

The COVID-19 vaccine, informed consent and the recruitment of volunteers

Posted on [November 23, 2020](#)

By Jennifer O'Neill.

Last week, in an announcement which offered hope in a time of growing despondence, Pfizer declared that their COVID-19 vaccine had *“outperformed expectations in the crucial phase 3 clinical trials, proving 90% effective in stopping people falling ill.”* If approved, Pfizer’s jab will be the first in a new era of vaccines. Instead of using a traditional approach, such as an [attenuated, or weakened, viral vector](#), Pfizer’s vaccine uses viral mRNA as *“molecular instructions for human cells to make the coronavirus spike protein”*. This will potentially stimulate development of anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies. [Tentative trial data](#) appears promising. Of the 44,000 participants, only 94 developed COVID-19 symptoms. Given that the [FDA have set the bar](#) for licencing at 50%, it seems likely that the vaccine could be made available soon. Likewise, the UK equivalent body – the [Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Authority \(MHRA\)](#) – are similarly poised to offer an emergency licence if satisfied that its [safe and effective](#).

As the media rush to hail the new vaccine – bolstered by the subsequent news [Moderna](#) have a 95% effective vaccine in trials – it is important to ensure that information communicated to the public be truthful, transparent and accurate. This is best communicated by experienced professionals. Questions remain as to whether the vaccine is [effective](#) across all populations. Of particular concern is the [elderly population](#) known to elicit weaker immunological responses to vaccines. It is also yet to be seen whether the vaccine will completely block transmission or instead simply reduce symptoms in vaccinated individuals – vital in terms of easing social distancing measures. There is also a lack of longevity in terms of the data. Before the pandemic, it would take [10-15 years](#) to develop a vaccine from inception to licencing, yet the COVID-19 vaccines data was collected over two months. Nevertheless, the UK Government forges ahead with plans to roll out the vaccine. The military are being enlisted to distribute vaccine vials on dry ice – in their *“biggest task since the war”* – and [St John’s Ambulance Volunteers](#) are recruiting volunteers to administer the jab. Yet we must pause to consider how to ensure adequate levels of vaccine acceptance. Arguably, this is best achieved through shared decision-making and informed consent, which can be a [vehicle to addressing vaccine hesitancy](#).

[Mandatory vaccination](#) was proposed as a solution to childhood vaccine hesitancy in 2019 by Health Secretary Matt Hancock. At that time, the House of Lords and the [Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health](#) (RCPCH) opposed the move, arguing that it would backfire, creating determined vaccine refusers. Vaccine mandates may be undermined by high levels of exemption as they fail to address underlying fears. Studies suggest that comparable results may be achieved by strongly recommending vaccines instead. The RCPCH prompted healthcare practitioners to ensure *“that every contact [with a patient]...be a vaccine opportunity”* and so highlighted the crucial role [informed consent](#) holds in ensuring the success of vaccination strategies. This is particularly pertinent given the [global conspiracy theories](#) which surround COVID and in light of limited vaccine development time – two issues which are likely to contribute to vaccine hesitancy.

The process of shared decision-making is crucial to ensuring legally valid informed consent is obtained. It enables experienced practitioners to [engage in a dialogue](#) with patients to address treatment fears, answer questions and provides an opportunity to challenge misinformation. It must be recognised that the general public have questions surrounding the COVID-19 vaccine, and it should not be left to the media to answer them. The use of volunteers raises serious concerns as to whether this key part of a vaccination strategy has been considered. It is particularly important in light of the [Cumberlege Report](#) (First Do No Harm) which exposed a lack of trust in healthcare due to the “..*widespread lack of informed consent and a reluctance ...by those charged with patient care.. to listen and...act...*”^[1].

An open dialogue as part of informed consent can be used to prompt consideration as to how autonomy is interpreted. Rather than the individualistic interpretations which stimulate talk of infringed [individual liberties](#) and [anti-mask opposition](#), autonomy sits more comfortably as a relational value. The COVID-19 pandemic has demonstrated to great effect that when it comes to disease, we do not live in isolation. Our understanding of autonomy should therefore incorporate *some* social responsibility. Whilst ultimately upholding the patient’s right to decide whether to incur individual risk, information disclosed to patients should be given from both individual and societal perspective. In this way, patients can be *fully* informed. The benefits of vaccination should therefore address both benefit to the individual *and* society, such as the potential for individual and herd immunity. It should also be disclosed that such immunity may not be long-lasting or equally effective across all age-ranges. Risk should be disclosed in terms of both *known* risks, including common side effects, and potentially *unknown* risk. The risk from *not* vaccinating should also be explained – both to the individual who may be at greater risk of contracting COVID-19 – and to society through increased transmission.

Such risk may include both primary infection and subsequent “Long-COVID”. This will affect both the individual and society as a whole through increased [strain on the NHS](#). Whilst there will still be vaccine refusal, such an approach will engage those who are, as yet, undecided by tackling misinformation and promoting solidarity.

Ultimately, by upholding autonomy, trust in the profession can also be rebuilt, which is vital given the likelihood of future epidemics and pandemics. This approach will require additional consultation time, but this should be seen, in the long-term, as an investment. Given the complexities of the issues raised, the suitability of an “[expanded workforce ... \[administering\] these vaccines to the public](#)”, is thrown into doubt. It is particularly concerning that “[people who are not healthcare providers ...\[could\] administer the vaccine](#)” given that only last year MPs outlined the need for “..*better training of health professionals on what vaccines are, what they do, how they work and what is in them so that those professionals are ably equipped to answer [patient’s] questions*”. Whilst the current pandemic may necessitate a quick-fix approach, the long-term objectives of improving vaccine confidence and overall trust in medical science must not be lost.

Author: Jennifer O’Neill

Affiliations: Lecturer, University of Glasgow, College of Medical, Veterinary & Life Sciences; Course Co-ordinator for Clinical Bioethics and Legal Medicine, European University Cyprus, School of Medicine

Competing interests: No competing interests

Social media accounts of post author: [@j_o_neill_](#)

[1] <https://www.bmj.com/content/370/bmj.m3099>

Other content recommended for you

Altered vaccination schedules and informed consent
Hazem Zohny, JME blog, 2021

Altered vaccination schedules and informed consent
Hazem Zohny, JME blog, 2021

No Jab, No Job? Ethical Issues in Mandatory
COVID-19 Vaccination of Healthcare Personnel
Rachel Gur-Arie et al., Global Health, 2021

No Jab, No Job? Ethical Issues in Mandatory
COVID-19 Vaccination of Healthcare Personnel
Rachel Gur-Arie et al., BMJ, 2021

Ethical allocation of future COVID-19 vaccines
Rohit Gupta et al., J Med Ethics, 2021

How Pharmacists Can Help Halt COVID-19
Vaccine Hesitancy

By staff, US Pharmacist, 2020

CDC Offers More Guidance on Vaccinating During
COVID-19 Pandemic

By staff, US Pharmacist, 2020

Town hall panelists discuss COVID-19 vaccine
hesitancy, concomitant use

Trisha Koriath, AAP News, 2021

Covid-19: balancing personal risk and professional
duty

Denis W Harkin, The BMJ: Research, 2020

Myth 1 - AI Autocontouring will disrupt the way we
work. Mirada's AI is Zero-Click and can be
configured to your clinical requirements

Mirada Medical

Powered by **TREND MD**

I consent to the use of Google Analytics and related cookies across the TrendMD network (widget, website, blog). [Learn more](#)

Yes

No

(Visited 10,057 times, 4 visits today)

 [Pandemic, Vaccines](#)

« PREVIOUS
POST

NEXT POST »



Start the discussion...

LOG IN WITH

OR SIGN UP WITH DISQUS 

Name

Be the first to comment.

SEARCH

Search

Search

CATEGORIES

Select Category ▾

BLOG EDITORS



[Hazem Zohny](#)



[Mike King](#)

LATEST JOURNAL CONTENT

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

[Physician perspectives on placebo ethics](#)

13 July 2021

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

[Voluntarily chosen roles and conscientious objection in health care](#)

13 July 2021

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Research abuses against people of colour and other vulnerable groups in early psychedelic research

12 July 2021

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

COVID-19 vaccination and the right to take risks

12 July 2021 

EXTENDED ESSAY

Lord Sumption and the values of life, liberty and security: before and since the COVID-19 outbreak

12 July 2021 

BMJ CAREERS



Salaried GP or Locum GP

Ashton-Under-Lyne, Greater Manchester |

Salaried GP or Locum GP required to join our team. Salaried GP – £10,000 per session with low home visit and paper light admin. Locum GP - £650 day

Recruiter: HT Practice

[Apply for this job](#)

Specialists required

Cayman Islands (KY) |

Various Specialists required to join our 4 District Health Centres in the Cayman Island. Excellent opportunities & many benefits on offer.

Recruiter: Cayman Islands Health Services Authority

[Apply for this job](#)

Consultant Haematologist

Manchester | £82,096 to £110,683 a year

THE CONSULTANT HAEMATOLOGIST MUST BE A FULLY QUALIFIED MEDICAL OFFICER WITH A SPECIAL INTEREST IN HAEMATOLOGY

BMJ Blogs

Comment and Opinion | Open Debate

The views and opinions expressed on this site are solely those of the original authors. They do not necessarily represent the views of BMJ and should not be used to replace medical advice. Please see our full website [terms and conditions](#).

All BMJ blog posts are posted under a CC-BY-NC licence

BMJ Journals

[Cookie settings](#)

© BMJ Publishing Group Limited 2021. All rights reserved.