

Markousis-Mavrogenis, G. et al. (2022) Multimarker profiling identifies protective and harmful immune processes in heart failure: findings from BIOSTAT-CHF. *Cardiovascular Research*, 118(8), pp. 1964-1977.

(doi: <u>10.1093/cvr/cvab235</u>)

This is the Author Accepted Manuscript.

There may be differences between this version and the published version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

http://eprints.gla.ac.uk/246985/

Deposited on: 16 July 2021

Enlighten – Research publications by members of the University of Glasgow <u>http://eprints.gla.ac.uk</u>

Multimarker profiling identifies protective and harmful immune processes in heart failure: findings from BIOSTAT-CHF

George Markousis-Mavrogenis^{*a}, BSc (Hons); Jasper Tromp^{*a,b,c}, MD PhD; Wouter Ouwerkerk^{b,d}, MSc, PhD; Joao Pedro Fereirra^e, MD PhD; S.D. Anker^{f,g}, MD, PhD; J.G. Cleland^h, MD; K. Dicksteinⁱ, MD, PhD; G. Filippatos^j, MD; C.C. Lang^k, MD; M. Metra^l, MD; N.J Samani^k, MD; The BIOSTAT-CHF Consortium; R. A. de Boer^a, MD, PhD; Dirk J. van Veldhuisen^a, MD, PhD; Adriaan A. Voors^a, MD, PhD; Peter van der Meer^a, MD, PhD

*These authors contributed equally to this work

a. Department of Cardiology, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands

b. Saw Swee Hock school of public health, National University of Singapore, Singapore

c. Duke-NUS medical school Singapore, Singapore

d. Department of Dermatology, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam Infection & Immunity Institute, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

e. Inserm CIC 1433, Université de Lorrain, CHU de Nancy, Nancy, France

f. Division of Cardiology and Metabolism – Heart Failure, Cachexia & Sarcopenia; Department of Cardiology (CVK); and Berlin-Brandenburg Center for Regenerative Therapies (BCRT), at Charité University Medicine, Berlin, Germany

g. Department of Cardiology and Pneumology, University Medicine Göttingen (UMG), Göttingen, Germany & DZHK (German Center for Cardiovascular Research)

h. Robertson Centre for Biostatistics, Institute of Health and Wellbeing, University of Glasgow, Glasgow and National Heart & Lung Institute, Imperial College, London, UK.

i. University of Bergen, Stavanger University Hospital, Stavanger, Norway

j. National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, School of Medicine, Department of Cardiology, Heart Failure Unit, Athens University Hospital Attikon, Athens, Greece

k. Division of Molecular & Clinical Medicine, University of Dundee, Dundee DD1 9SY, UK

I. Institute of Cardiology, Department of medical and surgical specialties, radiological sciences and public health; University of Brescia, Italy

m. Department of Cardiovascular Sciences, University of Leicester, Glenfield Hospital, Leicester, UK and NIHR Leicester Biomedical Research Centre, Glenfield Hospital, Leicester, LE3 9QP, UK

Word count: 7281 words (253 words abstract + 4421 words main manuscript + 2060 words references + 547 words main figure legends)

Short title: The Immune System in Heart Failure

Keywords: inflammation, heart failure, immunomodulation, biomarkers, interferon-gamma, ICOSLG, CD28, CD70, TNFRSF14

Address for correspondence: Prof. Dr. Peter van der Meer, MD, PhD. Department of Cardiology, University Medical Center Groningen, Hanzeplein 1, 9713 GZ Groningen, The Netherlands.

Abstract

Aims. The exploration of novel immunomodulatory interventions to improve outcome in heart failure (HF) is hampered by the complexity/redundancies of inflammatory pathways, which remain poorly understood. We thus aimed to investigate the associations between the activation of diverse immune processes and outcomes in patients with HF.

Methods and Results. We measured 355 biomarkers in 2,022 patients with worsening HF and an independent validation cohort (n=1,691) (BIOSTAT-CHF index and validation cohorts), and classified them according to their functions into biological processes based on the Gene Ontology classification. Principal component analyses were used to extract weighted scores per process. We investigated the association of these processes with all-cause mortality at 2-year follow-up. The contribution of each biomarker to the weighted score(s) of the processes was used to identify potential therapeutic targets. Mean age was 69 (±12.0) years and 537 (27%) patients were women. We identified 64 unique overrepresented immune-related processes representing 188 of 355 biomarkers. Of these processes, 19 were associated with all-cause mortality (10 positively and 9 negatively). Increased activation of "*T-cell costimulation*" and "*response to interferon gamma/positive regulation of interferon gamma production*" showed the most consistent positive and negative associations with all-cause mortality respectively, after external validation. Within *T-cell costimulation*, inducible co-stimulator-ligand (ICOSLG), CD28, CD70, and tumor necrosis factor superfamily member-14 (TNFSF14) were identified as potential therapeutic targets.

Conclusions. We demonstrate the divergent protective and harmful effects of different immune processes in HF and suggest novel therapeutic targets. These findings constitute a rich knowledge base for informing future studies of inflammation in HF.

Translational Perspective

Previous large randomized control trials employing agents targeting TNF- α in HF failed to show benefit. The current study serves as a knowledge base for future studies and drug development pipelines aimed at the identification of novel immunomodulatory agents or the repurposing of existing therapies for the treatment of HF. This is accomplished by a thorough multi-marker mapping of immune activation in patients with HF and the identification of a multitude of novel targets that can be independently investigated.

Introduction

The pivotal role of the immune system in the initiation and progression of heart failure (HF) is supported by extensive literature ^{1.2}. These findings have resulted in several studies on the effects of immune-modulating therapies in HF, mostly focusing on tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α). The neutral or even negative results of these studies have fueled the assumption that although HF is associated with increased immune activation, there might not be a causal relationship. However, the immune system is a highly complex entity incorporating interweaving molecular signaling mechanisms and numerous redundancies ³. An alternative hypothesis might thus be that past studies did not target the right immune processes and/or mediators. Hundreds of immune-related mediators take part in orchestrating an immune response ³, with some being used in revolutionary new treatments in the fields of immuno-oncology and rheumatology. As such, immunomodulation might still be a viable treatment option for HF. To identify such new targets in HF, a more holistic approach towards the study of immune-related biomarkers is required, as a single biomarker cannot realistically represent all aspects of the immune system. Therefore, the aim of this study was to characterize immune activation in a diverse cohort of patients with HF, in order to discern the differential effects of distinct immune-related processes on mortality and to identify promising targets for immunomodulation.

Methods

Patients

This was a post-hoc analysis of the BIOSTAT-CHF study cohort, which has been described previously ⁴. Briefly, BIOSTAT-CHF was a multi-center observational study enrolling patients from 11 European countries; it was comprised of an index and validation cohort (n= 2516 and 1738, respectively). Participants in the index cohort were aged \geq 18 years, had symptoms of new-onset or worsening HF, confirmed by a left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) $\leq 40\%$ or brain-type natriuretic peptide (BNP) and/or N-terminal pro-BNP (NT-proBNP) plasma levels >400 pg/mL or >2,000 pg/mL respectively. Participants had not been previously treated with angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors/angiotensin receptor blockers (ACEi/ARB) and/or β -adrenoreceptor blockers (BB) or were receiving \leq 50% of guidelinerecommended target doses, and anticipated their initiation or up-titration. All patients were treated with loop diuretics. The BIOSTAT-CHF validation cohort was designed as a multicenter, prospective, observational study including patients from six centers in Scotland, UK. Participants in the validation cohort were aged \geq 18, were diagnosed with HF, had a previous admission for HF requiring diuretic treatment, were treated with furosemide ≥ 20 mg/day or equivalent, were not previously treated with or were receiving $\leq 50\%$ of target doses of ACEi/ARB and/or BB, according to the 2008 European Society of Cardiology guidelines, and anticipated initiation or uptitration of ACEi/ARBs and/or BB. Patients could be enrolled as inpatients or from outpatient clinics. The primary outcome in both cases was all-cause mortality censored at 2-year follow-up. The study protocol conformed to the principles outlined in the declaration of Helsinki and was approved by local and national medical ethics committees (EudraCT 2010- 020808- 29; R&D Ref Number 2008- CA03; MREC Number 10/S1402/39). All participants provided written informed consent before study inclusion.

Laboratory Indices

We measured 368 biomarkers in plasma from 2022 and 1691 patients of the BIOSTAT-CHF index/validation cohorts (CVD-II/-III, immune and oncology panels; Olink Proteomics). Plasma was collected using calcium-ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA)-coated tubes. Each panel included 92 biomarkers (listed in <u>Supplementary Tables 1-4</u>), with the only overlap being IL-6, c-kit ligand and amphiregulin. For overlapping biomarkers, the mean of all measurements was used, leaving 364 distinct biomarkers. We also excluded 8 biomarkers with >10% of measurements below the assay's lowest limit of detection (<u>Supplementary Table 2</u>), leaving 356 biomarkers suitable for analysis. Other measurements included plasma concentrations of NT-proBNP, C-reactive protein (CRP), procalcitonin (PCT), high-

sensitivity cardiac troponin-T (hs-cTnT), iron, ferritin and transferrin. Estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was calculated using the MDRD formula. NT-proBNP, hs-cTnT, ferritin and transferrin were measured using sandwich immunoassays (Roche Inc.), iron was measured using a colorimetric assay (Roche Inc.), PCT was measured using sandwich immunoassays (Alere Inc.) and CRP was measured using competitive immunoassays on a Luminex platform (Alere Inc.).

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using R v.3.6.0 and the "GProfiler" pathway analyzer ⁵. Normality of continuous variables was determined using Q-Q plots/histograms. Normally distributed variables are presented as mean (standard deviation), continuous skewed variables are presented as median (interquartile range) and binary/categorical variables are presented as number (%).

Initially, the 356 analyzable biomarkers were imported into GProfiler and an overrepresentation analysis was performed. To determine the functions of each biomarker, results were categorized based on the gene ontology (GO) classification of biological processes (annotation 2020-01-01)^{6,7}. Correction for multiple comparisons was performed using the built-in g:SCS algorithm (false discovery rate 5%); only processes with at least 5 of their constituents available were considered significant. Lastly, the biomarker corneodesmosin (CDSN) could not be analyzed (355 biomarkers successfully analyzed). In order to isolate only immune-related GO biological processes, we selected the most distant 2nd or 3rd degree children terms of the processes *cytokine production* (GO:0001816), *defense response* (GO:0006952), and *immune system process* (GO:0002376) (Figure 1 and 2, Supplementary Graphic 1, see also supplementary methods).

To study immune-related biological processes, we utilized principal component analysis (PCA) to reduce the dimensionality of the biomarker constituents of each process. A weighted score (1st principal component) was generated to which each biomarker contributed to a greater or lesser extent, based on how much population variance they explain. The weighted score for each process was used in multivariable Cox regression models to study their association with outcomes. The same procedure was followed in the

validation cohort. The analysis of the index cohort was additionally corrected for antibiotic use. Proportionality of hazards was confirmed using standardized Schoenfeld residuals. Statistical significance was considered for $p \le 0.05$.

Selection of potential treatment targets was based on a two-pronged approach. The first criterion was individual biomarker membership only in processes significantly associated with all-cause mortality either negatively or positively; the most promising targets were selected based on their contribution to the particular process(es). The second criterion was biomarkers with large positive or negative net effects on mortality (i.e. biomarkers with contributions heavily favoring processes positively or negatively associated with all-cause mortality). In both cases, contributions refer to the extent each biomarker contributed to the weighted score of each process based on PCA. Biomarkers identified based on the first method are referred to as narrow spectrum/high specificity targets, while those identified based on the second method are referred to as broad spectrum/low specificity targets.

Results

Baseline characteristics for the index cohort are presented in **Table 1**. Mean age was 69 ± 12 years and 537 (27%) patients were women. Primary HF etiology was most frequently ischaemic [895 (45%)], 202 (11%) patients had an LVEF >40% and median NT-proBNP was 2679 pg/mL (IQR: 1200, 5639). At 2-year follow-up, 490 (24.3%) patients were rehospitalized for HF, and collectively 477 (23.6%) died of any cause; specifically, 316 (15.6%), 95 (4.7%) and 66 (3.3%) died due to CV, non-CV and unknown causes respectively. Differences in baseline characteristics between the index and validation cohorts have been reported previously⁴. In summary, compared with patients in the index cohort, those in the validation cohort were more often male, tended to be older, and had on average a higher LVEF and a larger proportion of LVEF>45%. In addition, they were more often recruited from the outpatient setting and had on average lower BNP and NT-proBNP values.

Identification of Immune-System Related Biological Processes

Over-representation analysis of the 355 analyzed biomarkers yielded 771 significantly over-represented biological processes. The selection of immune-related GO processes as described in the methods section and the supplementary methods section, yielded after exclusion of 3 overlapping processes a total of 64 distinct immune-related biological processes. The 64 identified biological processes were represented by different combinations of 188 of the total 355 biomarkers in the overrepresentation analysis, and thus some biomarkers were constituents of more than one biological process (**Figure 2, Supplementary Table 5**).

Principal Component Analysis and Cox Regression

PCA was used to generate a weighted score for each of the 64 processes presented in **Figure 1**. A multivariable Cox regression analysis incorporating all processes, represented by their respective weighted scores, and corrected for known antibiotic use, identified 19 significant predictors of all-cause mortality at 2-year follow-up (9 negatively and 10 positively associated with all-cause mortality) (**Figure 3**). The omission of antibiotic use yielded almost identical results. Baseline characteristics were also stratified to tertiles of the weighted score for response to IFN- γ , the immune-related biological process with the strongest negative association with all-cause mortality (**Table 1**). For brevity, biological processes with negative significant associations with all-cause mortality will henceforth be referred to as "protective", while those with positive associations will henceforth be referred to as "harmful". A number of additional sensitivity analyses were performed, where the model was corrected separately for age, sex, ischaemic etiology, medication and comorbidities. Most findings remained unaffected (**Supplementary Figure 1**).

Independent Validation

Independent validation of these results identified 6/19 processes also associated with all-cause mortality in the validation cohort (**Table 2**). When comparing the two cohorts, processes related to interferon- γ (IFN- γ) were highly protective in both, while T-cell costimulation had a shared harmful effect. B-cell-related processes were harmful in the index cohort but not in the validation cohort. Processes associated with all-cause mortality in the validation cohort are presented in **Supplementary Figure 2**. Complete results for all 64 processes for the index and validation cohort are presented in **Supplementary**

<u>**Tables 6 and 7**</u> respectively. Univariable Cox regression analysis for the 187 biomarkers involved in immune-related processes are presented for comparison in <u>**Supplementary Table 8**</u>. Baseline characteristics were also stratified by tertiles of the weighted score for *response to IFN-* γ for illustrative purposes (<u>**Table 1**</u>).

Characterization of Biomarker Functions

The contribution of each biomarker to the weighted score of the process/processes it constitutes was plotted only for processes significantly associated with all-cause mortality. For optimal visualization, only biomarkers that contribute to any significant processes in both the index and validation cohorts are shown. In total 133 distinct biomarkers contribute to the 19 processes that were significantly associated with all-cause mortality in the index cohort (**Supplementary Figures 3 and 4**). Of those, 84 biomarkers that also contributed to any significant processes in the validation cohort are shown in **Figure 4A/4B**; the bars represent their relative contribution to each weighted score and have no meaningful unit of measurement. Most biomarkers contributed to both protective and harmful processes (59/84, 70%). The contributions of biomarkers to processes significantly associated with all-cause mortality in the validation cohort are presented in **Supplementary Figures 5 and 6**.

Identification of Potential Therapeutic Targets

Narrow Spectrum / High-specificity Targets

First, to identify biomarkers that can serve as narrow-spectrum targets with high specificity for particular processes, we isolated those that contribute only to harmful or only to protective processes in both cohorts. Subsequently, their contributions were plotted against the hazard ratio of their corresponding process (**Figure 5A**). This allowed the stratification of biomarkers both by the prognostic significance of their underlying biological processes as well as by their relative contribution to those processes. Afterwards, the same graph was plotted but with the distinction between the finding being validated or not (**Figure 5B**); i.e. was the biomarker protective/harmful in both cohorts. Based on this, the most promising protective

targets were thrombin receptor (F2R), cellular communication network factor 4 (CCN4), fatty acid binding protein 4 (FABP4), lipoprotein lipase (LPL) and C-type lectin domain containing 6A (CLEC6A), while the most promising harmful targets were programmed cell death 1-ligand 2 (PDCD1LG2), inducible costimulator ligand (ICOSLG) and SH2 domain containing 1A (SH2D1A).

Broad Spectrum / Low Specificity Targets

Secondly, to isolate targets with the most positive and negative net/overarching effects, the net contribution of each of the 133 biomarkers was calculated by subtracting their collective contribution to harmful processes from their collective contribution to protective processes. Again, by only selecting biomarkers that behaved similarly in the index and validation cohort (net protective effect in both cohorts or net harmful effect in both cohorts), a stacked bar plot with the net contribution in each of the two cohorts was plotted (**Figure 6**). According to those results, the top 3 biomarkers with the greatest net harm were granulin precursor (GRN), TNF receptor superfamily member 14 (TNFRSF14) and IL-1 receptor 2 (IL1R2), while those with the greatest benefit were ABL1, C-C motif chemokine ligand 3 (CCL3) and F2R.

Discussion

We present an extensive profiling of immune system activity in two independent, large and diverse cohorts of patients with HF. We demonstrate that biological processes related to production/response to IFN- γ are associated with a lower mortality, while processes related to T-cell activity are associated with a higher mortality. Individual biomarker analyses led to the identification of potential novel therapeutic targets which are described below.

The study of single biomarkers is often limited by confounding, some of which is accounted for in multivariable models. Nevertheless, the entirety of the immune system cannot realistically be modeled by studying a single representative biomarker ³. The novelty of our approach is that we used functional groupings of biomarkers instead of individual biomarkers, which allowed a more holistic profiling of

immune-related processes. A particular biomarker may contribute both to protective and/or harmful processes, which is clearly illustrated by our data. Additionally, by including all over-represented biological processes in our multivariable prognostic model, we adjust individual processes for the relative state of activation of the remainder of the immune system. The advantages of this become clear when considering that the great majority of individual biomarkers are associated with worse outcomes (**Supplementary Table 8**). Our data thus provide novel mechanistic insights as to the underlying immune-related processes that play a prominent role in HF, and constitute an extensive knowledge base for future studies.

IFN- γ is a cytokine with anti-viral, anti-neoplastic and immunomodulatory properties ⁸, that can be both pro- and anti-inflammatory. Pro-inflammatory effects are more acute and include T-cell polarization to the Th1 subtype, inhibition of regulatory T-cells (Tregs) and monocyte polarization to classical macrophages. In contrast, anti-inflammatory effects are more delayed and usually manifest in long-standing inflammatory states. These include inhibition of T-cell activity by promoting Treg proliferation and functions ^{8–10}, and stimulation of the proliferation of myeloid-derived suppressor cells, which specifically inhibit T-cell activity ¹¹. This is supported by the finding that increased T-cell activity is associated with higher all-cause mortality in both cohorts. Interestingly, negative regulation of adaptive immune response was associated with increased all-cause mortality in both cohorts. The immune system includes a multitude of regulatory negative feedback loops ¹², which may become activated in case greater suppression is required. This might be a potential explanation for this finding. Additionally, since these data are derived from a multivariable Cox regression model, a process that might biologically be expected to be protective could appear harmful when the model is corrected for the relative activation state of the rest of the immune system. This is also supported by the fact that *positive regulation of cytokine secretion* and *positive* regulation of inflammatory response are protective in both cohorts. Lastly, the remaining two significant predictors of outcome for both groups, namely positive regulation of leukocyte differentiation and production of molecular mediator involved in inflammatory response, were both found to be harmful, which conforms with our expectations and results by others ^{1,13,14}.

A number of additional points merit further discussion in this context. The methodology that was followed relies on independent external validation of identified findings in the BIOSTAT-CHF index cohort. Thus, differences between the index and validation cohort⁴ could be seen as having major influence, seeing as concordance of findings between the two populations was a criterion for the selection of potential therapeutic targets. For instance, two related but different processes associated with IFN-y ("response to interferon gamma/positive regulation of interferon gamma production") were identified as significant predictors of the primary outcome in the index and validation cohorts and such differences could be attributed to the varying degree of HF severity and differing clinical characteristics between the index and validation cohorts. Of particular interest, patients in the index cohort were significantly younger than those in the validation cohort and were more often male. Differences in immune responses between sexes are apparent both throughout life as well as between puberty and menopause, thus suggesting that both genetic and hormonal influences are at work¹⁵. In addition, processes such as immunosenescence and inflammaging have received increasing scientific attention in recent years as major drivers of disease in the elderly and should thus not be underestimated as potential variables causing differences in identified processes between the index and validation cohort ¹⁶. Furthermore, the index and validation cohorts differed significantly in the proportion of patients with a preserved LVEF, and the validation cohort was comprised in general of patients with on average higher LVEF values. The pathophysiology and etiology of HF with preserved and reduced LVEF is known to differ considerably between the two subtypes, and currently very little is known regarding differences in immune activation between the two ¹⁷. As such, this could be the focus of additional research focus in the future. Lastly, patients in the index cohort had on average significantly higher values of NT-proBNP compared with those in the validation cohort, which could reflect a greater clinical severity of HF in the former compared with the latter. This could also account for some of the identified differences. In general, the strength of the approach of independent validation is that it strengthens the generalizability and external validity of identified findings to other populations. Nevertheless, it could also be argued that certain processes were excluded due to the differences between populations. The remainder of the discussion will focus on describing potential novel therapeutic targets in patients with HF.

Therapeutic Targets: Interferon-γ

Historically, evidence has been equivocal regarding the cardiac effects of IFN- γ^{18} . More recently, two independent studies reported that IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$ mice subjected to pressure overload, developed more severe cardiac hypertrophy and had worse cardiac function ^{19,20}. One of these studies also showed increased cardiac fibrosis in IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$ mice ¹⁹, while another demonstrated that IFN- γ promotes cell-cycle arrest and induces an anti-fibrotic phenotype in human cardiac fibroblasts ²¹. Additionally, IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$ mice with experimental autoimmune myocarditis developed more severe disease ²² and were more prone to transition to HF ²³. IFN- γ also inhibits the production of IL-1 family cytokines. IL-1 β and IL-18 are produced as inactive pro-IL- 1β /pro-IL-18 and require proteolytic cleavage by the NLRP3 inflammasome to become active ²⁴. IFN- γ inhibits NLRP3 inflammasome assembly by stimulating nitric oxide production ²⁴, which is of particular relevance since the benefits of IL-1 β blockade in myocardial infarction ²⁵ and potential benefits in HF ²⁶ have recently been demonstrated. Interestingly, stimulation of nitric oxide signaling with vericiguat reduced the combined endpoint of CV death and/or HF admission in patients with HF with reduced ejection fraction ²⁷. NLRP3 inflammasome inhibition is also one of the postulated mechanisms by which sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors exert beneficial CV effects 28 . Enhanced IFN- γ activity might partially exert some of its protective effects in a similar manner. Our study thus supports the notion that enhancing IFN- γ production could constitute a potential therapy for HF. This is strengthened by the finding that patients with chronic HF have reduced circulating levels of IFN- γ compared with healthy controls, regardless of etiology ²⁹. Numerous studies have also reported a relationship between increased adrenergic activity and reduced IFN- γ production, which can be reversed by adrenergic blockade ^{30,31}. This is particularly pertinent considering that β -adrenoreceptor blockers are often prescribed for HF with known beneficial effects. It is also interesting to note that previous studies have reported that β -adrenoreceptor blockade can exert immunomodulatory effects in patients both with and without HF ^{32,33}, although this cannot be directly corroborated by our findings.

Therapeutic Targets: T-cell Co-stimulation

To identify potential novel therapeutic targets, biomarkers were categorized into narrow- and broadspectrum targets. Interestingly, a considerable proportion of either group consisted of biomarkers related to lymphocyte activation/co-stimulation. These included TNFRSF14, galectin-1 (LGALS1), ICOSLG, cluster of differentiation 40 ligand (CD40LG), PDCD1LG2, CD27 and CD28. Both T-cells and B-cells may recognize antigen via their T- and B-cell receptors. However, a second co-stimulatory signal (immune checkpoint) is required to prevent inappropriate activation. Co-stimulation provides survival signals for lymphocytes and promotes many of their functions. The aforementioned biomarkers usually exert their effects from their cell membrane, but they are also proteolytically cleaved by cell-surface proteases or differentially spliced to produce soluble forms ^{34,35}. These in turn are measurable in the blood, which can give an indication of their relative expression in the various immune cells. However, considering that only T-cell co-stimulation was a common predictive process in both the index and validation cohort, isolating targets belonging to that process might be the best approach. Of the aforementioned markers, ICOSLG and PDCD1LG2 were among the narrow-spectrum targets while TNFRSF14, LGALS1, CD27, CD28 and CD40LG were among the broad-spectrum targets.

ICOSLG primarily promotes the activation and function of effector T-cells ³⁶ and plays an important role in cardiac immune responses, as ICOSLG produced by endothelial cells is increased during cardiac allograft rejection and stimulates cytotoxic T-cell responses ³⁷. In addition, ICOSLG blockade halts progression of experimental autoimmune myocarditis in mice and reduces cardiac fibrosis ^{38,39}. Notably, mice lacking functional T-cells also do not transition from hypertrophy to HF after transverse aortic constriction ⁴⁰. The monoclonal antibodies prezalumab and Rozibafusp alfa (AMG570) target ICOSLG and ICOSLG/B-cell activating factor, respectively ⁴¹. They have been studied in phase-II trials in Sjögren syndrome and systemic lupus erythematosus and might constitute potential treatments for HF. Potential pitfalls of this approach include the development of combined immunodeficiency after prolonged ICOSLG deficiency ⁴² and the unintentional inhibition of Tregs, for which ICOSLG is also necessary ³⁶, meaning that patient selection and treatment timing require careful consideration.

Apart from ICOS, the primary receptor for ICOSLG, CD28 also acts as a secondary receptor ⁴³. CD28 is the main costimulatory molecule in T-cells and is involved in 4 distinct harmful processes in our analysis. CD28 primarily binds to CD80/CD86 on antigen presenting cells, which promotes T-cell activation. However, a related process called co-inhibition is mediated by cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein 4 (CTLA-4), which also binds to CD80/CD86 but has the opposite effect ⁴⁴. Biologicals like abatacept and belatacept are recombinant CTLA-4 molecules attached to a human immunoglobulin tail and selectively bind to CD80/CD86. However, this might again negatively affect Tregs as CTLA-4 plays an important role in their function ⁴⁴. More recently, there have been attempts to selectively target CD28, such that co-stimulation is prevented but co-inhibition remains unaffected. Two such biologicals, FR104 and lulizumab pegol, are in development and have shown safety and efficacy in a Phase-I trial and a Phase-II trial in systemic lupus erythematosus, respectively ⁴⁴. Two Phase-I/II trials with lulizumab pegol in allograft rejection are also currently underway. CD40LG induces B-cell activation and production of CD80/CD86 ⁴⁵; however, since B-cell activity was not uniformly protective or harmful, the benefits of CD40LG blockade can potentially be derived by selective CD28 blockade as mentioned previously.

Similarly to CD28, CD27 and its ligand CD70 control B- and T-cell function ⁴⁶. Higher CD27/CD70 activity favors helper T-cell survival and induces apoptosis in Tregs ⁴⁷. Interestingly, CD27⁻CD70⁺ Tregs paradoxically have pro-inflammatory effects, while CD27⁺CD70⁻ Tregs show strong inhibitory potential ^{47,48}. Thus, modulation of CD27/CD70 signaling, particularly by selective inhibition of CD70 might be an attractive approach in HF. Lastly, PDCD1LG2 and LGALS1 are not optimal targets as they primarily inhibit T-cell activity ^{49,50}. TNFRSF14 is involved in both pro- and anti-inflammatory activities via its non-redundant ligands TNF superfamily member-14 (TNFSF14) (pro-inflammatory), CD160 (mixed) and

BTLA (anti-inflammatory) ⁵¹. CD160 is also equally protective and harmful in our analysis. Thus, selective inhibition of TNFSF14 might be preferable to TNFRSF14 blockade ⁵².

Considerations Regarding Potential Therapeutic Targets

Although the targets identified in this investigation present potential novel therapeutic opportunities for immunomodulation in patients with HF, care should be taken with potential clinical applications. In particular, immunomodulation is promising as a treatment because of the high degree of selectivity that can be achieved with specific inhibition or augmentation of molecular targets. At the same time however, this can be a potential pitfall, as the multiple redundancies present within the immune system might circumvent the desired effect generated by the treatment. This consideration should be kept in mind when designing and investigating targeted therapeutics for specific molecular targets active within immune signaling. In addition, important considerations in this regard include the importance of patient selection, the time point of the initiation of treatment with targeted therapeutics, as well as the duration of treatment. In this respect, the findings of this study constitute a first step in the identification of potential targets, and further studies specifically in animals and patients with HF are necessary to elucidate the exact functions of each identified target, such that the aforementioned questions can adequately be addressed. The findings of this investigation constitute associations and not causative links; as such a specific biological process should be shown to be causally related to mortality to be able to draw definitive conclusions regarding therapeutic applications. Lastly, different etiologies of HF might also have differential responses to targeted treatment and future investigations should take this into consideration. These considerations have been reviewed in detail recently 53,54.

Limitations

Our study has a number of limitations. Although we present an extensive profiling of the immune system, this is based on a subset of processes represented by the available biomarkers. This affords a lesser degree of detail compared with a full-blood proteomics analysis. Additionally, physician-adjudicated

infection at inclusion was not recorded. In the index cohort, this was partially resolved by correcting for current antibiotic use; however, this information was not available in the validation cohort. Furthermore, a potential limitation of this study is model overfitting due to the number of investigated biological processes. We were also unable to correct for HF duration. Future studies should also focus on longitudinal profiling of immune activation in order to account for temporal changes, as well as on investigating individual immune mechanisms in order to establish potential causative links between them and HF pathophysiology. Lastly, data on the prevalence of autoimmune rheumatic disease and the use of immunomodulatory medication in the BIOSTAT-CHF cohort were not available.

Conclusion

In two large cohorts of patients with HF, profiling of immune system activity using a multi-marker approach revealed immune-related biological processes associated with higher or lower all-cause mortality at 2-year follow-up. Biological processes related to T-cell co-stimulation and IFN- γ had the most important positive and negative associations with all-cause mortality, respectively. Potential therapeutic targets for future investigation include enhancing IFN- γ production and blockade of ICOSLG, CD28, CD70 and TNFSF14. **Funding**: BIOSTAT-CHF was funded by the European Commission [FP7-242209-BIOSTAT-CHF; EudraCT 2010-020808-29]. The study was in part supported by a grant from the European Research Council (ERC CoG 818715, SECRETE-HF, to R.A.d.B.).

Statement of contribution: All authors met all four ICMJE criteria for authorship, gave final approval of the version to be published and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved. Specifically, regarding the following aspects, specific authors substantially contributed to:

- Conception/design: GMM, JT, JPF, AAV, PvdM
- Acquisition of data: SDA, JGC, KD, GF, CCL, MM, NJS, RAdB, DJvV, AAV, PvdM
- Analysis of data: GMM, WO
- Interpretation of data: GMM, JT, WO
- Drafting the work: GMM, JT, AAV, PvdM
- Revising the work critically for important intellectual content: GMM, JT, WO, JPF, SDA, JCG, KD, GF, CCL, MM, NJS, RAdB, DJvV, AAV, PvdM

Acknowledgements: The authors gratefully acknowledge the assistance offered by Singulex Inc. regarding the determination of plasma troponin-T levels and the assistance offered by Roche diagnostics regarding the determination plasma N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide in blood samples from the BIOSTAT-CHF index study cohort. The authors would also like to thank Prof. G. van den Bogaart for his insight regarding the interpretation of the presented data, as well as Dr. Karla Arévalo Gomez, Joseph-Pierre Aboumsallem and Ali al Mubarak for their suggestions.

Statement of conflicts of interest: AAV received consultancy fees and/or research grants from Alere, Amgen, AstraZeneca, Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim, Cytokinetics, Merck, Myokardia, NovoNordisk, Novartis, Cardio3Biosciences, Celladon, GSK, Merck, Novartis, Servier, Stealth Peptides, Singulex, Sphingotec, Trevena, Roche diagnostics, Vifor, and ZS Pharma. JT received consultancy fees from Roche diagnostics and personal fees from Olink proteomics. PvdM received consultancy fees and/or grants from Novartis, Corvidia, Singulex, Servier, Vifor Pharma, Astra Zeneca, Pfizer and Ionis. JGC reports personal fees from Abbott, grants and personal fees from Amgen, grants and personal fees from Bayer, personal fees and non-financial support from Medtronic, grants and personal fees from Novartis, grants and personal fees from Pharmacosmos, grants and personal fees from Vifor, grants and personal fees from BMS, grants and personal fees from Servier, outside the submitted work. M.M has potential conflicts of interest unrelated to this study: consulting honoraria from Bayer, Novartis, Servier as member of committees of clinical trials or advisory boards. S.D.A reports grant support and personal fees from Vifor Int., grant support from Abbott Vascular, and personal fees from Astra, Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim, Impulse Dynamics, Novartis, Respicardia, and Servier. JT has received speaker and/or personal fees from Roche diagnostics. R.A.d.B. received grants from AstraZeneca, Abbott, Boehringer Ingelheim, Cardior Pharmaceuticals Gmbh, Ionis Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Novo Nordisk, and Roche and speaker fees from Abbott, AstraZeneca, Bayer, Novartis, and Roche. All other authors have no relationships to disclose that could be construed as a conflict of interest.

Data availability statement: Data available on request.

References

- Linthout S Van, Tschöpe C. Inflammation Cause or Consequence of Heart Failure or Both? Curr. Heart Fail. Rep. Current Science Inc.; 2017. p. 251–265.
- Markousis-Mavrogenis G, Tromp J, Ouwerkerk W, Devalaraja M, Anker SD, Cleland JG, Dickstein K, Filippatos GS, Harst P van der, Lang CC, Metra M, Ng LL, Ponikowski P, Samani NJ, Zannad F, Zwinderman AH, Hillege HL, Veldhuisen DJ van, Kakkar R, Voors AA, Meer P van der. The clinical significance of interleukin-6 in heart failure: results from the BIOSTAT-CHF study. *Eur J Heart Fail* John Wiley and Sons Ltd; 2019;21:965–973.
- Chaplin DD. Overview of the immune response. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* NIH Public Access; 2010;125:S3.
- 4. Voors AA, Anker SD, Cleland JG, Dickstein K, Filippatos G, Harst P van der, Hillege HL, Lang CC, Maaten JM ter, Ng L, Ponikowski P, Samani NJ, Veldhuisen DJ van, Zannad F, Zwinderman AH, Metra M. A systems BIOlogy Study to TAilored Treatment in Chronic Heart Failure: rationale, design, and baseline characteristics of BIOSTAT-CHF. *Eur J Heart Fail* John Wiley and Sons Ltd; 2016;**18**:716–726.
- Raudvere U, Kolberg L, Kuzmin I, Arak T, Adler P, Peterson H, Vilo J. g:Profiler: a web server for functional enrichment analysis and conversions of gene lists (2019 update). *Nucleic Acids Res* 2019;47:W191–W198.
- Ashburner M, Ball CA, Blake JA, Botstein D, Butler H, Cherry JM, Davis AP, Dolinski K, Dwight SS, Eppig JT, Harris MA, Hill DP, Issel-Tarver L, Kasarskis A, Lewis S, Matese JC, Richardson JE, Ringwald M, Rubin GM, Sherlock G. Gene ontology: Tool for the unification of biology. Nat. Genet. NIH Public Access; 2000. p. 25–29.
- 7. Carbon S, Douglass E, Dunn N, Good B, Harris NL, Lewis SE, Mungall CJ, Basu S, Chisholm RL,

Dodson RJ, Hartline E, Fey P, Thomas PD, Albou LP, Ebert D, Kesling MJ, Mi H, Muruganujan A, Huang X, Poudel S, Mushayahama T, Hu JC, LaBonte SA, Siegele DA, Antonazzo G, Attrill H, Brown NH, Fexova S, Garapati P, Jones TEM, et al. The Gene Ontology Resource: 20 years and still GOing strong. *Nucleic Acids Res* Oxford University Press; 2019;**47**:D330–D338.

- 8. Castro F, Cardoso AP, Gonçalves RM, Serre K, Oliveira MJ. Interferon-gamma at the crossroads of tumor immune surveillance or evasion. Front. Immunol. Frontiers Media S.A.; 2018. p. 847.
- Wang Z, Hong J, Sun W, Xu G, Li N, Chen X, Liu A, Xu L, Sun B, Zhang JZ. Role of IFN-γ in induction of Foxp3 and conversion of CD4 +CD25- T cells to CD4+ Tregs. *J Clin Invest* American Society for Clinical Investigation; 2006;**116**:2434–2441.
- Huang S, Wang W, Chi L. Feasibility of up-regulating CD4+CD25+ Tregs by IFN-γ in myasthenia gravis patients. *BMC Neurol* BioMed Central Ltd.; 2015;15.
- 11. Veglia F, Perego M, Gabrilovich D. Myeloid-derived suppressor cells coming of age reviewarticle. Nat. Immunol. Nature Publishing Group; 2018. p. 108–119.
- Rahman A, Tiwari A, Narula J, Hickling T. Importance of Feedback and Feedforward Loops to Adaptive Immune Response Modeling. CPT Pharmacometrics Syst. Pharmacol. American Society for Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics; 2018. p. 621–628.
- Engström G, Melander O, Hedblad B. Leukocyte count and incidence of hospitalizations due to heart failure. *Circ Hear Fail* Circ Heart Fail; 2009;2:217–222.
- Strassheim D, Dempsey EC, Gerasimovskaya E, Stenmark K, Karoor V. Role of inflammatory cell subtypes in heart failure. J. Immunol. Res. Hindawi Limited; 2019.
- Klein SL, Flanagan KL. Sex differences in immune responses. Nat. Rev. Immunol. Nature Publishing Group; 2016. p. 626–638.

- Fulop T, Larbi A, Dupuis G, Page A Le, Frost EH, Cohen AA, Witkowski JM, Franceschi C. Immunosenescence and inflamm-aging as two sides of the same coin: Friends or Foes? Front. Immunol. Frontiers Media S.A.; 2018. p. 1.
- Normand C, Kaye DM, Povsic TJ, Dickstein K. Beyond pharmacological treatment: an insight into therapies that target specific aspects of heart failure pathophysiology. Lancet. Lancet Publishing Group; 2019. p. 1045–1055.
- Levick SP, Goldspink PH. Could interferon-gamma be a therapeutic Target for treating heart failure? *Heart Fail Rev* NIH Public Access; 2014;19:227–236.
- Kimura A, Ishida Y, Furuta M, Nosaka M, Kuninaka Y, Taruya A, Mukaida N, Kondo T.
 Protective Roles of Interferon-γ in Cardiac Hypertrophy Induced by Sustained Pressure Overload.
 J Am Heart Assoc NLM (Medline); 2018;7.
- Garcia AG, Wilson RM, Heo J, Murthy NR, Baid S, Ouchi N, Sam F. Interferon-γ ablation exacerbates myocardial hypertrophy in diastolic heart failure. *Am J Physiol - Hear Circ Physiol* American Physiological Society; 2012;**303**:H587.
- 21. Lee JW, Oh JE, Rhee KJ, Yoo BS, Eom YW, Park SW, Lee JH, Son JW, Youn YJ, Ahn MS, Ahn SG, Kim JY, Lee SH, Yoon J. Co-treatment with interferon-γ and 1-methyl tryptophan ameliorates cardiac fibrosis through cardiac myofibroblasts apoptosis. *Mol Cell Biochem* Springer New York LLC; 2019;458:197–205.
- Barin JG, Baldeviano GC, Talor M V., Wu L, Ong S, Fairweather D, Bedja D, Stickel NR, Fontes JA, Cardamone AB, Zheng D, Gabrielson KL, Rose NR, Čiháková D. Fatal Eosinophilic Myocarditis Develops in the Absence of IFN-γ and IL-17A. *J Immunol* The American Association of Immunologists; 2013;191:4038–4047.
- 23. Afanasyeva M, Georgakopoulos D, Belardi DF, Bedja D, Fairweather DL, Wang Y, Kaya Z,

Gabrielson KL, Rodriguez ER, Caturegli P, Kass DA, Rose NR. Impaired up-regulation of CD25 on CD4+ T cells in IFN-γ knockout mice is associated with progression of myocarditis to heart failure. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* National Academy of Sciences; 2005;**102**:180–185.

- Kopitar-Jerala N. The role of interferons in inflammation and inflammasome activation. Front. Immunol. Frontiers Media S.A.; 2017.
- 25. Ridker PM, Everett BM, Thuren T, MacFadyen JG, Chang WH, Ballantyne C, Fonseca F, Nicolau J, Koenig W, Anker SD, Kastelein JJP, Cornel JH, Pais P, Pella D, Genest J, Cifkova R, Lorenzatti A, Forster T, Kobalava Z, Vida-Simiti L, Flather M, Shimokawa H, Ogawa H, Dellborg M, Rossi PRF, Troquay RPT, Libby P, Glynn RJ, Krum H, Varigos J, et al. Antiinflammatory therapy with canakinumab for atherosclerotic disease. *N Engl J Med* Massachussetts Medical Society; 2017;**377**:1119–1131.
- Everett BM, Cornel JH, Lainscak M, Anker SD, Abbate A, Thuren T, Libby P, Glynn RJ, Ridker PM. Anti-inflammatory therapy with canakinumab for the prevention of hospitalization for heart failure. *Circulation* Lippincott Williams and Wilkins; 2019;**139**:1289–1299.
- 27. Armstrong PW, Pieske B, Anstrom KJ, Ezekowitz J, Hernandez AF, Butler J, Lam CSP,
 Ponikowski P, Voors AA, Jia G, McNulty SE, Patel MJ, Roessig L, Koglin J, O'Connor CM.
 Vericiguat in Patients with Heart Failure and Reduced Ejection Fraction. *N Engl J Med*Massachusetts Medical Society; 2020;
- Lopaschuk GD, Verma S. Mechanisms of Cardiovascular Benefits of Sodium Glucose Co-Transporter 2 (SGLT2) Inhibitors: A State-of-the-Art Review. JACC Basic to Transl. Sci. Elsevier Inc; 2020. p. 632–644.
- Cappuzzello C, Vito L Di, Melchionna R, Melillo G, Silvestri L, Cesareo E, Crea F, Liuzzo G,
 Facchiano A, Capogrossi MC, Napolitano M. Increase of plasma IL-9 and decrease of plasma IL-5,

IL-7, and IFN-γ in patients with chronic heart failure. *J Transl Med* BioMed Central; 2011;9:28.

- 30. Wahle M, Neumann RP, Moritz F, Krause A, Buttgereit F, Baerwald CGO. Beta2-adrenergic receptors mediate the differential effects of catecholamines on cytokine production of PBMC. J Interf Cytokine Res Mary Ann Liebert, Inc. 2 Madison Avenue Larchmont, NY 10538 USA; 2005;25:384–394.
- Wieduwild E, Girard-Madoux MJ, Quatrini L, Laprie C, Chasson L, Rossignol R, Bernat C, Guia S, Ugolini S. β2-adrenergic signals downregulate the innate immune response and reduce host resistance to viral infection. *J Exp Med* NLM (Medline); 2020;217.
- Shaw SM, Coppinger T, Waywell C, Dunne L, Archer LD, Critchley WR, Yonan N, Fildes JE,
 Williams SG. The effect of beta-blockers on the adaptive immune system in chronic heart failure.
 Cardiovasc Ther John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2009;27:181–186.
- Oberbeck R, Griensven M Van, Nickel E, Tschernig T, Wittwer T, Pape HC. Influence of βadrenoceptor antagonists on hemorrhage-induced cellular immune suppression. *Shock* BioMedical Press; 2002;18:331–335.
- Lambrecht BN, Vanderkerken M, Hammad H. The emerging role of ADAM metalloproteinases in immunity. Nat. Rev. Immunol. Nature Publishing Group; 2018. p. 745–758.
- Gu D, Ao X, Yang Y, Chen Z, Xu X. Soluble immune checkpoints in cancer: Production, function and biological significance. J. Immunother. Cancer. BioMed Central Ltd.; 2018. p. 1–14.
- Wikenheiser DJ, Stumhofer JS. ICOS co-stimulation: Friend or foe? Front. Immunol. Frontiers Media S.A.; 2016. p. 304.
- 37. Klingenberg R, Autschbach F, Gleissner C, Giese T, Wambsganss N, Sommer N, Richter G, Katus HA, Dengler TJ. Endothelial inducible costimulator ligand expression is increased during human cardiac allograft rejection and regulates endothelial cell-dependent allo-activation of CD8+ T cells

in vitro. Eur J Immunol John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2005;35:1712-1721.

- 38. Liu W, Feng W, Wang F, Li W, Zhou B, Gao C, Li Y, Kong Y, Ma M, Fu S. Adenovirus-mediated ICOSIg gene transfer alleviates cardiac remodeling in experimental autoimmune myocarditis. *Immunol Cell Biol* John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2008;86:659–665.
- 39. Futamatsu H, Suzuki J ichi, Kosuge H, Yokoseki O, Kamada M, Ito H, Inobe M, Isobe M, Uede T. Attenuation of experimental autoimmune myocarditis by blocking activated T cells through inducible costimulatory molecule pathway. *Cardiovasc Res* Oxford Academic; 2003;**59**:95–104.
- 40. Strassheim D, Dempsey EC, Gerasimovskaya E, Stenmark K, Karoor V. Role of inflammatory cell subtypes in heart failure. J. Immunol. Res. Hindawi Limited; 2019.
- Spicer P, Runkel L. Costimulatory pathway targets for autoimmune and inflammatory conditions: clinical successes, failures, and hope for the future. *Expert Opin Investig Drugs* Taylor and Francis Ltd; 2019;28:99–106.
- 42. Roussel L, Landekic M, Golizeh M, Gavino C, Zhong MC, Chen J, Faubert D, Blanchet-Cohen A, Dansereau L, Parent MA, Marin S, Luo J, Le C, Ford BR, Langelier M, King IL, Divangahi M, Foulkes WD, Veillette A, Vinh DC. Loss of human ICO SL results in combined immunodeficiency. *J Exp Med* Rockefeller University Press; 2018;**215**:3151–3164.
- 43. Yao S, Zhu Y, Zhu G, Augustine M, Zheng L, Goode DJ, Broadwater M, Ruff W, Flies S, Xu H,
 Flies D, Luo L, Wang S, Chen L. B7-H2 Is a Costimulatory Ligand for CD28 in Human. *Immunity* 2011;34:729–740.
- Vanhove B, Poirier N, Soulillou JP, Blancho G. Selective Costimulation Blockade With Antagonist Anti-CD28 Therapeutics in Transplantation. *Transplantation* NLM (Medline); 2019;103:1783–1789.
- 45. Karnell JL, Rieder SA, Ettinger R, Kolbeck R. Targeting the CD40-CD40L pathway in

autoimmune diseases: Humoral immunity and beyond. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. Elsevier B.V.; 2019. p. 92–103.

- 46. Wajant H. Therapeutic targeting of CD70 and CD27. Expert Opin. Ther. Targets. Taylor and Francis Ltd; 2016. p. 959–973.
- 47. Arroyo Hornero R, Issa F, Hester J, Wood K. Modulation of CD27/CD70 Co-Stimulatory Pathway may Allow for the Generation of a More Potent Human Regulatory T Cell Product for Cell Therapy. *Transplantation* Ovid Technologies (Wolters Kluwer Health); 2017;**101**:S34–S35.
- Hornero RA, Wood K, Hester J, Issa F. Co-Stimulatory Modulation of Human Regulatory T cells for Enhanced Immunotherapy. *Transplantation* Ovid Technologies (Wolters Kluwer Health); 2018;102:S208.
- Seropian IM, Cerliani JP, Toldo S, Tassell BW Van, Ilarregui JM, González GE, Matoso M, Salloum FN, Melchior R, Gelpi RJ, Stupirski JC, Benatar A, Gómez KA, Morales C, Abbate A, Rabinovich GA. Galectin-1 controls cardiac inflammation and ventricular remodeling during acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Pathol* 2013;**182**:29–40.
- 50. Latchman Y, Wood CR, Chernova T, Chaudhary D, Borde M, Chernova I, Iwai Y, Long AJ, Brown JA, Nunes R, Greenfield EA, Bourque K, Boussiotis VA, Carter LL, Carreno BM, Malenkovich N, Nishimura H, Okazaki T, Honjo T, Sharpe AH, Freeman GJ. PD-L2 is a second ligand for PD-1 and inhibits T cell activation. *Nat Immunol* Nature Publishing Group; 2001;2:261– 268.
- Ward-Kavanagh LK, Lin WW, Šedý JR, Ware CF. The TNF Receptor Superfamily in Costimulating and Co-inhibitory Responses. Immunity. Cell Press; 2016. p. 1005–1019.
- Rio ML Del, Fernandez-Renedo C, Scheu S, Pfeffer K, Shintani Y, Kronenberg M, Chaloin O,
 Schneider P, Rodriguez-Barbosa JI. Therapeutic blockade of LIGHT interaction with herpesvirus

entry mediator and lymphotoxin β receptor attenuates in vivo cytotoxic allogeneic responses. *Transplantation* Lippincott Williams and Wilkins; 2014;**98**:1165–1174.

- Adamo L, Rocha-Resende C, Prabhu SD, Mann DL. Reappraising the role of inflammation in heart failure. Nat. Rev. Cardiol. Nature Research; 2020. p. 269–285.
- Zhang Y, Bauersachs J, Langer HF. Immune mechanisms in heart failure. Eur. J. Heart Fail. John Wiley and Sons Ltd; 2017. p. 1379–1389.

Figure 1. The 64 immune-related biological processes that were significantly overrepresented (p-value for overrepresentation analysis) based on 355 analyzable biomarkers measured in 2022 and 1691 patients with heart failure from the BIOSTAT-CHF index and validation cohorts respectively. Each bar denotes the total number of proteins involved in each process, with red denoting the fraction of proteins that were measured as part of the original plasma biomarker determinations. GO gene ontology

Figure 2. A directed acyclic graph showing the 64 examined immune–related processes and their parent processes (immune system process, defense response, cytokine production), based on the functions of 188 distinct biomarkers measured in 2022 and 1691 patients with heart failure from the BIOSTAT-CHF index and validation cohorts respectively. Examined processes are denoted in brown and their parent terms are denoted either in blue, red, or green respectively. 1st degree children terms for parent process are shown in a lighter tone of the corresponding color. Processes that are in-between 1st degree children and the examined processes are denoted in pink. A fully interactive version of this graph including dynamic search capabilities for specific terms and on-click links to full process descriptions in the official GO website is provided in the supplementary materials (**Supplementary Graphic 1**). GO gene ontology

Figure 3. Multivariable Cox regression analysis of weighted scores of the 64 overrepresented immune-related biological processes. The analysis was carried out in 2022 patients of the BIOSTAT-CHF index cohort, as described in the methods section. Only significant processes (19/64) are presented. The complete overview of significant processes in the index and validation cohorts as well as their overlap are presented and classified by domain in **Table 2**. HR hazard ratio; CI confidence interval

Figure 4. A: Cumulative contribution of each biomarker to the weighted scores (principal components) of the 19 GO immune-related processes independently associated with all-cause mortality in the index cohort, sorted by the number of processes they are involved in. This analysis was carried out in 2022 patients of the BIOSTAT-CHF index cohort, as described in the methods section. Contributions to protective/harmful processes are on the right/left side of the graph respectively. The dashed lines delineate biomarkers contributing to 1, 2, 3, 4 or >4 processes. **B**: Circular bar plot displaying the contribution of individual constituent biomarkers to their respective processes, grouped by process and separated into protective and harmful categories. GO gene ontology

Figure 5. A: Biomarkers contributing only to the 9 protective or only to the 10 harmful immune-related processes presented in **Figure 3**, plotted by their contribution to and the hazard ratio of their respective process. These findings are based on the Cox regression analysis presented in **Figure 4** and carried out for 2022 patients with heart failure from the BIOSTAT-CHF index cohort. Hazard ratios for protective processes are presented as -1/HR. **B:** Biomarkers that were and were not independently validated as contributors of only protective or harmful processes in 1691 patients of the BIOSTAT-CHF validation cohort. Biomarkers appearing >1 time, contribute to multiple processes

Figure 6. Net harm/benefit of biomarkers contributing to processes significantly associated with all-cause mortality both in 2022 patients in the index cohort and 1691 patients in the validation cohort. Biomarker names highlighted in red are only contributing to harmful or protective immune-related processes in both cohorts. GO gene ontology

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the total study cohort and stratified to tertiles of the weighted score for response to IFN- γ , the immune-
related biological process with the strongest negative association with all-cause mortality. *p≤0.05

Variable	Total Cohort	1 st Tertile of Response to IFN-v	2 nd Tertile of Response to IFN-v	3 rd Tertile of Response to IFN-v	p-value
Number of patients	2022	674	674	674	N/A
Demographics		0.1	0.1		,,,
Female sex	537 (26.6%)	184 (27.3%)	167 (24,8%)	186 (27.6%)	0.44
Age (years)	68.8 (12.0)	71.5 (11.4)	68.5 (12.0)	66.4 (12.2)	<0.001*
Years since 1 st diagnosis of HF		- ()			
Clinical Characteristics and Comorbidities					
Primary HF etiology:					
Ischaemic	895 (45.1%)	318 (48.0%)	307 (46.6%)	270 (40.8%)	0.022*
Hypertensive	203 (10.2%)	72 (10.9%)	61 (9.3%)	70 (10.6%)	0.59
Cardiomyopathy	506 (25.5%)	134 (20.2%)	170 (25.8%)	202 (30.6%)	<0.001*
Valvular	161 (8.1%)	70 (10.6%)	44 (6.7%)	47 (7.1%)	0.018*
HF hospitalization in previous year	622 (30.8%)	242 (35.9%)	190 (28.2%)	190 (28.2%)	0.002*
Atrial fibrillation	918 (45.4%)	345 (51.2%)	322 (47.8%)	251 (37.2%)	<0.001*
Diabetes mellitus	645 (31.9%)	255 (37.8%)	203 (30.1%)	187 (27.7%)	<0.001*
Hypertension	1246 (61.6%)	448 (66.5%)	404 (59.9%)	394 (58.5%)	0.006*
Anaemia	708 (36.4%)	298 (46.1%)	216 (33.5%)	194 (29.8%)	<0.001*
COPD	346 (17.1%)	127 (18.8%)	109 (16.2%)	110 (16.3%)	0.34
Renal Disease	575 (28.4%)	308 (45.7%)	167 (24.8%)	100 (14.8%)	<0.001*
Smoking:					
None	736 (36.5%)	264 (39.2%)	226 (33.6%)	246 (36.5%)	
Past	988 (48.9%)	329 (48.9%)	335 (49.9%)	324 (48.1%)	0.075
Current	295 (14.6%)	80 (11.9%)	111 (16.5%)	104 (15.4%)	
NYHA functional class (prior to worsening HF):					
Class I	174 (10.0%)	42 (7.3%)	62 (10.7%)	70 (11.9%)	
Class II	931 (53.4%)	292 (50.5%)	304 (52.6%)	335 (57.1%)	<0.001*
Class III	571 (32.8%)	224 (38.8%)	181 (31.3%)	166 (28.3%)	
Class IV	67 (3.8%)	20 (3.5%)	31 (5.4%)	16 (2.7%)	
Physical Examination		20.4 (5.6)	20.0 (5.5)	27.4 (5.2)	
BIVII (Kg/m²)	27.8 (5.5)	28.1 (5.6)	28.0 (5.5)	27.4 (5.3)	0.045*
Heart rate (beats/min)	80.1 (19.9)	80.2 (19.5)	79.8 (19.4)	80.3 (20.6)	0.88
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	124.8 (22.2)	123.5 (22.1)	125.4 (22.0)	125.5 (22.5)	0.20
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	74.9 (13.3)	73.4 (13.3)	74.9 (13.4)	76.3 (13.2)	<0.001*
Echosardiographic Indices	1047 (53.3%)	390 (59.4%)	346 (52.7%)	311 (47.8%)	<0.001*
	20.0 (25.0.26.0)	20.0 (25.0.28.0)	20.0 (25.0.25.0)	20.0 (25.0.26.0)	0.16
	202 (11 2%)	82 (14 1%)	64 (10.6%)	55 (9.0%)	0.10
Laboratory Indices	202 (11.276)	85 (14.176)	04 (10.0%)	55 (5.0%)	0.017
NT-proRNP (pg/ml)	2679 0 (1200 0 5639 0)	3898 5 (1777 0 8492 0)	2452 5 (1131 5 4974 0)	2080 0 (942 5 4284 0)	<0.001*
II_6 (ng/mL)	51 (2 8 10 1)	66(39 134)	51(2898)	40(2177)	<0.001*
	13 / (5 8 27 2)	175(84323)	13 1 (5 9 27 7)	10.4(4.2, 21.5)	<0.001*
High-sensitivity Cardiac Troponin-T (ng/ml)	31 3 (19 04 53 1)	41 5 (25 7 67 0)	29 5 (19 1 49 5)	25 1 (15 7 43 5)	<0.001*
eGER (MDRD) (ml /min/1 73 m ²)	63 7 (24 3)	52 6 (22 9)	65 1 (22 7)	73 5 (22.8)	<0.001*
Hemoglobin (g/dl)	13.2 (1.9)	12 8 (2 0)	13 3 (1.8)	13.4 (1.8)	<0.001*
Iron (umol/I)	80(50 120)	70(50 110)	90(50 130)	90(50,130)	<0.001*
Ferritin (ug/L)	100.0 (49.0, 190.0)	97.0 (52.0, 190.0)	102 0 (52 0 196 0)	101 0 (43 0 183 0)	0.30
Transferrin (ø/L)	2.0 (0.7)	2.0 (0.8)	2.1 (0.7)	2.0 (0.7)	0.068
Transferrin saturation (%)	16.8 (10.9, 24.3)	15.5 (9.9, 21.9)	17.4 (11.4, 25.2)	18.2 (11.7, 25.3)	<0.001*
Medications at baseline	, -,	, .,	. , - ,	. , ,	
BB (baseline)	1680 (83.1%)	540 (80.1%)	567 (84.1%)	573 (85.0%)	0.038*
BB (target dose)	117 (5.8%)	39 (5.8%)	41 (6.1%)	37 (5.5%)	0.90
BB (% target dose)	0.3 (0.1, 0.5)	0.3 (0.0, 0.5)	0.3 (0.1, 0.4)	0.3 (0.1, 0.5)	0.71
ACEi (baseline)	1456 (72.0%)	444 (65.9%)	504 (74.8%)	508 (75.4%)	<0.001*
ACEi/ARB (target dose)	261 (12.9%)	73 (10.8%)	94 (13.9%)	94 (13.9%)	0.14
ACEi/ARB (% target dose)	0.3 (0.0, 0.5)	0.3 (0.0, 0.5)	0.3 (0.0, 0.5)	0.3 (0.0, 0.5)	<0.001*
MRA	1063 (52.6%)	326 (48.4%)	359 (53.3%)	378 (56.1%)	0.016*
Dissuis	275 (10 50()	120 (20 50()	120 (17 00()	117 (17 40()	0.20

 Digoxin
 375 (18.5%)
 138 (20.5%)
 120 (17.8%)
 117 (17.4%)
 0.28

 IFN-y interferon-y; ACEi angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB angiotensin receptor blocker; BB β-adrenoreceptor blocker; BMI body mass index; COPD chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CRP Creactive protein; eGFR (MDRD) estimated glomerular filtration rate calculated with the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease study group formula; HF heart failure; IL-6 interleukin-6; LVEF left ventric-is epiction fraction; MRA mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist; NT-proBNP N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide; NYHA New York heart association
 117 (17.4%)
 0.28

Table 2. Listing of biological processes that were significantly associated with all-cause mortality in the index cohort only, the validation cohort only, or both. Processes are presented in a simplified classification of whether they form part of the innate/adaptive immune response, those that are related to immune mediator production and others. Process membership based on the examined parent processes of "immune system process", "defense response" and "cytokine production" is also provided.

Findings	Protective	Harmful	Process Subfamily _⊂
Index Cohort Only (4)	 lymphocyte homeostasis¹ negative regulation of antigen receptor-mediated signaling pathway¹ 	 T cell migration¹ B cell activation¹ 	Adapt
Validation Cohort Only (3)	 positive regulation of immunoglobulin production¹ adaptive immune response based on somatic recombination of immune receptors built from immunoglobulin superfamily domains¹ 	 regulation of natural killer cell mediated immunity^{1,2} 	nttps://academic.oi ive Immune Res
Overlap (2)	N/A	 negative regulation of adaptive immune response¹ T cell costimulation¹ 	up.com/cardiova ponse
Index Cohort Only (2)	 regulation of mononuclear cell migration¹ 	• monocyte chemotaxis ¹	scres/ad Innc F
Validation Cohort Only (2)	 regulation of myeloid cell differentiation¹ 	 microglial cell activation¹ 	vance-ar x te Imm Respons
Overlap (0)	N/A	N/A	une e
Index Cohort Only (2)	 regulation of interleukin-1 production³ 	 Positive regulation of interleukin-10 production³ 	0.1093/cvi Im
Validation Cohort Only (4)	 positive regulation of interferon-gamma production³ positive regulation of chemokine production³ 	 positive regulation of cytokine biosynthetic process³ regulation of interleukin-12 production³ 	Production
Overlap (2)	 positive regulation of cytokine secretion³ 	 production of molecular mediator involved in inflammatory response² 	or
Index Cohort Only (5)	 response to interferon-gamma^{1,2} hemopoiesis¹ positive regulation of leukocyte chemotaxis¹ 	 negative regulation of inflammatory response² positive regulation of leukocyte mediated immunity¹ 	ersity of Glasgow <i>Ot</i>
Validation Cohort Only (0)	N/A	N/A	her n 1
Overlap (2)	 positive regulation of inflammatory response² 	 positive regulation of leukocyte differentiation¹ 	6 July 202

1: part of "immune system process", 2: part of "defense response", 3: part of "cytokine production"

Over-represented GO Biological Processes



Number of Involved Proteins



All-Cause Mortality Censored at 2-year Follow-up











Net Contribution of Biomarkers to GO Immune-Related