Modulated self-assembly of an interpenetrated MIL-53 Sc metal–organic framework with excellent volumetric H2 storage and working capacity
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ABSTRACT
To achieve optimal performance in gas storage and delivery applications, metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) must combine high gravimetric and volumetric capacities. One potential route to balancing high pore volume with suitable crystal density is interpenetration, where identical nets sit within the void space of one another. Herein, we report an interpenetrated MIL-53 topology MOF, named GUF-1, where one-dimensional Sc(III-OH) chains are connected by 4,4’-(ethyne-1,2-diyl)dibenzoate linkers into a material that is an unusual example of an interpenetrated MOF with a rod-like secondary building unit. A combination of modulated self-assembly and grand canonical Monte Carlo simulations are used to optimise the porosity of GUF-1; H2 adsorption isotherms reveal a moderately high $Q_{st}$ for H2 of 7.6 kJ/mol and a working capacity of 41 g/L in a temperature–pressure swing system, which is comparable to benchmark MOFs. These results show that interpenetration is a potentially viable route to high-performance gas storage materials comprised of relatively simple building blocks.

© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) are network solids wherein metal ions or clusters are connected by organic ligands into extended structures [1]. A number of MOFs have been proposed as potential gas capture and separation materials [2–7], including candidates for H2 storage applications [8–16], due to their prodigious storage capacities and ease of structure optimisation and functionalisation. To enhance adsorption capacity, the isoreticular principle [17] – extending the linker length while maintaining the metal secondary building unit (SBU) and topology – is often applied [18]. This strategy can enhance pore volume, but interpenetration of multiple nets within the pore space of each other can result in reduced overall uptake, albeit sometimes enhancing substrate selectivity [19–22]. MOFs with topologies that exclude the possibility of interpenetration [23], as well as bespoke synthetic techniques [24], can also be used to maximise pore volume. At the same time, the main challenge to improve volumetric H2 storage capacity is maximising density as well as gas uptake [5,25]. Indeed, while many studies have previously focused on MOFs with high gravimetric BET areas and pore volumes, their high gravimetric H2 adsorption capacities did not readily translate to high volumetric H2 adsorption performance due to the low framework densities of these materials [26]. Recently, the development of MOFs for H2 storage has focused on materials which balance gravimetric and volumetric adsorption performance, whereby MOFs combining optimal pore volumes and structural density give way to materials with exceptional volumetric BET areas [8,11,27,28]. While many of these MOFs display exceptional H2
adsorption performance, they often contain complex organic linker ligands and/or sophisticated synthetic procedures [11]. As such, accessing interpenetrated analogues of archetypal MOF systems represents a potentially straightforward route to materials with increased volumetric capacities, facilitated by the increase in MOF structural density and simultaneously enhanced adsorbate—adsorbent interactions [29–31], although this is naturally tensioned against potential decreases in gravimetric uptake as pore volume is decreased.

Classical MOFs such as those from the MIL family (MIL = Materiaux Institute Lavoisier) have displayed benchmark gas adsorption performance for numerous applications [32–34]. While many MOFs of the MIL family utilise trivalent metals such as Cr³⁺, Fe³⁺ and Al³⁺, the use of light trivalent metals such as Sc³⁺ is considerably rarer. There are less than 100 Sc MOFs and the available coordination polymers in the Cambridge Structural Database; compared to the 100,000 known MOFs, this is less than 0.1% of the available crystal structures [35], and only a fraction of these MOFs have been subsequently utilised for hydrogen storage [36–39]. Sc MOFs exhibit SBUs analogous to their more common trivalent transition metal congeners, including the one-dimensional metal hydroxide chain SBU, where each metal is bridged by four carboxylate oxygen donors and two µ_2-OH linkers, as seen in MIL-53(Sc) [40,41] and MIL-68(Sc) [42], as well as the discrete trimeric [Sc₀₆(β-COO)₆] cluster observed in MIL-101(Sc) [41,42] and MIL-88R(Sc) [36,43]. A further one-dimensional SBU, where ScO₆ octahedra are connected by face-sharing carboxylic units, is found in [Sc₀₆(DCB)₆], where DCB = 1,4-benzenedicarboxylate [37,44], and an analogue linked by 1,4-naphthalenedicarboxylate [45]. These first five MOFs are all connected by the same BDC ligand, highlighting the need to carefully control reaction conditions such as time, temperature, and solvent, to select a desired phase [41]. An alternative approach to control phases is the use of modulated self-assembly, where additives such as monotypic analogues of the multitopic MOF ligand (coordination modulation) or monomeric acids (pH modulation) can tune self-assembly kinetics and/or template specific SBUs to allow fine control over phase formation in complex systems [46]. Modulation has primarily been used to enhance and control physical properties such as crystallinity, defectivity and porosity in MOFs linked by tetravalent metals such as Zr⁴⁺ [47–50], but emerging work suggests phase control is possible in MOFs linked by trivalent metals such as Al³⁺ [51], Fe³⁺ [52,53] and Cr³⁺ [54], while modulation of Sc MOFs has been used to control porosity [55].

Application of the isoreticular principle to MIL family MOFs has led to interpenetrated MIL-88 topology materials containing the discrete M₂O₃ SBU [52,55–57], but catenated versions of MIL-53 topology MOFs with one-dimensional chain SBUs have not been reported to date. Indeed, it has been proposed that MOFs with such rod-like SBUs are highly unlikely to interpenetrate due to the short periodicity of the SBU – so-called “forbidden catenation” [58,59] – but exceptions have been reported [60]. Herein, we report the modulated self-assembly of a two-fold interpenetrated Sc MOF with the MIL-53 topology, which we have named GUF-1 [Glasgow University Framework-1]. Combining the extended 4,4′-(ethyne-1,2-diyl)benzoate (EDB²⁻) ligand with the Sc-OH infinite chain SBU results in an MOF with limited flexibility compared to the archetypal MIL-53(Sc), which endows GUF-1 with permanent porosity. By using a combination of experiments and simulations, we confirm that a mixed-modulation strategy is essential to access samples with optimal porosity, where the combination of strong uptake with a relatively dense material means GUF-1 provides excellent volumetric H₂ working capacities that are comparable to benchmark materials.

2. Results and discussion

In the first instance, unmodulated solvothermal syntheses containing 4,4′-(ethyne-1,2-diyl)benzoic acid (EDB-H₂, prepared according to a modified literature procedure [61,62]) and Sc(NO₃)₃·4H₂O in N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) carried out at 100 °C resulted in a mixture of phases. Addition of hydrochloric acid (HCl) to a reaction mixture of EDB-H₂ and scandium nitrate in DMF that was heated at 100 °C for 24 h yielded cuboidal single crystals of GUF-1 (see SI, Section S2). The MOF crystallises in the orthorhombic Cmme space group and has unit cell parameters of \(a = 7.3026(4)\) Å, \(b = 26.998(2)\) Å, \(c = 11.4979(8)\) Å. The structure of GUF-1-(HCl), named to denote the modulator used in its synthesis, consists of the characteristic one-dimensional chain, found in MIL-53(Sc) [40,41] and analogues, running down the crystallographic a-axis, where each metal is bridged by four carboxylate oxygen donors and two µ_2-OH linkers. Each EDB²⁻ linker binds to four separate scandium ions, but its extended length results in a structure with two-fold interpenetration, where the one-dimensional Sc-OH chains of one net sit in the centre of the rhomboid channel of the other (Fig. 1a). Intercalation is facilitated by the alkyne spacer at the centre of the EDB²⁻ linker. The alkyne units of the interpenetrating nets stack upon one another in an alternating fashion, at a distance of 3.65 Å apart from the centre of each alkyne bond (Fig. 1b); we expect that steric hindrance would preclude a similar structure forming with a terphenylene-based linker, for example. This very small periodicity allows for interpenetration to occur, despite the
one-dimensional chain SBU, which has a periodicity of \(-7.3\ \text{Å}\), effectively locking the linkers together and limiting their movement relative to one another. Similar alkyne stacking has been observed in interpenetrated Zn MOFs with EDB\(^2\) [63] and 1,4-bis[1H-pyrazol-4-yl]ethynyl]benzene ligands [60].

Two different rhombic channels form; one contains DMF solvent that could not be modelled, and the other is occupied by disordered bif(1H-pyrazol-4-ylethynyl)benzene ligands [60].

The non-interpenetrated MIL-53(Sc), with BDC as linker, shows infinite 1D SBUs [60]. The non-interpenetrated MIL-53(Sc), with BDC as linker, shows infinite 1D SBUs [60]. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of an interpenetrated MIL-53 material — isoreticular analogues have been reported with the extended naphthalene-2,6-dicarboxylate [65,66] and biphenyl-4,4′-dicarboxylate [66–70] linkers, but not for longer struts — and suggests that linkers with sterically small spacers, such as alkynes, could lead to interpenetrated phases in other systems linked by infinite 1D SBUs [60].

The non-interpenetrated MIL-53(Sc), with BDC as linker, shows significant structural flexibility in the presence and absence of different guest molecules, with behaviour distinct from other homologues in the series [40,71]. To investigate the impact of interpenetration on the flexibility of GUF-1, both single crystal and powder X-ray diffraction techniques were employed. In the first instance, simple solvent exchange of GUF-1-(HCl) crystals was carried out over 72 h at room temperature to determine whether different guest molecules, with behaviour distinct from other homologues in the series [40,71]. To investigate the impact of interpenetration on the flexibility of GUF-1, both single crystal and powder X-ray diffraction techniques were employed. In the first instance, simple solvent exchange of GUF-1-(HCl) crystals was carried out over 72 h at room temperature to determine whether the pore-bound DMF could be exchanged and whether differing solvent effects on breathing.

In contrast, the as-synthesised DMF solvate, GUF-1-(HCl), has the most open form of the solvates examined (Table 1). While single-crystal to single-crystal solvent exchange did change unit cell parameters, it was generally not possible to identify solvent in the pores other than in the dichloromethane (CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)) solvate, presumably due to disorder, but the loss of ordered DMF is suggestive of solvent exchange. Subtle changes are apparent after soaking in CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) and ethyl acetate (EtOAc). As the structure becomes more closed and the rhombic pore becomes more elongated, the \(b\)-axis increases, the \(c\)-axis decreases and the unit cell volume decreases. The largest changes in unit cell parameters were found in the samples that were exchanged with 1,4-dioxane and isopropanol (\(\text{PrOH}\)); the \(b\)-axis increases by roughly 1.30 and 1.16 Å, respectively, coupled with a shortening in the \(c\)-axis by 0.87 and 0.71 Å. The EDB\(^2\) inter-carboxylate distance (\(d_{\text{CO}}\)), which is a useful proxy for linker length and thus a probe for flexibility by linker bending [72,73], did not significantly change across the solvates, confirming hinging is responsible for the breathing in response to solvent.

Bulk powder samples of GUF-1 can be synthesised (see SI, Section S2) by replacing HCl with acetic acid (AcOH) as modulator, to yield GUF-1-(AcOH) as micron-scale rod-shaped particles (Fig. 2b). Samples were isolated by separating from the reaction solvent by centrifugation, followed by three acetone solvent exchanges and drying under reduced pressure in a vacuum desiccator, allowing for powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) analysis to be carried out. GUF-1-(AcOH) shows a diffractogram similar to that predicted when solvents are exchanged, which we have assessed by measuring angles \(\Psi\) and \(\Phi\), corresponding to the internal vertices of the rhombic pore, with a perfect square (\(\Psi = \Phi = 90°\)) expected to represent the fully “open” structure (Fig. 2a).

The “as-synthesised” DMF solvate, GUF-1-(HCl), has the most open form of the solvates examined (Table 1). While single-crystal to single-crystal solvent exchange did change unit cell parameters, it was generally not possible to identify solvent in the pores other than in the dichloromethane (CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)) solvate, presumably due to disorder, but the loss of ordered DMF is suggestive of solvent exchange. Subtle changes are apparent after soaking in CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) and ethyl acetate (EtOAc). As the structure becomes more closed and the rhombic pore becomes more elongated, the \(b\)-axis increases, the \(c\)-axis decreases and the unit cell volume decreases. The largest changes in unit cell parameters were found in the samples that were exchanged with 1,4-dioxane and isopropanol (\(\text{PrOH}\)); the \(b\)-axis increases by roughly 1.30 and 1.16 Å, respectively, coupled with a shortening in the \(c\)-axis by 0.87 and 0.71 Å. The EDB\(^2\) inter-carboxylate distance (\(d_{\text{CO}}\)), which is a useful proxy for linker length and thus a probe for flexibility by linker bending [72,73], did not significantly change across the solvates, confirming hinging is responsible for the breathing in response to solvent.

Quantitatively, going from the DMF solvate to the \(\text{PrOH}\) solvate involves a unit cell volume contraction of 71.3 Å\(^3\) (3.1%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>(a/\text{Å})</th>
<th>(b/\text{Å})</th>
<th>(c/\text{Å})</th>
<th>Volume/Å(^3)</th>
<th>(\Psi/°)</th>
<th>(\Phi/°)</th>
<th>(d_{\text{CO}}/\text{Å})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DMF(^a)</td>
<td>7.3026 (4)</td>
<td>26.998 (2)</td>
<td>11.4979 (8)</td>
<td>2266.88 (3)</td>
<td>99.2</td>
<td>80.8</td>
<td>12.620 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH(_2)Cl(_2)</td>
<td>7.3179 (4)</td>
<td>27.334 (1)</td>
<td>11.2728 (6)</td>
<td>2254.86 (2)</td>
<td>101.0</td>
<td>79.0</td>
<td>12.616 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtOAc</td>
<td>7.3142 (6)</td>
<td>27.545 (2)</td>
<td>11.189 (1)</td>
<td>2254.24 (3)</td>
<td>101.8</td>
<td>78.2</td>
<td>12.637 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,4-Dioxane</td>
<td>7.3050 (6)</td>
<td>28.157 (3)</td>
<td>10.7836 (9)</td>
<td>2218.46 (3)</td>
<td>105.1</td>
<td>74.9</td>
<td>12.630 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{PrOH})</td>
<td>7.3033 (4)</td>
<td>28.300 (1)</td>
<td>10.6231 (6)</td>
<td>2195.60 (2)</td>
<td>106.2</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>12.609 (5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Angles \(\Psi\) and \(\Phi\) correspond to the internal vertices of the rhombohedron-shaped pore (see Fig. 2a).

\(^1\) Inter-carboxylate distance of the EDB\(^2\) linker (OOC–COO).

\(^2\) The “as-synthesised” crystal structure of GUF-1-(HCl).
from the single crystal structure, but with minor differences suggesting potential flexibility on drying (Fig. 2c). The position of Bragg reflections match the predicted diffraction pattern well, although relative intensities vary, which may be due to preferred orientation or minor changes in solvation (see SI, Section S4.1). To further examine the breathing of GUF-1 in the absence of guests, a bulk powder sample of GUF-1-(AcOH) was activated by washing in acetone three times and drying at 120 °C under vacuum (1.5 × 10⁻¹ mbar for 24 h on a rotary vane pump) and subsequently loaded into a capillary compatible with the gas cell [74] at the I11 beamline at Diamond Light Source [75]. Powder X-ray diffractograms (Fig. 2d) were measured across a range of temperatures under vacuum, and Pawley fits used to assess the unit cell data (all diffractograms, fits and unit cell data are provided in the SI, Section S4.2). At 298 K, V = 2277.1(1) Å³, which correlates closely to the DMF solvate crystal structure collected at 150 K (V = 2266.9(3) Å³). The unit cell volume decreases slightly as the temperature is decreased (V = 2256.6(2) Å³ at 95 K). After bringing the sample back to room temperature, a similar decrease in volume is observed upon subsequent heating (V = 2257.1(2) Å³ at 393 K). This slight negative thermal expansion indicates the structure is closing and could be due either to the final removal of any residual solvent or the increase in temperature facilitating additional flexibility. In any case, the volume changes are smaller than those observed by single-crystal X-ray diffraction upon solvent exchange, indicating host–guest interactions can influence the structure to a greater extent.

To assess the porosity of GUF-1 and the effect of synthesis modulator on physical properties, N₂ adsorption isotherms were carried at 77 K out on unmodulated (GUF-1) and AcOH modulated (GUF-1-(AcOH)) samples that had been activated by acetone washing and degassing (turbopump) at 150 °C for 20 h (Fig. 3a). Both isotherms presented a small step around P/P₀ ≈ 0.1 – this could be related either to stepwise pore-filling at different adsorption sites or the breathing phenomenon observed in flexible MOFs [76,77]. In any case, GUF-1-(AcOH) showed a higher overall uptake (195 vs. 197 cm³(STP) g⁻¹ at 1 bar for GUF-1 and GUF-1-(AcOH), respectively) and BET area (S_BET = 440 m²/g vs. 607 m²/g for GUF-1 and GUF-1-(AcOH), respectively). Comparison of PXRD data for GUF-1-(AcOH) before and after activation showed the appearance of some additional Bragg reflections, suggestive of possible flexibility or degradation (see SI, Figure S24).

As the unit cell volumes of evacuated samples were similar to the crystal structure of the DMF solvate (see SI, Table S1), the GUF-1-(HCl) crystal structure with pore-bound solvent omitted (denoted “as-synthesised”, where Ψ = 99.2°, Φ = 80.8°) was used as the basis for grand canonical Monte Carlo (GCMC) simulations to assess the N₂ adsorption isotherm (see SI, Section S6). The simulation on a perfect and rigid structure predicts a much higher uptake of 274 cm³(STP) g⁻¹ at 1 bar (Fig. 3b). It also showed a perfect Type I isotherm, which rejects the potential idea of stepwise filling of different adsorption sites, and suggests the observed step is indeed due to structure breathing. As such, a modified modulated self-assembly protocol was followed using l-proline (L-Pro), which has been shown previously to enhance crystallinity and porosity in Sc [55] and Zr [47,48] MOFs. L-Proline was used as a co-modulator with AcOH to give GUF-1-(L-Pro/AcOH); after activation, the N₂ adsorption isotherm at 77 K showed a much higher uptake of 369 cm³(STP) g⁻¹ (S_BET = 1080 m²/g) with narrow hysteresis. The isotherm also retained the step of the previous samples around P/P₀ = 0.1, which occurred at an N₂ uptake value of around 260 cm³(STP) g⁻¹, very close to the uptake capacity predicted by GCMC simulations for the as-synthesised structure, and suggesting the MOF is opening beyond what is seen in the GUF-1-(HCl) crystal structure. The ¹H NMR spectrum of an acid-digested sample of activated GUF-1-(L-Pro/AcOH) confirmed no l-proline, formylated proline, or DMF are retained in the pores, while thermogravimetric analysis resulted in a Sc₂O₃ residue of 23.3% wt (21.1% wt is expected for a pristine structure) and elemental analyses also suggested no defectivity (see SI, Figures S25-S27). These data, together with the fact that the experimental N₂ adsorption isotherms correlate closely with simulated ones and show no features associated with defectivity (e.g. a sharp increase in uptake at P/P₀ = 0.95), suggest the MOF is fully activated and does not exhibit any significant defectivity.

To probe this potential breathing, a fully “open” structure, where Ψ = Φ = 90°, was generated and an N₂ adsorption isotherm simulated. The predicted uptake of 372 cm³(STP) g⁻¹ at 1 bar is again in excellent agreement with the experimental isotherm (Fig. 3b), suggesting GUF-1-(L-Pro/AcOH) is fully activated and exhibits breathing at low partial pressures. Comparison of PXRD data for GUF-1-(L-Pro/AcOH) before and after isotherm collection showed no notable changes (Fig. 3c), suggesting this breathing is reversible. GUF-1-(L-Pro/AcOH) therefore represents an example of an MOF with a potentially highly flexible topology wherein inter-penetration likely limits this flexibility [78] and ensures permanent porosity [56], although we have not been able to prepare the non-interpenetrated analogue to confirm this.

Having optimised the synthetic conditions to access pristine MOF, a H₂ adsorption isotherm of GUF-1-(L-Pro/AcOH) was carried
out at 77 K (Fig. 4). Interestingly, the low subatmospheric H$_2$ isotherm, with an uptake of 287 cm$^3$ (STP) g$^{-1}$ at 1 bar, shows the same narrow hysteresis as the N$_2$ adsorption isotherm; hysteresis in H$_2$ adsorption isotherms is not commonly observed in MOFs [79,80]. This adsorption capacity for H$_2$ equates to 25.8 mg/g or 2.52 wt%. GCMC simulations predicted uptakes of 247 and 288 cm$^3$ (STP) g$^{-1}$ (2.18 and 2.52 wt%) at 1 bar for the as-synthesised and open structures, respectively; the latter values are again very close to the experimental results and suggest GUF-1-(L-Pro/AcOH) breathes on exposure to H$_2$ at these partial pressures.

To further probe the H$_2$ adsorption performance of GUF-1-(L-Pro/AcOH), high-pressure adsorption isotherms were run at two temperatures, 77 K and 160 K, and up to 110 bar (Fig. 5a). It is important to note that the experimentally measured values are excess amounts adsorbed ($N_{\text{exc}}$), which are transformed into absolute uptakes ($N_{\text{abs}}$) by using Eq. (1):

$$N_{\text{abs}} = N_{\text{exc}} + \rho V_{\text{pore}}$$

(1)

where $\rho$ is the density of the gas at the given adsorption pressure and temperature, obtained from the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) [81], and $V_{\text{pore}}$ is the pore volume of the adsorbent [25]. Similar to the adsorption of N$_2$, the H$_2$ isotherm at 77 K (Fig. 5a) shows an interesting shape with two clear steps, evident from a dual-site Langmuir fit (see SI, Figure S29), until it plateaus at ca. 80 bar with a volumetric uptake, based on the crystal density of the open structure, of 41.1 g/L. This could be indicative of further flexibility, induced by increased gas pressure, which has been observed in related systems [76]. Fig. 5b shows the comparison of the experimental adsorption isotherm with the GCMC simulated ones for both the open and as-synthesised structural

![Fig. 4.](image-url) Experimental H$_2$ adsorption/desorption isotherm (77 K) for GUF-1-(L-Pro/AcOH) compared to simulated isotherms for the as-synthesised and open structural models of GUF-1.

![Fig. 5.](image-url) (a) Volumetric H$_2$ adsorption for GUF-1-(L-Pro/AcOH) measured at 77 K and 160 K; absolute uptake is shown by closed symbols, while open symbols represent excess uptake. Absolute uptake values were calculated based upon an experimentally measured pore volume (at $P/P_0 = 0.99$) of 0.572 cm$^3$/g and a crystal density of 0.878 g/cm$^3$ for the open structure. While using “ideal” densities from crystal structures may over-predict volumetric uptake, it allows comparison with previously reported MOFs where volumetric data have been generated in the same manner. (b) Experimental high-pressure absolute H$_2$ isotherm compared to GCMC calculated H$_2$ uptake for the open and as-synthesised structures for GUF-1-(L-Pro/AcOH). (c) Isocoric heat of adsorption ($Q_{st}$) for H$_2$ adsorption on GUF-1-(L-Pro/AcOH) calculated using the Virial method and H$_2$ isotherms at 77 K and 160 K. (d) H$_2$ adsorption isotherms (77 K and 160 K) of GUF-1-(L-Pro/AcOH) and benchmark MOFs; closed symbols represent 77 K experiments, open symbols represent 160 K experiments. (e) Cryogenic H$_2$ gas delivery for pressure swing (100 bar/77 K → 5 bar/77 K) and temperature—pressure swing (100 bar/77 K → 5 bar/160 K) storage systems for GUF-1-(L-Pro/AcOH). (f) H$_2$ working capacity of GUF-1-(L-Pro/AcOH) compared to benchmark materials (data replotted from corresponding publications for NU-100, [9] NPF-200, [16] NU-125, [8] Ni(dobdc) [10], NU-1500-Al [11] and NU-1501-Al [14] and tabulated in the SI, Table S4).
models of the material. The experimental isotherm at 77 K displayed similar saturation uptake (523 cm$^3$ (STP) g$^{-1}$ at 100 bar), to that simulated for the open structure material (543 cm$^3$ (STP) g$^{-1}$ at 100 bar), which suggests the larger pore volume of the open structure material enables enhanced H$_2$ adsorption performance at higher pressures.

Interestingly, GUF-1-(L-Pro/AcOH) displays an exceptionally high H$_2$ uptake in the low-pressure region (0–2 bar), which suggests high adsorbent–adsorbate interaction energy between the MOF and H$_2$ gas. We used the Virial method to estimate the isosteric heat of adsorption (Q$_e$) using the H$_2$ isotherms collected at 77 and 160 K (Fig. 5c). The experimental Q$_e$ at low coverage for GUF-1-(L-Pro/AcOH) was 7.6 kJ/mol, which exceeds those for many previously reported high capacity benchmark adsorbents (see SI, Table S4), although higher values can be obtained by narrow-pore materials [82–84] or those with open metal sites [85,86]. This moderately high Q$_e$ for H$_2$ can be attributed to the narrow porosity of the closed structure and strong interactions between H$_2$ and the aromatic rings of the linker ligands of the GUF-1-(L-Pro/AcOH) framework.

MOFs generally display Type I isotherms for adsorption of H$_2$ under cryogenic conditions, with high loadings at low pressures, followed by a saturation of the H$_2$ uptake at higher ones. This limits the overall working capacity of the adsorbent materials [5]. To address this issue, the DoE Hydrogen Storage Engineering Center of Excellence (HSECoE) has proposed designing tanks for cryo-adsorption storage that operate with H$_2$ loading occurring at 77 K and 100 bar and discharge occurring at 160 K and 5 bar, ensuring the amount of deliverable H$_2$ in nanoporous MOFs is maximised [10]. In this way, we examined the material for use in cryogenic H$_2$ gas delivery for pressure swing (100 bar and 77 K → 5 bar and 77 K) and temperature–pressure swing (100 bar and 77 K → 5 bar and 160 K) systems. For the purposes of this study, we limited our analysis to benchmark materials whose H$_2$ adsorption performance was based on crystal structure densities. Fig. 5d shows the comparison of the uptake of GUF-1-(L-Pro/AcOH) at 77 K with benchmark materials; GUF-1-(L-Pro/AcOH) exhibits slightly lower H$_2$ uptake to that of NU-1501-Al and NU-1500-Al [11], with NU-100 [9] and Ni(dobdc) [10] outperforming GUF-1-(L-Pro/AcOH) at higher pressures (see SI, Table S4).

Calculating the working capacity for a cryogenic pressure swing (100 bar and 77 K → 5 bar and 77 K) system (Fig. 5e), GUF-1-(L-Pro/AcOH) was found to outperform benchmark MOFs such as Ni(dobdc) [10], NU-125 [8] and NU-1500-Al [11], delivering ca. 26 g/L H$_2$ between 100 and 5 bar (Fig. 5f). This performance was found to be only bettered by benchmark MOFs such as NU-100, [9] NPF-200 [16] and NU-1501-Al [11]. For a combined temperature–pressure swing (100 bar and 77 K → 5 bar and 160 K) system (Fig. 5f), GUF-1-(L-Pro/AcOH) displayed a working capacity of ca. 41 g/L. This was found to be comparable to the previously reported benchmark materials examined under similar conditions (Fig. 5f). Despite the slightly lower H$_2$ uptake at 77 K compared to the current benchmarks such as NU-125, [8] NU-1500-Al [11] and NU-1501-Al [11] (Fig. 5d), the significantly lower H$_2$ uptake at 160 K enables GUF-1-(L-Pro/AcOH) to maximise the H$_2$ delivered in a combined temperature–pressure swing system, illustrating its great potential for use in H$_2$ storage applications.

3. Conclusions

By using a carefully controlled self-assembly strategy and a reticular chemistry approach, we have reported an unusual example of an interpenetrated MIL-53 topology MOF, which has limited flexibility due to catenation but maintains permanent porosity. By using a sterically unhindered spacer at the centre of the EDB$^2$- linker, close stacking of adjacent nets with low periodicity (3.65 Å) facilitates interpenetration, even with an infinite rod SBU. The full porosity of GUF-1-(L-Pro/AcOH) has been accessed by a combination of GCMC simulations and bespoke coordination modulation experiments, leading to a material with an excellent working capacity for H$_2$ storage and delivery in a combined temperature–pressure swing system. The work shows the importance of coordination modulation in both the discovery and optimisation of MOFs, while GUF-1-(L-Pro/AcOH) stands as an example of a material constructed from relatively simple building blocks that can still exhibit a highly desirable uptake and working capacity for hydrogen storage applications.
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