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Abstract
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1. Introduction

Nowadays, cardiovascular disease is one of the most dangerous diseases in the world. Applying stents into narrowed blood vessels to restore normal blood flow is a less invasive method for the treatment of cardiovascular diseases (Neamtu et al., 2014, Ravindranath et al., 2015, Kuribayashi et al., 2006, Layman et al., 2010). Traditional materials for medical stents are stainless steel, nickel titanium alloy or cobalt chromium alloy. However, the contact between metal stent and vascular wall initiates a biological response that causes intimal hyperplasia and restenosis of the blood vessel. In addition, inflammation and thrombosis are side effects which have been associated with lack of biocompatibility of metal materials (Wang et al., 2016). To improve the performance of cardiovascular stents, shape memory polymers (SMPs) have been suggested as a new potential material (Hou et al., 2016).

SMPs, as a new type of smart material (Zheng et al., 2018, Rosso et al., 2005, Z. Liu et al., 2015, Eskandari et al. 2018), have superior large deformation characteristics, good biocompatibility and biodegradability (Liu et al., 2007, Ratna and Karger-Kocsis, 2008). They therefore represent a good candidate material to address limitations associated with more traditional materials like metals. Examples of the current use of SMPs include SMP scaffolds (Lendlein and Langer, 2002). Compared with traditional steel stents, SMP stents exhibit good stiffness compatibility with the vessel and tunable deformation for navigating highly tortuous vessels (Small et al., 2010). Many investigations have already been reported on the feasibility of SMP stents. Wache et al. proposed a new concept for a vascular endoprothesis stent made by SMPs and discussed the possibility of using the stent as a drug delivery system (Wache et al., 2003). Gall et al. explored the shape memory effect in polymer networks intended for cardiovascular applications (Gall et al., 2010). Yackacki et al. proposed the use of SMPs for cardiovascular stent interventions to reduce the catheter size for delivery (Yackacki et al., 2007). The SMP stent offered highly controlled and tailored deployment at body temperature. Baer et al. showed the design and fabrication of an SMP stent and a means of light delivery for photothermal actuation (Baer et al., 2007, Baer et al., 2009). Ajili et al. in an experimental study proposed a polyurethane/polycaprolactone (PU/PCL) blend as a material for SMP stents (Ajili et al., 2009). Although the experiments showed good biocompatibility and biodegradability of SMP scaffolds, a theoretical and numerical study on the whole deformation behavior has been lacking.

During deployment, stents are delivered to the diseased artery in a crimped state and are then expanded, usually through balloon inflation. In contrast, the SMP stent would expand initiatively by temperature stimuli. In previous study, the numerical analysis of SMP stents is most concentrated on the compressive behavior (Kim et al., 2010), while the crucial expansion properties are ignored. Therefore, existing numerical studies do not account for the complete deployment process. Modelling and simulation has the potential to help fully understand the detailed deformation behavior and the effects of SMP stent recovery.
parameters on the SMP stent deformation. Furthermore, a clearly defined analysis method should be proposed to give a concrete and characteristic description of the SMP stent.

In the present work, we adopt a numerical modelling and simulation approach to investigate the feasibility and design aspects of SMP stent expansion. Unfortunately, previous attempts to provide constitutive relationships for SMP stents have not accounted for the possibility of large deformation of the SMP stent. Hence, a modified constitutive model able to describe large deformation and more accurately capture the expansion process will be considered here. Besides, the detailed expansion performance of SMP stent is investigated. Differently from traditional metal stents, the expansion of SMP stents is spontaneous due to the properties of SMPs and is influenced by many factors, such as heating rate and recovery temperature. In the following work, the effects of heating rate and recovery temperature on the expansion of SMP stents will be studied. In addition, the radial strength of the SMP stent is also analyzed. Moreover, a fitting equation based on the characteristics of shape memory polymer is proposed to describe the SMP stent expansion routine.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the geometric models of the stent and the blood vessel. The material constitutive models of the stent, plaque and vessel are also discussed. Considering the longitudinal strength of the stent, a parallel structure is chosen to analyze the thermomechanical performance. Section 3 outlines the numerical computation of the SMP stent expansion. In this section, the effect of heating rate and temperature is assessed. The numerical results are discussed in section 4. We also compare the results with shape memory alloy stents and find the SMP stent to be more stable. Finally, we make a summary of the numerical performance of the SMP stent.

2. Modelling of SMP stent, plaque and vessel

2.1 Geometric models

The structure of vascular stents has evolved with time to overcome limitations associated with earlier designs. The geometry of the stent is a critical factor to ensure an adequate expansion process. Nowadays, the popular structure for metal stents is a ring with a link (series stent), in order to get a better longitudinal compliance for the high modulus of metal. However, the SMP stent is much softer than metal by an order of magnitude at “MPa” and the SMP stent may not provide enough radial strength if designed in the same way as metal stent, as SMPs are much softer than metals. Thus, we should reconsider the structure of SMP stents. Wang et al. discussed four geometrical families of stent: individual stents, series stents, parallel stents and series-parallel stents (Wang et al., 2017). They considered the ability of the stent to resist deformation induced by outer pressure, what they referred to as resistive force (RF) in their paper. The comparison of resistive force of the four stents showed that the series–parallel stent exhibited the largest resistive force under a compression constraint. Therefore, we adopt the series–parallel structure to demonstrate the thermo-mechanical behavior of the SMP stent (Figure 1). The model is composed of a periodic arrangement of cells, specifically twelve cells in both the circumferential and longitudinal directions. The model of the vessel with idealized plaque is shown in Figure 2. We consider idealized cylindrical vessel and plaque geometries in this preliminary study. The specific geometric parameters including diameter, thickness and length of the expansion model are listed in Table 1. In order to enable vessel expansion, the diameter of the SMP stent is larger than that of the vessel. The thickness of plaque is varied as 0.2, 0.4 and 0.6mm to represent different stenosis. The stenosis
represents the reduction area of vessel. For the thickness of 0.2, 0.4 and 0.6mm, the corresponding stenosis rate is 9.3%, 19.95% and 32.25% respectively. Later we will discuss the expansion properties of the SMP stent at different levels of stenosis.

![Image of 3D model of SMP stent](image1)

Figure 1. The 3D model of SMP stent

![Image of idealized geometry showing one eighth of a 3D model of the vessel with stenosis](image2)

Figure 2. Schematic of the idealized geometry showing one eighth of a 3D model of the vessel with stenosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. The geometric parameters of the vessel, plaque and SMP stent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outer Diameter(mm)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vessel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plaque</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMP stent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.2 Constitutive models

Many different constitutive models have been proposed to accurately describe the thermomechanical behavior of SMP materials in a variety of applications (Li et al., 2015, Li et al., 2017, Li and Liu, 2018, Pan and Liu, 2018). However, most constitutive models are restricted on describing a large deformation of SMPs or explaining the large deformation with a complex expression. For example, Liu et al. proposed a rheological constitutive model using the concept of “frozen phase” and “active phase” (Liu et al., 2006). The model quantified the storage and release of the entropic deformation during the shape memory process under a small strain of 10%. A nonlinear constitutive model developed by Tobushi et al. could describe the thermo-mechanical properties of a higher 20% strain level (Tobushi et
However, the constitutive equation involved many coefficients being dependent on time or temperature. To enable the possibility of allowing for large deformations of the SMP stent, we adopt our model established by He et al. to show the thermo-mechanical behavior of SMP (He et al., 2015). The model is composed of a series of generalized Maxwell elements and a hyperelastic component (Figure 3).

![Schematic of generalized Maxwell model.](image)

Figure 3. Schematic of generalized Maxwell model.

The constitutive equation can be derived as:

$$\sigma(t) = E_0 \varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_0 \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_i e^{-t/\tau_i}$$

where $\sigma(t)$ is the total stress, $E_0$ is the Young’s modulus of the elastic item, $\varepsilon_0$ is the strain of the model, $t$ is the real time, $E_i$ and $\tau_i$ are the Young’s modulus and relaxation time of the Maxwell element. $n$ is number of Maxwell elements.

The generalized Maxwell model represents the viscoelasticity and WLF (Williams-Landel-Ferry) equation shows the relationship of time and temperature. Using the experimental data in Diani et al., the model has already been shown to be reliable under large deformation conditions (Diani et al., 2012). In the generalized Maxwell model, the relaxation moduli $G(t)$ can be expressed in form of a Prony series:

$$G(t) = G_\infty + \sum_{i=1}^{n} G_i \cdot e^{-t/\tau_i}$$

where $G_\infty$ is the shear moduli at the infinite time. $G_i$ is shear moduli of Maxwell element.

The coefficients can be derived from dynamic mechanical analysis experiments of the SMP by Fourier transformation. The relaxation moduli can be written by storage modulus and loss modulus:

$$G(\omega)^2 = G_s(\omega)^2 + G_l(\omega)^2$$

$$G(\omega) = G_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{G_i \tau_i \omega^2}{1 + \tau_i \omega^2}$$

$$G_l(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{G_i \tau_i \omega}{1 + \tau_i \omega^2}$$

where $G_s(\omega)$ is storage modulus and $G_l(\omega)$ is loss modulus, $\omega$ is the frequency during dynamic mechanical testing. To get a more accurate description of storage and loss modulus, the $n$ is set as 12. Based on the results of Diani et al. (Diani et al., 2012), the series of $G_i$, $\tau_i$
and $G_0$ can be obtained with WLF equation. $G_0$ is 1.6MPa. The specific values of $G_i$ and $\tau_i$ are listed in Table 2.

Table 2. Generalized Maxwell model relaxation times and associated shear moduli pairs

| $G_i$(Pa)  | $0.1476 \times 10^9$ | $0.1756 \times 10^9$ | $0.2025 \times 10^9$ | $0.1775 \times 10^9$ | $0.1721 \times 10^9$ | $0.1139 \times 10^9$ | $0.2264 \times 10^7$ | $0.8132 \times 10^6$ | $0.4020 \times 10^6$ | $0.1760 \times 10^6$ | $0.5056 \times 10^5$ | $0.1265 \times 10^5$ |
| $\tau_i$(s) | $0.3031 \times 10^{-4}$ | $0.1721 \times 10^{-3}$ | $0.9768 \times 10^{-2}$ | $0.5545 \times 10^{-2}$ | $0.3147 \times 10^{-1}$ | $0.1855 \times 10^{-1}$ |

The WLF equation can be written as:

$$\log (\alpha_T) = \frac{-C_1(T - T_r)}{C_2 + T - T_r}$$ (6)

where $\alpha_T$ is the time-temperature superposition shifting factor, $C_1$ and $C_2$ are material constants and $T_r$ is the reference temperature. By fitting the stress relaxation curve at different temperatures $T$ (Diani et al., 2012), we can obtain that $C_1=10.17$, $C_2=47.35^\circ{C}$ and $T_r$ is 50$^\circ{C}$.

In addition, a hyperelastic term (instead of an elastic term) is added to enable better agreement due to the large strain of the SMP stent. Here, we choose a Neo-Hookean hyperelastic equation:

$$U = C_{10} \cdot (I_1 - 3) + \frac{1}{D_1} \cdot (J_{el} - 1)^2$$ (7)

where $U$ is the strain energy density, $I_1$ is the first strain invariant, $J_{el}$ is the elastic volume strain, $C_{10} = G'/2$ and $D_1 = 2/K'$. The parameters $G'$ and $K'$ are the initial shear modulus and bulk modulus, respectively, at initial time. In this paper, the $K'$ is considered as a constant of 3.1GPa. Make the time as zero, we can get that $G' = G_{\infty} + \sum_{i=1}^{12} G_i$. Therefore, the coefficients $C_{10}$ and $D_1$ can be obtained as 393.964 and 0.0006452 MPa.

For the vessel and plaque materials, we adopt the polynomial-form hyperelastic model proposed by Migliavacca et al. (Migliavacca et al., 2004, Migliavacca et al., 2007) which has been shown to be a good description of the mechanical behavior of vessel and plaque. For the vessel, the constitutive equation is written as:

$$U = C_{10} \cdot (I_1 - 3) + C_{03} \cdot (I_2 - 3)^3$$ (8)

$I_1$ and $I_2$ are the first and second strain invariant. The coefficients $C_{10}$ and $C_{03}$ are 0.019513 and 0.02976 MPa. For the plaque, the constitutive equation is written as:

$$U = C_{10} \cdot (I_1 - 3) + C_{02} \cdot (I_2 - 3)^2 + C_{03} \cdot (I_2 - 3)^3$$ (9)

The coefficients $C_{10}$, $C_{02}$ and $C_{03}$ are 0.04, 0.003 and 0.02976 MPa, respectively.
The geometrical models and constitutive equations were implemented within commercial software (ABAQUS), allowing us to perform simulations of the SMP stent expansion under different conditions.

3. Simulation of the expansion process of the SMP stent

SMPs can recover their original shape under a stimulus such as heat, light or a magnetic field. In this work we choose a thermal motivated SMP and the SMP stent can be activated by laser. The work process of an SMP stent can be divided into four steps: compress the stent to a small geometry under a temperature higher than Tg (glass transition temperature); then retain the deformation and cool the stent to temperature lower than Tg; release the load and deliver the stent to the target disease position; finally increase the temperature to the programmed temperature and then cool the stent to body temperature. The deformation process is shown in Figure 4.

![Figure 4. The work process of the SMP stent: (a) original state of the SMP stent, (b) the compressed stent entering into the vessel, (c) shrunk stent in programmed location of vessel, (d) SMP stent expanding the stenosis, (e) SMP stent reaching force equilibrium with vessel](image)

We already know that the recovery temperature, recovery time and heating rate can influence the free recovery of the SMP (Yu, et al., 2014). Therefore, to make the expansion of SMP stent more programmable, the effect of heating rate and recovery temperature should be understood. In this paper, three heating rates and four recovery temperatures are designed. With a fixed stenosis of 19.95% and recovery temperature of 50°C (Tg), the heating rate is varied from 3.75°C/min to 7.5°C/min and finally 15°C/min. Then, we fix the heating rate to 7.5°C/min and vary the recovery temperature from 48°C to 50°C, 52°C and 54°C. After the heating process, the temperature is cooled down to 37°C (body temperature) with the same cooling rate.

For the application of a given stent, the strength and compliance properties should be considered and evaluated. The radial strength \( S_r \) represents the ability to resist the deformation induced by outer pressure. It can be defined as:

\[
S_r = \frac{P}{\alpha_r} = \frac{P}{\Delta U/R_s}
\]  

(10)

Where \( P \) is the pressure, \( \alpha_r \) is the radial deformation ratio of stent, \( \Delta U \) is the displacement of stent in radial direction and \( R_s \) is the radius of stent. For the calculation of
circumferential tension, the pressure of stent is inhomogeneous during expansion. We assume a homogeneous pressure $P_0$ on the contact plaque to replace the inhomogeneous pressure. Thus, the circumferential force, $f_p$, can be derived as:

$$f_p = P_0 \cdot l_p \cdot R_p \quad (11)$$

where $l_p$ and $R_p$ are the length and radius of the plaque.

For a half model of the expanded plaque (Figure 5), the total force induced by contact pressure is equal to the force acting on the two ends of the symmetry. Thus, the force balance is written as:

$$2l_pR_pP_0 = 2F = 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i S_i \quad (12)$$

![Figure 5. The schematic diagram of force acting on the half plaque](image)

Where $i$ is the number of the elements of end of half model, $\sigma_i$ is the normal stress of the element and $S_i$ is the deformed area of the element. Therefore, the circumferential tension is derived as:

$$f_p = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i S_i \quad (13)$$

The radial strength is

$$S_r = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i S_i}{l_pR_p\Delta U/R} \quad (14)$$

### 4. Results and discussion

Through the implementation of the geometric models and constitutive theory of the SMP stent, plaque and vessel into commercial software (ABAQUS), we obtain the expansion performance of the SMP stent in different recovery conditions. We first simulate the expansion of the SMP stent at different levels of stenosis. Then, the effect of heating rate and recovery temperature is investigated. Finally, the radial strength is discussed.

#### 4.1 Vessel expansion under different conditions

##### 4.1.1 Vessel expansion under different levels of stenosis

The SMP stent was heated to 50°C with a constant heating rate of 3.75°C/min. The radius of the stent and plaque during expansion can be seen as in Figure 6. With temperature increasing to 50°C, the radius of stent rapidly increases and tends towards a fixed value after cooling down. Correspondingly, the radius of the lumen increases and the stenosis is relieved. To show the expansion effect more intuitively, a dimensionless parameter $\alpha_p$ is defined as
the ratio of the displacement of stenosis in radial direction with the original thickness (as shown in Figure 7).

Figure 6. (a) The radius of stent during expansion under different levels of stenosis, (b) The radius of the lumen during expansion under different levels of stenosis
For a stenosis of 32.25%, the thickness of plaque reduces by 60%. With a stenosis of 9.3% and 19.95%, the thickness of the plaque disappears after expansion. The stent obtains a good expansion result under the same thermal condition within 19.95% stenosis. During SMP stent expansion, the thickest plaque (32.25% stenosis) contacts with stent earlier than the 19.95% and 9.3% stenosis levels, as expected. A higher stenosis represents a higher constraint and shows a smaller decrease of radius. **We define an expansion speed** $v_r$ **as the time deferential of the recovery displacement of the stent:**

$$v_r = \frac{dr}{dt}$$

The temperature where the speed reaches a maximum is defined as the characteristic recovery temperature. By analysis of the displacement of the stent, we find a smaller characteristic recovery temperature for a higher stenosis (Figure 8). In other words, a higher constraint will result in an SMP stent with a smaller characteristic recovery temperature.
Our results demonstrate that this SMP stent model is able to expand the plaque with a good expansion performance.

4.1.2 Vessel expansion with different heating rates

The heating rate is known to influence the recovery of shape memory polymer (Yu and Qi, 2014). Here we consider the influence of heating rate on the stent expansion (in Figure 9). From Figure 9 we can see that the radius of stent and plaque increases rapidly until the temperature reaches 50 °C and becomes stable when temperature decreases to body temperature. For the same level of stenosis, an increased heating rate results in an earlier recovery which is smaller in magnitude. With the same recovery temperature, a reduced heating rate results in an increased recovery time. Thus, the stent recovers more and expands more stenosis. On the other hand, a reduced heating rate means a lower temperature at the same time. We know that the relaxation time of the polymer molecular chain is negatively related with temperature. Therefore, the viscoelasticity of SMP blocks more the release of elastic strain in lower temperature and the stent recovers relatively later compared with the higher heating rate.

The results of Figure 9 show the design flexibility in terms of the expansion of the vessel with a SMP stent by varying heating rate.
Figure 9. (a) The radius of the plaque during expansion with different heating rates, (b) The radius of the stent during expansion with different heating rates. The temperature history is also shown in the Figure.

4.1.3 Vessel expansion with different heating temperatures

Figure 10 shows the effect of recovery temperature on expansion of the SMP stent. Similarly with results in Figure 10, the radius of the SMP stent recovers rapidly with temperature increasing to the programmed temperature and then slowly grows to a stable value. It is clear that a higher recovery temperature results in a higher recovery strain.
In this paper, we define a fitting curve of the outer radius of the stent, $R_s$ as the function of recovery temperature and heating rate:

$$R_s(t) = R_0(R_f + \frac{1 - R_f}{\alpha_r - \frac{1}{\alpha_r t_m}})$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

$$R_f = \frac{R_f}{R_0}$$ \hspace{1cm} (17)

$$\Delta t_m = R_0 \frac{1 - \alpha_r}{\alpha_r}$$ \hspace{1cm} (18)

Where $R_f$ is the final radius of the stent, $R_0$ is the compressed radius of the stent, $R_f$ is the recovery ratio in the radial direction, $t_m$ is the time where the slope of the radius curve reaches a maximum, $\Delta t_m$ is the time span of the slope at $t_m$ intersecting with $R_0$ and $R_f$ (Figure 11). The fitting results can be seen in Figure 12. From equation 16 we can see that the radius is proportional to the recovery ratio $\alpha_r$. From existing research on SMPs, we know that a higher recovery temperature and a smaller heating rate will introduce a higher recovery ratio, and hence a higher recovery radius of the SMP stent will be reached.

The position $t_m$ represents the time where the expansion speed or shape recovery speed reaches the maximum during the expansion. Therefore, $t_m$ indicates the characteristic recovery temperature. From Figure 13 (a) we see that a higher heating ratio shows a smaller characteristic recovery temperature. For different recovery temperatures with the same heating rate, a similar characteristic recovery temperature is obtained for temperatures higher than $T_g$ (50, 52 and 54 °C). A smaller characteristic recovery temperature is found for 48 °C, because the phase transition is not completed at this temperature and the shape recovery speed is achieved at a smaller temperature. Therefore, using a shape recovery ratio and...
characteristic recovery temperature, we have shown that a smaller heating rate and a higher recovery temperature result in a higher recovery ratio and a smaller characteristic recovery temperature, resulting in a larger recovery radius.

Figure 11. Schematic diagram of stent radius versus time, indicating the influence of model parameters.
Figure 12. The fitting curve of the stent radius compared with simulation results: (a) at different recovery temperatures, (b) at different heating ratios
Figure 13. The expansion speed of the SMP stent: (a) at different heating rate, (b) at different recovery temperatures

The fitting curves in Figure 13 show good agreement with the simulation results. This equation will be useful in the more rational design of SMP stents, where a desired stent expansion can be programmed by varying the parameters.

4.2 The radial strength of the SMP stent

By the application of equations (13) and (14), we can obtain the circumferential force and radial strength in Figure 14 and 15. The different stenosis levels represent different constraints for the SMP stent recovery. We can see a small contact force of approximately $1.0 \times 10^{-8}$ Newtons. This means the contact between the SMP stent and vessel is very soft and no damage will be caused. Figure 14 (a) shows a bigger recovery force of SMP stent to expand the larger stenosis, while a larger radial strength is observed in a smaller stenosis. It’s easy to understand that a larger stenosis provides a larger constraint for SMP stent expanding and hence needs a larger recovery force. For radial strength, as shown in equation 14, the radius of the plaque is inversely related to radial strength. Thus, the radius of stent is smaller and the radial strength is bigger for a thicker plaque. The results in Figure 14 (b), (c) and Figure15 (b), (c) show that the decreasing heating rate and increasing recovery temperature can have a similar effect on the radial strength. A smaller heating rate and a higher temperature both provide a longer recovery time and lead to larger recovery strength.
Figure 14. The circumferential force of the stent during expansion: (a) at different stenosis, (b) at different heating rates, (c) at different recovery temperatures.
Figure 15. The radial strength of the stent during expansion: (a) at different stenosis, (b) at different heating rates, (c) at different recovery temperatures.

From the results in Figure 14, we can see that the circumferential force of the SMP stent undergoes two processes. Before the contact of the SMP stent and plaque, the SMP stent recovers to its original shape freely and the circumferential force is zero. After contact, the circumferential force increases with the increase of radius of the SMP stent and finally tends towards a fixed value. To investigate the performance of SMP stent more clearly, the circumferential force evolution with temperature is shown in Figure 16. We can see that the circumferential force of the SMP stent rapidly increases with temperature increasing after contact and increases slightly after cooling to body temperature before finally reaching a stable value. This result is different from the expansion routine of traditional stainless steel stent and shape memory alloy stent, which is usually expanded by external force and reinforced by the plasticity of metal finally. As we know, shape memory alloy (SMA) as an extensively investigated material (Ansari et al., 2018, Baghani et al., 2018, Lu et al., 2017), also possesses the shape memory ability and is widely applied to stent design. However, the expansion method for SMA stent is still dominated by external mechanical operation such as balloon expanding. According to previous study we know that for the constraint recovery induced by temperature of SMA, the modulus of SMA increases when Martensite transforms to Austensite during the constraint recovery. Correspondingly, thermal effects and phase transition both make an increase of recovery stress at constraint. The increasing recovery stress of shape memory alloys will expand the plaque, meanwhile cause damage to the vessel. Therefore, stent made of SMA is unsuitable to expand the plaque with the independent method. For the constraint recovery of the SMP, the compressive stress will increase first and...
then decrease (as shown in Figure 17). This conclusion has been validated experimentally and theoretically (Lakhera et al., 2012, Volk et al., 2011, Liu et al., 2006). Thermal effects and phase transition (modulus decrease) collectively result in the stress evolution. As we know, during the heating process, the shape memory polymer tends to expand for the thermal expansion, while the strain is held and thus the recovery stress will increase. And the Young’s modulus of shape memory polymer decreases with temperature increasing and therefore, the stress will decrease. The two factors including modulus change and thermal expansion make the shape memory polymer behave a first increasing and then decreasing and finally stable recovery stress. Therefore, the SMP stent is superior in providing a harmless and stable expansion for vessel.

Figure 16. The circumferential force of the stent during expansion with different heating rates
Figure 17. The schematic diagram of recovery stress evolution of SMP and shape memory alloy with a fixed constraint

5. Conclusions

In this paper, modelling and simulation of SMP stent expansion is conducted based on a combined hyperelastic and viscoelastic model. The vital expansion performance in a stenosed vessel is analyzed and discussed. The results of our simulations demonstrate that the SMP stent can obtain a soft and stable expansion performance with the human body. A higher expansion can be obtained by a smaller heating rate and larger recovery temperature. The fitting function of the recovery radius shows a good description based on the characteristic recovery ratio and recovery temperature of shape memory polymers. This paper demonstrates the feasibility to assist in the design of SMP stent using our model.

In future work, the dynamic pressure introduced by blood flow will be included within the analysis of the SMP stent. Furthermore, a strengthened SMP composite may also be considered to obtain a stronger expansion.
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