



Cuschieri, K., Pan, J., O'Donnell, M., Kirkwood, K., Kavanagh, K., Pollock, K.G., Bhatia, R., Graham, S.V. and Wakeham, K. (2021) Penile cancer and the HPV attributable fraction in Scotland; a retrospective cohort study. *Journal of Clinical Virology*, 134, 104717.
(doi: [10.1016/j.jcv.2020.104717](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcv.2020.104717))

There may be differences between this version and the published version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

<http://eprints.gla.ac.uk/227116/>

Deposited on 7 January 2021

Enlighten – Research publications by members of the University of Glasgow
<http://eprints.gla.ac.uk>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

Title: Penile cancer and the HPV attributable fraction in Scotland; a retrospective cohort study.

K Cuschieri^{1&3}, J Pan², M O Donnell⁷, K Kirkwood⁷, K Kavanagh², KG Pollock⁵, R Bhatia^{1&3}, S V Graham⁴, K Wakeham.^{4,6}

1: Scottish HPV Reference Laboratory, Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh, Scotland, EH16 4SA

2: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Strathclyde University, 26 Richmond Street, Glasgow, Scotland, G1 1XH

3: HPV Research Group, Queens Medical Research Institute, University of Edinburgh, Scotland, EH16 4TJ

4: MRC-University of Glasgow Centre for Virus Research, Institute of Infection Immunity and Inflammation, University of Glasgow, G61 1QH

5: School of Health and Life Sciences, Glasgow Caledonian University, G4 6OA

6. Sussex Cancer Centre, Brighton and Sussex University Hospital NHS Trust, 2 Bristol Gate, Brighton BN2 5BD

7. Department of Pathology, Western General Hospital, Crewe Road, Edinburgh, EH4 2XU

Running Title: Implications of HPV infection in penile cancer

Corresponding author: Kate Cuschieri, Director - Scottish HPV Reference Laboratory, Division of Lab Medicine, Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh EH16 4SA.

Tel: 0131 242 6039.

Fax: 0131 242 6008.

Email: Kate.Cuschieri@luht.scot.nhs.uk

Keywords: HPV, Penile Cancer, Prevalence, Prognosis

Word count = 2219

32 **ABSTRACT**

33 **Background:** Penile cancer (PeC) is a highly morbid disease which is rising in certain settings
34 including Scotland. A component of PeC is associated with Human Papillomavirus (HPV)
35 although its influence on clinical outcomes is debatable as is whether the fraction
36 attributable to HPV is increasing.

37 **Methods:** A total of 122 archived tissue samples derived from patients diagnosed with PeC
38 between 2006-2015 were collated and tested for HPV DNA using molecular PCR. HPV
39 positivity was determined for the overall population and by calendar year of diagnosis to
40 determine any temporal trends. The influence of age, deprivation, smoking, tumour stage
41 and tumour grade on likelihood of HPV positivity was determined by logistic regression. In
42 addition, the influence of HPV status and the other clinical and demographics variables on
43 all-cause death and death from PeC was assessed.

44 **Results:** HPV was detected in 43% (95% CI: 34-52) of penile cancers and the majority of
45 infections were HPV 16 . The HPV component of PeC did not increase over the time period (p
46 for linear trend – 0.226). No demographic or clinical variables were associated with HPV
47 positivity neither was HPV status associated with improved all-cause or cancer-specific
48 survival during the follow up period.

49 **Conclusion:** The rise in PeC in Scotland may not be attributable to a rise in HPV-associated
50 cancer; this is consistent with oropharyngeal cancer (OPC) in the UK where there is an
51 increase in both HPV positive and negative cancer. This work calls for a larger multi centre
52 study to enable further detailed investigation into the implications of HPV infection in PeC.

53

54

55 **INTRODUCTION**

56 Penile cancer (PeC) is a highly morbid condition and evidence suggests its incidence is
57 increasing, including in Scotland. National cancer registry data show an increase in European
58 Age Standardised Rate (EASR per 100,000) from 1.5 (1.0-2.1) in 1993 to 3.4 (2.7-4.2) in 2017
59 (1). This increase is consistent with other data from Scotland that indicate a rise in non-
60 cervical HPV associated cancer (2,3). While PeC may be a relatively rare cancer in Europe and
61 North America it accounts for up to 10% of male cancer in resource-limited settings in South
62 America, Africa and Asia (4).

63 The role and influence of HPV on disease progression and other clinical outcomes in PeC is
64 less clear than for other HPV associated neoplasms (such as oropharynx); this is partly due to
65 its comparative rarity and arguably a paucity of research. There is some evidence to suggest
66 that the mutational landscape of HPV associated versus HPV negative PeC may be different
67 and that this may have implications for the trajectory of disease (5,6). Furthermore, two
68 recent meta-analyses on the burden of HPV associated penile cancer and the clinical
69 implications of viral positivity have been welcome (7,8). Key findings from this work included
70 the observation that while 51% of penile cancers were HPV positive overall (in an
71 assessment of 4199 cases), this prevalence varied considerably according to geography, with
72 41.9% (22.6-62.5%) positive in Asia to 87.5% (75.6-95.8) in Africa. Primary treatment tends
73 to be surgical, from local excision, glansctomy, partial penectomy and total penectomy
74 depending on the stage of disease at presentation. Sentinal node biopsy is initially carried
75 out in high risk disease where nodes are clinically or radiologically uninvolved. This is followed
76 by regional lymph node dissection, where positive. Chemotherapy and radiotherapy may be
77 used in the adjuvant curative setting or for palliation (4).

78 Additionally, with respect to HPV status and prognosis from PeC, Sand and colleagues (2018)
79 found that HPV driven cancers were associated with favourable clinical outcome. Other

80 described risk factors for PeC relate to genital hygiene, chronic inflammation, phimosis, HIV,
81 smoking and genital warts (9,10). Circumcision appears protective due to the association
82 with reduction in the rates of penile inflammatory disease, as well as improved hygiene (11).
83 As elegant as these analyses were, the authors were not able to stratify HPV status
84 according to date of diagnosis or identify temporal trends to determine whether the HPV
85 component of penile cancer has risen over time. Given the morbidity of this cancer and the
86 potential protective effect of HPV vaccine on future generations of men, understanding local
87 epidemiology is of importance. This study aimed to assess the HPV status of a population
88 based cohort of penile cancer over time and to determine whether HPV status linked with
89 survival.

90

91 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

92 **Governance and sample collection strategy**

93 Cases of pathologically confirmed PeC diagnosed in the South East of Scotland Cancer
94 Network between 2006 and 2015 (n=122) were identified from pathological records. The
95 South East Scotland Cancer Network covers a population of 1.4 million across four health
96 boards (Borders, Dumfries & Galloway, Fife and Lothian) and represents around 20% of all
97 PeC diagnosed in Scotland. Individual management plans were formed following discussion
98 at the regional Uro-Oncology Multidisciplinary meeting. diagnosis and treatment is in line
99 with the guidelines published by the [European Association of Urology \(4\)](#), which is endorsed
100 by the [British Association of Urological Surgeons](#). All individuals accessing health care in
101 Scotland are assigned a unique 10-digit number, which allows linkage of clinical, social and
102 laboratory data. Sociodemographic and clinical data were extracted from digital clinical
103 records. The variables collected for this study were - Date of diagnosis - taken as date of

104 initial diagnostic biopsy sample collection, age at diagnosis, cancer stage using 8th edition
105 TNM Classification of Malignant Tumors (TNM) (12), history of ever smoking and date of
106 death. In addition, area-based socioeconomic status was obtained - via the Scottish Index of
107 Multiple Deprivation (SIMD) where 1 and 5 are the most and least deprived respectively.

108 The pathological material was reviewed by two experienced consultant Uro-pathologists
109 with cases referring to individuals as opposed to individual episodes. Histological sub-type of
110 cancer is reported. The relevant formalin fixed paraffin embedded block was then retrieved
111 for downstream HPV testing. Use of samples for the present work was facilitated by the
112 South East of Scotland bioresource (Application SR621). Data on patient outcomes were
113 made available via the Scottish safe haven after application to the public benefit and privacy
114 panel for health and social care.

115

116 **HPV DNA testing and assessment**

117 A 10 micron section of the formalin fixed paraffin embedded block was used for nucleic acid
118 extraction using the reagents within the Qiagen DNA mini kit and a method optimised for
119 the molecular detection of HPV, this includes an extended incubation with proteinase K for a
120 minimum of 12 hours as per Steinau et al (13). HPV testing was performed using three
121 separate PCR based HPV assays, the Optiplex HPV Genotyping test (Diamex, Heidelberg,
122 Germany), the Venus HPV Test (LiferRiver, Shanghai, China) and the Harmonia HPV Test
123 (LifeRiver, Shanghai, China). All tests detect the range of established high-risk HPV types as
124 defined by the International Agency of Research on Cancer; Optiplex and Harmonia also
125 detect common low-risk types including HPV 6 and 11. This approach was followed given the
126 age range of the FFPE blocks and the comparative lack of validation data on appropriate HPV
127 tests for annotation of PeCs. All tests contained an endogenous human cellular amplification
128 control to minimise false negatives. A combined "final" result was generated if 2/3 tests

129 were concordant. A test was considered invalid if it tested HPV negative and also negative
130 for the endogenous control. HPV status was stratified as HPV positive “any” – which could
131 have included low-risk types; high-risk HPV positive, high-risk HPV positive for HPV 16 and
132 high-risk positive for HPV 16 and/or 18.

133

134 **Final study set**

135 Of the 122 cases of squamous penile cancer, 6 were excluded from further analysis; 1 was
136 excluded as it was not a confirmed invasive cancer, 2 were excluded due to missing or
137 unclear clinical/follow up information and 3 were excluded on the basis of invalid HPV test
138 results (ie HPV negative and endogenous control negative). This left a final evaluable sample
139 of 116.

140

141 **Analysis of HPV status according to temporal, clinical and demographic variables**

142 HPV status (considered as “any” HPV positivity) was stratified by age at resection, SIMD,
143 smoking status, cancer staging with the TNM 8th edition of the classification of malignant
144 tumours and tumour grade. Those whose lymph node status could not be assessed (Nx)
145 were classified according to their T stage. The proportion of cases “HPV positive according to
146 year of resection” was calculated and plotted with 95% confidence intervals. A linear test of
147 trend for HPV “any” status and 16/18 status over resection year was also performed. Odds
148 ratios (ORs) to be HPV positive (“any”) were calculated for the variables described;
149 presented as a univariate and adjusted analysis. A sensitivity analysis of all cause survival
150 was performed to exclude 3 TNM 8 Nx patients to avoid any potential misclassification.

151

152

153 **Influence of HPV status on Survival**

154 Individuals were followed up until time of death or date leaving Scotland. Kaplan Meier
155 curves were produced split by HPV (“any”) status with all cause death and death associated
156 with PeC presented separately. Hazard ratios were assessed using cox proportional hazards
157 model and unadjusted and adjusted results presented with adjustments made for age,
158 deprivation, smoking, and TNM 8 stage and tumour grade.

159

160 **RESULTS**

161 **Morphology and proportion of HPV positive PeC in Scotland overall and over time**

162 The three most common histological subtypes in the cohort were usual (70%) warty (11%)
163 and basoloid (10%), the remaining fraction was composed of papillary, verrucous, mixed,
164 sarcomatoid and hyperplastic types. When considering the three most common subtypes,
165 40% of the usual type, 34% of the warty type and 100% of the basoloid type were HPV
166 positive. Table 1 and Table 2 detail the overall demographics of the population and the
167 proportion of HPV positive cases, respectively. Overall a total of 50/116 cases were HPV
168 positive (43%, 95% CI: 34-52) with 49/116 positive for at least 1 high risk type (42%, 95% CI:
169 34-51%). HPV type 16 dominated as 42/116 (36%; 95% CI: 28-45%) cases tested positive for
170 this type as a single or within a mixed infection. Figure 1 shows the proportion of HPV
171 positive cases stratified by year. We did not observe any clear changes in HPV proportion
172 over time; the linear trend tests for “any” HPV positive or 16/18 positive over time were
173 $p=0.226$ and $p=0.674$ respectively.

174

175 **Influence of demographic and clinical variables on HPV status**

176 In the unadjusted analysis, HPV positivity was not associated with age at resection, smoking
177 status, age, TNM8 stage, grade or deprivation (Table 3). Similar observations were made for
178 the adjusted analysis.

179

180 **Influence of HPV status on survival**

181 Follow-up information was available from patients for an average of 4.84 years (IQR 2.98-
182 7.19 years). Over the time period 40 individuals died, 22 of whom were confirmed as having
183 died from PeC. Although estimated as a hazard which reduced the outcome, HPV (positive)
184 status was not significantly associated with improved survival, both for all-cause death and
185 death from PeC with adjusted hazard ratios of 0.57 (95% CI: 0.27-1.1.7) and 0.43 (95%CI:
186 0.13-1.41) respectively - see Figure 2 and Table 4 (all-cause death) and Figure 3 and Table 5
187 (PeC death). Sensitivity analysis, excluding the Nx patients, also found similar results. Factors
188 that did significantly influence all cause death were age when age 76+ vs. <=55 years old age
189 groups were compared (with a hazard ratio of 19.98 (95%CI: 5.49-72.68_ in the adjusted
190 analysis respectively) and TNM8 stage, when comparing stage 1 to 4 with an adjusted HR of
191 21.24 (95% CI: 5.38-83.90). Factors that influenced death from PeC were, again age adjusted
192 HR = 60.37 (95% CI: 6.07-600.35) and stage (adjusted HR = 281.55 (95%CI 22.24-3,564).

193 **DISCUSSION**

194 HPV was associated with just under half of this Scottish cohort of penile cancer cases, with
195 the majority of infections being high-risk HPV types. The proportion of HPV associated PeC
196 does not appear to have increased over time and therefore may not account for the overall
197 rise in this disease in Scotland and indeed in other contexts, reasons for an increase in PeC
198 are still unclear. While it is feasible that changed sexual and hygiene practices may exert an
199 influence, we did not specifically collate this data and future studies which address this

200 would be welcome. While there have been recent reports on HPV prevalence in PeC, there
201 are no large series' to our knowledge where HPV positive status has been presented
202 according to year of diagnosis in a time frame that spans more than 5 years.

203 These data are consistent with those described by Schache *et al* (2016) who assessed HPV
204 presence in a UK based multi-centre study of over 1000 oropharyngeal cancers over a period
205 of 10 years. In the work the authors observed that while HPV associated OPC was increasing,
206 so was the non-viral associated cancer component and that the proportion of HPV positive
207 disease had not changed over time (14).

208 Taken as a cross sectional assessment, overall positivity in the Scottish cohort was in line
209 with European average taken from the meta-analysis (7) which at 50.3 (39.8-60.9) compared
210 to 45% (95%CI 36-54) reported in the present work. HPV 16 dominated the HPV positive
211 component which, while expected, is nevertheless an encouraging observation when
212 thinking about the component that will be vaccine preventable (15).

213 No variables were independently associated with HPV positive status in this population. The
214 direction of the effect for HPV positivity showed improved survival for all-cause death or
215 from death specifically from PeC but this was not statistically significant. This lack of
216 significant effect is at variance with the meta-analysis (8) but is likely a limitation of our small
217 sample size, which was curtailed by the rarity of the disease. In addition, we did not perform
218 p16INK4a testing which may be more indicative of HPV driven disease in the penile context
219 as observed by its higher prognostic significance; in the 20 studies reviewed by Sand *et al*
220 2019 (7) a pooled hazard ratio for HPV positive PeC was 0.61 (95% CI 0.39-0.98) vs 0.45 for
221 p16INK4a positive PeC (95% CI 0.30-0.69).

222 The strengths of this study are that it represented a population based cohort, HPV testing
223 was performed centrally and annotation/adjustment for key clinical and demographic
224 variables was made. There are very few data in the UK on HPV prevalence in PeC so, as

225 described, this piece provides local information on the component that may be vaccine
226 preventable. The weaknesses are that the cohort was relatively small, we had no data on
227 patient behaviours and practices or data on HPV transcriptional activity. Given the
228 comparative rarity of PeC we would suggest that a UK multi-centre approach taking
229 advantage of Supra-regional Penile cancer networks would be extremely valuable for the
230 further interrogation of this disease in terms of its risk-factors, epidemiology, underlying
231 molecular biology - and crucially, natural history including at the pre-invasive stage (16) .
232 We would argue this is apposite given the increase in PeC and challenges of managing this
233 morbid disease which can be intractable to conventional management and treatment
234 strategies and predispose to future HPV associated malignancies (17).

235

236 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

237 We would like to thank all members of the Scottish HPV Reference Laboratory and the HPV
238 Research group for support with sample processing and testing. Thanks are also due to NRS
239 Lothian Bioresource (formerly SAHSC Bioresource) for support with sample capture and
240 governance.

241 **Conflicts:** K Cuschieri, R Bhatia (non personal) K Cuschieri & R Bhatia's institution has
242 received research funding or gratis consumables to support research from the following
243 commercial entities in the last 3 years: Cepheid, Genomica, LifeRiver, Euroimmun,
244 GeneFirst, SelfScreen, Qiagen, Hiantis, Seegene and Hologic. K Pollock has received
245 consultancy fees from MSD, UK. No other authors report a conflict of interest in relation to
246 this work.

247

248

249

250 **REFERENCES**

251

252 1 <https://www.isdscotland.org/Health-Topics/Cancer/Cancer-Statistics/Male-Genital->
253 [Organs/](https://www.isdscotland.org/Health-Topics/Cancer/Cancer-Statistics/Male-Genital-)

254

255 2: Wakeham K, Kavanagh K. The burden of HPV-associated anogenital cancers. *Curr Oncol*
256 *Rep.* 2014 Sep;16(9):402.

257

258 3: Deshmukh AA, Suk R, Shiels MS, Sonawane K, Nyitray AG, Liu Y, Gaisa MM, Palefsky JM,
259 Sigel K. Recent trends in squamous cell carcinoma of the anus incidence and mortality in the
260 United States, 2001-2015. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2019 Nov 19. pii: djz219.

261

262 4. European Association of Urology oncology guidelines on Penile Cancer diagnosis
263 and management, 2018. Access on 3rd December 2020.
264 <https://uroweb.org/guideline/penile-cancer/>

265

266 5: Feber A, Worth DC, Chakravarthy A, de Winter P, Shah K, Arya M, Saqib M, Nigam R,
267 Malone PR, Tan WS, Rodney S, Freeman A, Jameson C, Wilson GA, Powles T, Beck S, Fenton
268 T, Sharp TV, Muneer A, Kelly JD. CSN1 Somatic Mutations in Penile Squamous Cell
269 Carcinoma. *Cancer Res.* 2016 Aug 15;76(16):4720-4727.

270

271 6: Eich ML, Del Carmen Rodriguez Pena M, Schwartz L, Granada CP, Rais-Bahrami S,
272 Giannico G, Amador BM, Matoso A, Gordetsky J. Morphology, p16, HPV, and outcomes in
273 squamous cell carcinoma of the penis: a multi-institutional study. *Hum Pathol.* 2019 Nov 4.
274 pii: S0046-8177(19)30192-3.

275

276 7: Olesen TB, Sand FL, Rasmussen CL, Albieri V, Toft BG, Norrild B, Munk C, Kjær SK.
277 Prevalence of human papillomavirus DNA and p16(INK4a) in penile cancer and penile

278 intraepithelial neoplasia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Oncol.* 2019
279 Jan;20(1):145-158.

280

281 8: Sand FL, Rasmussen CL, Frederiksen MH, Andersen KK, Kjaer SK. Prognostic Significance of
282 HPV and p16 Status in Men Diagnosed with Penile Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-
283 analysis. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2018 Oct;27(10):1123-1132.

284

285 9: Emmanuel A, Nettleton J, Watkin N, Berney DM. The molecular pathogenesis of penile
286 carcinoma-current developments and understanding. *Virchows Arch.* 2019 Oct;475(4):397-
287 405. doi: 10.1007/s00428-019-02607-8. Epub 2019 Jun 26. Review. PubMed PMID:
288 31243533.

289

290 10: Daling JR, Madeleine MM, Johnson LG, Schwartz SM, Shera KA, Wurscher MA, Carter JJ,
291 Porter PL, Galloway DA, McDougall JK, Krieger JN. Penile cancer: importance of circumcision,
292 human papillomavirus and smoking in in situ and invasive disease. *Int J Cancer.*
293 2005;116:606–16.

294

295 11: Maden C, Sherman KJ, Beckmann AM, Hislop TG, Teh CZ, Ashley RL, Daling JR. History of
296 circumcision, medical conditions, and sexual activity and risk of penile cancer. *J Natl Cancer*
297 *Inst.* 1993;85:19–24.

298

299

300 12: Hölter S, Khalmurzaev O, Pryalukhin A, Loertzer P, Janssen M, Heinzlbecker J,
301 Ueberdiek S, Pfuhl T, Smola S, Agaimy A, Geppert C, Loertzer H, Krah X, Wunderlich H,
302 Wagenpfeil S, Bohle RM, Stöckle M, Matveev V, Hartmann A, Junker K. Challenging the
303 prognostic impact of the new WHO and TNM classifications with special emphasis on HPV
304 status in penile carcinoma. *Virchows Arch.* 2019 Aug;475(2):211-221.

305

306 13. Steinau M, Patel SS, Unger ER. Efficient DNA extraction for HPV genotyping in formalin-
307 fixed, paraffin-embedded tissues. *Mol Diagn.* 2011 Jul;13(4):377-81.

308 14: Schache AG, Powell NG, Cuschieri KS, Robinson M, Leary S, Mehanna H, Rapozo D, Long
309 A, Cubie H, Junor E, Monaghan H, Harrington KJ, Nutting CM, Schick U, Lau AS, Upile N,
310 Sheard J, Brougham K, West CM, Oguejiofor K, Thomas S, Ness AR, Pring M, Thomas GJ, King
311 EV, McCance DJ, James JA, Moran M, Sloan P, Shaw RJ, Evans M, Jones TM. HPV-Related
312 Oropharynx Cancer in the United Kingdom: An Evolution in the Understanding of Disease
313 Etiology. Cancer Res. 2016 Nov 15;76(22):6598-6606..

314

315 15: de Sanjosé S, Serrano B, Tous S, Alejo M, Lloveras B, Quirós B, Clavero O, Vidal A,
316 Ferrándiz-Pulido C, Pavón MÁ, Holzinger D, Halec G, Tommasino M, Quint W, Pawlita M,
317 Muñoz N, Bosch FX, Alemany L; RIS HPV TT, VVAP and Head and Neck study groups . Burden
318 of Human Papillomavirus (HPV)-Related Cancers Attributable to HPVs
319 6/11/16/18/31/33/45/52 and 58. JNCI Cancer Spectr. 2019 Jan 7;2(4):pky045.

320

321 16: Gilbert DC, Wakeham K, Langley RE, Vale CL. Increased risk of second cancers at sites
322 associated with HPV after a prior HPV-associated malignancy, a systematic review and meta-
323 analysis. Br J Cancer. 2019 Jan;120(2):256-268

324

325 17. Lieblong BJ, Montgomery BEE, Su LJ, Nakagawa M [Natural history of human](#)
326 [papillomavirus and vaccinations in men: A literature review.](#) Health Sci Rep. 2019 Mar
327 12;2(5):e1118.

328

329

330