Table 1 Summary of the original articles reporting on SARS-CoV-2 antibody testing (searched up to May 15, 2020) (Case-reports and review articles have not been included).

| Author, Year | Design of the study | N | Population | Nationality of the population | Antibody used | Methodology | Main findings and/or conclusions | Sensitivity | Specificity | PPV | NPV |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|--|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|---|---|-----------------------|-------|-------|
| Li Z et al., 2020 | Retrospective | 525 | 397 RNA positive Patients, 128 controls | China | Commercial Assay | Jiangsu Medomics Medical Technologies lateral flow immunoassay | The test time was from day 8 to day 33 after infection symptoms appeared. The IgM-IgG combined assay has better utility and sensitivity compared with a single IgM or IgG test. Results demonstrate that the IgG-IgM combined antibody test kit can be used as a point- of-care test | 88.66% | 90.63% | NA | NA |
| Xiao D et al., 2020 | Prospective | 34 | SARS-CoV-2 confrmed patients | China | Commercial assay | Chemiluminescence assay by Shenzhen Yahuilong Biotechnology | After 2 weeks from the onset of symptom, all but two subjects were positive to the test. From the 5 th to the 7 th weeks IgM became negative, while all had high levels of IgG | 94.1% | NA | NA | NA |
| Zhao J et al., 2020 | Prospective | 535 samples from 173 subjects | 173 RNA positive patients | China | Commercial assay | Beijing Wantai Biological Pharmacy Enterprise ELISA assay | The seroconversion rate for Ab, IgM and IgG was 93.1% , 82.7% and 64.7%, respectively. The cumulative seroconversion curve showed that the rate for Ab and IgM reached 100% around 1 month of illness day. | 100% (>15days) | NA | NA | NA |
| Du Z et al., 2020 | Retrospective | 60 | convalescent patients (6-7 weeks from the onset) | China | Commercial assay | ELISA | All patients tested positive for the IgG against the virus, while 13 patients tested negative for IgM | 78% lgM 100% lgG | NA | NA | NA |
| Cassaniti I et al., 2020 | Prospective | 110 | 30 RNA positive patients, 50 patients with respiratory symptoms, 30 controls | Italy | Commercial assay | Rapid Viva Diag IgM /IgG immunoassay | The rapid test is not recommended for triage of patients with suspected COVID-19 in emergency room | 18.4% | 91.7% | 87.5% | 26.2% |
| Guo L et al., 2020 | Prospective | 208 samples from 140 subjects | 82 confirmed and 58 probable cases | China | In House assay | ELISA for IgA, IgM , IgG | IgA, IgM and IgG were detected in 92.7%, 85.4% and 77.9% of samples from a median time of 5 days from the onset of symptoms | 75.6% (IgM in confirmed cases) 93.1% (IgM in probable cases) | NA | NA | NA |
| Jin Y et al., 2020 | Retrospective | 76 | 43 RNA positive patients, 33 probable cases | China | Commercial assay | Chemiluminescence Shenzhen YHLO Biotech | Viral serological testing is an effective means of diagnosis for SARS-CoV-2 infection. The positive rate and titer variance of IgG are higher than those of IgM | 48.1 % lgM 88.9% lgG | 100% lgM 90.9% lgG | NA | NA |

| Pan Y et al., 2020 | Retrospective | 105 | 105 patients | China | In House | Immunocromatography | The positive rates of Ig in the early stage are relatively low, and gradually increase during the disease progression. The IgM positive rate rising from 11.1% of early stage to 74.2% of late stage, respectively. The IgG positive rate in the confirmed patients is 3.6% in early, and 96.8% in late stage, respectively. | 68.6% | NA | NA | NA |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|---|--|-------|----------------------|---|---|-----------------------------|------------------------|---------------|----------------|
| Padoan A et al., 2020 | Retrospective | 87 sample from 37 subjects | 37 patients | Italy | Commercial assay | MAGLUM 2000 Plus 2019- nCov IgM and IgG assays by Snibe | After the 11th day, all patients were found to be positive for IgG (100%), while the higher positivity of IgM (88%) was achieved only after the 13th day. Imprecision and repeatability of the test were acceptable | 88% lgM 100% lgG | NA | NA | NA |
| Zhong L et al., 2020 | Cross- sectional | 347 | 47 RNA positive patients, 300 controls | China | Commercial assay | Elisa and Chemiluminescence detection assay | Both the ELISA and chemiluminescence methods to detect IgG and IgM antibodies by the recombinant N and S proteins of SARS-CoV-2 were consistent | 97.9% lgM 95.7% lgG | 99.7% lgM 85.7% lgG | NA | NA |
| Infantino M et al., 2020 | Cross- sectional | 125 | 61 RNA positive patients and 64 controls | Italy | Commercial assay | Chemiluminescence (iFlash CLIA) | The ROC auc was 0.918 and 0.980 for anti-SARS CoV-2 antibodies IgM and IgG, respectively | 73.3% (IgM) 76.7% (IgG) | 92.2% 100% | 81.5% NA | 88.1% 90.1% |
| Xiang F et al., 2020 | Retrospective | 216 samples from 109 subjects | 85 confirmed and 24 suspected cases | China | Commercial assays | Zhu Hai LivZon Diagnostics ELISA | The seropositive rate of IgM increased gradually and notably. IgG was increased sharply on the 12th day after onset. Diagnostic performance calculated from samples obtained after 13 days from the onset | 77.3 % lgM 83.3% lgG | 100% 95% | 100% 94.8% | 80% 83.8% |
| Lee YL, et al., 2020 | Retrospective | 33 samples from 14 subjects, 28 samples from 28 controls | 14 RNA positive patients and 28 controls | China | Commercial Assay | Alltest Rapid Test | Antibody response varied with different clinical manifestations and disease severity. Patients with symptoms and development of anti-SARSCoV-2 IgM antibodies had a shorter duration of positive rRT-PCR result and no worsening clinical conditions compared to those without the presence of anti- SARS-CoV-2 IgM antibodies. | 78.6% | 100% | NA | NA |
| Long QX et al., 2020 | Cross sectional | 285 patients | 285 RNA positive patients | China | Commercial assay | Chemiluminescence Bioscience assay | The positive rate of IgG reached 100% at around 17-19 days after symptoms onset, while IgM seroconversion rate reached its peak of 94.1% at around 20- 22 days after symptoms onset | 94.% (IgM) 100% (IgG) | NA | NA | NA |
| Perera R et al., 2020 | Retrospective | 51 samples from 24 patients | 24 RNA positive patients | China | In House assay | ELISA | IgG and IgM were reliably positive after 29 days from illness onset with no detectable cross-reactivity in age- stratified controls. | 74% | 100% | NA | NA |
| Qu J et al., 2020 | Retrospective | 347 samples from 41 | 41 RNA positive patients and 38 controls | China | Commercial assay | Chemilumenescence, YHLO biotech | The majority of the patients developed robust antibody responses between 17 and 23 days after illness onset | 87.8 % (IgM) 97.6% (IgG) | NA | Na | NA |

| | | patients and 38 samples from controls | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|---|--|---------|----------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Shen B et al., 2020 | Prospective | 150 patients | 150 suspected cases, of whom 97 were RNA positive | China | Commercial assay | Rapid immunocromatography test by Shanghai Outdo Biotech | The colloidal gold immunochromatography assay for SARS-Cov-2 specific IgM/IgG anti-body shows the potential for a useful rapid diagnosis test for COVID-19. | 71% | 96% | 97% | 64% |
| Zhao R et al., 2020 | Retrospective | 481 | 69 affected subjects and 412 controls | China | In House assay | ELISA assay | The overall accuracy of the ELISA test was 97.3% | 97.5% | 97.5%% | NA | NA |
| Cai X et al., 2020 | Retrospective | 276 samples from 276 subjects, 200 samples from 200 controls | 276 RNA positive patients, and 200 healthy controls | China | In House assay | Chemiluminescence | Combining immunoassay with real-time RT-PCR might enhance the diagnostic accuracy of COVID-19. | 57.2% (IgM) 71.4% (IgG) | NA | NA | NA |
| Dohla M et al., 2020 | Prospective | Samples from 49 symptom atic patients | 22 RNA positive and 27 RNA negative patients | Germany | Commercial assay | Rapid Test | The rapid test was substantially inferior to the RT-qPCR testing and should therefore neither be used for individual risk assessment nor for decisions on public health measures | 36.4% | 88.9% | 72.7% | 63.1% |
| Hoffman T et al., 2020 | Cross- sectional | Samples from 153 subjects | 29 RNA positive patients and 124 controls | Sweden | Commercial assay | Rapid COVID test by Zhejiang Orient Gene Biotech Co Ltd, | the test is suitable for assessing previous virus exposure, although negative results may be unreliable during the first weeks after infection | 69% (IgM) 93% (IgG) | 100% (IgM) 99.2% (IgG) | 100% (IgM) 96.4% (IgG) | 93.2% (IgM) 98.4% (IgG) |
| Hou H et al. <i>,</i> 2020 | Retrospective | 338 subjects | 338 RNA positive patients | China | Commercial Assay | Elisa test by YHLO | Quantitative detection of IgM and IgG antibodies against SARS- CoV-2 quantitatively has potential significance for evaluating the severity and prognosis of COVID-19. | 82.7% (IgM) 88% (IgG) | NA | NA | NA |
| Imai K et al., 2020 | Retrospective | 139 samples from 112 patients and 48 controls | 112 RNA positive patients and 48 controls | Japan | Commercial assay | One Step IgM/IgG Rapid Test by Artton | Immuno assay had low sensitivity during the early phase of infection, and thus immuno assay alone is not recommended for initial diagnostic testing for COVID-19 | 40% | NA | NA | NA |
| Lippi G et al., 2020 | Prospective | 48 patients | 48 RNA positive patients | Italy | Commercial assays | Chemiluminescence MAGLUMI by Snibe and ELISA by Euroimmun | Results of MAGLUMI are well aligned with those of Euroimmun tets | 10% (< 5days) 100% (>10 days) | NA | NA | NA |

| Pan Y et al., 2020b | Retrospective | 86 samples from 67 cases | 67 RNa positive patients | China | Commercial assay | Rapid Lateral flow assay Zhuhai Livzon Diagnositic | Serology may be considered a supplementary approach in clinical diagnosis | 11% (<7 days) 92% (7-14 days) 96%(>14 days) | NA | Na | Na |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|---------|----------------------|---|---|--|--------------------------|----|----|
| Spicuzza et al., 2020 | Cross Sectional | 41 subjects | 27 RNA positive patients, 7 symptomatic RNA negative patients and 7 controls | Italy | Commercial assay | Rapid lateral flow assay by Beijing Diagreat Biotechnologies | Antibody test is quite reliable and useful, since it has the advantage to be a pointof- care test that gives a response within minutes | 83% | 93% | NA | NA |
| Sun B et al., 2020 | Cross sectional | 130 samples from 38 patients, 16 samples from 16 controls | 38 RNA positive patients and 16 controls | China | In House assay | ELISA | IgM and IgG increased gradually after symptom onset and can be used for detection of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Analysis of the dynamics of S-IgG may help to predict prognosis. | 75% (after 1 week) 94.7% (after 2 weeks) 100% (after 3 weeks) | NA | NA | NA |
| To K et al., 2020 | Cross sectional | 16 patients | 16 RNA positive patients | China | In House assay | ELISA | Serological assay can complement RT-qPCR for diagnosis | 88% (IgM) 94% (IgG) | Na | NA | NA |
| Xie J et al., 2020 | Prospective | 56 patients | 56 symptomatic patients | China | Commercial assay | Chemiluminescence by YHLO Biological technology | A combination of nucleic acid and IGs testing is a more accurate approach for diagnosing COVID-19 | 93.7% (IgM) 100 % (IgG) | NA | NA | NA |
| Yonh G et al., 2020 | Retrospective | 76 samples from 38 patients | 38 symprtomatic patients | China | Comemrcial assay | Rapid assay GICA kit | Antibody detection could be used as an effective indicator os the virus in the absence of viral RNA | 50 % (IgM) 92.1% (IgG) | NA | NA | NA |
| Bryan A et al., 2020 | Cross sectional | 6001 subjects | 1020 controls and 125 patients. 4856 subjects from the general population | USA | Commercial assay | Chemiluminescence by Abbott SARS-CoV-2 IgG test | This study demonstrates excellent analytical performance of the Abbott SARS-CoV2 test as well as the limited circulation of the virus in western United States | 53.1% (day 7) 82.4% (day 10) 96.9% (day 14) 100% (day 17) | 99.9% | NA | NA |
| Demey B et al., 2020 | Prosepctive | 21 subjects | 21 RNA positive patients | France | Commercial assays | Four rapid lateral flow assays | The immunochromatographic tests for the detection of the virus may have their role for the diagnosis of COVID-19 | 9-24% (day5) 67-82% (day 10) 100% (day 15) | 99.8% | NA | NA |
| Jaaskeilanen A et al., 2020 | Retrospective | 77 subjects | 40 RNA positive patients and 37 controls | Finland | Commercial Assay | ELISA by Euroimmun | The median time after onset of symptoms was 12 days (13 patients range: 5–20 days) for detection of IgGs, and 11 days (24 patients range: 5– 20 days) for detection of IgAs | na | 91.9% (IgG) 73% (IgA) | Na | Na |
| Montesinos J et al., 2020 | Retrospective | 400 subjects | 272 controls and 128 RNA positive epatients | Belgium | Commercial Assays | Chemiluminescence by MAGLUMI, ELISA by Euroimmun, and rapid assay | The sensitivity of the tests increased with time from the onset of symptoms | 64.3% (MAGLUMI) 84.4% (Euroimmun) 70% (rapid assay) | 99% 100% | NA | NA |
| Tang MS et al., 2020 | Retrospective | 201 subjects | 48 patients and 153 controls | USA | Commercial Assays | Chemiluminescence by Abbott and ELISA by Euroimmun | Both the two assays have poor sensitivity during the first days of the disease. Abott tests generally | Abbott 0% (<3days) 30% (3-7 days) | 99.4% (Abbott) | NA | NA |

| | | | | | | | performed better than the Euroimmun test | 47.8% (8-13 days) 93.8% (>14 days) Euroimmun 0% (<3days) 25% (3-7 days) 56.5% (8-13 days) 85.4% (>14 days) | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------|--|---|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|
| MedRxiv article | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Author, Year | Design of the study | N | Population | Nationality of the population | Antibody used | Methodology | Main findings and/or conclusions | Sensitivity | Specificity | PPV | NPV |
| Wang X et al., 2020 | Prospective study with longitudinal follow-up | 117 samples in 70 subjects | Inpatients and convalescent patients | China | In House | Modified cytopathogenic assay | The seropositivity rate reached up to 100.0% within 20 days since onset. Patients with a worse clinical classification had a higher antibody titer | 100% | NA | NA | NA |
| Garcia PF et al., 2020 | Prospective | 163 | 55 RNA positive patients, 63 RNA negative patients, 45 controls | Spain | Commercial Assay | AllTestCOV 19 IgG IgM immunoassay | Sensitivity of the test was 73.9 % after 2 weeks from the onset of the symptoms | 73.9% | 100 | NA | NA |
| Lassauniere R et al., 2020 | Cross sectional | 111 | 30 SARS-CoV-2 patients, 10 healthy controls, 71 patients with non SARS-CoV-2 | Denmark | Commercial assays | 3 ELISA tests and 6 POC lateral flow tests | The diagnostic performance of the commercial assays analyzed may vary by some degree | 65-90% (ELISA) 83-93% (POCs) | 96-100 % (ELISA) 80-100% (POCs) | 82- 100% (ELISA) 100% (POCs) | 89-98% (ELISA) 80-91% (POCs) |
| Yangchun F, 2020 | Cross sectional | 294 | 186 RNA positive patients, 98 RNA negative patients | China | Commercial assay | ELISA | Antibody testing has a very good diagnostic performance in identifying positive subjects | 96.1% (IgG) | 92.4% (IgG) | 96.09% (IgG) | 90.1% (IgG) |
| Liu R et al., 2020 | Retrospective | 133 | Samples from patients | China | Commercial Assay | YHLO IGs detection kit | In symptomatic patients, the IgM was superior to RT-PCR in detecting affected subjects. The positive ratio for IgM was 79.55% in moderate cases, 82.69% 156 in severe cases and 72.97% in critical cases. IgG antibody test was 93.18% in moderate cases, 100.00% in severe cases and 97.30% in critical cases | 78.95% (IgM) 93.18% (IgG | NA | NA | NA |
| Liu Y et al., 2020 | Retrospective | 179 | Patients RNA positive (n:90) and RNA negative (:89) | China | Commercial assay | Rapid immunoassay | The accuracy of the antibody testing increased over time (from 40% in the first week from the onset of symptoms to 93.9% two weeks later) | 85.6% | 91% | 95.1% | 82.7% |
| Yong G et al., 2020 | Retrospective | 38 | Patients | China | Commercial assay | Rapid Assay. GICA IgG IgM detection kit | The accuracy of the test 8 days after the onset of symptoms | 50% | 92.1% | NA | NA |
| Lin D et al., 2020 | Retrospective | 149 | 79 RNA positive patients | China | Commercial assay | Darui Biotech ELISA kit | The sensitivity of the test increased with time from the onset of the disease | 82.2% | 97.5% | NA | NA |
| Lou B et al., 2020 | Cross sectional | 380 | 80 RNA positive patients. 300 healthy controls | China | Commercial assay | ELISA and lateral-flow assay | The overall seroconversion rate was 98.8% at a median time of 9 days from the onset of disease | 98.8 % | 94.3% | NA | NA |

| Liu L et al., 2020 | Cross sectional | 238 | 238 patients, 153 of them RNA positive. 120 controls | China | Commercial assay | Lizhu ELISA assay | Antibody detection should be used as a major viral diagnostic test for patients with symptoms for more than 10 days. The combination of ELISA and RT-PCR assays will greatly improve the detection efficacy, even in theearly stage of infection. | 81.5% | NA | NA | NA |
|--|------------------------------|--|--|-------|----------------------|---|---|--|---|-----|------|
| Bendavid E et al., 2020 | Cross sectional | 3300 | 3300 subjects from the general population | USA | Commercial | Premier Biotech Lateral flow immunoassay | The population prevalence of COVID-19 in Santa Clara- CA ranged from 2.49% to 4.16%, 50 to 85-fold more than reported cases | 80.3% | 99.5% | NA | NA |
| Paradiso AV et al., 2020 | Prospective | 191 | 191 symptomatic patients | Italy | Commercial | Rapid Viva Diag IgM /IgG immunoassay | The performance of the test at the onset of symptoms was low. The sensitivity was 66.7% 15 days later | 30% | 89% | NA | NA |
| Jia X et al., 2020 | Retrospective | 59 | 59 suspected patients. 24 of them were RNA positive | China | Commercial assay | Diagreat Immunofluorescence assay | The IgM and IgG may provide a quick, simple and accurate aided detection method for suspected COVID-19 patients | 87.5% | NA | NA | NA |
| Zhang J et al., 2020 | Retrospective | 736 | 228 suspected cases, 3 were positive. 508 controls | China | Commercial assay | Chemiluminescence by Shenzhen Yahuilong Biotechnology | Detection of specific antibodies in patients with fever can be a good complement to nucleic acid diagnosis to early diagnosis of suspected cases | 100% | 97% | 75% | 100% |
| Xiang J et al., 2020 | Retrospective | 189 | 154 patients, 35 controls | China | Commercial assays | Zhu Hai Liv Zon Diagnostics ELISA and gold- immunochromatographic assays | There is no difference between the sensitivity of between ELISA and GICA assay they both are simple and fast and the results can be used for clinical reference | 87.3% (ELISA) 82.4% (GICA) | 100% (ELISA) 100% (GICA) | NA | NA |
| Hu Q et al., 2020 | Prospective | 993 samples from 221 subjects | 221 hospitalized patients | China | Commercial assay | Chemiluminescence by BioScience | IgG and IgM antibodies examined every 3 days revealed increasing antibody levels which peaked on day 19-21. SARS-CoV-2 IgG and IgM antibodies testing should be combined with RT-PCR as an early diagnosis method | 73.6% IgM 97.8% IgG (day 13-18 after the onset) | NA | NA | NA |
| Ma H et al., 2020 | Cross sectional | 216 samples from 87 subjects | 87 RNA positive patients | China | In House assay | Chemiluminescence | Measuring SARS-CoV-2 specific antibodies IgA, IgM, and IgG in serum provides 81 a better serological testing with improved sensitivity and specificity | 98.6% lgA 96.8% lgM 96.8% lgG | 98.1% IgA 92.3%IgM 99.8%IgG | NA | NA |
| Qian C et al., 2020 | Prospective, multicentric | 2061 subjects from 10 hospitals | 972 non-covid patients, 586 controls, 503 RNA positive patients | China | Commercial assay | Chemiluminescence by Shenzhen YHLO Biotech | The assay showed a coefficient of variation of less than 5%. SARS-CoV-2 IgM and IgG showed clinical specificity > 97%. 86.54% respectively for suspected cases. | 85.8% lgM 96.6% lgG | 99% lgM 99%lgG | NA | NA |
| National COVID testing Scientific Advisory Board, 2020 | Cross- sectional | 182 | 40 RNA positive patients, 142 controls | UK | Commercial assays | Elisa and 9 commercial lateral flow immunoassays (LFIA) | The performance of current LFIA devices is inadequate for most individual patient applications. ELISA can be calibrated to be specific for detecting and quantifying SARSCoV-2 IgM and IgG and is highly | 85% (ELISA) 55-70% (LFIA versus RT-PCR | 100% (ELISA) 65-85% (LFIA versus ELISA) | NA | NA |

| | | | | | | | sensitive for IgG from 10 days following symptoms onset | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|-------------|---------------------|---|---|--|--|------|-----|
| Burbelo PD et al., 2020 | Cross- sectional | 100 | 68 patients, 32 controls | USA | In House assay | Luciferase 44 immunoprecipitation assay systems (LIPS) to the nucleocapsid (NP) and spike proteins (SP) | Antibody to the nucleocapsid protein of SARS-CoV-2 is more sensitive than 56 spike protein antibody for detecting early infection. | 100% (Ab antin NP) 91% (Ab antiSP) | 100% (Ab antiNP) 100% Ab anti SP | NA | NA |
| Adams ER et al., 2020 | Retrospective | 841 samples | 270 positive samples, 564 negative samples | UK | Commercial assay | ELISA by Mologic | The ELISA assay tested had good diagnostic performance | 88% | 97% | NA | NA |
| Meyer B et al., 2020 | Retrospective | 357 subjects | 176 controls, 181 RNA positive patients | Switzerland | Commercial assay | ELISA by Euroimmun | The assay displays an optima diagnostic accuracy using IgG, with no obvious gain from IgA serology | 82% | 100% | 100% | 46% |
| Norman M et al., 2020 | Retrospective | 81 subjects | 81 subjects | USA | In House assay | Single Molecular array Assay (SIMOA) | The Simoa serological platform provides a powerful analytical tool | 86% | 100% | NA | NA |
| Tuaillon E et al., 2020 | Prospective | 58 | 38 RNA positive patients and 20 controls | France | Commercial Assay | Elisa tests by Euroimmun and IdVet and 5 rapid lateral flow tests | The second week of COVID-19 seems to be the best period for assessing the sensitivity of commercial serological assays | 86.7 % (ELISA) 80-93.3% (Rapid tests)) | 80-85% (ELISA) 65-100% (rapid tests) | NA | NA |
| Wajnberg A et al., 2020 | Prospective | 1343 subkect | 1343 symptomatic subjects, of whom 624 were RNA positive | USA | Commercial assay | Chemiluminescence by Roche | The vast majority of confirmed COVID19 patients seroconvert, potentially providing immunity to reinfection. | 82% | Na | NA | NA |
| Wan Y et al., 2020 | Retrospective | 180 | 50 RNA positive patients and 130 controls | China | Commercial Assay | Four Chemiluminescence assay systems | Systems for CoVID-2019 IgM/IgG antibody test may perform differently | 26-92% | 78-99% | NA | Na |
| Xiao T et al., 2020 | Retrospective | 56 subjects | 56 RNa positive patients (33 symptomatic and 23 asymptomatic) | China | Commercial assay | Chemiluminescence Microparticle Immuno Assay | Asymptomatic carriers were found to have a lower initial viral load, undetectable IgM and moderate levels of IgG. | 90.9% 95.5% 90.9% 63.2% | NA | NA | NA |
| Zhou Q et al., 2020 | Retrospective | 419 subjects | 19 RNA positive patients and 400 controls | China | Commercial Assay | Chemiluminescence | viral serological testing is an effective means for SARS-CoV-2 infection detection | 91.6% | NA | NA | NA |

| Ozturk T et | Cross | 148 | 32 RNA positive | USA | Commercial | ELISA by GenScript | The complex relationship between | 88.9% | 92.3% | NA | NA |
|-------------|---------------|----------|------------------|--------|------------|-------------------------------|---|-------|-------|----|----|
| al., 2020 | sectional | subjects | patients, 116 | | assay | | antibody levels, disease severity, and | | | | |
| | | | controls | | | | time | | | | |
| | | | | | | | since symptom onset, caution is needed | | | | |
| | | | | | | | in using serologic assay | | | | |
| | | | | | | | to inform public policies | | | | |
| Rosado J et | Retrospective | 594 | 259 RNA positive | France | In House | Multiplex serological assay | Serological signatures based on | 96.1% | 99.1% | NA | NA |
| al., 2020 | | | patients, 335 | | assay | Using a serological signature | antibody responses to multiple antigens | | | | |
| | | | controls | | | of IgG to four antigens | can provide more accurate and robust | | | | |
| | | | | | | | serological classification of individuals | | | | |
| | | | | | | | with previous SARS-CoV-2 infection | | | | |

NA: not available