Campbell, E., Hamilton, D., Taylor, S., Gondek, M., Noble, G. and Evans, N. (2020) A powerful place in Pictland: interdisciplinary perspectives on a power centre of the 4th to 6th centuries AD. *Medieval Archaeology*, 63(1), pp. 56-94.
(doi: 10.1080/00766097.2019.1588529)

A Powerful Place Of Pictland: Interdisciplinary Perspectives On A Power Centre of the 4th to 6th Centuries AD

By [1],[2],[3],[4],[5], and [6]

Our understanding of the nature of late and post-Roman central places of northern Britain has been limited by the lack of historical sources and the limited scale of archaeological investigation. New work at Rhynie, Aberdeenshire, Scotland has begun to redress this through extensive excavation and landscape survey that has begun to reveal the character of a Pictish central place of the 4th to 6th centuries AD that has European connections through material culture, iconography and site character. In addition to reviewing the place-name and historical context the article outlines preliminary reflections on five seasons of excavation and survey in the Rhynie landscape that are brought together for the first time. The article also provides a detailed consideration of chronology including radiocarbon dating and Bayesian statistical analysis. The results reveal the multi-faceted nature of a major non-hillfort elite complex of Pictland that included a series of enclosures, timber buildings and a cemetery. The evidence from Rhynie provides a rich dataset for a new consideration of elite centres of early medieval northern Britain with wider implications for the nature of power centres in late and post-Roman Europe.

INTRODUCTION

The nature of the power structures and the character of the elite centres that filled the chasm left by the demise of the Roman Empire is one of enduring research interest for scholars of 1st millennium AD Europe. In regions such as southern Britain where the Roman Empire maintained control for much of the first four centuries of the millennium the written sources suggest political fragmentation following the demise of Roman governance.[7] The

---

[1]

[2]
[3]

[4]

[5]
[6]
[7] Wickham 2005.

political units that replaced the Roman Empire were smaller-scale, ruled by people called kings (*reges*).[8] However, most of our historical sources for Britain and Ireland postdate AD 600 and our knowledge of the forms of power and governance that characterized late Roman and the post-Roman societies of the 4th to 6th centuries is less well understood. In Anglo-Saxon England the most obvious materialisation of new power structures in the post-Roman period are the large residential hall complexes that emerged in the 6th and 7th centuries AD.[9] These included ostentatious architectural expressions that conveyed the authority of powerful magnates. These residential complexes were situated within landscapes that could include large cemeteries and sites of pagan and latterly Christian cult.[10] The development of the *villae regiae* are clear material expressions of new power nodes forming in the post-Roman period, emerging from the more murky record of the 5th–6th centuries where settlement hierarchies are harder to identify.[11]

Northern Britain and Ireland, where Roman rule was only fleetingly felt, witnessed very different trajectories from southern Britain in the late- and post-Roman period. In Ireland, regional rulership was in part materialized and enacted at provincial centres such as Cashel, Tara, Navan, Rathcroghan and Knockaulin.[12] The archaeological evidence at these regional centres suggests that the landscapes in which they were located contained places of cult and ritual, sites of inauguration and assembly, and through time a number came to have an important early Christian dimension. The historical sources suggest elite power was also maintained by the construction of fortified complexes such as large or elaborate examples of ring forts. Ringforts were constructed from at least the 5th century, but reached a floruit in the 7th and 8th centuries AD.[13] In the Irish law tracts there was a direct correlation between the size, number of ramparts and status of enclosed sites of this kind; and in early Irish law a king was expected to be able to call on sufficient labour to build these defences.[14]

In northern Britain, fortified sites also dominate our knowledge of the form that central places of power and governance took in the early medieval period.[15] At these sites

---

[8] Wickham 2005, 312.
[9] e.g. Hope-Taylor 1977; Hamerow 2012, 23, 102–9; Thomas 2013, 126; Brennan and Hamerow 2015.
[10] e.g. Thomas 2013, 112.
[11] Esmonde Cleary 1989, 138–161; Ulmschneider 2011, 157–160; Hamerow 2013, 23.
[12] e.g. Aitchison 1994; Newman 1997; Lynn 2003; Bhreathnach 2014.
[13] Stout 1997, 29.
[14] e.g. *Críth Gablach* dating to around 700 AD: Kelly 1991, 30; Stout 1997, 18; O'Sullivan et al 2014, 82–83.
[15] REF – also lowland complexes, but not well attested archaeologically

the historical references cite sieges, battles and other important events occurring at these locations.[16] However, the historical documentation is limited. Dunadd in western Scotland, for example, long interpreted as a major seat of the kingdom of Dál Riata;[17] has only two early references – one recording a siege in AD 682 and the other a reference to the seizing of Dunadd by the powerful Pictish king Oengus in AD 736.[18] Undoubtedly many power centres from this period in northern Britain are completely unattested, particularly those prior to the 7th century when our historical sources are scarce. Nonetheless, the historical documentation that we do have certainly suggest that the construction and use of fortified settlements in northern Britain were key materializations of power in this region. *Alt Clut* (modern Dumbarton), for example, a hillfort within Brittonic territory, situated on the River Clyde in western Scotland, was recorded in the Irish chronicles and the 'Life of Saint Columba' as the seat of the 'king of Clyde Rock'[19]; therefore, occupying this fort was central to the conception of the Brittonic kingship, at least from an external perspective.

In Pictland, in northern and eastern Scotland, the focus of this article, work on sites of elite residence and fortified sites has been limited, though growing in recent years. The Picts are first mentioned in late Roman sources and the kingdoms of the Picts went on to dominate northeast Scotland until the late 1st millennium AD.[20] In the later 1st millennium AD, historical sources suggest that royal residences were found in lowland centres such as Forteviot and Scone,[21] but earlier references to centres of power for Pictland are dominated by references to defended, often hilltop enclosures.[22] In the 'Life of Saint Columba' (II 35), for example, Columba meets the powerful king Bridei son of Mailcon, at his royal fortress near the River Ness.[23] In this account, Adomnán refers to the fort as being at the top of a steep slope. This source also refers (II 33) to 'King Bridei's house' and 'the king's hall'.[24] However, detail such as this is exceedingly rare; most other references to Pictish high status sites are simply references to place-names, though additional descriptors such as *palacium*,

---

[16] e.g. Bannerman 1974, 15–16; Alcock 2003, 179–200; Woolf 2007; Fraser 2009; Evans 2014.

[17] Lane and Campbell 2000.

[18] Fraser 2009, 243–224, 301–302.

[19] Mac Airt and Mac Niocaill 1983, 130, 154, 176, 234, 326 (AU 658.2, 694.6, 722.3, 780.1, 870.6); Stokes 1896, 253 (AT [752].2); Alcock and Alcock 1990, 98; Sharpe 1995, 123.

[20] Ritchie 1994, 3–5; Woolf 2007, 87–130, 312–342; Fraser 2009.

[21] Driscoll 1998, 169–170.

[22] Alcock et al 1989, 192; Woolf 2007, 105.

[23] Sharpe 1995, 184.

[24] Sharpe 1995, 181–2.

used in reference to later centres such as Forteviot, are sometimes found, or the place-name itself can provide extra clues, for instance when it contains *dún* 'fort, (fortified) hill', especially in chronicle sources.[25] A fuller understanding of the character of these places undoubtedly needs to draw on archaeological evidence, but progress has been limited, characterized by the small-scale of most archaeological interventions, and at times limited publication of the results.[26]

The best known series of investigations of the fortified sites of Pictland is Leslie Alcock's programmes of excavating historically documented fortifications in northern Britain during the 1970s and 1980s.[27] Alcock's project was explicitly geared to identifying elite sites in northern Britain by investigating sites mentioned in contemporary sources.[28] His most successful investigation in Pictland was that at Dundurn in Perthshire.[29] Here the extensive remains consist of a prominent hillfort with the early phases comprising a more modest timber palisade and summit citadel dating to the 5th and 6th centuries AD, with later expansion of the fort through the construction of additional terrace walls and rebuilding of the citadel defences in stone. Alcock's work in northeast Scotland was successful in providing an outline chronology for a small number of defended enclosures in Pictland and he brought an ingenious blend of historical and archaeological methods to the interpretation of these sites, establishing an essential baseline for the study of the phenomena of enclosure in Pictland.[30] However, the work was keyhole in nature and provided only limited evidence for interpreting the character and evolution of these sites. The archaeological investigation of these sites also tended to reveal detail only on the later phases, with the origins and earlier phases often poorly attested. A fuller understanding of the central places of Pictland undoubtedly requires more ambitious programmes of investigation.

In that respect, this article sets out the preliminary findings of the field investigation of an enclosure complex at Rhynie (Fig 1), Aberdeenshire which dates to the 4th to 6th century AD – a phase of fortified settlement poorly documented in northern Britain. The

---

[25] Bannerman 1974, 15–16; Anderson 2011, 250–252; Taylor 2011, 73.
[26] Carver 2011.
[27] Conceived in 1973. Alcocl et al 1986; Alcock and Alcock 1990, 216.
[28] Alcock and Alcock 1987; Alcock and Alcock 1990, 216; Alcock and Alcock 1992; Alcock et al 1989.
[29] Alcock et al 1989, 195.
[30] Alcock 1988, 31; 2003, 179–200.

excavations reveal a type of Pictish elite settlement largely unknown – one that was enclosed by ditches (and probably ramparts) and an elaborate wooden enclosing wall, but found in a location that was of a different character to that of the classic hill or promontory forts of Pictland. The excavations also revealed an associated cemetery, with a series of Pictish sculptured symbol - stones located in close association with the settlement and cemetery foci. The sites in the Rhynie landscape described below are all undocumented in the early medieval period, but archaeological, sculptural and place-name evidence has begun to reveal their significance. The programme of investigation at Rhynie aimed to provide a more holistic account of a Pictish central place with the excavation methods geared to revealing the groundplan of the enclosing elements, interior buildings and uncovering an associated cemetery. This article sets out initial thoughts on the results of the recent excavations in the Rhynie landscape prior to full post-excavation analysis and publication. The consideration of Rhynie will begin with a short study of the topographical and place-name evidence, before moving to explore the potential place of this landscape within the hazy political geography of Pictland. The article will then move onto characterize the recent archaeological fieldwork results, the detail that the artefact assemblage can shed on the significance, and the dating evidence. The article will end with a discussion of the significance of the Rhynie landscape at a local, regional and international level.

SITE LOCATION AND TOPOGRAPHY

Today Rhynie is a small village with a population of around 450 people in western Aberdeenshire in Northeast Scotland. The modern village is a planned 19th century settlement on the site of an earlier settlement known as the Muir of Rhynie.[31] The village lies between the River Bogie and the Ord Burn at 181 m OD, one of the higher settlements of Northeast Scotland, towards the edges of the Cairngorm mountain, but also in a rich agricultural valley with close connections to more lowland areas to the east and north. Rhynie is located in a wide valley between the Cairngorms and Cabrach hills to the west and the Correen-Bennachie range to the east, in a location that defines major, and strategic,[32] routeways through the hills leading northwards to the Moray coast, the upper reaches of

---

[31] See Roy's 18th century map http://maps.nls.uk/geo/roy/#zoom=13&lat=57.3445&lon=-2.8818&layers=roy-highlands
[32] Simpson 1930, 48–52.

the River Don to the south and the River Dee and the Mounth beyond.[33] Other routes lead off east towards the Garioch and lower Don and west through Essie and the Cabrach to modern Dufftown in the southern reaches of Moray.[34] The site lies in the shadow of the Iron Age hillfort of Tap O' Noth, one of the most spectacular hillforts of Scotland with a lower fort that is one of the largest prehistoric enclosures known in Scotland.[35] Tap O' Noth rises from a whale-backed ridge, the Hill of North, which rises to the west of Rhynie; from the summit of the fort extensive views can be gained across Northeast Scotland, to the Moray Firth to the north and to Caithness and Sutherland beyond.[36]

PLACE-NAME EVIDENCE

Place-names constitute a unique witness to language, society and environment which can reach far back into the 1st millennium AD, yet there have only been limited studies of place-names in Pictland and few specifically in relation to the central places of Pictland.[37] Nonetheless, the place-name evidence from Rhynie is an important source of evidence for the significance of this locale. As is generally the case, the documentary evidence for the place-name and parish of Rhynie is late, occurring only from the 13th century onwards, the earliest appearance being in episcopal records which record the church of *Rynyn* in 1226.[38] The most revealing element of the place-name is that it probably contains a word which derives from Celtic *rīg* 'king' (first noted by Julianna Grigg).[39] An analogous name may be Loch Ryan in southwest Scotland, one of the earliest recorded of all Scottish place-names, appearing in Ptolemy's Geographia (2nd century AD) as *Rerigonios kolpos* 'bay associated with a settlement called *Rerigonion*'. W. J. Watson, the great Celtic scholar, analysed *Rerigonion* as *re-rīg-on-ion* 'very royal place', with *re* an intensifier, and *rīg-on* 'royal', which he compares with Welsh *rhion* 'lord, chief'; while the final *–ion* is a place-forming suffix.[40] This was endorsed by Rivet and Smith and most recently by Graham Isaac, although Isaac would transcribe and analyse the name slightly differently, namely as

---

[33] RCAHMS 2007, 121; Brander 2014, 29. The Mounth is an easterwards projection of the Cairngorms which results in a narrow lowland pass between central and northeast Scotland, a strategic routeway in itself.
[34] RCAHMS 2007, 121.
[35] RCAHMS 2007, 103–5.
[36] RCAHMS 2007, 103.
[37] See Taylor 2011.
[38] 1226 *Moray Reg.* no. 69 (Innes 1837, 73–76); note also *Ryni* 1226 x 1242 *Moray Reg.* no. 81 (Innes 1837, 90–95).
[39] Grigg 2015, 85–86.
[40] Watson 1926, 34.

Rherigónion and *Rherigónios kólpos*, 'place of (-*io*) the foremost (*ro*-) great/divine (-*on*-) king (-*rīg*-), adding that 'the (assimilatory?) realisation of **ro*- as *re*- is noteworthy'.[41] Rhynie may contain a similar sequence of phonemes to that of Loch Ryan, namely /r/ /ai/ and /n/, earlier /r/ /i:/ and /n/. Thus, if the name Rhynie had existed as early as the time of Ptolemy, it may theoretically have contained the same elements as Ryan.[42] There is, of course, no evidence that it is that early, but a minimalist, and provisional, reconstruction might be **rīgonin* or **rīgonīn* 'place of or associated with (-*īn*) a great/divine (-*on*-) king (*rīg*-). One of the priorities of the place-name component of the project going forward will be to follow this up more rigorously and explore other possibilities.

THE POLITICAL GEOGRAPHY OF NORTHERN PICTLAND AND THE PLACE OF RHYNIE

Much of northern Pictland can be described as essentially prehistoric in the 1st millennium AD with very few historical references available for the whole of northern Scotland until well into the 12th century or later. This is certainly true for Rhynie and its environs, which cannot be identified with any named early medieval territory or kingdom with certainty. Some Pictish territories have been identified in north-east Scotland, which may also have been kingdoms or other lordships that incorporated Rhynie for periods (Fig 2). The territory of *Ce* appears in additions to the longer Pictish king-lists, dated from 862x 876, and in the titles in two lists of Gaelic tales, dating from the 10th and 11th centuries, as a *mag*, 'plain', and as *Beinn Cé*, 'the peak of Ce'.[43] The latter has been plausibly identified with the highly visible, prominent uplands of Bennachie about 17km to the east of Rhynie, whose Mither Tap o'Bennachie (Fig 2) is the site of a hillfort with evidence for occupation as late as the 8th century AD.[44] *Ce*, therefore, is likely to have comprised a substantial area of central Aberdeenshire, and must have included Bennachie and at least some of the lowland area between this landmark and the North Sea coast to the east, but whether it included lands in the Rhynie valley is uncertain. Another potential Pictish territory to the west of Rhynie, is *Fidach* which again appears in the longer Pictish king-lists. W.J. Watson very tentatively

---

[41] Rivet and Smith 1979, 447; Isaac 2005, 202.
[42] There remains the problem of the extra syllable in Ryan, as opposed to Rhyn-ie. This may have come about through assimilation to the well-known Irish surname Ryan, although a mid-17th-century form (*Loch Rian* c.1636 x 1652 Gordon 61 (manuscript map)) suggests it may be part of the original development of the name.

[43]; Anderson 2011, 245; Broun 2007, 75–9. For the tale lists, see Dobbs 1949, 137–8; Mac Cana 1980, 46, 47, 61, 63; Evans 2014, 66–7.
[44] Dobbs 1949, 137–8. Atkinson 2006.

connected this area with Glen Fiddich in Banffshire,[45] however, Dauvit Broun has suggested that the name was simply descriptive, meaning 'woody' and not necessarily a territorial name at all.[46]

In the Medieval period to the south of Rhynie was the earldom of Mar, located on Deeside (including Braemar and Cromar) and upper Donside, its most northern extent perhaps indicated by the places Marchmar (NJ 484 232) (Fig 2) and Marchmar Wood (NJ 490 232) in Auchindoir and Kearn parish immediately to the south of the Rhynie valley, close to the watershed of the Bogie and Don river systems.[47] The first reference to this territory occurs in Irish chronicle items for the Battle of Clontarf in 1014, which specify that a *mormaer* (a regional lord) of Mar in Alba died there, but Broun has argued that Mar may have had Pictish origins.[48] Broun has recently argued that Mar was originally confined to Deeside, but if Mar had initially been a kingdom, then its boundaries could have fluctuated over time, and could conceivably have included Rhynie at some point.[49]

The area that comprises Rhynie parish itself remains a blank before 1000. By the late 12th or early-13th centuries the area was part of the Lordship of Strathbogie, generally regarded as a royal creation of that period.[50] However, the Strathbogie name is earlier, appearing in one textual group of the king-lists of Alba and datable to about 1124 that mentions the killing of King Lulach mac Gilla Comgáin (1057-8), the nephew and successor of King Mac Bethad mac Finnlaích (1040-57), in Strathbogie at Essie, 3km west of Rhynie.[51] The name and territory that characterized the Lordship could go back considerably earlier. The name attested in 1226 or 1227 as *Strathbolgyn*, contains the elements *strath*, meaning 'broad-valley', and *–bolgyn*, which includes *bolg*, 'bag, belly', plus an *–aidh* adjectival suffix, so the last two syllables together mean 'bag-shaped', probably referring to a pool of the

[45] Watson 1926, 115; Anderson 2011, 245.
[46] Broun 2007, 79.
[47] Alexander, 1952, 186, 244, 325, 330, 333; Broun, forthcoming, drafts of which the author was kindly made available before publication. On *march*, see Taylor with Márkus 2012, 439. Our thanks go to an anonymous referee for drawing our attention to Marchmar.
[48] Mac Airt and Mac Niocaill 1983, 448; Broun forthcoming.
[49] Broun forthcoming.
[50] Duncan 1975, 188; Barrow 1988, 4; Ross 2015, 100.
[51] Anderson 2011, 268, 276, 284, 288–9. The same details are also found in the Verse Chronicle inserted into the Chronicle of Melrose (Anderson 1922 vol. I, 604); Broun 1999, 153–160.

river.[52] All of these elements appear in Gaelic and Welsh, so in theory the area name could have early roots going back to a time when a Celtic language was spoken, potentially as far back as the time of 4th to 6th century AD sites discussed below, although the probability of a name's survival diminishes the further back in time we go.

From the earliest records to the 1600s the Lordship of Strathbogie consisted of nine parishes based around the Bogie and Deveron rivers. Interestingly, despite the Water of Bogie being part of the name of the lordship, it is actually on the River Deveron, that the later medieval caput, a motte and bailey was located,[53] perhaps an indication that the smaller (at least in landscape and riverine terms) of the two straths, where Rhynie is located, had once been the more important. In terms of its later importance, Huntly was the economic powerhouse of the lordship, but 17th century rentals show that the market at Rhynie was recorded as being of much greater economic value than that at Huntly, indicating the long-term potential of Rhynie as a centre.[54] It may be that construction of the motte and bailey at Huntly in the late 12th century or early 13th century characterised a long-term process through which the confluence around the Deveron and Bogie supplanted an earlier political and economic focus on the upper Bogie.[55]

Overall, we have to recognize that the floruit of the enclosure complex and cemetery considered in this article pre-dates the period when we can build up a picture of the socio-political framework of the area. Certainly at the date of the complex it may be that smaller polities were present in this area than any of the later documented Pictish kingdoms or regions.[56] Whatever the extent of the early medieval polity that Rhynie was part of it is likely to have encompassed an area that comprised good quality arable land in the lowlands, high

---

[52] *Moray Reg.* no. 30 (Innes 1837, 22–23); Watson 1926, 440–1; Alexander 1952, 382–3; Taylor 2011, 105, 108; Taylor with Márkus 2012, 301–2, 504; Beam, Bradley, Broun, Davies, Hammond, Pasin *et al* 2012, http://db.poms.ac.uk/record/factoid/46205/.

[53] Douglas-Simpson 1922, 147–50, 152. The parishes in the lordship were Kinnoir, Essie, Rhynie, Dunbennan, Ruthven, Glass, Drumdelgie, Botarie and Gartly (Ross 2015, 100).

[54] GD44/51/747/1, Rental of the Lordship of Huntly, 1600 held at the NAS (now NRS) recorded the market at Rhynie as being worth £80, four times that of Huntly. Subsequent rentals show the market at Huntly expanding exponentially, though 18th century records suggest that Rhynie was still the site of a 'great fair'. Many thanks to Colin Shepherd for pointing these records out.

[55] Cf. Simpson 1922, 147–50, 152.

[56] We can perhaps loosely draw on the Anglo-Saxon evidence here. Wickham suggests that the origins of Anglo-Saxon kingdoms lay with relatively small territories – equivalent to the size of a modern English county or less. By AD 600, kingdoms such as East Anglia, Wessex, Deira and perhaps Mercia and Hwicce were the size of around two modern English counties, but the Tribal Hidage, records much smaller polities still in existence even in the 7th century (Wickham 2015, 313). See also Arnold 1997, 208–9.

quality grazing in the uplands in a landscape that also gave access to important routeways between southern and northern Scotland and also areas to the east and west. This would have placed it in a strategic and economically favourable positions that would have made it a suitable location for an early centre.

<div align="center">THE ARCHAEOLOGICAL EVIDENCE</div>

THE SCULPTURAL EVIDENCE

Prior to the recent archaeological work, Rhynie was well-known to students and scholars of Pictish art history as the findspot of an important group of Class I symbol stones (Fig 3), the largest surviving number of Class I carvings in any one location in Pictland.[57] Eight Class I symbol stones have been recorded from the village of Rhynie and its immediate environs.[58] The Craw Stane (No. 1) is the only example standing in its likely original position. The stones are of a variety of different geologies and are likely to be glacial erratics originally found in the environs of the modern village. The stones are carved by incision and include animal and abstract symbols, with two human (or human-like) figures (No. 3 and No. 7). The findspots of the stones follows a rough linear distribution with three stones found to the south (two found by ploughing and the *in situ* Craw Stane), two from the modern churchyard and three to the north from the outskirts of the modern village, a distribution spread over a distance of around 700 m.[59] The stones are recorded from the 19th century onwards, with No. 7 and No. 8, the most recent discoveries, found in the 1970s. The most iconic stone from Rhynie is the eponymous Rhynie Man (No. 7), which was ploughed up by the farmer in 1978. The Rhynie Man stone depicts a human-like figure carrying an axe over their shoulder. The stones from Rhynie comprise examples that carry symbols which are common amongst the corpus of Pictish stones, alongside examples that have few parallels –

---

[57] In 1958 Isobel Henderson identified Rhynie as a possible origin centre for the Class I tradition based on the five stones then identified. However, she thought that Class I stones may have originated further north and that Rhynie was an important place through which the tradition disseminated: Henderson 1958, 54–55. Few scholars would attempt to identify an origin centre today, but the number of stones from certain sites is likely to be significant in terms of addressing the importance of sites without historical sources. Gondek 2006 outlines how the relative investment in number of stones and complexity of carving and symbology is likely to be good indications of centres of power in early medieval Scotland that can both support and add nuance our historical understandings of the period.

[58] RCAHMS 2008, 38–41; RCAHMS 2007, 119–22.

[59] There are other concentrations of Class I stones known such as the four at Inverurie and two others at Broomend of Crichie and Brandsbutt (all in central Aberdeenshire), but in this case the distribution is over a larger area and there is little archaeological information to assess the significance of this particular concentration (RCAHMS 2007, 26).

principally the Rhynie Man axe carrier and the warrior figure (No. 3).[60] With regards to Rhynie Man, the parallels includes only one other Class I example – from Mail, Cunningsburgh, Shetland.[61] An incised figure with exaggerated features carrying an axe and a knife is found on a cross-slab from Golspie, Sutherland, but is found on the back of a cross-slab and may be later in date.[62] The warrior-figure is even harder to parallel, but shares morphological and stylistic parallels with incised figures from Balblair, Inverness and more closely from Newton of Collessie, Fife.[63]

THE AERIAL RECORD

The recent archaeological fieldwork that took place was prompted both by the unusual sculptural evidence from Rhynie and also be a series of aerial photographs taken of fields immediately south of Rhynie village from the 1970s onwards. After the discovery of Rhynie Man in March 1978,[64] aerial reconnaissance during the summer of the same year identified a series of circular enclosures on the farm of Barflat surrounding the position of the Craw Stane, Rhynie No.1 and the findspot of Rhynie Man (Fig 4). The enclosure complex identified around the Craw Stane comprised a series of cropmark enclosures surrounding the site of the *in situ* symbol stone, enclosing a distinctive knoll at the end of the sand and gravel ridge upon which the symbol stone stands. The Barflat complex overlooks the Water of Bogie, with the ridge upon which the site sits dramatically sloping to the east down to the river. Aerial photography in 1994 revealed further cropmarks closer to the modern village of Rhynie including two large square enclosures.[65]

EXCAVATION AND SURVEY 2011–17

Excavation at the Barflat enclosures and environs took place from 2011–17.[66] The excavations carried out over six seasons have provided detailed insights into the complex and a contemporary cemetery. Landscape survey is also beginning to contextualize these

---

[60] Shepherd and Shepherd 1978, 215.
[61] Turner 1994. See discussion below for the potential significance of the Cunningsburgh place-name. See Shepherd and Shepherd 1978, 215 for further parallels for Rhynie Man on Class II Pictish stones.
[62] RCAHMS 2008, 98; Henderson and Henderson 2004, 70 suggest that the Golspie sculptor was using a style that expressed a "conscious archaism".
[63] RCAHMS 2008, 70, 80. And now a new stone from Tulloch, Perth (Mark Hall pers. comm).
[64] Shepherd and Shepherd 1978.
[65] RCAHMS 2007, 121.
[66] A small excavation also occurred in 2006 near the complex focusing on a Bronze Age ring-ditch house, following geophysical survey: See Gondek and Noble 2015.

sites within their wider landscape setting. The preliminary results of the excavations to date are detailed below.

Craw Stane complex

The cropmarks at Barflat found in association with the Craw Stane suggested the presence of at two concentric ditched enclosures, with the inner ditch encircling an area 32m x 20m and the outer circa 50m in diameter (Fig 5).[67] The outermost boundary, around 60m in diameter, was originally identified as a palisade, but excavation revealed this to be a more complex feature. Prior to excavation, the enclosure complex was considered unusual and traces of internal features such as pits or postholes suggested a complicated and multi-phase settlement.[68] The Craw Stane evidently stood at the entrance to the innermost ditched enclosure.

Excavation has revealed that the ditched enclosures are likely to belong to the earliest phase of the site, with the boundary ditches representing substantial features, surviving up to 4m wide and 1.2m deep. The outer ditch had been recut at least twice and both ditches had evidently gone out of use in the later stages of the settlement with one structure (S3) built over the infilled inner and outer ditches. It is likely that the ditches had banks of some kind, but no trace survived. The outermost and probably later enclosure boundary was formed of an external plank and post setting, supported by an inner setting of posts. The most revealing investigation of the outer enclosure system took place in 2016 when a 4 m stretch of the entranceway of the enclosure was excavated. This revealed an outer foundation trench that held evenly spaced posts linked by plank settings that created a continuous outer wooden boundary wall. Set back almost exactly 2 m from the outer foundation trench a series of large postholes on the interior side may have supported a wallwalk behind the outer wall. Additional postholes around the entranceway suggest that the entrance was even more elaborate with additional posts for gates and other architectural embellishments. The entranceway itself was around 3 m across, much narrower than the entrances to the ditched enclosures (8 –14 m). A preponderance of oak charcoal in the fills of the outer enclosure settings suggests that oak was the main structural

---

[67] RCAHMS 2007, 122.
[68] RCAHMS 2007, 122. See Fig. 6.20 in RCAHMS 2007 for enclosures of comparable size in Aberdeenshire.

timber for the outer enclosure boundary wall. The outermost enclosure had been dismantled at the end of the use of the site.

Internal Buildings

The excavation approach, which concentrated on establishing the groundplan of the complex through strip and map evaluation, revealed the remains of a range of structures associated with the Barflat enclosure complex. None of these was fully excavated, nevertheless, the character of the structures strongly suggest they may have only been partially timber-built – turf or other more ephemeral materials are likely to have made up an important structural element of these buildings. Indeed, structures from this period are exceedingly rare in northeast Scotland – the use of turf and non-earthfast building styles is one likely explanation for the dearth of Pictish period dwellings.[69]

One structure identified at the Craw Stane complex consisted of a series of postholes arranged in a rectangular setting (S1) (Fig 5). The main structural timbers were on the north and south and included four large timbers on each side. The posts on the south side appear to have been renewed. Smaller posts were also found on the west end of the building, and the excavation of one showed the presence of a squared timber. No definite features were found on the east side, but plough truncation was particularly bad on the top of the knoll upon which the eastern end of the building sat. The surviving features suggest a rectangular post-built building of at least 9 by 5 m, but the presence of turf walls or non-earthfast timbers may mean that this was larger, of which the surviving remains represent only the main internal roof-bearing supports.

In earlier reports a larger structure (S2) (Fig 5) was postulated, but this can be discounted.[70] In the 2012 excavations linear 'settings' were found extending more than 20 m southwest-northeast. These were interpreted as possible plank settings, but the sterile fill of these contrasts with the other features on site. It is likely that these were the result of natural frost-wedging.

More definitive traces of a building were found adjacent to the Craw Stane in 2012 and re-investigated in 2016. Here there was a complex series of postholes, beamslots and

---

[69] Wainwright 1955, 88; Ralston 1997, 34; Carver et al 2016, 228.
[70] Noble et al 2013, 1142.

burnt spreads (Fig 5). These appear to have been part of a building, Structure S3, defined by an outer beam or plank slot surrounding a series of postholes in the interior. The majority of the postholes had the appearance of being reworked or dug out suggesting the structure had been dismantled at the end of its life. Fragments of charred planks of oak and alder were found redeposited in the backfill of the internal postholes. Structure S3 overlay the inner ditch and the exterior beam slot cut the outer ditch. Features on the southern side of the building groundplan were largely absent, but these are likely to have been lost due to erosion on the steep slope on this side of complex. Structure S3 would have measured approximately 6 x 9 m and closely resembles the later and larger 8th century Pictish 'bag-shaped' building (S1) excavated at Portmahomack on Tarbat Ness.[71] The excavator interpreted the inner posts of S1 at Portmahomack as a cruck frame for a large building with the perimeter slot acting as the foundation for a turf or stone wall with a stone or plank internal revetment.[72] This seems the most likely interpretation for structure S3 – a timber and turf-framed building that was constructed on the hillslope. If contemporary with the Craw Stane, the Pictish stone would have stood directly next to the building's entrance. Other structures within the ditched and wooden enclosure wall were suggested by scatters of postholes and pits, some of which cut through the inner and outer ditches.

Settlement associated with the complex may have also extended outside the enclosure complex. To the southeast of the entrance, a sub-circular deposit of sand full of charcoal and charred timbers was located in 2015 and was interpreted as the vestiges of a floor layer of a building (S4) (Fig 5). S4 was preserved in an area downslope from the entrance and survived as a sub-circular deposit around 3 m N-S by 2.8 m E-W. This appeared to be cut into hill wash on the east facing slope of the Craw Stane field. The deposit was up to 0.22 m in depth and consisted of silty sand with evidence for burnt turf and large charred structural timbers. Two iron buckles from horse harnesses or saddle girth straps and a Late Roman amphora handle were recovered from S4. The charcoal assemblage was dominated by large quantities of oak charcoal from large timbers, with lesser amounts of hazel, suggesting that the superstructure was oak framed with hazel wattle panels. The surviving evidence from S4 suggests that like the majority of Pictish buildings there were few or no

---

[71] S1 at Portmahomack was around 14.5 x 10 m in maximum dimensions: Carver et al 2016, 240.
[72] Carver et al 2016, 240.

earthfast structural components. In the floor layers carbonised cereal grains of barley were also recorded.

The Craw Stane symbol stone and stone socket

The Craw Stane stands directly on the line of the entranceway of the outer enclosure and between the terminals of inner ditch. Its current position is recorded here since the middle of the 19th century, but the stone was reset in the 1930s after a storm. The stone does not face the entranceway of the enclosure complex, but whether the original orientation was retained in the 1930s is unknown. In 2015 a large cut feature was found just downslope from the Craw Stane. This feature stood at the terminal of the outer ditch, 4 m southeast of the Craw Stane. It consisted of an oval cut measuring 1.3 m N-S by 0.9 m E-W and 0.65 m deep. The remains of stone packing was found around a central sand fill. The presence of disturbed packing and size of the feature marked it as distinct from any posthole found on site to date. The most likely interpretation of this feature is that it was a socket for a standing stone. When found during ploughing by the farmer, the Rhynie Man stone was located downslope from the Craw Stane. It was suggested that given the steepness of the field in this area the stone may have been dragged by the plough "from an original position on the crest of the hill shoulder, near the Craw Stane".[73] No other potential stone socket was identified during the excavations and therefore the feature identifed in 2015 is a good candidate for the socket of the Rhynie Man, albeit two other Class I stones were found in the nearby church graveyard and it is possible that the feature relates to one of these.[74] Radiocarbon dates obtained from charcoal from basal fills of the feature show that it contained backfilled deposits that were contemporary with the overall site use.

The cemetery

Excavations in 2013–14 have shown that the Barflat enclosure complex associated with the Craw Stane did not stand in isolation – there is evidence for a contemporay cemetery to the north on the outskirts of the modern village. In 1836 two of the symbol stones found in the village of Rhynie (Nos. 2 and 3) were removed during the construction of the turnpike road; a "quantity" of human bone was found near the stones at this time.

---

[73] Shepherd and Shepherd 1978, 211.
[74] RCAHMS 2007, 122.

Antiquarian reports and local newspapers also record the discovery of east-west orientated cist burials and other burials in this area.[75] Moreover, Rhynie No.3, which depicts a warrior with a spear, is said to have been found in association with a cairn.[76] Near to the findspots and burials, aerial photography in 1994 revealed the outlines of two large square enclosures.[77] These were targeted for excavation in 2013 (Fig 6). The two enclosures are of a very unusual type, the larger around 20 m across and the smaller 16 m. These are defined by ditches with a short segment of ditch projecting in front of a northwards aligned entranceway. Immediately adjacent to these enclosures, two square barrows were also identified during the excavations. These barrows were each around 4–4.5 m across and within one of these a stone-built cist with the partially preserved skeleton of an adult was uncovered; fragments of bone from the human skeleton were dated to 400 to 570 cal AD (SUERC-52935 1559±30, cal AD 420–570; MAMS-21252 1602±21, cal AD 400–540, both 95% probability), contemporary with the Barflat complex. The dating evidence for the larger enclosures is problematic, but radiocarbon dating of an upper fill of the ditch of the larger example suggests it was present in the 7th century AD and a pit dating to the 5th–6th century AD was found inside. Nothing was found to elucidate the function of the larger enclosures but a similar example found at the Pictish royal centre of Forteviot, Perthshire has been interpreted as a shrine built on Romano-British models; other large square enclosures at barrow cemeteries are known from the aerial record in northern Pictland.[78]

The wider landscape

The complex at Rhynie is overlooked by an impressive hillfort – the site of Tap O' Noth (Fig 7). The fort(s) on Tap O'Noth comprise an upper vitrified fort, and as noted earlier, a stone rampart defines a lower enclosure of 21 hectares in extent.[79] The upper fort is of a type that probably dates to c.400-200 cal BC, with the lower fort possibly earlier.[80] More than 100 house platforms survive within the lower enclosure. The scale of the defences at Tap O'Noth and the size of the settlement strongly suggests that this site and environs were a major regional focus in the Iron Age. The fort is also of a type that was

---

[75] Henderson 1907, 163.
[76] Logan 1929, 56.
[77] Greig 1994, 26.
[78] Campbell and Maldonado forthcoming; Mitchell and Noble 2017.
[79] RCAHMS 2007, 103–105.
[80] Cook 2010, 79.

reused in the early medieval period in Pictland in other locations, the best known example being Craig Phadrig, Inverness-shire, which has radiocarbon dates and E-ware suggesting re-occupation of the Iron Age vitrified fort in the second half of the 1st millennium AD.[81] There is another oval fort at Wheedlemont (Cnoc Cailliche), which recent excavation suggests is also Iron Age in date, but excavations at Cairnmore has produced a phase of occupation dating to the 5th to 6th centuries AD. Cairnmore is a small multivallate site enclosing an area of 64 x 48 m.[82] Evidence of non-ferrous metalworking was found at Cairnmore, and finds included pin and brooch moulds of a type similar to that found at the Barflat complex.[83]

Extending further back in time, the Rhynie complex is situated within a rich landscape for earlier prehistoric remains.[84] In particular there are a number of Neolithic/Bronze Age monuments including stone circles in the Rhynie valley with a notable concentrations of rock art (relatively simply cupmarked stones which is the norm for Aberdeenshire).[85] One of the symbol stones, No.5 from Rhynie, is a reused prehistoric monument with cupmarks on its non-symbol face.[86] Unenclosed prehistoric settlement is also attested in the valley and a number of Bronze Age and Iron Age ring-ditches have been found during excavations as part of the Rhynie Environs Archaeological Project contributing to the impression that this was an intensively occupied landscape in the prehistoric period.[87]

THE ARTEFACT ASSEMBLAGE FROM THE CRAW STANE COMPLEX

The artefact assemblage from Rhynie represents one of the most extensive known from early medieval Scotland and is an exceptionally rare assemblage of this period more generally within Britain and Ireland. Over 1000 artefacts have been recovered over five seasons of excavation (Fig 8).[88] Finds include imported pottery, glass, metalwork and

---

[81] Small and Cottam 1972. Current Historic Environment Scotland funded work at Tap O'Noth aims to date the major enclosing elements of the fort.

[82] Cook 2011, 216.

[83] New excavations by the Northern Picts project (2018–) aims to more firmly establish the chronology and character of the Cairnmore site.

[84] Gondek and Noble 2011; Gondek and Noble 2015.

[85] RCAHMS 2007, 73; Gondek and Noble 2015, 128; George Currie pers comm.

[86] RCAHMS 2008, 40. There are a number of Recumbent Stone circles in the area that this stone could have come from. See Clarke 2007 for a list of other possible re-used prehistoric stones as Class I symbol stones. Clarke argues that prehistoric stones were reused as a reaction against Christianity missionary activity, but this does not seem wholly convincing for the Rhynie case or for Northeast Scotland in the 5th or early 6th centuries (Clancy 2008).

[87] See also RCAHMS 2007, 82–83; Gondek and Noble 2015.

[88] The number of artefacts from the site is particularly notable given the site is plough truncated with the majority of contemporary landsurfaces removed by cultivation and later landuse practices. The excavation strategy was also evaluative in nature with the majority of deposits left in situ for future investigation, suggesting that the

evidence for non-ferrous metalwork production. Particularly notable are the imports of Mediterranean and Continental origin. The Mediterranean imports are the first identified at a Pictish site and include 77 sherds of Late Roman Amphorae of late 5th or early 6th century date.[89] These belong to at least three vessels: one LR1, one LR2 and one unidentified LRA. There is also a handful of sherds of Campbell's Group C Atlantic glass vessels.[90] Although these quantities are relatively small, they are significant, both in being so far outside the normal Atlantic distribution, but also in being so far inland.[91] Only two other Insular sites share the characteristics of being substantially inland (over 30 km) and having quantities of LRA imports – Cadbury Castle in south-west England and Clogher in northern Ireland.[92]

In terms of other object types, the Rhynie assemblage includes fragments of brooches, a range of nail-headed and disc-headed pins in iron and bronze, amber beads and other decorative and dress items. The metal artefacts also include a series of square or rectangular buckles of Late Roman form, and several small pins and artefacts related to late Roman or Anglo-Saxon toilet sets. Weaponry is also present with a dagger or sword pommel of Anglo-Saxon type in silver and an iron dagger blade. There are also objects of more everyday significance such as a complete upper stone of a rotary quern, fragments of querns and coarse stone tools, spindle whorls and a small assemblage of locally-made coil-built pottery. Taken together these objects throw new light on the ranges and types of artefact being used in the 5th to early 6th centuries, a period from which finds assemblages from northern Britain are exceptionally rare.

Perhaps the most important aspect of the finds assemblage is the range of objects relating to metalwork production and redistribution. The largest proportion of objects from the site directly relates to the production of fine metalwork – there are over 350 clay mould fragments, 240 crucible fragments, 20 crucible stands and around 15 stone moulds for ingots and other objects (Fig 8). A pair of metalworking tongs was also found in a in a structured deposit that included significant quantities of animal bone in the backfill of a

---

number of artefacts outlined here is only a fraction of the total assemblage that survives on site, and given truncation likely to be a smaller fraction again of the original total.

[89] Campbell 2007, 18–24.

[90] Campbell 2007, 64–69.

[91] Campbell 2007, fig 13.

[92] There are also interesting artefact links between Barflat and Cadbury Castle. Cadbury, is for example, one of the few sites with an axe-hammer of this period, which resembles the axe carried by Rhynie Man. The Cadbury example was found in the entranceway of the fort, perhaps ritually deposited. REF

posthole. Metalworking clearly took place on site – a smithing hearth base was found slumping into one part of the outer ditch, and in another part of the outer ditch was another pit, seemingly part of an in situ smithing hearth, which had been later backfilled with debris from metalworking. The finds distribution shows that metalworking was concentrated in the innermost locations of the enclosure complex (Fig 8). Small fragments of waste material have been identified as deriving from precious metal-working and initial XRF analysis also suggests the presence of silver. The moulds include examples for producing handpins, axe-pins, small penannular brooches of Fowler's Type H, larger brooches, and at least ten examples of a previously unknown type of small zoomorphic ornament featuring various three dimensional animals (Fig 9). The assemblage has huge implications for the dating of important object types. The Type H penannular brooches, for example, are widely found in Britain, but it has previously been difficult to date them due to a lack of good contextual information. The chronology of handpins has also been extensively debated, and the only known production sites are in northern Scotland, despite the pins themselves being widely distributed.[93] The Barflat site at Rhynie is the first place to have produced more than one mould for a handpin, with all examples from stratified contexts. The finds and their dating will provide vital fixed points in our typological sequences and overall the finds assemblage gives a rare insight into the production and use of a range of 5th to 6th century artefact types.

DATING THE RHYNIE COMPLEX

To date there is a total of 35 radiocarbon dates on charcoal and charred cereal grains from the excavations across nine feature groups that include the ditches, a number of the internal structures, and a range of additional features from the Craw Stane complex (Table 1). Dating was based on single entities,[94] and standard methodologies.[95] The preliminary dating results are given in (Fig 10).[96] A Bayesian approach was adopted in the analysis (Fig 10),[97] with stratigraphic relationships modelled where possible. The material modelled

---

[93] Campbell and Heald 2007, 177.

[94] Ashmore 1999.

[95] The samples were pretreated, combusted, graphitised and measured by accelerator mass spectrometry at the Scottish Universities Environmental Research Centre. See Dunbar et al 2016.

[96] Calibrations have been calculated using the probability method. Stuiver and Reimer 1993.

[97] Buck et al 1996. Bayesian statistics provides a method of allowing different types of information (e.g. radiocarbon dates, phasing, and stratigraphy) to be combined to produce realistic estimates of calendar dates. The technique used is a form of Markov Chain Monte Carlo sampling, and has been applied using the program OxCal

includes samples from the outermost post and plank boundary,[98] including from the backfill from the dismantled outer wall foundation trench.[99] Samples from both the inner and outer ditch were also modelled,[100] as were samples from Structures S1, S3 and S4,[101] and the possible stone socket.[102] The primary model has good agreement (Amodel=83) showing good correlation between the archaeological stratigraphy and modelled sequence. The model estimates that the dated activity at Rhynie began in *cal AD 330–390* (*95% probability*; Fig 10; *start: Rhynie*), and probably in *cal AD 355–380* (*68% probability*). The activity took place over a period of *100–225 years* (*95% probability*; Fig 11; *span: Rhynie*), and probably *135–200 years* (*68% probability*). Dated activity ended in *cal AD 480–570* (*95% probability*; Fig 10; *end: Rhynie*), and probably in *cal AD 510–560* (*68% probability*).

DISCUSSION

Various strands of evidence brought together here for the first time can be used to explore the significance and context of the Rhynie valley in the early medieval period. The recognition that the place-name Rhynie is likely to include the early Celtic word **rīg* 'king'

---

v4.2. Details of the algorithms employed by this program are available from the on-line manual or in Bronk Ramsey (1995; 1998; 2001; 2009). In the model the calibrated radiocarbon dates are shown in outline and the posterior density estimates produced by the chronological modelling are shown in solid black. Highest posterior density intervals used to summarise these distributions in the text are given in italics to reflect the fact that they are modelled, and emphasise that they are not absolute and would change given a different set of parameters or 'prior' beliefs.

[98] E.g. palisade posthole samples (SUERC-35639/40/43, -66398, and -66425). The two results from palisade posthole 15333 (SUERC-66398 and -66245) are statistically consistent (T'=2.3; v=1; T'(5%)=3.8). All tests for statistical consistency follow the method of Ward and Wilson 1978.

[99] (SUERC-35638, -66394/9, -66400, -66424, and -66431/2). The two results from context 15334 are not statistically consistent (T'=4.6; v=1; T'(5%)=3.8; Ward and Wilson 1978), nor are the two results from 15345 (T'=6.6; v=1; T'(5%)=3.8). This would suggest the fill from the palisade trench contains material deposited over a protracted period of time, and this is consistent with an interpretation of much of the material coming from redeposited backfill after the palisade had been dismantled.

[100] Of the four results from samples submitted from the inner ditch SUERC-45548 can be placed later in the stratigraphy than SUERC-45554. SUERC-45553 is from the basal fill in the outer ditch and earlier than SUERC-45547, while SUERC-35637 comes from a lower fill than SUERC-35649. There are two results (SUERC-66388/9) from context 15191 that are statistically consistent (T'=0.3; v=1; T'(5%)=3.8), and could be the same actual age.

[101] Of the five results (SUERC-45538/9 and -45544/6/9) from structure S1, there are two in stratigraphic order. SUERC-45538 is earlier than SUERC-45546. There are two results (SUERC-66390/1) from context 15112 associated with structure S4. The two results are statistically consistent (T'=0.0; v=1; T'(5%)=3.8), and could be the same actual age. There are three results (SUERC-35641/2 and -35648) from structure S3, with SUERC-35648 coming from a discrete charcoal spread from within the structure. Structure S3 is definitely later than the inner ditch, and probably later than the outer ditch. The overall modelling explores both possible readings of the stratigraphy.

[102] (SUERC-66426/30). These measurements are statistically consistent (T'=1.7; v=1; T'(5%)=3.8), and could be the same age.

provides a very illuminating area of research for considering the significance of this landscape. The minimal interpretation of the name suggests an early form of Rhynie might have been *$r\bar{\imath}gonin$ or *$r\bar{\imath}gon\bar{\imath}n$, a 'place of or associated with (-$\bar{\imath}n$) a great (-on-) king ($r\bar{\imath}g$-)'.

With the very limited historical sources we have for northern Scotland, historical analysis is likely to remain speculative. However, it is clear that the Rhynie landscape was situated in an area that long had a strategic significance and in the later Pictish period was in an area towards the edges of two documented Pictish polities, Fortriu and Ce, but whether it was an area controlled by either, or indeed whether these polities or regions existed as early as the Barflat complex and associated cemetery is uncertain. The detailed dating we now have for the site shows that the Barflat complex at Rhynie began to develop as early as the late 4th century AD, most likely in the period *cal AD 355–380* and ended in the mid 6th century (*cal AD 510–560*), occupying a particularly shadowy period in the first millennium AD, with the complex ending before our historical sources for Pictland begin to increase in the 7th century.

In Pictland, and northern Pictland in particular, the dominant polity by the 7th century was Fortriu. A few specific places and rivers, can be identified from textual references as lying in Fortriu, but these – Strathdearn, Forres, Kinloss – are all much further west on the Moray Firth coast, and there is good circumstantial evidence also to link the northern part of the Great Glen and Ross to Fortriu.[103] Thus, it seems unlikely that Rhynie was part of an early Fortriu, but its placement towards the eastern extent of that kingdom may provide clues to the demise of the Barflat complex. The later 7th century was obviously an important period for the evolution of the polity of Fortriu, but there are a few fragments of evidence indicating that Fortriu was already developing and perhaps an expansionist power before the Battle of Nechtansmere in AD 685 when Fortriu defeated the Northumbrians and expanded into southern Pictland.[104] The name Fortriu has antecedents in the Iron Age tribal group the *Uerturiones*, first mentioned by Ammianus Marcellinus in the late 4th century AD, suggesting that the kingdom of Fortriu had a substantial prehistory. Moreover, our sources also suggest that Pictish kings located in areas to the west of Rhynie may have been expanding their territories in the period when the Barflat complex came to an end. The

---

[103] Woolf 2006, 192, 195–196, 199–201.
[104] Fraser 2009, 50–51, 54–8, 212–216, 224.

powerful Bridei son Mailcon, probably a king of Fortriu who ruled from the mid-550s to 584 had, according to Adomnán's 'Life of Saint Columba' (written ca. 597), a sub-king of the Orkneys in his attendance at his River Ness stronghold.[105] While that might reflect the situation of Adomnán's time, rather than Bridei's, there is another fragment of evidence that this king had expansionist tendencies. The Annals of Ulster contain two items (duplicates of the same record) in ca.558 and 560 stating 'The flight/migration before the son of Maelchon' (either Bridei or an otherwise unrecorded brother).[106] This is likely to be a contemporary item, which, if nothing else, indicates the power and maybe expansionism of Bridei's dynasty in the same period that the fortified complex at <mark>Barflat, Rhynie</mark> fell out of use.[107]

Other processes or natural phenomena could also have contributed to the demise of an important early centre in the Rhynie valley. In much of Europe, including Ireland, there is evidence that the mid-6th century was punctuated by plagues and famines.[108] Given much of the population is likely to have survived only at subsistence level, and that plagues affected elites, if these phenomena reached Rhynie, this could have undermined its rulers; in the 1st millennium there is strong evidence that rulers, whether Christian or not, were thought to be responsible to some extent for the natural prosperity of their subjects and realm.[109] These, or other similar, difficulties, could have broken the ideological authority of the leaders at Rhynie, resulting in the demise of the site. The adoption of Christianity could also have had an effect, but given the early date for Barflat complex and associated cemetery, it is unlikely that conversion to Christianity would have led to the demise of the Barflat complex as a centre of power.[110] It is also possible that an elite focus in the Rhynie valley had a longer lifespan than current dating evidence suggests – the elite centre may have

[105] Sharpe 1996, 196 (II.42), notes 294 and 324; Woolf 2006, 201; Fraser 2009, 99–103.

[106] AU 558.2, AU 560.2 (AT kl.67.3 and CS 560.3 contain extra inferred information added in the 10th or 11th centuries).

[107] Fraser (2009, 94–5) has argued that this could have been a later addition ca.700 when Iona was politically close to Fortriu, in order to show that Fortriu was involved in Argyll affairs at an early date, but the obscurity of the item's wording would make it very poor propaganda.

[108] Fraser 2009, 68–9. AU 536.3 (AT kl. 47.1) (*perditio panis*); AU 539.1 (*perditio panis*); AU 545.1 (mortality); AU 549.3 (mortality) (CS 551); AU 554.2 (AT kl. 61.2, CS 554.2) (*pestis*).

[109] For the precariousness of most of Irish society, and the dire social consequences of natural disasters, see Ó Corráin 2005, 574–83; Fraser 2009, 68, has speculated that the plagues may have reached Scotland. For rulers as decisive for a kindgom's natural as well as human prosperity, see Oakley 2010, esp. 20–1, 46, 155–7, and for Ireland specifically, see Jaski 2000, 58, 73–81.

[110] See Clancy 2008 on Christianity in northeast Scotland.

shifted to another site in the Rhynie valley sometime in the 6th century. Exploring this possibility is a second phase of the project with ongoing work at other sites in the landscape such as Tap O'Noth and Cairnmore assessing the chronology and longevity of other notable sites in the wider landscape.

Turning specifically to the archaeology, in terms of the wider context there as yet few parallels for the Craw Stane complex at Rhynie. Indeed there are only small numbers of Pictish settlement sites in eastern Scotland known and these are generally restricted to hill or promontory forts.[111] With regards to hillforts we know that by the 7th century, complex multivallate forts were beginning to be constructed in Pictland and elsewhere in northern Britain and by this stage they were generally defined by stone-faced, timber-laced ramparts.[112] However, at sites like Dundurn, Perthshire, the early phases appear to have consisted of a palisade or timber stockade of some kind.[113] The phase 1 palisade at Dundurn is poorly understood, being interpreted from slight remains and small keyhole trenches, but it clearly utilised complex carpentry and both post and plank elements, similar to that which must have been utilised in the Rhynie outer enclosure wall, and was perhaps built in the same period, though the radiocarbon evidence from Dundurn is poor.[114] Recent work at Craig Phadrig, Inverness, has identified that in the 5th–6th centuries, some form of palisade or perhaps post and plank setting was constructed on the top of an impressive Iron Age vitrified fort.[115] Thus, similar architectural elements of the Barflat outer enclosure may have been present in the early phases of Pictish hillforts, but our knowledge of the development of these sites is limited by the small scale of previous archaeological investigations.

In terms of size, Rhynie, at around 60 m in diameter for the outer enclosure can seem slight, but it compares well with the size of even the developed phases of major Pictish hillforts – it is comparable, for example, to the area that the summit citadel and upper enclosures at Dundurn and Dunadd.[116] In terms of the internal buildings of Pictish elite centres our knowledge is also frustratingly slight. Few definitive groundplans of buildings have been found within any of the previously excavated elite centres of early

---

[111] Ralston 2004; Noble et al 2013.
[112] Noble et al 2013, online appendix.
[113] Alcock et al 1989, 200.
[114] Alcock et al 1989, 201.
[115] Peteranna and Birch forthcoming.
[116] Lane and Campbell 2000.

medieval northern Britain. At Dunadd the summit citadel with an internal diameter of around 20 m could have been roofed, but this is uncertain, and no definite building foundations were found elsewhere in the fort.[117] At Clatchard Craig, Fife, a multivallate hillfort in southern Pictland, excavations in the upper citadel revealed a floor layer and central hearth of probable 7th or 8th century building. Like at the Barflat complex, little structural detail survived of the building at Clatchard Craig with no definite traces of an outer wall, but a pivot stone indicating a doorway and the extent of the floor layer suggests a building or around 9 by 4 m – comparable in size at least to the structures found at the centre of the Barflat complex.[118] Recent excavations at Dunnicaer, Aberdeenshire, a promontory fort dating to the 3rd and 4th centuries AD, where preservation levels were greater, has shown that the architecture clearly involved few earthfast elements for the walls, but surviving floor layers and hearths suggest modest buildings of less than 6 m in length/breadth.[119] Excavations at Burghead, Moray have also identified structures of similar dimensions to those at Rhynie with again few earthfast components.[120] After the 3rd century AD, the settlement record in mainland Scotland becomes exceptionally difficult to trace, a situation that characterizes Scottish rural settlement more generally till the 18th or 19th centuries.[121] It seems very likely that structures at Rhynie and other Pictish sites incorporated timber structural elements (not always with earth-fast elements) with plank revetting in some cases and turf or stone outer walls.[122] The lack of preservation of the internal structures at Rhynie makes it difficult to address whether these buildings were residences, workshops or more specialised structures, but the animal bone assemblage, evidence for cereals and quern stones, handmade pottery and the metalworking evidence suggest a successful centre of production and consumption that almost certainly had a residential dimension of some kind.

---

[117] Lane and Campbell 2000, 251.
[118] Close-Brooks 1986, 145.
[119] MacIver and Noble 2017.
[120] A building of around 9 x 5 m was found during 2017 excavations at Burghead: Sveinbjarnarson and Noble 2017.
[121] e.g. Fenton and Walker 1981, 73–76; Hunter 2007, 48–50; See RCAHMS 2007, chapter 3 for a detailed consideration of the destruction of archaeological remains in Aberdeenshire in cultivated landscapes and chapters 7–8 for a consideration of the lack of rural settlement in a post-Iron Age context.
[122] As argued for early Pictish structures at Portmahomack: Carver et al 2016, 86. Similar issues surrounding the survival (or lack of survival) of buildings at early medieval sites exist in western Britain, at Cadbury Castle Somerset, for example, Alcock found that there were few earthfast components to the rampart or to a putative timber hall found within (Alcock 1972, 176–177; Alcock 1995)

As well as comparing the Barflat complex to other Pictish fortified complexes it is also important to explore the parallels between the enclosure complex and elite centres elsewhere in Britain and Ireland. Indeed, while the size and character of structures at the Barflat complex displays some parallels with the hill- and promontory forts that are a well-known component of Pictish archaeology, topographically and architecturally the Barflat complex finds closer parallels with elite centres found in regions further to the south and west. The position of the Barflat complex in the valley below a topographically dominant Iron Age hillfort, for example, resembles the later Anglian royal site of Yeavering, Northumberland, which sits in the shadow of the large Iron Age fort of Yeavering Bell.[123] Indeed, architecturally, the use of plank-built architecture has direct parallels with elite architecture found at Anglian royal centres.[124]

The Barflat complex also shares important parallels with elite centres in early medieval Ireland. The scale of the enclosing elements and the valley-bottom location of the Rhynie enclosures finds good parallels with high-status ringforts in Ireland.[125] One of the most direct parallels is Clogher, County Tyrone, a major site of the Airgialla. In the 6th century AD Clogher was a c.50 m diameter enclosure.[126] Finds from Clogher include an important assemblage of Late Roman amphora and it was also an important centre for brooch production,[127] providing clear links to the character of the finds assemblage at Rhynie. Interestingly, there are also direct architectural links, for the architecture of the early phases of Clogher was also dominated by a large wooden enclosure boundary – indeed Warner highlights the use of the place-name *Durlas*, interpreted as *darles*, 'oak enclosure', for royal sites in Ireland.[128] *Durlas* 'oak enclosure' would be an apt word to describe the timber components of Clogher and Rhynie.

---

[123] <mark>REF</mark>

[124] While the Rhynie Barflat buildings were much more modest than those found at Anglian hall complexes, it is important to remember the early date of the Craw Stane complex with the structures at Rhynie more comparable to Anglo-Saxon buildings of the 5th–6th centuries. Anglo-Saxon buildings of this period rarely exceeded 12 m in length (Hamerow 2012, 22). The post-built structures 2,3 and 4 at Mucking for example were just over 10 m in length, though unlike many Pictish buildings earthfast elements were evident marking the line of the exterior walls (Hamerow 1993, 8, figs 54–56).

[125] <mark>REFS</mark>

[126] Warner 1988, 58; Warner 2000.

[127] Warner 1988, 57.

[128] Warner 1988, 57.

The links between Rhynie and Clogher highlight that one important shared element between early medieval power centres in northern Britain and Ireland is the evidence for production of metalwork and the presence of imports.[129] The finds from the Barflat complex of Mediterranean and Continental imports provides the best evidence yet that northern Pictland was directly keyed into the Atlantic trading system with the quantity of material from the site suggesting that this was a primary centre for redistribution in the region.[130] In terms of the range and character of objects found at the site, Rhynie also shares many of the key characteristics of high status sites located in Atlantic Britain and Ireland with the presence of weaponry, jewellery and precious metals.[131] The production evidence is particularly revealing and can be compared to the extensive evidence for craftworking at other northern elite centres sites such as Dunadd, Mote of Mark, and Brough of Birsay, though in all cases the craftworking evidence at these sites is generally later in date than the Rhynie assemblage.[132] Like at other elite centres, It is likely that the brooches, pins and other objects being produced at Rhynie were redistributed by elites to cement social relations with their followers, acting as a means of creating networks of affiliation and at the same time cementing social differentiation and the elite control of resources, but what is again surprising is the early date for the Rhynie assemblage and complex.[133] As well as helping to contextualize the site, the artefact assemablage is also important in terms of dating key artefact types from early medieval Britain and Ireland. The moulds for handpins and brooches for example, can help more securely date objects whose dating has had generally to rely on typological and relative dating.[134] The post-excavation analysis of the artefact assemblage will undoubtedly produce a rich body of evidence for further art-historical and archaeological analysis.

In terms of considering the nature of power at elite centres, the sculptural evidence from Rhynie is a notable element. While the assemblage of stones from Rhynie include examples with few specific parallels, carved stone monuments or carvings on outcrops are not

---

[129] Campbell 2007, 123–4.
[130] Campbell 2007, 123.
[131] See Campbell 2007, Tables 17–19. Table 19 shows comparable sites in Scotland – to date only silver working not gold has been found at sites in Pictland. The sword pommel from Rhynie is the first from a defended site in Pictland. See also Campbell 1996.
[132] Curle 1982; Lane and Campbell 2000; Laing and Longley 2006.
[133] Nieke 1993, 128; Campbell 2007, 116.
[134] e.g.Stevenson 1955; Laing 1975, 322–3; Kilbride-Jones 1980; Youngs 1989, 22.

unknown from early medieval central places more generally in northern Britain. Well-known examples include the footprint and boar from Dunadd in western Scotland, Pictish style carvings from Trusty's Hill in southwest Scotland and bull carvings from Burghead.[135] In each case these sites have been interpreted as major power centres within their regional setting. In Pictland other, as yet unexcavated, sites may provide similar evidence of unusual sculpture marking high status settlements. The Rhynie Man, for example, is paralleled by a similar though more modest (in terms of size) carving from Mail (Cunningsburgh), Shetland.[136] The place-name Cunningsburgh probably derives from Old Norse *konungsborg* 'king's fort',[137] hinting at the perceived high-status nature of this site in Shetland in the later 1st millennium AD when the place-name was probably coined.[138]

The character of the human-like figures on Rhynie No.3 and No.7 suggest that the role of the site did not lie solely in its function as a residence or as a site associated with the amassing and redistribution of wealth. Cult dimensions to Rhynie have been recently cited with the Rhynie Man interpreted as a figure involved in animal sacrifice.[139] Indeed, the intertwining of cult and ritual with sites of residence and centres of production and consumption has been noted widely across Europe. The evidence from Anglo-Saxon England, at high status sites such as Yeavering for example, suggest that sites of cult and ritual may have been a part of these settlements.[140] In Ireland, cult and sacrifice has been highlighted as an important dimension of royal sites.[141] In Scandinavia too, the character of finds from a number central places suggests that elements of ritual practice were integral to the emergence and development of major central places with a number of 'cult' buildings implying that ritual, and an architectural focus for such practices, formed an important element of political authority at these sites.[142] New forms of cult and ritual more generally seem to have underpinned the new socio-political identities that emerged across Northwest

---

[135] RCAHMS 2008, 64, 66; Gondek 2015; Toolis and Bowles 2017.

[136] Turner 1994.

[137] Stewart 1987, 48–9. The earliest forms he gives are: *Konesbrocht*, *Konosbrocht*, *Konnesbrocht* 1507, *Cunnisburgh* 1565.

[138] For a thoughtful and usefully cautious discussion of this name in relation to the place, see Johnston 1999. For the argument that the eponymous 'king's fort' is Mousa Broch, so named by the Norse because of its relative magnificence and great size, see Smith 2016, 16. Thanks to Brian Smith for these references.

[139] Noble et al 2013, 1147.

[140] Hope-Taylor 1977, 97–102 argued that the hall complex was aligned on prehistoric barrows and the hall complex included a cult structure D2 as a central component of its layout.

[141] Newman 2007; Schot et al 2011; Gleeson 2012; Gleeson and Carty 2013.

[142] Brink 1996, 237; Ringtved 1999, 50; Watt 1999, 173; Hedeager 2011, 152.

Europe in a late and post-Roman context and at elite centres these dimensions of power were deeply interwoven with secular trappings of power and rulership.[143]

In Pictland, the alignment of sacred and secular power is emphasised both in the archaeological and textual evidence for the period. In Adomnán's account of the 6th century Pictish king Bridei's fortress near Loch Ness, the presence of druids (*magi*) within the king's court is vividly highlighted in vignettes of Columba's life and miracles, creating a confrontation between Christianity and paganism.[144] The stones at the Barflat complex such as the Craw Stane and the Rhynie Man suggest that monumental symbols of identity and perhaps pagan belief were on prominent display at the enclosure complex. The iconographic evidence from Rhynie is a visceral reminder of the sacral dimensions of early kingship, but the practices and beliefs that stones such as the Rhynie Man stone depict may have been much more widespread across Britain and Ireland. For example, axe hammers of the type depicted on the Rhynie Man stone have been found at sites such as Cadbury Castle, Somerset and Lagore, Ireland.[145] It may be that the sacrificial practices that the Rhynie Man embodied were a much wider part of early medieval rulership that has hitherto not been fully recognized.

Other important monuments from Rhynie can also be highlighted. The warrior figure, No.3, was originally found near the northern extent of the cemetery and near to two large square enclosures of a type that have been interpreted as shrines at Forteviot with parallels with similar features at Anglo-Saxon cemeteries.[146] This suggests that other foci, perhaps also with cult dimensions, existed in the Rhynie landscape. At the cemetery the presence of the No. 3 stone, carved with a figure with spear and shield, suggests that a warrior ideology was also intertwined with the ideology of rulership at Rhynie. Clearly the power of sites such as Rhynie drew on ritualised, spiritual and martial dimensions, with these concepts embodied and materialised through the sculpture that stood within the enclosures and in the surrounding landscape. The stones from Rhynie and the new contextual information provided by the excavations adds important iconographic and material evidence to our

---

[143] e.g. Sundqvist 2002, 166; Ringtved 1999, 49; Hedeager 2011, 152.
[144] I.37, II.33. In Ireland the status of druids had diminished by the 7th–8th centuries, but they were still present and influential enough to be included in lists of privileged people in law tracts: Kelly 1991, 59–60.
[145] Alcock 1972, 80–81; Hencken 1950, Fig 40a.
[146] Blair 1995; Campbell and Maldonado forthcoming.

narratives regarding the importance of the sacral dimensions of rulership in early medieval Europe.

CONCLUSIONS

Bringing the evidence together we would suggest that Rhynie was a high status centre of the Picts from the 4th to the early 6th century AD, the first major non-hillfort secular elite site investigated in detail in Pictland to date. The evidence for fine metalworking from the Barflat complex shows that there was a major centre for production and long-distance trade in the Rhynie valley and the presence of buildings and the artefact and faunal assemblage suggest that at least at times the Barflat site acted as a residence. The place-name evidence suggests the valley was associated with a royal elite and the sculptural evidence suggests cult and martial dimensions to the orchestration of power at 4th-6th century site. The evidence from Rhynie with its rich sculptural, architectural and material evidence can begin to contribute to international debates and dialogue on the ways in which ruling elites enacted particular pathways to power in the early medieval period through the construction of polyfocal places that helped enact and materialize power.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Aitchison, N 1994, *Armagh and the royal centres in early medieval Ireland: monuments, cosmology, and the past*, Woodbridge: Boydell.

Alcock, L. 1972 *'By South Cadbury is that Camelot…': The Excavation of Cadbury Castle 1966-70*, London: Thames and Hudson.

Alcock, L 1988, 'The activities of potentates in Celtic Britain, AD 500-800: a positivist approach', in S T Driscoll and M R Nieke (eds), *Power and Politics in Early Medieval Britain and Ireland*, Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, 22-46.

Alcock, L, 1995, *Cadbury Castle, Somerset: the early medieval archaeology*, Cardiff: University of Wales Press.

Alcock, L 2003, *Kings and Warriors, Craftsmen and Priests in Northern Britain AD 550-850*, Edinburgh: Society of Antiquaries of Scotland.

Alcock, L and Alcock, E A 1987, 'Reconnaissance excavations on Early Historic fortifications and other royal sites in Scotland, 1974-84: 2, Excavations at Dunollie Castle, Oban, Argyll 1978', *Proc Soc Antiq Scot* **117**, 119-147.

Alcock, L and Alcock, E A 1990, 'Reconnaissance excavations on Early Historic fortifications other royal sites Scotland,1974-84: Excavations at Alt Clut, Clyde Rock, Strathclyde, 1974-75', *Proc Soc Antiq Scot* **120,** 95-149.

Alcock, L and Alcock, E A 1992, 'Reconnaissance excavations on early historic fortifications and other royal sites in Scotland, 1974–84; 5: A, Excavations and other fieldwork at Forteviot, Perthshire, 1981; B, Excavations at Urquhart Castle, Inverness-shire, 1983; C, Excavations at Dunnottar, Kincardineshire, 1984', *Proc Soc Antiq Scot* **122**, 215–87.

Alcock, L, Alcock, E A and Driscoll, S T 1989, 'Reconnaissance excavations on Early Historic fortications and other royal sites in Scotland, 1974–84; 3: Excavations at Dundurn, Strathearn, Perthshire, 1976–77', *Proc Soc Antiq Scot* **119**, 189–226.

Alcock, L., Alcock, E A, and Foster, S M 1986 'Reconnaissance excavations of Early Historic fortifications and other royal sites in Scotland, 1974–84: 1, Excavations near St Abb's Head, Berwickshire, 1980'. *Proc Soc Antiq Scot* **120**, 255–79.

Alexander, W M 1952, *The Place-Names of Aberdeenshire*, Aberdeen: Spalding Club.

Anderson, A O 1922, *Early Sources of Scottish History A.D. 500 to 1286*, Edinburgh: Oliver & Boyd.

Anderson, M O 2011, *Kings and Kingship in Early Scotland* (reprint of 2nd edition), Edinburgh: Birlinn.

Arnold, C J 1997, *An archaeology of the early Anglo-Saxon kingdoms*, London: Routledge.

Ashmore, P 1999, 'Radiocarbon dating: avoiding errors by avoiding mixed samples', *Antiquity* **73**, 124–30.

Atkinson, D 2006 *Mither Tap Fort, Bennachie, Aberdeenshire: Results of an Archaeological Watching Brief*, Edinburgh: Headland Archaeology, Unpublished Data Structure Report.

Bannerman, J 1974, *Studies in the History of Dalriada*, Edinburgh: Scottish Academic Press.

Barrow, G W S 1988, 'Badenoch and Strathspey, 1130-1312. 1 Secular and Political', *Northern Scotland* **8**, 1–15.

Barrow, G W S 2003, *The Kingdom of the Scots* (2nd edition), Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.

Beam, A, Bradley, J, Broun, D, Davies, J R, Hammond, M, Pasin, M (with others) 2012, *The People of Medieval Scotland, 1093–1314*, Glasgow and London, www.poms.ac.uk. [accessed 2 Feb 2018].

Bhreathnach, E 2014, *Ireland in the Medieval World, AD 400–1000: Landscape, Kinship and Religion*, Dublin: Four Courts Press.

Blair, J 1995, 'Anglo-Saxon shrines and their prototypes', *Anglo-Saxon Studies in Archaeology and History* **38**, 1–28.

Bradley, J 2011, 'An early medieval crannog at Moynagh Lough, Co. Meath', in C Corlett and M Potterton (eds), *Settlement in early medieval Ireland in the light of recent archaeological excavations,* Research Papers in Irish Archaeology 3. Dublin: Wordwell, 11–34.

Brander, R 2014, *Over the Hills Tae Huntly: Hielan' Ways in Former Days*, Huntly: Deveron Arts.

Brennan, N and Hamerow, H 2015, 'An Anglo-Saxon great hall complex at Sutton Courtenay/Drayton, Oxfordshire: a royal centre of early Wessex?', *The Archaeological Journal* **172**: 325–50.

Brink, S. 1996, 'Political and Social Structures in Early Scandinavia. A settlement-historical pre-study of the central place', *Tor* **28**: 235–81.

Bronk Ramsey, C 1995, 'Radiocarbon calibration and analysis of stratigraphy: the OxCal program', *Radiocarbon*, **37**, 425–30

Bronk Ramsey, C 1998, 'Probability and dating', *Radiocarbon*, **40 (1)**, 461–74

Bronk Ramsey, C 2001, 'Development of the radiocarbon calibration program', *Radiocarbon*, **43**, 355–63

Bronk Ramsey, C 2009 'Bayesian analysis of radiocarbon dates', *Radiocarbon*, **51(1)**, 337–60.

Broun, D 1999, *The Irish Identity of the Kingdom of the Scots in the Twelfth and Thirteenth Centuries*, Woodbridge: Boydell.

Broun, D 2007, *Scottish Independence and the Idea of Britain from the Picts to Alexander III*, Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.

Broun, D 2015, 'Statehood and lordship in 'Scotland' before the mid-twelfth century', *The Innes Review* 66.1, 1–71.

Broun, D forthcoming, 'The origins of the *mormaer*'.

Buck, C E, Cavanagh, W G, and Litton, C D 1996, *Bayesian approach to interpreting archaeological data*, Chichester: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Campbell, E 1996 'Trade in the Dark Age West: a peripheral activity?' in B Crawford (ed), *Scotland in Dark Age Britain*. St Andrews: Scottish Cultural Press, 79–91.

Campbell, E 2007, *Continental and Mediterranean Imports to Atlantic Britain and Ireland, AD 400-800*, York: Council for British Archaeology Research Report 157.

Campbell, E and Heald, A 2007, 'A Pictish brooch mould from North Uist: implications for the organisation of non-ferrous metalworking in the later 1st Millenium A.D.', *Medieval Archaeology*, **51**, 172–178.

Campbell, E and Maldonado, A forthcoming, 'The Pictish cemetery and other features', in E Campbell and S T Driscoll (eds), *Royal Forteviot: Forteviot in the 1st and 2nd Millennia*, Council Brit Archaeol Res Rep.

Carver, M 2011, 'Lost, found, repossessed or argued away – the case of the Picts', *Antiquity* **85**, 1479–1483.

Carver, M, Garner-Lahire, J, and Spall, C 2016, *Portmahomack on Tarbat Ness: Changing Ideologies in North-East Scotland, Sixth to Sixteenth Century AD*, Edinburgh: Society of Antiquaries of Scotland.

Clancy, T 2008 'Deer and the early church in North-Eastern Scotland', in K Forsyth (ed) *Studies on the Book of Deer*, Dublin: Four Courts, 363–397.

Clarke, D V 2007 'Reading the Multiple Lives of Pictish Symbol Stones', Medieval Archaeology 51. 19–39.

Close-Brooks, J 1986 'Excavations at Clatchard Craig, Fife', *Proc Soc Ant Scot* **116**, 117–184.

Colgrave, B and Mynors R A B (eds and trans) 1969, *Bede's Ecclesiastical History of the English People*, Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Cook, M 2010, 'New light on oblong forts: excavations at Dunnideer, Aberdeenshire', *Proc Soc Antiq Scot* **140**, 79–91.

Cook, M 2011, 'New evidence for the activities of Pictish potentates in Aberdeenshire: the hillforts of Strathdon', *Proc Soc Antiq Scot* **141,** 207–30.

Cowan, I B 1967, *The Parishes of Medieval Scotland*, Edinburgh: Scottish Record Society.

Curle, C L 1982, *Pictish and Norse finds from the Brough of Birsay 1934-74*, Edinburgh: Society Antiquaries of Scotland Monograph Series No 1.

Dobbs, M 1949, 'Cé: the Pictish name of a district in eastern Scotland', *Scottish Gael Stud* **6**, 137–8.

Douglas Simpson, W 1922, 'The Architectural History of Huntly Castle', *Proc Soc Ant Scot* 56, 134–163.

Douglas Simpson, W 1930, 'Craig Castle and the Kirk of Auchindoir', *Proc Soc Ant Scot* **64**, 48–96.

Driscoll, S T 1998, 'Political discourse and the growth of Christian ceremonialism in Pictland, the place of the St Andrews Sarcophagus', in S M Foster (ed) *St Andrews Sarcophagus, a Pictish Masterpiece and its International Connections*, Dublin: Four Courts Press, 168–178.

Driscoll, S, Geddes, J & M Hall (eds) 2011, *Pictish Progress*, Leiden: Brill.

Dunbar, E, Cook, G T, Naysmith, P, Tripney, B G, Xu, S 2016 'AMS ^{14}C dating at the Scottish Universities Environmental Research Centre (SUERC) Radiocarbon Dating Laboratory', *Radiocarbon* **58**, 9–23.

Duncan, A A M 1975, *Scotland. The Making of the Kingdom*, Edinburgh: Oliver & Boyd.

Evans, N 2013, 'Circin and Mag Gerginn: Pictish Territories in Irish and Scottish Sources', *Cambrian Medieval Celtic Studies* **66**, 1–36.

Evans, N 2014, *A Historical Introduction to the Northern Picts,* Aberdeen: University of Aberdeen.

Fabech, C & Näsman, U 2013, 'Ritual Landscapes and Sacral Places in the First Millennium AD in South Scandinavia', in S W Nordeide & S Brink (eds) *Sacred Sites and Holy Places. Exploring the Sacralization of Landscape through Time and Space*, Turnhout: Brepols, 53–109.

Fenton, A and Walker, B 1981, *The Rural Architecture of Scotland*, Edinburgh: John Donald.

Fraser, J E 2009, *From Caledonia to Pictland: Scotland to 795,* Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.

Gleeson, P 2012, 'Constructing kingship in early medieval Ireland: power, place and ideology', *Medieval Archaeol* **56**, 1–33.

Gleeson P and Carty N 2013 'Kingship, Violence and Loch Dá Gabhor: royal landscapes and the production of authority in early medieval Brega', *Ríocht na Midhe* **24**, 29–72.

Gondek, M 2006, 'Investing in Sculpture: Power in early historic Scotland', *Medieval Archaeol* **50**, 105–142.

Gondek, M. 2015. 'Building blocks: structural contexts and carved stones', in H. Williams, J. Kirton and M. Gondek (Eds), *Early Medieval Stone Monuments: Materiality, Biography, Landscape,* Boydell and Brewer, 87-112.

Gondek, M and Noble, G 2011, 'Together as one: the landscape of the symbol stones at Rhynie, Aberdeenshire', in Driscoll et al 2011, 95-110.

Gondek, M and Noble, G 2015, 'The land before symbol stones: a geophysical survey of Rhynie, Aberdeenshire, and the excavation of a Middle Bronze Age structure near the Craw Stane, Barflat', *Proc Soc Antiq Scot* **145,** 125–52.

Greig, M 1994, 'Sites identified while checking aerial photographs and maps held by GRC, or as the result of an aerial reconnaissance programme', *Discovery and Excavation in Scotland* 1994, 26.

Grigg, J 2015, *The Philospher King and the Pictish Nation*, Dublin: Four Courts Press.

Hamerow, H 1993, *Excavations at Mucking, Volume 2: The Anglo-Saxon Settlement,* English Heritage Archaeological Report 21.

Hamerow, H 2012, *Rural settlements and society in Anglo-Saxon England*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Hedeager, L 2002, 'Scandinavian 'Central Places' in a Cosmological setting', in B Hårdh and L Larsson (eds) *Central Places in the Migration and Merovingian Period*, Stockholm: Almqvist & Wiksell International, 3–18.

Hedeager, L 2011, *Iron Age Myth and Materiality: An Archaeology of Scandinavia AD 400-1000*, London: Routledge.

Hencken, H O' N 1950, 'Lagore Crannog: an Irish royal residence of the seventh to tenth centuries AD', *Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy* **53**, 1–248.

Henderson, J A 1907, 'Aberdeenshire epitaphs and inscriptions: with historical, biographical, genealogical, and antiquarian notes', Aberdeen: Aberdeen Daily Journal Press.

Henderson, I 1958, 'The origin centre of the Pictish symbol stones', *Proc Soc Antiq Scot* **91**, 44–60.

Henderson, I and Henderson, G 2004, *The art of the Picts: sculpture and metalwork in early medieval Scotland*, London: Thames and Hudson.

Hennessy, W M (ed and trans) 1866, *Chronicum Scotorum. A Chronicle of Irish Affairs from the Earliest Times to A.D. 1135; with a supplement containing the events from 1141 to 1150,* London: Rolls Series [CS].

Hope-Taylor, B 1977, *Yeavering, an Anglo-British-British centre of early Northumbria*, London: Her Majesty's Stationary Office.

Hunter, F 2007, *Beyond the Edge of the Empire: Caledonians, Picts and Romans*, Rosemarkie: Groam House Museum.

Innes, C (ed) 1837, *Registrum episcopatus Moraviensis*, Edinburgh: Bannatyne Club.

Isaac, Graham R 2005, 'Scotland', in J de Hoz, E R Luján and P Sims-Williams (eds), *New Approaches to Celtic Place-Names in Ptolemy's Geography*. Madrid: Ediciones Clásicas, 189–214.

Jaski, B 2000, *Early Irish Kingship and Succession*, Dublin: Four Courts Press.

Johnston, G 1999, 'Cunningsburgh - Pictish power centre?', *New Shetlander* **208**, 15–20.

Kelly, F 1991, *A guide to early Irish Law*, Dublin: Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies.

Kilbride-Jones, H 1980, *Zoomorphic Pennanular Brooches*, Dorking: Adlard and Son.

Laing, L 1975, 'People and pins in Dark Age Scotland', *Transactions of the Dumfriesshire and Galloway Natural History and Antiquarian Society* 50, 53–71.

Laing, L. and Longley, D 2006, *The Mote of Mark: A Dark Age Hillfort in South-West Scotland*, Oxford: Oxbow.

Lane, A and Campbell, E 2000, *Dunadd: an Early Dalriadic Capital*, Oxford: Oxbow.

Logan, J 1929, 'Observations on several monumental stones in the north of Scotland', *Archaeologia* **22**, 55–8.

Lynn, C 1986, 'Lagore, Co. Meath, and Ballinderry Co. Westmeath – some possible structural reinterpretations', Journal of Irish Archaeology **3**, 69–73.

Lynn, C 2003, *Navan Fort: Archaeology and Myth*, Bray: Wordwell.

Mac Airt, S and Mac Niocaill, G 1983 (eds and trans), *The Annals of Ulster (To A.D. 1131) Part I Text and Translation*, Dublin: Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies [AU].

Mac Cana, P 1980, *The Learned Tales of Medieval Ireland*, Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies: Dublin.

MacDonald, J 1891, *Place names in Strathbogie: with notes historical, antiquarian and descriptive*, Aberdeen: D Wyllie.

MacIver, C and Noble, G. 2017, Dunnicaer promontory fort: Data Structure Report. Unpublished University of Aberdeen report.

McNeill, P G B, and MacQueen, H L (eds) 1996, *Atlas of Scottish History*, The Scottish Medievalists and the Department of Geography, University of Edinburgh.

Mitchell, J and Noble, G 2017, 'The Monumental Cemeteries of Northern Pictland', *Medieval Archaeol* **61 (1)**, 115–154.

Newman, C 1997, *Tara: An Archaeological Survey*, Dublin: Discovery Programme Monograph 2.

Newman, C 2007, 'Procession and symbolism at Tara: analysis of Tech Midchuarta (the Banqueting Hall) in the context of the sacral campus', *Oxford Journal of Archaeology* **26 (4)**, 415–438.

Nieke, M R 1993, Pennanular and related brooches: secular ornament or symbol in action?', in J Higgitt and R M Spearman (eds), *The Age of Migrating Ideas: Early Medieval Art in Northern Britain and Ireland*, Edinburgh: National Museums Scotland, 128–34.

Noble, G, Gondek, M, Campbell, E et al 2013, 'Between prehistory and history: the archaeological detection of social change among the Picts', *Antiquity* **87**, 1136–50.

Ó Corráin, D 2005 'Ireland *c.*800: Aspects of Society', in D. Ó Cróinín (ed), *A New History of Ireland. I. Prehistoric and Early Ireland*, Oxford: Oxford University Press: Oxford, 549–608.

O'Sullivan, A, McCormick, F, Kerr, T R et al 2014, *Early Medieval Ireland AD 400–1100: The Evidence from Archaeological Excavation*, Dublin: Royal Irish Academy Monograph.

Oakley, Francis, *Empty Bottles of Gentilism. Kingship and the Divine in Late Antiquit and the Early Middle Ages (to 1050)*, New Haven and London: Yale University Press.

Peteranna, M and Birch, S, forthcoming. 'Storm Damage at Craig Phadrig Hillfort, Inverness: Results of the Emergency Archaeological Evaluation'.

Ralston, I 1997, 'Pictish homes' in D Henry (ed) 1997, *The Worm, the Germ and the Thorn: Pictish and Related Studies Presented to Isabel Henderson*. Balneaves: Pinkfoot Press, 18–34.

Ralston I B M 2004, *The Hill-forts of Pictland since the Problem of the Picts*, Rosemarkie: Groam House Museum.

RCAHMS 2007, *In the Shadow of Bennachie: A Field Archaeology of Donside, Aberdeenshire*, Edinburgh: RCAHMS.

RCAHMS 2008, *The Pictish Symbol Stones of Scotland*, Edinburgh: RCAHMS.

Reimer, PJ, Bard, E, Bayliss, A, Beck, JW, Blackwell, PG, Bronk Ramsey, C, Buck, CE, Cheng, H, Edwards, RL, Friedrich, M, Grootes, PM, Guilderson, TP, Haflidason, H, Hajdas, I, Hatté, C, Heaton, TJ, Hoffmann, DL, Hogg, AG, Hughen, KA, Kaiser, KF, Kromer, B, Manning, SW, Niu, M, Reimer, RW, Richards, DA, Scott, EM, Southon, JR, Staff, RA, Turney, CSM, van der Plicht, J 2013 'IntCal13 and Marine13 radiocarbon age calibration curves 0–50,000 years cal BP', *Radiocarbon*, 55, 1869–87

Ringtved, J 1999, 'The geography of power: south Scandinavia before the Danish kingdom', in T Dickinson & D Griffiths (eds) *The making of kingdoms. Anglo-Saxon Studies in Archaeology and History 10*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 49–64.

Ritchie, A 1994, *Perceptions of the Picts: from Eumenius to John Buchan*, Rosemarkie: Groam House Museum.

Rivet, A L F and Smith, C 1979, *The Place-Names of Roman Britain*, London: Batsford.

Ross, A D 2003, 'The Province of Moray, c.1000-1230', 2 vols, unpublished Ph.D., University of Aberdeen.

Ross, A 2011, *The Kings of Alba, c.1000–c.1130*, Edinburgh: John Donald.

Ross, A 2013, 'Two surveys of Vernacular Buildings and Tree Usage in the Lordship of Strathavon, Banffshire, 1585x1612', *Miscellany of the Scottish History Society XIV*, 1–60. Woodbridge: The Boydell Press.

Ross, A 2015, *Land Assessment and Lordship in Medieval Northern Scotland*, Turnhout: Brepols.

Schot, R, Newman, C and Bhreathnach, E 2011, *Landscapes of Cult and Kingship*, Dublin: Four Courts Press.

Scott, H 1926, Fasti Ecclesiae Scoticanae. *The Succession of Ministers in the Church of Scotland from the Reformation*, vol. VI, rev. edn., Edinburgh: Oliver and Boyd.

Sharpe, R 1995 (trans), *Adomnan of Iona: Life of St Columba*, Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Shepherd, I A G and Shepherd, A N 1978, 'An incised Pictish figure and a new symbol stone from Barflat, Rhynie, Gordon District', *Proc Soc Ant Scot* **109**, 211–222.

Small, A and Cottan, B 1972, *Craig Phadrig*, Dundee: University of Dundee Geography Department.

Smith, B 2016, 'Did the broch of Mousa have a roof? – and why not!', *The New Shetlander* **276**, 4–17.

Stevenson, B K 1955. 'Pins and the chronology of brochs', *Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society* **21**, 282–94.

Stewart, J. 1987, *Shetland Place-Names*, Lerwick: Shetland Library and Museum.

Stokes, W (ed and trans) 1896, 'The Annals of Tigernach. Third fragment, A.D. 489-766', *Revue Celtique* 17, 119-263 [AT].

Stout, M 1997, *The Irish Ringfort*, Dublin: Four Courts Press.

Stuiver, M and Kra, R S 1986, 'Editorial comment', *Radiocarbon*, **28**, ii.

Stuiver, M and Polach, H A 1977, 'Reporting of ^{14}C data', *Radiocarbon*, **19**, 355–63.

Stuiver, M and Reimer, P J 1993, 'Extended ^{14}C data base and revised CALIB 3.0 ^{14}C calibration program', *Radiocarbon*, **35 (1)**, 215–30.

Sundqvist, O 2002, *Freyr's Offspring. Rulers and religion in ancient Svea society*, Uppsala: Acta Universitatis Upsaliensis.

Sveinbjarnarson, O and Noble, G. 2017, Burghead fort: Data Structure Report. Unpublished University of Aberdeen report.

Taylor, S 2011, 'Pictish place-names revisited', in Driscoll et al 2011, 67–120.

Taylor, S with Márkus, G 2012, *The Place-Names of Fife, Volume 5*, Donington: Shaun Tyas.

Thomas, G 2013, 'Life before the minster: the social dynamics of monastic foundation at Anglo-Saxon Lyminge, Kent'. *Antiquaries Journal* **93**, 109–145.

Toolis, R and Bowles, C 2017, 'The Lost Dark Age Kingdom of Rheged: the Discovery of a Royal Stronghold at Trusty's Hill, Galloway', Oxford: Oxbow Books.

Turner, V 1994, 'The Mail stone: an incised Pictish figure from Mai, Cunningsburgh, Shetland', *Proc Soc Ant Scot* **124**, 315–325.

Ulmschneider, K 2011, 'Settlement Hierarchy' in H Hamerow, D Hinton and S Crawford (eds) 2011, *The Oxford Handbook of Anglo-Saxon Archaeology,* Oxford: Oxford University Press, 156–171.

Wainwright, F T 1955, 'Houses and graves' in F T Wainwright (ed) 1955, *The Problem of the Picts*. Edinburgh: Nelson, 87–96.

Ward, G K and Wilson, S R 1978, 'Procedures for comparing and combining radiocarbon age determinations: a critique', *Archaeometry*, **20**, 19–32

Warner, R 1986, 'The date of the start of Lagore', *Journal of Irish Archaeology* **3**, 61–9.

Warner, R 1988, 'The archaeology of early historic kingship', in S Driscoll and M Nieke (eds), *Power and Politics in Early Medieval Britain and Ireland,* Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, 47–68.

Warner, R 2000, 'Clogher: an archaeological window on early medieval Tyrone and mid-Ulster', in C Dillon and H Jefferies (eds), *Tyrone: History and Society*, Dublin: Geography Publications, 39–54.

Watson, W J 1926, *The History of the Celtic Place-Names of Scotland*, Edinburgh and London: Blackwood.

Watt, M 1999, 'Kings or gods? Iconographic evidence for Scandinavian gold foil figures', in T Dickinson and D Griffiths (eds) *The making of kingdoms (Anglo-Saxon Studies in Archaeology and History 10)*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 173–183.

Wickham, C 2005, *Framing the Middle Ages. Europe and the Mediterranean, 400–800*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Winchester, A, 'The Multiple Estate: A Framework for the Evolution of Settlement in Anglo-Saxon and Scandinavian Cumbria', in J R Baldwin and I D Whyte (eds), *The Scandinavians in Cumbria*, Edinburgh: Scottish Society for Northern Studies, 89–101.

Woolf, A 2006, 'Dun Nechtain, Fortriu and the geography of the Picts', *Scott Hist Rev* **85**,182–201.

Woolf, A 2007, *From Pictland to Alba, 789–1070*, Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.

Youngs, S 1989, 'Fine metalwork ta c. AD 650', in S Youngs (ed), *The Work of Angels: Masterpieces of Celtic Metalwork, 6th to 9th Centuries AD*. London: British Museum Publications, 20–64.