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#### Abstract

Let $E / \mathbb{Q}$ be an elliptic curve with full rational 2 torsion. As $d$ varies over squarefree integers, we study the behaviour of the quadratic twists $E_{d}$ over a fixed quadratic extension $K / \mathbb{Q}$. We prove that for $100 \%$ of twists the dimension of the 2-Selmer group over $K$ is given by an explicit local formula, and use this to show that this dimension follows an Erdős-Kac type distribution. This is in stark contrast to the distribution of the dimension of the corresponding 2-Selmer groups over $\mathbb{Q}$, and this discrepancy allows us to determine the distribution of the 2 -torsion in the Shafarevich-Tate groups of the $E_{d}$ over $K$ also. As a consequence of our methods we prove that, for $100 \%$ of twists $d$, the action of $\operatorname{Gal}(K / \mathbb{Q})$ on the 2 -Selmer group of $E_{d}$ over $K$ is trivial, and the Mordell-Weil group $E_{d}(K)$ splits integrally as a direct sum of its invariants and anti-invariants. On the other hand, we give examples of thin families of quadratic twists in which a positive proportion of the 2 Selmer groups over $K$ have non-trivial $\operatorname{Gal}(K / \mathbb{Q})$-action, illustrating that these previous results are genuinely statistical phenomena.
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## 1 | INTRODUCTION

Let $E / \mathbb{Q}$ be an elliptic curve with $E[2] \subseteq E(\mathbb{Q})$, and consider the family of quadratic twists of $E$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ :

$$
\left\{E_{d}: d \in \mathbb{Z} \text { squarefree }\right\} .
$$

Let $K / \mathbb{Q}$ be a quadratic extension. In this paper, as $d$ varies we study the 2-Selmer groups $\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / K\right)$ of $E_{d}$ over $K$.

## 1.1 | Erdős-Kac for 2-Selmer

Our first result, strongly reminiscent of the Erdős-Kac theorem [6], shows that the distribution of the quantity

$$
\frac{\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / K\right)-\log \log |d|}{\sqrt{2 \log \log |d|}}
$$

is standard normal. That is, we have the following theorem.
Theorem 1.1 (Corollary 6.6). For every $z \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$
\lim _{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\left\{|d| \leqslant X \text { squarefree }: \frac{\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / K\right)-\log \log |d|}{\sqrt{2 \log \log |d|}} \leqslant z\right\}}{\#\{|d| \leqslant X \text { squarefree }\}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{z} e^{-t^{2} / 2} d t
$$

One immediate consequence is that, for any fixed real number $z$, the proportion of $|d| \leqslant X$ for which $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / K\right)$ is smaller than $z$ tends to 0 as $X$ tends to infinity. We present this as Corollary 5.11.

By contrast, if we assume that $E$ has no cyclic 4-isogeny defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, it is a result of Kane [16], building on work of Heath-Brown [13] and Swinnerton-Dyer [36], that for any fixed interger
$n \geqslant 2$ a positive proportion of twists $d$ have $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ equal to $n$. Thus, Theorem 1.1 shows that the groups $\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / K\right)$ exhibit significantly different behaviour to the corresponding groups over $\mathbb{Q}$. As a consequence of this discrepancy, we are able to show that, at least when $E$ has no cyclic 4 -isogeny defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, Theorem 1.1 remains true when $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / K\right)$ is replaced by $\operatorname{dim} \amalg\left(E_{d} / K\right)[2]$ in the statement. We present this alternative perspective as Corollary 6.7.

The distribution in Theorem 1.1 does show up in a slightly different setting over $\mathbb{Q}$ however, and is the same form as that appearing in work of Klagsbrun-Lemke Oliver [18] and Xiong-Zaharescu [39] concerning the distribution of 2-isogeny Selmer groups in quadratic twist families of certain elliptic curves. We discuss a precise analogy explaining this similarity in Section 1.3.

## $1.2 \mid$ Structural results for $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ of twists

The growth of the 2 -Selmer group when passing from $\mathbb{Q}$ to $K$ apparent in Theorem 1.1 can be explained by work of $\operatorname{Kramer}$ [20]. Write $G=\operatorname{Gal}(K / \mathbb{Q})$ for the Galois group of $K$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. For any elliptic curve $E^{\prime} / \mathbb{Q}$, the 2 -Selmer group of $E^{\prime}$ over $K$ is naturally a $G$-module. Roughly speaking, the work of Kramer identifies a quotient of the invariant subgroup $\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E^{\prime} / K\right)^{G}$ whose dimension is controlled by purely local invariants (this is implicit in [20, Theorem 1], see also Lemmas 4.5 and 4.6). This is analogous to the situation for class groups of quadratic fields where the dimension of the 2 -torsion of the (narrow) class group admits an explicit description via genus theory.

To prove Theorem 1.1 we study, as $d$ varies, the discrepancy between the 'systematic' part of the 2-Selmer group $\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / K\right)$ alluded to above, and the full 2-Selmer group. Ultimately, Theorem 1.1 is a consequence of the following result, giving a precise description of the full 2-Selmer group for $100 \%$ of twists.

Notation 1.2. For each place $v$ of $\mathbb{Q}$, and any place $w$ of $K$ extending $v$, define the local norm map

$$
N_{K_{w} / \mathbb{Q}_{v}}: E\left(K_{w}\right) \longrightarrow E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}\right)
$$

by the formula

$$
N_{K_{w} / \mathbb{Q}_{v}}(P)=\sum_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{w} / \mathbb{Q}_{v}\right)} \sigma(P)
$$

Theorem 1.3 (Corollary 6.4). For $100 \%$ of squarefree $d$ ordered by absolute value, the $\operatorname{Gal}(K / \mathbb{Q})$ action on $\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / K\right)$ is trivial, and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / K\right)=-2+\sum_{v \text { place of } \mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{dim} E_{d}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}\right) / N_{K_{w} / \mathbb{Q}_{v}} E_{d}\left(K_{w}\right) . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $N_{K_{w} / \mathbb{Q}_{v}}$ is defined as in Notation 1.2. Since $E_{d}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}\right) / N_{K_{w} / \mathbb{Q}_{v}} E_{d}\left(K_{w}\right)$ is trivial ifv splits in $K$, the right-hand side of (1.4) does not depend on the choice of $w \mid v$.

Remark 1.5. In Section 5, we study the behaviour of the right-hand side of (1.4). Even when $E$ does not have all its 2-torsion defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, we are still able to use this to gain partial control of the Selmer groups $\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / K\right)$ as $d$ varies. In particular, provided that $\mathbb{Q}(E[2]) \cap K=\mathbb{Q}$ we show in

Corollary 5.11 that for any fixed real number $z$, the dimension of $\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / K\right)$ exceeds $z$ for $100 \%$ of twists $d$. For the remainder of the introduction however, we continue to assume $E[2] \subseteq E(\mathbb{Q})$.

Remark 1.6. The statement of Theorem 1.3 is very reminiscent of a recent result of Fouvry-Koymans-Pagano [7]. There it is shown that, for $100 \%$ of odd positive squarefree integers $n$, the class group of the Dirichlet biquadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n}, i)$ has 4-rank equal to $\omega_{3}(n)-1$, where $\omega_{3}(n)$ is the number of primes dividing $n$ that are congruent to 3 modulo 4 (that is, are inert in $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ ). The similarity with the statement of Theorem 1.3 is made apparent by Proposition 5.6. This can be viewed as an instance of the known analogy between 4-ranks of class groups and 2-Selmer ranks of elliptic curves apparent in the works of Heath-Brown [12, 13] and Fouvry-Klüners [8], and extended to higher 2-power ranks in the recent work of Smith [34].

It is natural to ask if the description in Theorem 1.3 simply holds for all $d$. This is, however, not the case. We discuss examples where the Galois action is non-trivial in Section 1.4.

Since the group $E_{d}(K) / 2 E_{d}(K)$ sits inside $\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / K\right)$, we can deduce some consequences for Mordell-Weil groups from the above results. Specifically, for a squarefree integer $d$, write $\Lambda\left(E_{d} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ for the finite rank free $\mathbb{Z}$-module given by the quotient of $E_{d}(\mathbb{Q})$ by its torsion subgroup:

$$
\Lambda\left(E_{d} / \mathbb{Q}\right)=E_{d}(\mathbb{Q}) / E_{d}(\mathbb{Q})_{\text {tors }} .
$$

We view this as a $G$-module with trivial action. Write $\Lambda\left(E_{d} / \mathbb{Q}\right)(-1)$ for the $G$-module with underlying abelian group $\Lambda\left(E_{d} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ on which the generator of $G$ acts as multiplication by -1 . Further, write $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\theta})$. We have the following result, giving a complete description of the $G$-module structure of $E_{d}(K)$ for $100 \%$ of $d$.

Theorem 1.7 (Corollary 6.13). For $100 \%$ of squarefree d ordered by absolute value, we have an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-modules

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{d}(K) \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2} \oplus \Lambda\left(E_{d} / \mathbb{Q}\right) \oplus \Lambda\left(E_{d \theta} / \mathbb{Q}\right)(-1) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where here $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$ carries trivial $G$-action.

## 1.3 | Twists of the Weil restriction of scalars

Write $A=\operatorname{Res}_{K / \mathbb{Q}} E$ for the Weil restriction of scalars of $E$ from $K$ to $\mathbb{Q}$. This is a principally polarised abelian surface over $\mathbb{Q}$. For each squarefree integer $d$ we have (see Lemma 4.20)

$$
\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / K\right) \cong \operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(A_{d} / \mathbb{Q}\right)
$$

In particular, we can view Theorem 1.1 as giving the distribution of 2-Selmer groups in the quadratic twist family over $\mathbb{Q}$ of the abelian surface $A$. We state this formally as Theorem 6.15.

It is also possible to use this perspective to draw parallels between our work and existing work in the literature. Specifically, we show in Section 4.3 that for each $d$, the twist $A_{d}$ admits an isogeny $\phi_{d}: A_{d} \rightarrow E_{d} \times E_{d \theta}$ whose kernel is a subgroup of $A_{d}[2]$. The order of the Selmer group $\operatorname{Sel}^{\phi_{d}}\left(A_{d} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ associated to $\phi_{d}$ is then, up to a quantity bounded independent of $d$, a lower bound
for the size of the Selmer group $\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(A_{d} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$. In turn, writing $\widehat{\phi_{d}}$ for the dual isogeny, a lower bound for the size of $\operatorname{Sel}^{\phi_{d}}\left(A_{d} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ is given by the Tamagawa ratio

$$
\mathcal{T}\left(\phi_{d}\right)=\frac{\left|\operatorname{Sel}^{\phi_{d}}\left(A_{d} / \mathbb{Q}\right)\right|}{\left|\operatorname{Sel}^{\widehat{\phi}_{d}}\left(E_{d} \times E_{d \theta} / \mathbb{Q}\right)\right|} .
$$

For any isogeny between abelian varieties, the Tamagawa ratio is known to admit a local formula, and in our case this is essentially given by the right-hand side of (1.4) (see Section 4.3 for details). Consequently, one explanation for the unbounded growth of $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / K\right)$ seen in Theorem 1.1 is that the Tamagawa ratios $\mathcal{J}\left(\phi_{d}\right)$ tend to grow with $d$. Similarly, growth of the relevant Tamagawa ratios is the phenomenon underlying the behaviour of 2-isogeny Selmer groups of quadratic twist families of certain elliptic curves seen in work of Klagsbrun-Lemke Oliver [18] and XiongZaharescu [39]. Thus, the behaviour we uncover can be viewed as an extension of those works to a special class of abelian surfaces.

## 1.4 | Prime twists of the congruent number curve

As a complement to our main results, we provide examples of thin subfamilies of quadratic twists in which significantly different behaviour occurs to that exhibited by the full family. Specifically, take $E$ to be the congruent number curve:

$$
E: y^{2}=x^{3}-x
$$

Further, take $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\theta})$ to be an imaginary quadratic extension of class number 1 in which 2 is inert. Thus, $\theta \in\{-3,-11,-19,-43,-67,-163\}$. For a prime $p$, define non-negative integers $e_{1}\left(E_{p} / K\right)$ and $e_{2}\left(E_{p} / K\right)$ such that we have an $\mathbb{F}_{2}[G]$-module isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{p} / K\right) \cong \mathbb{F}_{2}^{e_{1}\left(E_{p} / K\right)} \oplus \mathbb{F}_{2}[G]^{e_{2}\left(E_{p} / K\right)}
$$

Theorem 1.9 (Theorem 9.13). The natural density of primes $p$ for which $e_{1}\left(E_{p} / K\right)=e_{1}$ and $e_{2}\left(E_{p} / K\right)=e_{2}$ is as follows:

$$
\lim _{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\left\{p \leqslant X \text { prime }: e_{1}\left(E_{p} / K\right)=e_{1} \text { and } e_{2}\left(E_{p} / K\right)=e_{2}\right\}}{\#\{p \leqslant X \text { prime }\}}= \begin{cases}9 / 16 & \text { if }\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)=(4,0) \\ 1 / 16 & \text { if }\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)=(2,2), \\ 4 / 16 & \text { if }\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)=(2,1), \\ 2 / 16 & \text { if }\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)=(2,0) .\end{cases}
$$

In particular, the proportion of prime twists for which the $G$-action on $\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{p} / K\right)$ is non-trivial is equal to 5/16.

### 1.5 Overview of the proofs

The proofs of the results outlined above require a combination of algebraic and analytic methods. Where possible we have tried to decouple these, so that the algebraic results stand alone.

The algebraic work is largely carried out in Setions 4 and 7, and is based on work of Kramer [20]. For a squarefree integer $d$, a key role in our results is played by the group $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E_{d}[2]\right)$ of Definition 4.1. This is a subgroup of the 2 -Selmer group $\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ of $E_{d}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. Our key algebraic result is Corollary 4.8 which shows that the Selmer group $\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / K\right)$ admits the explicit description of Theorem 1.3 as soon as this auxiliary Selmer group $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E_{d}[2]\right)$ vanishes.

The main statistical theorems of the paper then depend on proving Theorem 6.1, which shows that $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E_{d}[2]\right)$ is trivial for $100 \%$ of $d$. To do this we draw on analytic techniques developed by Heath-Brown, and used to determine the distribution of the 2-Selmer groups of quadratic twists of the congruent number curve [12,13]. That work takes as a point of departure the explicit description of 2-Selmer groups of elliptic curves with full 2-torsion provided by 2-descent. In Proposition 7.8, we similarly give an explicit description of $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E_{d}[2]\right)$ as a subgroup of $\left(\mathbb{Q}^{\times} / \mathbb{Q}^{\times 2}\right)^{2}$.

In fact, for the analytic part of the argument we have opted to replace $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E_{d}[2]\right)$ with a certain subgroup $S_{d}$ of $\mathbb{Q}^{\times} / \mathbb{Q}^{\times 2}$ (see Definition 8.5 ) whose vanishing implies the vanishing of $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E_{d}[2]\right)$, but which admits a simpler explicit description. In Section 8.5, we give a formula for the order of $S_{d}$ as a sum of Jacobi symbols in a form which can be treated by the analytic tools of Heath-Brown mentioned above. An alternative method at this point might be to draw on the alternative approaches of Kane [16] or Smith [34].

It is worth remarking that the passage from $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E_{d}[2]\right)$ to $S_{d}$ is somewhat wasteful. By following the work of Heath-Brown [12] more closely, one can similarly describe the order of $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E_{d}[2]\right)$ as a sum of Jacobi symbols. This would likely lead to significant improvements to the error bounds in Theorem 6.1. We have opted not to do this in favour of working with the simpler and more explicit sums arising from $S_{d}$. In this respect, the resulting analysis is much closer to that carried out by Fouvry-Klüners in [8] to determine the distribution of 4-ranks of class groups of quadratic fields.

## 1.6 | Layout of the paper

In Section 2, we introduce some notation that will be in use throughout.
In Section 3, we review some basic properties of Selmer structures and their associated Selmer groups which we use in later sections.

In Section 4, we study algebraically the behaviour of 2-Selmer groups of elliptic curves in quadratic extensions, building on work of Kramer [20]. Along the way we give two reinterpretations of Kramer's work, one in the language of Selmer structures, and another in terms of the Weil restriction of scalars.

In Section 5, we study the analytic properties of the function $g(d)$ of Notation 5.5 (essentially the right-hand side of (1.4)) which gives a lower bound for $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / K\right)$. In particular, we show in Proposition 5.8 that $g(d)$ follows an Erdős-Kac type distribution.

In Section 6, we state our main technical result, Theorem 6.1, on the vanishing of the auxiliary Selmer group $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E_{d}[2]\right)$ for $100 \%$ of twists $d$. From this we deduce Theorems 1.1, 1.3 and 1.7, along with related results.

The proof of Theorem 6.1 is carried out across Sections 7 and 8. In Section 7, we give some algebraic preliminaries. In Section 8, we build on this by describing the order of $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E_{d}[2]\right)$ as a sum of Jacobi symbols, before following closely the strategy of [8, Section 5] to study the behaviour of these sums as $d$ varies.

In Section 9, we prove Theorem 1.9 concerning the behaviour over certain quadratic extensions of the 2 -Selmer groups of prime twists of the congruent number curve.

## 2 | NOTATION AND CONVENTIONS

In this section, we detail some notation and conventions which will be used throughout the paper.

## 2.1 | Arithmetic functions

Given a positive integer $n$ we write $\omega(n)$ for the number of distinct prime factors of $n$. We denote by $\mu$ the Möbius function, and for coprime integers $m$ and $n$ with $n$ odd and positive, we write $\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)$ for the corresponding Jacobi symbol.

## 2.2 | Galois cohomology

For a field $F$ of characteristic 0 , we write $\bar{F}$ for a (fixed once and for all) algebraic closure of $F$, and denote its absolute Galois group by $G_{F}=\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{F} / F)$. For a positive integer $n$ we write $\mu_{n}$ for the $G_{F}$-module of $n$th roots of unity in $\bar{F}$, and write $\mu=\cup_{n \geqslant 1} \mu_{n}$.

By a $G_{F}$-module $M$ we mean a discrete module $M$ on which $G_{F}$ acts continuously. For $i \geqslant 0$ we write $H^{i}(F, M)$ as a shorthand for the continuous cohomology groups $H^{i}\left(G_{F}, M\right)$. We define the dual of $M$ to be

$$
M^{*}:=\operatorname{Hom}(M, \mu)
$$

This is a $G_{F}$-module with action given by setting, for $\sigma \in G_{F}$ and $\phi \in M^{*}$,

$$
{ }^{\sigma} \phi(m)=\sigma \phi\left(\sigma^{-1} m\right) .
$$

For $i \geqslant 0$, if $L / F$ is a finite extension we denote the corresponding restriction and corestriction maps by

$$
\operatorname{res}_{L / F}: H^{i}(F, M) \rightarrow H^{i}(L, M)
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{cor}_{L / F}: H^{i}(L, M) \rightarrow H^{i}(F, M),
$$

respectively. When $L / F$ is Galois and the action of $G_{F}$ on $M$ factors through $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$, we write $H^{i}(L / F, M)$ as a shorthand for the cohomology group $H^{i}(\operatorname{Gal}(L / F), M)$.

## 2.3 | Number fields and completions

For a number field $F$ and a place $v$ of $F$, we write $F_{v}$ for the completion of $F$ at $v$. We implicitly fix embeddings $\bar{F} \hookrightarrow \bar{F}_{v}$ for each place $v$ and in this way view $G_{F_{v}}$ as a subgroup of $G_{F}$ for each
$v$. In this way, for a $G_{F}$-module $M$, we obtain restriction maps on cohomology res ${ }_{v}: H^{i}(F, M) \rightarrow$ $H^{i}\left(F_{v}, M\right)$ for each $v$. When $v$ is non-archimedean we denote by $F_{v}^{\mathrm{nr}}$ the maximal unramified extension of $F_{v}$, and write

$$
H_{\mathrm{nr}}^{1}\left(F_{v}, M\right):=\operatorname{ker}\left(H^{1}\left(F_{v}, M\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{res}} H^{1}\left(F_{v}^{\mathrm{nr}}, M\right)\right)
$$

for the subgroup of unramified classes in $H^{1}\left(F_{v}, M\right)$.

## 2.4 | The Kummer image for abelian varieties

Still taking $F$ to be a number field, for an abelian variety $A$ over $F$, and for a place $v$ of $F$, we denote by $\mathscr{S}\left(A / F_{v}\right)$ the image of the coboundary map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{v}: A\left(F_{v}\right) / 2 A\left(F_{v}\right) \hookrightarrow H^{1}\left(F_{v}, A[2]\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

arising from the short exact sequence of $G_{F_{v}}$-modules

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow A[2] \longrightarrow A\left(\bar{F}_{v}\right) \xrightarrow{2} A\left(\bar{F}_{v}\right) \longrightarrow 0 . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2.5 | Quadratic twists

For a field $F$ of characteristic 0 , and for an element $d$ of $F^{\times} / F^{\times 2}$, we write $\chi_{d}$ for the associated quadratic character. Thus, $\chi_{d}$ is the function from $G_{F}$ to $\{ \pm 1\}$ defined by, for $\sigma \in G_{F}$, the formula

$$
\chi_{d}(\sigma)=\sigma(\sqrt{d}) / \sqrt{d}
$$

Given an abelian variety $A$ over $F$ we write $A_{d}$ for the quadratic twist of $A$ by $d$. That is, $A_{d}$ is an abelian variety over $F$, equipped with an $\bar{F}$-isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{d}: A \xrightarrow{\sim} A_{d} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that for all $\sigma$ in $G_{F}, \psi_{d}^{-1} \sigma^{\sigma} \psi_{d}$ is multiplication by $\chi_{d}(\sigma)$ on $A$. Here ${ }^{\sigma} \psi_{d}$ is the unique isomorphism $A \rightarrow A_{d}$ sending any $P \in A(\bar{F})$ to $\sigma \psi_{d}\left(\sigma^{-1} P\right)$. Note in particular that $\psi_{d}$ is defined over $F(\sqrt{d})$, so that $A$ is isomorphic to $A_{d}$ over $F(\sqrt{d})$.

## 3 | SELMER STRUCTURES

In this section, we review the properties of Selmer structures and their associated Selmer groups which will be used later. For details see, for example, [25, 37], and the references therein.

Throughout this section, we take $F$ to be a number field. We take $M$ to be a finite $G_{F}$-module annihilated by 2 , so that $M$ is a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_{2}$-vector space. All dimensions will be taken over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$.

## 3.1 | Local duality

For each place $v$ of $F$, we have the local Tate pairing

$$
\langle,\rangle_{v}: H^{1}\left(F_{v}, M\right) \times H^{1}\left(F_{v}, M^{*}\right) \longrightarrow H^{2}\left(F_{v}, \mu_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Br}\left(F_{v}\right)[2] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}
$$

given by the composition of cup-product and the local invariant map.

Theorem 3.1 (Tate local duality). For each place $v$ of $F$ the pairing $\langle,\rangle_{v}$ is non-degenerate. Moreover, for each non-archimedean place $v \nmid 2$ such that the inertia group $I_{F_{v}}$ acts trivially on $M, H_{\mathrm{nr}}^{1}\left(F_{v}, M\right)$ and $H_{\mathrm{nr}}^{1}\left(F_{v}, M^{*}\right)$ are orthogonal complements under this pairing.

Proof. See [29, Corollary 7.2.6] for non-archimedean $v$ and [29, Theorem 7.2.17] for archimedean $v$. The claim concerning the unramified subspaces is [29, Theorem 7.2.15].

Example 3.2. Take $M=\mu_{2}$, which is self-dual. For each place $v$ of $F$, Kummer theory gives a canonical isomorphism $H^{1}\left(F_{v}, \mu_{2}\right) \cong F_{v}^{\times} / F_{v}^{\times 2}$ (and we have the corresponding isomorphism globally also). For any non-archimedean place $v \nmid 2$ of $F$ we have

$$
H_{\mathrm{nr}}^{1}\left(F_{v}, \mu_{2}\right)=\mathcal{O}_{F_{v}}^{\times} / \mathcal{O}_{F_{v}}^{\times 2} \subseteq F_{v}^{\times} / F_{v}^{\times 2}
$$

The local Tate pairing

$$
F_{v}^{\times} / F_{v}^{\times 2} \times F_{v}^{\times} / F_{v}^{\times 2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}
$$

is the Hilbert symbol $(x, y) \mapsto(x, y)_{v} \in\{ \pm 1\} \cong \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z} / \mathbb{Z}$.

## 3.2 | Selmer structures

Definition 3.3. A Selmer structure $\mathcal{L}=\left\{\mathcal{L}_{v}\right\}_{v}$ for $M$ is a collection of subspaces

$$
\mathcal{L}_{v} \subseteq H^{1}\left(F_{v}, M\right)
$$

for each place $v$ of $F$, such that $\mathcal{L}_{v}=H_{\mathrm{nr}}^{1}\left(F_{v}, M\right)$ for all but finitely many places. The associated Selmer group $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathcal{L}}(F, M)$ is defined by the exactness of

$$
0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Sel}_{\mathcal{L}}(F, M) \longrightarrow H^{1}(F, M) \longrightarrow \prod_{v \text { place of } F} H^{1}\left(F_{v}, M\right) / \mathcal{L}_{v}
$$

It is a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_{2}$-vector space.
For each place $v$ we write $\mathcal{L}_{v}^{*}$ for the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{L}_{v}$ under the local Tate pairing, so that $\mathcal{L}_{v}^{*}$ is a subspace of $H^{1}\left(F_{v}, M^{*}\right)$. We define the dual Selmer structure $\mathcal{L}^{*}$ for $M^{*}$ by taking $\mathcal{L}^{*}=\left\{\mathcal{L}_{v}^{*}\right\}_{v}$. We refer to $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathcal{L}^{*}}(F, M)$ as the dual Selmer group.

## 3.3 | The Greenberg-Wiles formula

The following theorem due to Greenberg and Wiles describes the difference in dimension between a Selmer group and its dual.

Theorem 3.4. Let $\mathcal{L}=\left\{\mathcal{L}_{v}\right\}_{v}$ be a Selmer structure for $M$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Sel}_{\mathcal{L}}(F, M)-\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Sel}_{\mathcal{L}^{*}}\left(F, M^{*}\right) \\
&=\operatorname{dim} M^{G_{F}}-\operatorname{dim}\left(M^{*}\right)^{G_{F}}+\sum_{v \text { place of } F}\left(\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{L}_{v}-\operatorname{dim} M^{G_{F_{v}}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. This is [38, Proposition 1.6]. See also [37, Theorem 2].

Example 3.5 (The 2-Selmer group of an elliptic curve). Let $E / F$ be an elliptic curve. For each place $v$ of $F$ we have the Kummer image

$$
\mathscr{S}\left(E / F_{v}\right) \subseteq H^{1}\left(F_{v}, E[2]\right)
$$

defined in Section 2.4. The collection $\mathscr{S}=\left\{\mathscr{S}\left(E / F_{v}\right)\right\}_{v}$ defines a Selmer structure for $E$ [2]. This is a consequence of the fact that, for a non-archimedean place $v \nmid 2$ of $F$ at which $E$ has good reduction, we have

$$
\mathscr{S}\left(E / F_{v}\right)=H_{\mathrm{nr}}^{1}\left(F_{v}, E[2]\right) .
$$

Using the Weil pairing (, $)_{e_{2}}: E[2] \times E[2] \rightarrow \mu_{2}$ to identify $E[2]$ with its dual, the resulting Selmer structure is self-dual (see, for example, [30, Proposition 4.10]). That is, each $\mathscr{S}\left(E / F_{v}\right)$ is a maximal isotropic subspace of $H^{1}\left(F_{v}, E[2]\right)$ with respect to the local Tate pairing. We note that Theorem 3.4 gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{v \text { place of } F}\left(\operatorname{dim} E\left(F_{v}\right) / 2 E\left(F_{v}\right)-\operatorname{dim} E\left(F_{v}\right)[2]\right)=0 . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can also give an elementary proof of this by computing the local terms individually (see, for example, [31, Proposition 3.9]).

## 4 | 2-SELMER GROUPS OVER QUADRATIC EXTENSIONS

For the rest of the paper, we fix a quadratic extension $K / \mathbb{Q}$. Write $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\theta})$ for a squarefree integer $\theta$, and write $G=\operatorname{Gal}(K / \mathbb{Q})$. Moreover, we fix an elliptic curve $E / \mathbb{Q}$. Note that at this point we make no assumption on the 2 -torsion of $E$. In later sections (Section 6 onwards) it will be necessary to reduce to the case of full 2-torsion but we shall be clear when this restriction is made. Denote by $\operatorname{Sel}^{2}(E / K)$ the 2-Selmer group of $E / K$. The conjugation action of $G$ on $H^{1}(K, E[2])$ makes $\operatorname{Sel}^{2}(E / K)$ into an $\mathbb{F}_{2}[G]$-module.

The structure of $\operatorname{Sel}^{2}(E / K)$ has been studied by Kramer in [20]. In this section, since it will be useful for what follows, we give a reinterpretation of part of this work in the language of Selmer
structures (see also work of Mazur-Rubin [23, 26] for a similar perspective). The results in this section can be adapted in a straightforward way to general quadratic extensions of number fields (and this is the setting in which Kramer proves his results). However, we stick to quadratic extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$ since this is the setting in which all our applications are carried out.

As in Section 2.5, associated to the squarefree integer $\theta$ is the quadratic twist $E_{\theta}$, which comes equipped with the isomorphism $\psi=\psi_{\theta}$ from $E$ to $E_{\theta}$. While this isomorphism is only defined over $K$, it restricts to an isomorphism of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$-modules from $E[2]$ to $E_{\theta}[2]$. We use this to identify $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}, E[2]\right)$ and $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}, E_{\theta}[2]\right)$ for each place of $v$, and identify the corresponding global cohomology groups similarly. In particular, for each place $v$ of $\mathbb{Q}$ we may view both the Kummer images $\mathscr{S}\left(E / \mathbb{Q}_{v}\right)$ and $\mathscr{S}\left(E_{\theta} / \mathbb{Q}_{v}\right)$ (cf. Section 2.4) as subgroups of $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}, E[2]\right)$. Similarly, we view both $\operatorname{Sel}^{2}(E / \mathbb{Q})$ and $\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{\theta} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ as subgroups of $H^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, E[2])$.

## 4.1 | Selmer structures associated to $E / K$

We begin by defining two Selmer structures for $E[2]$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, each of which will capture a part of $\operatorname{Sel}^{2}(E / K)$.

Definition 4.1. Define the Selmer structure $\mathscr{F}$ for the $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$-module $E[2]$ by setting, for each place $v$ of $\mathbb{Q}$,

$$
\mathscr{F}_{v}=\mathscr{F}\left(E / \mathbb{Q}_{v}\right):=\operatorname{res}_{K_{w} / \mathbb{Q}_{v}}^{-1}\left(\mathscr{S}\left(E / K_{w}\right)\right) \leqslant H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}, E[2]\right),
$$

where $w$ is any choice of place of $K$ extending $v$ (the definition does not depend on this choice). Let $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{F}}(\mathbb{Q}, E[2]) \leqslant H^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, E[2])$ denote the resulting Selmer group. We further define the Selmer structure $\mathscr{C}$ for $E[2]$ as the dual of $\mathscr{F}$, and denote the local conditions by $\mathscr{C}\left(E / \mathbb{Q}_{U}\right)$. We denote the resulting Selmer group $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}}(\mathbb{Q}, E[2])$.

Lemma 4.2. We have $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{F}}(\mathbb{Q}, E[2])=\operatorname{res}_{K / \mathbb{Q}}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{Sel}^{2}(E / K)\right)$.
Proof. This follows from the compatibility of local and global restriction maps.
Recall the definition of the local norm map from Notation 1.2.

Lemma 4.3. The following properties hold for the Selmer structure $\mathscr{C}$.
(i) For each place $v$ of $\mathbb{Q}$, we have

$$
\mathscr{C}\left(E / \mathbb{Q}_{v}\right)=\operatorname{cor}_{K_{w} / \mathbb{Q}_{v}}\left(\mathscr{S}\left(E / K_{w}\right)\right) \leqslant H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}, E[2]\right),
$$

where $w$ is any choice of place of $K$ extending $v$.
(ii) For each place $v$ of $\mathbb{Q}$, we moreover have

$$
\mathscr{C}\left(E / \mathbb{Q}_{v}\right)=\delta_{v}\left(N_{K_{w} / \mathbb{Q}_{v}} E\left(K_{w}\right)\right)=\mathscr{S}\left(E / \mathbb{Q}_{v}\right) \cap \mathscr{S}\left(E_{\theta} / \mathbb{Q}_{v}\right),
$$

where $\delta_{v}: E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}\right) / 2 E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}\right) \hookrightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}, E[2]\right)$ is the local Kummer map (2.1) and the intersection takes place in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}, E[2]\right)$.
(iii) Globally, we have $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}}(\mathbb{Q}, E[2])=\operatorname{Sel}^{2}(E / \mathbb{Q}) \cap \operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{\theta} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$. Moreover, we have

$$
\operatorname{cor}_{K / \mathbb{Q}}\left(\operatorname{Sel}^{2}(E / K)\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}}(\mathbb{Q}, E[2])
$$

## Proof.

(i) That $\operatorname{cor}_{K_{w} / \mathbb{Q}_{v}}\left(\mathscr{S}\left(E / K_{w}\right)\right)$ and $\operatorname{res}_{K_{w} / \mathbb{Q}_{v}}^{-1}\left(\mathscr{S}\left(E / K_{w}\right)\right)$ are orthogonal complements under the local Tate pairing is noted by Kramer in the paragraph following [20, Equation (10)]. Specifically, it follows from [1, Proposition 9; 29, Corollary 7.1.4] that $\operatorname{res}_{K_{w} / \mathbb{Q}_{v}}$ and $\operatorname{cor}_{K_{w}} / \mathbb{Q}_{v}$ are adjoints with respect to the local Tate pairings. It follows that we have inclusions

$$
\operatorname{cor}_{K_{w} / \mathbb{Q}_{v}}\left(\mathscr{S}\left(E / K_{w}\right)\right) \subseteq \mathscr{F}_{v}^{*}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{res}_{K_{w} / \mathbb{Q}_{v}}\left(\operatorname{cor}_{K_{w} / \mathbb{Q}_{v}}\left(\mathscr{S}\left(E / K_{w}\right)\right)^{*}\right) \subseteq \mathscr{S}\left(E / K_{w}\right)^{*}
$$

Since $\mathscr{S}\left(E / K_{w}\right)$ is its own orthogonal complement, the result follows.
(ii) The first equality follows from the fact that the coboundary maps arising from the respective Kummer sequences (2.2) over $K_{w}$ and $\mathbb{Q}_{v}$ commute with corestriction. The second equality is [20, Proposition 7].
(iii) The claim that $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}}(\mathbb{Q}, E[2])=\operatorname{Sel}^{2}(E / \mathbb{Q}) \cap \operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{\theta} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ is a formal consequence of (ii). The inclusion

$$
\operatorname{cor}_{K / \mathbb{Q}}\left(\operatorname{Sel}^{2}(E / K)\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}}(\mathbb{Q}, E[2])
$$

follows from (i) and compatibility of the local and global corestriction maps.
Remark 4.4. Let $v$ be a place of $\mathbb{Q}$. Since the Selmer structure $\mathscr{F}$ is dual to $\mathscr{C}$, it follows formally from Lemma 4.3 and the fact that $\mathscr{S}\left(E / \mathbb{Q}_{v}\right)$ is its own orthogonal complement, that we have

$$
\mathscr{F}\left(E / \mathbb{Q}_{v}\right)=\mathscr{S}\left(E / \mathbb{Q}_{v}\right)+\mathscr{S}\left(E_{\theta} / \mathbb{Q}_{v}\right),
$$

where the sum is taken inside $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}, E[2]\right)$.

We may use Theorem 3.4 to determine the difference between the dimensions of the Selmer groups $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{F}}(\mathbb{Q}, E[2])$ and $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}}(\mathbb{Q}, E[2])$.

Lemma 4.5. We have

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{F}}(\mathbb{Q}, E[2])-\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}}(\mathbb{Q}, E[2])=\sum_{v \text { place of } \mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{dim} E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}\right) / N_{K_{w} / \mathbb{Q}_{v}} E\left(K_{w}\right) .
$$

Proof. Since for each place $v$ of $\mathbb{Q}$, the groups $\mathscr{C}\left(E / \mathbb{Q}_{v}\right)$ and $\mathscr{F}\left(E / \mathbb{Q}_{v}\right)$ are orthogonal complements under the local Tate pairing, we have

$$
\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{F}\left(E / \mathbb{Q}_{v}\right)=\operatorname{dim} H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}, E[2]\right)-\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{C}\left(E / \mathbb{Q}_{v}\right)
$$

Moreover, since $\mathscr{S}\left(E / \mathbb{Q}_{v}\right)$ is its own orthogonal complement we have

$$
\operatorname{dim} H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}, E[2]\right)=2 \operatorname{dim} E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}\right) / 2 E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}\right)
$$

Along with Lemma 4.3(ii) this gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{F}_{v} & =2 \operatorname{dim} E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}\right) / 2 E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}\right)-\operatorname{dim} N_{K_{w} / \mathbb{Q}_{v}} E\left(K_{w}\right) / 2 E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{dim} E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}\right) / 2 E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}\right)+\operatorname{dim} E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}\right) / N_{K_{w}} / \mathbb{Q}_{v} E\left(K_{w}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3.4 then gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{F}}(\mathbb{Q}, E[2])-\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}}(\mathbb{Q}, E[2])= & \sum_{v \text { place of } \mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{dim} E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}\right) / N_{K_{w} / \mathbb{Q}_{v}} E\left(K_{w}\right) \\
& +\sum_{v \text { place of } \mathbb{Q}}\left(\operatorname{dim} E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}\right) / 2 E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}\right)-\operatorname{dim} E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}\right)[2]\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

and the result follows from (3.6).

## 4.2 | The 2-Selmer group of $E / K$

We now apply the results above to study the 2-Selmer group of $E / K$.
Lemma 4.6 (cf. [20, Lemma 3]). We have an exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow H^{1}(K / \mathbb{Q}, E(K)[2]) \xrightarrow{\inf } \operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{F}}(\mathbb{Q}, E[2]) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{res}_{K / \mathbb{Q}}} \operatorname{Sel}^{2}(E / K) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{cor}_{K / \mathbb{Q}}} \operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}}(\mathbb{Q}, E[2]) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We first claim that the sequence

$$
H^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, E[2]) \xrightarrow{\text { res }} H^{1}(K, E[2]) \xrightarrow{\text { cor }} H^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, E[2])
$$

is exact. To see this, consider the exact sequence of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$-modules

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2}[G] \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbb{F}_{2} \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $\epsilon$ is the augmentation map (sending $\sum_{g \in G} \lambda_{g} g$ to $\sum_{g \in G} \lambda$ ) and $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ acts on $G$ via the quotient map $G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow G$. Taking the tensor product over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ with $E[2]$, and then taking Galois cohomology over $\mathbb{Q}$, gives an exact sequence of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$-modules

$$
H^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, E[2]) \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E[2] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{2}} \mathbb{F}_{2}[G]\right) \longrightarrow H^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, E[2])
$$

Using Shapiro's Lemma to identify $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E[2] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{2}} \mathbb{F}_{2}[G]\right)$ with $H^{1}(K, E[2])$ yields the sought exact sequence.

Having shown the claim, the result now follows by combining the inflation-restriction exact sequence with Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3(iii).

Corollary 4.8. If $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}}(\mathbb{Q}, E[2])=0$, then all of the following hold.
(i) There is a short exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow H^{1}(K / \mathbb{Q}, E(K)[2]) \xrightarrow{\inf } \operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{F}}(\mathbb{Q}, E[2]) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{res}_{K / \mathbb{Q}}} \operatorname{Sel}^{2}(E / K) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where the first map is inflation.
(ii) We have

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Sel}^{2}(E / K)=-\operatorname{dim}\left(\frac{E(\mathbb{Q})[2]}{N_{K / \mathbb{Q}}(E(K)[2])}\right)+\sum_{v \text { place of } \mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{dim} E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}\right) / N_{K_{w} / \mathbb{Q}_{v}} E\left(K_{w}\right) .
$$

(iii) The $G$-action on $\operatorname{Sel}^{2}(E / K)$ is trivial.

Proof.
(i) Follows immediately from Corollary 4.6.
(ii) Follows from (i) and Lemma 4.5 upon noting that, since $\operatorname{Gal}(K / \mathbb{Q})$ is cyclic, we have

$$
H^{1}(K / \mathbb{Q}, E(K)[2]) \cong \frac{E(\mathbb{Q})[2]}{N_{K / \mathbb{Q}}(E(K))[2]} .
$$

(See, for example, [1, Section 8] for the description of the cohomology of cyclic groups we are using in the above.)
(iii) Follows from (i) and the fact that the image of the restriction map from $H^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, E[2])$ to $H^{1}(K, E[2])$ is contained in the invariant subspace $H^{1}(K, E[2])^{G}$.

For a similar result to Corollary 4.8 (ii) which holds when $K / \mathbb{Q}$ is replaced by a cyclic degree $p$ extension for an odd prime $p$, see [3, Theorem 1.2].

Remark 4.9. Combining Lemma 4.5 with Corollary 4.6 allows one to recover the formula for the rank of $E / K$ given in [20, Theorem 1]. In the second part of that theorem, Kramer studies the group $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}}(\mathbb{Q}, E[2]) / \operatorname{cor}_{K / \mathbb{Q}}\left(\operatorname{Sel}^{2}(E / K)\right)$, which he refers to as the everywhere local/global norms group, and shows that it carries a non-degenerate alternating pairing given by the sum of the CasselsTate pairings on $\operatorname{Sel}^{2}(E / \mathbb{Q})$ and $\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{\theta} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ (recall from Lemma 4.3(iii) that $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}}(\mathbb{Q}, E[2])=$ $\left.\operatorname{Sel}^{2}(E / \mathbb{Q}) \cap \operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{\theta} / \mathbb{Q}\right)\right)$. In particular, this group has even dimension.

When $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}}(\mathbb{Q}, E[2])$ is not necessarily trivial we still get a lower bound for the dimension of the 2-Selmer group of $E$ over $K$.

Lemma 4.10. We have

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Sel}^{2}(E / K) \geqslant-2+\sum_{v \text { place of } \mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{dim} E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}\right) / N_{K_{w} / \mathbb{Q}_{v}} E\left(K_{w}\right) .
$$

Proof. From Corollary 4.6 we find

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / K\right) \geqslant \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{F}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E_{d}[2]\right)-\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}_{d}}(\mathbb{Q}, E[2])-\operatorname{dim} H^{1}(K / \mathbb{Q}, E(K)[2])
$$

The result now follows from Lemma 4.5, noting that

$$
\operatorname{dim} H^{1}(K / \mathbb{Q}, E(K)[2]) \leqslant 2,
$$

which is a consequence of the explicit description of cohomology of cyclic groups.

## 4.3 | The Weil restriction of scalars

Here we give a slight reinterpretation of the above material in terms of the restriction of scalars of $E$ from $K$ to $\mathbb{Q}$. The material of this section is closely related to, and inspired by, that appearing in [26, Section 3]. Following Milne [27, Section 2], the restriction of scalars may be described as a special case of a general construction of twists of powers of $E$, which we now recall. In what follows, for abelian varieties $A$ and $B$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, we endow $\operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}(A, B)$ (the group of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ homomorphisms from $A$ to $B$ ) with the $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ action $\varphi \mapsto{ }^{\sigma} \varphi$, where for $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ the homomorphism ${ }^{\sigma} \varphi$ sends $P \in A(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ to $\sigma \varphi\left(\sigma^{-1} P\right)$.

Definition 4.11. Let $n \geqslant 1$. To each matrix $M=\left(m_{i, j}\right)$ in $\operatorname{Mat}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ we can associate an endomorphism of $E^{n}$ given by

$$
\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}\right) \longmapsto\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} m_{1, j} P_{j}, \ldots, \sum_{j=1}^{n} m_{n, j} P_{j}\right) .
$$

In this way we view $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ as a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}\left(E^{n}\right)$. Now suppose that $\Lambda$ is a free rank-n $\mathbb{Z}$-module equipped with a continuous $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$-action. Choosing a basis for $\Lambda$ gives rise to a homomorphism

$$
\rho_{\Lambda}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})
$$

which we view as a 1-cocycle valued in $\operatorname{Aut}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}\left(E^{n}\right)$. The class of $\rho_{\Lambda}$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, \operatorname{Aut}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}\left(E^{n}\right)\right)$ does not depend on the choice of basis. Associated to this cocycle class is a twist of $E^{n}$, which we denote $\Lambda \otimes E$. This is an abelian variety over $\mathbb{Q}$ of dimension $n$, equipped with a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$-isomorphism $\varphi_{\Lambda}$ : $E^{n} \rightarrow \Lambda \otimes E$ satisfying $\varphi_{\Lambda}^{-1} \circ^{\sigma} \varphi_{\Lambda}=\rho_{\Lambda}(\sigma)$ for all $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

The relevant restriction of scalars can then be defined as follows.
Definition 4.12. Denote by $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ the integral group ring of $G=\operatorname{Gal}(K / \mathbb{Q})$. We define the restriction of scalars of $E$ relative to $K / \mathbb{Q}$ to be the abelian surface $E \otimes \mathbb{Z}[G]$. We denote it $\operatorname{Res}_{K / \mathbb{Q}} E$. By the above, it comes equipped with an isomorphism $\varphi: E \times E \rightarrow \operatorname{Res}_{K / \mathbb{Q}} E$, defined over $K$, and such that for all $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$, and all $P, Q \in E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, we have

$$
\left(\varphi^{-1} \circ^{\sigma} \varphi\right)(P, Q)= \begin{cases}(P, Q) & \chi_{\theta}(\sigma)=1 \\ (Q, P) & \chi_{\theta}(\sigma)=-1\end{cases}
$$

In particular, $\varphi^{-1}$ composed with projection onto the first coordinate gives an isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{K / \mathbb{Q}} E(\mathbb{Q}) \cong E(K)
$$

Remark 4.13. The restriction of scalars $\operatorname{Res}_{K / \mathbb{Q}}(E)$ is more typically defined as the unique scheme over $\mathbb{Q}$ representing the functor on $\mathbb{Q}$-schemes

$$
T \longmapsto E\left(T \times_{\mathbb{Q}} K\right) .
$$

As in [26, Section 2], this is equivalent to the construction given above.
Notation 4.14. To ease notation, in what follows we write $A=\operatorname{Res}_{K / \mathbb{Q}}(E)$. Thus, $A$ is an abelian surface defined over $\mathbb{Q}$.

One has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Sel}^{2}(E / K) \cong \operatorname{Sel}^{2}(A / \mathbb{Q}) \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, the corresponding result for Shafarevich-Tate groups is given in [27, Proof of Theorem 1], and the same argument works here (see also [26, Proposition 3.1]). In particular, in the case that $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}}(\mathbb{Q}, E[2])=0$, Corollary 4.8 can be interpreted as giving a description of the 2-Selmer group over $\mathbb{Q}$ of the abelian surface $A$.

Moreover, it turns out that the groups $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}}(\mathbb{Q}, E[2])$ and $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{F}}(\mathbb{Q}, E[2])$ are the Selmer groups associated to a certain isogeny between $A$ and $E \times E_{\theta}$ as we now explain.

Definition 4.16. Consider the isogeny $\phi_{0}: E \times E \rightarrow E \times E$ given by the formula

$$
\phi_{0}(P, Q)=(P+Q, P-Q)
$$

Let $\varphi: E \times E \rightarrow A$ be as in Definition 4.12, and let $\psi=\psi_{\theta}: E \xrightarrow{\sim} E_{\theta}$ be as in (2.3). Now define the isogeny (a priori over $K$ )

$$
\phi=(1 \times \psi) \circ \phi_{0} \circ \varphi^{-1}: A \longrightarrow E \times E_{\theta} .
$$

One readily computes that in fact $\phi$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$.
We denote by $\operatorname{Sel}^{\phi}(A / \mathbb{Q})$ the Selmer group associated to $\phi$. For each place $v$ of $\mathbb{Q}$, we denote by $\delta_{\phi, v}$ the coboundary map

$$
\delta_{\phi, v}: E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}\right) \times E_{\theta}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}, A[\phi]\right)
$$

associated to the short exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow A[\phi] \longrightarrow A\left(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{v}\right) \xrightarrow{\phi}\left(E \times E_{\theta}\right)\left(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{v}\right) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Then the collection $\left\{\operatorname{im}\left(\delta_{\phi, v}\right)\right\}_{v}$ defines a Selmer structure for $A[\phi]$, whose associated Selmer group is $\operatorname{Sel}^{\phi}(A / \mathbb{Q})$.

Lemma 4.17. We have a canonical isomorphism $\operatorname{Sel}^{\phi}(A / \mathbb{Q}) \cong \operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{F}}(\mathbb{Q}, E[2])$.

Proof. With $\varphi: E \times E \rightarrow A$ as in Definition 4.12, one readily checks that $\varphi^{-1}$ restricts to a $G_{\mathbb{Q}^{-}}$ isomorphism between $A[\phi]$ and the diagonal embedding of $E[2]$ into $E \times E$. In this way, we identify $H^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, A[\phi])$ and $H^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, E[2])$. We make corresponding identifications locally at each place of $\mathbb{Q}$ also. We will show that this identification maps $\operatorname{Sel}^{\phi}(A / \mathbb{Q})$ onto $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{F}}(\mathbb{Q}, E[2])$.

For $i=1,2$ write $\Delta_{i}: E \rightarrow E \times E$ for the homomorphisms defined by

$$
\Delta_{1}(P)=(P, P) \text { and } \Delta_{2}(P)=(P,-P) .
$$

This gives maps

$$
\varphi \circ \Delta_{1}: E \longrightarrow A \quad \text { and } \varphi \circ \Delta_{2} \circ \psi^{-1}: E_{\theta} \longrightarrow A
$$

which are readily checked to be defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. For each place $v$ of $\mathbb{Q}$ these maps fit into a commutative diagram

where the right-most vertical maps are induced by the natural inclusions into the respective factors. On cohomology this induces a commutative diagram


The result now follows from Remark 4.4.

Remark 4.18. One can show that the product polarisation on $E \times E$ descends to a polarisation on $A$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ rather than just $K$ as is a priori the case (this follows from the material in [15, Section 2]). Thus, $A$ is a principally polarised abelian surface. We can then view the dual isogeny to $\phi$ as an isogeny

$$
\widehat{\phi}: E \times E_{\theta} \longrightarrow A
$$

Denote by $\operatorname{Sel}^{\hat{\phi}}\left(E \times E_{\theta} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ the associated Selmer group. It follows formally from Lemma 4.17 and the fact that the Selmer structure $\mathscr{C}$ is dual to $\mathscr{F}$, that we have

$$
\operatorname{Sel}^{\hat{\phi}}\left(E \times E_{\theta} / \mathbb{Q}\right) \cong \operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}}(\mathbb{Q}, E[2])
$$

With more work, one can show that the composition (in either direction) of $\phi$ and $\widehat{\phi}$ is multiplication by 2 , and that the maps

$$
A \xrightarrow{\phi} E \times E_{\theta} \xrightarrow{\hat{\phi}} A
$$

induce the sequence (4.7).
Remark 4.19. In the terminology of [17, Section 2], the quantity

$$
\frac{\left|\operatorname{Sel}^{\phi}(A / \mathbb{Q})\right|}{\left|\operatorname{Sel}^{\hat{\phi}}\left(E \times E_{\theta} / \mathbb{Q}\right)\right|} \stackrel{\text { Lemma }}{=} .17 \frac{\left|\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{F}}(\mathbb{Q}, E[2])\right|}{\left|\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}}(\mathbb{Q}, E[2])\right|}
$$

is called the Tamagawa ratio associated to the isogeny $\phi$. That the Tamagawa ratio for elliptic curves is given by a local formula goes back to Cassels [4, Theorem 1.1]. The corresponding result for abelian varieties, which in particular can be applied to $A$ and $\phi$, is given by Milne in [28, Section I.7]. This gives an alternative approach to the local formula of Lemma 4.5. We remark though that Milne's result is very closely related to Theorem 3.4, so this is not really a different proof.

In the next section, we will consider the 2-Selmer groups $\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / K\right)$ associated to quadratic twists of $E$ by squarefree integers $d$. As the next lemma shows, this is equivalent to considering the 2-Selmer groups associated to the quadratic twist family over $\mathbb{Q}$ of $A$.

Lemma 4.20. Let d be a square free integer. Let $E_{d}$ denote the quadratic twist of $E$ by d, and let $A_{d}$ denote the quadratic twist of $A$ by $d$. Then we have $a \mathbb{Q}$-isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{K / \mathbb{Q}}\left(E_{d}\right) \cong A_{d}
$$

of abelian surfaces. In particular, we have

$$
\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / K\right) \cong \operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(A_{d} / \mathbb{Q}\right)
$$

Proof. Both $\operatorname{Res}_{K / \mathbb{Q}}\left(E_{d}\right)$ and $A_{d}$ are twists of $E \times E$, so we need only show that the resulting classes in $H^{1}\left(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, \operatorname{Aut}_{\bar{Q}}(E \times E)\right)$ agree. Write $\chi_{d}$ and $\chi_{\theta}$ for the quadratic characters associated to $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}) / \mathbb{Q}$ and $K / \mathbb{Q}$, respectively.

Fix $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Fix an isomorphism $\phi_{1}: E \xrightarrow{\sim} E_{d}$ such that $\varphi_{1}^{-1} \rho^{\sigma} \varphi_{1}=\chi_{d}(\sigma)$, and write $\varphi_{2}$ for the isomorphism $E_{d} \times E_{d} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Res}_{K / \mathbb{Q}}\left(E_{d}\right)$ of Definition 4.12. This gives a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$-isomorphism

$$
\mathrm{Y}=\varphi_{2} \circ\left(\varphi_{1} \times \varphi_{1}\right): E \times E \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Res}_{K / \mathbb{Q}}\left(E_{d}\right) .
$$

The resulting cocycle satisfies, for $P, Q \in E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \times E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$,

$$
\left(\mathrm{Y}^{-1} \circ^{\sigma} \mathrm{Y}\right)(P, Q)= \begin{cases}\left(\chi_{d}(\sigma) P, \chi_{d}(\sigma) Q\right) & \chi_{\theta}(\sigma)=1 \\ \left(\chi_{d}(\sigma) Q, \chi_{d}(\sigma) P\right) & \chi_{\theta}(\sigma)=-1\end{cases}
$$

On the other hand, fix $\psi_{1}: E \times E \xrightarrow{\sim} A$ as in Definition 4.12, and fix also $\psi_{2}: A \xrightarrow{\sim} A_{d}$ such that $\psi_{2}^{-1} \psi_{2}=\chi_{d}$. Writing $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}=\psi_{2} \circ \psi_{1}$, one readily computes that $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime-1} \circ^{\sigma} \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ is given by the same formula as $\mathrm{Y}^{-1 \sigma} \mathrm{Y}$, giving the result.

Remark 4.21. For each squarefree integer $d$, Definition 4.16 gives an isogeny

$$
\phi_{d}: \operatorname{Res}_{K / \mathbb{Q}}\left(E_{d}\right) \longrightarrow E_{d} \times E_{d \theta}
$$

Via Lemma 4.20, we view $\phi_{d}$ as an isogeny from $A_{d}$ to $E_{d} \times E_{d \theta}$. One readily checks that the standard identification of $A[2]$ with $A_{d}[2]$ identifies $A[\phi]$ and $A_{d}\left[\phi_{d}\right]$.

## 5 | QUADRATIC TWISTS AND A DISTRIBUTION RESULT

Recall that $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\theta}) / \mathbb{Q}$ is a quadratic extension, $G=\operatorname{Gal}(K / \mathbb{Q})$ and $E / \mathbb{Q}$ is an elliptic curve. We now consider the effect of replacing $E / \mathbb{Q}$ by its quadratic twist $E_{d} / \mathbb{Q}$, for a squarefree integer $d$. We denote by $\mathscr{F}_{d}$ and $\mathscr{C}_{d}$ the Selmer structures of the previous section with local conditions $\mathscr{F}\left(E_{d} / \mathbb{Q}_{v}\right)$ and $\mathscr{C}\left(E_{d} / \mathbb{Q}_{v}\right)$, respectively. We have associated Selmer groups $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{F}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E_{d}[2]\right)$ and $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E_{d}[2]\right)$. For a squarefree integer $d$ we write $\chi_{d}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow\{ \pm 1\}$ for the associated quadratic character defined by

$$
\chi_{d}(\sigma)=\sigma(\sqrt{d}) / \sqrt{d}
$$

### 5.1 The cokernel of the local norm map

It turns out that the cokernel of the local norm map varies in a predictable way as we vary $d$. First, we fix some notation.

Notation 5.1. Fix a choice $\Sigma$ of a finite set of places of $\mathbb{Q}$ containing the real place, 2, all primes which ramify in $K / \mathbb{Q}$, and all primes at which $E$ has bad reduction.

We begin with the following observation.
Lemma 5.2. Let $p \notin \Sigma$ be a prime divisor of d. Then $E_{d}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\mathrm{nr}}\right)$ has no points of exact order 4. In particular, the same is true of $E_{d}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$.

Proof. By assumption $E$ has good reduction at $p$, so $E[4]$ is unramified at $p$ (that is, the inertia group $I_{p}$ at $p$ acts trivially on $\left.E[4]\right)$. Thus, any element $\sigma$ of $I_{p}$ acts on $E_{d}[4]$ as multiplication by $\chi_{d}(\sigma)$. Since $\chi_{d}$ is ramified at $p$ by assumption, the restriction of $\chi_{d}$ to $I_{p}$ is non-trivial
and one has

$$
E_{d}[4]^{I_{p}}=\left\{P \in E_{d}[4] \quad \mid \quad P=-P\right\}=E_{d}[2],
$$

giving the result.

Lemma 5.3. Let $d$ be a squarefree integer, let $p \notin \Sigma$ be a prime, and let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime of $K$ lying over p. Then

$$
\operatorname{dim} E_{d}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) / N_{K_{\mathfrak{p}} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}} E_{d}\left(K_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)= \begin{cases}2 & p \mid d, p \text { inert in } K / \mathbb{Q}, \operatorname{dim} E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)[2]=2 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

Proof. If $p$ splits in $K$, then the local extension $K_{\mathfrak{p}} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ is trivial, so that $N_{K_{\mathfrak{p}} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ is the identity map on $E_{d}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$.

Next, suppose that $p \nmid d$. Since also $p \notin \Sigma, E_{d}$ has good reduction at $p$, and $K_{\mathfrak{p}} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ is unramified. It follows from [24, Corollary 4.4] that $N_{K_{w} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ is surjective, giving the result.

Now suppose that $p \mid d$ and $p$ is inert in $K / \mathbb{Q}$. In particular, the local extension $K_{\mathfrak{p}} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ is unramified of degree 2 . Lemma 5.2 and a dimension count then show that the horizontal maps (induced by the inclusion of $E_{d}\left(K_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)[2]$ into $\left.E_{d}\left(K_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)\right)$ in the commutative square

are isomorphisms. Let $\sigma$ denote the non-trivial element of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{\mathfrak{p}} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$. Since -1 acts trivially on $E_{d}\left(K_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ [2], we have a short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow E_{d}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)[2] \longrightarrow E_{d}\left(K_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)[2] \xrightarrow{1+\sigma} N_{K_{\mathfrak{p}} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}}\left(E_{d}\left(K_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)[2]\right) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

We thus have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim} E_{d}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) / N_{K_{\mathfrak{p}} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}} E_{d}\left(K_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) & =\operatorname{dim} E_{d}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)[2] / N_{K_{\mathfrak{p}} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}}\left(E_{d}\left(K_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)[2]\right) \\
& =2 \operatorname{dim} E_{d}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)[2]-\operatorname{dim} E_{d}\left(K_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)[2] \\
& =2 \operatorname{dim} E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)[2]-\operatorname{dim} E\left(K_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)[2] .
\end{aligned}
$$

It remains to break into cases according to $\operatorname{dim} E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)[2]=0,1,2$. If $\operatorname{dim} E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)[2] \neq 1$ then $\operatorname{dim} E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)[2]=\operatorname{dim} E\left(K_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)[2]$ since the 2-torsion field $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ or is the splitting field of an irreducible cubic. In the case that $\operatorname{dim} E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)[2]=1$, since $E$ has good reduction at $p$ the field extension $\mathbb{Q}_{p}(E[2]) / \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ is unramified. Thus we have $\operatorname{dim} E\left(K_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)[2]=2$, completing the proof.

Remark 5.4. At primes $p \in \Sigma$ the cokernel of the local norm map is more complicated and depends on the reduction type of $E_{d} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}$. See [20] or [19] for more details. However, since the isomorphism class of $E_{d}$ over $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ depends only on the class of $d$ in $\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times 2}$, the same is true of the cokernel of the local norm map.

To ease notation in what follows, we make the following definition.

Notation 5.5. For a squarefree integer $d$, write

$$
g(d):=\sum_{v \text { place of } \mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{dim} E_{d}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}\right) / N_{K_{w} / \mathbb{Q}_{v}} E_{d}\left(K_{w}\right)
$$

where for a place $v$ of $\mathbb{Q}$, we denote by $w$ a choice of extension of $v$ to $K$. Further, write

$$
\omega_{E, K}(d):=\#\left\{p \mid d: \underset{p \neq \Sigma}{p \neq \Sigma} \begin{array}{c}
p \neq r \\
\operatorname{dim} E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)[2]=2
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

Note that by Lemma 4.10, the function $g(d)-2$ gives a lower bound for $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / K\right)$.
Proposition 5.6. As d varies in squarefree integers, we have

$$
g(d)=2 \omega_{E, K}(d)+O(1)
$$

where the implied constant depends only on the initial curve $E$ and the quadratic field $K$.

Proof. Since the places in $\Sigma$ contribute $O(1)$ to $g(d)$, we may ignore them. The result now follows from Lemma 5.3.

## 5.2 | The distribution of $\boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{d})$

Notation 5.7. Let $\delta_{E, K}$ be the natural density of primes $p$ such that $\omega_{E, K}(p)=1$.

The possible values of $\delta_{E, K}$ may be computed by applying the Chebotarev density theorem to the extension $K(E[2]) / \mathbb{Q}$ and are given by the following table:

| $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { G a l }}(\mathbb{Q}(E[2]) / \mathbb{Q})$ | \{1\} | $\begin{gathered} \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \\ K \neq \mathbb{Q}(E[2]) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \\ K=\mathbb{Q}(E[2]) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\begin{gathered} S_{3} \\ K \nsubseteq \mathbb{Q}(E[2]) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} S_{3} \\ K \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(E[2]) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\delta_{E, K}$ | 1/2 | 1/4 | 0 | 1/6 | 1/12 | 0 |

In the following result of Erdős-Kac type, we determine the asymptotic distribution of the function $g(d)$ when the 2-torsion field of $E$ does not interact with $K$. Since $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / K\right) \geqslant g(d)-2$ by Lemma 4.10, this shows that $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / K\right)$ is (in a precise sense) typically at least as large as a constant times $\log \log (d)$.

Proposition 5.8. Suppose that $\mathbb{Q}(E[2]) \cap K=\mathbb{Q}$. Further, for a squarefree integer $d$ write

$$
\mu(d):=2 \delta_{E, K} \log \log |d| \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma(d):=\sqrt{4 \delta_{E, K} \log \log |d|} .
$$

Then the quantity

$$
\frac{g(d)-\mu(d)}{\sigma(d)}
$$

follows a standard normal distribution. That is, for all $z \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$
\lim _{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\left\{|d| \leqslant X \text { squarefree }: \frac{g(d)-\mu(d)}{\sigma(d)} \leqslant z\right\}}{\#\{|d| \leqslant X \text { squarefree }\}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{z} e^{-t^{2} / 2} d t .
$$

Proof. Let $\gamma(d):=2 \omega_{E, K}(d)$. Since by Proposition 5.6 this differs from $g(d)$ by a bounded amount, it is enough to prove the same assertion with $g$ replaced by $\gamma$. Moreover, since this function satisfies $\gamma(d)=\gamma(-d)$, it is enough to prove that $\gamma$ has this distribution on the positive squarefree integers. We will do this by combining the method of moments with [10, Proposition 4]. Specifically, in the notation of that proposition, take

$$
\mathcal{A}:=\{d \text { squarefree }: 1 \leqslant d \leqslant X\}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{P}:=\left\{p \text { prime }: p \leqslant X^{\epsilon(X)}\right\}
$$

for a function $\epsilon(X)=o(1)$ to be chosen later. Further, let $\gamma_{\mathcal{P}}$ be the strongly additive function which agrees with $\gamma$ for $p \in \mathcal{P}$, and takes the value 0 on primes $p \notin \mathcal{P}$. Note that, still using the notation of [10, Proposition 4] we can take

$$
h(d)=\prod_{p \mid d} \frac{p}{p+1}, \quad r_{d} \ll d \sqrt{X}, \quad x=\frac{6 X}{\pi^{2}}+O(\sqrt{X}), \quad \text { and } \quad M=2,
$$

along with

$$
\mu_{\mathcal{P}}(\gamma)=\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} 2 \omega_{E, K}(p) \frac{1}{p+1}
$$

and

$$
\sigma_{\mathcal{P}}(\gamma)^{2}=\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} 4 \omega_{E, K}(p) \frac{p}{(p+1)^{2}} .
$$

Using the explicit form of the Chebotarev density theorem given in [21], standard arguments give

$$
\mu_{\mathcal{P}}(\gamma)=2 \delta_{E, K} \log \log (X)+O(\log \epsilon(X)) \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma_{\mathcal{P}}(\gamma)^{2}=4 \delta_{E, K} \log \log (X)+O(\log \epsilon(X))
$$

Taking $X$ sufficiently large in the conclusion of [10, Proposition 4] shows that for any $k \geqslant 0$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\# \mathcal{A}} \sum_{d \in \mathcal{A}}\left(\gamma_{\mathcal{P}}(d)-\mu_{\mathcal{P}}(\gamma)\right)^{k} \\
& \begin{cases}=(k-1)!!\sigma_{\mathcal{P}}(\gamma)^{k}+O_{k}\left(\sigma_{\mathcal{P}}(\gamma)^{k-2}+\log \log (X)^{k} X^{2 k \epsilon(X)-1 / 2}\right) & k \text { even, } \\
<_{k} \sigma_{\mathcal{P}}(\gamma)^{k-1}+\log \log (X)^{k} X^{2 k \epsilon(X)-1 / 2} & k \text { odd. }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, the $k$ th moments of $\left(\gamma_{\mathcal{P}}-\mu_{\mathcal{P}}(\gamma)\right) / \sigma_{\mathcal{P}}(\gamma)$ converge to those of a normal random variable with mean 0 and variance 1 . Note that for $n \leqslant X$ we have

$$
\gamma(n)-\gamma_{\mathcal{P}}(n) \leqslant 2 \#\left\{p \mid n: p>X^{\epsilon(X)}\right\} \leqslant \frac{\log (n)}{\epsilon(X) \log (X)} \leqslant \epsilon(X)^{-1} .
$$

Induction on $k$ (cf. [10, Deduction of Theorem 1]) now shows that, taking $\epsilon(X)=\log \log \log (X)^{-1}$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{\# \mathcal{A}} \sum_{d \in \mathcal{A}}\left(\gamma(d)-2 \delta_{E, K} \log \log (X)\right)^{k}=\frac{1}{\# \mathcal{A}} \sum_{d \in \mathcal{A}}\left(\gamma_{\mathcal{P}}(d)-\mu_{\mathcal{P}}(\gamma)\right)^{k}+o\left(\log \log (X)^{k / 2}\right)
$$

Thus, the $k$ th moments of $\left(\gamma-2 \delta_{E, K} \log \log (X)\right) / \sqrt{4 \delta_{E, K} \log \log (X)}$ converge as $X \rightarrow \infty$ to those of the standard normal distribution. It then follows from [2, Theorem 30.2 and Example 30.1] that $\gamma$ becomes normally distributed with mean $2 \delta_{E, K} \log \log (X)$ and variance $4 \delta_{E, K} \log \log (X)$ in the limit $X \rightarrow \infty$, that is,

$$
\lim _{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\left\{|d| \leqslant X \text { squarefree }: \frac{\gamma(d)-\delta_{E, K} \log \log (X)}{\sqrt{4 \delta_{E, K} \log \log (X)}} \leqslant z\right\}}{\#\{|d| \leqslant X \text { squarefree }\}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{z} e^{-t^{2} / 2} d t
$$

The result now follows.

Remark 5.9. In the last step of the proof we have used the standard result that a function $f$ becomes normal as $X \rightarrow \infty$ with mean $\mu(X):=C_{0} \log \log (X)$ and variance $\sigma^{2}(X):=C_{1} \log \log (X)$ for some constants $C_{0}, C_{1}>0$ if and only if the function $(f(d)-\mu(d)) / \sigma(d)$ becomes normal as $X \rightarrow \infty$ with mean 0 and variance 1 . This can be proved directly.

Remark 5.10. In the case that $K \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(E[2])$, the function $\gamma(d)$ in the proof of Proposition 5.8 is 0 . In particular, by Proposition 5.6, we have that the $k$ th moments of $g(d)$ are bounded.

We have the following basic corollary showing that, for $100 \%$ of $d, \operatorname{dim}_{\operatorname{Sel}^{2}}\left(E_{d} / K\right)$ is larger than any fixed integer whenever the 2-torsion field of $E$ does not interact with $K$. This is in stark contrast with the situation for the Selmer groups $\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$, whose distribution is determined by Kane in [16, Theorem 3].

Corollary 5.11. If $K \cap \mathbb{Q}(E[2])=\mathbb{Q}$, then for any $z \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$
\lim _{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\left\{|d| \leqslant X \text { squarefree }: \operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Sel}_{2}\left(E_{d} / K\right)\right) \leqslant z\right\}}{\#\{|d| \leqslant X \text { squarefree }\}}=0 .
$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.10, we have $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / K\right) \geqslant g(d)-2$. The result now follows from Proposition 5.8.

Remark 5.12. By Lemma 4.20, Corollary 5.11 also applies with $\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / K\right)$ replaced by the Selmer groups $\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(\left(\operatorname{Res}_{K / \mathbb{Q}} E\right)_{d} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ associated to the quadratic twists of the Weil restriction of $E$ from $K$ to $\mathbb{Q}$.

## 6 | MAIN RESULTS

Recall that $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\theta}) / \mathbb{Q}$ is a quadratic extension with $G=\operatorname{Gal}(K / \mathbb{Q})$. From this section onwards, we make the restriction that our choice of elliptic curve $E / \mathbb{Q}$ has $E[2] \subseteq E(\mathbb{Q})$.

For a squarefree integer $d$, a consequence of Lemmas 4.5 and 4.6 is that, roughly speaking, the auxiliary Selmer group $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E_{d}[2]\right)$ controls the discrepancy between $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / K\right)$ and the function $g(d)$ of Notation 5.5. Thus, to improve on Proposition 5.8 and gain full control of the Selmer groups $\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / K\right)$ as $d$ varies, it suffices to control these auxiliary groups. We achieve this under the assumption that all 2-torsion of $E$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. Specifically, across Sections 7 and 8 we will prove that, under this assumption, the Selmer group $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E_{d}[2]\right)$ is trivial for $100 \%$ of $d$.

Theorem 6.1. We have

$$
\lim _{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\left\{d \text { squarefree }| | d \mid<X, \operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E_{d}[2]\right)=0\right\}}{\#\{d \text { squarefree }| | d \mid<X\}}=1 .
$$

Remark 6.2. We will in fact show that the number of squarefree $d$ with $|d|<X$ for which $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E_{d}[2]\right) \neq 0$ is $\ll X \log (X)^{-0.0394}$. See Theorem 8.2. It is likely that with more work this bound could be improved significantly, however we have not attempted to do so.

Remark 6.3. By Lemma 4.3, we have

$$
\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E_{d}[2]\right)=\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / \mathbb{Q}\right) \cap \operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d \theta} / \mathbb{Q}\right)
$$

where the intersection is taken inside $H^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, E[2])$. Thus, Theorem 6.1 shows that for $100 \%$ of squarefree $d$, the groups $\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d \theta} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ share only the identity element.

Before embarking on the proof, we use the results of previous sections to draw several consequences of this theorem.

## 6.1 | Statistical results for 2-Selmer groups

An immediate consequence of Theorem 6.1 is that the conclusion of Corollary 4.8 holds for $100 \%$ of squarefree $d$ when we have full 2 -torsion.

Corollary 6.4. For $100 \%$ of squarefree $d$ (ordered by absolute value), the $\operatorname{Gal}(K / \mathbb{Q})$-action on $\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / K\right)$ is trivial, and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / K\right)=-2+\sum_{v \text { place of } \mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{dim} E_{d}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}\right) / N_{K_{w} / \mathbb{Q}_{v}} E_{d}\left(K_{w}\right) . \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a consequence, we can upgrade Proposition 5.8 to the following Erdős-Kac type result determining the distribution of the full 2-Selmer group.

Corollary 6.6. The quantity

$$
\frac{\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / K\right)-\log \log |d|}{\sqrt{2 \log \log |d|}}
$$

follows a standard normal distribution. That is, for every $z \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$
\lim _{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\left\{|d| \leqslant X \text { squarefree }: \frac{\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / K\right)-\log \log |d|}{\sqrt{2 \log \log |d|}} \leqslant z\right\}}{\#\{|d| \leqslant X \text { squarefree }\}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{z} e^{-t^{2} / 2} d t
$$

Proof. By Corollary 6.4, among all squarefree integers $d$ with $|d|<X$, outside a set of cardinality $o(X)$ we have

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / K\right)=-2+\sum_{v \text { place of } \mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{dim} E_{d}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}\right) / N_{K_{w} / \mathbb{Q}_{v}} E_{d}\left(K_{w}\right)=g(d)-2 .
$$

The result now follows from Proposition 5.8 noting that since $E[2] \subseteq E(\mathbb{Q})$, we have that $\delta_{E, K}=$ $1 / 2$.

### 6.2 Statistical results for Shafarevich-Tate groups

A consequence of Corollary 6.6 is that $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / K\right)$ typically has size around $\log \log |d|$. By contrast, when $E$ has no cyclic 4-isogeny defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, the dimensions of the 2-Selmer groups of the $E_{d}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ are known to be bounded on average thanks to a result of Kane [16, Theorem 3]. Thus, in this case the majority of $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / K\right)$ is attributable to the Shafarevich-Tate group. Formalising this observation allows us to prove the analogue of Corollary 6.6 for Shafarevich-Tate groups also.

Corollary 6.7. Assume that E has no cyclic 4-isogeny defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then the quantity

$$
\frac{\operatorname{dim} \amalg\left(E_{d} / K\right)[2]-\log \log |d|}{\sqrt{2 \log \log |d|}}
$$

follows a standard normal distribution. That is, for all $z \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$
\lim _{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\left\{|d| \leqslant X \text { squarefree }: \frac{\operatorname{dim} \amalg\left(E_{d} / K\right)[2]-\log \log |d|}{\sqrt{2 \log \log |d|}} \leqslant z\right\}}{\#\{|d| \leqslant X \text { squarefree }\}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{z} e^{-t^{2} / 2} d t
$$

Proof. Since $\operatorname{dim} Ш\left(E_{d} / K\right)[2] \leqslant \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / K\right)$ for all $d$, by Corollary 6.6 we need only show that the limit in the statement (or more precisely the limit superior of the left-hand side of the statement) is bounded above by $\Phi(z)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{z} e^{-t^{2} / 2} d t$.

This follows from Corollary 6.6 thanks to [16, Theorem 3], which gives adequate control of the Mordell-Weil component of $\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / K\right)$. First, for any squarefree integer $d$, the standard short
exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow E_{d}(K) / 2 E_{d}(K) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / K\right) \longrightarrow Ш\left(E_{d} / K\right)[2] \longrightarrow 0
$$

gives

$$
\operatorname{dim} Ш\left(E_{d} / K\right)[2]=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / K\right)-\operatorname{dim} E_{d}(K) / 2 E_{d}(K) .
$$

Since $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\theta})$ and $\operatorname{dim} E_{d}(K)[2]=2$, we have

$$
\operatorname{dim} E_{d}(K) / 2 E_{d}(K)=2+\operatorname{rk}\left(E_{d} / \mathbb{Q}\right)+\operatorname{rk}\left(E_{d \theta} / \mathbb{Q}\right)
$$

giving the equality

$$
\operatorname{dim} \amalg\left(E_{d} / K\right)[2]=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / K\right)-\operatorname{rk}\left(E_{d} / \mathbb{Q}\right)-\operatorname{rk}\left(E_{d \theta} / \mathbb{Q}\right)-2 .
$$

Now fix a real number $z$ and a positive real number $M$. Partitioning into cases according to

$$
\operatorname{rk}\left(E_{d} / \mathbb{Q}\right)+\operatorname{rk}\left(E_{d \theta} / \mathbb{Q}\right) \leqslant M \quad \text { or } \quad \operatorname{rk}\left(E_{d} / \mathbb{Q}\right)+\operatorname{rk}\left(E_{d \theta} / \mathbb{Q}\right)>M
$$

we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \#\left\{|d| \leqslant X \text { squarefree }: \frac{\operatorname{dim} \amalg\left(E_{d} / K\right)[2]-\log \log |d|}{\sqrt{2 \log \log |d|}} \leqslant z\right\} \\
& \leqslant \#\left\{|d| \leqslant X \text { squarefree }: \frac{\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / K\right)-\log \log |d|}{\sqrt{2 \log \log |d|}} \leqslant z+\frac{M+2}{\sqrt{2 \log \log |d|}}\right\} \\
&+\#\left\{|d| \leqslant X \text { squarefree }: \operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / \mathbb{Q}\right)>M / 2\right\} \\
&+\#\left\{|d| \leqslant X \text { squarefree }: \operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(\left(E_{\theta}\right)_{d} / \mathbb{Q}\right)>M / 2\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Dividing through by the number of squarefree integers $d$ with $|d| \leqslant X$, taking the limsup $X \rightarrow \infty$, and applying Kane's theorem [16, Theorem 3] to both $E$ and $E_{\theta}$ (since $E$ has no cyclic 4-isogeny defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ the same is true for $E_{\theta}$, allowing us to apply Kane's result without further assumptions), we find as a consequence of Corollary 6.6 that

$$
\limsup _{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\left\{|d| \leqslant X \text { squarefree }: \frac{\operatorname{dim} \amalg\left(E_{d} / K\right)[2]-\log \log |d|}{\sqrt{2 \log \log |d|}} \leqslant z\right\}}{\#\{|d| \leqslant X \text { squarefree }\}} \leqslant \Phi(z)+2 \sum_{r \geqslant M / 2} \alpha_{r},
$$

where the $\alpha_{r}$ are defined in Kane's Theorem 2. Since the $\alpha_{r}$ determine a probability distribution on the set of $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$, taking the limit $M \rightarrow \infty$ gives the result.

Remark 6.8. It seems reasonable to expect that Corollary 6.7 remains true without the assumption that $E$ has no cyclic 4 -isogeny defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. However, since no analogue of Kane's result is known in this setting we have not been able to prove this.

## 6.3 | Statistical results for Mordell-Weil groups

We now give some consequences for the Mordell-Weil groups of the $E_{d} / K$. We begin with the following algebraic results. Write $G=\operatorname{Gal}(K / \mathbb{Q})$.

Notation 6.9. We write

$$
\Lambda\left(E_{d} / K\right):=E_{d}(K) / E_{d}(K)_{\text {tors }} .
$$

We refer to this as the Mordell-Weil lattice. The action of $G$ on $E_{d}(K)$ makes $\Lambda\left(E_{d} / K\right)$ into a $G$ module.

For a $G$-module $M$, we denote by $M(-1)$ the $G$-module which is isomorphic to $M$ as an abelian group but with $G$-action twisted by multiplication by -1 . That is, the new $G$-action of the generator $\sigma$ of $G$ is given by

$$
m \longmapsto-\sigma(m) .
$$

Lemma 6.10. If $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E_{d}[2]\right)=0$ then there is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-modules

$$
\Lambda\left(E_{d} / K\right) \cong \Lambda\left(E_{d} / \mathbb{Q}\right) \oplus \Lambda\left(E_{d \theta} / \mathbb{Q}\right)(-1)
$$

Proof. By [5, Theorem 34.31], there exist unique $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$ such that

$$
\Lambda\left(E_{d} / K\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{a} \oplus \mathbb{Z}(-1)^{b} \oplus \mathbb{Z}[G]^{c},
$$

where $\mathbb{Z}$ denotes a rank 1 free $\mathbb{Z}$-module with trivial $G$-action. Note that we have an inclusion of $G$-modules

$$
\Lambda\left(E_{d} / K\right) / 2 \Lambda\left(E_{d} / K\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Sel}_{2}\left(E_{d} / K\right) / \delta\left(E_{d}[2]\right)
$$

The right-hand side has trivial $G$-action, as follows from the vanishing of $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E_{d}[2]\right)$ combined with Corollary 4.8(iii). Thus, $\Lambda\left(E_{d} / K\right) / 2 \Lambda\left(E_{d} / K\right)$ has trivial $G$-action also. Thus, $c=0$. Via the natural $K$-isomorphism $E_{d} \cong E_{d \theta}$, we can identify the points of $E_{d}(K)$ on which the generator of $G$ acts as multiplication by -1 with $E_{d \theta}(\mathbb{Q})$. The result follows.

Proposition 6.11. Suppose we have $E_{d}(K)_{\text {tors }}=E_{d}[2]$ and $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E_{d}[2]\right)=0$. Then there is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-modules

$$
E_{d}(K) \cong \mathbb{F}_{2}^{2} \oplus \Lambda\left(E_{d} / \mathbb{Q}\right) \oplus \Lambda\left(E_{d \theta} / \mathbb{Q}\right)(-1)
$$

Proof. By Lemma 6.10, we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda\left(E_{d} / K\right) \cong \Lambda\left(E_{d} / \mathbb{Q}\right) \oplus \Lambda\left(E_{d \theta} / \mathbb{Q}\right)(-1) \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a consequence, take $\mathcal{B}$ to be a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis for $\Lambda\left(E_{d} / K\right)$ such that for all $v \in \mathcal{B}$ we have $\sigma(v) \in$ $\{v,-v\}$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ be a lift of $\mathcal{B}$ to $E_{d}(K)$. Note that $E_{d}(K) / 2 E_{d}(K)$ has a basis comprising the images of the elements of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ and two linearly independent vectors from the submodule $E_{d}(K)_{\text {tors }}=E_{d}[2] \cong$ $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{2}$.

For each $v \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$, we have $\sigma(v)= \pm v+u$ for some $u \in E_{d}[2]$. Since $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E_{d}[2]\right)=0$, the $G$-action on $E_{d}(K) / 2 E_{d}(K)$ is trivial by Corollary 4.8(iii). In particular, $\pm v+u=\sigma(v) \equiv v$ in $E_{d}(K) / 2 E_{d}(K)$, and so $u \in 2 E_{d}(K)$. Since $E_{d}(K)$ has no 4-torsion, $u=0$ and so $\sigma(v)= \pm v$. Thus, the morphism of abelian groups $\Lambda\left(E_{d} / K\right) \rightarrow E_{d}(K)$ induced by the lift $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ of $\mathcal{B}$ is one of $\mathbb{Z}[G]-$ modules, so we have

$$
E_{d}(K) \cong E_{d}[2] \oplus \Lambda\left(E_{d} / K\right) .
$$

The result then follows from (6.12).
Corollary 6.13. For $100 \%$ of squarefree $d$, there is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-modules

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{d}(K) \cong \mathbb{F}_{2}^{2} \oplus \Lambda\left(E_{d} / \mathbb{Q}\right) \oplus \Lambda\left(E_{d \theta} / \mathbb{Q}\right)(-1) \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

More precisely, we have

$$
\lim _{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{d \text { squarefree }| | d \mid<X,(6.14) \text { holds }\}}{\#\{d \text { squarefree }| | d \mid<X\}}=1 .
$$

Proof. Note that for each odd prime $p$, at most two quadratic twists of $E$ have rational $p$-torsion (otherwise $E$ would have at least 3-dimensional $p$-torsion over a multiquadratic extension, which is impossible). In particular, for each odd prime $p$, only finitely many twists of $E$ can have $p$-torsion over $K$. Consequently, by Mazur's torsion theorem [22, Theorem 8], outside of a finite set of $d$ we have $E_{d}(K)_{\text {tors }} \subseteq E\left[2^{\infty}\right]$. Moreover, by Lemma 5.2 , only finitely many quadratic twists have a point of order 4. The result now follows from Theorem 8.2 and Proposition 6.11.

## 6.4 | Twists of the Weil restriction of scalars

In light of Section 4.3, we can recast the above results in terms of the restriction of scalars $\operatorname{Res}_{K / \mathbb{Q}}(E)$ of $E$ from $K$ to $\mathbb{Q}$. To ease notation we write $A$ in place of $\operatorname{Res}_{K / \mathbb{Q}}(E)$. Thus, $A$ is a principally polarised abelian surface over $\mathbb{Q}$. For a squarefree integer $d$, we write $A_{d}$ for the quadratic twist of $A$ by $d$. For each $d$, write

$$
\phi_{d}: A_{d} \longrightarrow E_{d} \times E_{d \theta}
$$

for the isogeny of Remark 4.21, write $\widehat{\phi}_{d}$ for its dual, and denote by $\operatorname{Sel}^{\phi}\left(A_{d} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Sel}^{\hat{\phi}_{d}}\left(E_{d} \times\right.$ $\left.E_{d \theta} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ the associated Selmer groups.

Theorem 6.15. In the notation above, we have the following.
(i) The quantity

$$
\frac{\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(A_{d} / \mathbb{Q}\right)-\log \log |d|}{\sqrt{2 \log \log |d|}}
$$

follows a standard normal distribution. That is, for every $z \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$
\lim _{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\left\{|d| \leqslant X \text { squarefree }: \frac{\left.\operatorname{dim}_{\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(A_{d} / \mathbb{Q}\right)-\log \log |d|}^{\sqrt{2 \log \log |d|}} \leqslant z\right\}}{\#\{|d| \leqslant X \text { squarefree }\}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{z} e^{-t^{2} / 2} d t . . . . . . .\right.}{}
$$

(ii) For $100 \%$ of squarefree d ordered by absolute value, the group $\operatorname{Sel}^{\hat{\phi}_{d}}\left(E_{d} \times E_{d \theta} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ is trivial. Moreover we have

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(A_{d} / \mathbb{Q}\right)=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Sel}^{\phi_{d}}\left(A_{d} / \mathbb{Q}\right)-2
$$

and $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(A_{d} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ is given by the formula on the right-hand side of (6.5).
Proof. The first part follows from Corollary 6.6 and Lemma 4.20. Using Lemma 4.17, Remark 4.18 and Lemma 4.20, the second part is then an immediate consequence of Theorem 6.1, Corollary 4.8(i) and Corollary 6.4.

Remark 6.16. Assume that $E$ has no cyclic 4 -isogeny defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then, since $\amalg\left(A_{d} / \mathbb{Q}\right) \cong$ $Ш\left(E_{d} / K\right)$, as a consequence of Corollary 6.7 we can replace $\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(A_{d} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ with $Ш\left(A_{d} / \mathbb{Q}\right)[2]$ in Theorem 6.15.

## 7 | EXPLICIT LOCAL CONDITIONS FOR FULL 2-TORSION

In this section, we make preparations for the proof of Theorem 6.1 by making the results of Section 4 explicit in the case that $E$ has full rational 2-torsion.

Recall that $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\theta}) / \mathbb{Q}$ is a quadratic extension and $E / \mathbb{Q}$ is a fixed elliptic curve with $E[2] \subseteq$ $E(\mathbb{Q})$. Further, we fix a Weierstrass equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
E / \mathbb{Q}: y^{2}=\left(x-a_{1}\right)\left(x-a_{2}\right)\left(x-a_{3}\right) \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $E$ where, without loss of generality, $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Set $\alpha=a_{1}-a_{2}, \beta=a_{1}-a_{3}$, and $\gamma=a_{2}-$ $a_{3}$. Note that the primes of bad reduction for $E$ all divide $2 \alpha \beta \gamma$, and that $E[2]=\left\{0, P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}\right\}$ where $P_{i}=\left(a_{i}, 0\right)$.

As in Notation 5.1, we fix a finite set $\Sigma$ of places of $\mathbb{Q}$ containing the real place, the prime 2, all primes which ramify in $K / \mathbb{Q}$, and all primes at which $E$ has bad reduction. Note in particular that $\Sigma$ contains all primes dividing $2 \alpha \beta \gamma$.

## 7.1 | Quadratic twists

Let $d$ be a squarefree integer. The quadratic twist $E_{d} / \mathbb{Q}$ is given by the Weierstrass equation

$$
E_{d}: y^{2}=\left(x-d a_{1}\right)\left(x-d a_{2}\right)\left(x-d a_{3}\right)
$$

We have $E_{d}[2]=\left\{O, P_{1, d}, P_{2, d}, P_{3, d}\right\}$ where $P_{i, d}=\left(d a_{i}, 0\right)$.
The following lemma describes the local conditions $\mathscr{C}\left(E_{d} / \mathbb{Q}_{v}\right)$ of Definition 4.1 at primes $p \notin \Sigma$. For a place $v$ of $\mathbb{Q}$, we denote by $\delta_{d, v}: E_{d}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}\right) / 2 E_{d}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}\right) \hookrightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}, E_{d}[2]\right)$ the coboundary map associated to the sequence (2.2) with $A=E_{d}$ and $F=\mathbb{Q}$.

Lemma 7.2. Let $p$ be a prime with $p \notin \Sigma$. Then:
(i) if $p \nmid d$, we have

$$
\mathscr{C}\left(E_{d} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)=\mathscr{S}\left(E_{d} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)=H_{\mathrm{nr}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}, E_{d}[2]\right),
$$

(ii) if $p \mid d$ is split in $K / \mathbb{Q}$, we have

$$
\mathscr{C}\left(E_{d} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)=\mathscr{S}\left(E_{d} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)=\delta_{d, p}\left(E_{d}[2]\right),
$$

(iii) if $p \mid d$ is inert in $K / \mathbb{Q}$, we have

$$
\mathscr{C}\left(E_{d} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)=0 .
$$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime of $K$ lying over $p$.
(i) By Lemma 5.3, we have $N_{K_{\mathfrak{p}} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}} E_{d}\left(K_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)=E_{d}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$. The first equality in Lemma 4.3(ii) thus gives

$$
\mathscr{C}\left(E_{d} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)=\delta_{p}\left(E_{d}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)\right)=\mathscr{S}\left(E_{d} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)
$$

The second equality follows from the fact that $p$ is odd and $E_{d}$ has good reduction at $p$.
(ii) When $p$ splits in $K / \mathbb{Q}$ the local extension $K_{\mathfrak{p}} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ is trivial, so $\mathscr{C}\left(E_{d} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)=\mathscr{S}\left(E_{d} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ by definition. For the second equality, since $p \nmid 2 \infty$, we have $\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{S}\left(E_{d} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)=\operatorname{dim} E_{d}[2]$. In particular, it suffices to show that the restriction of $\delta_{d, p}$ to $E_{d}[2]$ is injective, which follows from Lemma 5.2.
(iii) By Lemma 5.3 and the fact that $E$ has full 2-torsion, it follows from a dimension count that $N_{K_{\mathfrak{p}} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}} E\left(K_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)=2 E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$. The result now follows from Lemma 4.3.

Remark 7.3. Taking orthogonal complements, the above result also determines the local groups $\mathscr{F}\left(E_{d} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ for $p \notin \Sigma$.

## 7.2 | Explicit local conditions

We now use the fact that $E_{d}$ has full rational 2-torsion to give an explicit description of $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E_{d}[2]\right)$ as a subgroup of $\left(\mathbb{Q}^{\times} / \mathbb{Q}^{\times 2}\right)^{2}$.

Let $\lambda_{i, d}: E_{d}[2] \rightarrow \mu_{2}$ be the map $P \mapsto\left(P, P_{i, d}\right)_{e_{2}}$, where $(,)_{e_{2}}: E_{d}[2] \times E_{d}[2] \rightarrow \mu_{2}$ is the Weil pairing. This induces an isomorphism

$$
\left(\lambda_{1, d}, \lambda_{2, d}\right): E_{d}[2] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mu_{2} \times \mu_{2} .
$$

Via this map, we identify $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E_{d}[2]\right)$ with $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, \mu_{2}\right) \oplus H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, \mu_{2}\right)=\left(\mathbb{Q}^{\times} / \mathbb{Q}^{\times 2}\right)^{2}$ (cf. Example 3.2). We similarly identify $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}, E_{d}[2]\right)$ with $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}^{\times} / \mathbb{Q}_{v}^{\times 2}\right)^{2}$ for each place $v$ of $\mathbb{Q}$. In this description, for each place $v$ of $\mathbb{Q}$, the local Tate pairing

$$
\langle,\rangle_{v}: H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}, E_{d}[2]\right) \times H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}, E_{d}[2]\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}
$$

becomes the pairing $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}^{\times} / \mathbb{Q}_{v}^{\times 2}\right)^{2} \times\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}^{\times} / \mathbb{Q}_{v}^{\times 2}\right)^{2} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z} / \mathbb{Z} \cong \mu_{2}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right) \mapsto\left(x_{1}, y_{2}\right)_{v}\left(x_{2}, y_{1}\right)_{v}, \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(,)_{v}$ denotes the quadratic Hilbert symbol. The Kummer map $\delta_{d, v}: E_{d}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}\right) / 2 E_{d}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}\right) \hookrightarrow$ $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}, E_{d}[2]\right)$ then becomes the map

$$
(x, y) \longmapsto\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
\left(x-d a_{1}, x-d a_{2}\right) & x \notin\left\{d a_{1}, d a_{2}\right\}  \tag{7.5}\\
(\alpha \beta, d \alpha) & (x, y)=\left(d a_{1}, 0\right) \\
(-d \alpha,-\alpha \gamma) & (x, y)=\left(d a_{2}, 0\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

See, for example, [33, Proposition X.1.4].

## 7.3 | The group $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E_{d}[2]\right)$

We now define a further Selmer structure, whose associated Selmer group contains $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E_{d}[2]\right)$ as a subgroup, and which admits a cleaner explicit description.

Definition 7.6. Define the Selmer structure $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}_{d}}$ for $E_{d}[2]$ (viewed as a $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$-module) via the local conditions

$$
\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}\left(E_{d} / \mathbb{Q}_{v}\right)= \begin{cases}\mathscr{C}\left(E_{d} / \mathbb{Q}_{v}\right) & v \notin \Sigma, \\ H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}, E[2]\right) & v \in \Sigma .\end{cases}
$$

Denote by $\operatorname{Sel}_{\tilde{C}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E_{d}[2]\right)$ the associated Selmer group.
Note that by construction, $\operatorname{Sel}_{\widetilde{C}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E_{d}[2]\right)$ contains $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E_{d}[2]\right)$ as a subgroup. In particular, if $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E_{d}[2]\right)$ is trivial, then so is $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E_{d}[2]\right)$. The advantage of considering $\operatorname{Sel}_{\widetilde{\mathscr{C}_{d}}}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E_{d}[2]\right)$ is that now Lemma 7.2 describes all non-trivial Selmer conditions.

Notation 7.7. Write $N$ for the squarefree product of all (finite) primes $p \in \Sigma$. Further, write $d=$ $a d^{\prime} d^{\prime \prime}$, where $d^{\prime}$ is the product of all primes $p \mid d$ such that both $p \notin \Sigma$ and $p$ splits in $K / \mathbb{Q}$, and $d^{\prime \prime}$ is the product of all primes $p \mid d$ such that both $p \notin \Sigma$ and $p$ is inert in $K / \mathbb{Q}$.

For $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ squarefree, we identify $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E_{d}[2]\right)$ with $\left(\mathbb{Q}^{\times} / \mathbb{Q}^{\times 2}\right)^{2}$ as in Section 7.2, and further identify $\left(\mathbb{Q}^{\times} / \mathbb{Q}^{\times 2}\right)^{2}$ with the set of pairs of squarefree integers. For a prime $p$ and an integer $n$ coprime to $p$, we write $\left(\frac{n}{p}\right)$ for the Legendre symbol taking value 1 if $n$ is a square modulo $p$, and -1 else.

Proposition 7.8. With the notation and identifications of Notation 7.7, the Selmer group $\operatorname{Sel}_{\widetilde{C}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E_{d}[2]\right)$ consists of pairs $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ of squarefree integers such that the following conditions all hold:
(i) we have $x_{i} \mid N d^{\prime}$ for $i=1,2$,
(ii) we have $\left(\frac{x_{i}}{p}\right)=1$ for all $p \mid d^{\prime \prime}$ and for $i=1,2$,
(iii) for all $p \mid d^{\prime}$ we have

$$
\left(x_{1}, d \alpha\right)_{p}\left(x_{2}, \alpha \beta\right)_{p}=1=\left(x_{1},-\alpha \gamma\right)_{p}\left(x_{2},-d \alpha\right)_{p} .
$$

Proof. By Lemma 7.2 and the definition of the local groups $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}\left(E_{d} / \mathbb{Q}_{v}\right)$, we have $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}\left(E_{d} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)=$ 0 for all primes $p$ with $p \notin \Sigma$ such that both $p \mid d$ and $p$ is inert in $K / \mathbb{Q}$, and $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}\left(E_{d} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)=$ $H_{\mathrm{nr}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}, E_{d}[2]\right)$ for each prime $p$ such that both $p \notin \Sigma$ and $p+d$. These conditions are equivalent to conditions (i) and (ii) in the statement. Since in the definition of $\operatorname{Sel}_{\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E_{d}[2]\right)$ there are no conditions imposed at primes $p \in \Sigma$, in light of Lemma 7.2(ii) it suffices to show that condition (iii) is equivalent to the condition that

$$
\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathscr{S}\left(E_{d} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)=\delta_{d, p}\left(E_{d}[2]\right)
$$

for each prime $p \mid d$ such that both $p \notin \Sigma$ and $p$ splits in $K / \mathbb{Q}$. Since $\mathscr{S}\left(E_{d} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ is its own orthogonal complement under the local Tate pairing, $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ is in $\mathscr{S}\left(E_{d} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ if and only if it pairs trivially with each element of $\delta_{d, p}\left(E_{d}[2]\right)$. Now $P_{d, 1}=\left(d a_{1}, 0\right)$ and $P_{d, 2}=\left(d a_{2}, 0\right)$ is a basis for $E_{d}[2]$, and by (7.5) we have

$$
\delta_{d, p}\left(P_{d, 1}\right)=(\alpha \beta, d \alpha) \in\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times 2}\right)^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \delta_{d, p}\left(P_{d, 2}\right)=(-d \alpha,-\alpha \gamma) \in\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times 2}\right)^{2} .
$$

By (7.4), ( $x_{1}, x_{2}$ ) pairs trivially with both of these elements under the local Tate pairing at $p$ if and only if

$$
\left(x_{1}, d \alpha\right)_{p}\left(x_{2}, \alpha \beta\right)_{p}=1=\left(x_{1},-\alpha \gamma\right)_{p}\left(x_{2},-d \alpha\right)_{p} .
$$

The result follows.

## 8 | PROOF OF THEOREM 6.1

Recall that $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\theta}) / \mathbb{Q}$ is a quadratic extension with Galois group $G$, and $E / \mathbb{Q}$ is an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ with $E[2] \subseteq E(\mathbb{Q})$, and given by a Weierstrass equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
E / \mathbb{Q}: y^{2}=\left(x-a_{1}\right)\left(x-a_{2}\right)\left(x-a_{3}\right) \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Recall also that we have defined integers $\alpha=a_{1}-a_{2}, \beta=a_{1}-a_{3}$, and $\gamma=$ $a_{2}-a_{3}$, and that the integer $N$ is taken to be the product of all primes in the set $\Sigma$ of Notation 5.1.

The aim of this section is to prove Theorem 6.1. Specifically, we will show the following, strictly stronger, result.

Theorem 8.2. We have

$$
\#\left\{d \text { squarefree : }|d|<X, \operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E_{d}[2]\right) \neq 0\right\} \ll X \log (X)^{-0.0394}
$$

In particular

$$
\lim _{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\left\{d \text { squarefree }:|d|<X, \operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E_{d}[2]\right)=0\right\}}{\#\{d \text { squarefree }:|d|<X\}}=1
$$

## 8.1 | First reduction

To prove Theorem 8.2, it suffices to prove the identical result for $\operatorname{Sel}_{\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E_{d}[2]\right)$ (cf. Definition 7.6) in place of $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E_{d}[2]\right)$, since the latter is a subgroup of the former. We begin by defining a further group $S_{d}$ determined by simpler local conditions. Specifically, we wish to 'decouple' the variables $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ appearing in Proposition 7.8. We first introduce some notation.

Notation 8.3. We introduce the following three sets of primes:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{P}_{0}:=\{p \notin \Sigma, p \text { split in } K / \mathbb{Q}, \text { and } p \text { non-split } \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\alpha \beta}) / \mathbb{Q}\}, \\
\mathcal{P}_{1}:=\{p \notin \Sigma, p \text { split in } K / \mathbb{Q}, \text { and } p \text { split in } \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\alpha \beta}) / \mathbb{Q}\}, \\
\mathcal{P}_{2}:=\{p \notin \Sigma, p \text { inert in } K / \mathbb{Q}\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

(If $\alpha \beta$ is a square in $\mathbb{Q}$ we take $\mathcal{P}_{0}:=\emptyset$ and $\mathcal{P}_{1}$ the collection of primes not in $\Sigma$ which split in $K / \mathbb{Q}$.) Note that the sets $\Sigma, \mathcal{P}_{0}, \mathcal{P}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{2}$ give a partition of the set of all primes into 4 pairwise disjoint subsets.

For $i=0,1,2$, we define $\mathcal{F}_{i}$ to be the set of positive squarefree integers $n$ all of whose prime factors lie in $\mathcal{P}_{i}$. Note that for $i \neq j$ we have $\mathcal{F}_{i} \cap \mathcal{F}_{j}=\{1\}$. We write $\mathcal{F}_{i} \cdot \mathcal{F}_{j}$ for the collection of squarefree integers $n$ which can be written as a product $n=n_{i} n_{j}$ for some $n_{i} \in \mathcal{F}_{i}$ and $n_{j} \in \mathcal{F}_{j}$. Note that such a decomposition is necessarily unique.

Remark 8.4. Note that provided $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\alpha \beta}) \nsubseteq K, \mathcal{P}_{0}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{1}$ have Dirichlet density $1 / 4$, and $\mathcal{P}_{2}$ has density $1 / 2$. If $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\alpha \beta}) \subseteq K$ then $\mathcal{P}_{0}=\emptyset$ and $\mathcal{P}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{2}$ both have Dirichlet density $1 / 2$.

Definition 8.5. For $d$ a squarefree integer, define the subgroup $S_{d}$ of $\mathbb{Q}^{\times} / \mathbb{Q}^{\times 2}$ as follows. First, write (uniquely) $d=a d_{0} d_{1} d_{2}$ where $a \mid N, d_{0} \in \mathcal{F}_{0}, d_{1} \in \mathcal{F}_{1}$, and $d_{2} \in \mathcal{F}_{2}$. Now define $S_{d}$ to be the set of squarefree integers

$$
S_{d}:=\left\{x \text { squarefree : } \begin{array}{c}
x \mid N d_{0} d_{1}, \\
\left(\frac{x}{p}\right)=1 \text { for all } p \mid d_{2}, \\
(x, d \alpha)_{p}=1 \text { for all } p \mid d_{1}
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

We allow $x$ to be either positive or negative.

Lemma 8.6. If a pair of squarefree integers $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ is in $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E_{d}[2]\right)$, then $x_{1} \in S_{d}$.
Proof. Immediate from Proposition 7.8, noting that for $p \mid d_{1}$, since $p$ is split in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\alpha \beta}) / \mathbb{Q}$ by assumption, the condition $\left(x_{1}, d \alpha\right)_{p}\left(x_{2}, \alpha \beta\right)_{p}=1$ simply becomes $\left(x_{1}, d \alpha\right)_{p}=1$.

We will show the following. As explained below, this is sufficient to prove Theorem 8.2.

Theorem 8.7. We have

$$
\#\left\{d \text { squarefree }\left||d|<X, S_{d} \neq 0\right\} \ll X \log (X)^{-0.0394}\right.
$$

Proof of Theorem 8.2 assuming Theorem 8.7. Theorem 8.7 combined with Lemma 8.6 shows that the $x_{1}$-coordinate of any element of $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E_{d}[2]\right)$ is trivial for $100 \%$ of squarefree $d$. By symmetry, the same must then be true of the $x_{2}$-coordinate since we can relabel $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ in Equation (7.1) for our elliptic curve in order to interchange the roles of $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$. This shows the limit statement of Theorem 8.2, and running the same argument but keeping track of error terms proves the general result.

We now begin preparations for the proof of Theorem 8.7.

## 8.2 | Notation and preparations

Notation 8.8. Given a positive integer $n$ we write $\omega(n)$ for the number of distinct prime factors of $n$. For $i=0,1,2$ we write $\omega_{i}(n)$ for the number of distinct prime factors of $n$ which lie in $\mathcal{P}_{i}$. We denote by $\mu$ the Möbius function.

We will use frequently the following lemma controlling generalised divisor sums.
Lemma 8.9. Let $a_{0}, a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ be non-negative real numbers. Then we have

$$
\sum_{\substack{X-Y<n \leqslant X \\ n \text { squarefree }}} a_{0}^{\omega_{0}(n)} a_{1}^{\omega_{1}(n)} a_{2}^{\omega_{2}(n)} \ll \begin{cases}Y \log (X)^{\frac{a_{0}}{4}+\frac{a_{1}}{4}+\frac{a_{2}}{2}-1} & \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\alpha \beta}) \nsubseteq K, \\ Y \log (X)^{\frac{a_{1}}{2}+\frac{a_{2}}{2}-1} & \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\alpha \beta}) \subseteq K,\end{cases}
$$

uniformly for $2 \leqslant X \exp (-\sqrt{\log (X)}) \leqslant Y \leqslant X$.

Proof. This follows from a (significantly more general) result of Shiu [32]. Define the multiplicative function $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}$ by setting, for any $k \geqslant 1, f\left(p^{k}\right)=a_{i}$ for $p \in \mathcal{P}_{i}(i=0,1,2)$, and taking $f(p)=1$ for $p \in \Sigma$. We then wish to bound the sum $\sum_{X-Y<n \leqslant X} f(n)$. It follows from Remark 8.4 that we have

$$
\sum_{p \leqslant X} \frac{f(p)}{p} \sim \begin{cases}\left(\frac{a_{0}}{4}+\frac{a_{1}}{4}+\frac{a_{2}}{2}\right) \log \log (X) & \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\alpha \beta}) \subsetneq K \\ \left(\frac{a_{1}}{2}+\frac{a_{2}}{2}\right) \log \log (X) & \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\alpha \beta}) \subseteq K\end{cases}
$$

The result now follows from [32, Theorem 1] (conditions (i) and (ii) needed for that theorem follow in our setting from well-known bounds on the divisor function).

## 8.3 | Reduction to computing a weighted average

To prove Theorem 8.7, we will compute bounds for a certain weighted average of $\#\left(S_{d} \backslash\{1\}\right)$. Specifically, we will prove the following.

Proposition 8.10. For any $1<\gamma<7 / 8+\sqrt{17} / 8=1.3903 \ldots$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{|d|<X, d \text { squarefree }} \gamma^{\omega_{2}(d)-\omega_{0}(d)}\left(\# S_{d}-1\right)=o(X) . \tag{8.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, for $\gamma=1 / 4+\sqrt{17} / 4$ the left-hand side of $(8.11)$ is $\ll X \log (X)^{-0.0394}$.
We begin by showing that this is sufficient to prove Theorem 8.7.
Proof of Theorem 8.7 assuming Proposition 8.10. We first show that the weights are at least 1 for $100 \%$ of squarefree $d$. That is, we claim that

$$
\#\left\{d \text { squarefree }\left||d| \leqslant X, \omega_{0}(d) \geqslant \omega_{2}(d)\right\} \ll X \log (X)^{-0.042} .\right.
$$

To see this, fixing any $\lambda>1$ we have

$$
\#\left\{d \text { squarefree }\left||d| \leqslant X, \omega_{0}(d) \geqslant \omega_{2}(d)\right\} \leqslant 2 \sum_{1 \leqslant d \leqslant X} \lambda^{\omega_{0}(d)-\omega_{2}(d)} .\right.
$$

By Lemma 8.9 , the right-hand side is $\ll X \log (X)^{\lambda / 4+1 /(2 \lambda)-3 / 4}$. Optimising over $\lambda$ we find that when $\lambda=\sqrt{2}$ the exponent is $1 / \sqrt{2}-3 / 4<-0.042$, giving the claim.

Now fix $1<\gamma<7 / 8+\sqrt{17} / 8$. By the claim we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\#\left\{|d| \leqslant X \mid S_{d} \neq 0\right\} \leqslant & \#\left\{|d| \leqslant X \mid \omega_{0}(d) \geqslant \omega_{2}(d)\right\}+ \\
& \#\left\{|d| \leqslant X \mid S_{d} \neq 0, \omega_{2}(d)>\omega_{0}(d)\right\} \\
& \ll X \log (X)^{-0.042}+\sum_{|d| \leqslant X} \gamma^{\omega_{2}(d)-\omega_{0}(d)}\left(\# S_{d}-1\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where above $d$ is implicitly taken squarefree. The result now follows from Proposition 8.10.
Remark 8.12. The reason for the introduction of the weight $\gamma$ is that, in passing from the group $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E_{d}[2]\right)$ to the group $S_{d}$, we have thrown away the Selmer conditions coming from primes in $\mathcal{P}_{0}$ in favour of reducing the number of variables involved. This leads to twists having an abnormally large number of prime factors lying in $\mathcal{P}_{0}$ contributing a disproportionate amount to the average size of $S_{d}$. The weight $\gamma$ is introduced to compensate for this.

## 8.4 | Strategy of the proof of Proposition 8.10

The proof of Proposition 8.10 follows closely the argument of [8, Section 5], which has its origins in the work of Heath-Brown [12, 13]. There, Fouvry-Klüners determine asymptotics for the moments of 4-ranks of class groups of quadratic fields. Our first step is to express the sum in Proposition 8.10 as a sum of Jacobi symbols. We do this in Lemma 8.14, using ideas from [8, Lemma 16]. The resulting sum, given in (8.22), is structurally similar to the one in [8, Lemma 17]. We then adapt the techniques used by Fouvry-Klüners to bound this sum. There are a couple of points at which the argument we give diverges from that of Fouvry-Klüners. First, while they compute higher moments of the sizes of class groups, we need only compute a (weighted version of) the first moment of the size of $S_{d}$. Thus, the intricate study of 'maximal unlinked subsets' undertaken in [8, Section 5.6] can be avoided. On the other hand, the variables $D_{i}$ in [8, Section 5] are allowed to vary over all positive squarefree integers, while ours are constrained to lie in the thin families $\mathcal{F}_{j}$. This necessitates changes to the argument in Fouvry-Klüners' first and fourth families, which correspond to our Sections 8.6.7 and 8.6.6, respectively.

## 8.5 | Expressing the sum in terms of Jacobi symbols

We now begin preparations for the proof of Proposition 8.10 by expressing the relevant sum in terms of Jacobi symbols. We first define the following sums which will be ubiquitous in what follows.

Definition 8.13. Let $\lambda$ and $\eta$ be squarefree divisors (either positive or negative) of $N$. For a tuple $\left(D_{i}\right)_{0 \leqslant i \leqslant 7}$ of coprime positive odd integers, write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_{\eta, \lambda}\left(\left(D_{i}\right)_{0 \leqslant i \leqslant 7}\right):= & \left(\frac{\eta}{D_{2}}\right)\left(\frac{\lambda}{D_{4}}\right)\left(\frac{\lambda}{D_{6}}\right)\left(\frac{D_{4}}{D_{2}}\right)\left(\frac{D_{2}}{D_{4}}\right)\left(\frac{D_{6}}{D_{2}}\right)\left(\frac{D_{2}}{D_{6}}\right) \\
& \times\left(\frac{D_{1}}{D_{2}}\right)\left(\frac{D_{5}}{D_{2}}\right)\left(\frac{D_{7}}{D_{2}}\right)\left(\frac{D_{0}}{D_{4}}\right)\left(\frac{D_{3}}{D_{4}}\right)\left(\frac{D_{0}}{D_{6}}\right)\left(\frac{D_{3}}{D_{6}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now for any real number $X>1$, and any positive real $\gamma$, define

$$
S_{\gamma}(\lambda, \eta, X):=\sum_{\substack{D_{0}, D_{1} \in \mathcal{F}_{0} \\ D_{2}, D_{3}, D_{4}, D_{5} \in \mathcal{F}_{1} \\ D_{6}, D_{f} \in \mathcal{F}_{2} \\ \prod_{i} \leq D_{i} \\ D_{i} \text { coprime }}} \gamma^{-\omega\left(D_{0} D_{1}\right)} 2^{-\omega\left(D_{2} D_{3} D_{4} D_{5}\right)}(2 / \gamma)^{-\omega\left(D_{6} D_{7}\right)} \mathcal{J}_{\eta, \lambda}\left(\left(D_{i}\right)_{0 \leqslant i \leqslant 7}\right),
$$

with the additional condition that, if $\lambda=1$, then not all of $D_{0}, D_{2}$ and $D_{3}$ are equal to 1 in the range of summation.

Lemma 8.14. For any positive real number $\gamma$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{|d|<X, d \text { squarefree }} \gamma^{\omega_{2}(d)-\omega_{0}(d)}\left(\# S_{d}-1\right)=\sum_{a \mid N} \sum_{x_{N} \mid N} S_{\gamma}\left(x_{N},-a x_{N} \alpha, X / a\right) \tag{8.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the right-hand sums run over both positive and negative divisors of $N$.

Proof. Fix $d$ squarefree. As in Definition 8.5, we write $d=a d_{0} d_{1} d_{2}$ where $d_{i} \in \mathcal{F}_{i}$ for $i=0,1,2$, so that

$$
S_{d}=\left\{x \text { squarefree : } \begin{array}{c}
x \mid N d_{0} d_{1}, \\
\left(\frac{x}{p}\right)=1 \text { for all } p \mid d_{2}, \\
(x, d \alpha)_{p}=1 \text { for all } p \mid d_{1} .
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

Now fix $x \mid N d_{0} d_{1}$ and note that we have

$$
2^{-\omega\left(d_{2}\right)} \sum_{z_{2} \mid d_{2}}\left(\frac{x}{z_{2}}\right)=2^{-\omega\left(d_{2}\right)} \prod_{p \mid d_{2}}\left(1+\left(\frac{x}{p}\right)\right)= \begin{cases}1 & \left(\frac{x}{p}\right)=1 \text { for all } p \mid d_{2}  \tag{8.16}\\ 0 & \text { else },\end{cases}
$$

where in the sum above $z_{2}$ runs over all positive divisors of $d_{2}$.
To deal with the conditions at primes dividing $d_{1}$, we write $x$ uniquely as $x=x_{N} x_{0} x_{1}$ where $x_{N} \mid N$ (and may be negative) $x_{0} \mid d_{0}$ and $x_{1} \mid d_{1}$. Say $d_{0}=x_{0} y_{0}$ and $d_{1}=x_{1} y_{1}$. Then for $p \mid d_{1}$, we have (noting that $d_{1}$ and $\alpha$ are coprime and that all $p \mid d_{1}$ are odd)

$$
(x, d \alpha)_{p}= \begin{cases}\left(\frac{x}{p}\right) & p \mid y_{1} \\ (x,-x d \alpha)_{p}=\left(\frac{-a x_{N} y_{0} y_{1} d_{2} \alpha}{p}\right) & p \mid x_{1}\end{cases}
$$

Thus, similarly to (8.16), we have

$$
2^{-\omega\left(x_{1} y_{1}\right)} \sum_{\substack{w_{1}\left|x_{1}  \tag{8.17}\\ z_{1}\right| y_{1}}}\left(\frac{x}{z_{1}}\right)\left(\frac{-a x_{N} y_{0} y_{1} d_{2} \alpha}{w_{1}}\right)= \begin{cases}1 & (x, d \alpha)_{p}=1 \text { for all } p \mid d_{1} \\ 0 & \text { else. }\end{cases}
$$

We now multiply (8.16) and (8.17), write $d_{2}=z_{2} z_{2}^{\prime}, x_{1}=w_{1} w_{1}^{\prime}$, and $y_{1}=z_{1} z_{1}^{\prime}$, and sum over all $x=x_{N} x_{0} x_{1}$ dividing $N d_{0} d_{1}$ to find

$$
\# S_{d}=\sum_{\substack{x_{N} \mid N}} \sum_{\substack{x_{0} y_{0}=d_{0} \\ w_{1} w_{1}^{\prime} z_{1} z_{1}^{\prime}=d_{1} \\ z_{2} z_{2}^{\prime}=d_{2}}} 2^{-\omega\left(w_{1} w_{1}^{\prime} z_{1} z_{1}^{\prime} z_{2} z_{2}^{\prime}\right)}\left(\frac{x_{N} x_{0} w_{1} w_{1}^{\prime}}{z_{2}}\right)\left(\frac{x_{N} x_{0} w_{1} w_{1}^{\prime}}{z_{1}}\right)\left(\frac{-a x_{N} y_{0} z_{1} z_{1}^{\prime} z_{2} z_{2}^{\prime} \alpha}{w_{1}}\right),
$$

where $x_{N}$ may be negative but all other variables are positive and coprime. Note that we necessarily have $x_{0}, y_{0} \in \mathcal{F}_{0}, w_{1}, w_{1}^{\prime}, z_{1}, z_{1}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{F}_{1}$ and $z_{2}, z_{2}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{F}_{2}$, so that in particular $\omega_{0}(d)=\omega\left(x_{0} y_{0}\right)$ and $\omega_{2}(d)=\omega\left(z_{2} z_{2}^{\prime}\right)$. Moreover, the identity element in $S_{d}$ corresponds to $x_{N}=x_{0}=w_{1}=w_{1}^{\prime}=1$. Thus, restricting the range of summation so that not all of these variables are 1 counts $\# S_{d}-1$ instead. To conclude we sum the resulting expression for $\# S_{d}-1$ over all squarefree $d=a d_{0} d_{1} d_{2}$ with $|d| \leqslant X$, weighted by $\gamma^{\omega_{2}(d)-\omega_{0}(d)}$, and relabel variables $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, w_{1}, w_{1}^{\prime}, z_{1}, z_{1}^{\prime}, z_{2}, z_{2}^{\prime}\right)=$ $\left(D_{0}, D_{1}, D_{2}, D_{3}, D_{4}, D_{5}, D_{6}, D_{7}\right)$.

Remark 8.18. The proof above shows that the reason for excluding the terms where $\lambda=D_{0}=$ $D_{2}=D_{3}=1$ in the definition of $S_{\gamma}(\lambda, \eta, X)$ above is to remove the identity element of $S_{d}$ from the count.

Now fix $1<\gamma<7 / 8+\sqrt{17} / 8$ as in the statement of Proposition 8.10. In light of Lemma 8.14, we want to study the sums $S_{\gamma}(\lambda, \eta, X)$.

Definition 8.19. As a book-keeping device, we define the function $\Phi(i, j)(0 \leqslant i \neq j \leqslant 7)$ by setting $\Phi(i, j)=1$ if the Jacobi symbol $\left(\frac{D_{i}}{D_{j}}\right)$ appears in the definition of $\mathcal{J}_{\eta, \lambda}\left(\left(D_{i}\right)_{0 \leqslant i \leqslant 7}\right)$, and 0 else. We say that two indices $i$ and $j$ are linked if $\Phi(i, j)+\Phi(j, i)=1$.

Note that the sets of linked indices are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{1,2\},\{2,5\},\{2,7\},\{0,4\},\{3,4\},\{0,6\},\{3,6\} . \tag{8.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notation 8.21. To write the sums $S_{\gamma}(\lambda, \eta, X)$ in a manageable way, set $\mu_{i}$ to be 1 if $\left(\frac{\eta}{D_{i}}\right)$ appears in $\mathcal{J}_{\eta, \lambda}\left(\left(D_{i}\right)_{0 \leqslant i \leqslant 7}\right)$ and 0 else, and set $v_{i}$ to be 1 if $\left(\frac{\lambda}{D_{i}}\right)$ appears in $\mathcal{J}_{\eta, \lambda}\left(\left(D_{i}\right)_{0 \leqslant i \leqslant 7}\right)$ and 0 else. Further, define

$$
x_{i}:=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\gamma & & i=0,1 \\
2 & & i=2,3,4,5 \\
\frac{2}{\gamma} & & i=6,7 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Finally, we let $\mathscr{D}(X)$ denote the set of tuples of pairwise coprime positive integers $\left(D_{0}, \ldots, D_{7}\right)$ such that all of the following hold:

- we have $D_{0}, D_{1} \in \mathcal{F}_{0}, D_{2}, D_{3}, D_{4}, D_{5} \in \mathcal{F}_{1}$, and $D_{6}, D_{7} \in \mathcal{F}_{2}$,
- we have $\prod_{i=0}^{7} D_{i} \leqslant X$,
- if $\lambda=1$, then $D_{0}, D_{2}$ and $D_{3}$ are not all 1 .

We thus write

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\gamma}(\lambda, \eta, X)=\sum_{\left(D_{i}\right) \in \mathscr{D}(X)} \prod_{i} \kappa_{i}^{-\omega\left(D_{i}\right)} \prod_{i}\left(\frac{\eta}{D_{i}}\right)^{\mu_{i}}\left(\frac{\lambda}{D_{i}}\right)^{\nu_{i}} \prod_{i \neq j}\left(\frac{D_{i}}{D_{j}}\right)^{\Phi(i, j)} . \tag{8.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also define $n_{i}(0 \leqslant i \leqslant 7)$, so that the $D_{i}$ are required to lie in $\mathcal{F}_{n_{i}}$ (for example, $\left.n_{0}=n_{1}=0\right)$.

## 8.6 | Bounds on the sums $\boldsymbol{S}_{\gamma}(\lambda, \eta, \boldsymbol{X})$

Proposition 8.23. For any $1<\gamma<7 / 8+\sqrt{17} / 8$, and for any (positive or negative) divisors $\lambda$ and $\eta$ of $N$, we have $S_{\gamma}(\lambda, \eta, X)=o(X)$. Moreover, when $\gamma=1 / 4+\sqrt{17} / 4$ we have

$$
S_{\gamma}(\lambda, \eta, X) \ll X \log (X)^{-0.0394} .
$$

It is immediate from Lemma 8.14 that Proposition 8.23 implies Proposition 8.10 and so, via Theorem 8.7, we obtain Theorem 8.2. The rest of the section is occupied with the proof of Proposition 8.23.

### 8.6.1 | The contribution from $D_{0}, D_{2}, D_{3}=1$ and $\lambda=\theta$

Recall that $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\theta})$ for some squarefree integer $\theta$ (necessarily dividing $N$ ). We first show that the contribution to $S_{\gamma}(\theta, \eta, X)$ coming from $D_{0}=D_{2}=D_{3}=1$ is negligible, since leaving this in would prevent a uniform argument at a later point. Note that when $D_{0}=D_{2}=D_{3}=1$ all Jacobi symbols appearing in (8.22) are equal to 1 except those that involve $\lambda=\theta$. Moreover, since elements of $\mathcal{F}_{2}$ are products of primes inert in $K$, any $n \in \mathcal{F}_{2}$ has $\left(\frac{\theta}{n}\right)=\mu(n)$. On the other hand, we similarly have $\left(\frac{\theta}{n}\right)=1$ for all $n \in \mathcal{F}_{1}$. Consequently, the contribution to $S_{\gamma}(\theta, \eta, X)$ from tuples with $D_{0}=D_{2}=D_{3}=1$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{\left(D_{i}\right) \in \mathscr{O}(X) \\ D_{0}, D_{2}, D_{3}=1}} \mu\left(D_{6}\right) \prod_{i \neq 0,2,3} \kappa_{i}^{-\omega\left(D_{i}\right)}=\sum_{\substack{r \in \mathcal{F}_{0} \cdot \mathcal{F}_{1} \\ r \leqslant X}} \gamma^{-\omega_{0}(r)} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathcal{F}_{2} \\ n \leqslant X / r}} \gamma^{\omega(n)} \sum_{m \mid n} \mu(m) . \tag{8.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the above, to pass from the left-hand side to the right-hand side we have set $r=D_{1} D_{4} D_{5}$ and $n=D_{6} D_{7}$, noting that, for example, given $r \in \mathcal{F}_{0} \cdot \mathcal{F}_{1}$ there are $2^{\omega_{1}(r)}$ ways or writing $r$ as a product $D_{1} D_{4} D_{5}$ where $D_{1} \in \mathcal{F}_{0}$ and $D_{4}, D_{5} \in \mathcal{F}_{1}$, and that this multiplicity cancels the contribution of $\kappa_{4}^{-\omega\left(D_{4}\right)} \kappa_{5}^{-\omega\left(D_{5}\right)}$. Now since $\sum_{m \mid n} \mu(m)$ is equal to 0 if $n>1$, and 1 if $n=1$, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mid \text { RHS of }(8.24) \mid=\sum_{\substack{r \in \mathcal{F}_{0} \cdot \mathcal{F}_{1} \\ r \leqslant X}} \gamma^{-\omega_{0}(r)} \ll X \log (X)^{-1 / 2} \tag{8.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for the bound we are using Lemma 8.9.

### 8.6.2 | Number of prime factors of the variables

We now show that the contribution coming from $D_{i}$ with a large number of prime factors is negligible. This will be important in Section 8.6.6. Set $\Omega=4 e \cdot\left(\log \log (X)+B_{0}\right)$ with $B_{0}$ as in [8, Lemma 11], and let $\Sigma_{1}$ be the contribution to $S_{\gamma}(\lambda, \eta, X)$ from the tuples $\left(D_{i}\right) \in \mathscr{D}(X)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega\left(D_{i}\right) \geqslant \Omega \text { for some } 0 \leqslant i \leqslant 7 \tag{8.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Writing $n=\prod_{i} D_{i}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\Sigma_{1}\right| & \ll \sum_{\substack{n \leqslant X \\
\omega(n) \geqslant \Omega}} \frac{2^{\omega_{0}(n)} 4^{\omega_{1}(n)} 2^{\omega_{2}(n)}}{\gamma^{\omega_{0}(n)} 2^{\omega_{1}(n)}(2 / \gamma)^{\omega_{2}(n)}} \mu^{2}(n) \\
& \ll \sum_{\substack{n \leqslant X \\
\omega(n) \geqslant \Omega}} \mu^{2}(n) 2^{\omega(n)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and arguing using [11, Lemma A] as in [8, Section 5.3] (paragraph above Equation (30)) we find $\Sigma_{1} \ll X \log (X)^{-1}$.

### 8.6.3 | Ranges of the variables

We now divide the ranges of summation into intervals, and treat these intervals separately. Specifically, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta:=1+\frac{1}{\log (X)^{2}} \tag{8.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and divide the ranges of the variables into intervals $\left[\Delta^{n}, \Delta^{n+1}\right]$ for $n=0,1,2, \ldots$, noting that 1 is the only integer in the $n=0$ interval. For $i=0, \ldots, 7$ we let $A_{i}$ denote a number of the form $\Delta^{n}$ with $1 \leqslant \Delta^{n} \leqslant X$, let $\mathbf{A}=\left(A_{i}\right)_{0 \leqslant i \leqslant 7}$, and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\gamma}(\lambda, \eta, X, \mathbf{A})=\sum_{\substack{\left(D_{i}\right) \in \mathscr{D}^{\prime}(X) \\ A_{i} \leqslant D_{i} \leqslant \Delta A_{i}}} \prod_{i} \kappa_{i}^{-\omega\left(D_{i}\right)} \prod_{i}\left(\frac{\eta}{D_{i}}\right)^{\mu_{i}}\left(\frac{\lambda}{D_{i}}\right)^{\nu_{i}} \prod_{i \neq j}\left(\frac{D_{i}}{D_{j}}\right)^{\Phi(i, j)} \tag{8.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, in light of Section 8.6 .2 and (8.25), we define $\mathscr{D}^{\prime}(X)$ to be the subset of $\mathscr{D}(X)$ consisting of tuples $\left(D_{i}\right)_{i}$ such that $\omega\left(D_{i}\right) \leqslant \Omega$ for each $i$, and such that, if $\lambda=\theta$, then not all of $D_{0}, D_{2}$ and $D_{3}$ are equal to 1 . Since for $\alpha$ small positive we have $\log (1+\alpha) \approx \alpha$, for $X$ large $\log (X) / \log (\Delta) \approx \log (X)^{3}$, so there are order $\log (X)^{24}$ expressions (8.28) as $\mathbf{A}$ varies.

Following [8, Section 5.4], we split the collection of all $\mathbf{A}$ into families and treat each in turn.

### 8.6.4 | First family: $\prod_{i} A_{i}$ large

To exploit oscillations of the Jacobi symbols, it will be necessary to allow the variables $D_{i}$ to range (essentially) freely in the interval $\left[A_{i}, \Delta A_{i}\right]$. To this end, we first deal with the case where the product of the $A_{i}$ is large, where the condition $\Pi_{i} D_{i} \leqslant X$ is relevant. Specifically, the first family of the $\mathbf{A}$ is defined by the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{0 \leqslant i \leqslant 7} A_{i} \geqslant \Delta^{-8} X \tag{8.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The argument here is essentially identical to that occurring between Equations (33) and (34) of [8]: we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\text {A satisfies (8.29) }}\left|S_{\gamma}(\lambda, \eta, X, \mathbf{A})\right| & \leqslant \sum_{\mathbf{A} \text { satisf ies }(8.29)} \sum_{\substack{\left(D_{i}\right) \in \mathscr{D}^{\prime}(X) \\
A_{i} \leqslant D_{i} \leqslant \Delta A_{i}}} \prod_{i} \kappa_{i}^{-\omega\left(D_{i}\right)} \\
& \leqslant \sum_{\Delta^{-8} X \leqslant n \leqslant X} 2^{\omega(n)} \\
& \ll\left(1-\Delta^{-8}\right) X \log (X) \\
& \ll X \log (X)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where for the last inequality we are using

$$
1-\Delta^{-8}=1-\left(1+\log (X)^{-2}\right)^{-8}=1-\left(1-8 \log (X)^{-2}+O\left(\log (X)^{-4}\right)\right) \ll \log (X)^{-2} .
$$

Note that if $\mathbf{A}$ does not satisfy (8.29) then the condition $\prod_{i} D_{i} \leqslant X$ is made automatic by the restrictions on the intervals the $D_{i}$ lie in, and may henceforth be dropped.

### 8.6.5 | Second family: Two large factors corresponding to linked indices

We introduce the parameter $X^{\dagger}:=\log (X)^{78}$, and consider the $\mathbf{A}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{0 \leqslant k \leqslant 7} A_{k} \leqslant \Delta^{-8} X \text {, and there exist linked indices } i \neq j \text { with } A_{i}, A_{j} \geqslant X^{\dagger} . \tag{8.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the argument is almost identical to that given between Equations (40) and (42) in [8], ultimately relying on a result of Heath-Brown exploiting double oscillations of characters [14, Corollary 4]. For such $\mathbf{A}$, since $i$ and $j$ are linked we have (swapping $i$ and $j$ if necessary)

$$
\left|S_{\gamma}(\lambda, \eta, X, \mathbf{A})\right| \ll \sum_{\substack{A_{k} \leqslant D_{k} \leqslant \Delta A_{k} \\ k \neq i, j}} \prod_{k \neq i, j} \kappa_{k}^{-\omega\left(D_{k}\right)}\left|\sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant D_{i} \leqslant \Delta A_{i} \\ 1 \leqslant D_{j} \leqslant \Delta A_{j}}} f\left(D_{i} ;\left(D_{k}\right)_{k \neq i, j}\right) g\left(D_{j} ;\left(D_{k}\right)_{k \neq i, j}\right)\left(\frac{D_{i}}{D_{j}}\right)\right|,
$$

where in the inner sum $D_{i}$ and $D_{j}$ are odd coprime integers with no further constraints,

$$
f\left(D_{i} ;\left(D_{k}\right)_{k \neq i, j}\right)=\mathbb{1}_{\substack{D_{i} \in \mathcal{F}_{n_{i}}, D_{i} \geqslant A_{i}, \omega\left(D_{i}\right) \leqslant \Omega}} \cdot \kappa_{i}^{-\omega\left(D_{i}\right)} \mu^{2}\left(D_{i} \prod_{k \neq i, j} D_{k}\right)\left(\frac{\eta}{D_{i}}\right)^{\mu_{i}}\left(\frac{\lambda}{D_{i}}\right)^{v_{i}} \prod_{k \neq i, j}\left(\frac{D_{i}}{D_{k}}\right)^{\Phi(i, k)}\left(\frac{D_{k}}{D_{i}}\right)^{\Phi(k, i)}
$$

and $g\left(D_{j} ;\left(D_{k}\right)_{k \neq i, j}\right)$ is defined in the same way but with $i$ and $j$ switched. The coefficients $f\left(D_{i} ;\left(D_{k}\right)_{k \neq i, j}\right)$ and $g\left(D_{j} ;\left(D_{k}\right)_{k \neq i, j}\right)$ are complex numbers with absolute value $<1$, so applying [8, Lemma 15] (with $\epsilon=1 / 6$ ) to the inner sum above, and summing over the remaining variables, gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|S_{\gamma}(\lambda, \eta, X, \mathbf{A})\right| \ll \Delta^{2} A_{i} A_{j}\left(X^{\dagger}\right)^{-1 / 3} \cdot \prod_{k \neq i, j} \Delta A_{k} \leqslant X\left(X^{\dagger}\right)^{-1 / 3} . \tag{8.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summing over each of the $\ll \log (X)^{24}$ possibilities for $\mathbf{A}$ we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\mathbf{A} \text { satisfies }(8.30)}\left|S_{\gamma}(\lambda, \eta, X, \mathbf{A})\right| \ll X \log (X)^{-1} \tag{8.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 8.6.6 | Third family: One large and one small factor corresponding to linked indices

We introduce a further parameter $X^{\ddagger}=\exp \left(\log (X)^{\epsilon}\right)$ for fixed $\epsilon>0$ (to be chosen later). Note that for $X$ sufficiently large we have $X^{\ddagger}>X^{\dagger}$. The family of $\mathbf{A}$ we now consider is given by

Neither (8.29) nor (8.30) hold, and $\exists i \neq j$ linked with $1<A_{j}<X^{\dagger}$ and $A_{i} \geqslant X^{\ddagger}$.

This section of the argument corresponds to the treatment of Fouvry-Klüners fourth family [8, Equations (43)-(47)], and we similarly obtain cancellation from the Siegel-Walfisz theorem. However, the conditions that the $D_{i}$ lie in the thin families $\mathcal{F}_{n_{i}}$ necessitate some changes and the resulting argument is modelled on [9, Section 7.5].

Fix such an $\mathbf{A}$. In the definition of $S_{\gamma}(\lambda, \eta, X, \mathbf{A})$, we group all terms involving $D_{i}$. Since $\eta$ and $\lambda$ divide $N$, for fixed $\left(D_{k}\right)_{k \neq i}$ there is a Dirichlet character $\chi_{i,\left(D_{k}\right)_{k \neq i}}$ modulo $4 N$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\eta}{D_{i}}\right)^{\mu_{i}}\left(\frac{\lambda}{D_{i}}\right)^{v_{j}} \prod_{k \neq i}\left(\frac{D_{i}}{D_{k}}\right)^{\Phi(i, k)}\left(\frac{D_{k}}{D_{i}}\right)^{\Phi(k, i)}=\chi_{\left.i,\left(D_{k}\right)\right)_{k \neq i}}\left(D_{i}\right) \prod_{k \neq i}\left(\frac{D_{i}}{D_{k}}\right)^{\Phi(i, k)+\Phi(k, i)} \tag{8.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where in the above we are using quadratic reciprocity for Jacobi symbols. From the definition of linked indices, writing $d:=d\left(\left(D_{k}\right)_{k \neq i}\right)=\prod_{k}$ linked to ${ }_{i} D_{k}$ (which is at least 3 by assumption), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|S_{\gamma}(\lambda, \eta, X, \mathbf{A})\right| \leqslant \sum_{\substack{A_{k} \leqslant D_{k} \leqslant \Delta A_{k} \\ k \neq i}} \prod_{k \neq i} \kappa_{k}^{-\omega\left(D_{k}\right)}\left|\sum_{A_{i} \leqslant D_{i} \leqslant \Delta A_{i}} \kappa_{i}^{-\omega\left(D_{i}\right)} \chi_{i,\left(D_{k}\right)_{k \neq i}}\left(D_{i}\right)\left(\frac{D_{i}}{d}\right)\right| \tag{8.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where in the inner sum $D_{i}$ is in $\mathcal{F}_{n_{i}}$ and is coprime to the $D_{k}$ in the outer sum, and $\omega\left(D_{i}\right) \leqslant \Omega$. Now $d$ is odd and coprime to $N$ so

$$
D_{i} \mapsto \chi_{i,\left(D_{k}\right)_{k \neq i}}\left(D_{i}\right)\left(\frac{D_{i}}{d}\right)
$$

is a primitive Dirichlet character modulo $q$ for some $q$ divisible by $d$, and dividing $4 N d$. In particular, $3 \leqslant q \ll\left(\Delta X^{\dagger}\right)^{7}$ since (8.30) does not hold.

Replacing the inner sum in (8.35) with its maximum possible value we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|S_{\gamma}(\lambda, \eta, X, \mathbf{A})\right| \ll \frac{X}{\Delta A_{i}} \cdot \max _{a, \chi, q}\left|\sum_{\substack{A_{i} \leqslant D_{i} \leqslant \Delta A_{i} \\\left(a, D_{i}\right)=1 \\ D_{i} \in \mathcal{F}_{n_{i}}, \omega\left(D_{i}\right) \leqslant \Omega}} \kappa_{i}^{-\omega\left(D_{i}\right)} \chi\left(D_{i}\right)\right|, \tag{8.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the maximum is taken over all $1 \leqslant a \leqslant X$, all $3 \leqslant q \ll\left(\Delta X^{\dagger}\right)^{7}$ which contain at least one prime factor coprime to $N$, and all primitive Dirichlet characters $\chi$ modulo $q$. Here the condition $\left(a, D_{i}\right)=1$ takes care of the coprimality of $D_{i}$ with the remaining $D_{k}$. We now partition the inner sum according to the number $1 \leqslant l \leqslant \Omega$ of prime factors of $D_{i}$, write $D_{i}=n p$ where $p$ is the largest prime factor of $D_{i}$, and denote by $P^{+}(n)$ the largest prime factor of the remaining integer $n$, giving

$$
\max _{a, \chi, q}\left|\sum_{\substack{A_{i} \leqslant D_{i} \leqslant \Delta A_{i}  \tag{8.37}\\
\left(a, D_{i}\right)=1 \\
D_{i} \in \mathcal{F}_{n_{i}}, \omega\left(D_{i}\right) \leqslant \Omega}} \kappa_{i}^{-\omega\left(D_{i}\right)} \chi\left(D_{i}\right)\right| \leqslant \sum_{1 \leqslant l \leqslant \Omega} \sum_{\substack{n \\
\omega(n)=l-1}} \max _{a, \chi, q}\left|\sum_{\substack{\left(\begin{array}{c}
\text { max } \\
\left(P^{+}(n), A_{i} / n\right)<p<\Delta A_{i} / n \\
(a, p)=1 \\
p \in \mathcal{P}_{n_{i}}
\end{array}\right.}} \chi(p)\right|,
$$

where we allow $n$ to range over arbitrary positive integers with $l-1$ factors. To treat the innermost sum, first note that we can drop the condition $(a, p)=1$ at the expense of adding

$$
\left|\sum_{p \mid a} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{P}_{n_{i}}}(p) \chi(p)\right| \leqslant \omega(a) \ll \log (X)
$$

to its value. Next, since $K / \mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\alpha \beta}) / \mathbb{Q}$ ramify only at primes dividing $N$, a prime $p$ is in $\mathcal{P}_{n_{i}}$ if and only if $p(\bmod 4 N)$ lies in a certain subset of $(\mathbb{Z} / 4 N \mathbb{Z})^{\times}$. In particular, we may express the indicator function $\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{P}_{i}}$ as a finite sum $\sum_{s} a_{s} \chi_{s}$ where each $\chi_{s}$ is a Dirichlet character modulo $4 N$, and the $a_{s}$ are real numbers. Since the modulus $q$ of any $\chi$ appearing in (8.37) contains at least one prime not dividing $N$ (coming from $D_{j}$ ), each $\chi_{s} \chi$ is a primitive Dirichlet character modulo $q^{\prime}$ for some $3 \leqslant q^{\prime} \ll\left(\Delta X^{\dagger}\right)^{7}$ also. By the triangle inequality and [8, Lemma 13] (a consequence of the Siegel-Walfisz theorem) we conclude that for all constants $A>0$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\max _{a, \chi, q}\left|\sum_{\substack{\max \left(P^{+}(n), A_{i} / n\right)<p<\Delta A_{i} / n \\
(a, p)=1 \\
p \in \mathcal{P}_{n_{i}}}} \chi(p)\right| & \ll \max _{a, \chi, q}\left|\sum_{\max \left(P^{+}(n), A_{i} / n\right)<p<\Delta A_{i} / n} \chi(p)\right|+\log (X)  \tag{8.38}\\
& \ll{ }_{A}\left(X^{\dagger}\right)^{4} \cdot \frac{\Delta A_{i}}{n} \cdot \log \left(A_{i} / n\right)^{-A}+\log (X) .
\end{align*}
$$

Now $n$ has at most $\Omega$ prime factors, so the sum on the left of (8.38) is non-empty only if $n \leqslant$ $\Delta A_{i}^{1-1 / \Omega}$, in which case

$$
\log \left(A_{i} / n\right)^{-A} \ll \log \left(A_{i}^{1 / \Omega}\right)^{-A} \ll\left(\frac{1}{\Omega} \log (X)^{\epsilon}\right)^{-A} \ll \log (X)^{-\epsilon A}
$$

We now insert this into Equation (8.38), and insert the result into Equation (8.37) and finally Equation (8.36), to find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|S_{\gamma}(\lambda, \eta, X, \mathbf{A})\right| & \ll A_{A} \frac{X}{\Delta A_{i}} \cdot \sum_{1 \leqslant n \leqslant \Delta A_{i}^{1-1 / \Omega}}\left[\left(X^{\dagger}\right)^{4} \cdot \frac{\Delta A_{i}}{n} \cdot \log (X)^{-\epsilon A}+\log (X)\right] \\
& <_{A} X \log (X)^{1-\epsilon A}\left(X^{\dagger}\right)^{4}+\frac{X \log (X)^{1}}{\left(X^{\dagger}\right)^{1 / \Omega}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Summing over the $\ll \log (X)^{24}$ possibilities for $\mathbf{A}$ and recalling that $\Omega \ll \log \log (X)$, we find

$$
\sum_{\mathbf{A} \text { satisfies }(8.33)}\left|S_{\gamma}(\lambda, \eta, X, \mathbf{A})\right| \ll X \log (X)^{-1}
$$

provided $A$ is chosen large enough (compared to $\epsilon$ ).

### 8.6.7 | Remaining families

We now consider those $\mathbf{A}$ such that
none of (8.29), (8.30), or (8.33) hold.

Here the argument deviates significantly from that in [8]. Fix such an $\mathbf{A}$, and define

$$
\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A}}:=\left\{0 \leqslant i \leqslant 7 \quad \mid A_{i} \geqslant X^{\ddagger}\right\} .
$$

Recalling that $X^{\ddagger}>X^{\dagger}$ (for sufficiently large $X$ ), it follows from the conditions on $\mathbf{A}$ that

- $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{A}}$ is unlinked,
- if $j \notin \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A}}$ is linked to an element of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A}}$ then $A_{j}=1$ (so in particular, if $D_{j}$ is such that $A_{j} \leqslant D_{j} \leqslant$ $\Delta A_{j}$, then $D_{j}=1$ ).

We begin by discarding as many options for $I_{\mathbf{A}}$ as we can simply using the trivial bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|S_{\gamma}(\lambda, \eta, X, \mathbf{A})\right| \leqslant \sum_{\substack{\left(D_{i}\right) \in \mathscr{T}^{\prime}(X) \\ A_{i} \leqslant D_{i} \leqslant \Delta A_{i}}} \prod_{i} \kappa_{i}^{-\omega\left(D_{i}\right)} . \tag{8.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Specifically, let $I$ be any (possibly empty) set of unlinked indices, and let $i_{0}=|I \cap\{0,1\}|, i_{1}=$ $|\{2,3,4,5\} \cap I|$, and $i_{2}=|I \cap\{6,7\}|$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{\text { A satisfies }(8.39) \\ I_{\mathbf{A}}=I}}\left|S_{\gamma}(\lambda, \eta, X, \mathbf{A})\right| \leqslant \sum_{n \leqslant\left(\Delta X^{\ddagger}\right)^{8}} 2^{\omega(n)} \sum_{m \leqslant X / n} \frac{i_{0}^{\omega_{0}(m)}}{\gamma^{\omega_{0}(m)}} \cdot \frac{i_{1}^{\omega_{1}(m)}}{2^{\omega_{1}(m)}} \cdot \frac{i_{2}^{\omega_{2}(m)}}{(2 / \gamma)^{\omega_{2}(m)}} . \tag{8.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here in the above sum, if $i_{j}=0$ then we interpret $i_{j}^{\omega_{j}(m)}$ as being equal to 1 when $m$ has no prime factors in $\mathcal{P}_{j}$. The right-hand side is derived from the left by setting $n=\prod_{i \notin I} D_{i}$ and $m=\prod_{i \in I} D_{i}$. To treat the sum on the right-hand side of (8.41) we apply Lemma 8.9. Here the argument splits according to whether $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\alpha \beta}) \subseteq K$ or not. Since the former, somewhat degenerate, case is easier we make the following assumption, consigning the case $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\alpha \beta}) \subseteq K$ to Remark 8.48.

Assumption 8.42. Assume henceforth that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\alpha \beta}) \nsubseteq K$.
Applying Lemma 8.9 to the right-hand side of (8.41), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{\text { A satisfies }(8.39) \\
I_{\mathbf{A}}=I}} S_{\gamma}(\lambda, \eta, X, \mathbf{A}) & \ll \sum_{n \leqslant\left(\Delta X^{\ddagger}\right)^{8}} 2^{\omega(n)} \frac{X}{n} \log (X / n)^{i_{0} /(4 \gamma)+i_{1} / 8+\gamma i_{2} / 4-1} \\
& \ll X \log (X)^{i_{0} /(4 \gamma)+i_{1} / 8+\gamma i_{2} / 4-1} \sum_{n \leqslant\left(\Delta X^{\ddagger}\right)^{8}} \frac{2^{\omega(n)}}{n} \\
& \ll X \log (X)^{i_{0} /(4 \gamma)+i_{1} / 8+\gamma i_{2} / 4-1+2 \varepsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

with the last $\ll$ following from the bound $\sum_{n \leqslant Y} \frac{2^{\omega(n)}}{n} \ll \log (Y)^{2}$ (to prove this, for example, square the bound $\sum_{n \leqslant Y} \frac{1}{n} \ll \log (Y)$. We now study the exponent $i_{0} /(4 \gamma)+i_{1} / 8+\gamma i_{2} / 4-1+2 \epsilon$
as we vary over unlinked sets $I$. Note that $I$ is contained in one of the maximal unlinked sets of indices

$$
\mathcal{I}_{1}:=\{2,4,6\}, \mathcal{I}_{2}:=\{0,2,3\}, \mathcal{I}_{3}:=\{0,1,3,5,7\}, \mathcal{I}_{4}:=\{1,4,5,6,7\} .
$$

We then have (recall that we have fixed $1<\gamma<7 / 8+\sqrt{17} / 8$ ):

- $I \subseteq \mathcal{I}_{1}$. Here $i_{0}=0, i_{1} \leqslant 2, i_{2} \leqslant 1$, so that

$$
i_{0} /(4 \gamma)+i_{1} / 8+\gamma i_{2} / 4-1+2 \epsilon \leqslant-1 / 4+2 \epsilon
$$

- $I \subseteq \mathcal{I}_{2}$. Here $i_{0} \leqslant 1, i_{1} \leqslant 2$ and $i_{2}=0$, so that

$$
i_{0} /(4 \gamma)+i_{1} / 8+\gamma i_{2} / 4-1+2 \epsilon \leqslant-1 / 2+2 \epsilon
$$

- $I \subseteq \mathcal{I}_{3}$. Here $i_{0} \leqslant 2, i_{1} \leqslant 2$ and $i_{2} \leqslant 1$. Then

$$
i_{0} /(4 \gamma)+i_{1} / 8+\gamma i_{2} / 4-1+2 \epsilon \leqslant 1 /(2 \gamma)+\gamma / 4-3 / 4+2 \epsilon=\frac{(\gamma-1)(\gamma-2)}{4 \gamma}+2 \epsilon
$$

Note that as $1<\gamma<2$ this is strictly negative for sufficiently small $\epsilon$.

- $I \subsetneq \mathcal{I}_{4}$. Since $I$ is properly contained in $\mathcal{I}_{4}$ we have $i_{0} \leqslant 1, i_{1} \leqslant 2, i_{2} \leqslant 2$, and at least one of these inequalities is strict. This leads to three cases. First assume that $i_{0}=0$. Then

$$
i_{0} /(4 \gamma)+i_{1} / 8+\gamma i_{2} / 4-1+2 \epsilon \leqslant \gamma / 2-3 / 4+2 \epsilon .
$$

This is strictly negative for sufficiently small $\epsilon>0$ since $\gamma<3 / 2$. Next, assume that $i_{1} \leqslant 1$. Then

$$
i_{0} /(4 \gamma)+i_{1} / 8+\gamma i_{2} / 4-1+2 \epsilon \leqslant 1 /(4 \gamma)+\gamma / 2-7 / 8+2 \epsilon=\frac{4 \gamma^{2}-7 \gamma+2}{8 \gamma}+2 \epsilon
$$

The numerator has roots at $\gamma=7 / 8 \pm \sqrt{17} / 8 \approx 0.36,1.39$. This is strictly negative for sufficiently small $\epsilon>0$ since $\gamma<7 / 8+\sqrt{17} / 8$ (which is why we have chosen this upper bound on $\gamma$ ). The final case is when $i_{2} \leqslant 1$ where we have

$$
i_{0} /(4 \gamma)+i_{1} / 8+\gamma i_{2} / 4-1+2 \epsilon \leqslant 1 /(4 \gamma)+\gamma / 4-3 / 4+2 \epsilon=\frac{\gamma^{2}-3 \gamma+1}{4 \gamma}+2 \epsilon .
$$

In the range considered, the function $\frac{\gamma^{2}-3 \gamma+1}{4 \gamma}$ is always less that its value at, for example, 2 , where it is equal to $-1 / 8$.

In conclusion, for all unlinked sets $I \neq\{1,4,5,6,7\}$, choosing $\epsilon$ sufficiently small, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{\text { A satisfies }(8.39) \\ I_{\mathbf{A}}=I}}\left|S_{\gamma}(\lambda, \eta, X, \mathbf{A})\right| \ll X \log (X)^{-r_{\gamma}} \tag{8.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $r_{\gamma}>0$, provided that $1<\gamma<7 / 8+\sqrt{17} / 8$.

Remark 8.44. Optimising the exponent $r_{\gamma}$ over $1<\gamma<7 / 8+\sqrt{17} / 8$, we find that the best uniform upper bound for $i_{0} /(4 \gamma)+i_{1} / 8+\gamma i_{2} / 4-1+2 \epsilon$ as we range over all unlinked sets $I \neq\{1,4,5,6,7\}$ is obtained when $(\gamma-1)(\gamma-2) / 4 \gamma=\left(4 \gamma^{2}-7 \gamma+2\right) / 8 \gamma$, which yields $\gamma=1 / 4+$ $\sqrt{17} / 4$. At this choice of $\gamma$ we have

$$
i_{0} /(4 \gamma)+i_{1} / 8+\gamma i_{2} / 4-1+2 \epsilon=\frac{1}{16}(3 \sqrt{17}-13)+2 \epsilon=2 \epsilon-0.0394 \ldots
$$

### 8.6.8 | Completing the argument

Finally, it remains to consider $\mathbf{A}$ satisfying eq. 8.39 such that $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A}}=\{1,4,5,6,7\}$. Since $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A}}$ is a maximal unlinked subset, the assumptions on $\mathbf{A}$ force $A_{0}=A_{2}=A_{3}=1$, so that also $D_{0}=D_{2}=D_{3}=$ 1. Note that the definition of $\mathscr{D}^{\prime}(X)$ then excludes $\lambda=1$ or $\lambda=\theta$. Putting $D_{0}=D_{2}=D_{3}=1$ into the definition of $S_{\gamma}(\lambda, \eta, X, \mathbf{A})$ we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\gamma}(\lambda, \eta, X, \mathbf{A})=\sum_{\substack{\left(D_{i}\right) \in \mathscr{g}^{\prime}(X) \\ A_{i} \leqslant D_{i} \leqslant \Delta A}}\left(\frac{\lambda}{D_{4}}\right)\left(\frac{\lambda}{D_{6}}\right) \prod_{i} \kappa_{i}^{-\omega\left(D_{i}\right)} \tag{8.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{4}, A_{6} \geqslant X^{\ddagger}$ by assumption. We get cancellation in this sum via the Siegel-Walfisz theorem as in Section 8.6.6, although unlike the previous case we must be careful of potential interaction between the conditions defining the sets $F_{i}$ and the Dirichlet characters appearing. Specifically, arguing as in Section 8.6.6, we find

$$
\left|S_{\gamma}(\lambda, \eta, X, \mathbf{A})\right| \ll \frac{X \log (X)}{\Delta A_{6}} \max _{1 \leqslant a \leqslant X}\left|\sum_{\substack{A_{6} \leqslant D_{6} \leqslant \Delta A_{6} \\\left(a, D_{6}\right)=1}} \kappa_{6}^{-\omega\left(D_{6}\right)}\left(\frac{\lambda}{D_{6}}\right)\right|
$$

and that the inner sum satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{\substack{A_{6} \leqslant D_{6} \leqslant \Delta A_{6} \\\left(a, D_{6}\right)=1}} \kappa_{6}^{-\omega\left(D_{6}\right)}\left(\frac{\lambda}{D_{6}}\right)\right| \leqslant \sum_{1 \leqslant l \leqslant \Omega} \sum_{\substack{n \\ \omega(n)=l-1}}\left|\sum_{\substack{\text { max }\left(P^{+}(n), A_{6} / n\right)<p<\Delta A_{6} / n \\(a, p)=1 \\ p \in \mathcal{P}_{3}}}\left(\frac{\lambda}{p}\right)\right| . \tag{8.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

As before we may remove the condition $(a, p)=1$ at the expense of an acceptable error term. To treat the condition that $p \in \mathcal{P}_{2}$, recall that $\mathcal{P}_{2}$ is the set of primes coprime to $N$ which are inert in $K / \mathbb{Q}$. In particular, the indicator function $\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{P}_{2}}(p)$ is given by $\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\left(\frac{\theta}{p}\right)\right)$. Inserting this into the sum, we may apply [8, Lemma 13] as in Section 8.6 .6 since $\lambda \neq 1, \theta$ means that both $D \mapsto\left(\frac{\lambda}{D}\right)$ and $D \mapsto\left(\frac{\lambda \theta}{D}\right)$ are non-principal. Continuing to argue as in Section 8.6.6 yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{\text { A satisfi ies }(8.39) \\ \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A}}=\{1,4,5,6,7\}}}\left|S_{\gamma}(\lambda, \eta, X, \mathbf{A})\right| \ll X \log (X)^{-1}, \tag{8.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

which completes the proof of Proposition 8.23.

Remark 8.48. Suppose instead (of Assumption 8.42) we have $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\alpha \beta}) \subseteq K$. This time applying Lemma 8.9 to the right-hand side of (8.41) gives the bound

$$
\sum_{\substack{\text { A satisfies (8.39) } \\ I_{\mathbf{A}}=I}} S_{\gamma}(\lambda, \eta, X, \mathbf{A}) \ll X \log (X)^{i_{1} / 4+i_{2} \gamma / 4-1+2 \epsilon} .
$$

Splitting into cases according to which maximal unlinked subset $I$ is contained in, one finds that in the first 3 cases, namely $I \subseteq \mathcal{I}_{i}$ for $i=1,2,3$, the exponent satisfies

$$
i_{1} / 4+i_{2} \gamma / 4-1+2 \epsilon \leqslant-1 / 8+2 \epsilon
$$

provided $\gamma<3 / 2$. In the final case where $I \subseteq \mathcal{I}_{4}$, we note that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\alpha \beta}) \subseteq K$ forces $\mathcal{F}_{0}=\emptyset$, so that $D_{1}$ (and also $D_{0}$ ) is necessarily equal to 1 . Thus, $I \subseteq\{4,5,6,7\}$. Now provided $I \neq\{4,5,6,7\}$, the exponent is strictly negative (for sufficiently small $\epsilon$ ) for $\gamma<3 / 2$, and is, for example, equal to $(\sqrt{17}-5) / 8=-0.1096 \ldots$ if one takes $\gamma=1 / 4+\sqrt{17} / 4$ as in Remark 8.44. We are thus left to deal with the case $I=\{4,5,6,7\}$. This forces $D_{2}=D_{3}=1$ (since they are both linked to elements of $I$ ), in addition to $D_{0}=D_{1}=1$. One may conclude as in Section 8.6.8.

## 9 | PRIME TWISTS OF THE CONGRUENT NUMBER CURVE

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.9. That is, we provide an example of a thin subfamily of quadratic twists for which the statistical behaviour of the 2-Selmer group differs from that of the family of all twists. In particular, there is a non-trivial Galois action in a positive proportion of cases, so that, by Corollary $4.8, \operatorname{Sel}_{\mathscr{C}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{Q}, E_{d}[2]\right)$ is non-trivial for a positive proportion of $d$ in our thin subfamily.

We restrict our quadratic field $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\theta})$ to be an imaginary quadratic number field which has class number 1 and in which 2 is inert (so $-\theta \in\{3,11,19,43,67,163\}$ ). Write $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ for the ring of integers of $K$, and note that the only prime which ramifies in $K$ is $-\theta$. We take

$$
E: y^{2}=x^{3}-x=x(x-1)(x+1)
$$

to be the congruent number curve. This has good reduction away from 2. Taking $p+2 \theta$ to be a rational prime, we will explicitly describe the group $\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{p} / K\right)$ as a $G=\operatorname{Gal}(K / \mathbb{Q})$-module.

For a place $v$ of $K$, we will identify the local Kummer images $\mathscr{S}_{v}\left(E_{p} / K\right)$ of Section 2.4 with their image under the 2 -descent map (7.5) (in our case, $a_{1}=0, a_{2}=1, a_{3}=-1$ ), so that

$$
\mathscr{S}_{v}\left(E_{p} / K\right) \subseteq K_{v}^{\times} / K_{v}^{\times 2} \times K_{v}^{\times} / K_{v}^{\times 2} .
$$

We view the Selmer group $\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{p} / K\right)$ as a subgroup of $K^{\times} / K^{\times 2}$ similarly, noting that this identification respects the $G$-action.

For a vector space $V$ and $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n} \in V$ we write $\left\langle v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\rangle$ for the subspace generated by $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$.

## 9.1 | 2-Descent

Our primary goal is to characterise the groups $\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{p} / K\right)$ for $p$ prime, which we do via 2-Descent. We first begin by identifying the local Kummer images at each prime.

Lemma 9.1. Let $p \nmid 2 \theta$ be a prime, and let $v$ be a place of $K$. Then the local Kummer image at $v$ for $E_{p}$ is given by:
(i) if $v \mid \infty$, then

$$
\mathscr{S}_{v}\left(E_{p} / K\right)=0 ;
$$

(ii) if $v \nmid 2 p$, then

$$
\mathscr{S}_{v}\left(E_{p} / K\right)=\langle(1, u),(u, 1)\rangle,
$$

where $u$ is any nonsquare unit in $K_{v}$;
(iii) if $v \mid p$, then

$$
\mathscr{S}_{v}\left(E_{p} / K\right)=\langle(-1,-p),(p, 2)\rangle ;
$$

(iv) if $v=2$ and $\zeta \in K_{2}$ is a primitive third root of unity, then

$$
\mathscr{S}_{2}\left(E_{p} / K\right)=\left\langle T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}, T_{4}\right\rangle,
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
T_{1}:=(-1,-p), & T_{3}:=(\zeta+3, \zeta+3(1+p)), \\
T_{2}:=(1,2), & T_{4}:=(1,4 \zeta+5) .
\end{array}
$$

Proof. Since $K$ is imaginary, if $v \mid \infty$ the group $H^{1}\left(K_{v}, E[2]\right)$ is trivial and so (i) holds. Lemma 7.2 then provides (ii) as $p \notin \Sigma$. To prove (iii), it is enough to note that by Lemma 5.2, since $\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{S}_{v}\left(E_{p} / K\right)=2, \mathscr{S}_{v}\left(E_{p} / K\right)=\delta_{v}\left(E_{p}[2]\right)$.

For $v=2$, note first that $\operatorname{dim}\left(\left(K_{2}^{\times} / K_{2}^{\times 2}\right)^{2}\right)=8$, so, as in Example 3.5, since $\mathscr{S}_{p}\left(E_{p} / K\right)$ is maximal isotropic with respect to the local Tate pairing we have $\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{S}_{2}\left(E_{p} / K\right)=4$.

Let $x_{3}=-(\zeta+3) / 3$ and $x_{4}=-(3 \zeta+2) / 3$. It is elementary to compute that

$$
x_{3}^{3}-p^{2} x_{3} \equiv-3 \zeta \bmod 8 \quad x_{4}^{3}-p^{2} x_{4} \equiv \zeta^{2} \quad \bmod 8
$$

Since $-3, \zeta$ and $\zeta$ are all square in $K_{2}$, by Hensel's lemma each $x_{i}^{3}-p^{2} x_{i}$ is then also a square in $K_{2}$. In particular, there are $y_{3}, y_{4}$ in $K_{2}$ such that $P_{i}=\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$ lies in $E_{p}\left(K_{2}\right)$ for $i=3,4$. We then have $\delta_{2}\left(P_{3}\right)=T_{3}$ since -3 is square in $K_{2}$ and moreover

$$
\delta_{2}\left(P_{4}\right)=(3 \zeta+2,3 \zeta+2+3 p) .
$$

Moreover, the space generated by the $\delta_{2}(P)$ for $P \in E_{p}[2]$ is $\langle(p, 2),(-1,-p)\rangle$. Since $K_{2} / \mathbb{Q}_{2}$ is unramified of degree 2, $p$ is congruent to $\pm 1$ modulo $K_{2}^{\times 2}$, so this space is spanned by $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$.

One then checks that

$$
T_{1} \cdot T_{2} \cdot T_{4}=\delta_{2}\left(P_{4}\right)
$$

inside $\left(K_{2}^{\times} / K_{2}^{\times 2}\right)^{2}$, so that $T_{4}$ is in $\mathscr{S}_{2}\left(E_{p} / K\right)$. Since $T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}$ and $T_{4}$ are readily checked to be linearly independent, the result follows.

In the case that $p$ is split in $K / \mathbb{Q}$, we will need to understand the image of the primes over $p$ in the localisation at 2, for which we will use the following result. As in Lemma 9.1, $p+2 \theta$ is a prime, and we denote by $\zeta$ a fixed primitive third root of unity in $K_{2}$. For $x$ in $K$ we denote its conjugate under the action of $G$ as $\bar{x}$.

Lemma 9.2. Suppose that $p$ splits in $K / \mathbb{Q}$, and write $p=\epsilon \bar{\epsilon}$ for some $\epsilon \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$. Then in $K_{2}^{\times}$we have

$$
\epsilon \equiv \pm(\zeta+2-p) \quad\left(\bmod K_{2}^{\times 2}\right)
$$

(Since -1 is not a square in $K_{2}$, precisely one of these two possibilities occurs.)

Proof. The ring of integers of $K_{2}$ is $\mathbb{Z}_{2}[\zeta]$ and by Hensel's lemma, an element of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}[\zeta]^{\times}$is a square if and only if it is a square modulo 8 . Now using the fact that both 5 and $\zeta=\zeta^{4}$ are squares in $K_{2}$, we find that any element of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}[\zeta]^{\times} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}[\zeta]^{\times 2}$ can be written uniquely in the form $a \pm \zeta$ for some $a \in\{ \pm 1, \pm 5\}$ (in this representation, the trivial class is $-1-\zeta=\zeta^{2}$ ). Now writing $\epsilon\left(\bmod K_{2}^{\times 2}\right)$ in this form we find that, in $K_{2}^{\times} / K_{2}^{\times 2}$, we have

$$
p=N_{K_{2} / \mathbb{Q}_{2}}(\epsilon)=(a \pm \zeta)\left(a \pm \zeta^{2}\right)=2 \mp a .
$$

Thus, $a \equiv \pm(2-p)(\bmod 8)$ and the result follows.
We are now ready to describe the Selmer groups. In the statement, all isomorphisms are as $\mathbb{F}_{2}[G]$-modules.

Proposition 9.3. Let $p$ be an odd prime not dividing $\theta$. Then:
(i) if $p$ is inert in $K / \mathbb{Q}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{p} / K\right) \cong \mathbb{F}_{2}^{4}
$$

(ii) if $p$ is split in $K / \mathbb{Q}$ and $\epsilon \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$ has norm $p$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{p} / K\right) \cong \begin{cases}\mathbb{F}_{2}^{2} \oplus \mathbb{F}_{2}[G] & p \equiv 5,7 \quad(\bmod 8), \\ \mathbb{F}_{2}^{2} & p \equiv 3(\bmod 8), \\ \mathbb{F}_{2}^{2} \oplus \mathbb{F}_{2}[G]^{2} & p \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod 8) \text { and } \bar{\epsilon} \in K_{\epsilon}^{\times 2}, \\ \mathbb{F}_{2}^{4} & p \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod 8) \text { and } \bar{\epsilon} \notin K_{\epsilon}^{\times 2} .\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Let $p \neq 2$ be inert in $K / \mathbb{Q}$. Since $E_{p}$ has good reduction outside 2 and $p$, the 2-Selmer elements are units outside $2, p$. As $K$ has class number 1 we thus want to find all $a_{i}, b_{i} \in\{0,1\}$ for
which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left((-1)^{a_{1}} 2^{a_{2}} p^{a_{3}},(-1)^{b_{1}} 2^{b_{2}} p^{b_{3}}\right) \tag{9.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

lies in both of the local groups $\mathscr{S}_{p}\left(E_{p} / K\right)$ and $\mathscr{S}_{2}\left(E_{p} / K\right)$ described in Lemma 9.1. As $K_{p} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ is unramified of degree 2, both -1 and 2 are squares in $K_{p}$. Thus, all elements of the form (9.4) lie in $\mathscr{S}_{p}\left(E_{p} / K\right)$. We now apply the Selmer conditions at 2 . Since $p$ is odd we have $p \equiv \pm 1\left(\bmod K_{2}^{\times 2}\right)$. Consequently, a global element of the form (9.4) which lies in $\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{p} / K\right)$ necessarily maps to the subspace of $\mathscr{S}_{2}\left(E_{p} / K\right)$ generated by $T_{1}=(-1,-p)$ and $T_{2}=(1,2)$. Restricting to elements of the form (9.4) which do map to this space gives

$$
\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{p} / K\right)=\left\langle(p, 2),(-1,-p),\left(1,(-1)^{\delta} p\right),\left((-1)^{\delta} p, 1\right)\right\rangle \cong \mathbb{F}_{2}^{4}
$$

where $\delta=1$ if $p \notin K_{2}^{\times 2}$ and $\delta=0$ otherwise.
Now suppose $p$ splits in $K / \mathbb{Q}$, and fix $\epsilon \in K^{\times}$such that $\epsilon \bar{\epsilon}=p$. As above, the 2-Selmer elements are unramified outside $\{2, \epsilon, \bar{\epsilon}\}$, so we want to find all $a_{i}, b_{i} \in\{0,1\}$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left((-1)^{a_{1}} 2^{a_{2}} \epsilon^{a_{3} \bar{\epsilon}^{a_{4}}},(-1)^{b_{1}} 2^{b_{2}} \epsilon^{b_{3}} \bar{\epsilon}^{b_{4}}\right) \tag{9.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

lies in each of the groups $\mathscr{S}_{\epsilon}\left(E_{p} / K\right), \mathscr{S}_{\bar{\epsilon}}\left(E_{p} / K\right)$ and $\mathscr{S}_{2}\left(E_{p} / K\right)$ described in Lemma 9.1. This is an elementary computation, which we do by treating each possibility for $p(\bmod 8)$ separately. We repeat the local Kummer images from Lemma 9.1:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{S}_{2}\left(E_{p} / K\right) & =\langle(-1,-p),(1,2),(\zeta+3, \zeta+3(1+p)),(1,4 \zeta+5)\rangle \\
\mathscr{S}_{\epsilon}\left(E_{p} / K\right) & =\langle(-1,-\epsilon \bar{\epsilon}),(\epsilon \bar{\epsilon}, 2)\rangle, \\
\mathscr{S}_{\bar{\epsilon}}\left(E_{p}\right) & =\langle(-1,-\epsilon \bar{\epsilon}),(\epsilon \bar{\epsilon}, 2)\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

We now break into cases.
$\mathbf{p} \equiv-\mathbf{1}(\bmod \mathbf{8}):$ Here -1 is nonsquare in $K_{\epsilon}$. Replacing $\epsilon$ with $-\epsilon$ if necessary, we assume $\bar{\epsilon} \in K_{\epsilon}^{\times 2}$. Note also that 2 is a square in $K_{\epsilon}$. By symmetry, this gives $2, \epsilon \in K_{\bar{\epsilon}}^{\times 2}$. The elements of the form (9.5) which lie in $\mathscr{S}_{\epsilon}\left(E_{p} / K\right)$ are then those of the shape

$$
\left((-1)^{a_{1}} 2^{a_{2}} \epsilon^{a_{3}} \bar{\epsilon}^{a_{4}},(-\epsilon)^{a_{1}} 2^{b_{2}} \bar{\epsilon}^{b_{4}}\right)
$$

Reducing further to those that satisfy the conditions of $\mathscr{S}_{\bar{\epsilon}}\left(E_{p}\right)$ we are left with elements of the shape

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left((-1)^{a_{1}} 2^{a_{2}} \epsilon^{a_{3}} \bar{\epsilon}^{a_{4}},(-\epsilon \bar{\epsilon})^{a_{1}} 2^{b_{2}}\right) . \tag{9.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, as $p \equiv-1(\bmod 8)$ we have

$$
\mathscr{S}_{2}\left(E_{p} / K\right)=\langle(-1,1),(1,2),(\zeta+3,1),(1,4 \zeta+5)\rangle .
$$

Since the first coordinate of each of these basis vectors has valuation 0 , we must have $a_{2}=0$. Further, Lemma 9.2 gives $\epsilon \equiv \pm(\zeta+2-p) \equiv \pm(\zeta+3)$ in $K_{2}^{\times} / K_{2}^{\times 2}$, and since $\epsilon \bar{\epsilon}=p \equiv-1\left(\bmod K_{2}^{\times 2}\right)$ we have $\bar{\epsilon} \equiv \mp(\zeta+3)$. It follows that each of the elements

$$
(\epsilon, 1),(\bar{\epsilon}, 1),(1,2),(-1,-\epsilon \bar{\epsilon})
$$

are in $\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{p} / K\right)$. Since each element of the form (9.6) with $a_{2}=0$ can be written as a linear combination of these Selmer elements, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{p} / K\right) & =\langle(1,2),(-1,-\epsilon \bar{\epsilon}),(\epsilon, 1),(\epsilon \bar{\epsilon}, 1)\rangle \\
& \cong \mathbb{F}_{2}^{2} \oplus \mathbb{F}_{2}[G]
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathbf{p} \equiv 3(\bmod \mathbf{8}):$ Again, -1 is nonsquare in $K_{\epsilon}$ so we assume $\bar{\epsilon} \in K_{\epsilon}^{\times 2}$. Additionally, 2 is nonsquare in $K_{\epsilon}$, hence -2 is a square. With $\epsilon$ and $\bar{\epsilon}$ swapped this all remains true.

The elements of the form (9.5) which lie in $\mathscr{S}_{\epsilon}\left(E_{p} / K\right)$ are thus those of the shape

$$
\left((-2)^{a_{2}} \bar{\epsilon}^{a_{4}}(-1)^{b_{3}} \epsilon^{a_{3}},(-2)^{b_{2}} \bar{\epsilon}^{b_{4}}(-1)^{a_{3}}(-\epsilon)^{b_{3}}\right) .
$$

Reducing further to those that satisfy the conditions of $\mathscr{S}_{\bar{\epsilon}}\left(E_{p}\right)$ we are left with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left((-2)^{a_{2}}(-1)^{b_{3}}(\epsilon \bar{\epsilon})^{a_{3}},(-2)^{b_{2}}(-\epsilon \bar{\epsilon})^{b_{3}}(-1)^{a_{3}}\right) . \tag{9.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we apply the conditions at 2. By Lemma 9.2 we have $\epsilon \equiv \pm(\zeta-1)\left(\bmod K_{2}^{\times 2}\right)$. As $p \equiv$ $3(\bmod 8)$ we have

$$
\mathscr{S}_{2}\left(E_{p} / K\right)=\langle(-1,1),(1,2),(\zeta+3, \zeta+4),(1,4 \zeta+5)\rangle .
$$

Since the first coordinate of each basis element is a unit, we must have $a_{2}=0$. Considering the second coordinate, and noting that $\epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \equiv-1\left(\bmod K_{2}^{\times 2}\right)$, we find $a_{3}=b_{2}$. This leaves a 2-dimensional space of candidate Selmer elements. However, since the elements $(p, 2)$ and $(-1,-p)$ (which correspond to the 2 -torsion points) lie in the Selmer group, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{p} / K\right) & =\langle(-1,-p),(p, 2)\rangle \\
& \cong \mathbb{F}_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathbf{p} \equiv \mathbf{5}(\bmod \mathbf{8}):$ Here -1 is square in both $K_{\epsilon}$ and $K_{\bar{\epsilon}}$, and 2 is a nonsquare unit in both $K_{\epsilon}$ and $K_{\bar{\epsilon}}$. We now split into two cases according to whether $\bar{\epsilon}$ is in $\left(K_{\epsilon}^{\times}\right)^{2}$. To capture this, we fix

$$
\delta= \begin{cases}1 & \bar{\epsilon} \notin K_{\epsilon}^{\times 2} \\ 0 & \text { else. }\end{cases}
$$

Note that if $\bar{\epsilon} \notin K_{\epsilon}^{\times 2}$ then we necessarily have $2 \equiv \bar{\epsilon}\left(\bmod K_{\epsilon}^{\times 2}\right)$. Acting by $\operatorname{Gal}(K / \mathbb{Q})$, we see that $\bar{\epsilon}$ is in $\left(K_{\epsilon}^{\times}\right)^{2}$ if and only if $\epsilon$ is in $\left(K_{\bar{\epsilon}}^{\times}\right)^{2}$.

The elements of the form (9.5) which lie in $\mathscr{S}_{\epsilon}\left(E_{p} / K\right)$ are thus those of the shape

$$
\left((-1)^{a_{1}}\left(2^{\delta} \bar{\epsilon}\right)^{a_{4}}\left(2^{\delta} \epsilon\right)^{a_{3}},(-1)^{b_{1}}\left(2^{\delta} \bar{\epsilon}\right)^{b_{4}} 2^{a_{3}}\left(2^{\delta} \epsilon\right)^{b_{3}}\right) .
$$

Reducing further to those that lie in $\mathscr{S}_{\bar{\epsilon}}\left(E_{p}\right)$ forces $a_{3}=a_{4}$, leaving those of the shape

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left((-1)^{a_{1}}(\epsilon \bar{\epsilon})^{a_{3}},(-1)^{b_{1}}\left(2^{\delta} \bar{\epsilon}\right)^{b_{4}} 2^{a_{3}}\left(2^{\delta} \epsilon\right)^{b_{3}}\right) . \tag{9.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we apply the conditions at 2. By Lemma 9.2 we have $\epsilon \equiv \pm(\zeta-3) \equiv \mp(4 \zeta+5)\left(\bmod K_{2}^{\times 2}\right)$. Moreover, as $p \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$ we have

$$
\mathscr{S}_{2}\left(E_{p} / K\right)=\langle(-1,-1),(1,2),(\zeta+3, \zeta+2),(1,4 \zeta+5)\rangle .
$$

Since $\epsilon \bar{\epsilon}=p \equiv 1\left(\bmod K_{2}^{\times 2}\right)$, we have $\bar{\epsilon} \equiv \epsilon \equiv \mp(4 \zeta+5)$. Thus, the elements

$$
(-1,-1),(\epsilon \bar{\epsilon}, 2),\left(1, \mp 2^{\delta} \epsilon\right),\left(1, \mp 2^{\delta} \bar{\epsilon}\right)
$$

all lie in $\in \operatorname{Sel}^{2}(E / K)$, and are visibly linearly independent. Noting that $(1,-1)$ is not in $\mathscr{S}_{2}\left(E_{p} / K\right)$, we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{p} / K\right) & =\left\langle(-1,-1),(\epsilon \bar{\epsilon}, 2),\left(1, \mp 2^{\delta} \epsilon\right),\left(1, \mp 2^{\delta} \bar{\epsilon}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \cong \mathbb{F}_{2}^{2} \oplus \mathbb{F}_{2}[G]
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathbf{p} \equiv \mathbf{1}(\bmod \mathbf{8}):$ Here both -1 and 2 are squares in both $K_{\epsilon}$ and $K_{\bar{\epsilon}}$. As before, set

$$
\delta= \begin{cases}1 & \bar{\epsilon} \notin K_{\epsilon}^{\times 2} \\ 0 & \text { else. }\end{cases}
$$

The elements of the form (9.5) which lie in $\mathscr{S}_{\epsilon}\left(E_{p} / K\right)$ are those of the shape

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left((-1)^{a_{1}} 2^{a_{2}}\left(\epsilon \bar{\epsilon}^{\delta}\right)^{c_{1}} \bar{\epsilon}^{(1-\delta) c_{2}},(-1)^{b_{1}} 2^{b_{2}}\left(\epsilon \bar{\epsilon}^{\delta}\right)^{d_{1}} \bar{\epsilon}^{(1-\delta) d_{2}}\right) \tag{9.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $c_{1}, c_{2}, d_{1}, d_{2}$ in $\{0,1\}$. For either value of $\delta$ these elements all lie in $\mathscr{S}_{\bar{\epsilon}}\left(E_{p}\right)$.
Finally, we apply the conditions at 2. By Lemma 9.2. we have

$$
\epsilon \equiv \pm(-\zeta-1) \equiv \pm \zeta^{2} \equiv \pm 1 \quad\left(\bmod K_{2}^{\times 2}\right)
$$

and as $\epsilon \bar{\epsilon}=p$ with have $\epsilon \equiv \bar{\epsilon}\left(\bmod K_{2}^{\times 2}\right)$. Moreover, with $p \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$ we have

$$
\mathscr{S}_{2}\left(E_{p} / K\right)=\langle(-1,-1),(1,2),(\zeta+3, \zeta+6),(1,4 \zeta+5)\rangle .
$$

As the first coordinate of each of these basis elements has trivial valuation, we have $a_{2}=0$.
Suppose $\delta=1$. Then we see that an element of the form (9.9) is in the Selmer group if and only if, in addition to $a_{2}=0$, we have $a_{1}=b_{1}$. Thus, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{p} / K\right) & =\langle(-1,-1),(1,2),(1, \epsilon \bar{\epsilon}),(\epsilon \bar{\epsilon}, 1)\rangle \\
& \cong \mathbb{F}_{2}^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now suppose that $\delta=0$. Setting $a_{2}=0$ in (9.9) leaves a 7 -dimensional space of candidate Selmer elements. Further, one readily checks that ( $-1,1$ ), which has the form (9.9) for $a_{1}=1$ and all other variables 0 , is not in $\mathscr{S}_{2}\left(E_{p} / K\right)$. Thus, $\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{p} / K\right)$ is at most 6-dimensional. However, using the fact that $\epsilon \equiv \bar{\epsilon} \equiv \pm 1\left(\bmod K_{2}^{\times 2}\right)$, one readily checks that the six linearly independent elements

$$
\{(-1,-1),(1,2),(1, \pm \epsilon),( \pm \epsilon, 1),(1, \pm \bar{\epsilon}),( \pm \bar{\epsilon}, 1)\}
$$

each of which are of the form (9.9), map to $\mathscr{S}_{2}\left(E_{p} / K\right)$ after localising at 2 . Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{p} / K\right) & =\langle(-1,-1),(1,2),(1, \pm \epsilon),( \pm \epsilon, 1),(1, \pm \bar{\epsilon}),( \pm \bar{\epsilon}, 1)\rangle \\
& \cong \mathbb{F}_{2}^{2} \oplus \mathbb{F}_{2}[G]^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
Remark 9.10. The proof of part (i) shows that the conditions at inert primes impose no restrictions. Using this observation, one sees similarly that if $d$ is odd and divisible only by inert primes, then

$$
\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / K\right) \cong \mathbb{F}_{2}^{2+2 \omega(d)}
$$

This gives a concrete instance of the growth of $\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{d} / K\right)$ seen also in, for example, Proposition 5.6.

## 9.2 | Statistics

Here we use Rédei symbols alongside the Chebotarev density theorem to determine the statistical behaviour of $\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{p} / K\right)$ from Proposition 9.3. We refer the reader to [35] for definitions concerning Rédei symbols.

Lemma 9.11. Let $p \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$ be a prime which splits in $K / \mathbb{Q}$, and let $\epsilon \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$ have norm $p$. Then $\bar{\epsilon} \in\left(K_{\epsilon}^{\times}\right)^{2}$ if and only if the Rédei symbol $[\theta,-\theta, p]$ is trivial.

Proof. Note that -1 is a square in $K_{\epsilon}$ since $p \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$. In particular, the statement is unchanged upon replacing $\epsilon$ with $-\epsilon$. By Lemma 9.2, we may thus assume that we have

$$
\bar{\epsilon} \equiv-(\zeta+1)=\zeta^{2} \equiv 1 \quad\left(\bmod K_{2}^{\times 2}\right)
$$

Now consider the diagram of fields

$$
\begin{gathered}
F=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\theta}, \sqrt{\epsilon}, \sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}}) \\
K(\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}}) \quad L=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\theta}, \sqrt{p}) \\
K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\theta}) \quad K^{\prime}=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\theta p})
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $\epsilon$ ramifies in $L / K$, we see that $\bar{\epsilon} \in\left(K_{\epsilon}^{\times}\right)^{2}$ if and only if the unique prime of $L$ lying over $\epsilon$ splits in $F / L$. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ denote the unique prime of $K^{\prime}$ lying over $p$. Since $p$ splits in $K / \mathbb{Q}$, we see that $\mathfrak{p}$ splits in $L / K^{\prime}$. Further, $\bar{\epsilon}$ ramifies in $L / K$ and hence has even valuation (either 0 or
2) at any prime $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime} \mid \mathfrak{p}$ of $L$. In particular, the extension $F=L(\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}}) / L$ is unramified at such $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$. Thus, $F / K^{\prime}$ is unramified at $\mathfrak{p}$. We now conclude that $\bar{\epsilon} \in\left(K_{\epsilon}^{\times}\right)^{2}$ if and only if the Artin symbol $\left(\frac{F / K^{\prime}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ is trivial. Before relating this to a Rédei symbol, it will be useful to prove the following two claims.

Claim 1: The field $F / K^{\prime}$ is everywhere unramified. That $F^{\prime} / K^{\prime}$ is unramified at primes not dividing $2 p \theta$ is clear, and we have already shown that the unique prime of $K^{\prime}$ dividing $p$ is unramified in $F^{\prime} / K^{\prime}$. For primes over 2 note that $K$ and $K^{\prime}$ are unramified at 2 , and so $L / \mathbb{Q}$ is unramified at 2 also. Further, having chosen $\bar{\epsilon}$ to be a square in $K_{2}$, the extension $K(\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}}) / K$ is split at 2 . Thus, as the compositum of $K(\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}})$ and $L$, the full extension $F / \mathbb{Q}$ is unramified at 2 . Now note that $l=-\theta$ is an odd prime. Since $p$ has trivial $l$-adic valuation, the extension $F=K^{\prime}(\sqrt{p}, \sqrt{\epsilon}) / K^{\prime}$ is unramified at (the unique prime of $K^{\prime}$ over) $l$. This proves the claim.

Claim 2: For each prime $q$, the Hilbert symbols $(p, \theta)_{q}$ and $(p, p)_{q}$ are trivial. By assumption, $p$ is a norm from $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\theta})$, so that $(p, \theta)_{q}$ is trivial for all $q$. Next, for each prime $q$ we have $(p, p)_{q}=(p,-1)_{q}$. That this latter symbol is trivial for $q \neq 2, p$ is immediate, while for $q=2, p$ it is trivial since $p \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$. This proves the claim.

Returning to the proof, by Claim 2 the Rédei symbol [ $\theta, p, p$ ] exists (see [35, Definition 7.8]). Writing $\epsilon=x+y \sqrt{\theta}$ for $x, y$ in $\mathbb{Q}$, we have $x^{2}-\theta y^{2}=p$ by assumption. The field $F$ is then given by adjoining to $L$ the element

$$
\sqrt{\epsilon}=\sqrt{x+y \sqrt{\theta}}
$$

Further, by Claim 1 the extension $F / K^{\prime}$ is minimally ramified in the sense of [35, Definition 7.6]. Thus, we may take $a=\theta, b=p$ and $F_{a, b}=F$ in [35, Definition 7.8], giving $[\theta, p, p]=\left(\frac{F / K^{\prime}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$. Consequently, we see that $\bar{\epsilon} \in K_{\epsilon}^{\times 2}$ if and only if the Rédei symbol $[\theta, p, p]$ is trivial.

By [35, Proposition 7.10], the Rédei symbol $[p, \theta,-\theta p]$ exists and is trivial (to see that $\theta p$ is a second kind decomposition, use [35, Proposition 4.2(4)] and our computations of Hilbert symbols above). Now, using the trilinearity and reciprocity of Rédei symbols [35, Theorem 1.1], we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[\theta, p, p] } & =[p, \theta, p]+[p, \theta,-\theta p] \\
& =[p, \theta,-\theta] \\
& =[\theta,-\theta, p]
\end{aligned}
$$

as required.
This allows us to give a complete statistical description of the $\mathbb{F}_{2}[G]$-module $\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{p} / K\right)$. First we introduce some notation.

Notation 9.12. For $p$ a prime, we define non-negative integers $e_{1}\left(E_{p} / K\right)$ and $e_{2}\left(E_{p} / K\right)$ such that we have a $G$-module isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Sel}^{2}\left(E_{p} / K\right) \cong \mathbb{F}_{2}^{e_{1}\left(E_{p} / K\right)} \oplus \mathbb{F}_{2}[G]^{e_{2}\left(E_{p} / K\right)}
$$

Theorem 9.13. The density of primes p for which $e_{1}\left(E_{p} / K\right)=e_{1}$ and $e_{2}\left(E_{p} / K\right)=e_{2}$ is as follows:

$$
\lim _{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\left\{p \leqslant X \text { prime }: e_{1}\left(E_{p} / K\right)=e_{1} \text { and } e_{2}\left(E_{p} / K\right)=e_{2}\right\}}{\#\{p \leqslant X \text { prime }\}}= \begin{cases}9 / 16 & \text { if }\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)=(4,0) \\ 1 / 16 & \text { if }\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)=(2,2) \\ 1 / 4 & \text { if }\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)=(2,1) \\ 1 / 8 & \text { if }\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)=(2,0)\end{cases}
$$

Proof. As a consequence of Lemma 9.11, and the Chebotarev density theorem applied to Proposition 9.3 , it suffices to show that $[\theta,-\theta, p]$ is trivial for precisely half of the primes $p \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$ which split in $K / \mathbb{Q}$ (with respect to the natural density).

Fix a prime $p \nmid 2 \theta$. In the notation of [35, Definitions 7.6, 7.8], let $F_{\theta,-\theta}$ be minimally ramified over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\theta}, \sqrt{-1})$, so that by definition the Rédei symbol $[\theta,-\theta, p]$ is equal to the Artin symbol

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{F_{\theta,-\theta} / \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \tag{9.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathfrak{p}$ is any ideal of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ of norm $p$. The field $F_{\theta,-\theta}$ is a cyclic degree 4 extension of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ fitting into the diagram below. It is dihedral of degree 8 over $\mathbb{Q}$ and contains $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\theta}, \sqrt{-1})$ as a subfield.


The field $F_{\theta,-\theta}\left(\zeta_{8}\right) / \mathbb{Q}$ is Galois of degree 16 . Now $p$ both splits in $K / \mathbb{Q}$ and is congruent to 1 modulo 8 if and only if it splits completely in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\theta}, \sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{2})=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{\theta}, \zeta_{8}\right)$. On the other hand, the Artin symbol (9.14) is trivial if and only if $p$ splits completely in $F_{\theta,-\theta}$.

Consequently, we wish to compute the density of primes which split completely in $F_{\theta,-\theta}\left(\zeta_{8}\right)$, among those that split completely in $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{\theta}, \zeta_{8}\right)$. By the Chebotarev density theorem, this is equal to $1 / 2$.
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