



Paterson, W. D., Chaise, L. L., McKnight, C., Currie, J. I., Thompson, D., Ancel, A., Gilbert, C. and McCafferty, D. J. (2022) Metabolic heat loss in southern elephant seals (*Mirounga leonina*) differs with stage of moult and between habitats. *Journal of Thermal Biology*, 104, 103183. (doi: [10.1016/j.jtherbio.2021.103183](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtherbio.2021.103183))

The material cannot be used for any other purpose without further permission of the publisher and is for private use only.

There may be differences between this version and the published version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

<https://eprints.gla.ac.uk/263475/>

Deposited on 19 January 2022

Enlighten – Research publications by members of the University of  
Glasgow  
<http://eprints.gla.ac.uk>

1 **Title:** Metabolic heat loss in southern elephant seals (*Mirounga leonina*) differs with stage of moult and  
2 between habitats

3

4 WILLIAM D. PATERSON<sup>a, c</sup>, LAURELINE L. CHAISE<sup>b</sup>, CHRIS McKNIGHT<sup>a</sup>, JOHN I. CURRIE<sup>c</sup>,  
5 DAVE THOMPSON<sup>a</sup>, ANDRÉ ANCEL<sup>d</sup>, CAROLINE GILBERT<sup>b, f</sup> and DOMINIC J. McCAFFERTY<sup>e</sup>

6 <sup>a</sup>*Sea Mammal Research Unit, Scottish Oceans Institute, University of St. Andrews, St. Andrews, Fife,*  
7 *KY16 8LB, Scotland, UK*

8 <sup>b</sup>*UMR 7179 Laboratoire MECADEV CNRS/MNHN, 1 avenue du petit château, 91800 Brunoy, France*

9 <sup>c</sup>*School of Engineering and the Built Environment, Edinburgh Napier University, Merchiston Campus,*  
10 *Colinton Road, Edinburgh, EH10 5DT, Scotland, UK*

11 <sup>d</sup>*Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, F-67000 Strasbourg, France*

12 <sup>e</sup>*Scottish Centre for Ecology and the Natural Environment, Institute of Biodiversity, Animal Health and*  
13 *Comparative Medicine, University of Glasgow, Rowardennan, Glasgow G63 0AW, Scotland, UK*

14 <sup>f</sup>*Ecole Nationale Vétérinaire d'Alfort, Ethologie, 7 avenue du Général de Gaulle, 94700, Maisons-Alfort,*  
15 *France***Corresponding author:**

16 WILLIAM D. PATERSON

17 **Email address:**

18 [WilliamDavidPaterson@gmail.com](mailto:WilliamDavidPaterson@gmail.com)

19 **Telephone number:**

20 +44 (0) 7709602314

21 **ORCID ID number:**

22 <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5646-3782>

23

24

25

26 **Highlights**

- 27 • Metabolic heat loss in moulting southern elephant seals differed between terrestrial habitats.
- 28 • Greatest heat loss was in beach habitat during the late moult.
- 29 • Heat loss was lower in wallow and lower still in vegetation habitats.
- 30 • Body condition and skin temperature both decreased at the end of the moult.

31

32 **Abstract**

33 The moult in southern elephant seals (*Mirounga leonina*) represents an especially energetically demanding  
34 period during which seals must maintain high skin temperature to facilitate complete replacement of body  
35 fur and upper dermis. In this study, heat flux from the body surface was measured on 18 moulting southern  
36 elephant seals to estimate metabolic heat loss in three different habitats (beach, wallow and vegetation).  
37 Temperature data loggers were also deployed on 10 southern elephant seals to monitor skin surface  
38 temperature. On average, heat loss of animals on the beach was greater than in wallows or vegetation, and  
39 greater in wallows than in vegetation. Heat loss across all habitats during the moult equated to 1.8 x resting  
40 metabolic rate (RMR). The greatest heat loss of animals was recorded in the beach habitat during the late  
41 moult, that represented 2.3 x RMR. Mass loss was  $3.6 \pm 0.3 \text{ kg day}^{-1}$ , resulting in changes in body condition  
42 as the moult progressed. As body condition declined, skin surface temperature also decreased, suggesting  
43 that as animals approached the end of the moult blood flow to the skin surface was no longer required for  
44 hair growth.

45

46

47

48 **Keywords**

49 *Mirounga leonina*, marine mammals, pinnipeds, heat loss, skin temperature, moult, thermoregulation

50

51 **Funding.** The overall study was funded by the Institut Polaire Français Paul-Emile Victor (IPEV Program  
52 1037 HENERGES), a doctoral fellowship (LC) of French Ministry of Higher Education and Research  
53 (Graduate School 227 of National Museum of Natural History, University Pierre and Marie Curie), a  
54 doctoral fellowship (WP) from the University of St Andrews and the Marine Alliance for Science and  
55 Technology for Scotland (MASTS) and equipment funded by the Carnegie Trust for the Universities of  
56 Scotland.

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73           **1. Introduction**

74    The annual moult in phocid seals represents a distinct, energetically demanding period during which  
75    animals spend an increased amount of time on land as they shed and renew their entire coat (Hindell and  
76    Burton 1988; Thompson et al. 1989; Boyd et al. 1993). Conditions for the proliferation of hair follicles and  
77    skin cells during this time are optimised by increasing skin surface temperature closer to core body  
78    temperature (Feltz and Fay 1966; Paterson et al. 2012). This is achieved by increasing perfusion of blood  
79    at the skin surface (Khamas et al. 2012). Thermal conduction in water is 25 times that in air (Nadel 1984)  
80    meaning that increasing skin surface temperature while at sea would result in a physiologically  
81    unsustainable heat loss (Boily 1995; Watts 1996). High skin temperature with minimal heat loss is therefore  
82    most efficiently achieved while animals are on land. For some seal species, moulting involves a diffuse  
83    process lasting several weeks with intermittent trips to sea, such as for the grey seal (*Halichoerus grypus*)  
84    (Boily, 1996) and the harbour seal (*Phoca vitulina*) (Ashwell-Erickson et al., 1986). In these species,  
85    mouling is visually apparent during a four-week period (Thompson and Rothery 1987). However, the  
86    underlying process of hair follicle regrowth, which is much less conspicuous, may be evident for a period  
87    of up to 12 weeks (Ashwell-Erickson et al. 1986). This is also the case for moulting southern elephant seals  
88    (*Mirounga leonina*) that have active hair follicles for approximately 12 weeks (Ling, 2012). For species  
89    such as the northern elephant seal (*Mirounga angustirostris*) and the southern elephant seal that undergo a  
90    catastrophic moult, hair and the upper dermis are shed together over a period of 25-32 days with the most  
91    shedding of skin and hair taking place on land (Worthy et al. 1992; Boyd et al. 1993).

92    The behavioural adaptation of spending more time on land during the moult allows phocid seals to  
93    overcome the thermoregulatory constraints of moulting in an aquatic environment (Boily 1996; Watts  
94    1996). However, for species found in more extreme climatic conditions at higher latitudes, further  
95    behavioural adaptations may be necessary to minimise heat loss. In Antarctic and sub-Antarctic regions  
96    southern elephant seals that come ashore to moult initially search for muddy pools or wallows (Boyd et al.  
97    1993; Chaise et al. 2017) where they often aggregate in tight groups (Riedman 1990; Cruwys and Davis  
98    1995). This behaviour may serve to minimise the amount of skin surface area exposed to the air by either  
99    being in contact with other animals or being partially covered in mud warmed by body heat from large  
100   groups of seals. Huddling is an adaptive response seen in many species of birds and mammals (Canals et

101 al. 1997; Ostner 2002; Gilbert et al., 2010) that minimises metabolic costs of thermoregulation (Gilbert et  
102 al. 2010). If moulting southern elephant seals elevate skin temperature as is seen in other phocid species  
103 (Paterson et al. 2012) then huddling in wallows may help to conserve heat when actively perfusing the skin  
104 with blood. The final stage of the moult process in southern elephant seals is characterised by animals  
105 remaining on land but moving out of wallows and closer to shore (Boyd et al. 1993; Chaise et al. 2017).  
106 Choice of habitat and stage of moult are therefore important factors when considering the environmental  
107 conditions affecting the moult in southern elephant seals.

108 Several studies have relied on theoretical heat flux models to estimate heat loss from phocid seals while  
109 hauled out (Boily 1995; Harding et al. 2005). However, these models can significantly overestimate or  
110 underestimate heat transfer rates (Kvadsheim et al. 1997; Boily et al. 2000, respectively). More accurate  
111 estimates are achieved when theoretical models are validated with concurrent recordings of metabolic rate  
112 (Kvadsheim and Folkow 1997; Kvadsheim et al. 1997) or by measuring heat flux directly (Kvadsheim and  
113 Folkow 1997; Hindle et al. 2015). Direct measurements of heat flux in phocid seals have quantified how  
114 heat is differentially lost from parts of the body in contact with the ground (Mellish et al. 2015) and in air  
115 (Hindle et al. 2015). Meteorological conditions and substrate temperature can then be used to explain  
116 changes in heat transfer of animals.

117 Direct measurement of heat loss in moulting seals in the wild has rarely been successful (though see Walcott  
118 et al. 2020) due to the difficulties of gluing devices to hair that is being shed or that is newly grown and too  
119 weak to support device attachment. New research is required to determine heat loss of moulting phocid  
120 seals to be able to estimate the energetic cost of the moult associated with thermoregulation and choice of  
121 habitat when hauling out. An increased metabolic rate during the moult has been demonstrated in previous  
122 studies on pinnipeds (Slip et al. 1992; Boyd et al. 1993; Paterson et al. 2012; Paterson et al. 2021). This  
123 increased energetic demand is compounded by the fact that animals fast on land (Slip et al. 1992; Hindell  
124 et al. 1994). As a result, body condition decreases as blubber reserves become depleted during the moult  
125 (Hindell et al. 1994; Carlini et al. 2005; Postma et al. 2013). Meteorological conditions and choice of habitat  
126 that increase heat loss from animals to the ground and air will increase energy costs as a greater metabolic  
127 rate will be required to maintain high skin temperatures necessary for hair and skin growth.

128 The aim of this study was to determine the energetic cost of a catastrophic moult in southern elephant seals  
129 and how this may be influenced by terrestrial habitat and climate. Measurements of heat flux and body  
130 surface temperature were used to determine the extent to which environmental drivers such as habitat and  
131 meteorological conditions influenced the energetic cost of moult in this species. Furthermore, because the  
132 moult fast decreases body condition which may compromise their ability to thermoregulate, we investigated  
133 how body surface temperature varied with body condition.

134

## 135 **2. Materials and methods**

136

### 137 **2.1. Study animals**

138 Southern elephant seals were captured during the early part of the moult, shortly after they arrived onshore  
139 and as they moved away from the beach passing through vegetation en route to wallows. Where recaptures  
140 were possible in the latter part of the moult, animals were captured either while still in wallows or when  
141 they had moved back to the beach before departure to sea. A total of 18 adult females, 4 sub-adult females  
142 and 1 sub-adult male southern elephant seals were sampled between 14/01/2014 and 26/02/2016 at Point  
143 Suzanne, Kerguelen Islands (49°26'S, 70°26'E) during this study. Animals were anaesthetised with a 1:1  
144 combination of Tiletamine and Zolazepam (Zoletil® 100), either administered intramuscularly with a blow  
145 dart at a dose rate of 1ml/100kg or intravenously at a dose rate of 0.5ml/100kg (Baker et al. 1990). Moult  
146 stage of each individual was estimated at capture and recapture based on the percentage area of the body  
147 over which old skin and hair had been shed and new hair was growing through (Chaise et al. 2019). Upon  
148 capture at early moult when 20-60% of old skin and hair had been shed, and recapture at late moult when  
149 90-100% of shedding was complete, individuals were weighed ( $\pm$  0.5kg) using a tripod and scales (HST  
150 Mini-Weigher, HST Scales, Milton Keynes, UK). Linear measurements of body length ( $\pm$  1.0cm) from  
151 nose to tail were also taken while animals were in ventral recumbency (Table 1).

152 Southern elephant seal work (Program IPEV 1037 HENERGES) was approved by The Antarctic  
153 Committee for Environmental Protection and the Ethics Committee (Cometh ANSES/ENVA/UPEC n°16:  
154 n°14-055 and n°15-061). The program was also authorised by the French Southern and Antarctic Lands

155 (Decree 2014-131, 15th October 2014; Decree 2015-110, 4th September 2015). All applicable international,  
156 national and institutional guidelines for the care and use of animals were followed. All procedures  
157 performed in studies involving animals were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institution or  
158 practice at which the studies were conducted.

159

## 160 **2.2. Heat flux measurements**

161 Heat flux ( $Wm^{-2}$ ) from the body of animals to the surrounding environment was measured while animals  
162 were under anaesthesia, using heat flux discs (HFP01, Huxseflux Thermal Sensors B. V., Delft,  
163 Netherlands) with data recorded to a logger (SQ2010, Omni Instruments Ltd., Dundee, UK). Heat flux  
164 measurements were taken while animals were in a ventral recumbency position. To measure heat flux from  
165 the body surface to air, a heat flux disc was placed on the dorsal midline posterior to the axial line between  
166 the fore flippers. A second heat flux disc was also placed posterior to the axial line but on the ventral surface  
167 measuring heat flux to the ground (Fig. 1). Data were recorded at a sampling interval of once every minute.

168 Animals were captured in three different types of habitat to determine differences in heat flux dependent  
169 on substrate. The three main substrate types found at the Point Suzanne study site are; “vegetation”  
170 composed of plant species *Azorella selago*, *Acaena magellanica* and *Taraxacum officinale* (Chapuis et al.  
171 2004), “beach” composed of basalt pebbles (Nicolaysen et al. 2000) and “wallows” that are normally  
172 characterised as depressions in the land in which aggregations of seals create wet, muddy conditions. It  
173 should be noted that animals captured in the present study had to be isolated from groups in order to be able  
174 to take measurements safely. This included those in wallows which resulted in heat flux measurements  
175 being taken of isolated animals, usually at the edge of wallows, rather than in the centre. Effects of heat  
176 flux to other animals while huddling and heat flux affected by large areas of the body covered in mud were  
177 therefore not possible. However, the substrate type and ground temperature differences at the edge of  
178 wallows was sufficiently different from vegetation and beach habitats to make valid comparisons (Chaise  
179 et al. 2019).

180

181



### 2.3. Conversion of heat flux measurements to whole body heat loss

To extrapolate measurements of heat flux taken on the dorsal and ventral surfaces to the whole body required a measure of the total body surface area of animals. Previously, this has been done by predicting maximal surface area based on mass, according to the allometric equation developed for phocid seals by Innes et al. (1990):  $\log A = \log 0.14 + 0.51 * \log m$  where A is body surface area (m<sup>2</sup>) and m is the mass (kg) of the animal. This allometric relationship was based on post-mortem measures of surface area of 56 skins removed from individuals of five different seal species including harbour seals, harp seals (*Pagophilus groenlandicus*), hooded seals (*Cystophora cristata*), ringed seals (*Pusa hispida*) and grey seals.

A photogrammetry method was developed to test whether the previous equation held true for southern elephant seals by creating fully scaled 3D models using the software package AutoDesk® Photo Recap™. 3D photogrammetric generation of volumetric estimates for southern elephant seals has been previously used and validated by de Bruyn et al. (2009) and in a variety of large mammal species by Bester and de Bruyn (2015). More recently, McKnight et al. (2017) conducted a calibration study to quantify the volumetric estimate error of 3D photogrammetry models of grey seals, showing high levels of accuracy of within 4% of actual volume. To create 3D models, the photo-capture technique used and validated by McKnight et al. (2017) was used. A series of approximately 40 photographs were taken at different angles around the circumference of animals while they were anaesthetised. Accurate 3D models of animals were then generated and scaled using nose to tail length measurements taken during captures. Fig. 2 shows examples of 3D models generated for animals in each of the three habitats; vegetation, beach and wallow.

Previous theoretical heat flux studies have assumed that 20% of the body surface of pinnipeds was in contact with the substrate (Luecke et al. 1975; Paterson et al. 2012) and the remaining 80% exposed to the surrounding environment. The 3D models were used to calculate the amount of model mesh, and therefore area of the body, that was in contact with the air and with the ground. Heat flux (Wm<sup>-2</sup>) measurements taken on the ventral and dorsal side of animals were extrapolated over the area of skin in contact with the air and the ground and weighted accordingly to give an overall estimate of whole-body heat loss (W). For animals that had no photogrammetry taken, 3D models could not be created. In these cases, the proportion of surface area in contact with the ground was assumed to be the mean of the proportions calculated for all animals with photogrammetry measurements.

210           **2.4. Body surface temperature measurements**

211    Body surface temperature between the time of capture and recapture were recorded ( $\pm 0.5^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) using  
212    miniaturised temperature loggers (iButton® DS1922L-F5, Maxim Integrated, San Jose, USA). In 2014 and  
213    2015, average temperature was sampled at an interval of 10 minutes. However, in 2016 this was increased  
214    to a one minute interval. This type of logger was chosen due to its small size (diameter = 17.4mm, thickness  
215    = 5.9mm, weight = 3g) and its reliable use in a range of other studies (McCafferty et al. 2015). Each logger  
216    was located over newly grown hair on either flank, dorsal to the fore flipper and held in place by covering  
217    with a fine nylon mesh and a layer of two-part epoxy (Araldite® AW 2101, Huntsman International LLC,  
218    Texas, USA) (Fig. 3).

219

220           **2.5. Environmental measurements**

221    Environmental conditions were recorded within one metre of animals during captures. Air temperature ( $\pm$   
222     $0.1^{\circ}\text{C}$ ), ground temperature ( $\pm 0.1^{\circ}\text{C}$ ), relative humidity ( $\pm 1\%$ ), wind speed ( $\pm 0.1\text{ms}^{-1}$ ) and solar radiation  
223    ( $\text{Wm}^{-2}$  error  $\pm 5\%$ ) were all measured using handheld instruments (Kestrel 3000 Pocket Weather Meter,  
224    Kestrel Instruments, Pennsylvania, USA; Pyranometer SKS111, Skye Instruments Ltd., Llandrindon Wells,  
225    UK). Measurements were taken at the beginning and end of captures with the average of those two values  
226    being used.

227    Additionally, a weather station recorded environmental conditions (air temperature ( $\pm 0.1^{\circ}\text{C}$ ), relative  
228    humidity ( $\pm 1\%$ ), wind speed ( $\pm 0.1\text{ms}^{-1}$ ) and solar radiation ( $\text{Wm}^{-2}$  error  $\pm 5\%$ ) every 30 minutes. Rainfall  
229    (mm error  $<6\%$ ) was recorded as the total every 30 minutes. These environmental conditions were logged  
230    using an automatic weather station (Minimet, Skye Instruments Ltd., Powys, UK) in a fixed location with  
231    study animals being captured within a 350m radius around this location.

232    All means reported in the results below are given as  $\pm$  SE.

233

234

## 235        **2.6. Statistical analyses**

236

### 237        **2.6.1. 3D models and predictions of surface area**

238        The 3D models generated for animals caught in 2016 were used to estimate total body surface area. A  
239        multiple linear regression model was then used to predict total body surface area (m<sup>2</sup>) as a function of mass  
240        (kg), length (cm) and girth (cm). Predictions allowed for estimation of total body surface area for all animal  
241        captures in each year and not just those for which photogrammetry data were collected. Results were  
242        compared to predictions of surface area derived from Equation 1 developed by Innes et al. (1990) which  
243        relied solely on mass as the predictor. All dependent and explanatory variables were log-transformed for  
244        multiple regression analyses. The full model used for selection was therefore  $\log(\text{surface area}) \sim \log(\text{mass})$   
245         $+ \log(\text{length}) + \log(\text{girth})$  with all variables being treated as continuous. Model selection was performed  
246        in a step-wise backwards selection process dropping non-significant explanatory variables as appropriate.  
247        The final model could then be used to predict total surface area while accounting for variation in  
248        morphometrics. Table 1 summarises captures during which photogrammetry images were taken that were  
249        then developed into 3D models.

250

### 251        **2.6.2. Heat loss**

252        Whole body heat loss (W) was converted to kcal/day. This allowed for comparisons with estimations of  
253        resting metabolic rate based on mass according to Kleiber (1932):  $\text{RMR} = 70 * M^{0.75}$  where RMR is resting  
254        metabolic rate in kcal day<sup>-1</sup> and M is mass (kg). Estimations of metabolic rate were expressed as a multiple  
255        of resting metabolic rate (RMR multiplier), defined as dividing whole body heat loss (kcal day<sup>-1</sup>) based on  
256        measurements by predictions of RMR (kcal day<sup>-1</sup>) from Kleiber's equation. In this definition, Kleiber's  
257        prediction is referred to as 1 x Kleiber.

258        Analysis of co-variance (ANCOVA) was used to determine differences in the continuous response variable,  
259        RMR multiplier, dependent on habitat consisting of three levels; vegetation, wallow and beach. A post-hoc  
260        Tukey's test was used to make pairwise comparisons of RMR multiplier across the three habitats. To reduce

261 the number of explanatory variables used, air temperature and wind speed were combined to create the  
262 variable windchill, defined by the equation:  $\text{windchill} = 13.12 + (0.6215 * T_a) -$   
263  $11.37 * (v^{0.16}) + (0.3965 * T_a * v^{0.16})$  where  $T_a$  is air temperature ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) and  $v$  is wind speed ( $\text{km h}^{-1}$ ) (Environment  
264 and Climate Change Canada, Government of Canada; NOAA's National Weather Service, USA). The  
265 environmental variables included as covariates were therefore windchill, ground temperature, relative  
266 humidity and solar radiation. Time since initiation of general anaesthesia (minutes) was also included as a  
267 continuous covariate to determine how changes in body temperature associated with anaesthesia may have  
268 influenced recorded heat flux. Moulting stage at capture during early moulting (20-60% of old skin and hair shed)  
269 and recapture at late moulting (90-100% of old skin and hair shed) was treated as a two-level factor. The full  
270 model before selection was  $\text{RMR multiplier} \sim \text{habitat type} + \text{windchill} + \text{ground temperature} + \text{relative}$   
271  $\text{humidity} + \text{solar radiation} + \text{time since anaesthesia} + \text{moulting stage}$ . ANCOVA model selection was  
272 performed in a step-wise backwards selection process dropping non-significant explanatory variables as  
273 appropriate.

274

### 275 **2.6.3. Body surface temperature**

276 Changes in body surface temperature (response variable) dependent on body condition were modelled using  
277 a Generalised Additive Model (GAM) approach from the mgcv library (Wood 2004). In this case, a proxy  
278 for body condition was used which was body mass index ( $\text{BMI} = \text{mass (kg)} / \text{length}^2 \text{ (m)}$ ). This index was  
279 chosen for consistency with a previous study of habitat use and movements of the same study animals  
280 (Chaise et al. 2018). Calculations of BMI between captures assumed that mass changed linearly between  
281 measurements at capture and recapture. In the full GAM model, body surface temperature was fitted as a  
282 smooth (thin plate regression spline) over values of BMI. Pre-screening of the measured environmental  
283 variables showed that air temperature and solar radiation were correlated (Pearson,  $r=0.55$ ,  $p<0.001$ ). Air  
284 temperature was therefore used and solar radiation was excluded from the analysis. To further reduce the  
285 number of explanatory variables, air temperature and wind speed were combined to create the smoothed  
286 variable windchill (as above). Rainfall was included as a continuous explanatory variable and relative  
287 humidity as a smoothed explanatory variable. Moulting stage was included as a continuous explanatory  
288 variable which was estimated at capture and recapture as the percentage of body surface where old skin and

289 hair had been shed and new hair growth was evident. Animals were assumed to shed and renew skin and  
290 hair linearly between those observations allowing moult stage to be treated as a continuous variable rather  
291 than a categorical variable. Similarly, rate of change of moult was also included as a continuous explanatory  
292 variable calculated as the change in percentage moult per day between capture and recapture. The full GAM  
293 model before selection was body surface temperature  $\sim$  smooth(BMI) + moult stage + rate of moult + s  
294 (windchill) + rainfall + smooth(relative humidity). Model selection during GAM analyses were performed  
295 by step-wise backwards selection of candidate models i.e. starting with the full model and sequentially  
296 dropping variables to make model comparisons. Models with the lowest Akaike's Information Criterion  
297 (AIC) scores were considered the most parsimonious with variables either being dropped or retained based  
298 on those AIC scores.

299 All statistical analyses were carried out using the statistical package R (R Development Core Team 2017).

300

### 301 **3. Results**

302

#### 303 **3.1. Environmental measurements**

304 Environmental measurements taken with handheld instruments during captures were: air temperature  $9.7 \pm$   
305  $0.4$  °C, ground temperature  $8.9 \pm 0.2$  °C, wind speed  $6.9 \pm 0.7$  ms<sup>-1</sup>, relative humidity  $78.5 \pm 0.9$  % and  
306 solar radiation  $320.8 \pm 33.5$  Wm<sup>-2</sup> respectively (Table 2). Weather station measurements throughout the  
307 study period were: air temperature  $7.3 \pm 0.1$  °C, wind speed  $6.0 \pm 0.1$  ms<sup>-1</sup> and relative humidity  $76.2 \pm 0.2$   
308 %. Solar radiation during daylight hours averaged  $313.6 \pm 6.9$  Wm<sup>-2</sup> and there were 34 days of rain  
309 (>0.2mm) with a total rainfall of 122.0 mm.

310

#### 311 **3.2. 3D models and predictions of surface area**

312 A total of 18 scaled 3D models involving 12 individuals were created for animals captured in 2016 (Table  
313 1). The mean surface area measured using photogrammetry and 3D modelling software ( $3.20 \pm 0.08$ m<sup>2</sup>)

314 was higher than that predicted using equation by Innes et al. (1990) ( $2.54 \pm 0.04\text{m}^2$ ). Similarly, mean surface  
315 area in contact with the ground measured using 3D modelling software was  $1.27 \pm 0.04\text{m}^2$ , equivalent to  
316 39.7% of total surface area and greater than an assumed 20% of total surface area used previously ( $0.64 \pm$   
317  $0.02\text{m}^2$ ) (Luecke et al. 1975, Paterson et al. 2012).

318 Model selection during multiple linear regression analyses showed that girth measurements did not explain  
319 a significant amount of the observed variation in surface area. After omission of girth measurements during  
320 the selection process, the final model was  $\log(\text{surface area}) \sim \log(\text{mass}) + \log(\text{length})$ . The explanatory  
321 variable  $\log(\text{mass})$  was also not significant during model selection ( $t(15) = 0.85, p=0.41$ ) but was retained  
322 due to it being the primary explanatory variable of interest. The final model showed that surface area had a  
323 positive relationship with mass, increasing by 0.16 (SE = 0.19) units of  $\log(\text{surface area})$  for every unit of  
324  $\log(\text{mass})$  when controlling for length (Fig. 4). Surface area was related to length, increasing by 1.34 (SE  
325 = 0.40) units of  $\log(\text{surface area})$  for every unit of  $\log(\text{length})$  when controlling for mass (Fig. 5). The  
326 final model explained 59% of the variation in  $\log(\text{surface area})$  overall ( $F = 10.93, df = 2,15, p=0.001$ ).  
327 This final model was then used to predict surface area using mass and length measurements for the animals  
328 captured in all years and not just those for which photogrammetry data were collected. Surface area  
329 predictions from this model were subsequently used for all animals throughout the study period for heat  
330 flux analyses.

331

### 332 **3.3. Heat loss**

333 Of the 23 southern elephant seals in this study, a total of 18 individuals (13 adult females, 4 juvenile females  
334 and 1 juvenile male) were used for measuring heat flux to the environment (Table 1). During model  
335 selection, time since start of anaesthesia until the end of heat flux measurements was not a significant  
336 explanatory variable and was subsequently excluded. The final model after selection was therefore  $\text{RMR}$   
337 multiplier  $\sim$  habitat type + windchill + ground temperature + relative humidity + solar radiation + moult  
338 stage. ANCOVA results showed that RMR multiplier was different between the three habitats sampled ( $F$   
339 = 21.86,  $df = 2,77, p<0.001$ ). Post-hoc Tukey comparisons indicated that the RMR multiplier was greater  
340 for animals on the beach ( $2.3 \pm 0.1$ ) than in both vegetation ( $1.3 \pm 0.1$ ) ( $p<0.001$ ) and wallow ( $1.8 \pm 0.1$ )

341 ( $p < 0.001$ ) habitats and greater in wallows compared with vegetation ( $p = 0.001$ ). Across all habitats, the  
342 mean predicted RMR multiplier was 1.8. There was a decrease in RMR multiplier with increasing windchill  
343 ( $F = 20.53$ ,  $df = 1,77$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), ground temperature ( $F = 19.19$ ,  $df = 1,77$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), relative humidity ( $F$   
344  $= 6.40$ ,  $df = 1,77$ ,  $p = 0.013$ ) and solar radiation ( $F = 4.40$ ,  $df = 1,77$ ,  $p = 0.039$ ). The RMR multiplier increased  
345 ( $F = 4.89$ ,  $df = 1,77$ ,  $p = 0.030$ ) as animals progressed in their moult stage. Mean RMR multiplier with 95%  
346 confidence intervals adjusted for the effects of covariates are presented for each habitat in Fig. 6. The final  
347 model explained 51.4% of the variation in RMR multiplier across the three habitats. The final ANCOVA  
348 model was checked and met assumptions of homogeneity and normal distribution of residual errors.

349

### 350 **3.4. Body surface temperature**

351 A total of 10 adult females were instrumented with temperature loggers with a mean deployment duration  
352 of  $5.1 \pm 0.7$  days (Table 1). A further 14 deployments were attempted but instruments were either quickly  
353 lost due to hair not being strong enough to support device attachment or water damage causing instruments  
354 to fail. During model selection, all explanatory variables were retained, meaning the final GAM model  
355 selected was body surface temperature  $\sim$  smooth(BMI) + moult stage + rate of moult + s (windchill) +  
356 rainfall + s (relative humidity). GAM model predictions showed there to be an overall non-linear decrease  
357 in body surface temperature with decreasing BMI ( $p < 0.001$ ). Animals with a BMI of between 55 and 66  
358  $\text{kg m}^{-2}$  maintained a surface temperature of between  $26.7^\circ\text{C}$  and  $28.8^\circ\text{C}$  which then rapidly declined to  
359  $9.0^\circ\text{C}$  when animals had a BMI of between 47 and  $54 \text{ kg m}^{-2}$  (Fig. 7). Body surface temperature decreased  
360 linearly with increasing moult stage ( $p < 0.001$ ) and rainfall ( $p < 0.001$ ) and increased linearly with increasing  
361 rate of moult ( $p < 0.001$ ). BMI, windchill and relative humidity were all significant smooth terms (all  
362  $p < 0.001$ ) included in the final model. The amount of variability in body surface temperature explained by  
363 the final GAM model was 19.5% indicating that the extent to which external conditions affect surface  
364 temperature is relatively small and that other behavioural/physiological factors may be more important.

365

366

#### 4. Discussion

Southern elephant seals that come ashore to moult initially reside in vegetation before seeking out muddy wallows (Boyd et al. 1993; Chaise et al. 2017) where they aggregate in tight groups (Riedman 1990; Cruwys and Davis 1995). When the moult is nearing completion, they move towards the beach before eventually going to sea (Boyd et al. 1993; Chaise et al. 2017). In this study, animals showed a similar temporal and spatial pattern of behaviour while moulting in that the initial part of the moult was characterised by movements across vegetation towards wallows when initial captures were made. Having completed the moult, animals then left the wallows and moved back through vegetation towards the beach where they would reside for several days before leaving the study site (Chaise et al. 2017). All second captures of animals took place either as animals were in wallows or on the beach as they were getting ready to leave. This study sought to determine possible drivers of these behaviours by examining heat loss in each of the three different habitats and by assessing temperature profiles of animals as body condition decreased during the moult fast.

Heat loss from animals to their surrounding environment resulted in predictions of RMR multiplier that were 38% higher in individuals that had already moved to wallow habitat (1.8 x Kleiber) compared with those that were moving towards wallows through vegetation (1.3 x Kleiber). This may be partly explained by the fact that the largest visual changes in terms of renewing skin and hair occurred while animals were in wallows and so could be considered to be at the peak of moulting. At this time, phocid seals are expected to be maximally perfusing blood to the skin surface which facilitates the renewal of skin and hair (Paterson et al. 2012). Animals captured around wallows may therefore simply have had a higher skin temperature gradient with the surrounding environment because they were at the peak of the moult, resulting in higher heat flux measurements. Conversely, animals moving towards wallow habitat through vegetation had lower heat fluxes. This could have been due to the fact that animals were at an earlier stage in the moult process and so elevation of skin temperature was less evident. Additionally, the thermal properties of vegetation may mean that conduction of heat from the animals to the ground was reduced. Whether heat loss differences were due to substrate type or stage of moult, these findings contradict those of Guerrero et al. (2021) that suggested substrate and moult stage had no effect on surface temperature in southern elephant seals.



395 Heat loss from animals to the surrounding environment resulted in predictions of RMR multiplier that were  
396 77% higher when animals had appeared visually to have completed the moult and moved to beach habitat  
397 (2.3 x Kleiber) compared with vegetation habitat (1.3 x Kleiber), and 28% higher compared with wallow  
398 habitat (1.8 x Kleiber). Visually, study animals appeared to have completed the moult process when they  
399 left wallow and vegetation habitats and arrived at the beach. However, previous studies on other phocid  
400 species have shown that the period during which hair follicles are active extends beyond the point when  
401 visual signs of moulting are apparent (Ashwell-Erickson et al. 1986; Ling 2012). It is therefore likely that  
402 animals captured in the beach habitat were still actively moulting. RMR on the beach, measured at 2.3 x  
403 Kleiber, approached the 2.8 x Kleiber reported by Boyd et al. in 1993 for animals captured at a lower  
404 latitude in South Georgia. The two studies are comparable given that data collected by Boyd et al. (1993)  
405 were also for adult females. However, the average RMR across the three habitats in the present study was  
406 lower overall at 1.8 x Kleiber, which may be partly due to study animals moulting in a less extreme  
407 environment at a higher latitude. It could also be that the higher RMR in the study by Boyd et al. (1993)  
408 was influenced by factors such as a different habitat use or differences in the stage of moult stage. A  
409 limitation of the current study is that moult stage was either categorized as early moult (20-60% skin and  
410 hair shed) during capture or late moult (90-100% skin and hair shed) during recapture. More data with  
411 detailed longitudinal estimates of moult stage, as outlined by de Kock et al. (2021), are required to better  
412 understand the effects of moult stage on metabolic heat loss.

413 Predictions of heat loss expressed as RMR multiplier in different habitats were performed using a modelling  
414 approach that accounted for the effects of environmental variables. Choice of habitat would therefore  
415 appear to influence how heat is lost to the environment in moulting southern elephant seals. Behavioural  
416 adaptations to reduce heat loss are rarely assessed in hauled out phocids, though lair use in ringed seals  
417 (*Phoca hispida*) (Kelly and Quakenbush 1990) represents a rare example. A lack of research in this area  
418 may be due to the thick insulating blubber layer in phocid seals being considered to provide sufficient  
419 insulation in cold environments (Hart and Irving 1959; Hansen et al. 1995). However, the results of this  
420 study suggest that differences in heat loss between habitats was enough to influence where animals chose  
421 to reside at certain stages of the moulting process. Mellish et al. (2015) predicted that in Weddell seals  
422 (*Leptonychotes weddellii*), conduction of heat to the substrate accounted for 28% of total heat loss while  
423 hauled out on ice. In the present study, average conduction of heat to the substrate averaged 37% after

424 accounting for the increased surface area in contact with the ground shown by 3D models. It may therefore  
425 be the case that differential conduction of heat away from animals dependent on substrate type and localised  
426 environmental conditions was a significant driver of habitat choice while animals were moulting. The data  
427 also suggest that the relatively high energetic cost of moulting in southern elephant seals described by Boyd  
428 et al. (1993) may be more evident when animals have established themselves in wallows and when they are  
429 on the beach before heading out to sea.

430 Surface temperature decreased with decreasing BMI between capture and recapture. The body condition  
431 and thickness of blubber of animals that come ashore to begin moulting is expected to be relatively high  
432 and decrease thereafter as the moult proceeds (Slip et al. 1992; Hindell et al. 1994). This is physiologically  
433 important as the blubber layer is the primary means of insulation due to its low thermal conductivity and  
434 high insulative properties when not perfused (Ling 1968; Kvadsheim and Aarseth, 2002). However, the  
435 insulative properties of blubber may be bypassed to some extent through venous return from the skin surface  
436 when animals must lose excess heat (Kvadsheim and Folkow 1997; Mauck et al. 2003). Heat may also be  
437 lost by perfusion of blood through anastomoses at the skin surface to facilitate hair renewal during the moult  
438 (Paterson et al. 2012). Mean mass loss in the present study was  $3.6 \pm 0.3 \text{ kg day}^{-1}$  which was similar to that  
439 found for moulting southern elephant seals in other studies (Carlini et al. 1999; Postma et al. 2013; Chaise  
440 et al. 2019). The fact that body surface temperature decreased at BMI values of less than  $54 \text{ kg m}^{-2}$  suggests  
441 that perfusion of blood to the skin surface was reduced beyond this point. However, it is unlikely that a  
442 lowered BMI and a resultant reduction in blubber layer thickness would occur to the extent that animals  
443 were forced to reduce perfusion of blood to the skin surface as a thermoregulatory response. Lower body  
444 surface temperature at the end of the moult was likely the result of a reduced need to elevate skin  
445 temperature for hair and skin growth. Beyond this point, hair follicles would be expected to remain active  
446 for a further two to three months while at sea (Ling 2012), albeit at a lower level compared to when on land  
447 during peak moulting.

448 Higher body surface temperatures at the beginning and middle of deployments were likely a reflection of  
449 increased perfusion of blood to the skin surface as part of the moult process. This was also hypothesised in  
450 a similar study by Guerrero et al. (2021). Additionally, behavioural changes associated with moulting in  
451 southern elephant seals could have contributed to elevated body surface temperatures. Southern elephant

452 seals huddle during the moult (Riedman 1990; Cruwys and Davis 1995; Chaise et al. 2019) which results  
453 in a large proportion of the body being in contact with other individuals and not the ground or air. The  
454 behavioural adaptation of aggregating (huddling) may be influenced by a number of social factors (Gilbert  
455 et al. 2010) but the main function for southern elephant seals appears to be for behavioural thermoregulation  
456 through sharing body heat with other individuals (Riedman 1990). However, Chaise et al. (2019) found that  
457 stomach and skin temperature were in fact lower in aggregated seals compared with solitary ones. Huddling  
458 animals may be able to reduce core and skin temperature as a means of saving energy while also benefitting  
459 from heat shared between individuals in contact with each other. Animals in the present study were likely  
460 to have been huddling some of the time in wallow habitats and vegetation but unfortunately no behavioural  
461 observations between capture and recapture were undertaken.

462

## 463 **5. Conclusions**

464 This study showed that heat transfer between skin surface and the surrounding environment in southern  
465 elephant seals was dependent on habitat selection. Moving from the haulout beach to aggregate in wallows  
466 or in vegetation may have allowed animals to increase skin surface temperature while minimising heat loss.  
467 The relatively high body condition of animals during the initial stages of moult would have afforded animals  
468 higher energy stores to cope better with the thermoregulatory costs at this time. As the moult proceeded,  
469 body condition declined which was concomitant with a lowering of skin surface temperature. In late moult,  
470 this may have been due to vasoconstriction of anastomoses in the skin to minimise heat loss when moving  
471 back to the beach before heading out to sea. However, the main reason for reduced skin temperatures was  
472 likely a reduced need to perfuse blood to the skin surface as peak moulting had passed. This study provides  
473 valuable insights into the ecophysiology of the catastrophic moult in southern elephant seals, showing that  
474 the behaviour of animals coming onto land and moving from the beach across vegetation and into wallows  
475 influences metabolic heat loss. Longitudinal observations concurrent with sequential heat flux and surface  
476 temperature measurements are recommended as the next step to examine more fully the relationship  
477 between behavioural and physiological adaptations that facilitate the moult process. Further research is also  
478 required to determine differences in the energy cost of the moult with age and sex, particularly to address

479 the lack of data available for adult males. This research may be particularly relevant for southern elephant  
480 seals faced with environmental change in polar regions.

481

## 482 **Acknowledgements**

483 We would particularly like to thank Susan Gallon, Camille Toscani, Pauline Vuarin and Lucas Delalande  
484 for their assistance in fieldwork carried out for this study. The overall study was funded by the Institut  
485 Polaire Français Paul-Emile Victor (IPEV Program 1037 HENERGES), a doctoral fellowship (LC) of  
486 French Ministry of Higher Education and Research (Graduate School 227 of National Museum of Natural  
487 History, University of Pierre and Marie Curie), a doctoral fellowship (WP) of the University of St Andrews  
488 and the Marine Alliance for Science and Technology for Scotland (MASTS) and funding for equipment by  
489 the Carnegie Trust for the Universities of Scotland (DM). Elephant seal work (Program IPEV 1037,  
490 HENERGES) was approved by The Antarctic Committee for Environmental Protection and the Ethic  
491 Committee (Cometh ANSES/ENVA/UPEC n°16: n°14-055 and n°15-061). The program was also  
492 authorised by the French Southern and Antarctic Lands (Decree 2014-131, 15th October 2014; Decree  
493 2015-110, 4th September 2015). All applicable international, national and institutional guidelines for the  
494 care and use of animals were followed. All procedures performed in studies involving animals were in  
495 accordance with the ethical standards of the institutions or practice at which the studies were conducted.

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503 **References**

- 504 Ashwell-Erickson, S., F. H. Fay and R. Elsner. 1986. Metabolic and hormonal correlates of molting and  
505 regeneration of pelage in Alaskan harbor and spotted seals (*Phoca vitulina* and *Phoca larga*).  
506 Canadian Journal of Zoology 64:1086-1094.
- 507 Baker, J. R., M. A. Fedak, S. S. Anderson, T. Arnbom and R. Baker. 1990. Use of a tiletamine-zolazepam  
508 mixture to immobilize wild gray seals and southern elephant seals. Veterinary Record 126:75-77.
- 509 Bester, L. C. and P. J. N. de Bruyn. 2015. Simplifying photogrammetric analysis for assessment of large  
510 mammal mass: Automated targeting and 3D model building. The Photogrammetric Record  
511 30:227-241.
- 512 Boily, P. 1995. Theoretical heat-flux in water and habitat selection of phocid seals and beluga whales during  
513 the annual molt. Journal of Theoretical Biology 172:235-244.
- 514 Boily, P. 1996. Metabolic and hormonal changes during the molt of captive gray seals (*Halichoerus*  
515 *grypus*). American Journal of Physiology-Regulatory Integrative and Comparative Physiology  
516 270:1051-1058.
- 517 Boily, P., P. H. Kvasdheim and L. P. Folkow. 2000. Cutaneous heat flux models do not reliably predict  
518 metabolic rates of marine mammals. Journal of Theoretical Biology 207:317-323.
- 519 Boyd, I., T. Arnbom and M. Fedak. 1993. Water flux, body composition and metabolic rate during molt in  
520 female southern elephant seals (*Mirounga leonina*). Physiological Zoology 66:43-60.
- 521 Canals, M., M. Rosenmann and F. Bozinovic. 1997. Geometrical aspects of the energetic effectiveness of  
522 huddling in small mammals. Acta Theriologica 42:321-328.
- 523 Carlini, A. R., G. A. Daneri, M. E. I. Marquez, H. Bornemann, H. Panarello, R. Casaux, S. Ramdohr and J.  
524 Plotz. 2005. Food consumption estimates of southern elephant seal females during their post-  
525 breeding aquatic phase at King George Island. Polar Biology 28:769-775.
- 526 Carlini, A. R., M. E. I. Marquez, G. A. Daneri and S. Poljak. 1999. Mass changes during their annual cycle  
527 in females of southern elephant seals at King George Island. Polar Biology 21:234-239.
- 528 Chaise, L. L., W. D. Paterson, T. G. Laske, S. L. Gallon, D. J. McCafferty, M. Thery, A. Ancel and C.  
529 Gilbert. 2017. Implantation of subcutaneous heart rate data loggers in southern elephant seals  
530 (*Mirounga leonina*). Polar Biology:1-6.

531 Chaise, L. L., D. J. McCafferty, S. L. Gallon, W. D. Paterson, M. Thery, A. Ancel and C. Gilbert. 2018.  
532 Local weather and body condition influence habitat use and movements on land of molting female  
533 southern elephant seals -(*Mirounga leonina*). Ecology and Evolution 8:6081-6090.

534 Chaise, L. L., D. J. McCafferty, A. Krellenstein, S. L. Gallon, W. D. Paterson, M. Thery, A. Ancel and C.  
535 Gilbert. 2019. Environmental and physiological determinants of huddling behavior of molting  
536 female southern elephant seals (*Mirounga leonina*). Physiology and Behavior 199:182-190.

537 Chapuis, J. L., Y. Frenot and M. Lebouvier. 2004. Recovery of native plant communities after eradication  
538 of rabbits from the subantarctic Kerguelen Islands, and influence of climate change. Biological  
539 Conservation 117:167-179.

540 Cruwys, E. and P. Davis. 1995. The effect of local weather conditions on the behaviour of moulting  
541 southern elephant seals, *Mirounga leonina* (L). Polar Record 31:427-430.

542 de Bruyn, P. J. N., M. N. Bester, A. R. Carlini and W. C. Oosthuizen. 2009. How to weigh an elephant seal  
543 with one finger: a simple three-dimensional photogrammetric application. Aquatic Biology 5:31-  
544 39.

545 de Kock, L., W. C. Oosthuizen, R. S. Beltran, M. N. Bester and P. J. N. de Bruyn. 2021. Determinants of  
546 molt haulout phenology and duration in southern elephant seals. Scientific Reports 11:13331  
547 (2021).

548 Erdsack, N., F. D. Hanke, G. Dehnhardt and W. Hanke. 2012. Control and amount of heat dissipation  
549 through thermal windows in harbor seals (*Phoca vitulina*). Journal of Thermal Biology 37:537-  
550 544.

551 Feltz, E. T. and F. H. Fay. 1966. Thermal requirements in vitro of epidermal cells from seals. Cryobiology  
552 3:261-264.

553 Guerrero, A. I., T. L. Rogers and M. Sepulveda. 2021. Conditions influencing the appearance of thermal  
554 windows and the distribution of surface temperature in hauled-out southern elephant seals.  
555 Conservation Physiology 9: DOI 10.1093/conphys/coaa141.

556 Gilbert, C., D. McCafferty, Y. Le Maho, J.-M. Martrette, S. Giroud, S. Blanc and A. Ancel. 2010. One for  
557 all and all for one: the energetic benefits of huddling in endotherms. Biological Reviews 85:545-  
558 569.

559 Hansen, S., D. M. Lavigne and S. Innes. 1995. Energy metabolism and thermoregulation in juvenile harbor  
560 seals (*Phoca vitulina*) in air. *Physiological Zoology* 68:290-315.

561 Harding, K. C., M. Fujiwara, Y. Axberg and T. Harkonen. 2005. Mass-dependent energetics and survival  
562 in Harbour Seal pups. *Functional Ecology* 19:129-135.

563 Hart, J. S. and L. Irving. 1959. The energetics of harbor seals in air and in water with special consideration  
564 of seasonal changes. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 37:447-457.

565 Hindell, M. A. and H. R. Burton. 1988. Seasonal haulout patterns of the southern elephant seal (*Mirounga*  
566 *leonina*) at Macquarie Island. *Journal of Mammalogy* 69:81-88.

567 Hindell, M. A., D. J. Slip and H. R. Burton. 1994. Body mass loss of molting female southern elephant  
568 seals, *Mirounga leonina*, at Macquarie Island. *Polar Biology* 14:275-278.

569 Hindle, A. G., M. Horning and J. Mellish. 2015. Estimating total body heat dissipation in air and water  
570 from skin surface heat flux telemetry in Weddell Seals. *Animal Biotelemetry* 3:50.

571 Innes, S., G. A. J. Worthy, D. M. Lavigne and K. Ronald. 1990. Surface areas of phocid seals. *Canadian*  
572 *Journal of Zoology* 68:2531-2538.

573 Kelly, B. P. and L. T. Quakenbush. 1990. Spatiotemporal use of lairs by ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*).  
574 *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 68:2503-2512.

575 Khamas, W. A., H. Smodlaka, J. Leach-Robinson and L. Palmer. 2012. Skin histology and its role in heat  
576 dissipation in three pinniped species. *Acta Veterinaria Scandinavica* 54:46 (2012).

577 Kleiber, M. A. X. 1932. Body size and metabolism. *Hilgardia* 6:315-353.

578 Kvadsheim, P. H. and L. P. Folkow. 1997. Blubber and flipper heat transfer in harp seals. *Acta Physiologica*  
579 *Scandinavica* 161:385-395.

580 Kvadsheim, P. H., A. R. L. Gotaas, L. P. Folkow and A. S. Blix. 1997. An experimental validation of heat  
581 loss models for marine mammals. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 184:15-23.

582 Ling, J. K. 1968. The skin and hair of the southern elephant seal *Mirounga leonina*. III Morphology of the  
583 adult integument. *Australian Journal of Zoology* 16:629-645.

584 Ling, J. K. 2012. The skin and hair of the southern elephant seal, *Mirounga leonina* (Linn.). IV. Annual  
585 cycle of pelage follicle activity and moult. *Australian Journal of Zoology* 60:259-271.

586 Luecke, R. H., V. Natarajan and F. E. South. 1975. Mathematical model of California sea lion. *Journal of*  
587 *Thermal Biology* 1:35-45.

588 Mauck, B., K. Bilgmann, D. D. Jones, U. Eysel and G. Dehnhardt. 2003. Thermal windows on the trunk of  
589 hauled-out seals: hot spots for thermoregulatory evaporation? *Journal of Experimental Biology*  
590 206:1727-1738.

591 McCafferty, D. J., S. Gallon and A. Nord. 2015. Challenges of measuring body temperatures of free-ranging  
592 birds and mammals. *Animal Biotelemetry*:DOI 10.1186/s40317-40015-40075-40312.

593 McKnight, J. C. 2017. Counting the Cost of Tagging: Quantifying and Reducing the Behavioural and  
594 Energetic Impacts of Tags in a Large Marine Vertebrate. PhD Thesis. University of St. Andrews,  
595 Scotland, UK.

596 Mellish, J. A., A. Hindle, J. Skinner and M. Horning. 2015. Heat loss in air of an Antarctic marine mammal,  
597 the Weddell seal. *Journal of Comparative Physiology B-Biochemical Systemic and Environmental*  
598 *Physiology* 185:143-152.

599 Nadel, E. R. 1984. Energy exchanges in water. *Undersea Biomedical Research* 11:149-158.

600 Nicolaysen, K., F. A. Frey, K. V. Hodges, D. Weis and A. Giret. 2000. Ar-40/Ar-39 geochronology of flood  
601 basalts from the Kerguelen Archipelago, southern Indian Ocean: implications for Cenozoic  
602 eruption rates of the Kerguelen plume. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 174:313-328.

603 Ostner, J. 2002. Social thermoregulation in redfronted lemurs (*Eulemur fulvus rufus*). *Folia Primatologica*  
604 73:175-180.

605 Paterson, W., C. E. Sparling, D. Thompson, P. P. Pomeroy, J. I. Currie and D. J. McCafferty. 2012. Seals  
606 like it hot: Changes in surface temperature of harbour seals (*Phoca vitulina*) from late pregnancy  
607 to moult. *Journal of Thermal Biology*. 37:454-461.

608 Paterson, W., S. E. Moss, R. Milne, J. I. Currie, D. J. McCafferty and D. Thompson. 2021. Increased  
609 metabolic rate of hauled out harbour seals (*Phoca vitulina*) during the molt. *Physiological and*  
610 *Biochemical Zoology* 94. <https://doi.org/10.1086/713958>.

611 Postma, M., M. N. Bester and N. de Bruyn. 2013. Spatial variation in female southern elephant seal mass  
612 change assessed by an accurate non-invasive photogrammetry method. *Antarctic Science*. 25:731-  
613 740.

614 R Development Core Team. 2017. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation  
615 for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

616 Riedman, M. 1990. *The Pinnipeds, Seals, Sea Lions and Walruses*. University of California Press.



617 Slip, D. J., N. J. Gales and H. R. Burton. 1992. Body mass loss, utilization of blubber and fat, and energetic  
618 requirements of male southern elephant seals, *Mirounga leonina*, during the molting fast.  
619 Australian Journal of Zoology 40:235-243.

620 Thompson, P. and P. Rothery. 1987. Age and sex differences in the timing of molt in the common seal,  
621 *Phoca vitulina*. Journal of Zoology 212:597-603.

622 Thompson, P. M., M. A. Fedak, B. J. McConnell and K. S. Nicholas. 1989. Seasonal and sex-related  
623 variation in the activity patterns of common seals (*Phoca vitulina*). Journal of Applied Ecology  
624 26:521-535.

625 Walcott, S. M., Kirkham, A. L. and Burns, J. M. 2020. Thermoregulatory costs in molting Antarctic  
626 Weddell seals: impacts of physiological and environmental conditions. Conservation Physiology  
627 8: coaa022; doi:10.1093/conphys/coaa02.

628 Watts, P. 1996. The diel hauling-out cycle of harbour seals in an open marine environment: Correlates and  
629 constraints. Journal of Zoology 240:175-200.

630 White, F. N. and D. K. Odell. 1971. Thermoregulatory behavior of northern elephant seal, *Mirounga*  
631 *angustirostris*. Journal of Mammalogy 52:758-774.

632 Wood, S. N. 2004. Stable and efficient multiple smoothing parameter estimation for generalized additive  
633 models. Journal of the American Statistical Association 99:673-686.

634 Worthy, G. A. J., P. A. Morris, D. P. Costa and B. J. Leboeuf. 1992. Molt energetics of the northern elephant  
635 seal (*Mirounga angustirostris*). Journal of Zoology 227:257-265.

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648 **Tables**

649

Animal sex	Date		Mass (kg)		Length (cm)	Girth (cm)		iButton® (°C)	Heat flux (Wm <sup>-2</sup> )	
	Capture	Recapture	Capture	Recapture		Capture	Recapture		Capture	Recapture
9 A ♀	14/01/2014	23/01/2014	313.0	279.6	228	171	164	21.1 ± 0.4	NA	NA
10 A ♀	15/01/2014	22/01/2014	289.4	259.4	230	170	158	19.7 ± 0.3	NA	NA
30 J ♂	24/12/2014	NA	279.0	NA	217	165	NA	NA	78.05 ± 3.86 (V)	NA
31 J ♀	24/12/2014	NA	222.0	NA	212	150	NA	NA	115.67 ± 8.63 (W)	NA
32 J ♀	24/12/2014	NA	268.0	NA	207	170	NA	NA	185.62 ± 4.46 (W)	NA
33 J ♀	24/12/2014	NA	183.0	NA	192	144	NA	NA	167.10 ± 6.67 (B)	NA
34 J ♀	25/12/2014	NA	185.0	NA	166	153	NA	NA	175.15 ± 4.04 (B)	NA
38 A ♀	09/01/2015	12/01/2015	323.0	313.0	222	172	175	26.4 ± 0.1	NA	NA
39 A ♀	09/01/2015	13/01/2015	297.0	284.0	221	165	163	26.8 ± 0.1	NA	NA
40 A ♀	09/01/2014	13/01/2014	259.0	249.0	211	161	160	21.4 ± 0.1	NA	NA
43 A ♀	25/01/2016 (P)	01/02/2016 (P)	298.0	275.0	236	166	152	NA	97.54 ± 1.96 (V)	NA
44 A ♀	25/01/2016 (P)	NA	326.5	NA	247	177	NA	NA	96.00 ± 2.15 (V)	NA
45 A ♀	27/01/2016 (P)	02/02/2016 (P)	319.0	300.5	218	177	169	23.9 ± 0.1	126.66 ± 3.48 (V)	NA
47 A ♀	27/01/2016 (P)	02/02/2016 (P)	298.5	288.5	231	158	168	26.2 ± 0.1	NA	161.94 ± 1.66 (B)
50 A ♀	27/01/2016 (P)	01/02/2016 (P)	286.0	273.0	237	136	155	25.8 ± 0.1	93.51 ± 2.38 (V)	NA
51 A ♀	27/01/2016	31/01/2016 (P)	313.5	299.0	226	163	174	23.3 ± 0.1	200.38 ± 2.06 (V)	NA
52 A ♀	28/01/2016 (P)	02/02/2016 (P)	265.5	250.5	230	154	167	NA	103.54 ± 4.72 (W)	NA
53 A ♀	28/01/2016 (P)	NA	284.0	NA	227	170	NA	NA	134.07 ± 6.50 (V)	NA
54 A ♀	28/01/2016 (P)	01/02/2016	322.5	311.0	236	176	172	27.4 ± 0.1	165.23 ± 1.36 (V)	NA
56 A ♀	16/02/2016	22/02/2016 (P)	308.5	290	228	170	171	NA	NA	277.22 ± 4.18 (B)
57 A ♀	17/02/2016 (P)	NA	420.0	NA	250	193	NA	NA	NA	145.18 ± 2.93 (W)
58 A ♀	17/02/2016 (P)	25/02/2016 (P)	265.5	248.0	203	210	165	NA	132.82 ± 1.81 (W)	128.86 ± 3.11 (B)
59 A ♀	21/02/2016	26/02/2016	NA	383.5	253	NA	187	NA	146.39 ± 1.75 (B)	NA

**Table 1.** Sex and age class are indicated in individual codes (A = adult, J= juvenile). Mass, length and girth measurements as well as mean ± SE heat flux (Wm<sup>-2</sup>) are shown for each individual on the date of capture and recapture. Also given are mean ± SE iButton® (°C) measurements over the course of deployment. Habitats in which captures took place are indicated next to heat flux values as B=beach, W=wallow and V=vegetation. P next to dates indicates 3D photogrammetry. NA values indicate no data were collected.

650

Environmental measure	Habitat		
	Vegetation	Beach	Wallow
Air temperature (°C)	8.8 (0.3)	9.2 (0.3)	11.7 (1.1)
Ground temperature (°C)	7.4 (0.1)	10.5 (0.3)	9.5 (0.2)
Relative humidity (%)	76.5 (1.8)	81.5 (1.5)	77.2 (1.0)
Wind speed (ms <sup>-1</sup> )	5.5 (0.7)	5.7 (1.0)	10.6 (1.9)
Solar radiation (Wm <sup>-2</sup> )	211.2 (42.5)	260.6 (43.1)	568.1 (76.9)

651 **Table 2.** Mean ± SE of environmental conditions recorded using handheld instruments during captures in each of  
652 the three habitats: vegetation, beach and wallow.

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672 **Figure Captions**

673

674 **Fig. 1.** Placement of heat flux disc on the dorsal midline posterior to the axial line between the fore flippers to  
675 measure heat flux from the animal to the air. Heat flux to the ground was measured with a heat flux disc placed  
676 on the ventral midline (not shown) directly opposite to the dorsal surface.

677 **Fig. 2.** 3D models using the software package AutoDesk® Photo Recap™ to measure surface area of animals in  
678 contact with the air and with the ground. From top to bottom models shown are for each of the three habitats:  
679 vegetation (Individual 45 at recapture), beach (Individual 56 at recapture) and wallow (Individual 58 at capture).

680 **Fig. 3.** Location of iButtons® placed on the flank of animals, posterior to the fore flipper. iButtons® were  
681 covered with a combination of fine nylon mesh and a layer of two-part epoxy. Also attached on the dorsal  
682 surface were a GPS transmitter and a VHF transmitter for locating animals.

683 **Fig. 4.** Partial residual plot of log (area) against log (mass). The black solid line is the predicted linear  
684 relationship between log (area) and log (mass) with 95% confidence intervals shaded grey. Points represent the  
685 prediction of log (area) dependent on log (mass) for each capture. The predicted linear relationship is given after  
686 accounting for the other explanatory variable used, which in this plot was log (length).

687 **Fig. 5.** Partial residual plot of log (area) over log(length). The black solid line is the predicted linear relationship  
688 between log (area) and log(length) with 95% confidence intervals shaded grey. Points represent the prediction of  
689 log (area) dependent on log (length) for each capture. The predicted linear relationship is given after accounting  
690 for the other explanatory variable used, which in this plot was log (mass).

691 **Fig. 6.** ANCOVA predictions of corrected mean multiple of resting metabolic rate (RMR multiplier) according  
692 to allometric equations by Kleiber (1932) (left y-axis) in three different habitats; vegetation, wallow and beach  
693 (x-axis) based on heat flux calculations. Also shown are predicted values for RMR multiplier converted to kcal  
694 day<sup>-1</sup> (right y-axis). 95% confidence intervals of corrected means are also shown.

695 **Fig. 7.** Predictions (solid black line) of body surface temperature (°C) (y-axis) dependent on body mass index  
696 (BMI (kgm<sup>-2</sup>)) (x-axis). 95% confidence intervals of predictions (grey) are also shown. Black circles indicate  
697 mean body surface temperature for each value of BMI.

698