How far is
$$\left(1+\frac{a}{n}\right)^n$$
 from e^a ?

Vito Lampret & Philip G Spain

Abstract

We present effective upper and lower bounds for the distance from $\left(1 + \frac{a}{n}\right)^n$ to e^a for an element *a* of a complex unital Banach algebra and positive integer *n*. Specifically:

$$\frac{1}{2n} \sup\left\{ \left| \Re(z^2) \right| e^{\Re(z)} : z \in \sigma(a) \right\} \lesssim_{(2)} \left\| e^a - \left(1 + \frac{a}{n} \right)^n \right\| \le \frac{\|a\|^2}{2n} e^{\|a\|}$$

where $\sigma(a)$ is the spectrum of a. The symbol $\leq_{(p)}$ means "less than or equal to, up to a term of order n^{-p} " as discussed below.

1 Introduction — technical preliminaries

The purpose of this paper is to establish asymptotic estimates for the quantity

$$\delta(a,n) = \left\| e^a - \left(1 + \frac{a}{n} \right)^n \right\| \tag{1.1}$$

where a is an element of a Banach algebra \mathcal{A} and n is a (large) positive integer. We tackle this problem in three stages: (i) for \mathbb{R} [§2], (ii) for \mathbb{C} [§3], and (iii) for general \mathcal{A} [§4].

The following notation will be useful in presenting our results.

Notation 1. For a fixed positive integer p and functions a and b defined on \mathbb{N} the expression $a(n) \gtrsim_{(p)} b(n)$ is shorthand for $a(n) \geq b(n) + O(n^{-p})$: that is,

$$a(n) - b(n) \ge \frac{M}{n^p}$$
 $(n \ge N)$

where M and N are constants (N positive) independent of n. The symbols $\leq_{(p)}$ and $\simeq_{(p)}$ are defined analogously. These three relations are all transitive.

We shall later extend the use of this notation to instances where the argument n may run through the set of half-integers.

Our treatment depends on the estimates of [2, Corollaries 1.1 & 1.2].

⁰AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 40A25, 41A99, 46H99, 65D20.

Keywords: Exponential function; Banach algebra; approximation; asymptotic approximation; distance-estimate; inequalities; hermitian element.

Proposition 1 (Essential Estimates). Consider real numbers x and t. If x > 0 and t > x then

$$\exp\left(x - \frac{x^2}{2t}\right) < \left(1 + \frac{x}{t}\right)^t < \exp\left(x - \frac{x^2}{2(t+x)}\right),$$

while if x < 0 and t > |x| we have

$$\exp\left(x - \frac{x^2}{2(t+x)}\right) < \left(1 + \frac{x}{t}\right)^t < \exp\left(x - \frac{x^2}{2t}\right).$$

Lemma 1. The lengths of the 'indeterminacy intervals' of Proposition 1 satisfy

$$(x > 0) \qquad \exp\left(x - \frac{x^2}{2(t+x)}\right) - \exp\left(x - \frac{x^2}{2t}\right) \\ (x < 0) \qquad \exp\left(x - \frac{x^2}{2t}\right) - \exp\left(x - \frac{x^2}{2(t+x)}\right) \end{cases} < \frac{5}{4} \frac{|x|^3}{t^2}$$

when $t > 2 \max\{|x|, x^2\} > 0$.

Proof. When x > 0 the interval in question has length

$$\exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2(t+x)}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2t}\right)$$
$$= \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2t}\right) \left\{\exp\left(\frac{x^2}{2}\left[\frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{t+x}\right]\right) - 1\right\}$$
$$= \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2t}\right) \left\{\exp\left(\frac{x^2}{2t}\frac{x}{t+x}\right) - 1\right\}$$
$$< \exp(r) - 1,$$

where $r = \frac{|x|^3}{2t(t+x)}$. Similarly, when x < 0 the interval has length

$$\exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2t}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2(t+x)}\right)$$
$$= \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2(t+x)}\right) \left\{\exp\left(\frac{x^2}{2}\left[\frac{1}{t+x} - \frac{1}{t}\right]\right) - 1\right\}$$
$$= \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2(t+x)}\right) \left\{\exp\left(\frac{x^2}{2(t+x)} - \frac{x}{t}\right) - 1\right\}$$

which again, for the same r,

$$< \exp(r) - 1.$$

Now, under our hypotheses, $2(t+x) = t + (t+2x) \ge t$ and therefore $0 < r < \frac{|x|}{t} \frac{x^2}{t} < \frac{1}{4}$. Hence $e^r - 1 < r + r^2 < \frac{5}{4}r < \frac{5}{4}\frac{|x|^3}{t^2}$.

 $vl \ \ \ pgs$

Corollary 1. For any real x we have

$$\left(1+\frac{x}{m}\right)^m \simeq_{(2)} \exp\left(x-\frac{x^2}{2m}\right)$$

Specifically:

$$\left(1+\frac{x}{m}\right)^m - \exp\left(x-\frac{x^2}{2m}\right) \bigg| < \frac{5}{4} \frac{|x|^3}{m^2} \exp(x)$$
 (1.2)

when $x \neq 0$ and $m > 2 \max\{|x|, x^2\}$ is a (half-)integer.

2 Real case — distance from $\left(1+\frac{x}{n}\right)^n$ to e^x

When x is real we have $\left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^n < e^x$ for any positive integer n and therefore (1.1) simplifies to

$$\delta(x,n) = e^x - \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^n.$$

Lemma 2. For any real x we have

$$\exp\left(x-\frac{x^2}{2n}\right) \simeq_{(2)} e^x - \frac{x^2}{2n} e^x.$$

Proof. It is straightforward to establish that $1 - r + \frac{r^2}{4} < \exp(-r) < 1 - r + \frac{r^2}{2}$ for 0 < r < 1. Thus, if given $x \neq 0$ and $n > x^2/2$ we define $r = \frac{x^2}{2n}$, then 0 < r < 1 and

$$\exp\left(x - \frac{x^2}{2n}\right) - \left(e^x - \frac{x^2}{2n}e^x\right) = \exp(x)\left[\exp(-r) - 1 + r\right] \in \left(\frac{r^2}{4}, \frac{r^2}{2}\right) \exp(x).$$

That is

$$\frac{x^4}{16n^2} e^x < \exp\left(x - \frac{x^2}{2n}\right) - \left(e^x - \frac{x^2}{2n} e^x\right) < \frac{x^4}{8n^2} e^x$$
(2.1)

for $x \neq 0$.

Theorem 1. For any real x we have

$$\delta(x,n) \simeq_{(2)} \frac{x^2}{2n} e^x$$

Specifically, for x real, $\neq 0$, and $n \ge 2 \max\{|x|, x^2\}$ we have

$$\left|\delta(x,n) - \frac{x^2}{2n} e^x\right| < \frac{|x|^3 (|x|+10)}{8n^2} e^x.$$
(2.2)

Proof. Combining Corollary 1 and Lemma 2 gives

$$\left(1+\frac{x}{n}\right)^n \simeq_{(2)} \exp\left(x-\frac{x^2}{2n}\right) \simeq_{(2)} e^x - \frac{x^2}{2n} e^x$$

(recall that $\simeq_{(2)}$ is transitive) and therefore

$$\delta(x,n) = e^x - \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^n \simeq_{(2)} \frac{x^2}{2n} e^x.$$

More precisely, using (1.2) and (2.1), for $x \neq 0$,

$$-\frac{5}{4}\frac{|x|^3}{n^2}e^x < \left(1+\frac{x}{n}\right)^n - \exp\left(x-\frac{x^2}{2n}\right) < \frac{5}{4}\frac{|x|^3}{n^2}e^x$$

and

$$\frac{x^4}{16n^2} e^x < \exp\left(x - \frac{x^2}{2n}\right) - \left(e^x - \frac{x^2}{2n} e^x\right) < \frac{x^4}{8n^2} e^x,$$

so, adding, we get

$$\frac{x^4}{16n^2} e^x - \frac{5}{4} \frac{|x|^3}{n^2} e^x \quad < \quad \frac{x^2}{2n} e^x - \delta(x,n) \quad < \quad \frac{x^4}{8n^2} e^x + \frac{5}{4} \frac{|x|^3}{n^2} e^x = \frac{|x|^3 (|x|+10)}{8n^2} e^x,$$

from which (2.2) follows.

Remark 1. This result is more precise than the restriction of Theorem 2 or Theorem 5 to real z.

3 Complex case – distance from $\left(1+\frac{z}{n}\right)^n$ to e^z

Notation 2. For $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}$ (that is, $x = \Re(z)$ and $y = \Im(z)$) and for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ define $m = \frac{n}{2}$ (so, from now on, m will be a positive (half-)integer). Write

$$\xi = x + \frac{|z|^2}{4m} \in \mathbb{R} \qquad \& \qquad \zeta = \left|1 + \frac{z}{n}\right|^2 \tag{3.1}$$

so that

$$\zeta = 1 + \frac{x}{m} + \frac{|z|^2}{4m^2} = 1 + \frac{\xi}{m}.$$
(3.2)

By the triangle inequality

$$\delta(z,n) = |e^z - \zeta^m| \geq \left| |e^z| - \left| 1 + \frac{z}{n} \right|^n \right|$$
$$= |e^x - \zeta^m|.$$
(3.3)

Further, let

$$\omega(m) = x + \frac{y^2 - x^2}{4m}.$$
(3.4)

 $vl \ \mathcal{C} \ pgs$

May 29, 2017

Then

$$\xi - \frac{\xi^2}{2m} = x + \frac{y^2 - x^2}{4m} - \frac{x|z|^2}{4m^2} - \frac{|z|^4}{32m^3} = \omega(m) - \eta(m), \qquad (3.5)$$

where

$$\eta(m) = \frac{x|z|^2}{4m^2} + \frac{|z|^4}{32m^3} = \frac{|z|^2}{32m^3} \left(8mx + |z|^2\right)$$
(3.6)

has the same sign as x for large m (when $x \neq 0$).

Standing assumption

For the rest of this section z will be a nonzero complex number and m a (half-)integer such that

$$m \ge \max\{1, 4|z|, 4|z|^2\}.$$
 (3.7)

Remark 2. Given $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}$ and a positive half-integer $m \ge \max\{1, 4|z|, 4|z|^2\}$ we have

$$-|z| \le x \le \xi \le |z| + |z| \frac{|z|}{4m} \le \frac{17}{16} |z|.$$

Thus

$$\omega \leq x + \frac{1}{16}, \quad \xi \leq x + \frac{1}{16} \quad \& \quad 2 \max\{|\xi|, |\xi|^2\} < m.$$

We establish the main result of this section, Theorem 2, by demonstrating the chain of asymptotic equalities

$$\zeta^m \simeq_{(2)} \exp\left(\xi - \frac{\xi^2}{2m}\right) \simeq_{(2)} \exp(\omega(m)) \simeq_{(2)} e^x \left(1 + \frac{y^2 - x^2}{4m}\right).$$

Lemma 3.

$$\zeta^m \simeq_{(2)} \exp\left(\xi - \frac{\xi^2}{2m}\right).$$

Proof. Apply (1.2) (with ξ in place of x). Then, bearing in mind Remark 2, and also the arithmetical fact that $\frac{5}{4} \left(\frac{17}{16}\right)^3 e^{\frac{1}{16}} < 1.6$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \zeta^m - \exp\left(\xi - \frac{\xi^2}{2m}\right) \right| &< \frac{5}{4} \frac{|\xi|^3}{m^2} \exp(\xi) \\ &< \frac{5}{4} \left(\frac{17}{16}\right)^3 \frac{|z|^3}{m^2} \exp\left(x + \frac{1}{16}\right) < 2 \frac{|z|^3}{m^2} \exp\left(x\right). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.

$$\exp\left(\xi - \frac{\xi^2}{2m}\right) \simeq_{(2)} \exp(\omega(m)).$$

Proof. According to (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\eta| &\leq \frac{|z|^3}{4m^2} + \frac{|z|^4}{32m^3} = \frac{|z|^3}{4m^2} \left(1 + \frac{|z|}{8m} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{|z|^3}{4m^2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{3}{8} \frac{|z|^3}{m^2} \leq \frac{3}{128} < 1, \end{aligned}$$
(3.8)

from which $|e^{-\eta} - 1| < 2 |\eta|$. Thus, using (3.5), (3.4), and (3.8), together with Remark 2,

$$\left| \exp\left(\xi - \frac{\xi^2}{2m}\right) - \exp\left(\omega\right) \right| \stackrel{(3.5)}{\leq} \exp\left(\omega\right) \left| e^{-\eta} - 1 \right| \stackrel{(3.4)}{\leq} \left| e^{-\eta} - 1 \right| \exp\left(\frac{1}{16}\right) \exp\left(x\right)$$
$$\leq 2 \left|\eta\right| \exp\left(\frac{1}{16}\right) \exp\left(x\right) \stackrel{(3.8)}{\leq} 2 \left(\frac{3}{8} \frac{|z|^3}{m^2}\right) \exp\left(\frac{1}{16}\right) \exp(x)$$
$$\leq \frac{|z|^3}{m^2} \exp(x).$$

Lemma 5.

$$\exp(\omega(m)) \simeq_{(2)} e^x \left\{ 1 + \frac{y^2 - x^2}{4m} \right\}.$$

Proof. Recall that $|e^r - 1 - r| \le r^2$ (-1 < r < 1). With $r = \frac{y^2 - x^2}{4m}$ we have $|r| \le \frac{|z|^2}{4m} \le \frac{1}{16}$, and therefore

$$\left|\exp\left(\frac{y^2-x^2}{4m}\right)-1-\frac{y^2-x^2}{4m}\right| \leq \frac{|z|^4}{16m^2},$$

from which

$$\left| \exp\left(x + \frac{y^2 - x^2}{4m}\right) - e^x \left(1 + \frac{y^2 - x^2}{4m}\right) \right| \le \frac{|z|^4}{16m^2} e^x.$$

Theorem 2. Given $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$\delta(z,n) = \left| e^z - \left(1 + \frac{z}{n} \right)^n \right| \gtrsim_{(2)} \frac{\left| \Re(z^2) \right|}{2n} e^{\Re(z)}$$

Specifically:

$$\delta(z,n) \geq \frac{|\Re(z^2)|}{2n} e^{\Re(z)} - \frac{12|z|^3}{n^2} \left(1 + \frac{|z|}{48}\right) e^{\Re(z)}$$

for $n \ge 2 \max\{1, 4 |z|, 4 |z|^2\}$.

Proof. Since $m > 2 \max\{|\xi|, |\xi|^2\}$, see Remark 2, we can apply Lemmas 3, 4 and 5 to get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \zeta^m - e^x - \frac{y^2 - x^2}{4m} e^x \right| &\leq \left| \zeta^m - \exp\left(\xi - \frac{\xi^2}{2m}\right) \right| \\ &+ \left| \exp\left(\xi - \frac{\xi^2}{2m}\right) - \exp\left(\omega\right) \right| \\ &+ \left| e^x \left[\exp\left(\frac{y^2 - x^2}{4m}\right) - 1 - \frac{y^2 - x^2}{4m} \right] \right| \\ &\leq 2 \frac{|z|^3}{m^2} \exp(x) + \frac{|z|^3}{m^2} \exp(x) + \frac{|z|^4}{16m^2} \exp(x) \\ &= \frac{M}{m^2} \end{aligned}$$

where $M = 3 |z|^3 \left(1 + \frac{|z|}{48}\right) e^x$ depends only on z. Now

$$\left| |\zeta^m - e^x| - \frac{|y^2 - x^2|}{4m} e^x \right| \le \left| \zeta^m - e^x - \frac{y^2 - x^2}{4m} e^x \right| \le \frac{M}{m^2}$$

and therefore

$$\delta(z,n) \stackrel{(3.3)}{\geq} |\zeta^m - e^x| \geq \frac{|y^2 - x^2|}{4m} e^x - \frac{M}{m^2}.$$

Remark 3. The upper bound

$$\delta(z,n) = \left| e^z - \left(1 + \frac{z}{n} \right)^n \right| \le \frac{|z|^2}{2n} e^{|z|},$$

valid for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and n > |z|, is the scalar variant of Theorem 5 below. Note the presence of the term $e^{|z|}$ in contrast to the e^x of Theorem 1.

When z lies on an (anti)diagonal

Theorem 2 tells us little when $\Re(z^2) = 0$, that is, when z lies on \mathcal{X} , the union of the diagonal and antidiagonal in \mathbb{C} :

$$\mathcal{X} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : x^2 = y^2 \} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \Re(z^2) = 0 \}.$$

We can, however, then derive a higher order estimate for the lower bound.

Theorem 3. Let $z = x + iy \ (\neq 0) \in \mathbb{C}$ with $x^2 = y^2$. Then

$$\delta(z,n) \gtrsim_{(3)} \frac{|\Re(z)|^3}{2n^2} e^{\Re(z)}.$$

Specifically,

$$\left|e^{z} - \left(1 + \frac{z}{n}\right)^{n}\right| > \frac{\left|x\right|^{3}}{2n^{2}}e^{x} - \frac{\left|x\right|x^{3}(1+x)}{n^{3}}e^{x} \qquad (n > 4\left|x\right|).$$

 $vl \ \mathcal{C} \ pgs$

Proof. Write $l = \frac{x}{m}$. Then, by (3.7) and (3.2), we have

$$|l| < 1$$
 & $\zeta = 1 + \frac{\xi}{m} = 1 + l + \frac{l^2}{2}.$ (3.9)

Let I be the interval with end-points e^l and ζ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(z,n) &\geq |(e^l)^m - \zeta^m| \\ &\geq |e^l - \zeta| \min_{r \in I} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} \left(r^m \right) \right) \\ &= m \left| e^l - \zeta \right| \min \left\{ \exp \left(\frac{m-1}{m} x \right), \ \zeta^{m-1} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} |e^{l} - \zeta| &\stackrel{(3.9)}{=} \quad \left| \frac{l^{3}}{3!} + \frac{l^{4}}{4!} + \frac{l^{5}}{5!} + \dots \right| = \left| \frac{l^{3}}{3!} \left(1 + \frac{l}{4} \right) + \frac{l^{5}}{5!} \left(1 + \frac{l}{6} \right) + \dots \right| \\ &\stackrel{(3.9)}{=} \quad \frac{|l|^{3}}{3!} \left(1 + \frac{l}{4} \right) + \frac{|l|^{5}}{5!} \left(1 + \frac{l}{6} \right) + \dots \\ &\geq \frac{3}{4} \frac{|l|^{3}}{3!} = \frac{|l|^{3}}{8} = \frac{|x|^{3}}{8m^{3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Further, recalling the definitions (3.1) and (3.2), we see that $\xi > x$. Moreover, $0 < 1 + \frac{x}{m} < 1 + \frac{\xi}{m} = \zeta$ for m > |x|. Thus, by Proposition 1,

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta^{m-1} &> \left(1 + \frac{x}{m}\right)^{\frac{m-1}{m}} \\ &> \exp\left(\frac{m-1}{m}\left(x - \frac{x^2}{2m}\right)\right) \\ &> \exp\left(x - \frac{x}{m} - \frac{x^2}{2m}\right) \end{aligned} \qquad if \quad m > x > 0, \end{aligned}$$

while

$$\zeta^{m-1} > \exp\left(\frac{m-1}{m}\left(x - \frac{x^2}{2(m+x)}\right)\right)$$

>
$$\exp\left(x - \frac{x}{m} - \frac{x^2}{m}\right) \qquad if \quad m > -2x > 0.$$

Hence

$$\min\left\{\exp\left(\frac{m-1}{m}x\right), \zeta^{m-1}\right\} > \exp\left(x-\frac{x}{m}-\frac{x^2}{m}\right)$$

and therefore

$$\delta(z,n) > \frac{|x|^3}{8m^2} \exp\left(x - \frac{x}{m} - \frac{x^2}{m}\right) \qquad (m > 2|x|)$$
$$\geq \frac{|x|^3}{8m^2} e^x \left(1 - \frac{x(1+x)}{m}\right).$$

 $vl \ {\mathcal C} \ pgs$

Supremum of $\{\delta(z,n) : z \in K\}$

Note that

$$\delta(z,n) \leq |e^{z}| + \left(1 + \frac{\xi}{m}\right)^{m} \leq e^{x} + e^{\xi} = e^{x} \left(1 + e^{\frac{|z|^{2}}{4m}}\right) \leq e^{x} \left(1 + e^{|z|}\right),$$

showing that the following is a good definition:

Definition 1. Given a bounded subset K of \mathbb{C} define

$$\Delta(K,n) = \sup\{\delta(z,n) : z \in K\}$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Combining the results of Theorems 2 and 3 yields

Theorem 4. Let K be a bounded subset of \mathbb{C} . Then, asymptotically, to within a term of the order n^{-2} , and uniformly over K,

$$\Delta(K,n) \gtrsim_{(2)} \frac{1}{2n} \sup \left\{ \left| \Re(z^2) \right| e^{\Re(z)} : z \in K \right\}.$$

If $K \subset \mathcal{X}$ then, asymptotically, to within a term of order n^{-3} , and uniformly over K,

$$\Delta(K,n) \gtrsim_{(3)} \frac{1}{2n^2} \sup \left\{ |\Re(z)|^3 e^{\Re(x)} : z \in K \right\}.$$

4 How far is $\left(1+\frac{a}{n}\right)^n$ from e^a in a Banach algebra?

Upper bound for $\delta(a, n)$

Theorem 5. Let $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real or complex norm-unital Banach algebra and $a \in \mathcal{A}$ with $a \neq 0$. Then, for every integer $n > \|a\|$,

$$\begin{split} \delta(a,n) &= \left\| e^{a} - \left(1 + \frac{a}{n} \right)^{n} \right\| &\leq e^{\|a\|} - \left(1 + \frac{\|a\|}{n} \right)^{n} \\ &< e^{\|a\|} \left[1 - \exp\left(- \frac{\|a\|^{2}}{2n} \right) \right] \\ &< \frac{\|a\|^{2}}{2n} e^{\|a\|}. \end{split}$$

Proof. Following $[1, \S 8]$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we define the partial sums and powers

$$s_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{a^k}{k!}, \quad \sigma_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\|a\|^k}{k!}, \quad b_n = \left(1 + \frac{a}{n}\right)^n, \quad \beta_n = \left(1 + \frac{\|a\|}{n}\right)^n.$$
(4.1)

Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} s_n = \exp(a) = \lim_{n \to \infty} b_n \quad \& \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma_n = \exp(\|a\|) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \beta_n.$$
(4.2)

 $vl \ \mathcal{C} \ pgs$

Using the binomial expansions for b_n and β_n , and introducing the notation

$$\lambda_k(n) = \frac{1}{k!} \left(1 - \frac{n!}{n^k(n-k)!} \right)$$

for every integer k such that $0 \le k \le n$, we have

$$s_n - b_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \underbrace{\frac{1}{k!} \left(1 - \frac{n!}{n^k} \frac{1}{(n-k)!} \right)}_{=\lambda_k(n) \ge 0} a^k = \sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_k(n) a^k$$
(4.3)

and

$$\sigma_n - \beta_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_k(n) \|a\|^k$$
(4.4)

with obviously all $\lambda_k(n) \ge 0$, where

$$\lambda_0(n) \equiv \lambda_1(n) \equiv 0 \quad (n \ge 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_2(n) \equiv \frac{1}{2n} \quad (n \ge 2).$$

Using the triangle inequality we estimate

$$||e^{a} - b_{n}|| = ||(e^{a} - s_{n}) + (s_{n} - b_{n})|| \le ||e^{a} - s_{n}|| + ||s_{n} - b_{n}||$$

Now, considering (4.1)–(4.2),

$$\|e^{a} - s_{n}\| = \left\|\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{a^{k}}{k!}\right\| \le \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\|a\|^{k}}{k!} = e^{\|a\|} - \sigma_{n},$$

and, referring to (4.3) and (4.4),

$$||s_n - b_n|| = \left\|\sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_k a^k\right\| \le \sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_k ||a||^k = \sigma_n - \beta_n.$$

Consequently

$$||e^{a} - b_{n}|| \le (e^{||a||} - \sigma_{n}) + (\sigma_{n} - \beta_{n}) = e^{||a||} - \beta_{n}.$$

Therefore, from (4.1), we obtain the estimate

$$\left\|e^a - \left(1 + \frac{a}{n}\right)^n\right\| \leq e^{\|a\|} - \left(1 + \frac{\|a\|}{n}\right)^n$$

which, see Proposition 1,

$$< e^{\|a\|} - e^{\|a\|} \exp\left(-\frac{\|a\|^2}{2n}\right)$$

and this

$$< \frac{\|a\|^2}{2n} e^{\|a\|}$$

since $1 - e^{-r} < r \ (r \in \mathbb{R})$.

 $vl \ {\mathcal E} \ pgs$

May 29, 2017

Asymptotic lower bound for $\delta(a, n)$

We write, as usual, $\sigma(a)$ for the *spectrum* and $|a|_{\sigma}$ for the *spectral radius* of an element a of a complex norm-unital Banach algebra.

Theorem 6. Let \mathcal{A} be a norm-unital complex Banach algebra. Then

$$\delta(a,n) = \left\| e^{a} - \left(1 + \frac{a}{n}\right)^{n} \right\| \geq \left| e^{a} - \left(1 + \frac{a}{n}\right)^{n} \right|_{\sigma}$$

$$\geq \Delta(\sigma(a),n)$$

$$\gtrsim_{(2)} \frac{1}{2n} \sup\left\{ \left| \Re(z^{2}) \right| e^{\Re(z)} : z \in \sigma(a) \right\}$$

for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and positive integer n.

Thus, if a is not quasinilpotent, $\|e^a - (1 + \frac{a}{n})^n\|$ tends to 0 no faster than $O(n^{-1})$ unless $\sigma(a)$ is contained in \mathcal{X} in which case the rate of convergence is no faster than $O(n^{-2})$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that \mathcal{A} is commutative. Then

$$\sigma(a) = \{\phi(a) : \phi \in \Phi(\mathcal{A})\}\$$

where $\Phi(\mathcal{A})$ is the set of *characters (nontrivial multiplicative functionals)* on \mathcal{A} . Now, for any $\phi \in \Phi(\mathcal{A})$ and any *n* (recall that the norm dominates the spectral radius),

$$\begin{vmatrix} e^{\phi(a)} - \left(1 + \frac{\phi(a)}{n}\right)^n \end{vmatrix} = \left| \phi \left(e^a - \left(1 + \frac{a}{n}\right)^n \right) \right| \\ \leq \left| e^a - \left(1 + \frac{a}{n}\right)^n \right|_{\sigma} \\ \leq \left\| e^a - \left(1 + \frac{a}{n}\right)^n \right\|.$$

The rest follows from Theorem 4.

Remark 4. The first inequality in Theorem 5 is sharp: we have equality if a is a positive real in \mathbb{C} .

Theorem 6 too is sharp: if $a \neq 0$ but $a^2 = 0$ then $e^a = \left(1 + \frac{a}{n}\right)^n$ for $n \geq 1$.

Hermitian elements of a Banach algebra

We refer to $[1, \S10]$ for the background on numerical range in Banach algebras. Recall that an element h of a complex norm-unital Banach algebra is *hermitian* if its algebra numerical range is real: equivalently, if $||e^{irh}|| = 1$ $(r \in \mathbb{R})$: equivalently, if $||1 + irh|| \le 1 + o(r)$ ($\mathbb{R} \ni r \to 0$). In analogy to Theorem 1, combining Theorems 5 & 6:

Theorem 7. Let h be a hermitian element of a complex unital Banach algebra. Then

$$\frac{\|h\|^2}{2n} e^{-\|h\|} \lesssim_{(2)} \left\| e^h - \left(1 + \frac{h}{n}\right)^n \right\| \le \frac{\|h\|^2}{2n} e^{\|h\|}.$$

vl & pgs

When $||h|| \in \sigma(h)$, then, more precisely,

$$\frac{\|h\|^2}{2n} e^{\|h\|} \lesssim_{(2)} \left\| e^h - \left(1 + \frac{h}{n}\right)^n \right\| \le \frac{\|h\|^2}{2n} e^{\|h\|}.$$

Proof. For such an h we have $\sigma(h) \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $||h|| = |h|_{\sigma}$: so then at least one of ||h|| and -||h|| belongs to $\sigma(h)$. Thus, referring to Theorem 6,

$$\sup \left\{ \left| \Re(z^2) \right| e^{\Re(z)} : z \in \sigma(a) \right\} \ge \|h\|^2 e^{-\|h\|} \qquad if \ -\|h\| \in \sigma(h)$$

and

$$\sup\left\{\left|\Re(z^{2})\right|e^{\Re(z)}: z \in \sigma(a)\right\} \geq \|h\|^{2} e^{\|h\|} \quad if \ \|h\| \in \sigma(h).$$

Remark 5. Our upper estimate (Theorem 5) for the size of $e^a - \left(1 + \frac{a}{n}\right)^n$ holds for an element of a real or complex norm-unital Banach algebra, while for the lower bound (Theorem 6) we require the algebra to be complex. Qualitatively speaking, this is no essential restriction because: (i) if a Banach algebra \mathcal{A} has a unit 1 whose norm is $\neq 1$ we can construct an equivalent Banach algebra norm on \mathcal{A} for which ||1|| = 1; and (ii) if \mathcal{A} has no unit we can adjoin a unit and renorm so that this unit has norm 1; and (iii) if \mathcal{A} is a Banach algebra over the real field we can embed it isometrically in a complex Banach algebra (see $[1, \S\S3, \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{E} & 13]$). Note that the expression $e^a - \left(1 + \frac{a}{n}\right)^n$ can be interpreted as the limit of the sequence $\sum_{k=1}^{N} \left\{ \frac{a^k}{k!} - {n \choose k} \frac{a^k}{n^k} \right\}$ as $N \to \infty$ in any Banach algebra (even if it is neither unital nor complex).

References

- [1] F. F. Bonsall & J. Duncan, Complete Normed Algebras, Springer (1973).
- [2] V. Lampret, Approximating the powers with large exponents and bases close to unit, and the associated sequence of nested limits, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sci. 6 (2011) 2135–2145.

Vito Lampret, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia 386 EU vito.lampret@guest.arnes.si

&

Philip G Spain, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QW

Philip.Spain@glasgow.ac.uk