

Velednitsky, S., Salazar Hughes, S. and Machold, R. (2020) Political geographical perspectives on settler colonialism. *Geography Compass*, 14(6), e12490.

(doi: <u>10.1111/gec3.12490</u>)

The material cannot be used for any other purpose without further permission of the publisher and is for private use only.

There may be differences between this version and the published version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article:

Velednitsky, S., Salazar Hughes, S. and Machold, R. (2020) Political geographical perspectives on settler colonialism. *Geography Compass*, 14(6), e12490, which has been published in final form at: 10.1111/gec3.12490

This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving.

https://eprints.gla.ac.uk/210072/

Deposited on: 13 February 2020

Enlighten – Research publications by members of the University of Glasgow http://eprints.gla.ac.uk Title: Political Geographical Perspectives on Settler Colonialism

### Abstract:

Given the centrality of land, territory, and sovereignty to settler colonial formations, it is unsurprising that geographers and other scholars working on such topics are increasingly finding settler colonial studies fruitful in their research agendas. However, work on settler polities in political geography has historically been marked by the *present absence* of this framework, which has been consequential in terms of circumscribing the kinds of political analysis that geographers can offer. It also limits the nature, depth, and scope of radical critique of violent domination by skirting certain questions about the core drivers of dispossession and responsibility for them. This article examines political geographical engagement (or lack thereof) across each of four themes: population management/governance, territory/sovereignty, consciousness, and narrative, paying particular attention to works which challenge the present absence of settler colonial theory in political geography. We argue that analyzing settler colonial formations as such is essential to conceptualizing their workings and linkages or disjunctures with other forms of empire. Yet this focus has broader political stakes related to geography's complicity with racialized state power, violence, and empire and efforts to decolonize the discipline.

**Key words:** political geography; settler colonialism; present absence; decolonizing geography; biopolitics; territory; consciousness; narrative

### 1 Introduction

2 The field of settler colonial studies investigates the replacement of an indigenous 3 population with an exogenous one on the land as a category analytically distinct from 4 colonialism, which involves domination from afar (Veracini, 2010; Wolfe, 1999, 2006). The centrality of land, territory, and sovereignty within settler colonial studies partially explains why 5 6 geographers and other scholars, particularly in political ecology and indigenous studies, have 7 found this literature increasingly fruitful in their research agendas (e.g. Alatout, 2006, 2009; 8 Cattelino, 2008, 2010; Curley, 2018, 2019; Day, 2015; Farrales, 2019; Fix, 2018; Getzoff, 2019; 9 Kirk, 2018; Kauanui, 2016; Pasternak, 2014, 2017; Pulido, 2018; A. Simpson, 2014; Shoffner, 10 2018; Smiles, 2018; Tomiak, 2017)—albeit not without challenging some of its premises and disciplinary dominance relative to indigenous studies (Snelgrove, Dhamoon, & Corntassel, 11 12 2014). Until fairly recently, however, political geographers have been reticent to fully take up the 13 settler colonial framework, often avoiding explicit reference to the settler colonial designation in 14 researching these polities (see Bhungalia, 2018, p. 314). Other political geographers have applied the signifier "settler colonial" to specific cases without actively theorizing its influence as an 15 16 active process and structure. In this article, we argue that all of these phenomena, taken together, 17 constitute the *present absence* of settler colonialism that has historically characterized political 18 geography. 19 Despite this longstanding present absence, which endures in contemporary political 20 geography, recent works by political geographers are engaging with the field of settler colonialism much more directly (Hawari, Plonski, & Weizman, 2019a, Hughes, 2016, 21 22 forthcoming; Machold, 2018; Naylor et al., 2018; de Leeuw and Hunt, 2018), focusing

- 23 particularly on biopolitics, planning, urban geopolitics, and gendered and racialized foundations
- of settler colonialism (Farrales, 2019; Naylor et. al., 2018). Indeed, Coleman and Agnew (2018)

argue that the settler colonial framework is of rising importance to the field of political

- 26 geography. This growing engagement with settler colonial theory is highly significant in terms of
- 27 how geographers study settler colonial formations and their linkages or disjunctures with other
- 28 forms of empire. Yet it also has broader political stakes as well. Indeed, geographers'
- 29 engagement with settler colonial studies is reinvigorating considerations of the discipline's
- 30 complicity with racialized state power, violence and empire (Coleman & Kocher, 2019, p. 31;
- 31 Bonds & Inwood 2016; Inwood & Bonds 2016) and in doing so the very terms of what it might
- 32 mean to 'decolonize' geography (de Leeuw and Hunt, 2018). In this article, we seek to
- 33 foreground what we see as the most productive engagements with the settler colonial framework
- by political geographers and others. Highlighting indigenous approaches to these frameworks,
   we hope that as political geographers take up settler colonial theory, we can avoid inheriting its
- 36 shortcomings.
- Though settler colonial formations are not all the same, they share a morphological
  continuity and an imaginative coherence that distinguishes them from other colonial formations
  despite their diverse contexts and outcomes. Veracini (2010) outlines four areas in which this
- 40 morphological continuity manifests: population economy/biopolitics, sovereignty/territory,
- 41 consciousness, and narrative form. The remainder of this article will be structured around these 42 four themes.
- 43 First, settler sovereignty depends on settlers' capacity to biopolitically manage the 44 population economy of the settlers' "domestic domains" in the face of recurring settler anxieties 45 (Veracini, 2010, p. 16). "Management" of this domain typically entails a circumstance whereby indigenous and exogenous Others progressively disappear in a variety of ways-what Wolfe 46 47 (1999) calls settler colonialism's "logic of elimination" (Veracini, 2010, p. 16). Second, settlers claim a special type of sovereign entitlement—an animus manendi—that derives from their 48 49 intention to stay permanently, and which is manifested by residency, suitable reproduction, and 50 possession (Veracini, 2010, p. 53). In other words, settlers "come to stay" and "to establish new 51 political orders for themselves" (Veracini, 2013, p. 313). As Wolfe (1999) articulated: 52 territoriality is settler colonialism's irreducible element. Third and fourth are the particular state 53 of mind and specific narrative form of settler colonial polities, which are accompanied by 54 recurring settler anxieties about indigenous presence and resurgence (Veracini, 2010). We think 55 of consciousness here as settlers' ongoing practice of managing the inconsistencies inherent in 56 settler colonization, including the need to disavow the ongoing violent expropriation from which 57 they continuously benefit (Snelgrove, Dhamoon, & Corntassel, 2014; Tuck & Gaztambide-Fernández, 2013; Arvin, Tuck, & Morrill, 2013; Nagy, 2012), the significance of indigenous 58 59 peoples' self-determination practices (Hunt, 2014a, 2014b; Coombes, 2006; Cattelino, 2010), 60 and the incommensurabilities between settler and indigenous epistemologies (Tuck and Yang, 61 2012; Cattelino, 2010). This complex of disavowals enables settlers to rationalize the colonial 62 order in the face of ongoing settler failures and non-alignments by indigenous and other 63 marginalized people. Because of the unidirectional, linear trajectory of the settler colonial project 64 across physical and temporal frontiers, settler colonial narratives are defined through a "teleological expectation of irreversible transformation" (Veracini, 2010, p. 99), which, in turn, 65 66 shapes settler colonial stories of development, progress, and modernity (Escobar, 1995; Quijano, 2007), thereby circumscribing possibilities for decolonization. A number of additional elements 67 characterize settler narratives in various contexts: these include stories about the exceptionalism 68 69 of a particular settler society relative to all other societies (Adas, 2001; Lloyd, 2012; Salamanca, 70 Qato, Rabie, & Samour, 2012) and the inevitable disappearance of indigenous peoples (Macoun

- 71 & Strakosch, 2013; Schwarz & Ray, 2000; Wolfe, 2006, Byrd, 2011). Settler narratives
- rationalize territorial seizure and genocide as two sides of the same coin: in the settler imaginary,
   as frontiers disappear, so do indigenous people (Byrd, 2011).
- In this article we examine political geographical engagement (or lack thereof) across each
   of these four themes: population management/governance, territory/sovereignty, consciousness,
- and narrative, paying particular attention to the present absence of settler colonial theory in
- 77 political geography. Like others before us, we argue that studying settler states as such is
- respectively and politics within the settler relation structures all life, society, and politics within the settler
- 79 polity (Cattelino, 2010, p. 282). As an analytic, settler colonialism illuminates structures,
- 80 practices, ideological formations, and challenges at work in settler colonial formations,
- 81 including, but not limited to, "the dilemmas that indigenous peoples' everyday practices of
- 82 citizenship pose to settler states, distinctive epistemologies and disciplinary formations, settler
- 83 quandaries of how to claim national histories and territories when these are laced with traces of
- 84 invasion, and pressure on the crafting of shared futures" (Cattelino, 2010, pp. 285-286). By
- 85 highlighting works which actively theorize settler colonialism, we hope to advance the active
- 86 presence of settler colonialism as an analytic within political geography.
- 87

## 88 **Population Management/Biopolitics**

89 Geographers have long made significant contributions to understandings of population 90 management in settler colonial contexts. Often engaging with literature on necropolitics 91 (Mbembe, 2003) and thanatopolitics (Ghanim, 2008; Murray, 2006; Weizman, 2008), this work has addressed overtly violent forms of dispossession and war (Graham, 2004; Gregory, 2004; 92 93 Gregory and Pred 2017; Joronen, 2016), humanitarian violence (Bhungalia, 2015; Smith, 2016) 94 and spatial planning (Tzfadia & Yacobi, 2011; Yacobi & Pullan, 2014), with a keen focus on the 95 politics that enable the stigmatization, sorting and removal of populations deemed as radical 96 others (Falah & Newman, 1995; MacLaughlin, 1998). Yiftachel's (1999, 2002, 2006) work on 97 "ethnocracy" has been particularly central here, focusing on how settler regimes promote the 98 expansion of dominant groups in contested territories under the pretense of democracy. Yet this 99 literature's analysis of the rationalization, execution, and contestation of violence, war, 100 settlement, and territorial control elides a theorization of the modalities of settler colonial

101 biopolitics as such, reflecting the present absence identified above.

- 102 Though some geographers continue to challenge aspects of it (e.g. Amir, 2017), the 103 settler colonial framework is becoming increasingly present in geographical thinking on 104 biopolitics and debates on biopolitics more broadly (Morgensen, 2011; Lafleur and Schuller 105 2019). These discussions have begun to interrogate how Foucault's conception of biopolitics is 106 responsible for "whitewashing" the coloniality and raciality of modern violence and power (Howell and Richer-Montpetit 2019). Geographical work on biopolitics remains focused on overt 107 108 physical forms of violence, confinement, bordering and erasure (Plonski, 2008; Schofield, 2018; 109 Smith & Isleem, 2017) as well as the political technologies they rely on like security and 110 surveillance practices (Bastos, 2008; Machold, 2018; Shalhoub-Kevorkian, 2015; Zureik, Lyon, 111 & Abu-Laban, 2011), risk and supply chain management (Pasternak & Dafnos, 2018) and 112 juridical innovations (Gordon & Ram, 2016; Pasternak, 2014, 2017; Tawil-Souri 2012; Hunt 113 2015). Here studies focus centrally on theorizing the connections between race, white supremacy 114 and settler colonialism (Bonds & Inwood, 2016; Clarno, 2017; Eastwood, 2019a; Inwood & 115 Bonds, 2016; Mott, 2016, 2019; Tatour, 2019). In addition to linking the growth of borderings in
- 116 settler contexts with biopolitical imperatives (Dodds, 2013; Topak, Bracken-Roche, Saulnier, &

117 Lyon, 2015), studies link the rise of new border regimes and "internal colonialisms" in non-

118 settler contexts with settler logics (Giglioli, 2017).

119 Building on geographers' longstanding interrogation of planning in territorial 120 dispossession and geopolitics, recent infrastructure and planning literature has considerably 121 radicalized this focus through engagement with settler colonial studies (Braier and Yacobi, 2017; 122 Cowen, 2018; Curley, 2018, 2019; Salamanca, 2015, 2016; Porter & Yiftachel, 2019, p. 177; 123 Yacobi & Tzfadia 2019). Rutland (2018, p. 1) situates urban planning as a "world-altering 124 instrument of power and race," showing how settlement and planning practices are predicated on 125 large-scale violence against and displacement of indigenous peoples and other racialized 126 populations and how their presence is erased from the historical record. This work is prompting 127 reconsiderations about the empirical links between cities and empire and spurring efforts to re-128 theorize the urban itself by locating indigeneity within it (Blatman-Thomas, 2017, 2019, 129 Blatman-Thomas and Porter 2019b; Huberman and Nasser 2019; Hugill, 2017; Porter &

130 Yiftachel, 2019; Tomiak, 2017).

131 Through closely interrogating the techniques at work in settler colonial biopolitics, 132 geographers have increasingly challenged the equation of settler colonial governance with mere 133 violence (e.g. Joronen, 2017). Focusing on the "biopolitics of settler manageability" in 134 Israel/Palestine, Bhungalia, (2018, p. 314–315) argues that the "Indian problem" is governed not 135 simply through physical annihilation but rather through social death, as indigenous bodies are 136 managed toward elimination. Smiles (2018, p. 141) similarly foregrounds "the stark totality of 137 quotidian settler violence towards indigenous bodies," yet emphasizes that this violence need not 138 always be overt. de Leeuw (2016) stresses that geography's prevailing focus in the study of colonialism on natural resources and territory problematically overlooks the ways in which 139 140 settler colonial violence takes place through geographies of homes, families and bodies, calling 141 for greater attention to these intimate domestic spaces (also see Farrales, 2019; Holmes at al. 142 2014; Plonski, 2018). Griffiths & Repo (2018) challenge a purely thanatopolitical framework for 143 understanding settler colonial biopolitics, situating checkpoints in the West Bank as regulatory 144 sites that (re)produce sexual divisions of labor.

145 By engaging with settler colonial theory and indigenous studies, geographical thinking on 146 settler colonial population management is thus helping to advance thinking on biopolitics in 147 geography (Rutherford & Rutherford, 2013) by addressing how modalities of biopower outlined 148 by Foucault are combined with other forms in ways that objectify and geographically segregate 149 indigenous populations (Salamanca, 2011, p. 27; Alatout, 2009). The impulse to challenge the 150 equation of settler colonial biopolitics with mere death and discipline has also been borne out of 151 a methodological and political commitment to foregrounding the experiences of indigenous 152 peoples (Joronen, 2017; Shalhoub-Kevorkian, 2015, 2009). Engagements with settler colonial 153 theory in planning debates, for instance, not only locate the roles of discipline and practice of 154 planning in dispossessing indigenous peoples; they also seek to reclaim indigenous histories and 155 open up space for addressing how indigenous peoples seek to re-make place and build alternative futures (Jackson, Porter, & Johnson, 2017; Rutland, 2018). Geographers' close attention to the 156 157 actual workings of settler colonial biopolitics, moreover, usefully draws attention to the limits 158 and fragilities of settler colonial formations (e.g. Bhungalia 2018, p. 329; Smiles 2018, p. 141) 159 and challenges their supposedly 'high-tech' or even novel character (Tawil-Souri 2012; 160 Machold, 2018).

161 Some of the most productive conversations in re-thinking settler colonial biopolitics have 162 been in relation to race. While discussions about race and racism by geographers have engaged 163 with settler colonial theory for some time (Lloyd and Pulido, 2010; Pulido, 2015), more recent

- work has much more centrally theorized the connections between white supremacy and settler
- 165 colonialism both spatially and temporally. The concept of settler colonialism as an ongoing
- 166 modality of empire is highly instructive to the geographical study of race and racialized
- 167 geographies because it draws attention to the material conditions underpinning white supremacy,
- but also attends to how white supremacy and settler colonialism work together in practice
   (Quijano, 2000; Moreton-Robinson, 2015; Bhandar, 2018; Bonds & Inwood, 2016; Mott, 2016)
- and how multiple colonial histories and racialized subjects intersect (Trask, 2000; TallBear,
- 171 2013; Kauanui, 2016; Farrales 2019; Pulido, 2018). The linkage of white supremacy with settler
- 172 colonialism further enables geographical thinking on race and racism to re-locate the idea of
- 173 white supremacy as lurking in the past and contend with how it is continuously remade in the
- 174 present (McKittrick, 2011; Bonds & Inwood, 2016; also see Mott, 2016, 2019). In this vein, a
- number of recent works theorize settler colonialism as it intersects with racial capitalism to
- 176 produce regimes of racialized appropriation, extraction, and confinement (Clarno, 2017; Day,
- 177 2016; Hernández, 2017; Toews, 2018). Thinking white supremacy together with settler
- 178 colonialism further enables a more comprehensive theorization of the contemporary geographies
- 179 of race and militarism and the relations between violence domestically and internationally
- 180 (Bonds & Inwood, 2016; Eastwood, 2019b; Cowen & Lewis, 2016; Loyd & Bonds, 2018; Loyd,
- 181 Mitchelson, & Burridge, 2012; Howell 2018).
- 182

# 183 Territory/Sovereignty

184 Common to both political geography and settler colonial studies is a central interest in 185 sovereignty, territory/territoriality, jurisdiction, nationalism, and the "frontier." Geographers, particularly political geographers, have long examined questions of sovereignty, territoriality, 186 187 and power (Agnew, 1994; Delaney, 2005; Elden, 2009; Newman, 1999; Ó Tuathail, 1999; Paasi, 188 2009; Sassen, 2013), but political geographical work on settler polities is marked, again, by the present absence of the settler colonial framework. Though work on territory, sovereignty, and 189 190 citizenship often references "settlers" and "settlement"---or even the "indigenization of the 191 settlers" (Yacobi & Pullan, 2014, p. 9)—often these take a postcolonial, colonial, or ethno-192 national approach rather than an explicitly settler-colonial one (e.g. Blomley, 2003, 2008, 2017; 193 Braverman, 2009, 2011; Coddington, 2017; Cowen, 2014; Cowen & Gilbert, 2008; Fields, 2017; 194 MacLaughlin, 1998; Tzfadia & Yacobi, 2011). While the "settler colonial" signifier categorizes 195 the foundational history of these polities, it does not attend the specific ways that settler 196 colonialism, as ongoing structure, continues to shape territoriality, sovereignty, and (national) 197 belonging/citizenship.

198 This theoretical, empirical, and comparative present absence is surprising given that the 199 settler formation is specifically territorial (Bhungalia, 2018, p. 314; Wolfe, 1999). The settler 200 colonial signifier, as well as general references to "settlement," "settlers," and "occupation," 201 appears most frequently in analysis of Israel/Palestine (Allegra, 2013; Falah & Newman, 1995; 202 Gregory, 2004; Handel, 2014; Newman, 1985; Pullan, 2013; Reuveny, 2003; Rosen & Razin, 203 2008, 2009; Weizman, 2012; Yiftachel, 2002), but even here the structuring contrast between 204 settlers and indigenous peoples is often painted as a struggle between two competing 205 nationalisms, obscuring the continuation of settler colonization both within and beyond the 206 Green Line (Azoulay & Ophir, 2012; Hughes, 2017). And the tendency to refer to Israel as a 207 settler polity, but not other settler states, reifies the (misleading) assumption of Israeli

208 exceptionalism: a belief that the Israeli state and society "still constitute an active immigrant

settler sociopolitical entity (perhaps the last of its kind in the world), lacking a finalized and

- consensual geopolitical and social identity, boundaries, and location" (Kimmerling, 2001, p. 3),
- 211 whereas other settler colonies are case studies with "known closure" (Pappé, 2014, p. 312). In
- skirting the settler colonial designation, and, more significantly, the framework and theory, political geographers run the risk of rendering ongoing anti-colonial struggles invisible, deny
- 213 political geographers run the risk of rendering ongoing anti-colonial struggles invisible, denying 214 the possibility of decolonization, and smoothing over ruptures within the settler project itself
- 214 the possibility of decolonization, and smoothing over ruptures within the settler project risen 215 (Hughes, forthcoming; Machold, 2018; Rouhana & Sabbagh-Khoury, 2015). While he conflates
- settler and ethnocratic societies, Yiftachel (2002) hits the nail on the head in stressing that neither
- 217 "can [ever] be treated as static political communities, but rather as *arenas of constant struggles*
- 218 over the very geography of the polity in question" (p. 222, emphasis added).
- 219 Over the years, political geographers have done an excellent job of "unsettling" territory 220 and sovereignty—for example, challenging taken-for-granted assumptions about the connections 221 between nations and states, territory and sovereignty, place and identity (Alatout, 2006; Agnew, 222 1994; Blomley, 2017; Delaney, 2005; Elden, 2009; Paasi, 2009; Painter, 2010; Sassen, 2013)-223 but again, seldom through the lens of settler colonialism. As an example of how thinking 224 geographical concepts in relation with settler colonialism can be mutually productive, Hughes 225 (forthcoming) argues that the form of territorial control operating in settler colonial contexts runs 226 counter to traditional conceptions of territoriality; settlers exercise unbounded territoriality, a 227 strategy of territorial control best exercised by not delimiting boundaries, by not making clear the 228 extent of sovereign authority. Further insights could be made into the workings of territory, 229 territoriality, and bordering through engagement across these two fields.
- 230 One area in which political geographers are engaging with settler colonial theory and 231 concepts around territory and power is through an examination of urban geopolitics (see, for 232 example, Fincher et. al., 2019; Hugill, 2017; Tomiak, 2017). A recent critique argues that 233 geographers are not explicitly addressing the links between urbanism and geopolitics: they are 234 using the "urban" as a scale of analysis for studying traditional (statist) geopolitics, rather 235 analyzing how geopolitics and urbanism interpenetrate and shape one another (Antonsich & 236 Hoyler, 2018). Growing engagement with settler colonialism in urban planning offers a 237 corrective of this tendency, prompting a reimagination and re-theorization of the urban itself. 238 While urban scholarship has long drawn attention to how indigenous and non-indigenous spaces 239 are policed and reified in cities, more recent work situates forms of violent transformation of 240 indigenous lands and associated struggles within cities to develop urban theory itself. Tomiak 241 (2017, p. 928) argues that the ongoing dispossession and displacement that takes place in settler 242 contexts is vital to understanding the production of urban space, coining the term "settler city" to 243 address the multiple and contested socio-spatial formations and specific urban types that settler 244 colonialism has given rise to. Hugill (2017) notes that scholarship on urbanism has long taken on 245 questions about colonialism, but with limited concern for the ways that urban governance differs 246 where settlers are permanently situated. He argues that it is fruitful to unpack the distinctions 247 between colonial and settler colonial cities and begin to try and define the "settler-colonial city" 248 as a specific socio-spatial form (Ibid., p. 7). And Yiftachel (1998; 2000; Tzfadia & Yiftachel, 249 2004) has long stressed the overlap of urban geography, political geography, and settler 250 colonialism, including in his recent special issue with Libby Porter, "Urbanizing settler-colonial 251 studies" (2019).
- But as Naylor, Daigle, Zaragocin, Ramírez, and Gilmartin (2018) note, although political
   geography (and in particular feminist geopolitics) focuses on global inequalities, this work is still
   based in western paradigms of territory, land, and sovereignty. It is still, in other words, marked

- by the present absence of settler colonial and indigenous theorization. In order to avoid reifying
- dominant (white settler) frameworks, both political geography and settler colonial studies can
- engage with indigenous frameworks, particularly in ways that acknowledge their heterogeneity
- (Boutet, 2014). A growing body of work in indigenous studies challenges settler colonial
   meanings of land (L.B. Simpson, 2011; 2014), sovereignty and jurisdiction (Bruyneel, 2007)
- meanings of land (L.B. Simpson, 2011; 2014), sovereignty and jurisdiction (Bruyneel, 2007;
  Pasternak, 2014, 2017), and citizenship and personhood (Gombay, 2015; Radcliffe, 2017).
- 261 Political geographers engaging with these topics can better understand how sovereignty and
- territory are produced through both physical and ontological struggles (see, for example, Daigle,
- 263 2016 on the connections between indigenous ontologies of territorial sovereignty, relationality,
- and kinship). Recognizing indigenous approaches not simply as ways of knowing but also as
- 265 ways of being will enrich political geographies of settler governance (McCreary and Milligan,
- 266 2014) and indigenous contestation alike (Smiles, 2018).267

# 268 Consciousness

269 'Consciousness' as such is not a central discussion within political geography, but 270 political geographers have long been concerned with concepts related to "geographic 271 imagination" (see Agnew & Duncan, 2014; Anderson, 2006; Bonnet, 2003; Gieseking, 2017; 272 Gregory 1994, 1995, 2004; Massey, 2006; Massey & Allen, 1984; Said 1978; Sharp, 2008), and 273 in so doing examine consciousness to varying degrees. Scholars have also critiqued conceptions 274 of geographic imagination, challenging its normative assumptions of territory (Rose, 1993; 275 Gieseking 2017, p. 4) and advocating instead for more heterogeneous, pluralistic alternatives 276 (Closs Stephens, 2011). While most literature in political geography has been focused on the role 277 of geographical imaginations in underwriting forms of empire, violence, and dispossession, this 278 work has long attended to the limits and contradictions within these forms of spatial reasoning 279 (Gregory 1995, p.475; Bonnett 2003, p.61) as well as how patriarchal and racialized models of 280 imagination might be undone (Rose 1993; Closs Stephens 2011) and how imagination opens up 281 possibilities for radical emancipatory futures (Gieseking, 2017, p. 2; Thomas, 2019, p.155). In 282 spite of this engagement, few political geographers have analyzed the specific, ongoing 283 influences of settler land appropriation and indigenous erasure on the consciousness of settlers or indigenous people (Hughes, 2017; Daigle, 2019; Navlor et al., 2018; Farrales, 2019), 284 285 demonstrating the present absence of settler colonial frameworks in the field.

286 Political geographers do not theorize settler disavowal explicitly (which, in some cases, 287 is itself symptomatic of settler disavowal). However, their analyses of settler states' attempted reconciliation with indigenous communities (Daigle, 2019) and normalization of settler relations 288 289 (Hughes, 2017; Farrales, 2019) can add to broader discussions of disavowal within settler 290 consciousness (see Hixson, 2016's work on representation; see also Haebich, 2011, and Thomas, 291 2019 on forgetting). Resonating with indigenous studies scholarship on "settler moves to 292 innocence" (Tuck & Yang, 2012, p. 10), Daigle (2019) describes how the truth and reconciliation 293 process in Canadian universities offers white settler society an opportunity to (re)claim 294 innocence through cathartic spectacle while preserving the violent relations of settler 295 colonialism. Settlers take for granted the property relations and legal structures of dispossession 296 that underpin settler colonialism through the logics of "settler common sense" (Rifkin, 2013; 297 Hughes, 2017, p. 99-100). Farrales (2019) further explores how the reproduction and 298 transmission of settler common-sense values normalizes the white liberal settler state while 299 preserving its colonial logics.

300 A number of political geographers highlight the consciousness(es) of indigenous people, 301 particularly as they shape indigenous peoples' strategies for challenging settler assumptions, 302 logics, and practices. Within their scholarship on indigenous representational practices, Hunt 303 (2014a) explores Kwagiulth witnessing as a methodology for examining violence against 304 indigenous women and girls, and de Leeuw (2016) highlights how indigenous women and 305 children reframe Canadian legal texts and actions by articulating them in terms of their violent 306 effects. Indigenous peoples' heterogeneous ontologies and experiences with settler colonialism 307 shape a multiplicity of strategies for survivance, resurgence, resistance, and refusal (Alfred & 308 Corntassel, 2005; Alkhalili, 2017; Coulthard, 2014; Daigle, 2016; Santos, 2016; Shalhoub-309 Kevorkian, 2008; Smiles, 2018; Whyte, 2016). For instance, Smiles (2018) discusses how 310 Anishinaabe resistance to Minnesota's autopsy practices draws on indigenous ontologies to 311 protect indigenous relationships with the deceased. Bhungalia (2018) frames Palestinians' 312 attitude and practice of sumud as a refusal and non-recognition of colonial domination in place of 313 the opposition 'from below' commonly associated with indigenous resistance. Expanding the 314 scope of these conversations, Daigle argues that non-indigenous people must also participate in 315 the responsibilities of resurgence, resistance, and refusal (Naylor et al., 2018), and Ramírez 316 emphasizes that this requires individuals to acknowledge the differential positions from which 317 they approach the project of decolonization (Naylor et al., 2018).

318 Political geographers can continue to engage settler consciousness in order to understand 319 how settlers sustain their contradictory beliefs and self-identifications. This insight can help 320 political geographers to re-problematize the contradictions—and thereby help unsettle the 321 logics—that structure settler colonial rationality. However, settler consciousness does not 322 develop in a vacuum: it evolves together with the violent materialities of dispossession and 323 erasure, and as a result, continues to be structured by the "native repressed" (Wolfe, 2006; 324 Cattelino, 2010). Focusing on a coherent, unified settler consciousness to the exclusion of all 325 others can privilege settlers' lived experiences and worldviews, marginalizing the experiences of 326 indigenous people. Moreover, this move risks representing settler society through a settler-327 indigenous binary (Kauanui, 2016), sidelining the narratives of displaced indigenous people 328 (Nájera and Maldonado, 2017), arrivants whose ancestors settled against their will (Byrd, 2011; 329 Vimalassery, Pegues, & Goldstein, 2016), and those who claim multiple ancestries within the 330 settler/indigenous/arrivant triad. Troubling the settler-indigenous binary and centering the 331 workings of race, class, gender, religion, ability, nationality, and other axes of power will help 332 scholars to account for the co-articulations of embodied difference and settler strategies for 333 seizing land, erasing/appropriating indigenous communities, and maintaining racialized regimes 334 of governance (Snelgrove et al., 2014). Political geographers can theorize the consciousnesses of 335 people variously positioned within the (non-exclusive) categories of settler, indigenous, and 336 arrivant as they operate on the basis of variegated gendered, racialized, and otherwise-embodied 337 logics. Political geographers can challenge the present absence of settler colonialism by actively 338 theorizing it as a condition that shapes the consciousness of everyone who lives in a settler state. 339

### 340 Narrative

Settler polities share several narrative features. Within these, settlers are moving
("returning") to a place that is already their home; theirs is an irreversible and predestined march
toward progress; and the land they are settling is empty (or will be) and therefore open to
settlement (Kedar, Amara, & Yiftachel, 2018; Veracini, 2010). Settlers also deny that the
encounter with indigenous people has in any way shaped settler society, despite the fact that "the

346 colonisers' dealings with indigenous peoples—through resistance, containment, appropriation, 347 assimilation, miscegenation or attempted destruction-is the historical factor which has 348 ultimately shaped the cultural and political character of the new nations, mediating in highly 349 significant ways their shared colonial roots/routes" (Coombes, 2006, p. 1; see also Cattelino, 350 2010). In spite of the pervasive presence and influence of indigenous communities, settler 351 mythologies represent indigenous people as extinct or disappearing, reinforcing the stories 352 settlers tell themselves about themselves to sustain settler regimes. Political geographers have a 353 history of challenging hegemonic discourses; for example, scholars of critical geopolitics have 354 analyzed how the narratives of geopolitical "experts" enable state authorities to naturalize power 355 dynamics (Dalby, 1991; Ó Tuathail, 1996, p. 52; Sharp, 2008; Dowler and Sharp, 2001) and drive militarism (Hyndman, 2003; Boyce and Williams, 2012). In recent years, several political 356 357 geographers have also written about settler geopolitical narratives, focusing on how settler 358 narratives legitimate, justify, and advance settler colonial governance.

359 While settler colonial theory as such has, for the most part, been absent in political geography, several projects highlight the indigenous erasure at the heart of settler land narratives. 360 361 Gieseking (2017, p. 4) argues that within the geographical imagination, "[t]he seemingly self-362 explanatory "territorial" narratives of popular nationalist geographies override those who came 363 before, erasing indigeneity" (also see Gregory, 1994). West Bank settlers invoke the inevitable disappearance of indigenous people to justify their presence on the land (Hughes, 2017). And in 364 365 the New Zealand context, Thomas (2019, p. 156) notes that white settlers' "national imaginaries" 366 come into being through "the active forgetting" of how they came to live there: "[n]amely, a 367 white utopian imagination was violently imposed on Indigenous Maori, as land was stolen and 368 sovereignty ignored" (Thomas 2019, p. 156). These narratives don't just work to reassure 369 settlers, they also shape dominant beliefs that affect the lives of indigenous people. Hunt 370 (2014a), for instance, describes how Canadian denials of pre-colonial indigenous self-371 governance and self-determination reinforce representations of indigenous people as colonial 372 subjects who belong on reserves.

373 In addition to describing indigenous people as disappearing, settlers also narrate their 374 histories and values in ways that promote a progressive image of the settler state. The resulting 375 liberal narratives mask the foundational violence of settler colonialism, producing the present 376 absence of settler colonial relations. Farrales (2019) highlights the ways in which Filipina beauty 377 pageants reproduce notions of multiculturalism and settler state benevolence by emphasizing 378 patriotism and philanthropy as key qualities of winning contestants and Daigle (2016) has 379 challenged seemingly-benign Canadian narratives of "nation-to-nation" relationship-building 380 with indigenous peoples. While all settler narratives ultimately work to reinforce settler land 381 claims, some are particularly explicit in advancing settler interests in land and resources. Proulx 382 and Crane's (2019) work analyzes the seemingly universal ideologies of productivity and 383 development which mask elite interests in indigenous land appropriation and white supremacy. 384 In analyzing the narrative that West Bank settlements are built to commemorate the Israeli dead, 385 Hughes (2016) writes that this discourse represents construction as a form of (justified) 386 mourning that legitimates continued settlement. Several other, related tropes depict Israeli 387 colonization in the West Bank as a progressive project; the idea of settlers as people who are 388 divinely chosen and destined for colonization, the romanticization of a rugged frontier that must 389 be conquered, and a teleological sense of progress and superiority (Hughes, 2017). As a rule, 390 settler nationalist narratives advance colonial projects by highlighting their liberal elements, 391 justifying their actions, and denying their costs.

392 Settler authorities must also continuously resolve challenges to the coherency of their 393 regimes, including those posed by indigenous (and) activist contestations of settler narratives 394 (Daigle, 2016; Davis Matthews, 2019; Kartal, 2019). Within the context of political geography, 395 national narratives constitute an essential component of statecraft, since they allow statespersons 396 to resolve "ambiguities inherent in the multiple identities of modern nation-states" (Whittaker, 397 2017, p 958). Given the indeterminacy of settler projects, whose aspirations to totalizing 398 authority are undermined by the persistent survivance, refusal, and/or sovereignty claims of 399 indigenous people, settler colonial authorities produce narratives that deny indigenous agency 400 while giving shape and substance to settler regimes (Cattelino, 2010). One such narrative is the 401 "laboratory thesis," taken up by critics and supporters of Israel alike, which posits that Israel's 402 military industrial complex uses the occupation to refine and develop technologies for the 403 international market. In his critique of the laboratory thesis, Machold (2018, p. 89) argues that 404 uncritical reliance on the concept reinforces the misleading ideological tropes at the core of 405 Israel's settler colonial project, such as the suggestion that Israel's position as a global security 406 leader stems from the self-declared exceptionality and universality of Israeli violence, that Israel 407 triumphs against the odds, and that Israel's development is part of an inevitable progressive 408 history (see also Tawil-Souri, 2012). In other words, in explicitly theorizing Israel as engaged in 409 an ongoing project of settler colonization, Machold avoids "accept[ing] the permanence of settler colonialism as an unmovable reality" (L.B. Simpson, 2014, p. 8), and instead attunes to the 410 411 ongoing (and not always successful) work and (re)production of Israeli territorial control over 412 Palestine. This work demonstrates how political and analytical imperatives driving political geographers' engagements with settler colonialism are deeply entwined. It also signals how 413 414 political geography's engagement with settler colonial studies can be mutually productive. 415 National narratives like these allow settler states to mask their constitutive violence (by framing 416 it as defensive in nature), and to maintain the legitimacy of their regimes of governance. 417 Considering the common tropes of settler colonial narratives, political geographers can identify

418 and challenge the colonial subtexts of sympathetic and critical narratives alike.

#### 420 Conclusion

421 In this article we have shown that settler colonial studies is becoming increasingly 422 present in geographical thinking. This reflects the growing prominence of settler colonial studies 423 as a field in its own right, but also makes visible the longstanding present absence of settler 424 colonialism in geography. By this we mean that although geographers have long attended to 425 many of the issues at the core of settler colonial studies (biopolitics, territoriality/sovereignty, 426 geographic imagination/consciousness, and narrative/discourse), until recently they have done so 427 whilst avoiding explicit engagement with settler colonial theory. While we will not reflect on the 428 reasons for this present absence here, we want to identify it and emphasize that it has been 429 consequential in terms of circumscribing the kinds of political analysis that geographers can 430 offer, as well as the nature, depth, and scope of radical critique of violent domination, by skirting 431 certain questions about the core drivers of dispossession and responsibility for them. As others 432 have recently emphasized, the production and dissemination of knowledge is central to settler 433 colonial projects but also a key battleground in anti-colonial struggles (Hawari, Plonski and 434 Weizman 2019b). Recognizing this requires that scholars actively center anti-colonial 435 approaches that link intellectual analysis of settler colonialism with political struggles centered 436 on liberation and decolonization (Hawari, Plonski and Weizman 2019). In this spirit, as we have 437 argued, the development to engage more meaningfully with the settler colonial framework is

439 geographers' broader efforts to consider the terms and consequences of academic complicity 440 with forms of empire, war, state violence, and militarism both past and present (Koopman, 2016, 441 Wainwright, 2013, 2016). In doing so we have emphasized the mutually productive nature of 442 engagements between political geography and settler colonial studies, showing how these have 443 changed some key terms of debate on particular topics. While we have focused on the 444 relationships between these two fields, we by no means seek to reify disciplinary boundaries, but 445 rather welcome this exchange. At the same time, political geographers' engagement with settler 446 colonial theory is not without some potential dangers and pitfalls. While reflecting a growing 447 willingness to foreground material dispossession and the political technologies and logics that 448 accompany it, there is a risk of the term being used loosely and polemically in ways that elide 449 specificity, much in the way that the uses of "neoliberalism" became analytically and politically 450 counterproductive (see Ferguson 2009, Peck 2013). In light of such concerns, then, we wish to 451 reiterate that simply applying the label "settler colonial" to certain types of relations is not only superficial but potentially counter-productive in that it runs up directly against settler colonial 452 453 theory's imperative to emphasize that different colonial forms should not only be understood as 454 separate but also as potentially "antithetical" (Veracini 2010,11-12). By advocating for a more 455 explicit analysis, we hope to contribute to a more active presence of settler colonial theorization

welcome and significant both analytically and politically, particularly alongside radical

- 456 within political geography.
- 457 458

438

## 459 **References**

- Adas, M. (2001). From settler colony to global hegemon: Integrating the exceptionalist narrative
  of the American experience into world history. *The American Historical Review*, 106(5),
  pp.1692-1720.
- 463 Agnew, J. (1994). The territorial trap: the geographical assumptions of international relations
  464 theory. *Review of international political economy*, 1(1), pp.53-80.
- Agnew, J. and Duncan, J.S. (2014). *The power of place (RLE Social & Cultural Geography): Bringing together geographical and sociological imaginations*. Routledge.
- Alatout, S. (2006). Towards a bio-territorial conception of power: Territory, population, and
   environmental narratives in Palestine and Israel. *Political Geography*, 25(6), pp.601-621.
- Alatout, S. (2009). Walls as technologies of government: the double construction of geographies
   of peace and conflict in Israeli politics, 2002–present. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*, 99(5), pp.956-968.
- Alfred, T. and Corntassel, J. (2005). Being Indigenous: Resurgences against contemporary
   colonialism. *Government and opposition*, 40(4), pp.597-614.
- Alkhalili, N. (2017). Between Sumud and Submission: Palestinian Popular Practices on the Land
   in the Edge Areas of Jerusalem. Thesis (Ph.D.). Lund University.
- Allegra, M. (2013). The politics of suburbia: Israel's settlement policy and the production of
  space in the metropolitan area of Jerusalem. *Environment and Planning A*, 45(3), pp.
  478 497-516.
- Amir, M. (2017). Revisiting politicide: State annihilation in Israel/Palestine. *Territory, Politics, Governance*, 5(4), 368–387.
- 481 Anderson, B. (2006). *Imagined communities: Reflections on the origin and spread of* 482 *nationalism*. London: Verso.

483 Antonsich, M., & Hoyler, M. (2018). Urbanism and geopolitics: The missing links. Political 484 Geography, 70, pp. 145–147. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polgeo.2018.10.006 485 Arvin, M., Tuck, E., & Morrill, A. (2013). Decolonizing Feminism: Challenging Connections 486 between Settler Colonialism and Heteropatriarchy. Feminist Formations, 25(1), 8-34. 487 https://doi.org/10.1353/ff.2013.0006 488 Azoulay, A., & Ophir, A. (2012). The one-state condition: occupation and democracy in 489 Israel/Palestine. Stanford: Stanford University Press. 490 Banner, S. (2009a). How the Indians lost their land: Law and power on the frontier. Cambridge: 491 Harvard University Press. 492 Banner, S. (2009b). Possessing the Pacific: land, settlers, and indigenous people from Australia 493 to Alaska. Cambridge: Harvard University Press. 494 Bastos, C. (2008). Migrants, Settlers and Colonists: The Biopolitics of Displaced Bodies. 495 International Migration, 46(5), pp. 27-54. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-496 2435.2008.00487.x 497 Bhandar, B. (2018). Colonial Lives of Property: Law, Land, and Racial Regimes of Ownership. 498 Durham: Duke University Press. 499 Bhungalia, Lisa. (2015). Managing violence: Aid, counterinsurgency, and the humanitarian 500 present in Palestine. Environment and Planning A, 47(11), pp. 2308–2323. 501 https://doi.org/10.1177/0308518X15598263 502 Bhungalia, Lisa. (2018). Governing banishment: settler colonialism, territory, and life in an 503 economy of death. In M. Coleman & J. Agnew (Eds.), Handbook on the Geographies of 504 *Power* (pp. 313–331). Northampton MA: Edward Elgar Publishing. 505 Blatman-Thomas, N. (2017). From transients to residents: Urban Indigeneity in Israel and 506 Australia. Journal of Historical Geography, 58, 1–11. 507 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhg.2017.07.006 508 Blatman-Thomas, N. (2019). Reciprocal Repossession: Property as Land in Urban Australia. 509 Antipode, 51(5), 1395–1415. https://doi.org/10.1111/anti.12570 510 Blatman-Thomas, N., & Porter, L. (2019). Placing Property: Theorizing the Urban from 511 Settler Colonial Cities. International Journal of Urban and Regional Research, 43(1), 512 30-45. https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2427.12666 Blomley, N. (2003). Law, property, and the geography of violence: The frontier, the survey, and 513 514 the grid. Annals of the Association of American Geographers, 93(1), 121-141. 515 Blomley, N. (2008). Enclosure, common right and the property of the poor. Social & Legal 516 Studies, 17(3), 311-331. 517 Blomley, N. (2017a). Property, precarity, and the right to not be excluded. (Paper presented at 518 the UCLA Geography Department Tod Spieker Colloquium, UCLA). 519 Bonds, A., & Inwood, J. (2016). Beyond white privilege: Geographies of white supremacy and 520 settler colonialism. Progress in Human Geography, 40(6), pp. 715-733. 521 Bonnett, A. (2003). Geography as the world discipline: Connecting popular and academic 522 geographical imaginations. Area, 35(1), pp. 55-63. https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-523 4762.00110 524 Boutet, J. S. (2014). Opening Ungava to industry: a decentering approach to indigenous history 525 in subarctic Québec, 1937-54. Cultural Geographies, 21(1), pp. 79-97. 526 Boyce, G. and Williams, J. (2012). Intervention-Homeland Security and the Precarity of Life in 527 the Borderlands. Antipode.

- Braier, M., & Yacobi, H. (2017). The planned, the unplanned and the hyper-planned: Dwelling
  in contemporary Jerusalem. *Planning Theory & Practice*, 18(1), 109–124.
  https://doi.org/10.1080/14649357.2016.1266505
- Braverman, I. (2009). *Planted flags: trees, land, and law in Israel/Palestine*. New York:
  Cambridge University Press.
- Braverman, I. (2011). Hidden in plain view: legal geography from a visual perspective. *Law*,
   *Culture and the Humanities*, 7(2), 173-186.
- Bruyneel, K. (2007). *The third space of sovereignty: The postcolonial politics of US-indigenous relations*. U of Minnesota Press.
- Byrd, J. (2011). *The Transit of Empire: Indigenous critiques of colonialism*. U of Minnesota
  Press.
- 539 Carmody, P. (2018). Chapter 18: Matrix governance and imperialism. In J. Agnew & M.
  540 Coleman (Eds.), *Handbook on the Geographies of Power*. Edward Elgar Publishing.
- 541 Cattelino, J. R. (2008). High stakes: Florida Seminole gaming and sovereignty: Duke University
   542 Press.
- Cattelino, J. R. (2010). Anthropologies of the United States. *Annual Review of Anthropology*, 39,
   pp. 275-292.
- 545 Chua, C., Danyluk, M., Cowen, D., & Khalili, L. (2018). Introduction: Turbulent circulation:
  546 Building a critical engagement with logistics. *Environment and Planning D: Society and*547 *Space*, 36(4), pp. 617-629.
- 548 Clarno, A. (2017). *Neoliberal Apartheid: Palestine/Israel and South Africa after 1994*. Chicago
   549 and London: University of Chicago Press.
- Closs Stephens, A. (2011). Beyond Imaginative Geographies? Critique, Co-Optation, and
   Imagination in the Aftermath of the War on Terror. *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*. 29(2), pp. 254–267. https://doi.org/10.1068/d6109
- Coddington, K. (2017). The re-emergence of wardship: Aboriginal Australians and the promise
   of citizenship. *Political Geography*, 61, 67-76.
- Coleman, M., & Agnew, J. (2018). Introduction to the Handbook on the Geographies of Power.
   In *Handbook on the Geographies of Power*. Edward Elgar Publishing.
- 557 Coleman, M., & Kocher, A. (2019). Rethinking the "Gold Standard" of Racial Profiling: § 287
  558 (g), Secure Communities and Racially Discrepant Police Power. *American Behavioral*559 *Scientist*.
- Coombes, A. E. (2006). *Rethinking settler colonialism: history and memory in Australia, Canada, New Zealand and South Africa.* Manchester University Press.
- 562 Coulthard, G. S. (2014). *Red Skin, White Masks: Rejecting the Colonial Politics of Recognition.*563 U of Minnesota Press.
- 564 Cowen, D. (2014). *The deadly life of logistics: Mapping violence in global trade*. U of
   565 Minnesota Press.
- Cowen, D. (2018). The Jurisdiction of Infrastructure: circulation and Canadian settler
   colonialism. *The Funambulist*, 17, 14-19.
- 568 Cowen, D., & Gilbert, E. (2008). *War, citizenship, territory*. Routledge.
- Cowen, D. and Lewis, N. (2016). *Anti-Blackness and Urban Geopolitical Economy*. [online]
   Society & Space. Available at: http://societyandspace.org/2016/08/02/anti-blackness-and-
- 571 urban-geopolitical-economy-deborah-cowen-and-nemoy-lewis/ [Accessed 7 Jul. 2019].

- 572 Curley, A. (2018). A failed green future: Navajo Green Jobs and energy "transition" in the 573 Navajo Nation. Geoforum, 88, pp. 57-65. 574
  - https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2017.11.012
- 575 Curley, A. (2019). Unsettling Indian Water Settlements: The Little Colorado River, the San Juan 576 River, and Colonial Enclosures. Antipode 0(0). https://doi.org/10.1111/anti.12535
- 577 Daigle, M. (2016). Awawanenitakik: The spatial politics of recognition and relational 578 geographies of Indigenous self-determination: Indigenous self-determination. The 579 Canadian Geographer /Le Géographe Canadien, 60(2), pp. 259–269. 580 https://doi.org/10.1111/cag.12260
- 581 Daigle, M. (2019). The spectacle of reconciliation: On (the) unsettling responsibilities to 582 Indigenous peoples in the academy. *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*, 583 0(0). https://doi.org/10.1177/0263775818824342
- 584 Dalby, S. 1991. Critical geopolitics: discourse, difference, and dissent. Environment and 585 Planning D: Society and Space, 9(3), pp.261-283.
- Davis Matthews, M. (2019). Mapping extractivism. (Paper presented at the 2019 AAG Annual 586 587 Meeting, Washington, D.C., in a session titled "Fabricating settler colonialism/settler 588 colonial fabrications", organized by the authors).
- 589 Day, Iyko. 2015. Being or Nothingness: Indigeneity, Antiblackness, and Settler Colonial 590 Critique. Critical Ethnic Studies, 1(2), pp. 102-121.
- 591 de Leeuw, S. (2016). Tender grounds: Intimate visceral violence and British Columbia's colonial 592 geographies. *Political Geography*, 52, pp. 14–23. 593 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polgeo.2015.11.010
- 594 de Leeuw, S. & Hunt, S. (2018). Unsettling decolonizing geographies. Geography Compass, 595 12(7), e12376. https://doi.org/10.1111/gec3.12376
- 596 Delaney, D. (2005). Territory: a short introduction. Wiley-Blackwell.
- 597 Dodds, K. (2013). 'I'm Still Not Crossing That': Borders, Dispossession, and Sovereignty in 598 Frozen River (2008). Geopolitics, 18(3), pp. 560–583. 599 https://doi.org/10.1080/14650045.2012.749243
- 600 Dowler, L. and Sharp, J. (2001). A feminist geopolitics?. Space and Polity, 5(3), pp.165-176.
- 601 Dunlap, T. R. (1999). Nature and the English diaspora. Environment and History in the United 602 States, Canada, Australia and New Zealand. Melbourne: Cambridge University Press.
- 603 Eastwood, J. (2019a). Reading Abdul Fattah al-Sharif, reading Elor Azaria: Anti-Mizrahi 604 racism in the moral economy of Zionist settler colonial violence. Settler Colonial Studies, 605 9(1), 59–77. https://doi.org/10.1080/2201473X.2018.1487123
- 606 Eastwood, J. (2019b). Enabling Militarism? The Inclusion of Soldiers with Disabilities in the 607 Israeli Military. International Political Sociology. https://doi.org/10.1093/ips/olz022
- 608 Edmonds, P. (2010). Urbanizing frontiers: indigenous peoples and settlers in 19th-century 609 pacific rim cities. UBC Press.
- 610 Edmonds, P., & Carey, J. (2016). Australian Settler Colonialism Over the Long Nineteenth Century. In E. Cavanagh & L. Veracini (Eds.), The Routledge Handbook of the History of 611 612 Settler Colonialism (pp. 371-389). Routledge.
- 613 Elden, S. (2009). Terror and territory: The spatial extent of sovereignty. University of Minnesota 614 Press.
- Escobar, A. (1995). Encountering development: The making and unmaking of the Third World. 615 616 Princeton University Press.

- Evans, J. (2003). Equal subjects, unequal rights: indigenous peoples in British settler colonies,
   *1830-1910*. Manchester University Press.
- Falah, G., & Newman, D. (1995). The spatial manifestation of threat: Israelis and Palestinians
  seek a 'good' border. *Political Geography*, 14(8), pp. 689-706.
- Farrales, M. (2019). Repurposing beauty pageants: The colonial geographies of Filipina pageants
  in Canada. *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*. 37(1), 46-64.
- Ferguson, J. (2009). The Uses of Neoliberalism. *Antipode*, 41(1), 166–184.
- Fields, G. (2017). *Enclosure: Palestinian landscapes in a historical mirror*. University of
   California Press.
- Fincher, R., Iveson, K., Leitner, H., & Preston, V. (2019). *Everyday Equalities: Making Multicultures in Settler Colonial Cities*. University of Minnesota Press.
- Fix, A. (2018). Adherents and Allies: Settler Colonialism and Indigenous Solidarity Movements
  in New York and Chiapas. (Paper presented at the Dimensions of Political Ecology
  conference 2018 in a session titled 'Green-washing' Settler Colonialism II, organized by
  Sara Hughes).
- Foster, H., Berger, B. L., & Buck, A. (2008). *The grand experiment: Law and legal culture in British settler societies.* University of British Columbia Press.
- Gentry, B., Boyce, G.A., Garcia, J.M., & Chambers, S.N. (2019). Indigenous Survival and
  Settler Colonial Dispossession on the Mexican Frontier: The Case of Cedagĭ Wahia and
  Wo'oson O'odham Indigenous Communities. *Journal of Latin American Geography*,
  18(1), pp. 65-93.
- 638 Getzoff, J. F. (2019). Zionist Frontiers: David Ben-Gurion, Labor Zionism, and transnational
   639 circulations of settler development. *Settler Colonial Studies*, pp. 1-20.
- 640 Ghanim, H. (2008). Thanatopolitics: The Case of the Colonial Occupation in Palestine. In R.
  641 Lențin (Ed.), *Thinking Palestine* (pp. 65–81). London and New York: Zed Books.
- 642 Gieseking, J. J. (2017). Geographical Imagination. In *International Encyclopedia of Geography* 643 (pp. 1–5). https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118786352.wbieg1171
- Giglioli, I. (2017). Producing Sicily as Europe: Migration, Colonialism and the Making of the
  Mediterranean Border between Italy and Tunisia. *Geopolitics*, 22(2), pp. 407–428.
  https://doi.org/10.1080/14650045.2016.1233529
- 647 Gombay, N. (2015). "There are mentalities that need changing": Constructing personhood,
  648 formulating citizenship, and performing subjectivities on a settler colonial frontier.
  649 *Political Geography*, 48, pp. 11-23.
- Gordon, N., & Ram, M. (2016). Ethnic cleansing and the formation of settler colonial
  geographies. *Political Geography*, 53, pp. 20–29.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polgeo.2016.01.010
- Graham, S. (Ed.). (2004). *Cities, war, and terrorism: Towards an urban geopolitics*. Oxford:
  Blackwell.
- 655 Gregory, D. (1994). *Geographical Imaginations*. Cambridge MA and Oxford: Blackwell.
- 656 Gregory, D. (1995). Imaginative geographies. *Progress in Human Geography*, 19(4), pp. 447–
  657 485.
- 658 Gregory, D. (2004). *The Colonial Present: Afghanistan, Palestine, Iraq.* Malden, MA: Blackwell
   659 Pub.
- 660 Gregory, D., & Pred, A. (Eds.). (2007). *Violent Geographies: Fear, terror and political violence*.
  661 Routledge: New York and London.

- 662 Griffiths, M., & Repo, J. (2018). Biopolitics and checkpoint 300 in occupied Palestine: Bodies,
  663 affect, discipline. *Political Geography*, 65, pp. 17–25.
  664 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polgeo.2018.04.004
- 665 Griffiths, T., & Robin, L. (1997). Ecology and empire. Environmental history of settler societies.
   666 Edinburgh: Keele University Press.
- Haebich, Anna. (2011). Forgetting Indigenous Histories: Cases from the History of Australia's
  Stolen Generations. *Journal of Social History*, 44(4): pp. 1033-1046.
- Handel, A. (2014). Gated/gating community: the settlement complex in the West Bank.
   *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*, 39(4), pp. 504-517.
- Huberman, B., & Nasser, R. M. (2019). Pacification, Capital Accumulation, and Resistance in
   Settler Colonial Cities: The Cases of Jerusalem and Rio de Janeiro. *Latin American Perspectives*, 46(3), 131–148. https://doi.org/10.1177/0094582X19835523
- Hawari, Y., Plonski, S., & Weizman, Elian. (2019). Settler and citizens: a critical view of Israeli
  society. *Settler Colonial Studies*, 9(1).
- Hawari, Y., Plonski, S., & Weizman, E. (2019). Seeing Israel through Palestine: Knowledge
  production as anti-colonial praxis. *Settler Colonial Studies*, 9(1), 155–175.
  https://doi.org/10.1080/2201473X.2018.1487129
- Hernández, K.L. (2017). City of inmates: Conquest, rebellion, and the rise of human caging in
   Los Angeles, 1771–1965. UNC Press Books.
- Hixson, Walter L. (2016). Adaptation, Resistance and Representation in the Modern US Settler
  State. In E. Cavanagh & L. Veracini (Eds.), *The Routledge Handbook of the History of Settler Colonialism* (pp. 169-184). Routledge.
- Holmes, C., Hunt, S., & Piedalue, A. (2014). Violence, Colonialism and Space: Towards a
  Decolonizing Dialogue. *ACME: An International Journal for Critical Geographies*,
  14(2), pp. 540–570.
- Howell, A., & Richter-Montpetit, M. (2019). Racism in Foucauldian Security Studies:
  Biopolitics, Liberal War, and the Whitewashing of Colonial and Racial Violence. *International Political Sociology*, 13(1), 2–19. https://doi.org/10.1093/ips/oly031
- Howell, A. (2018). Forget "militarization": Race, disability and the "martial politics" of the
  police and of the university. *International Feminist Journal of Politics*, 20(2), 117–136.
- Hughes, S. (2016). With a Wink and a Nod: Settlement Growth Through Construction as
  Commemoration in the Occupied West Bank. *Geopolitics*, 22(2), 360–382.
- Hughes, S. (2017). Suburban occupation: contradictory impulses and outcomes of life in Israeli
   settlements in the occupied West Bank. Thesis (Ph.D.). UCLA.
- Hughes, S. (forthcoming). Unbounded Territoriality: Territorial control, settler colonialism, and
   Israel/Palestine. *Settler Colonial Studies*.
- Hugill, D. (2017). What is a settler-colonial city? *Geography Compass*, 11(5).
- Hunt, S. (2014a). Ontologies of Indigeneity: the politics of embodying a concept. *Cultural Geographies*, 21(1), 27-32.
- Hunt, S. (2014b). Witnessing the colonialscape: Lighting the intimate fires of Indigenous legal
   pluralism. Thesis (Ph.D.). Simon Fraser University.
- Hunt, S. (2015). Representing Colonial Violence: Trafficking, sex work, and the violence of law.
   *Atlantis: Critical Studies in Gender, Culture & Social Justice*, 37(2), pp. 25–39.
- Hyndman, J. (2003). Beyond either/or: a feminist analysis of September 11th. ACME, 2(1), pp.
  1-13.

- Inwood, J., & Bonds, A. (2016). Confronting white supremacy and a militaristic pedagogy in the
   US settler colonial state. *Annals of the American Association of Geographers*, 106(3), pp.
   521-529.
- Jackson, S., Porter, L., & Johnson, L. C. (2017). *Planning in Indigenous Australia: From imperial foundations to postcolonial futures*. Routledge.
- Joronen, M. (2016). "Death comes knocking on the roof": Thanatopolitics of Ethical Killing
  During Operation Protective Edge in Gaza. *Antipode*, 48(2), pp. 336–354.
  https://doi.org/10.1111/anti.12178
- Joronen, M. (2017). "Refusing to be a victim, refusing to be an enemy". Form-of-life as
  resistance in the Palestinian struggle against settler colonialism. *Political Geography*, 56,
  pp. 91–100. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polgeo.2016.07.005
- Karsten, P. (2002). *Between Law and Custom. High and Low Legal Cultures in the Lands of the British Diaspora, the United States, Canada, Australia, and New Zealand, 1600–1900.*Cambridge University Press.
- Kartal, R. (2019). Unsettling settler colonialism: a case study in the U.S./Mexico
  borderlands/occupied O'odham territory. (Paper presented at the 2019 AAG Annual
  Meeting, Washington, D.C., in a session titled "Fabricating settler colonialism/settler
  colonial fabrications", organized by the authors).
- Kauanui, J. K. (2016). "A structure, not an event": Settler colonialism and enduring indigeneity.
   *Lateral*, 5(1), pp. 5-1.
- Kedar, A., Amara, A., & Yiftachel, O. (2018). *Emptied lands: a legal geography of Bedouin Rights in the Negev.* Stanford University Press.
- Kimmerling, B. (2001). *The invention and decline of Israeliness: State, society, and the military*.
  University of California Press.
- Kirk, G. (2018). Trees, Trains, and Terraces: Unnatural Nature in Palestine-Israel. (Paper
  presented at the Dimensions of Political Ecology conference 2018 in a session titled
  'Green-washing' Settler Colonialism I, organized by Sara Hughes).
- Koopman, S. (2016). Beware: Your research may be weaponized. Annals of the American
   Association of Geographers, 106(3), pp. 530–535.
- LaFleur, G., & Schuller, K. (2019). Introduction: Technologies of Life and Architectures of
  Death in Early America. *American Quarterly*, 71(3), 603–624.
  https://doi.org/10.1353/aq.2019.0046
- Lloyd, D. (2012). Settler Colonialism and the State of Exception: The Example of
  Palestine/Israel. *Settler Colonial Studies*, 2(1), pp. 59–80.
  https://doi.org/10.1080/2201473X.2012.10648826
- Lloyd, D., & Pulido, L. (2010). In the long shadow of the settler: On Israeli and US colonialisms. *American Quarterly*, 62(4), 795–809.
- Loyd, J. M., & Bonds, A. (2018). Where do Black lives matter? Race, stigma, and place in
  Milwaukee, Wisconsin. *The Sociological Review*, 66(4), pp. 898–918.
- Loyd, J. M., Mitchelson, M., & Burridge, A. (Eds.). (2012). *Beyond walls and cages: Prisons, borders, and global crisis.* Athens and London: University of Georgia Press.
- Machold, R. (2018). Reconsidering the laboratory thesis: Palestine/Israel and the geopolitics of
   representation. *Political Geography*, 65, pp. 88-97.
- MacLaughlin, J. (1998). The political geography of anti-Traveller racism in Ireland: the politics
   of exclusion and the geography of closure. *Political Geography*, 17(4), pp. 417-435.

- Macoun, A., & Strakosch, E. (2013). The ethical demands of settler colonial theory. *Settler Colonial Studies*, 3(3–04), pp. 426–443. https://doi.org/10.1080/2201473X.2013.810695
- Mar, T. B., & Edmonds, P. (2010). *Making Settler Colonial Space: Perspectives on race, place and identity.* Springer.
- Massey, D. (2006). The geographical mind. In Balderstone, D. (Ed.), *Secondary Geography Handbook*. UK: Geographical Association.
- 758 Massey, D., & Allen, J. (1984). Geography matters!: a reader. Cambridge University Press.
- 759 Mbembe, A. (2003). Necropolitics. Translated by L. Meintjes. *Public Culture*, 15, pp. 11–40.
- McCreary, T. A., & Milligan, R. A. (2014). Pipelines, permits, and protests: Carrier Sekani
   encounters with the Enbridge Northern Gateway project. *Cultural Geographies*, 21(1),
   pp. 115-129.
- McHugh, P. G. (2004). Aboriginal societies and the common law: a history of sovereignty,
   status, and self-determination. Oxford University Press.
- McKittrick, K. (2011). On plantations, prisons, and a black sense of place. *Social & Cultural Geography*, 12(8), pp. 947-963.
- McLaren, J., Buck, A. R., & Wright, N. E. (2001). Land and Freedom: law, property rights and
   *the British diaspora*. Ashgate/Dartmouth.
- McLaren, J., Buck, A. R., & Wright, N. E. (2005). *Despotic dominion: property rights in British settler societies*. UBC Press.
- Miller, R. J. (2010). *Discovering indigenous lands: the doctrine of discovery in the English colonies*. Oxford University Press.
- Moreton-Robinson, A. (2015). *The white possessive: Property, power, and indigenous sovereignty*. U of Minnesota Press.
- Morgensen, S. L. (2011). The Biopolitics of Settler Colonialism: Right Here, Right Now. Settler
   *Colonial Studies*, 1(1), 52–76. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/2201473X.2011.10648801</u>
- Mott, C. (2016). The Activist Polis: Topologies of Conflict in Indigenous Solidarity Activism.
   *Antipode*, 48(1), pp. 193–211. https://doi.org/10.1111/anti.12167
- Mott, C. (2019). Precious work: White anti-racist pedagogies in Southern Arizona. Social &
   *Cultural Geography*, 20(2), pp. 178–197.
- 781 https://doi.org/10.1080/14649365.2017.1355067
- Murray, S. J. (2006). Thanatopolitics: On the Use of Death for Mobilizing Political Life.
   *Poligraph*, 18, pp. 190–215.
- Nagy, R. (2012). Truth, reconciliation and settler denial: specifying the Canada–South Africa
   analogy. *Human Rights Review*, *13*(3), pp.349-367.
- Naylor, L., Daigle, M., Zaragocin, S., Ramírez, M.M. and Gilmartin, M. (2018). Interventions:
   Bringing the decolonial to political geography. *Political Geography*, 66, pp.199-209.
- Newman, D. (1985). *The impact of Gush Emunim: Politics and settlement in the West Bank.*Taylor & Francis.
- 790 Newman, D. (Ed.). (1999). Boundaries, territory and postmodernity. London: Frank Cass.
- Nájera, L. G., & Maldonado, K. (2017). Transnational settler colonial formations and global
   capital: A consideration of indigenous Mexican migrants. *American Quarterly*, 69(4), pp.
   809-821.
- Ó Tuathail, G. (1996). *Critical geopolitics: The politics of writing global space*. U of Minnesota
   Press.
- Ó Tuathail, G. (1999). Borderless worlds? Problematising discourses of deterritorialisation.
   *Geopolitics*, 4(2), pp.139-154.

- Paasi, A. (2009). Bounded spaces in a 'borderless world': border studies, power and the anatomy
   of territory. *Journal of Power*, 2(2), pp. 213-234.
- 800 Painter, J. (2010). Rethinking territory. Antipode, 42(5), pp. 1090-1118.
- 801 Pappé, I. (2014). The idea of Israel: A history of power and knowledge. Verso Books.
- Pasternak, S. (2014). Jurisdiction and Settler Colonialism: Where Do Laws Meet? *Canadian Journal of Law & Society / La Revue Canadienne Droit et Société*, 29(2), pp. 145–161.
- Pasternak, S. (2017). Grounded authority: The Algonquins of Barriere Lake against the state. U
   of Minnesota Press.
- Pasternak, S., & Dafnos, T. (2018). How does a settler state secure the circuitry of capital? *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*. 36(4), pp. 739–757.
  https://doi.org/10.1177/0263775817713209
- 809 Peck, J. (2013). Explaining (with) neoliberalism. *Territory, Politics, Governance*, 1(2), 132–157.

Plonski, S. (2018). Material Footprints: The Struggle for Borders by Bedouin-Palestinians in
Israel. Antipode, 50(5), 1349–1375. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/anti.12388</u>

- Porter, L., & Yiftachel, O. (2019). Urbanizing settler-colonial studies: introduction to the special
  issue. *Settler Colonial Studies*, 9(2), pp. 177-186.
- Proulx, G. And Crane, N. (2019). "To see things in an objective light": the Dakota Access
  Pipeline and the ongoing constructions of settler colonial landscapes. *Journal of Cultural Geography*. Pp. 1-21. https://doi.org/10.1080/08873631.2019.1665856
- Pulido, L. (2015). Geographies of race and ethnicity 1: White supremacy vs white privilege in
  environmental racism research. *Progress in Human Geography*. 39(6), pp. 809–817.
  https://doi.org/10.1177/0309132514563008
- Pulido, L. (2018). Geographies of race and ethnicity III: Settler colonialism and nonnative people
  of color. *Progress in Human Geography*. 42(2), pp. 309–318.
  https://doi.org/10.1177/0309132516686011
- Pullan, W. (2013). Conflict's Tools. Borders, Boundaries and Mobility in Jerusalem's Spatial
  Structures. *Mobilities*. 8(1), pp. 125-147. doi: 10.1080/17450101.2012.750040
- Quijano, A. (2000). Coloniality of power and Eurocentrism in Latin America. International
   Sociology. 15(2), pp. 215-232.
- Quijano, A. (2007). Coloniality and Modernity/Rationality. *Cultural Studies*. 21(2–3), pp. 168–
   178. https://doi.org/10.1080/09502380601164353
- Radcliffe, S. A. (2017). Geography and indigeneity I: Indigeneity, coloniality and knowledge.
   *Progress in Human Geography*. 41(2), pp. 220-229.
- Reuveny, R. (2003). Fundamentalist colonialism: the geopolitics of Israeli–Palestinian conflict.
   *Political Geography*. 22(4), pp. 347-380.
- Rifkin, M. (2013). Settler common sense. *Settler Colonial Studies*. 3(3–04), pp. 322–340.
   <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/2201473X.2013.810702</u>
- Rose, G. (1993). *Feminism & geography: The limits of geographical knowledge*. U of Minnesota
  Press.
- Rosen, G., & Razin, E. (2008). Enclosed residential neighborhoods in Israel: from landscapes of
   heritage and frontier enclaves to new gated communities. *Environment and Planning A*.
   40(12).
- Rosen, G., & Razin, E. (2009). The rise of gated communities in Israel: reflections on changing
  urban governance in a neo-liberal era. *Urban Studies*. 46(8), pp. 1702-1722.

- Rouhana, N. N., & Sabbagh-Khoury, A. (2015). Settler-colonial citizenship: conceptualizing the
  relationship between Israel and its Palestinian citizens. *Settler Colonial Studies*. 5(3), pp.
  205-225.
- Russell, L. (2001). Colonial Frontiers: Indigenous-European encounters in settler societies.
  Manchester University Press.
- Rutherford, S., & Rutherford, P. (2013). Geography and biopolitics. *Geography Compass*. 7(6),
  pp. 423–434.
- Rutland, T. (2018). *Displacing Blackness: Planning, Power, and Race in Twentieth-Century Halifax.* Toronto: University of Toronto Press.
- 851 Said, E. (1978). *Orientalism*. New York: Vintage Books.
   852 <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/2201473X.2012.10648823</u>
- Salamanca, O.J. (2011). Unplug and play: Manufacturing collapse in Gaza. *Human Geography*,
  4(1), 22–37.
- Salamanca, O.J. (2015). Road 443: cementing dispossession, normalizing segregation and
  disrupting everyday life in Palestine. In S. Graham & C. McFarlane (Eds.),
- 857 *Infrastructural Lives: Urban infrastructure in context* (pp. 114–136). Oxon and New
  858 York: Oxford University Pres.
- Salamanca, O.J. (2016). Assembling the Fabric of Life: When Settler Colonialism Becomes
   Development. *Journal of Palestine Studies*, 45(4), pp. 64–80.
- Salamanca, O.J., Qato, M., Rabie, K., & Samour, S. (2012). Past is Present: Settler Colonialism
  in Palestine. *Settler Colonial Studies*. 2(1), pp. 1–8.
- Santos, M. (2016). Palestinian narratives of resistance: The Freedom Theatre's challenge to
   Israeli settler colonization. *Settler Colonial Studies*, 8(1).
- 865 Sassen, S. (2013). When Territory Deborders Territoriality. *Territory, Politics, Governance*.
  866 1(1), pp. 21-45. doi: 10.1080/21622671.2013.769895
- Schofield, R. (2018). International Boundaries and Borderlands in the Middle East: Balancing
   Context, Exceptionalism and Representation. *Geopolitics*. 23(3), pp. 608–631.
   <a href="https://doi.org/10.1080/14650045.2017.1374246">https://doi.org/10.1080/14650045.2017.1374246</a>
- Schwarz, H., & Ray, S. (Eds.). (2000). A companion to postcolonial studies. Malden, MA:
  Blackwell Publishers.
- Shalhoub-Kevorkian, N. (2008). Counter-Spaces as Resistance in Conflict Zones: Palestinian
  Women Recreating a Home. *Journal of Feminist Family Therapy* 17(3-4).
- Shalhoub-Kevorkian, N. (2009). *Militarization and Violence Against Women in Conflict Zones in the Middle East: A Palestinian Case-Study*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- 876 Shalhoub-Kevorkian, N. (2015). *Security Theology, Surveillance and the Politics of Fear*.
  877 Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 878 Sharp, J. (2008). *Geographies of Postcolonialism*. Sage.
- Shoffner, E. (2018). Settler Environmentalism and Conservation Governance along the Rio
  Uruguay. (Paper presented at the Dimensions of Political Ecology conference 2018 in a
  session titled 'Green-washing' Settler Colonialism II, organized by Sara Hughes).
- 882 Simpson, A. (2014). *Mohawk Interruptus: Political Life Across the Borders of Settler States*.
  883 Duke University Press.
- Simpson, L. B. (2011). Dancing on our turtle's back: Stories of Nishnaabeg re-creation,
   *resurgence and a new emergence*. Arbeiter Ring Pub.
- 886 Simpson, L. B. (2014). Land as pedagogy: Nishnaabeg intelligence and rebellious
- 887 transformation. *Decolonization: Indigeneity, Education & Society*. 3(3).

- Smiles, D. (2018). "...to the Grave"—Autopsy, settler structures, and indigenous counter conduct. *Geoforum*. 91, pp. 141–150. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2018.02.034
- Smith, R. J. (2016). Isolation Through Humanitarianism: Subaltern Geopolitics of the Siege on
  Gaza. Antipode. 48(3), pp. 750–769. https://doi.org/10.1111/anti.12224
- Smith, R. J., & Isleem, M. (2017). Farming the front line: Gaza's activist farmers in the No Go
   Zones. *City*. 21(3–4), pp. 448–465. https://doi.org/10.1080/13604813.2017.1331566
- Snelgrove, C., Dhamoon, R. K., & Corntassel, J. (2014). Unsettling settler colonialism: The
   discourse and politics of settlers, and solidarity with Indigenous nations. *Decolonization: Indigeneity, Education & Society.* 3(2), pp. 1-32.
- TallBear, K. (2013). *Native American DNA: Tribal belonging and the false promise of genetic science.* University of Minnesota Press.
- Tatour, L. (2019). Traces of racial exception: racializing Israeli settler colonialism. *British Journal of Middle Eastern Studies*, 46(3), pp. 514-515.
- 901 Tawil-Souri, H. (2012). Uneven Borders, Coloured (Im)mobilities: ID Cards in Palestine/Israel.
   902 *Geopolitics*. 17(1), pp. 153–176. https://doi.org/10.1080/14650045.2011.562944
- 903 Thomas, A. (2019). Imagination. In *Keywords in Radical Geography: Antipode at 50* (pp. 155–
   904 158). <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119558071.ch28</u>
- Toews, Owen. (2018). Stolen City: Racial Capitalism and the Making of Winnipeg. Winnipeg.
   ARP Books.
- Tomiak, J. (2017). Contesting the settler city: Indigenous self-determination, new urban reserves,
  and the neoliberalization of colonialism. *Antipode*. 49(4), pp. 928-945.
- Topak, Ö. E. Bracken-Roche, C., Saulnier, A., & Lyon, D. (2015). From Smart Borders to
   Perimeter Security: The Expansion of Digital Surveillance at the Canadian Borders.
   *Geopolitics*. 20(4), pp. 880–899. https://doi.org/10.1080/14650045.2015.1085024
- 912 Trask, H. K. (2000). Settlers of Color and "Immigrant" Hegemony: "Locals" in Hawai'i.
   913 Amerasia Journal. 26(2), pp. 1-24.
- 914 Trigger, D. S., & Griffiths, G. (2003). *Disputed territories: land, culture and identity in settler* 915 *societies.* Hong Kong University Press.
- 916 Tuck, E. and Gaztambide-Fernández, R.A. (2013). Curriculum, replacement, and settler futurity.
   917 *Journal of Curriculum Theorizing*, 29(1).
- 918 Tuck, E., & Yang, K. W. (2012). Decolonization is not a metaphor. *Decolonization: Indigeneity*,
   919 *Education & Society*. 1(1), pp. 1-40.
- 920 Tzfadia, E., & Yacobi, H. (2011). *Rethinking Israeli space: Periphery and identity*. Routledge.
- 921 Tzfadia, E., & Yiftachel, O. (2004). Between urban and national: Political mobilization among
  922 Mizrahim in Israel's 'development towns'. *Cities*. 21(1), pp. 41-55.
- 923 Veracini, L. (2010). Settler Colonialism: A Theoretical Overview. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Veracini, L. (2013). 'Settler Colonialism': Career of a Concept. *The Journal of Imperial and Commonwealth History*. 41(2), pp. 313-333.
- Vimalassery, M., Pegues, J.H. and Goldstein, A. (2016). Introduction: On colonial unknowing.
   *Theory & Event*, 19(4).
- Wainwright, J. (2013). Intervention "'A remarkable disconnect": On violence, military
   research, and the AAG'. Retrieved 1 July 2019, from AntipodeFoundation.org website: https://antipodefoundation.org/2013/10/10/on-violence-military-research-and-the-aag/
- 931 Wainwright, J. (2016). The U.S. Military and Human Geography: Reflections on Our
- 932 Conjuncture. Annals of the American Association of Geographers. 106(3), pp. 513–520.
  933 https://doi.org/10.1080/24694452.2016.1145508

- Weaver, J. C. (2003). Great Land Rush and the Making of the Modern World, 1650-1900.
   McGill-Queen's University Press.
- Weizman, E. (2008). Thanotactics. In M. Sorkin (Ed.), *Indefensible space: The architecture of the national insecurity state* (pp. 325–350). New York and London: Routledge.
- 938 Weizman, E. (2012). *Hollow land: Israel's architecture of occupation*. Verso Books.
- Whittaker, N. (2017). The Island Race: Ontological Security and Critical Geopolitics in British
   Parliamentary Discourse. *Geopolitics*. 23(4), pp. 954-985.
- Whyte, K. P. (2016). Weaving Indigenous science, protocols and sustainability science.
   *Sustainability Science*. 11(1), pp. 25-32.
- 943 Wolfe, P. (1999). Settler Colonialism and the Transformation of Anthropology. London: Cassell.
- Wolfe, P. (2006). Settler Colonialism and the Elimination of the Native. *Journal of Genocide Research.* 8(4), pp. 387-409.
- Yacobi, H., & Pullan, W. (2014). The geopolitics of neighbourhood: Jerusalem's colonial space
  revisited. *Geopolitics*. 19(3), pp. 514-539.
- Yacobi, H., & Tzfadia, E. (2019). Neo-settler colonialism and the re-formation of territory:
  Privatization and nationalization in Israel. *Mediterranean Politics*, 24(1), pp. 1–19.
  https://doi.org/10.1080/13629395.2017.1371900
- Yiftachel, O. (1998). Planning and social control: Exploring the dark side. *Journal of Planning Literature*. 12(4), pp. 395-406.
- 953 Yiftachel, O. (1999). 'Ethnocracy': The Politics of Judaizing Israel/Palestine. *Constellations*.
  954 6(3), pp. 364–390. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8675.00151
- Yiftachel, O. (2000). Social Control, Urban Planning and Ethno-class Relations: Mizrahi Jews in
  Israel's 'Development Towns'. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*.
  24(2), pp. 418-438.
- 958 Yiftachel, O. (2002). Territory as the kernel of the nation: space, time and nationalism in
  959 Israel/Palestine. *Geopolitics*. 7(2), pp. 215-248.
- 960 Yiftachel, O. (2006). *Ethnocracy: Land and identity politics in Israel/Palestine*. Philadelphia:
   961 University of Pennsylvania Press.
- 962 Yiftachel, O., & Ghanem, A. (2004). Understanding 'ethnocratic' regimes: The politics of
  963 seizing contested territories. *Political Geography*. 23(6), pp. 647–676.
  964 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polgeo.2004.04.003
- 2011) Surveillance and control in
   2011) Israel/Palestine: Population, territory and power. London and New York: Routledge.