



Wang, H. et al. (2018) Impact of previous hepatitis B infection on the clinical outcomes from chronic hepatitis C? A population-level analysis. *Journal of Viral Hepatitis*, 25(8), pp. 930-938.

There may be differences between this version and the published version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article Wang, H. et al. (2018) Impact of previous hepatitis B infection on the clinical outcomes from chronic hepatitis C? A population-level analysis. *Journal of Viral Hepatitis*, 25(8), pp. 930-938, which has been published in final form at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/apt.14153>. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with [Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving](#).

<http://eprints.gla.ac.uk/187152/>

Deposited on: 6 June 2019

DR. HUAN WANG (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-4057-1145)

Article type : Original Paper

Title:

Impact of previous hepatitis B infection on the clinical outcomes from chronic hepatitis C? A population-level analysis.

Running title:

Outcomes of chronic coinfection with HBV & HCV

Author Names & Degree (Author names in **bold** designate shared **co-first authorship**):

Huan Wang,¹ **Rachael Swann**,² Elizabeth Thomas,³ Hamish A. Innes,^{4,5} Heather Valerio,^{4,5} Peter C Hayes,⁶ Sam Allen,⁷ Stephen T. Barclay,⁸ David Wilks,⁹ Raymond Fox,¹⁰ Diptendu Bhattacharyya,¹¹ Nicholas Kennedy,¹² Judith Morris,¹³ Andrew Fraser,¹⁴ Adrian J. Stanley,⁸ Rory Gunson,¹⁵ Paul G. McIntyre,¹⁶ Alison Hunt,¹⁷ Sharon J. Hutchinson,^{4,5} Peter R. Mills,² John F. Dillon¹⁸

Affiliations:

1

Dundee Epidemiology and Biostatistics Unit, Population Health Sciences, University of Dundee, Dundee, U.K.

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: 'Impact of previous hepatitis B infection on the clinical outcomes from chronic hepatitis C?: A population-level analysis', *Journal of Viral Hepatitis* (2018), which has been published in final form at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jvh.12897>. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving.

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

² Department of Gastroenterology, Gartnavel General Hospital, NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde, Glasgow, U.K.

³ Department of Medicine for the Elderly, North Middlesex Hospital, London, U.K.

⁴ School of Health and Life Sciences, Glasgow Caledonian University, Glasgow, U.K.

⁵ Health Protection Scotland, Glasgow, U.K.

⁶ Liver Transplant Unit, Royal Infirmary Edinburgh, Edinburgh, U.K.

⁷ Department of Infectious Diseases, University Hospital Crosshouse, Kilmarnock, U.K.

⁸ Department of Gastroenterology, Glasgow Royal Infirmary, Glasgow, U.K.

⁹ Department of Infectious Diseases, Western General Hospital, Edinburgh, U.K.

¹⁰ The Brownlee Centre, Glasgow, U.K.

¹¹ Kirkcaldy Hospital, Fife, U.K.

¹² Monklands Hospital, Lanarkshire, U.K.

¹³ Department of Gastroenterology, Queen Elizabeth University Hospital, Glasgow, U.K.

¹⁴ Aberdeen Royal Infirmary, Aberdeen, U.K.

¹⁵ West of Scotland Virology Centre, Glasgow Royal Infirmary, Glasgow, U.K.

¹⁶ Department of Microbiology, Ninewells Hospital and Medical School, Dundee, U.K.

¹⁷ Department of Virology, Aberdeen Royal Infirmary, Aberdeen, U.K.

¹⁸ Division of Molecular and Clinical Medicine, Ninewells Hospital and Medical School, Dundee, U.K.

Corresponding Author:

Dr Huan Wang

Dundee Epidemiology and Biostatistics Unit, Population Health Sciences

University of Dundee

The Mackenzie Building, Kirsty Semple Way, Dundee

DD2 4BF, U.K.

Tel: + (44) 1382 383198. Email: hwang@dundee.ac.uk

Summary

Chronic coinfection with hepatitis C virus (HCV) and hepatitis B virus (HBV) is associated with adverse liver outcomes. The clinical impact of previous HBV infection on liver disease in HCV infection is unknown. We aimed to determine any association of previous HBV infection with liver outcomes using antibodies to the hepatitis B core antigen (HBcAb) positivity as a marker of exposure. The Scottish Hepatitis C Clinical Database containing data for all patients attending HCV clinics in participating health boards was linked to the HBV diagnostic registry and mortality data from Information Services Division, Scotland. Survival analyses with competing risks were constructed for time from the first appointment to decompensated cirrhosis, hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and liver-related mortality. Records of 8513 chronic HCV patients were included in the analyses (87 HBcAb positive & HBV surface antigen [HBsAg] positive, 1577 HBcAb positive & HBsAg negative, and 6849 HBcAb negative). Multivariate cause-specific proportional hazards models showed previous HBV infection (HBcAb positive & HBsAg negative) significantly increased the risks of decompensated cirrhosis (hazard ratio [HR]: 1.29, 95% CI: 1.01-1.65) and HCC (HR: 1.64, 95% CI: 1.09-2.49), but not

liver-related death (HR: 1.02, 95% CI: 0.80-1.30). This is the largest study to date showing an association between previous HBV infection and certain adverse liver outcomes in HCV infection.

Our analyses adds significantly to evidence which suggests HBV infection adversely affects liver health despite apparent clearance. This has important implications for HBV vaccination policy and indications for prioritisation of HCV therapy.

Keywords

Cirrhosis, decompensation, hepatitis B core antigen, hepatocellular carcinoma, vaccination, viral hepatitis

INTRODUCTION

Hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection is one of the leading causes of liver morbidity and mortality worldwide. It affects 2% of the population, being the leading global indication for liver transplant.

However, while some patients have a rapidly progressive disease course, less than half of those chronically infected will go on to develop liver cirrhosis after 30 years of infection. The influences on

disease progression are incompletely understood although environmental, viral and genetic

variables have been shown to accelerate liver fibrosis. With the advent of effective but expensive

direct acting antiviral (DAA) therapies for HCV, identifying those at the highest risk of liver disease

progression is necessary to optimise the cost-utility of such therapies, which can vary greatly

between individual clinical scenarios. One factor consistently associated with poorer outcome is the

presence of additional liver pathologies.¹⁻³ As detailed in the AASLD/IDSA guidance on HCV

treatment, these include alcohol excess and active coinfection with other hepatotropic viruses such

as hepatitis B virus (HBV).³ The majority of adults exposed to HBV will clear the virus from their

serum. Despite this, HBV DNA has the potential to remain in hepatocytes as covalent closed circular DNA (cccDNA) long after viremia has resolved.⁴ The effect of previous HBV infection on HCV associated liver disease has not yet been determined.

In the event of acute infection with HBV, 95% of healthy adults will appear to clear the infection with undetectable hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) and development of hepatitis B surface antibodies (HBsAb) and hepatitis B core antigen (HBcAb) with undetectable serum HBV DNA using commercial assays. However, in the liver, HBV cccDNA has the potential to persist in hepatocytes for many years.⁴ The replicative potential of this 'occult' HBV DNA is well recognized as HBV reactivation following immunosuppression is not uncommon. Indeed, for those with evidence of previous HBV infection undergoing immunosuppressive therapy, guidelines now recommend active monitoring and prophylactic antiviral therapy.⁵

The prevalence of DNA persistence in hepatocytes, also termed occult HBV infection (OBI) following viral clearance is unknown. For those with serological markers of previous infection such as HBcAb and HBV DNA, prevalence varies depending on sensitivity of testing. Several papers have highlighted a link between OBI and poor liver outcomes. This has been predominantly reported in the context of chronic HCV where detection of HBV DNA in the liver is associated with accelerated liver fibrosis, increased risk of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and lower response rates to dual HCV therapy.⁶⁻⁹ A study using nested HBV PCR in liver biopsies of HCV positive, HBsAg negative patients to detect OBI revealed more severe liver disease in the OBI positive group.¹⁰ Recent meta-analyses have concluded OBI significantly increases the risk of chronic liver disease and HCC.¹¹ However, most of the analyses included in these studies are small and in selected population. In addition, previous research has not had sufficient power to correct for possible confounding factors such as alcohol abuse and HCV genotype, which have been shown to influence clinical outcomes in chronic HCV infection.

Determining the impact of PCR proven OBI in a large population of patients with HCV would be impractical, particularly as liver biopsy has been largely replaced by non-invasive methods in the routine assessment of HCV liver disease. However, it should be possible to explore any effect associated with previous HBV infection using HBcAb positivity as a reliable surrogate marker to identify individuals who have been exposed to HBV. The Scottish health system provides a unique opportunity for epidemiological studies of HCV outcomes. The vast majority of healthcare is provided by the state-funded sector with each individual being allocated a unique identifier number, enabling their care to be tracked across different geographical locations. We aimed to analyse the Scottish Hepatitis C Clinical Database linked to the hepatitis B diagnostic registry data to determine if presence of a positive HBcAb status was associated with adverse liver outcomes in patients diagnosed with chronic HCV infection. Primary outcomes were development of decompensated cirrhosis, HCC, and liver-related mortality.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data sources and linkage

The Scottish Hepatitis C Clinical Database consists of standalone Microsoft Access databases installed across 17 of 18 Scottish HCV treatment centres. These databases hold information on all aspects of HCV care and patient management, in addition to information on complications of liver disease and other lifestyle factors such as alcohol excess and smoking status.

All HCV PCR or RNA positive records from the Scottish Hepatitis C Clinical Database were electronically linked with HBV serology results extracted from the hepatitis B diagnostic registry data (which contains all HBV testing records in Scotland), and to data from Scottish Information Services

Division, which provides accurate mortality information and has been used successfully in several observational studies of the HCV-infected population.^{12,13} Individuals were excluded if they had never attended a HCV clinic appointment or if the date of clinical outcomes (decompensated cirrhosis or HCC) predated the date of their first appointment.

Presence of decompensated cirrhosis was recorded if presence of ascites, jaundice, bleeding oesophageal varices or encephalopathy was documented in the Hepatitis C Clinical Database with the corresponding dates of laboratory tests. Although data on clinical diagnosis of cirrhosis without decompensation were available from the database, frequency of surveillance for cirrhosis varied between centres, therefore this was not included as a primary outcome in this study. Diagnosis of HCC was by the treating clinician based on radiological findings, the tumour marker alpha-fetoprotein and, in some circumstances, biopsy according to UK guidelines.¹⁴ The diagnosis was validated by the regional hepatobiliary cancer multidisciplinary teams. Date of HCC was recorded as the date of the first radiological examination suggesting the diagnosis of HCC. Date and causes of death were recorded in data from Information Services Division, Scotland. Liver-related mortality was determined through the International Classification of Disease (ICD) codes K70-K77, C22-C24 and B15-B19.

Study design and statistical analyses

Individuals included were grouped according to HBV status as either HBV infected (HBcAb positive, HBsAg positive), HBV exposed (HBcAb positive, HBsAg negative), HBV unexposed (HBcAb negative) and HBV status unknown. Demographic data was collected for all of these categories, however those with unknown HBV status were excluded from further univariate and multivariate survival analyses.

Age at the first appointment was summarised as median with interquartile range and the other categorical variables were summarised as frequencies with percentages.

As the majority of individuals in the Hepatitis C Clinical Database had no known date of HCV infection, date of first appointment was chosen as a starting point (baseline) for survival analyses. It was necessary to take account of the phenomenon of competing risks for our outcomes of interest, since an individual was at risk of more than one mutually exclusive event, and the occurrence of one of these would prevent any other event from happening. Specifically, in this study all-cause mortality might be a competing risk of decompensated cirrhosis and HCC, while non-liver related death might be a competing risk of liver-related death. Therefore cause-specific hazard functions were modelled under a proportional hazards assumption to investigate the association between HBcAb positivity and decompensated cirrhosis, HCC and liver-related mortality. Time to decompensated cirrhosis and HCC was censored at the date of last follow-up (if recorded in the database) or date of death (if the last follow-up date was missing). Time to liver-related death was censored at the date of non-liver related death (if recorded).

For the univariate analyses, only the covariate 'HBV status' was included. For the multivariate analyses, other characteristics at baseline (date of the first appointment) recorded in the database (age, gender, ethnicity, HCV genotype, ever injection drug use [IDU], ever smoking, alcohol excess defined as a history of regular consumption of greater than 50 units per week, and HIV coinfection) were also included. Assuming that data was missing at random, multiple imputation procedures were conducted to impute missing baseline data on alcohol excess, HIV coinfection, ever smoking, HCV genotype, ever IDU and ethnicity. The imputation model included all variables related to the outcomes as well as the censoring indicator and the observed time to event, with binary

logistic regression for two-level factors and polytomous logistic regression for factors have more than 2 levels. For each of the three outcomes of interest, cause-specific proportional hazards model was fitted to 5 imputed datasets. The estimates of hazard ratios and p values from each imputed dataset were combined to produce inferential results. Proportional hazard assumptions were also tested by creating interactions with logarithm of time for all the covariates included in the models.

RESULTS

Study cohort

There were 21787 patients in the Scottish hepatitis B diagnostic registry data and 18603 patients in the Scottish Hepatitis C Clinical Database. After data linkage and exclusion of the patients who had developed primary outcomes prior to their first appointment, a total of 12209 patients were included in this study. The description of all the individuals included according to their HBV status is shown in Table 1. Of the 8513 patients with known HBV status, 87 (1%) were overtly HBV co-infected (HBcAb positive & HBsAg positive), 1577 (18.5%) had evidence of HBV exposure (HBcAb positive & HBsAg negative), and 6849 (80.5%) had no evidence of previous HBV exposure. The 3696 patients with HCV infection but unknown HBV status were only described for baseline demographics and were excluded in further analyses.

The HBV exposed group tended to be older at the first clinic appointment, with higher rates of reported alcohol excess, smoking, IDU and HIV coinfection than the unexposed group. For the 8513 patients with unknown HBV status, the median duration of follow-up from date of the first appointment to events or censoring was 3.3 years with the interquartile range 1.4 to 7.4 years. The variables with missing values were alcohol excess (32.9%), HIV coinfection (29.7%), ever smoking (25.9%), HCV genotype (12.4%), ever IDU (7.1%) and ethnicity (0.8%).

Univariate and multivariate analyses

Univariate analyses (Table 2) revealed significantly higher risk of decompensated cirrhosis (HR: 1.50, 95% CI: 1.18 – 1.90) and HCC (HR: 1.57, 95% CI: 1.06 – 2.34) in the HBV exposed group (HBcAb positive & HBsAg negative) compared to those with known HBcAb negative status. However, exposure to HBV did not significantly increase the risk of liver-related mortality (HR: 1.23, 95% CI: 0.98 – 1.56).

Multivariate analyses (Table 3) showed that exposure to HBV (HBcAb positive & HBsAg negative) significantly increased the risk of decompensated cirrhosis (HR: 1.29, 95% CI: 1.01 – 1.65) and HCC (HR: 1.64, 95% CI: 1.09 – 2.49), while it did not significantly increase the risk of liver-related mortality (HR: 1.02, 95% CI: 0.80 – 1.30). We did not observe a statistically significant association between overt HBV infection (HBcAb positive & HBsAg positive) and the outcomes measured. The proportions of patients in this group developing decompensated cirrhosis (6.9%) and HCC (2.3%) suggested a trend to poorer liver outcomes compared to those unexposed to HBV (3.5% and 1.2% respectively). In the multivariate analysis of decompensated cirrhosis, there was a lower risk if ever IDU (HR: 0.56, 95% CI: 0.43 – 0.72) perhaps because people who injected drugs (PWID) might be diagnosed HCV infection earlier than those who did not due to screening. Since date at the first appointment was used as the starting time point, PWID would tend to be younger at the start of follow up and had a longer time to events interested. Violation of proportional hazard assumptions were not found in any of the multivariate models.

DISCUSSION

Our data suggested a strong link between serological evidence of previous HBV infection and development of decompensated cirrhosis and HCC in patients with HCV. This association remains significant even once confounders such as history of alcohol excess, IDU, age at the first clinic appointment and smoking history have been taken into account. This is the largest study to confirm that previous HBV infection is an important factor in HCV liver disease outcomes, which may also have implications for other liver conditions.

Within Scotland, the majority of individuals who do become infected with HCV take part in risk prone behaviours such as IDU or are immigrants from highly endemic areas. Such individuals are also at risk of HBV exposure. Within HCV infected populations 2-10% have overt HBV infection.¹⁵ In overt chronic coinfection with HBV and HCV viruses, one virus often replicates at lower levels which may lead to difficulties in diagnosis.¹⁶ Despite apparent viral suppression, co-infected individuals have been reported to have higher rates of liver fibrosis and HCC.

HBV is effectively prevented using a recombinant HBsAg-based vaccine, while many countries have now adopted universal HBV vaccination policies, within the UK vaccination is limited to individuals in high risk groups.¹⁷ Despite being eligible for vaccination, up to 23% of the British PWID population have detectable antibodies to the HBcAb.¹⁸ This suggests many were exposed to HBV prior to taking up vaccination, although some may have been infected before the vaccine was introduced. The challenges of identifying and vaccinating PWID during their most high-risk periods of use are well recognized, and worldwide HBcAb positivity is reported between 2-85% of PWID.¹⁹

The association of HBcAb positivity with more rapid progressive liver disease has several possible explanations: (i) persistence of HBV DNA in a significant proportion of those with prior infection of HBV occurs and increases the risk of decompensated cirrhosis and HCC; (ii) acute HBV infection and the inflammation associated with its clearance predisposes to a greater risk of cirrhosis with subsequent chronic HCV infection; (iii) failure of HBV vaccination and subsequent infection occurs in those with more severe liver disease; and (iv) previous HBV infection is simply a marker of certain individual traits, e.g. more chaotic / longer drug injecting history or poor compliance with medical intervention such as HBV vaccination which in turn could be associated with worse outcomes.

Persistent HBV DNA

Occult hepatitis B infection would present one of the most biologically plausible explanations for the excess liver morbidity in the HBV exposed group. If HBV DNA persistence and low levels of DNA replication are present in a significant proportion of those individuals previously infected, this could potentiate some of the molecular mechanisms of cellular damage induced by HCV in a similar way to overt coinfection. Of note, the European Medicines Agency has recently initiated an investigation of HBV reactivation following DAA therapy for HCV. This follows publication of case reports describing reactivation of HBV following successful DAA therapy for HCV, in two cases the only marker of HBV infection was HBcAb positivity.^{20,21} Suppression of one virus by another is well documented and these cases provide further evidence that in the context of chronic HCV infection, 'cleared' HBV may in fact be suppressed HBV with the potential to cause ongoing liver damage.²²

The means by which coinfection induces higher levels of cellular damage are incompletely understood. However, there is evidence that HBV and HCV have shared mechanisms for inducing cellular stress which could become synergistic in the presence of both viruses to enhance fibrogenesis.²³ In addition, upregulation of proto-oncogenes are seen in both HBV and HCV which may predispose to liver damage and eventually HCC.²⁴ While we did not demonstrate a significant association between HBsAg positivity and adverse outcomes, these associations have been reported elsewhere and we did find a trend toward adverse outcomes and it is likely we were unable to detect an association due to the relatively small number of patients and events in this group in our cohort.^{1,15}

Our study hinges on using HBcAb as a surrogate for OBI. In the setting of chronic HBV infection, HBcAb has a specificity of above 95% and an overall accuracy of 90% as a surrogate marker for ever infection,²⁵ we can assume that of those testing positive for HBcAb, at least 95% will have been exposed to HBV. Association of outcomes with previous infection may be weakened by individuals who lose HBcAb over time, however this does not introduce risk of a Type I error in our study. The use of HBcAb to determine OBI is less clear cut. It has been found that HBcAb has a sensitivity of 54% and specificity of 72% for OBI in a HCV positive population, with a positive predictive value of 56%.¹⁰ Similarly, another study found 62% of those with HBcAb had evidence of viral DNA in their liver.²⁶ It must be remembered that extracting cccDNA from liver tissue that has been stored in various conditions for retrospective analysis is not a 'gold standard' and thus more patients with HBcAb may have OBI. Even if we accept that not all patients with HBcAb have OBI, it does not exclude our observation of worsened outcome in those with HCV and HBcAb being attributable to OBI. It is possible that exposure to HBV infection could cause lasting liver damage by mechanisms other than DNA persistence. Indeed, some studies have raised the possibility that previous HBV infection alone may be linked to poorer liver disease outcomes without the presence of OBI.^{27,28}

Vaccination uptake and efficacy

There were insufficient clinical data to determine if any of those with positive HBcAb status had taken up vaccination which was subsequently unsuccessful, with or without the influence of advanced liver disease. However, rates of vaccine efficacy are almost 90% in those with compensated cirrhosis and higher in those without significant liver disease.²⁹ Given the low rates of vaccine uptake in PWID population it is improbable that the association with HBcAb and cirrhosis is due to vaccine non-response and then subsequent infection with HBV is already in cirrhotic individuals.

While it is possible that those exposed to HBV have riskier behaviours, a study of Australian PWID shows that individuals who are unvaccinated against HBV are more likely to be male and do not have more high-risk patterns of drug use.³⁰ To explore this hypothesis in the Scottish population, we also analysed the relationship between HBV status and all-cause mortality. This analysis showed a strong association between IDU and mortality but no significant increase in all-cause mortality risk with HBcAb positivity (Table 4).

A sub-analysis of the HBcAb negative cohort was also performed to determine any differences between those with evidence of vaccination (HBsAb positive) and those without (Table 5). In this cohort, vaccinated individuals were more likely to be younger, male, Caucasian, having a history of IDU, and be smokers compared to unvaccinated individuals ($p < 0.001$ for these characteristics). In addition to the 18.5% of the HCV population known to be exposed to HBV, 62% of the Scottish HCV population had not been vaccinated at the point of presenting with HCV which suggests that historically the UK vaccination policy was failing to adequately protect individuals at risk of HBV.

Implications for HCV therapy

Although recent guidance of National Institute for Health and Care Excellence has suggested direct acting antivirals can be used for all HCV infected patients with genotype 1 infection, the cost of provision for all HCV infected individuals will be considerable. Ideally, healthcare providers should prioritise treatment for patients where this will result in the biggest improvement in health outcomes. Early access programmes in the UK have largely been targeted at those with more advanced disease. Identifying individuals whose liver disease may progress more rapidly, e.g. those with previous HBV exposure, may help target those who will benefit most from treatment in earlier stages of the disease.

Limitations of analysis

One common limitation encountered in observational research in HCV infected populations is uncertainty around time of infection. In this study, the majority of individuals do not have a known date of infection with HCV or exposure to HBV. Various studies have reported ways of accounting for this, either by assuming infection at a certain age or at a specific point following date of reported commencement of IDU. In this study we have used date of first clinic appointment as a surrogate while including age at first clinic appointment as a covariate in the multivariate analysis and excluding those developing primary outcomes prior to first appointment. This approach introduces risk of a Type II error by automatically excluding any individual with decompensated cirrhosis or HCC at diagnosis but should not falsely inflate the rate of adverse liver outcomes in one particular group. In addition, as HCV clearance does not always prevent future progression to decompensated cirrhosis or HCC, particularly in the presence of other liver pathologies, we chose not to include it in this study.

Conclusions

This is the largest study to date to show an association between previous HBV infection and adverse liver outcomes (decompensated cirrhosis and HCC) in a large, unselected population of individuals with chronic HCV. Our results confirm a growing body of evidence which suggests HBV infection may have adverse effects on liver health despite apparent viral clearance. Our data also suggest that UK vaccination policies failed to prevent HBV infection in a substantial number of individuals at risk although novel approaches targeting high risk groups may be more effective. The current HCV therapy guideline focussed on prioritizing patients with advanced disease should be reconsidered to include HBV coinfection and prior exposure. Our findings have important implications for the UK HBV vaccination policy and global HCV therapy policies.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The Hepatitis C Clinical Database Monitoring Committee thank Elaine Cadzow, Fiona Elliot, Susan Gilfillan, Jane Holmes, Shirley McLeary, Wendy Mitchell, Grace Thomson and Toni Williams for their roles in the maintenance of the data included in these analyses.

STATEMENT OF INTERESTS

There is no conflict of interest to disclose and no specific funding was obtained.

AUTHORS CONTRIBUTIONS

HW, RS, ET, HAI, PRM and JFD conceived the analysis presented in this study. HW, RS and HAI were involved in data extraction and data linkage. HW performed the statistical analyses. All authors assisted with drafting and/or appraising the manuscript. PRM and JFD supervised this study.

REFERENCES

1. Jamma S, Hussain G, Lau DT. Current concepts of HBV/HCV coinfection: coexistence, but not necessarily in harmony. *Curr Hepat Rep* 2010; **9**: 260-9.
2. Petty LA, Steinbeck JL, Pursell K, Jensen DM. Human immunodeficiency virus and coinfection with hepatitis B and C. *Infect Dis Clin North Am* 2014; **28**: 477-99.
3. Panel AIHG. Hepatitis C guidance: AASLD-IDSA recommendations for testing, managing, and treating adults infected with hepatitis C virus. *Hepatology* 2015; **62**: 932-54.
4. Mason AL, Xu L, Guo L, Kuhns M, Perrillo RP. Molecular basis for persistent hepatitis B virus infection in the liver after clearance of serum hepatitis B surface antigen. *Hepatology* 1998; **27**: 1736-42.
5. Sagnelli E, Pisaturo M, Martini S, Filippini P, Sagnelli C, Coppola N. Clinical impact of occult hepatitis B virus infection in immunosuppressed patients. *World J Hepatol* 2014; **6**: 384-93.
6. Cacciola I, Pollicino T, Squadrito G, Cerenzia G, Orlando ME, Raimondo G. Occult hepatitis B virus infection in patients with chronic hepatitis C liver disease. *N Engl J Med* 1999; **341**: 22-6.
7. Torbenson M, Thomas DL. Occult hepatitis B. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2002; **2**: 479-86.
8. Squadrito G, Pollicino T, Cacciola I, et al. Occult hepatitis B virus infection is associated with the development of hepatocellular carcinoma in chronic hepatitis C patients. *Cancer* 2006; **106**: 1326-30.
9. Mrani S, Chemin I, Menouar K, et al. Occult HBV infection may represent a major risk factor of non-response to antiviral therapy of chronic hepatitis C. *J Med Virol* 2007; **79**: 1075-81.
10. Squadrito G, Cacciola I, Alibrandi A, Pollicino T, Raimondo G. Impact of occult hepatitis B virus infection on the outcome of chronic hepatitis C. *J Hepatol* 2013; **59**: 696-700.
11. Covolo L, Pollicino T, Raimondo G, Donato F. Occult hepatitis B virus and the risk for chronic liver disease: a meta-analysis. *Dig Liver Dis* 2013; **45**: 238-44.
12. Innes HA, Hutchinson SJ, Allen S, et al. Ranking predictors of a sustained viral response for patients with chronic hepatitis C treated with pegylated interferon and ribavirin in Scotland. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2012; **24**: 646-55.
13. Innes HA, Hutchinson SJ, Barclay S, et al. Quantifying the fraction of cirrhosis attributable to alcohol among chronic hepatitis C virus patients: implications for treatment cost-effectiveness. *Hepatology* 2013; **57**: 451-60.
14. Ryder SD, British Society of G. Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) in adults. *Gut* 2003; **52 Suppl 3**: iii1-8.
15. Chu CJ, Lee SD. Hepatitis B virus/hepatitis C virus coinfection: epidemiology, clinical features, viral interactions and treatment. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2008; **23**: 512-20.
16. Chu CM, Sheen IS, Liaw YF. Low-level hepatitis C viremia and humoral immune response to NS4 in chronic hepatitis B virus-hepatitis C virus coinfection. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 2004; **39**: 778-82.
17. Aspinall EJ, Hawkins G, Fraser A, Hutchinson SJ, Goldberg D. Hepatitis B prevention, diagnosis, treatment and care: a review. *Occup Med (Lond)* 2011; **61**: 531-40.
18. Weightman R, Walton R, Bury J. Audit of bloodborne virus prevention activity with drug users seen in primary care. *Commun Dis Public Health* 2004; **7**: 301-5.
19. Nelson PK, Mathers BM, Cowie B, et al. Global epidemiology of hepatitis B and hepatitis C in people who inject drugs: results of systematic reviews. *Lancet* 2011; **378**: 571-83.
20. Collins JM, Raphael KL, Terry C, et al. Hepatitis B Virus Reactivation During Successful Treatment of Hepatitis C Virus With Sofosbuvir and Simeprevir. *Clin Infect Dis* 2015; **61**: 1304-6.
21. Ende AR, Kim NH, Yeh MM, Harper J, Landis CS. Fulminant hepatitis B reactivation leading to liver transplantation in a patient with chronic hepatitis C treated with simeprevir and sofosbuvir: a case report. *J Med Case Rep* 2015; **9**: 164.

22. Lin L, Verslype C, van Pelt JF, van Ranst M, Fevery J. Viral interaction and clinical implications of coinfection of hepatitis C virus with other hepatitis viruses. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2006; **18**: 1311-9.
23. Wilson GK, Tennant DA, McKeating JA. Hypoxia inducible factors in liver disease and hepatocellular carcinoma: current understanding and future directions. *J Hepatol* 2014; **61**: 1397-406.
24. Bartosch B, Thimme R, Blum HE, Zoulim F. Hepatitis C virus-induced hepatocarcinogenesis. *J Hepatol* 2009; **51**: 810-20.
25. Cavalieri SJ, Hrabovsky S, Jorgensen T. Comparison of DiaSorin and Bio-Rad test kits for the detection of hepatitis B virus total core and surface antibodies on the Bio-Rad Evolis. *Am J Clin Pathol* 2010; **133**: 110-3.
26. Raimondo G, Navarra G, Mondello S, et al. Occult hepatitis B virus in liver tissue of individuals without hepatic disease. *J Hepatol* 2008; **48**: 743-6.
27. De Maria N, Colantoni A, Friedlander L, et al. The impact of previous HBV infection on the course of chronic hepatitis C. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2000; **95**: 3529-36.
28. Adachi S, Shibuya A, Miura Y, Takeuchi A, Nakazawa T, Saigenji K. Impact of occult hepatitis B virus infection and prior hepatitis B virus infection on development of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with liver cirrhosis due to hepatitis C virus. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 2008; **43**: 849-56.
29. Roni DA, Pathapati RM, Kumar AS, Nihal L, Sridhar K, Tumkur Rajashekar S. Safety and efficacy of hepatitis B vaccination in cirrhosis of liver. *Adv Virol* 2013; **2013**.
30. Deacon RM, Topp L, Wand H, et al. Correlates of susceptibility to hepatitis B among people who inject drugs in Sydney, Australia. *J Urban Health* 2012; **89**: 769-78.

Tables

Table 1. Description of study population (total number = 12209), according to HBV status.

Demographics & outcomes		Subgroups according to HBV status			
		Co-infected (n = 87)	Exposed (n = 1577)	Unexposed (n = 6849)	Unknown (n = 3696)
Age at 1 st clinic appointment		34 (30 - 42)	39 (33 - 45)	35 (29 - 42)	37 (30 - 44)
Male gender		69 (79%)	1202(76%)	4836 (71%)	2524 (68%)
Ethnicity	White	81 (93%)	1455 (92%)	6454 (94%)	3517 (95%)
	Non-white	6 (7%)	108 (7%)	345 (5%)	140 (4%)
	Unknown	0 (0%)	14 (1%)	50 (1%)	39 (1%)
HCV genotype	1	32 (37%)	619 (39%)	2716 (40%)	1192 (32%)
	3	30 (34%)	696 (44%)	2864 (42%)	1311 (35%)
	Others	3 (3%)	89 (6%)	411 (6%)	175 (5%)
	Unknown	22 (25%)	173 (11%)	858 (13%)	1018 (28%)
Ever IDU	Yes	54 (62%)	1218 (77%)	4810 (70%)	2260 (61%)
	No	19 (22%)	258 (16%)	1551 (23%)	766 (21%)
	Unknown	14 (16%)	101 (6%)	488 (7%)	670 (18%)
Ever smoking	Yes	44 (51%)	1075 (68%)	4383 (64%)	1894 (51%)
	No	9 (10%)	140 (9%)	611 (9%)	284 (8%)
	Unknown	34 (39%)	362 (23%)	1805 (26%)	1518 (41%)
Alcohol intake	>50 u/w	20 (23%)	424 (27%)	1599 (23%)	735 (20%)
	≤50 u/w	32 (37%)	696 (44%)	2938 (43%)	1435 (39%)
	Unknown	35 (40%)	457 (29%)	2312 (34%)	1526 (41%)
HIV coinfection	Yes	8 (9%)	72 (5%)	75 (1%)	75 (2%)
	No	47 (54%)	1073 (68%)	4713 (69%)	1355 (37%)
	Unknown	32 (37%)	432 (27%)	2061 (30%)	2266 (61%)
Decompensated cirrhosis		6 (6.9%)	95 (6.0%)	239 (3.5%)	
HCC		2 (2.3%)	35 (2.2%)	80 (1.2%)	
Liver-related death		4 (4.6%)	96 (6.1%)	260 (3.8%)	

Table 2. Hazard ratios (95% CI) and *p* values from univariate cause-specific hazard models for decompensated cirrhosis, HCC and liver-related mortality.

	HR (95% CI)	<i>p</i>
Decompensated cirrhosis		
HBcAb[+] & HBsAg[+] vs HBcAb[-]	1.88 (0.83 – 4.22)	0.128
HBcAb[+] & HBsAg[-] vs HBcAb[-]	1.50 (1.18 – 1.90)	0.001
HCC		
HBcAb[+] & HBsAg[+] vs HBcAb[-]	1.78 (0.44 – 7.23)	0.423
HBcAb[+] & HBsAg[-] vs HBcAb[-]	1.57 (1.06 – 2.34)	0.025
Liver-related mortality		
HBcAb[+] & HBsAg[+] vs HBcAb[-]	1.06 (0.40 – 2.85)	0.904
HBcAb[+] & HBsAg[-] vs HBcAb[-]	1.23 (0.98 – 1.56)	0.080

Table 3. Hazard ratios (95% CI) and *p* values from multivariate cause-specific hazard models for decompensated cirrhosis, HCC and liver-related mortality.

Covariates	Decompensated cirrhosis		HCC		Liver-related mortality	
	HR (95% CI)	<i>p</i>	HR (95% CI)	<i>p</i>	HR (95% CI)	<i>p</i>
Age at 1st clinic appointment	1.07 (1.06 – 1.08)	<0.001	1.12 (1.10 – 1.14)	<0.001	1.10 (1.09 – 1.11)	<0.001
Gender						
Male vs Female	1.16 (0.90 – 1.49)	0.264	2.90 (1.70 – 4.93)	<0.001	1.31 (1.01 – 1.71)	0.041
Ethnicity						
White vs Non-white	0.88 (0.54 – 1.45)	0.632	1.87 (0.80 – 4.40)	0.152	1.60 (0.87 – 2.96)	0.140
HCV genotype						
Genotype 3 vs Genotype 1	1.10 (0.88 – 1.38)	0.431	1.42 (0.96 – 2.09)	0.101	1.40 (1.12 – 1.74)	0.003
Other types vs Genotype 1	0.62 (0.39 – 1.00)	0.053	0.37 (0.15 – 0.94)	0.037	0.64 (0.41 – 1.01)	0.065
Ever IDU	0.56 (0.43 – 0.72)	<0.001	0.65 (0.41 – 1.04)	0.077	1.12 (0.85 – 1.47)	0.437
Ever smoking	1.14 (0.80 – 1.63)	0.521	0.88 (0.53 – 1.47)	0.594	1.08 (0.77 – 1.53)	0.530
Alcohol excess (>50units/week)	3.31 (2.61 – 4.20)	<0.001	1.78 (1.20 – 2.65)	0.006	2.78 (2.21 – 3.50)	<0.001
HIV coinfection	2.23 (1.39 – 3.57)	0.002	0.32 (0.05 – 2.31)	0.259	1.75 (1.02 – 2.98)	0.105
HBV status						
HBcAb[+] & HBsAg[+] vs HBcAb[-]	1.80 (0.79 – 4.07)	0.190	2.57 (0.62 – 10.52)	0.194	1.32 (0.49 – 3.57)	0.602
HBcAb[+] & HBsAg[-] vs HBcAb[-]	1.29 (1.01 – 1.65)	0.043	1.64 (1.09 – 2.49)	0.019	1.02 (0.80 – 1.30)	0.821

Table 4. Hazard ratios (95% CI) and *p* values from multivariate cause-specific hazard models for all-cause mortality.

Covariates	HR (95% CI)	<i>p</i>
Age at 1st clinic appointment	1.07 (1.06 – 1.08)	< 0.001
Gender		
Male vs Female	1.21 (1.03 – 1.42)	0.018
Ethnicity		
White vs Non-white	1.47 (0.94 – 2.31)	0.103
HCV genotype		
Genotype 3 vs Genotype 1	1.41 (1.23 – 1.61)	< 0.001
Other types vs Genotype 1	1.10 (0.85 – 1.42)	0.520
Ever IDU	1.79 (1.49 – 2.16)	< 0.001
Ever smoking	1.21 (0.95 – 1.53)	0.214
Alcohol excess (> 50 units/week)	1.96 (1.71 – 2.25)	< 0.001
HIV coinfection	1.56 (1.13 – 2.15)	0.017
HBV status		
HBcAb[+] & HBsAg[+] vs HBcAb[-]	1.48 (0.88 – 2.49)	0.114
HBcAb[+] & HBsAg[-] vs HBcAb[-]	0.88 (0.76 – 1.03)	0.146

Table 5. Demographics summary of HCV patients with HBcAb negative and known HBsAb status.

Demographics		HBcAb(-) & HBsAb(+) (n = 2034)	HBcAb(-) & HBsAb(-) (n = 4511)	<i>p</i>
Age at 1 st clinic appointment		32 (27 - 38)	36 (29 - 43)	< 0.001
Male gender		1512 (74%)	3103 (69%)	< 0.001
Ethnicity	White	1974 (97%)	4195 (93%)	< 0.001
	Non-white	49 (2%)	284 (6%)	
	Unknown	11 (1%)	32 (1%)	
HCV genotype	1	811 (40%)	1769 (39%)	0.354
	3	893 (44%)	1871 (41%)	
	Others	112 (5%)	277 (6%)	
	Unknown	218 (11%)	594 (13%)	
Ever IDU	Yes	1600 (79%)	3012 (67%)	< 0.001
	No	319 (16%)	1174 (26%)	
	Unknown	115 (6%)	325 (7%)	
Ever smoking	Yes	1394 (69%)	2809 (62%)	< 0.001
	No	146 (7%)	497 (11%)	
	Unknown	494 (24%)	1205 (27%)	
Alcohol intake	>50 u/w	464 (23%)	1063 (24%)	0.322
	≤50 u/w	811 (40%)	1995 (44%)	
	Unknown	759 (37%)	1453 (32%)	
HIV coinfection	Yes	28 (1%)	42 (1%)	0.182
	No	1447 (71%)	3106 (69%)	
	Unknown	559 (27%)	1363 (30%)	

P value was obtained from Mann-Whitney test for age at 1st clinic appointment, and from Chi-squared test for the others.