Assessment'.
55. Mental Health Foundation, 'Relationships in the 21st Century: The Forgotten Foundation of Mental Health and Wellbeing' (2016).
56. P. E. Jose, N. Ryan, and J. Pryor, 'Does Social Connectedness Promote a Greater Sense of Well-Being in Adolescence over Time?', *Journal of Research on Adolescence* 22, no. 2 (2012): 235–51.
57. G.F. Moore et al., 'School, Peer and Family Relationships and Adolescent Substance Use, Subjective Wellbeing and Mental Health Symptoms in Wales: A Cross Sectional Study', *Child Indic Res* 11, no. 6 (2018): 1951–65.
58. J. Chanfreau et al., *Predicting Wellbeing* (London: NatCen, 2008).
59. Mental Health Foundation, 'Relationships in the 21st Century'.

60. J.A. Hall-Lande et al., 'Social Isolation, Psychological Health, and Protective Factors in Adolescence', *Adolescence* 42, no. 166 (2007): 265–86 (accessed Summer).
61. P. Chen and K.M. Harris, 'Association of Positive Family Relationships with Mental Health Trajectories from Adolescence to Midlife', *JAMA Pediatr* 173, no. 12 (2019): e193336 (accessed Oct 7).
62. Children's Parliament, 'Corona Times Journal Edition 2', (2020).
63. Children's Parliament 'How Are You Doing? Survey Report April', (2020).
64. Children's Parliament, 'Corona Times Journal Edition 1', (2020).
65. **Scottish Government Measure: Health Protection (Coronavirus) (Restrictions) (Scotland) Regulations 2020.**
66. Children's Parliament, 'Corona Times Journal Edition 2', (2020).
67. Fox et al., 'Oxford Arc Study'.
68. Girlguiding, 'Girlguiding Research Briefing'.
69. Scottish Youth Parliament, YouthLink Scotland, and Young Scot, 'Lockdown Lowdown'.
70. Plan International UK, 'The State of Girls' Rights in the UK: Early Insights into the Impact of the Coronavirus on Girls', (2020).
71. **Scottish Government Measure: Coronavirus (COVID-19): physical distancing in education and childcare settings.**
72. Casey, 'Independent Children's Rights Impact Assessment on the Response to Covid-19 in Scotland, Appendix 5: Rest and Leisure'.
73. Mitchell, 'Independent Children's Rights Impact Assessment on the Response to Covid-19 in Scotland, Appendix 6: Child Protection, Children's Hearings and Care'.
74. Lightowler and Nolan, 'Independent Children's Rights Impact Assessment on the Response to Covid-19 in Scotland, Appendix 9: Children in Conflict with the Law and Children in Secure Care'.
75. Centre for Youth & Criminal Justice 'Practice Implications of Coronavirus for Children in Conflict with the Law: An Ongoing Review', (2020).
76. C. Naylor et al., 'Long-Term Conditions and Mental Health: The Cost of Co-Morbidities' (The Kings Fund and Centre for Mental Health, 2012).
77. Scottish Government Measure: Health Protection (Coronavirus) (Restrictions) (Scotland) Regulations 2020.
78. Public Health Scotland, 'Wider Impact on the Health Care System' (2020).
79. D. Cadman et al., 'Chronic Illness, Disability, and Mental and Social Well-Being: Findings of the Ontario Child Health Study', *Pediatrics* 79, no. 5 (1987): 805–13.
80. K. R. Merikangas et al., 'Comorbidity of Physical and Mental Disorders in the Neurodevelopmental Genomics Cohort Study', *Pediatrics* 135, no. 4 (2015): e927–e38.
81. Family Fund, 'Impact of Covid-19 Research', (2020).
82. Ibid.
83. Young Minds, 'Coronavirus: Impact on Young People with Mental Health Needs', (2020).
84. Scottish Government Measure: Health Protection (Coronavirus) (Restrictions) (Scotland) Regulations 2020.
85. Young Minds, 'Coronavirus: Impact on Young People with Mental Health Needs', (2020).
86. Scottish Government Measure: Health Protection (Coronavirus) (Restrictions) (Scotland) Regulations 2020.
87. Children's Parliament 'How Are You Doing? Survey Report April', (2020).
88. Children's Parliament, 'Corona Times Journal Edition 1', (2020).
89. D. Whitebread, 'Free Play and Children's Mental Health', *The Lancet Child & Adolescent Health* 1, no. 3 (2017): 167–9.
90. K.M. Graber et al., 'A Rapid Review of the Impact of Quarantine and Restricted Environments on Children's Play and the Role of Play in Children's Health', *Child Care Health Dev* 47, no. 2 (2021): 143–53.
91. P. Waite and C. Cresswell, 'Report 1: Findings from the First 1500 Participants on Parent/Carer Stress and Child Activity. Co-Space Study', (2020).

92. D. Kardefelt Winther, 'How Does the Time Children Spend Using Digital Technology Impact Their Mental Well-Being, Social Relationships and Physical Activity? An Evidence-Focused Literature Review', (Florence: UNICEF Office of Research – Innocenti, 2017).
93. A. Martin et al., 'Systematic Literature Review of the Relationship between Adolescents' Screen Time, Sleep and Mental Health', (Scottish Government, 2020).
94. A. Orben and A.K. Przybylski, 'Screens, Teens, and Psychological Well-Being: Evidence from Three Time-Use-Diary Studies', *Psychological Science* 30, no. 5 (2019): 682–96.
95. J.A. Naslund et al., 'The Future of Mental Health Care: Peer-to-Peer Support and Social Media', *Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences* 25, no. 2 (2016): 113–22.
96. B. Ridout and A. Campbell, 'The Use of Social Networking Sites in Mental Health Interventions for Young People: Systematic Review', *J Med Internet Res* 20, no. 12 (2018): e12244.
97. Y. Kelly et al., 'Social Media Use and Adolescent Mental Health: Findings from the UK Millennium Cohort Study', *EClinicalMedicine* 6 (2018): 59–68.
98. H.Scott and H. Cleland Woods, 'Understanding Links between Social Media Use, Sleep and Mental Health: Recent Progress and Current Challenges', *Current Sleep Medicine Reports* 5, no. 3 (2019): 141–9.
99. Carers Scotland and Carers Trust Scotland, 'Joint Statement on Covid-19 – Carers Scotland and Carers Trust Scotland', (2020).
100. Carers Trust Scotland, 'Coronavirus: Support to Raise Awareness of Young Carers in Education', (2020).
101. Scottish Youth Parliament, YouthLink Scotland, and Young Scot, 'Lockdownlowdown – Local Authority Results Summary – Carers Trust Scotland', (2020).
102. **Scottish Government Measure: Coronavirus (COVID-19): guidance on changes to social care assessments.**
103. Lightowler and Nolan, 'Independent Children's Rights Impact Assessment on the Response to Covid-19 in Scotland, Appendix 9: Children in Conflict with the Law and Children in Secure Care'
104. **Scottish Government Measure: Prisons and Young Offenders Institutions (Scotland) Amendment Regulations 2020.**
105. Casey, 'Independent Children's Rights Impact Assessment on the Response to Covid-19 in Scotland, Appendix 5: Rest and Leisure'.
106. Family Fund, 'Impact of Covid-19 Research', (2020).
107. T.O. Afifi et al., 'Population Attributable Fractions of Psychiatric Disorders and Suicide Ideation and Attempts Associated with Adverse Childhood Experiences', *American Journal of Public Health* 98, no. 5 (2008): 946–52.
108. R.N. Bomysoad and L.A. Francis, 'Adverse Childhood Experiences and Mental Health Conditions among Adolescents', *Journal of Adolescent Health* 67, no. 6 (2020): 868–70.
109. D.P. Chapman et al., 'Adverse Childhood Experiences and the Risk of Depressive Disorders in Adulthood', *Journal of Affective Disorders* 82, no. 2 (2004): 217–25.
110. Aberlour, 'Responding to Covid-19: Supporting Children, Young People & Families at Aberlour', (2020).
111. J. Chevoux, S. Oram, and C. Perôt, 'Supporting 'Off-Radar' Children and Young People Who Are at Risk of Violence/Abuse in Their Household', (London: Survivors' Voices, UKRI Violence Abuse and Mental Health Network, McPin Foundation, 2020).
112. Scottish Government, 'Vulnerable Children Report 15 May 2020', (2020).
113. Scottish Government, 'Coronavirus (Covid-19): Supporting Vulnerable Children and Young People – Data Intelligence Report', (Edinburgh: Scottish Government, 2020).
114. **Scottish Government Measure: Coronavirus (COVID-19): school and early learning closures – guidance about key workers and vulnerable children.**
115. Scottish Government Measure: Scottish Government, 'Coronavirus (Covid-19): Supporting Vulnerable Children and Young People'.
116. Children 1st, 'Children 1st's Response to the Scottish Parliament Education Committee's Call for Evidence on the Impact of the Coronavirus Pandemic on Vulnerable Children', (2020).

117. Aberlour, 'Responding to Covid-19'.
118. E. Bali et al., 'How Are Families Coping with Self-Isolation? Preliminary Results from Interviews with Families and Professionals', (Report for Scottish Government, 2020).
119. Children's Neighbourhood Scotland, 'Children's Neighbourhood Scotland – Early Insights into the Covid-19 Response', (Policy Scotland, Children's Neighbourhood Scotland, Network for Social and Educational Equity, 2020).
120. Aberlour, 'Responding to Covid-19'.
121. Bali et al., 'How Are Families Coping with Self-Isolation?'.
122. Children's Neighbourhood Scotland, 'Children's Neighbourhood Scotland – Early Insights into the Covid-19 Response'.
123. R. McCormick, 'Does Access to Green Space Impact the Mental Well-Being of Children: A Systematic Review', *Journal of Pediatric Nursing* 37 (2017): 3–7.
124. S. Tillmann et al., 'Mental Health Benefits of Interactions with Nature in Children and Teenagers: A Systematic Review', *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* 72, no. 10 (2018): 958–66.
125. Beatfreeds Youth Trends, 'Take the Temperature: A National Youth Trends Report Understanding the Impact of Coronavirus on Young People in the UK', (2020).
126. H. Meltzer et al., 'Mental Health of Children and Adolescents in Great Britain', *International Review of Psychiatry* 15, no. 1–2 (2003): 185–7.
127. F. Reiss, 'Socioeconomic Inequalities and Mental Health Problems in Children and Adolescents: A Systematic Review', *Social Science & Medicine* 90 (2013): 24–31.
128. M. Russell, B. Harris, and A. Gockel, 'Parenting in Poverty: Perspectives of High-Risk Parents', *Journal of Children and Poverty* 14, no. 1 (2008): 83–98.
129. Family Fund, 'Impact of Covid-19 Research', (2020).
130. One Parent Families Scotland, 'Response to Covid-19', (2020).
131. Who Cares Scotland, 'The Impact of Covid-19 Guidance on Scotland's Care Experienced Community', (2020).
132. **Scottish Government Measure:** The Social Security (Coronavirus) (Further Measures) Regulations 2020 No. 371.
133. Department of Work and Pensions, 'Official Statistics Universal Credit: 29 April 2013 to 9 April 2020', (2020).
134. Bali et al., 'How Are Families Coping with Self-Isolation?'.
135. Children's Parliament 'How Are You Doing? Survey Report April', (2020).
136. Scottish Youth Parliament, YouthLink Scotland, and Young Scot, 'Lockdown Lowdown'.
137. M. Melchior et al., 'Food Insecurity and Children's Mental Health: A Prospective Birth Cohort Study', *PLoS ONE* 7, no. 12 (2012): e52615-e.
138. Scottish Government Measure: Coronavirus (COVID-19): school and early learning closures – guidance about key workers and vulnerable children.
139. The Trussel Trust, 'UK Food Banks Report Busiest Month Ever, as Coalition Urgently Calls for Funding to Get Money into People's Pockets Quickly During Pandemic'.
140. Aberlour, 'Responding to Covid-19'.
141. The Children's Society, 'The Impact of Covid-19 on Children and Young People', (2020).
142. **Scottish Government Measure:** Excellence and Equity during the COVID-19 Pandemic – a strategic framework for reopening schools, early learning and childcare provision in Scotland.
143. Treanor, 'Independent Children's Rights Impact Assessment on the Response to Covid-19 in Scotland, Appendix 4: Poverty, Food and Digital Access'.
144. H.D. Joyce and T.J. Early, 'The Impact of School Connectedness and Teacher Support on Depressive Symptoms in Adolescents: A Multilevel Analysis', *Children and Youth Services Review* 39 (2014): 101–7 (accessed April 1).
145. U. K. Moksnes et al., 'The Association between School Stress, Life Satisfaction and Depressive Symptoms in Adolescents: Life Satisfaction as a Potential Mediator', *Social Indicators Research* 125, no. 1 (2016): 339–57.
146. S. T. Lereya et al., 'Mental Health Difficulties, Attainment and Attendance: A Cross-Sectional Study', *European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry* 28, no. 8 (2019): 1147–52.

147. Public Health England, 'The Link between Pupil Health and Wellbeing and Attainment', (2014).
148. S. M. Suldo, K. N. Riley, and E. J. Shaffer, 'Academic Correlates of Children and Adolescents' Life Satisfaction', *School Psychology International* 27, no. 5 (2006): 567–82.
149. C. Bonell et al., 'Why Schools Should Promote Students' Health and Wellbeing', *BMJ : British Medical Journal* 348 (2014): g3078.
150. Moore et al., 'School, Peer and Family Relationships'.
151. Scottish Government Measure: Coronavirus (COVID-19): supporting pupils, parents and teachers – learning during term 4.
152. Scottish Government Measure: Coronavirus (COVID-19): framework for decision making – Scotland's route map through and out of the crisis.
153. Girlguiding, 'Girlguiding Research Briefing'.
154. Scottish Youth Parliament, YouthLink Scotland, and Young Scot, 'Lockdown Lowdown'.
155. Ibid.
156. Children's Parliament 'How Are You Doing? Survey Report April', (2020).
157. Scottish Government Measure: Coronavirus (COVID-19): school and early learning closures – guidance about key workers and vulnerable children.
158. Scottish Youth Parliament, 'SQA 2020 Exam Results Provisions for Covid-19 – Young People's Perspectives', (2020).
159. Children's Parliament, 'Corona Times Journal Edition 3', (2020).
160. Waite et al., 'Report 2'.
161. **Scottish Government Measure:** Education (Miscellaneous Amendments) (Coronavirus) (Scotland) Regulations 2020.
162. Office for National Statistics, 'Children's Views on Well-Being'.
163. Children's Parliament 'How Are You Doing? Survey Report April', (2020).
164. Scottish Youth Parliament, YouthLink Scotland, and Young Scot, 'Lockdown Lowdown'.
165. Princes Trust and YouGov, 'Young People in Lockdown' (2020).
166. C. Larkins, 'Building on Rainbows: Supporting Children's Participation in Shaping Response to Covid-19', (The Centre for Children and Young People's Participation, University of Central Lancashire, 2020).
167. L. Nasr, 'Are Human Rights Really 'Universal, Inalienable, and Indivisible'?', (The London School of Economics and Political Science, 2016).
168. Office for National Statistics, 'Children's Views on Well-Being'.
169. Moksnes et al., 'The Association between School Stress'.
170. Scottish Government, 'Coronavirus (Covid-19): Children, Young People and Families – Evidence Summary – December 2020', (2020).
171. UK Government, 'State of the Nation 2020: Children and Young People's Wellbeing', (2020).
172. G. MacNaughton, P. Hughes, and K. Smith, 'Young Children's Rights and Public Policy: Practices and Possibilities for Citizenship in the Early Years', *Children & Society* 21, no. 6 (2007): 458–69.
173. Larkins, 'Building on Rainbows'.
174. **Scottish Government Measure:** Coronavirus (COVID-19): mental health – transition and recovery plan.
175. Scottish Government Measures: Coronavirus (COVID-19): framework for decision making – Scotland's route map through and out of the crisis.
176. Scottish Government, 'Free School Meals Extended', <https://www.gov.scot/news/free-school-meals-extended/> (accessed February 1, 2021).
177. Scottish Government, 'Helping Families Get Online', <https://www.gov.scot/news/helping-families-get-online/> (accessed February 1, 2021).
178. United Nations, 'The Impact of Covid-19 on Children', (United Nations – Policy Brief, 2020).

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the authors of each of the nine analyses that made up the Independent Children's Rights Impact Assessment and provided the basis for this review: Dr Zoe Picton-Howell, Dr Laura Colucci-Gray, Katie Reid, Prof. Morag Treanor, Theresa Casey, Fiona Mitchell, Claire Houghton, Fiona Morrison, Leah McCabe, Prof. Sheila Riddell, Dr Claire Lightowler and Deborah Nolan. We would also like to thank the co-ordinating team for the Independent Children's Rights Impact Assessment from the Observatory of Children's Human Rights Scotland, including Prof. Kay Tisdall, Dr Mary-Ann Powell and Katie Reid, for their support throughout the process. The views expressed are those of the authors and not the funding bodies.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

Funding

Authors time for this work was supported by the Transdisciplinary Research for the Improvement of Youth Mental Public Health (TRIUMPH) Network, which is part of the Cross-Disciplinary Mental Health Network Plus initiative supported by UK Research and Innovation [UKRI] [grant number ES/S004351/1]. It is also supported by core-funding from the MRC/CSO Social and Public Health Sciences Unit at the University of Glasgow [grant numbers MC_UU_00022/1 and SPHSU16].

Data availability statement

Not applicable. No new data are included in this paper. The analysis reviewed data from other reports and publications, which are referenced within the document.

Notes on contributors

A. MacLachlan is a Research Associate at the MRC/CSO Social and Public Health Science Unit, University of Glasgow, and Coordinator for the Transdisciplinary Research for the Improvement of yoUth Mental Public Health (TRIUMPH) network.

C. McMellon is a Research Associate at the MRC/CSO Social and Public Health Science Unit, University of Glasgow and has responsibility for young people's participation in the Transdisciplinary Research for the Improvement of yoUth Mental Public Health (TRIUMPH) network.

J. Inchley is a Reader at the MRC/CSO Social and Public Health Sciences Unit, University of Glasgow and is Director of the Transdisciplinary Research for the Improvement of yoUth Mental Public Health (TRIUMPH) network.

ORCID

A. MacLachlan  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8222-4221>

J. Inchley  <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8322-8817>