

Kelly, R.F., Mihm-Carmichael, M. and Hammond, J.A. (2020) Students' engagement in and perceptions of blended learning in a clinical module in a veterinary degree program. *Journal of Veterinary Medical Education*, (doi: <u>10.3138/jvme.2019-0018</u>)

There may be differences between this version and the published version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

http://eprints.gla.ac.uk/198146/

Deposited on 3 October 2019

Enlighten – Research publications by members of the University of Glasgow <u>http://eprints.gla.ac.uk</u>

## 1 Title

- 2 Student engagement and perceptions of blended-learning of a
- 3 *clinical module in a veterinary degree program.*
- 4
- 5 R. F. Kelly<sup>1</sup>, M. Mihm-Carmichael<sup>2</sup>, J. A. Hammond<sup>3</sup>
- 6
- <sup>7</sup> <sup>1</sup>Farm Animal Services, Royal (Dick) School of Veterinary Studies, University of
- 8 Edinburgh, Easter Bush, Roslin, Midlothian EH25 9RG, UK.
- <sup>9</sup> <sup>2</sup>Farm Animal Clinical Sciences, School of Veterinary Medicine, University of
   Glasgow, Glasgow, G61 1QH, UK.
- 11 <sup>3</sup>Veterinary Science & Education, School of Veterinary Medicine, University of
- Glasgow, Glasgow, G61 1QH, UK.

## 14 Keywords

15 Blended learning; Veterinary education; eLearning; Farm Animal; Clinical;

- 16 Multimedia; Videos; Student perceptions; Case-based learning; Student
- 17 engagement.
- 18

### 19 **Abstract (250/250 words max)**

- 20 Blended learning has received much interest in higher education as a way to 21 increase learning efficiency and effectiveness. By combining face-to-face teaching 22 with technology-enhanced learning through online resources, students can 23 manage their own learning. Blended methods are of particular interest in 24 professional degrees such as veterinary medicine where students need the 25 flexibility to undertake intra and extra-mural activities in order to develop the 26 range of competencies required to achieve a professional qualification. Yet it is 27 unclear how veterinary students engage with blended learning activities and
- 28 whether they perceive the approach as beneficial.
- 29
- This article evaluates blended learning through review of student feedback from
  a 4-week-clinical module in a veterinary degree programme. The module
  combined face-to-face sessions with online resources. Feedback was collected
  using a structured-online questionnaire at the end of the module and log data
  collected as part of a routine teaching audit. The features of blended learning
  that support and detract from the student learning experience were explored
  using quantitative and qualitative methods.
- 38 Students perceived a benefit from aspects of the face-to-face teaching and
- technology-enhanced learning resources. Face-to-face teaching was appreciated
- 40 for practical activities whereas online resources were considered effective for
- 41 facilitating module organisation and allowing flexible access to learning
- 42 materials. The blended approach was particularly appreciated for clinical skills
- 43 where students valued a combination of visual resources and practical activities.
- 44 Although this study identified several limitations with online resources, which
- 45 need to be addressed when constructing blended courses, blended learning
  46 shows potential in clinical courses to enhance student-led learning.
- 47

48

#### Introduction 49

50 Professional degree courses require a balance of workplace learning 51 opportunities with academic elements of the curriculum (1,2). Like other 52 undergraduate students, those studying for professional degrees have to fit their 53 study around other life commitments, which can complicate and disrupt 54 timetabling academic and workplace commitments (3–5). For example, in the UK 55 professional training of veterinary surgeons is knowledge intensive, applied and 56 focused on omni-competence (6). Historically this has led to heavy lecture 57 schedules and significant contact time with veterinary educators to enable 58 students to attain the competencies required to register as a veterinary surgeon 59 (7). Students are also obliged to complete work experience in clinical practice. 60 undertaken in addition to their academic studies, to develop clinical and workplace skills. Furthermore, as contemporary veterinary practice is becoming 61 62 more specialised (8), the scope of knowledge expected of veterinary graduates is increasing further, despite the program length remaining the same. Thus, the 63 64 challenge for today's veterinary educators is to prepare graduates to enter the 65 workplace with the applicable skills set and knowledge to "hit the ground running" by helping them to be time efficient and lifelong learners (9). 66 In response to student study and life commitments, many higher education (HE) 67 68 courses are adopting student-centred learning approaches to their teaching (10.11). The aim is to allow students to choose when, where and how they learn 69 70 course content (10). "Blended learning" (BL) is one of the student-centred 71 learning approaches being adopted by HE institutions. When designing new 72 blended courses, educators aim to balance the use of face-to-face (F2F) with

73 technology-enhanced learning (TEL) resources to meet these personal needs of 74 the learner (12). Technology-enhanced learning resources are often used to 75 replace some of the F2F aspects of the course yet it is integral that all resources 76 still align to course intended learning objectives (ILOs) (13). Increased interest 77 in the TEL aspect of BL is set to continue for students who are increasingly able 78 to choose their mode, pace and place of learning (14), and for organisation of 79 course content. Blended learning techniques may be well suited to veterinary 80 medicine training to balance academic and workplace learning commitments. 81 Blended learning techniques may also encourage independent lifelong learning 82 that is vital to the contemporary veterinary surgeon within their profession 83 (9,15). Although extensive research has been conducted in medical schools (16– 84 19), it is unknown whether BL methods are perceived as beneficial to student 85 learning in the context of veterinary education. A main theme from medical 86 experience is that BL methods are positivity perceived by students, but only if 87 courses are designed to benefit their learning rather than being a replacement 88 for staff F2F teaching time (16).

89 Deep learning involves stepwise construction and application of knowledge to 90 promote critical thinking in order to embed learning content (20,21). Developing 91 skills in critical thinking and problem solving are vital to the clinical ability of a 92 veterinary surgeon, requiring development throughout undergraduate studies 93 and beyond (22,23). Using multimodal learning methods to teach students can 94 encourage development of critical thinking and problem solving skills (24,25).

95 The overuse of TEL over F2F methods can lead to student disengagement and

96 promote superficial learning rather than deep learning practices (26). As the

97 quality of student learning could be influenced by the balance of F2F and TEL

activities within a BL course, it is important to assess student engagement withthese activities.

100 This paper explores student perceptions and engagement with a novel blended-101 learning module within a clinical component of a UK five year undergraduate 102 veterinary degree program. Specifically we aimed to establish how students 103 engage with different elements of TEL and F2F activities, including access times 104 and patterns to online resources. We also evaluated the range of student 105 perception of blended learning elements, including workload and relevance of

- 106 TEL and F2F resources.
- 107

## 108 Materials and methods

109 *Context* 

110 Since 2013, the Glasgow University School of Veterinary Medicine (GU-SVM)

111 Bachelor in Veterinary Medicine and Surgery (BVMS) degree program has

undergone a major curriculum restructure with a focus on ensuring thecompetency and employability of graduating veterinary surgeons. The

restructure was more broadly supported by Glasgow University's "E-Learning"

115 Strategy 2013-2020" (27) with inclusion of BL principles. Specifically, the new

116 degree program structure champions student-centred learning by encouraging

117 independence, choice and flexibility in the individual students' learning

experience. The new BVMS degree was split into foundation (Years 1-2) and

119 clinical (Years 3-4) phases in order to prepare students for the supervised

workplace based final year, or professional phase (Year 5). Both the foundationand clinical phases utilised BL via fewer lectures, more practical classes and

122 small group case-based learning (CBL) sessions (28). Case-based sessions were

facilitated F2F, complemented through online activities using the University's

- 124 virtual learning environment (VLE). The new permutation of the BVMS program
- 125 integrates scientific and clinical disciplines throughout the degree, aiming to
- 126 promote better application of core knowledge through independent learning.

127

The first implementation of the two year clinical phase started in 2015-16, withthe third year considered as a course incorporating six four week long modules

and one two week long module (Figure 1). As part of the third-year clinical

131 phase, a new four week module integrated four core clinical farm animal

132 disciplines. These disciplines included 1. Clinical ruminant medicine and surgery

133 2. Ruminant parasitology 3. Population medicine/ epidemiology and 4.

134 Pharmacology. The structure of the module was organised through the UG-SVM

135 VLE (Moodle®), where students could access resources at any time of the day.

Primarily the module was made up of F2F and TEL activities (Supplementary

137 material 1). For TEL resources, students had availability from day 1 of the

138 module Some of the TEL activities were hosted on another VLE platform

139 (Mahara®) linked to the UG-SVM VLE. Students were guided through the module

140 by being given access to different activities in each of the 4 weeks via the UG-

141 SVM VLE (Figure 2). To encourage learners to apply the knowledge taught across

- 142 these disciplines, online TEL resources were designed to complement F2F
- sessions as self-directed tasks (Figure 2). The self-directed TEL resources fitted
- 144 into four core clinical farm animal disciplines (Supplementary material 1).
- 145 Nominal timetable slots were allocated for TEL activities, although it was stated
- 146 on the VLE that students could choose when to engage with TEL activities. All the
- 147 TEL resources were designed in consultation with other members of the Farm
- 148Animal Clinical Sciences Division.
- 149 Compared to other modules in the Clinical phase, this module extended and
- 150 formalised the use of BL approaches, for example through additional use of TEL
- 151 activities, such as online CBL activities. In addition, there was an emphasis on
- designing complementary use of TEL activities to enhance the benefit of F2F
- 153 sessions, such as online clinical examination videos provided before a practical
- 154 clinical examination class.
- 155

#### 156 Study design and data collection

- 157 To assess student engagement and perceptions of blended learning, we sampled
- 158 students who were enrolled in the first cycle of the module (January and
- 159 February 2016).
- 160 To assess student engagement in the module, attendance at F2F teaching
- 161 sessions was recorded by class registers. To evaluate the access and use of the
- 162 online TEL activities for the module; log data were accessed for each TEL activity
- 163 within the module through UG-SVM VLE and exported as CSV files for further
- analysis. Each student access event was defined as the student either starting or
- downloading the TEL resource, depending on the nature of the resource. For
- 166 example, a download of a lecture and accessing a quiz from the start were each
- 167 classified as a singular access. Class attendance and log data was collected for all
- students enrolled in the module. Data was recorded for 6 weeks, 4 weeks of the module and 2 weeks leading up to submission of the summitive accession of
- 169 module and 2 weeks leading up to submission of the summative assessment was
- 170 collected from all students enrolled in the module.
- 171 To assess student perception of the module, we used student feedback collected 172 as part of routine teaching evaluation and audit. Specifically, student feedback on 173 the module were collected via a structured-online questionnaire. All students 174 enrolled in the module had access to the questionnaire from the middle of the 175 fourth week of the module. An email sent to request students completed the 176 feedback questionnaire, although feedback was voluntary and did not influence 177 academic progression. An email was sent to request students to complete 178 feedback. Students were also reminded in a lecture on the last day of the module. 179 It was assumed that students were familiar with using the UG-SVM VLE feedback tool, as similar methods have been used in previous modules in the Foundation 180 181 Phase (Years 1 & 2) of the BVMS degree. The questionnaire was created using a survey tool within the UG-SVM VLE (Supplementary material 2). Questions were 182 183 split into three sections: 1. Quality of module content related to the module Intended Learning Outcomes (ILOs), 2. F2F teaching practices and 3. TEL 184 185 resources. Questions were predominantly in the form of statements that invited

students to choose their level of agreement with the statement. Options were based on a 5-point Likert scale of "Strongly agree" (SA), "Agree" (A), "Neutral"

188 (N), "Disagree" (D) and "Strongly disagree" (SD) (29). Additional free text

189 questions were added to allow further elaboration on certain aspects of the

- 190 questions were dated to anow random endormal end
- 191

#### 192 Data analysis

193 Both qualitative and quantitative data were anonymised prior to analysis.

194 Quantitative data collected from the questionnaire (including Likert scale

195 responses) and UG-SVM VLE logs were analysed using simple summary statistics

196 (Median and proportions) and descriptive graphs in Microsoft® Excel.

197

Qualitative data collected through the questionnaire were analysed by the lead
author (RK) employing a simple thematic analysis using an inductive approach
(30,31). Two researchers were involved in this process. The lead author (RK)
was the organiser of the module and has a background in farm animal practice.
The second author (JH) is not directly involved with the module, but has an
understanding of the curriculum as leader of the final year of the BVMS

204 Programme with a background in small animal practice and veterinary

- 205 education.
- 206

207 Firstly, qualitative questionnaire data was exported as a Microsoft Excel® 208 spreadsheet. All questionnaire statements were read and re-read to develop a 209 preliminary coding structure. Then the lead author coded all statements to each 210 of the preliminary codes and grouped related codes to from subthemes using 211 colour coding within the spreadsheet. Each response may have had more than 212 one subtheme attributed to it. Once completed, the subthemes were organised 213 into major themes using a second colour code. A second author (JH) reviewed 214 the initial coding approach and both authors discussed areas of difference, 215 agreeing a final coding structure and allocation of comments to codes, related 216 codes to subthemes, and subthemes to themes. Although the aim of the exercise 217 was to represent rather than quantify the range of perceptions captured in the 218 free-text comments, the number of statements associated with each theme and 219 subtheme is reported to illustrate that the themes identified are characteristic of 220 this set of individuals and to illustrate the diversity of perceptions in the group 221 studied(32).

222

#### 223 Ethics

224 The teaching evaluation was conducted at GU-SVM (part of the College of 225 Medical, Veterinary and Life Sciences (MVLS) at the University of Glasgow). 226 Ethical approval for retrospective analysis of routinely collected data has been 227 granted under MVLS VLE research guidance and the GU-SVM privacy notice 228 published on the Vet School General Resource read by all students, and projects 229 are under the oversight of a School Data Custodian to ensure appropriate use 230 under the General Data Protection Regulation. In addition, ethical approval for 231 the evaluation of blended and online learning developments was granted by the 232 MVLS Research Ethics committee under license number 200160080.

233

## 234 **Results**

#### 235 *Student engagement*

In January 2016, 123 students were enrolled in the first cycle of the module.
Students had individual timetables for all F2F sessions and 100% of students attended.

239

240 The proportion of students accessing each type of TEL resource was recorded over the duration of the module and for 2 weeks after (Figure 3). All 123 241 242 students downloaded lecture material and small group teaching (CBL and 243 practical class) guidance. A majority of students accessed clinical examination 244 videos (95.9%), the parasitology textbook (85.4%), farm calendars (72.4%), pharmaceutical online CBL (69.9%) and the end of module quiz (64.2%). Less 245 than half of the students chose to provide end of module feedback (44.7%). 246 247 There were differences in how often students accessed each type of TEL 248 resource (Figure 4). Most students accessed practical/CBL guidance, clinical 249 examination videos and parasitology textbooks 2-5 times or less. Lecture 250 material was accessed 6-10 times and the end of module guiz between 21-50 251 times by the majority of students. The frequency of access to the pharmaceutical 252 online CBL varied much more between students than other TEL resources, with a 253 much wider range of frequency of access. Looking at the time of day when TEL 254 resources were accessed (Figure 5), few students accessed any TEL resources 255 between 0.00-07.00. Lecture material was mainly accessed between 07.00-13.00, 256 whereas most other resources were accessed during the afternoon and evening 257 (13.00-18.00 and 18.00-00.00).

258

All 123 students undertook the group end of module summative assessment .
Students worked in groups of 4-5 students, with a submission deadline 2 weeks
after the end of module teaching. A group mark was given to individual students
within each group using a grading rubric. Subsequently, all students achieved a
passing standard grade in the summative assessment.

## 265 Student feedback

#### 266 *Questionnaire statements*

The response rate for the feedback questionnaire was 44.7%, which represents less than half of the students enrolled on the module (Supplementary material

- 269 2). Student statements to questionnaire statements are summarised in table 1.
- 270 Overall, students were satisfied with the module and agreed that it was made
- 271 clear what they were expected to learn. Most students agreed or strongly agreed
- that module content was pitched at the right level and the workload manageable.
- 273 In respect to F2F teaching (Table 1), students agreed that lecturers made
- teaching material interesting and provided useful feedback. Over half of
- respondents agreed that group classes and assessment enabled them to work as
- a team, with less than 10% disagreeing. For TEL resources, most students agreed
- 277 or strongly agreed that online content was well organised, relevant and easy to
- 278 navigate. Online communication was appreciated, instructions clear and online
- 279 support adequate. Half of students agreed that the online calendars and

- 280 parasitology textbook were useful. However, the majority of students disagreed
- 281 that the pharmaceutical online CBL was useful, with the remainder neutral to
- 282 this activity. Three quarters of students found the formative module assessment
- 283 interesting and expressed that it brought together module content, with the
- 284 remainder of students being neutral to the assessment.
- 285

#### 286 *Free text statements*

- 287 The majority of students who undertook the questionnaire, responded to some 288 of the free-text questions with a total of 195 free-text statements
- 289
- (Supplementary material 2). Three major themes were identified relating to student perceptions of blended learning in the statements to the free text 290
- 291 questions: "Balance of F2F and TEL resources", "Module design and delivery" and
- "Participant factors". Table 2 summarises the number of statements coded to 292
- 293 major and sub-theme.
- 294
- 295 Balance of face to face and technology enhanced learning activities
- 296 Of the free-text statements, 93 related to balance of F2F and TEL activities within 297 the module. These statements split into two sub-themes: "Synergistic resources"
- 298 and "Student-lecturer interaction".
- 299
- 300 Synergistic resources:
- 301 Many statements were positive about the mix of F2F and TEL activities (55
- 302 statements) within the module. For F2F activities, statements related to
- 303 appreciation of practical classes and CBL seminars (13/55), complimenting
- 304 lectures which were pitched at the right level (4/55). A number of students
- 305 explained they enjoyed these sessions that were complimented by TEL resources
- 306 as they provided an opportunity to apply theoretical knowledge into a practical 307 setting:
- 308
- 309 Student 39: " "It (RE: Online farm calendars) made me review a lot of 310 diseases/procedures and think about when in the year they occur. It was very 311 useful to then be given the completed calendar (after the lectures) so that I could begin to build a better idea of when in the farming year certain things occur."
- 312 313
- 314 Students expressed their positive impression of TEL activities, mainly 315 commenting on online CBL activities. Similarly, students felt that the
- 316 pharmaceutical prescription activity assisted applying theory into practice
- 317 (15/55 statements). For other online CBL activities, such as the farm calendar
- 318 and parasitology textbook, students felt they were mainly useful for revision by
- 319 consolidating learning (19/55 statements). For parasitology teaching in
- 320 particular, students commented that online materials supported F2F practical 321 class teaching (8/55 statements):
- 322
- 323 Student 29: "You wouldn't understand what you're doing in the parasitology 324 practical without these resources they are very good."
- 325
- 326 Nonetheless, students expressed that TEL activities should not be used to replace 327 F2F teaching activities (3/55). This was particularly relevant for clinical skill

328 329 330	teaching, where students felt that the physical aspects of activities could not be mimicked online:
331 332 333 334	Student 12: "I feel like sometimes for the clinical skills practicals they expect you to have already learnt everything on the videos before you arrive. The videos should be an aid to assist your learning and prepare for the class but not a substitute for in class teaching."
335	cluss teaching.
336 337	Student-lecturer interaction: A small number of students (18) commented on student-lecturer interaction. For
338 339	F2F sessions, including lectures and practical sessions, most commented that content was pitched at the right level. Such statements praised staff interaction
340 341 342	with them highlighting that the interaction assisted in applying the lecture content to real-life scenarios $(7/18)$ such as in CBL tutorials:
342 343	Student 27: "Enjoyed the CBLs case scenario discussion as they help me identify
344 345	where in my thoughts process did I went (sic) wrong or have done correctly, and eventually guides me to the final diagnosis. Which I felt is really useful"
346 347	In contrast, three students expressed that similar interaction was lacking from
348	online CBL sessions. For example, 6 students felt that they lacked guidance for
349	the farm calendar or pharmaceutical prescription online CBLs. Other statements
350	suggested that students felt that they missed out on the opportunity to discuss
351	released answers, which would have helped them prioritise topics for further
352 353	study.
353 354	Module design and delivery factors
355	In total 67 statements related to module design and delivery. These statements
356 357	were divided into three sub-themes: "Module content organization", "Time management and allocation" and "Software limitations".
358	Module content experimention.
359 360	Module content organization: This theme included both positive and negative comments. The majority of
361	negative comments related to module factors that affected students managing
362	their own learning time (23/67). For example, a small number of participants
363	(12/23) were frustrated that not all module content was hosted on the VLE and
364	found it difficult to locate these resources:
365	
366	Student 15 (Re: Parasitology textbook): "I was not even aware of this. There's a
367 368	whole lot of information scattered in a lot of different places, which makes it really hard to keep track of it all, as well as prioritize."
369	nuru to keep truck of it uii, us well us prioritize.
370	Other negative comments related to late provision of both TEL and F2F teaching.
371	Nine participants reported that some staff arrived late to give lectures and that
372	sometimes lecture materials were uploaded to the VLE after lectures were given.
373	Student's perception were that tardiness made it difficult to prioritise content in
374	their study time. Also, a number of these comments (3/9) expressed dislike of
375	last-minute changes to lecture materials:
376	

- 377 Student 47: "There were several occasions throughout this module where lectures
  378 had been posted to moodle, but then changed without any notice to students. This is
  379 particularly frustrating when students print these lectures out or review them
  380 beforehand..."
- 381

# Specifically, only two respondents commented on appreciating the organisation of online TEL content into folders making content easy to navigate content on the VLE.

- 385
- **386** Time management and allocation:
- 387 Over half of statements related to module design were related to time
- management and allocation of module activities (43/67). The majority of
- 389 comments related to TEL activities taking longer than expected, specifically the
- farm animal calendar and the group summative assessment. A common
- explanation was that researching for such activities from content elsewhere in
- the module was too time consuming for the time available to study. Although
  respondents (6/43) did appreciate the learning experience after the activity was
- 394 completed:
- 395
- Student 24 (Re: Farm animal calendar online CBL activity): "(It was) difficult to
  find the information so it took a long time to find anything relevant, but useful
  when done."
- 399
- 400 Despite the extended length of some sessions, only one student negatively
- 401 commented that F2F activities overran allocated time slots. A number of
- 402 statements (6/43) commented that to some TEL resources, such as the
- 403 parasitology textbook and online pre-reading material, were too extensive
- making it difficult to prioritise what to study in the time allocated. Yet a similar
   number of statements (5/43) praised the extent of these resources, providing
- number of statements (5/43) praised the extent of these resources, providing
  the opportunity for students to study topics more in depth than taught material.
- 407
- 408 Software limitations:
- Nine students commented on the limitations of the software used to design TEL
  activities, mostly relating to the pharmaceutical prescription online CBL activity.
- 411 It was highlighted that even if students got the answer right, but their free-text412 answer was phrased differently to the automated answer, the software marked
- 412 answer was phrased differently to the automated answer, the software marked413 the answer as incorrect (Figure 6) resulting in much lower global marks in this
- 414 activity than individual students expected. This student describes the negative
- 415 impact on learning of these software limitations:
- 416
- 417 Student 02 (Re: Pharmaceutical prescription online CBL activity): "Many things
  418 were marked as incorrect but the correct answers were not given, so cannot review
  419 it and learn from mistakes."
- 420
- 421 Yet students also expressed that the activity was useful in developing prescribing
- 422 habits. Two students suggested that a potential solution to the software marking
- 423 limitations would be producing example answers at the end of activity rather
- than the software marking individual answers. These comments highlight the
- 425 perceived benefit of the activity, despite the software marking limitations.

426 427 428 429 430 431 432 433 434	Participant factors Of all free-text statements, 43 related to individual participant factors that influenced perception of, and engagement with, module content. Twenty nine student statements described how engagement in activities was affected by their previous knowledge of module subjects. Respondents who identified as having insufficient background knowledge (ruminant livestock and agriculture), felt that TEL activities were difficult and time consuming to partake in (9/29). This was exemplified in the farm calendar activity:
435 436 437 438	Student 14: "With no background knowledge in livestock farming, I don't know where to start."
439 440 441 442 443	Students also mentioned that some of the module overlapped with content elsewhere in the veterinary degree program. While some perceived too much overlap (3/29), others took overlap as positive (14/29). Overlap seemingly helped students to integrate module content with assumed background knowledge (livestock and agriculture):
444 445 446 447	Student 6: "Useful to be able to work through a calendar and link up the times of the year to management procedures and diseases to look out for."
447 448 449 450 451 452	Students mentioned that various F2F and TEL activities were relevant to their future career choices (10/43). Responders who specifically intended to go into a career related to the module content, enjoyed engaging with TEL content within the module ( $3/43$ ):
453 454	Student 45: "Really fun module - has made me consider going into mixed (species clinical) practice."
455 456 457	Discussion
107	

458 Blending learning practices are proposed to encourage students to manage their 459 own learning, around other commitments, whilst still meeting the learning 460 outcomes of a course (12). As a student-centred approach, BL could be useful for 461 professional veterinary degree programmes to support students to balance academic, workplace and personal commitments. The fact that students in this 462 study accessed TEL resources outside of traditional working hours supports this 463 idea. The majority of students engaged with course material, with various TEL 464 activities accessed throughout the day depending on the activity. Blended 465 learning can also encourage students post-graduation to learn independently, 466 which is an important attribute to continued professional development (33). 467 Assessing student engagement and perception of courses can provide an insight 468 469 into the experience of BL, its impact on learning and highlight areas to consider 470 when designing courses using BL. Although there are well-documented 471 limitations in questionnaire-based student feedback studies (34), this study provided insights into student perceptions on BL. Aspects of F2F and TEL 472 473 activities were well received by students, particularly activities that integrated 474 and applied course topics. Interaction between students and teachers was also

highly valued. In the wider context, student feedback highlighted a number of BL

- 476 factors that affected the learning experience of students and should be
- 477 considered when developing courses based on BL principles.
- 478

479 In our study, we investigated student engagement with F2F and TEL activities. 480 F2F and TEL activities were nominally timetabled in "working hours" (9.00-481 17.00 hours Monday to Friday), although TEL activities could be completed in 482 their free time, within or out of working hours, if individual students wished. The 483 majority of TEL activities were accessed during working hours, with the trend of 484 lecture material being accessed in the mornings when lectures were timetabled 485 and complementary activities being accessed in the afternoon or evening. 486 Flexibility in students planning their study time is widely seen as a positive step 487 within HE, to allow them to direct their learning to what is most effective for 488 individuals when and wherever it suits them (35,36). It is therefore unsurprising 489 that in our study, individual students managed their time differently and there 490 are likely various reasons for different study strategies. Although we did collect 491 data on individual student study patterns and what factors drives them to 492 manage their own study time, students did not highlight whether they were accessing TEL activities around life commitments. A study by Holley and Dobson 493 494 looked at a cohort of >1000 undergraduate students undertaking a BL course 495 and their access to online TEL activities over the duration of the course (37). Students particularly accessed TEL activities over weekends to manage their 496 497 learning around part-time jobs and to work at their own pace. However, 498 veterinary and other professional degree students have additional course related 499 commitments on their time, which potentially restricts their time for other life 500 commitments (38). As BL courses potentially could have negative effects on 501 student work-life balance, the amount of time spent on non-timetabled activities 502 and students' ability to utilise this time, has to be considered when designing BL 503 courses. It is recommended that the amount of time taken to complete course 504 activities, within and outside the academic timetable, should be audited to make 505 sure that students can manage their time with other commitments (13). For the 506 UK veterinary profession in particular, increasing mental health problems have been associated with problems relating to work-life balance (39). Work overload 507 508 can impact on academic performance, satisfaction and mental health (40,41). 509 Students should be empowered to develop the skills to manage their study, work 510 and life commitments from the early stages of their degree. Although time was 511 allocated to complete TEL activities, the amount of time to complete specific 512 activities was not recommended. In hindsight, this may have led to students spending inappropriate amounts of time on individual activities. "Sign-posting" 513 514 recommended time to complete a TEL activity, may assist students in time 515 allocation and assist promoting a healthy work-life balance. This is particularly important given our observation that a number of students commented that 516 517 activities took longer than they expected. There is also an onus on Veterinary 518 Schools to ensure that expectations of student workload are reasonable and 519 clear to applicants. 520

521 Students also used TEL activities to prepare for F2F sessions, particularly for
522 practical classes, with students perceiving these materials as a benefit. Just like
523 any educational intervention, motivation to engage with a topic is likely to affect

524 student engagement (42). In our study, it is possible that students were 525 interested in doing well in F2F practical classes as topics covered were perceived 526 as important to their future career choices as veterinary surgeons (23). Clearly, 527 incorporating relevance and interest in TEL activities is integral in BL courses. 528 For example, students interested in farm animal career pathways particularly 529 commented on the relevance of the module. Highlighting the relevance and 530 transferable skills gained from completing course activities may increase 531 engagement with students less interested in specific topics within a BL course.

532

533 Students described both F2F and TEL activities positively but highlighted that 534 F2F and TEL activities should be complementary, rather than TEL used simply to 535 replace F2F sessions. Getting the right "blend" of F2F and TEL activities is 536 integral to the success of a BL course (43). Other research also found that F2F 537 activities followed by TEL activities leads to students engaging with the content more than students access to only TEL activities (44). Blended learning activities 538 539 within a course should be designed and mapped to the appropriate learning 540 outcomes of the course (45) as certain topics are more suited to F2F or TEL 541 activities. Specifically, we found that students appreciated TEL when its used to 542 complement F2F sessions, such as in preparation for practical classes by 543 studying online videos or a textbook. In other work, Morton and others explored 544 medical and biomedical student engagement with a new BL course in 545 pharmacology through small focus groups (16). Students identified other 546 courses that could be suited to BL approaches, particularly those that taught core 547 principles that moved onto real-life application of the content. Yet in our survey, 548 students had a mixed response to TEL activities that built on background 549 knowledge, particularly where learning built on content from previous studies in 550 their degree course. Getting students to revisit previously learnt material can be 551 a challenge and partly depends on how well they learnt it the first time. Students, 552 who are less familiar with the background knowledge may feel they spend longer 553 than expected on these activities leading to demotivation and failure to meet 554 learning outcomes (46). Students commented that unpredictability in activity 555 participation time made it difficult to prioritise their learning, particularly in TEL activities that required students to research topics beyond core course materials. 556 557 As previously mentioned, signposting could be a potential solution to this and 558 has been shown to increase students' awareness of what is involved in a TEL 559 activity. For example, signposting has been shown to be useful with flipped 560 classroom techniques (47) and in large online learning environments (48.49). Annotating TEL activities with the expected level of background knowledge. 561 562 associated course resources and expected time to complete an activity (e.g. 563 signposting) could improve student motivation and engagement with stand-564 alone TEL activities.

565

Preserving lecturer interaction is very important in BL courses, as interaction
between students and their lecturer can increase the quality and effectiveness of
F2F sessions (50). Face-to-face activities encourage lecturer interaction whereas
TEL activities emphasise learner-material interactions (24). We found that
students missed the interaction with teaching staff and student peers, especially
for stand-alone TEL activities. Students requested more guidance to support
their learning for TEL activities that were predominately self-directed. Students

573 felt that in isolation TEL interaction with teachers was not as productive as F2F 574 interaction. Positive interactions with lecturers can improve student learning 575 (51,52) as one-on-one direction can assist individual students learning needs, 576 such as help in prioritising and clarifying course content. Students also value 577 being part of a learning community, as F2F sessions in BL courses can foster a 578 community spirit that encourages students to learn through supported 579 interaction with teachers and their peers (43). Virtual learning environments 580 design can maximise student-teacher interaction through discussion boards and 581 email. For example, a study by Beer and others demonstrated that the more 582 teachers communicate via VLE platforms the more students will engage with the 583 content (53). Although a study looking at veterinary student engagement with an 584 online only course highlighted that even though automated feedback was 585 provided online, students missed personal interaction with their teachers (54). 586 In the study, students particularly missed F2F teacher support in online case-587 based problem solving activities to assist them with their approach. When 588 designing courses around BL principles, F2F and TEL activities should be 589 synergistic in order to support student engagement and academic achievement 590 as part of a learning community (55). Complementary F2F feedback sessions with teaching staff at the end of the course can provide students with the 591 592 opportunity to interact with teaching staff directly about TEL resources used in 593 the course.

594

595 The online learning environment had an impact on how students engaged and 596 perceived their learning experience. Students were generally able to navigate 597 TEL resources hosted by the university's main VLE (Moodle®) however, 598 students were frustrated when they could not find activities hosted on another 599 VLE (Mahara®). Students also described software problems as a barrier to their 600 learning. Student perception of the format and design of the online learning 601 environment content can make a difference to how students engage with TEL 602 resources (56). There is a complex relationship between emotions, motivation, 603 cognition, metacognition and academic achievements. Thus an individual's 604 emotions, such as frustration, may demotivate and hinder cogitative processes when using BL methodology (57). A large survey of over 500,000 biological 605 science students, undertaking blended learning courses, found that highly 606 607 frustrated students review less online course content and attain lower grades, 608 than those with low levels of frustration (58). It was clear in our study that on 609 occasion, frustrations related to the online learning environment, were 610 perceived to have hindered student learning. Despite these frustrations, students continued to try to complete aspects of the course that had software problems. 611 612 For example, the pharmaceutical prescription, farm calendar activities and end 613 of module quiz, were mostly accessed multiple times by individual students. 614 Other studies highlight that software problems led to a drop off in student access 615 with students becoming demotivated and disengaged with TEL activities (59-616 61). It is important to understand the nature of the frequency of interaction in 617 TEL activities and to establish whether the frequency of interaction is 618 productive. Although we did not ask specifically why students accessed some 619 TEL activities more than others, some of the TEL activities with the highest 620 frequency of access, had a grade associated with the completion of the activity but also had the most negative feedback from students (pharmaceutical 621

622 prescription and end of module quiz activities). Drive to achieve higher grades, 623 may have led to students attempting the activity multiple times. The use of 624 grading to encourage students to complete TEL activities has been demonstrated 625 from a variety of formats (49,62,63). In addition, veterinary students are 626 regarded as highly motivated to succeed in their studies due to their passion for 627 their chosen career (64) and might partly explain their persistence with faulty activities, as students perceived it was important to complete this activity as part 628 629 of their professional training. However, software frustrations may have had a 630 negative impact on the quality of their learning strategies. Parkinson et al, 631 highlighted that although veterinary students are generally motivated, 632 frustration and work overload might encourage them to utilise superficial rather 633 than deep learning approaches (42). Students' that utilise superficial approaches 634 retain knowledge for short-term recall, whilst those that utilise deep learning 635 approaches are able to apply knowledge in different contexts (21). For veterinary training, deep learning is integral to developing clinical problem 636 solving skills (65). Like F2F activities. TEL activities should be aligned with ILOs 637 638 and software problems mitigated against to minimise student frustration. The 639 majority of the frustrations to software problems were related to automated feedback in TEL activities that marked correct answers incorrectly. Veterinary 640 students appreciate sequential feedback with relevance to their future career 641 642 (54) and inappropriate feedback could be detrimental to their learning 643 experience. Troubleshooting TEL activities, through piloting new activities and 644 appropriate staff training in using software to design activities, is important to 645 limit the likelihood of software issues (66,67). As this was the first run of the 646 module teething problems were likely and highlights why trouble shooting is 647 particularly important for newly developed TEL activities. In addition, previous 648 experiences with TEL can influence future engagement with TEL (68). Veterinary 649 surgeons in the UK are required to conducted regular continued professional 650 development (CPD) throughout their careers (69). In recent years there has 651 been an increase in distance online based platforms for postgraduate education 652 of veterinary surgeons (9). Thus, it is important in TEL activities within 653 undergraduate veterinary BL courses do not discourage future engagement with 654 TEL. 655

656 This study had various limitations that should be considered when planning 657 future research. Our study only examined a relatively small number of students 658 for a snap shot in time on a single course. It is accepted that end of course 659 feedback is often given by students who have grievances about a course (70) and with the course feedback questionnaire being optional, this may have biased our 660 661 results. However, end of course surveys and log data are useful for 662 understanding an individual's engagement and perceptions of a course (71) and 663 TEL platforms offer opportunities to monitor trends in student learning. 664 Conducting interviews or focus groups might have provided further depth to student perceptions of BL methods (72), however the online questionnaire did 665 facilitate sampling a larger cohort of students. Our approach has been helpful to 666 667 identify factors to consider when using BL principles to design undergraduate 668 courses as part of routine course feedback. Few studies take advantage of such 669 audit tools (73) to research the use of BL principles in the training of veterinary 670 surgeons. Despite module design being focused around BL principles, the

671 students that participated in our study had been taught using BL methods for 672 two years. Students with little experience of BL courses, may have different 673 perceptions and encounter additional challenges when participating in these 674 courses for the first time. We did not assess access to online TEL resources from 675 the module as part of pre-exam preparation (four months after the end of the 676 course). Also, we could not investigate the nature of interaction with TEL 677 activities (e.g. depth of engagement) due to limitations in the data provided by 678 the VLE software. Other studies of online courses have identified that students 679 often may utilise TEL material more prior to exams (74). However, it is unclear 680 if such behaviours improve academic outcomes or, in the case of veterinary training, alignment to professional competencies. Further research should focus 681 682 on improving academic staff's ability to estimate and allocate adequate 683 independent study time for students. For veterinary students in particular, how 684 the design of BL courses impact on students' own allocation of study time, which may relate to their professional development and their wellbeing and mental 685 686 health. For this reason, future studies could consider whether TEL activity 687 guidance (sign-posting) assists students in managing their study time and 688 further prepares them for future independent study.

689

690 Assessing student perception and engagement with a BL course, has highlighted

691 the benefits and challenges of using BL principles in the undergraduate

692 education of veterinary students. Our findings support other work recognising

693 the importance of considering course context, organisation, student time

694 allocation skills, troubleshooting software errors and developing synergistic

695 resources when developing a blended course. Veterinary educators wishing to 696 incorporate BL methods in professional degree teaching, should consider these

697 factors to improve application of course content and support students to become

698 independent learners. While it is clear that a blended learning approach can be

699 effective in training the next generation of veterinary surgeons, there is

700 considerable scope for additional research to establish the most effective

701 techniques for implementing BL in veterinary and medical education.

702

#### Acknowledgements 703

704 We would like to thank all the staff of the Division of Farm Animal Clinical 705 Sciences for their assistance in producing teaching material and enthusiasm for 706 teaching veterinary students at the University of Glasgow. In addition to Mr 707 James McGoldrick of the Veterinary Parasitology department for assistance with 708 the parasitology resources and Mrs Lumba Chirwa for assistance with 709 management of UG-SVM VLE resources for the module. We would also like to 710 thank Dr Vicki Dale at the University of Glasgow, for her constructive comments 711 and suggestions on the first draft of this paper.

712

#### 713 References

- 714 Rose E, McKee W, Temple BK, Harrison DK, Kirkwood D. Workplace 1. 715 learning: a concept in off-campus teaching. Learn Organ [Internet]. 2001 716 May 14 [cited 2018 Oct 28];8(2):70-7. Available from: 717
- http://www.emeraldinsight.com/doi/10.1108/09696470110388017
- 718 2. Kingston JH. Educational Timetabling. In Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg;

719		2013 [cited 2018 Oct 28]. p. 91–108. Available from:
720		http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-642-39304-4_4
721	3.	Cisneros V, Goldberg I, Schafenacker A, Bota RG. Balancing Life and
722	5.	Medical School. Ment Illn [Internet]. 2015 Feb 24 [cited 2018 Oct
723		28];7(1):5768. Available from:
724		http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26266023
725	4.	Knowles E. How to balance work and study [Internet]. Prospects.ac.uk.
726	4.	2017 [cited 2018 Oct 28]. Available from:
727		https://www.prospects.ac.uk/applying-for-university/university-
728		life/how-to-balance-work-and-study
729	5.	Holmes V. Working to live. Educ + Train [Internet]. 2008 May 30 [cited
730	5.	2018 Oct 28];50(4):305–14. Available from:
731		https://www.emeraldinsight.com/doi/10.1108/00400910810880542
732	6.	RCVS. Essential competences required of the veterinary surgeon. 2006.
733	7.	Rodríguez-Martínez H. Quality commitment and management in
734	/.	veterinary education. J Vet Med Educ [Internet]. 2006 [cited 2016 Sep
735		28];33(2):165–71. Available from:
736		http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16849291
737	8.	Lumeij JT, Herrtage ME. Veterinary Specialization in Europe. J Vet Med
738	0.	Educ [Internet]. 2006 Jun 10 [cited 2019 Feb 7];33(2):176–9. Available
739		from: https://jvme.utpjournals.press/doi/10.3138/jvme.33.2.176
740	9.	Dale VHM, Sullivan M, May SA. Adult Learning in Veterinary Education:
741		Theory to Practice. J Vet Med Educ [Internet]. 2008 Dec 3 [cited 2018 Dec
742		20];35(4):581–8. Available from:
743		https://jvme.utpjournals.press/doi/10.3138/jvme.35.4.581
744	10.	NMC. Horizon Report 2015 Higher Edication Edition: Increasing use of
745		blended learning. 2015.
746	11.	Dalsgaard C, Godsk M. Transforming traditional lectures into problem-
747		based blended learning: challenges and experiences. Open Learn J Open,
748		Distance e-Learning [Internet]. 2008 Jun 5 [cited 2016 Mar 21];22(1):29–
749		42. Available from:
750		http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/02680510601100143
751	12.	Garrison DR, Kanuka H. Blended learning: Uncovering its transformative
752		potential in higher education. Internet High Educ [Internet]. 2004 Apr
753		[cited 2014 Jul 15];7(2):95–105. Available from:
754		http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1096751604000156
755	13.	Graham CR, Woodfield W, Harrison JB. A framework for institutional
756		adoption and implementation of blended learning in higher education.
757		Internet High Educ. 2013;18:4–14.
758	14.	McLinden M. Flexible Pedagogies: part-time learners and learning in
759		higher education. Audit Tool. Flexible Pedagogies: Preparing for the future.
760		2013.
761	15.	RCVS. CPD - the Future - RCVS [Internet]. London, UK; 2003 [cited 2015
762		Nov 16]. Available from: http://www.rcvs.org.uk/document-library/cpd-
763		the-future/
764	16.	Morton CE, Saleh SN, Smith SF, Hemani A, Ameen A, Bennie TD, et al.
765		Blended learning: how can we optimise undergraduate student
766		engagement? BMC Med Educ [Internet]. 2016 Dec 4 [cited 2018 Nov
767		22];16(1):195. Available from:

768		http://bmcmededuc.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12909-016-
769		0716-z
770	17.	Lehmann R, Bosse HM, Simon A, Nikendei C, Huwendiek S. An innovative
771		blended learning approach using virtual patients as preparation for skills
772		laboratory training: perceptions of students and tutors. BMC Med Educ
773		[Internet]. 2013 Dec 12 [cited 2019 May 8];13(1):23. Available from:
774		https://bmcmededuc.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1472-6920-
775		13-23
776	18.	Duque G, Demontiero O, Whereat S, Gunawardene P, Leung O, Webster P,
777		et al. Evaluation of a blended learning model in geriatric medicine: A
778		successful learning experience for medical students. Australas J Ageing
779		[Internet]. 2013 Jun 1 [cited 2019 May 8];32(2):103–9. Available from:
780		http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1741-6612.2012.00620.x
781	19.	Ilic D, Nordin R Bin, Glasziou P, Tilson JK, Villanueva E. A randomised
782		controlled trial of a blended learning education intervention for teaching
783		evidence-based medicine. BMC Med Educ [Internet]. 2015 Dec 10 [cited
784		2019 May 8];15(1):39. Available from:
785		https://bmcmededuc.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12909-015-
786		0321-6
787	20.	Nichol DJ, Macfarlane-Dick D. Formative assessment and self regulating
788		learning: a model and seven principles of good feedback practice. Stud
789		High Educ. 2006;31(2).
790	21.	Richardson JTE. Student's approaches to learning and teachers approaches
791		to teaching in higher education. Educ Psycology. 2006;25(6):673–80.
792	22.	Lane EA. Problem-based learning in veterinary education. J Vet Med Educ
793		[Internet]. 2008 [cited 2016 Sep 28];35(4):631–6. Available from:
794		http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19228919
795	23.	Rhind SM, Baillie S, Kinnison T, Shaw DJ, Bell CE, Mellanby RJ, et al. The
796		transition into veterinary practice: Opinions of recent graduates and final
797		year students. BMC Med Educ [Internet]. 2011 Dec 22 [cited 2016 Oct
798		14];11(1):64. Available from:
799		http://bmcmededuc.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1472-6920-11-
800		64
801	24.	Bonk C, Graham C. The handbook of blended learning: Global perspectives,
802		local designs. New York: Pfeiffer; 2005.
803	25.	May SA. Modern Veterinary Graduates Are Outstanding, But Can They Get
804		Better? J Vet Med Educ [Internet]. 2008 Dec [cited 2016 Sep
805		28];35(4):573–80. Available from:
806		http://jvme.utpjournals.press/doi/10.3138/jvme.35.4.573
807	26.	Heinze A, Procter C. Reflections on the use of blended learning. In:
808		Education in a Changing Environment [Internet]. Salford, UK: University of
809		Salford, Salford; 2004 [cited 2016 Mar 21]. Available from:
810		http://usir.salford.ac.uk/1658/1/4247745025H_CPpaper9_5.pdf
811	27.	University of Glasgow. E-Learning strategy 2013-2020. Glasgow; 2013.
812	28.	Williams B. Case based learning—a review of the literature: is there scope
813		for this educational paradigm in prehospital education? Emerg Med J
814		[Internet]. 2005 Aug 1 [cited 2019 May 8];22(8):577–81. Available from:
815		http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16046764
816	29.	Allen IE, Seaman CA. Likert Scales and Data Analyses. Qual Prog.

817		2007;40(7):64–5.
818	30.	Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qual Res Psychol
819		[Internet]. 2006 Jan [cited 2016 Oct 14];3(2):77–101. Available from:
820		http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa
821	31.	Silverman D. Qualitative research : theory, method and practice. Sage
822		Publications; 2004. 378 p.
823	32.	Maxwell JA. Using Numbers in Qualitative Research. Qual Ing [Internet].
824		2010 Jul 15 [cited 2019 May 31];16(6):475–82. Available from:
825		http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/1077800410364740
826	33.	RCVS. Code of Professional Conduct for Veterinary Surgeons - RCVS
827		[Internet]. 2015 [cited 2015 Nov 16]. p. 16. Available from:
828		http://www.rcvs.org.uk/advice-and-guidance/code-of-professional-
829		conduct-for-veterinary-surgeons/
830	34.	Brennan J, Williams R. Learning and Teaching Support Network Collecting
831	011	and using student feedback. A guide to good practice collecting and using
832		student feedback. [Internet]. York, UK; 2004 [cited 2019 May 8]. Available
833		from: http://www.hefce.ac.uk/pubs/rdreports/2003/rd08_03/
834	35.	Elen J, Clarebout G, Leonard R, Lowyck J. Student-centred and teacher-
835	00.	centred learning environments: what students think. Teach High Educ.
836		2007;12(1):105–17.
837	36.	Rachman R. Student centred learning. Pract Soc Work action [Internet].
838	001	2008 May 1 [cited 2015 Nov 27];1(2):173–89. Available from:
839		http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/09503158708416841
840	37.	Holley D, Dobson C. Encouraging student engagement in a blended
841	07.	learning environment: the use of contemporary learning spaces. Learn
842		Media Technol [Internet]. 2008 Jun 21 [cited 2018 Nov 28];33(2):139–50.
843		Available from:
844		https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/17439880802097683
845	38.	Halliwell REW, Downes M, Adams VJ, Allister R, Harrison W, Mellanby RJ,
846		et al. Stress in new graduates: can the profession do more to help? Vet Rec
847		[Internet]. 2016 Jun 18 [cited 2019 Feb 7];178(25):635–6. Available from:
848		http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27313254
849	39.	Bartram DJ, Yadegarfar G, Baldwin DS. A cross-sectional study of mental
850		health and well-being and their associations in the UK veterinary
851		profession. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol [Internet]. 2009 Dec [cited
852		2016 Feb 2];44(12):1075–85. Available from:
853		http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19294320
854	40.	Reisbig AMJ, Danielson JA, Wu T-F, Hafen M, Krienert A, Girard D, et al. A
855		Study of Depression and Anxiety, General Health, and Academic
856		Performance in Three Cohorts of Veterinary Medical Students across the
857		First Three Semesters of Veterinary School. J Vet Med Educ [Internet].
858		2012 Dec 1 [cited 2018 Dec 7];39(4):341–58. Available from:
859		https://jvme.utpjournals.press/doi/10.3138/jvme.0712-065R
860	41.	Cardwell JM, Lewis EG, Smith KC, Holt ER, Baillie S, Allister R, et al. A cross-
861	•	sectional study of mental health in UK veterinary undergraduates. Vet Rec
862		[Internet]. 2013 Sep 21 [cited 2018 Dec 7];173(11):266. Available from:
863		http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23956162
864	42.	Parkinson TJ, Gilling M, Suddaby GT. Workload, Study Methods, and
865		Motivation of Students within a BVSc Program. J Vet Med Educ [Internet].
		[

866 867 868 869 870 871 872	43.	2006 Jun 10 [cited 2018 Dec 6];33(2):253–65. Available from: https://jvme.utpjournals.press/doi/10.3138/jvme.33.2.253 Sánchez-Mendiola M, Martínez-Franco AI, Rosales-Vega A, Villamar-Chulin J, Gatica-Lara F, García-Durán R, et al. Development and implementation of a biomedical informatics course for medical students: challenges of a large-scale blended-learning program. J Am Med Informatics Assoc [Internet]. 2013 Mar 1 [cited 2018 Nov 28];20(2):381–7. Available from:
873		https://academic.oup.com/jamia/article-lookup/doi/10.1136/amiajnl-
874		2011-000796
875	44.	Gros B, Garcia I, Escofet A. Beyond the net generation debate: A
876		comparison between digital learners in face-to-face and virtual
877		universities. Int Rev Res Open Distrib Learn [Internet]. 2012 Oct 1 [cited
878		2018 Nov 28];13(4):190. Available from:
879		http://www.irrodl.org/index.php/irrodl/article/view/1305
880	45.	Franklin S, Peat M. Managing change: The use of mixed delivery modes to
881		increase learning opportunities. Australas J Educ Technol [Internet]. 2001
882		Apr 27 [cited 2018 Nov 28];17(1). Available from:
883		http://ajet.org.au/index.php/AJET/article/view/1771
884	46.	Ke F, Kwak D. Online learning across ethnicity and age: A study on learning
885		interaction participation, perception, and learning satisfaction. Comput
886		Educ [Internet]. 2013 Feb 1 [cited 2018 Dec 5];61:43–51. Available from:
887		https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360131512002072
888	47.	McGrath D, Groessler A, Fink E, Reidsema C, Kavanagh L. Technology in the
889		Flipped Classroom. In: The Flipped Classroom [Internet]. Singapore:
890		Springer Singapore; 2017 [cited 2018 Dec 5]. p. 37–56. Available from:
891		http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-981-10-3413-8_3
892	48.	Hughes H. International students using online information resources to
893		learn: complex experience and learning needs. J Furth High Educ
894		[Internet]. 2013 Jan [cited 2018 Dec 5];37(1):126–46. Available from:
895		http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/0309877X.2011.644778
896	49.	Kizilcec RF, Pérez-Sanagustín M, Maldonado JJ. Self-regulated learning
897		strategies predict learner behavior and goal attainment in Massive Open
898		Online Courses. Comput Educ [Internet]. 2017 Jan [cited 2018 Dec
899		18];104(C):18–33. Available from:
900		https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0360131516301798
901	50.	Curtis DD, Lawson MJ. Exploring collaborative online learning. J
902		Asynchronous Learn Networks [Internet]. 2001 May 1 [cited 2018 Nov
903		28];5(1):21–35. Available from:
904		http://go.galegroup.com.ezproxy.is.ed.ac.uk/ps/retrieve.do?tabID=T002&
905		resultListType=RESULT_LIST&searchResultsType=SingleTab&searchType
906		=AdvancedSearchForm&currentPosition=1&docId=GALE%7CA28853851
907		6&docType=Report&sort=RELEVANCE&contentSegment=&prodId=AONE
908		&
909	51.	Sagayadevan V, Jeyaraj S. The role of emotional engagement in lecturer-
910		student interaction and the impact on academic outcomes of student
911		achievement and learning [Internet]. Vol. 12, Journal of the Scholarship of
912		Teaching and Learning. 2012 [cited 2018 Dec 5]. Available from:
913		https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ992115.pdf
914	52.	Shu H, Gu X. Determining the differences between online and face-to-face

915		student-group interactions in a blended learning course. Internet High
916		Educ [Internet]. 2018 Oct 1 [cited 2018 Dec 7];39:13–21. Available from:
917		https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1096751617305407
918	53.	Beer C, Beer C, Clark K, Jones D. Indicators of engagement. ASCILITE - Aust
919		Soc Comput Learn Tert Educ [Internet]. 2010 [cited 2018 Nov
920		28];2010(1):75–86. Available from:
921		https://www.learntechlib.org/p/45369/
922	54.	Allenspach K, Bell J, Whittlestone KD. Interactive Clinical Cases in
923		Veterinary Education Used to Promote Independent Study. J Vet Med Educ
924		[Internet]. 2008 Dec 4 [cited 2018 Dec 11];35(4):589–94. Available from:
925		https://jvme.utpjournals.press/doi/10.3138/jvme.35.4.589
926	55.	Delahunty J, Verenikina I, Jones P. Socio-emotional connections: identity,
927		belonging and learning in online interactions. A literature review. Technol
928		Pedagog Educ [Internet]. 2014 Apr 3 [cited 2018 Dec 18];23(2):243–65.
929		Available from:
930		http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/1475939X.2013.813405
931	56.	Oncu S, Cakir H. Research in online learning environments: Priorities and
932		methodologies. Comput Educ [Internet]. 2011 Aug [cited 2018 Dec
933		7];57(1):1098–108. Available from:
934		https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0360131511000054
935	57.	Pekrun R. The Control-Value Theory of Achievement Emotions:
936		Assumptions, Corollaries, and Implications for Educational Research and
937		Practice. Educ Psychol Rev [Internet]. 2006 Nov 30 [cited 2018 Nov
938		28];18(4):315–41. Available from:
939	-	http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s10648-006-9029-9
940	58.	Ramirez-Arellano A, Acosta-Gonzaga E, Bory-Reyes J, Hernández-Simón
941		LM. Factors affecting student learning performance: A causal model in
942		higher blended education. J Comput Assist Learn [Internet]. 2018 Dec 1
943		[cited 2018 Nov 28];34(6):807–15. Available from:
944	50	http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/jcal.12289
945	59.	Swinnerton BJ, Morris NP, Hotchkiss S, Pickering JD. The integration of an
946		anatomy massive open online course (MOOC) into a medical anatomy
947		curriculum. Anat Sci Educ [Internet]. 2017 Jan [cited 2018 Dec
948	60	20];10(1):53–67. Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/ase.1625
949	60.	Muilenburg LY, Berge ZL. Student barriers to online learning: A factor
950		analytic study. Distance Educ [Internet]. 2005 Jan 19 [cited 2016 Mar
951		8];26(1):29–48. Available from:
952	64	http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/01587910500081269
953	61.	Song L, Singleton ES, Hill JR, Koh MH. Improving online learning: Student
954		perceptions of useful and challenging characteristics. Internet High Educ
955		[Internet]. 2004 Jan [cited 2016 Feb 1];7(1):59–70. Available from:
956	()	http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1096751603000885
957	62.	Kibble J. Use of unsupervised online quizzes as formative assessment in a
958		medical physiology course: effects of incentives on student participation
959		and performance. Adv Physiol Educ [Internet]. 2007 Sep [cited 2018 Dec
960		20];31(3):253–60. Available from:
961	()	http://www.physiology.org/doi/10.1152/advan.00027.2007
962	63.	Johnson BC, Kiviniemi MT. The Effect of Online Chapter Quizzes on Exam
963		Performance in an Undergraduate Social Psychology Course. Teach

964		Psychol [Internet]. 2009 Jan 2 [cited 2018 Dec 20];36(1):33–7. Available
965		from: http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1080/00986280802528972
966	64.	Mikkonen J, Ruohoniemi M. How Do Veterinary Students' Motivation and
967		Study Practices Relate to Academic Success? J Vet Med Educ [Internet].
968		2011 Sep 1 [cited 2018 Dec 11];38(3):298–304. Available from:
969		https://jvme.utpjournals.press/doi/10.3138/jvme.38.3.298
970	65.	Khosa DK, Volet SE, Bolton JR. An Instructional Intervention to Encourage
971		Effective Deep Collaborative Learning in Undergraduate Veterinary
972		Students. J Vet Med Educ [Internet]. 2010 Dec 1 [cited 2018 Dec
973		20];37(4):369–76. Available from:
974		https://jvme.utpjournals.press/doi/10.3138/jvme.37.4.369
975	66.	Anderson T. Theory and practice of online learning [Internet]. AU Press;
976		2008 [cited 2018 Dec 20]. Available from:
977		https://books.google.co.uk/books?hl=en&lr=&id=RifNwzU3HR4C&oi=fnd
978		&pg=PA91&dq=staff+online+courses&ots=Sg9nJfJRlw&sig=qcy0Mnnqb0t
979		7sWJFXBoLy3ddvhs#v=onepage&q=staff online courses&f=false
980	67.	Elliis A, Phelps R. Australasian journal of educational technology.
981		[Internet]. Vol. 16, Australasian Journal of Educational Technology.
982		Australian Society for Educational Technology; 2004 [cited 2018 Dec 20].
983		Available from:
984	(0)	https://ajet.org.au/index.php/AJET/article/view/1820/885
985	68.	Sun P-C, Tsai RJ, Finger G, Chen Y-Y, Yeh D. What drives a successful e-
986		Learning? An empirical investigation of the critical factors influencing
987		learner satisfaction. Comput Educ [Internet]. 2008 May [cited 2018 Dec
988 989		20];50(4):1183–202. Available from:
989 990	69.	http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0360131506001874 RCVS. RCVS: Continuing Professional Development (CPD) [Internet]. 2018
990 991	09.	[cited 2018 Dec 20]. Available from: https://www.rcvs.org.uk/lifelong-
992		learning/continuing-professional-development-cpd/
993	70.	Linse AR. Interpreting and using student ratings data: Guidance for faculty
994	70.	serving as administrators and on evaluation committees. Stud Educ Eval
995		[Internet]. 2017 Sep 1 [cited 2019 Feb 20];54:94–106. Available from:
996		https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0191491X1630023
997		2
998	71.	Macfadyen LP, Dawson S. Mining LMS data to develop an "early warning
999		system" for educators: A proof of concept. Comput Educ [Internet]. 2010
1000		Feb 1 [cited 2018 Nov 28];54(2):588–99. Available from:
1001		https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360131509002486
1002		?via%3Dihub
1003	72.	Adams A, Cox AL. Questionnaires, in-depth interviews and focus groups.
1004		In: Research Methods for Human Computer Interaction. Cambridge, UK:
1005		Cambridge University Press; 2008. p. 17–34.
1006	73.	Tempelaar DT, Rienties B, Giesbers B. In search for the most informative
1007		data for feedback generation: Learning analytics in a data-rich context.
1008		Comput Human Behav [Internet]. 2015 Jun 1 [cited 2018 Nov 28];47:157–
1009		67. Available from:
1010		https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0747563214003240
1011		?via%3Dihub
1012	74.	Gross D, Pietri ES, Anderson G, Moyano-Camihort K, Graham MJ. Increased

1013	Preclass Preparation Underlies Student Outcome Improvement in the
1014	Flipped Classroom. Ledbetter ML, editor. CBE—Life Sci Educ [Internet].
1015	2015 Dec [cited 2018 Dec 20];14(4):ar36. Available from:

1016 https://www.lifescied.org/doi/10.1187/cbe.15-02-0040

#### 1017 **Figures** 1018

# Figure 1. Structure of the curriculum of the BVMS degree program at the Glasgow University School of Veterinary Medicine based on a spiral curriculum model.

- 1021
- 1022 (2a) An example of a type of TEL in the form of farm animal clinical examination videos provided
- 1023 on the UG-SVM VLE for the clinical examination practical.
- 1024 (2b) An example of a type of TEL in the form of a self-directed learning pharmaceutical label CBL.
- 1025 To be worked through in own time to apply clinical skills on prescribing pharmaceuticals by completing the online forms from the provided clinical scenario.
- 1027 Figure 2. Examples of TEL activities provided throughout the module.
- 1028

1031

1029Figure 3. Bar plot of the proportion of students using the online resources within the1030module and two weeks after (n=123).

Figure 4. Bar plot of the frequency of use of online resources, by students, within the
module and two weeks after (n=123).

1035Figure 5. Bar plot of the times of use of online resources, by all students, within the1036module and two weeks after (n=123). Squares= Online guidance and lecture material;1037Lines= Online textbook resources (Videos and images); Diamonds= Online CBLs; Solid1038black= End of module quiz.

1039

1040Figure 6. An example of an incorrectly marked answer, from the online pharmaceutical1041label CBL on the UG-SVM VLE that was actually correct. Also an example of detailed1042explanatory feedback possible.

1043

## 1044 **Tables**

1045

Questionnaire statement		Per	centage of	f students b	y Likert s	cale
State how much you agree with the following statements:	Number of statements	Strongly Disagree (SA)	Disagree (D)	Neutral (N)	Agree (A)	Strongly Agree (SA)
1. Overall, I was satisfied with this module.	55	1.8%	0.0%	9.1%	63.6%	25.5%
2. The module was well organised.	55	1.8%	3.6%	16.4%	54.6%	23.6%
3. I was easily able to find module information on the	55	0.0%	1.8%	12.7%	67.3%	18.2%

virtual learning environment.						
4. Any changes to the module structure were communicated effectively online.	55	1.8%	5.5%	21.8%	56.4%	14.6%
5. It was made clear to me what I was expected to learn in this module.	55	1.8%	3.6%	16.4%	63.6%	14.6%
6. Overall teaching staff made this module interesting.	55	0.0%	0.0%	12.7%	61.8%	25.5%
7. The module content was pitched at the right level.	55	0.0%	1.8%	14.6%	65.5%	18.2%
8. The workload of this module was manageable.	55	0.0%	3.6%	18.2%	61.8%	16.4%
9. Staff during practicals or CBLs provided me with feedback that helped me understand how I am doing and how I could do better.	55	1.8%	1.8%	21.8%	61.8%	12.7%
10. I found the beef/ sheep calendar online CBLs useful.	55	3.6%	9.1%	34.6%	50.9%	1.8%
11. I found the pharmaceutical prescription online CBL useful.	55	7.3%	47.3%	38.2%	5.5%	1.8%
12. I found the additional online ruminant parasitology reference resources useful.	55	0.0%	9.1%	30.9%	52.7%	7.3%
13. The farm scenario assessment within the module stimulated my	55	1.8%	1.8%	20.0%	63.6%	10.9%

interest in the lecture content.						
14. The farm scenario assessment within the module helped tie together the lecture content.	55	1.8%	0.0%	25.5%	63.6%	7.3%
15. I received adequate instructions on the farm scenario assessment.	55	3.6%	3.6%	25.5%	58.2%	3.6%
16. The group work in practical classes, CBL and assessment improved my ability to work in a team.	55	1.8%	7.3%	29.1%	49.1%	10.9%
17. Online material, IT provision and support via forum posts were adequate for my needs.	55	0.0%	3.6%	21.8%	65.5%	9.1%
18. The online resources available were relevant.	55	0.0%	0.0%	18.2%	67.3%	12.7%

1046Table 1. Student statements to Likert scale questions (n=55). Most frequent response1047highlighted in grey.

Subtheme	Total number of questionnaire statements	Major theme	Total number of questionnaire statements	
Synergistic resources	55	Balance of F2F and	00	
Student-lecturer interaction	18	TEL resources	93	
Module content organisation	67	Madula design and		
Time management and allocation	43	Module design and delivery factors	67	
Software limitations	9			
Relevance to student	10			
career		Participant factors	43	
Range in student ability	29	i ai deipalit lactors	75	

Table 2. Summary of thematic analysis on student questionnaire statements (n=195

statements from 55 students). A response may be categorised to more than one subtheme.

- 1053 Thus the total number of major or subtheme statements does not equal the total number of questionnaire statements.

#### Supplementary material

Type of activity	Name of activity	Description of activity	Class size and length (If applicable)
Lectures	Various topics in farm animal	Lecture based module, in a lecture theatre	30x 1 hour lectures with
	clinical medicine	with clinical experts on various subjects.	the whole class.
Practical classes	Clinical examination practical	In small groups, students examine 3 cases for 30 minutes each and work out a problem list at the farm animal clinic.	3x 1 case per 30 minute per station with 6- 7 students. One clinical teacher per case.
	Population medicine practical	In small groups, students apply herd and flock health clinical skills at 3 practical stations on housing, nutrition and diagnostic sampling at the University farm.	3x 30 minute per station with 6-7 students. One clinical teacher per station.
	Parasitology practical	Students work through 12 diagnostic stations to identify parasites of farm animals and answer questions on treatment protocols.	1x 1 hour class with 11-12 students. One parasitology teachers per group of students.
Case-based learning classes	Anthelmintic and antibiotic selection	Students work on, present and discuss 3 case scenarios on selection of diagnostics and pharmaceuticals. Case scenarios are provided online prior the class to prepare for discussions.	2x 1 hour class with 22-23 students.
Type of activity	TECHNOLOGY E	NHANCED LEARNING	Class size and
i ype of activity	hosting the activity.	Description of activity	length (If applicable)

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Complementary resources for	Module organisation	i. Various guidance documents with	Available throughout
F2F teaching.	(Moodle®).	additional reading	the module.
		references for lectures,	
		practical and CBL	
		classes.	
		ii. Online forum to	
		discuss module topics	
		with staff.	
	Clinical	Farm animal clinical	Available
	examination	examination written	throughout
	videos	guidance and narrated	the module.
	(Mahara®).	videos to prepare	
		students for clinical	
		examination practical.	
	Parasitology	Farm animal	Available
	textbook	parasitology image	throughout
	(Mahara®).	textbook to prepare	the module.
		students for	
Online case-	Farm animal	parasitology practical. Students are required	Available
based learning	calendar	to create a calendar for	throughout
based learning	(Moodle®).	example beef and	the module.
		sheep farms. The	the mount
		calendars include key	
		points in the animal	
		production cycle and	
		veterinary	
		interventions. An	
		online form is used to	
		facilitate this.	
	Pharmaceutical	Students work through	Available
	prescription	farm animal cases to	throughout
	(Moodle®).	design a treatment	the module.
		plan. Subsequently, students calculate drug	
		dosages or write a	
		prescription. The	
		scenarios include	
		individual animal and	
		population cases.	
Assessment	End of module	A combination of free	Available
	quiz (Moodle®).	text, multiple choice	throughout
		(MCQ) and extended	the module.
		matching (EMQ)	
		questions on various	
		topics covered in the	
		module.	

su as	ummative ssessment Moodle®).+	Submission of case- based assessment of a disease investigation report, farmer factsheet and revision	Available throughout the module.
		poster.	

Supplementary material 1. A summary of the F2F and TEL activities in the module. \* Attendance recorded by a register. \* The end of module summative assessment was an obligatory activity.

Question number	Question	Number of statements
	Agreement questions (as Likert scale):	
	State how much you agree with the following statements:	1
1.	Overall, I was satisfied with this module.	55
2.	The module was well organised.	55
3.	I was easily able to find module information on the virtual learning environment.	55
4.	Any changes to the module structure were communicated effectively online.	55
5.	It was made clear to me what I was expected to learn in this module.	55
6.	Overall teaching staff made this module interesting.	55
7.	The module content was pitched at the right level.	55
8.	The workload of this module was manageable.	55
9.	Staff during practicals or CBLs provided me with feedback that helped me understand how I am doing and how I could do better.	55
10.	I found the beef/ sheep calendar online CBLs useful.	55
11.	I found the drug label online CBL useful.	55
12.	I found the additional online ruminant parasitology reference resources useful.	55
13.	The farm scenario assessment within the module stimulated my interest in the lecture content.	55
14.	The farm scenario assessment within the module helped tie together the lecture content.	55
15.	I received adequate instructions on the farm scenario assessment.	55
16.	The group work in practical classes, CBL and assessment improved my ability to work in a team.	55
17.	Online material, IT provision and support via forum posts were adequate for my needs.	55
18.	The online resources available were relevant.	55
	Free-text questions:	
19.	Why did you find/ not find the beef/ sheep calendar online CBL useful?	49
20.	Why did you find/ not find the drug label online CBL useful?	50
21.	Why did you find/ not find the online ruminant parasitology resources useful?	46
22.	Identify any aspects of the teaching of this module that you particularly enjoyed and explain why	23

23.	Identify any issues/problems with the teaching of this module and suggest how this could be addressed	18
24.	Do you have any other comments about this module?	9

1060 1061 1062 Supplementary material 2. Overall structure of the online student feedback questionnaire for the module. Agreement questions were recorded in a Likert scale, categorised as: Strongly disagree;

Agree; Neutral; Agree; Strongly agree.

1063