

McPeake, J. , Iwashyna, T. J. and Salluh, J. I.F. (2022) Learning systems as a path to improve ICU staff wellbeing. *Chest*, 162(1), pp. 30-32. (doi: [10.1016/j.chest.2022.03.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2022.03.017))

The material cannot be used for any other purpose without further permission of the publisher and is for private use only.

There may be differences between this version and the published version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

<https://eprints.gla.ac.uk/267332/>

Deposited on 16 March 2022

Enlighten – Research publications by members of the University of  
Glasgow

<http://eprints.gla.ac.uk>

## **Title Page**

**Title:** Learning systems as a path to improve ICU staff wellbeing

## **Authors:**

**Joanne McPeake** PhD MSc, BN (Hons), RGN

The Institute of Healthcare Improvement Studies, University of Cambridge, UK

Glasgow Royal Infirmary, NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde, Scotland, UK

**Theodore J Iwashyna** MD, PhD

Department of Medicine, Division of Pulmonary & Critical Care, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI

Center for Clinical Management Research, VA Ann Arbor Healthcare System, Ann Arbor, MI.

**Jorge Salluh** MD, PhD

D'Or Institute for Research and Education, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

The COVID-19 pandemic has had a profound impact on the safe and effective delivery of critical care services. In many areas internationally, demands on critical care services have overwhelmed existing hospital capacity, resulting in dramatic changes to staffing models and care provision(1). This distorted balance between effective service delivery and excessive demand has had a negative impact on patients, families, clinicians and the healthcare system (2). Unsurprisingly, these consequences have not been equally distributed; geographical areas with limited resources and hospitals residing in areas of socio-economic deprivation have been disproportionately affected and unfortunately, unrepresented in the literature (3).

In this issue of *CHEST*, Lobo and colleagues moved away from describing and quantifying burnout and clinician wellbeing in isolation, and uniquely focussed on its relationship with services demands and perceived care quality in Brazilian Intensive Care Units (ICUs), during the COVID-19 pandemic (4). Using a structured electronic survey issued through the Brazilian Intensive Care Medicine Association, data were collected from ICU multidisciplinary team members across the two Brazilian 'surge' periods (June 2020 and March 2021).

Across the two periods, 2336 completed surveys were collected from 1985 unique respondents. A greater number of respondents reported resource shortages during the second surge in 2021, with a lower rate of skilled staff available, resulting in the need for non-intensive care trained nurses and medical staff to care for critically ill patients. These shortages were most notable in areas of rurality and economic deprivation. Respondents also described an association between reduced family input in end-of-life care decisions with resource shortages such as a lack of ICU beds, ventilators and PPE. Burnout was described in

two thirds of participants and was associated with witnessing colleagues contracting COVID-19, alongside staff shortages.

Many of the findings of this study are not unique to the COVID-19 pandemic or indeed the ICU environment. Patient safety, clinician wellbeing, and patient experience have a long-standing relationship with staff wellbeing (5). Recent data have also shown the relationship between emotional distress in clinicians and a lack of family presence when providing end of life care during the pandemic (6). As such, this timely research adds to this ever-growing body of observational data, which confirms that the critical care workforce often encounter deep moral injury in their everyday practice.

Several professional organisations have produced guidelines around possible remedial actions which can be undertaken by healthcare systems to support clinicians. These recommendations include the provision of a safe working environment and in the context of the pandemic, appropriate PPE. Other recommendations include the implementation of policies which focus on safe working patterns, alongside the enablement of policies which support clinician wellbeing (7).

We suggest it may be time to go further and combine the “patient quality” and “staff burnout” agendas by firstly, the creation of effective learning systems at an organisational level and secondly, the benchmarking of staff experience and wellbeing alongside other hospital wide quality indicators.

Learning systems are structures in which evidence and culture work in parallel with the aim of facilitating continuous improvement and innovation (8). Effective learning systems often use local healthcare data, ideally by those providing care, to adapt and produce rapid changes in processes, with the aim of enabling greater quality and safety. How then does the creation of learning system support clinician wellbeing, and vice versa? By empowering clinicians with the skills, discretion, and tools to make real time improvements to care, staff will have a sense of control over their professional practice and will be better equipped to use resources effectively. This has the potential to improve patient care (particularly in a dynamic situation where centralized control will often be late, or not adapted to local variations in conditions), give clinicians a sense of control over their working environment, and potentially prevent burnout.

As demonstrated in this study by Lobo and colleagues (5), the implementation of learning systems may be even more important for Low- and middle-income countries, where the provision of consistent and reliable care is a major challenge (9). Research undertaken during the pandemic confirmed that increasing the availability of ICU beds and ventilators in isolation, was not enough to improve outcomes in Brazil (10). Conversely, evidence demonstrates that by improving staffing patterns and allowing the delivery of care to be directed by local clinicians, systems become more efficient and significant reductions in mortality can be achieved in these settings (11). This combination would suggest that effective learning systems may be an ideal approach to create the conditions necessary to improve quality and safety.

If we are right that there is a tridirectional and mutually causal relationship between care quality, resources, and staff mental health, this has implications for how we monitor health systems. It implies that as part of any effective health learning system, staff experience and wellbeing should be benchmarked in a similar fashion to other well-rehearsed quality indicators, such as hospital acquired infections. By creating shared ownership between organisations, policy makers and staff, safe, effective and sustainable innovation can potentially mitigate clinician burnout. A more engaged and healthier staff group may then have the creativity and motivation to solve problems, act with discretion, and accept the responsibility that high reliability organisations suggest should be delegated to frontline providers.

The Brazilian data are presented by the authors as a cautionary tale, and they should indeed be read that way. But there is an old political aphorism about never wasting a crisis. The Brazilian system is not the only one in crisis, nor are COVID-19 surges the only time health systems are in crisis. We ask: *can this moment be used to rethink our system goals?* By recognising and placing a deliberate, and equal emphasis on clinician wellbeing, resource use and patient experience, we offer the hypothesis that we can create resilient healthcare systems which can flourish and adapt, even in times of high demand and crisis. Moreover, giving clinicians the tools they need to provide excellent care should be considered a firstline response to staff burnout, in contrast to strategies that focus primarily on individual staff psychology and coping skills.

## References

1. Endacott, R. Pearce, S. Rae, P et al (2022) How COVID-19 has affected staffing models in intensive care: a qualitative study examining alternative staffing models (SEISMIC). Journal of advanced Nursing;78(4):1075-1088.
2. Azoulay, E. Pochard, F. Reignier, J. et al (2021) Symptoms of mental health disorders in critical care physicians facing the second COVID-19 wave: a cross sectional study. Chest;160(3):944-955.
3. Lone, N. McPeake, J. Stewart, NI. Et al (2021) Influences of socioeconomic deprivation on interventions and outcomes for patients admitted with COVID-19 to critical care unit in Scotland: a national cohort study. Lancet Regional Health- Europe; 1:100005.
4. Lobo, S. Creutzfeldt, C. Israel, M. et al (2022) Perceptions of critical care shortages, resource utilisation and provider wellbeing during the COVID-19 pandemic: a survey of 1985 healthcare providers in Brazil. Chest (in press).
5. Kerlin, MP. McPeake, J. Mikkelsen, ME. (2020) Burnout and joy in the profession of critical care medicine. Critical Care; 24:98.
6. Azoulay, E. Cariou, A. Bruneel, F. et al (2020) Symptoms of anxiety, depression and peritraumatic dissociation in critical care clinicians managing patients with COVID-19: a cross sectional study. American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine;202(10):1388-1398.
7. Moss, M. Good, VS. Gozal, D. et al (2016) A critical care societies collaborative statement: burnout syndrome in critical care healthcare professionals. American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine;194(1):106-113.

8. Menear, M. Blanchette, MA. Demers-Payette, O. et al (2019) A framework for value-creating learning health systems. Health Research Policy and Systems; 17:79.
9. Salluh, JIF. Burghi, G. Haniffa, R. (2021) Intensive care for COVID-19 in low- and middle-income countries: research opportunities and challenges. Intensive Care Medicine;47(2):226-229.
10. National Intensive Care Register of Brazil (2022) The Epidemiologic profile of Brazilian ICU's. <http://www.utisbrasileiras.com.br/en/>. Accessed 9<sup>th</sup> of March 2022.
11. Soares, M. Bozza, FA. Angus, A. et al (2015) Organisational characteristic, outcomes and resource use in 78 Brazilian intensive care units: the ORCHESTRA study. Intensive Care Medicine;41(12):2149-60.

Disclaimer: This work does not necessarily represent the views of the U.S. Government or Department of Veterans Affairs.

Funding: JM is funded by a Fellowship from The Institute of Healthcare Improvement Studies (University of Cambridge) 307748-01/PD-2019-02-16.