



Larcombe, S. D., Herborn, K. A., Alexander, L. and Arnold, K. E. (2017) Dietary antioxidants in life-history trade-offs: differential effects of a-tocopherol supplementation on blue tit *Cyanistes caeruleus* mothers and offspring during reproduction. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, (doi: [10.1093/biolinnean/blx072](https://doi.org/10.1093/biolinnean/blx072))

This is the author's final accepted version.

There may be differences between this version and the published version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

<http://eprints.gla.ac.uk/147883/>

Deposited on: 12 September 2017

Enlighten – Research publications by members of the University of Glasgow
<http://eprints.gla.ac.uk>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

Differential effects of α -tocopherol supplementation on blue tit *Cyanistes caeruleus* mothers and offspring

Stephen D Larcombe^{1*}, Katherine A Herborn², Lucille Alexander³, Kathryn E Arnold⁴

*corresponding author

¹Institute of Biodiversity, Animal Health and Comparative Medicine, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, G12 8QQ, UK T: +44 (0)141 330 7516 E: stephen.larcombe@glasgow.ac.uk

²Centre for Behaviour and Evolution, Institute of Neuroscience, Newcastle University, Newcastle, NE2 4HH

³College of Medicine, Biosciences and Psychology, University of Leicester, University Road, Leicester, LE1 7RH, UK

⁴Environment Department, University of York, Heslington, York, YO10 5NG, UK

Running head: Vitamin E effects on blue tit reproduction

37 Abstract

38 α -tocopherol is assumed to be the most biologically active dietary antioxidant *in vivo*, but
39 despite its potential importance little is known about its impacts on wild birds. Reproduction
40 is presumed to be costly for parents through several routes, including increased oxidative
41 stress, particularly for bird species producing large clutches. If dietary antioxidants can
42 ameliorate oxidative stress associated with reproduction, mothers supplemented with dietary
43 antioxidants are predicted to be in improved condition and/or invest more resources in
44 reproduction than controls. We provided adult blue tit pairs with an α -tocopherol enriched or
45 control food supplement during nest building and egg laying, then cross-fostered half broods
46 between treatment groups to test the theory that α -tocopherol supplemented mothers would
47 invest more in self-maintenance or reproduction than controls. We found that α -tocopherol
48 supplementation had no effect on maternal condition or reproductive investment. However,
49 effects on nestlings were evident: nestlings from α -tocopherol supplemented mothers were
50 smaller at hatching. There was no effect on chick fledging mass, fledging success or lipid
51 peroxidation, but the catch up growth exhibited by chicks from α -tocopherol supplemented
52 parents may be considered costly. Thus, our results do not provide evidence for a benefit of
53 maternal α -tocopherol supplementation at a biologically relevant dose on either themselves or
54 their offspring. We discuss our findings in terms of ongoing research on the multifaceted
55 roles that dietary “antioxidants” can have *in vivo*, and the issues of disentangling their
56 impacts on physiology and behaviour in the wild.

57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67

68 Key words:

69 α -tocopherol - antioxidants – birds - growth – MDA - oxidative stress – reproduction -
70 vitamin E.

71 Introduction

72

73 The availability of resources will determine how individuals balance investment in the
74 current reproductive attempt against investment in self maintenance, and future reproduction.
75 There has been much interest in the role that antioxidants might play in underpinning such
76 life history trade-offs (Costantini, 2008; Dowling & Simmons, 2009; Metcalfe & Alonso-
77 Alvarez, 2010) . Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) are naturally produced by the body during
78 metabolism, immune responses and cell signalling. Though their production is unavoidable,
79 and in some cases necessary, left unchecked these ROS will cause damage to lipids, muscle
80 and DNA vital for physiological function (Finkel & Holbrook, 2000; Larcombe et al., 2010a;
81 Larcombe et al., 2008). Thus all animals have evolved an endogenous antioxidant system,
82 augmented by a potentially limited supply of dietary antioxidants, to remove excess ROS
83 before damage can accrue. At points in life where the endogenous antioxidant system may be
84 assumed to be operating at full capacity, these limited, dietary antioxidants may be especially
85 important in resisting oxidative stress. Oxidative stress occurs where the production of pro-
86 oxidants overwhelms the capacity to remove or neutralise them (Sies, 1991), and the ability
87 to resist oxidative stress has been shown to boost survival and life expectancy in some wild
88 populations, highlighting its importance to determining fitness (Alonso-Alvarez et al., 2004;
89 Bize et al., 2008; Losdat et al., 2012).

90

91 Breeding is a major life-history event that has been associated with increased oxidative stress
92 through a variety of routes, thus reproduction as used as a model on which to study the
93 ecological and evolutionary impacts of physiological trade-offs involving antioxidants and
94 oxidative stress (Alonso-Alvarez et al., 2004; Blount et al., 2015; Christe et al., 2011;
95 Larcombe et al., 2010b; Metcalfe & Monaghan, 2013; Monaghan et al., 2009; Speakman &
96 Garratt, 2014). In birds, reproduction, egg formation, egg incubation, and offspring rearing
97 are all associated with increased metabolism (Hodum et al., 1998; Weimerskirch et al., 2003).
98 Although the generality of the relationship between metabolic rate and oxidative stress has
99 recently been questioned (Arnold et al., 2015; Arnold et al., 2007; Salin et al., 2015;
100 Speakman et al., 2015), reproductive investment has been linked to a decrease in antioxidant
101 defences (Alonso-Alvarez et al. 2004; Losdat et al. 2011). Reproduction is also linked to the
102 magnitude of the physiological stress response in mothers (Romero et al., 1997), and stress
103 responsiveness and oxidative balance are likely to be associated (Monaghan & Spencer,
104 2014; Sahin & Gumuslu, 2007). Given these proposed oxidative costs of breeding, the ability

105 of individuals to resist oxidative damage might impact their ability to invest in the production
106 of offspring (Speakman et al. 2015). Since major breeding events are predicted to challenge
107 the endogenous antioxidant system, the availability of dietary antioxidants could limit
108 reproductive effort if they have an important *in vivo* role in free radical quenching and
109 prevention of oxidative damage.

110

111 Most studies investigating trade-offs between dietary antioxidants and reproduction
112 have focussed on carotenoids, a class of lipophilic antioxidants that are also important in
113 colour-based sexual/social signalling in birds and other animals. For example, experimental
114 manipulations of carotenoid levels in eggs, either indirectly via the mother (Berthouly et al.,
115 2008; Biard et al., 2005; Remes et al., 2007; Surai et al., 2003) or by direct injection into the
116 yolk (Marri & Richner, 2014; Saino et al., 2003), have shown that carotenoids can reduce
117 oxidative susceptibility (Blount et al., 2002) as well as improving offspring immunity (Biard
118 et al., 2005; Leclaire et al., 2015; Saino et al., 2003) body size (Biard et al., 2005 but see
119 Remes et al., 2007) and fledging success (Marri & Richner, 2014). However, carotenoids
120 may have multiple endogenous roles in addition to, or instead of, their putative role as free
121 radical scavenging antioxidants (Hartley & Kennedy, 2004). Therefore, these positive effects
122 are not always necessarily attributable to antioxidant function. Indeed, carotenoids could be
123 considered relatively minor antioxidants in birds (Costantini & Møller, 2008). Data on the
124 impact of non-carotenoid antioxidants on breeding success and offspring development are
125 more scarce, but potentially important. In this study we provided birds with the antioxidant α -
126 tocopherol, a biologically active form of vitamin E (Costantini, 2008; Machlin, 1991; Sies &
127 Murphy, 1991).

128 α -tocopherol is suggested to be the major lipophilic antioxidant involved in
129 membrane defence (Tappel, 1962) Deficiencies in vitamin E are associated with a range of
130 illnesses and disorders in many taxa (Zingg, 2007), effects generally attributed to its
131 antioxidant properties specifically (Traber & Atkinson, 2007) . α -tocopherol can play a role
132 in mediating gene-expression (Azzi et al., 2004; Azzi & Stocker, 2000) and in immune
133 processes (Leshchinsky & Klasing, 2001; Wintergerst et al., 2007), but it is most commonly
134 researched for its potential as an important antioxidant. Data from poultry science suggest
135 widespread beneficial effects of supplementary vitamin E for birds (Surai, 2002). Though
136 data for non-commercial species are less common, it has been shown that provision of
137 vitamin E can reduce oxidative damage in adult house finches *Haemorrhous mexicanus*
138 (Giraudeau et al., 2013) and reduce parasite burden in adult ring necked pheasants *Phasianus*

139 *colchicus* (Orledge et al., 2012). Though supplementation to nestlings directly improved
140 growth rate of barn swallows *Hirundo rustica* and tarsus length of collared flycatchers
141 *Ficedula albicollis* (Matrková & Remeš, 2014) , as well as the fledging success of great tit
142 *Parus major* (Maronde & Richner, 2014), it has previously been shown to have no impact on
143 oxidative damage or the immune system in nestling tits (Larcombe et al., 2010b; Marri &
144 Richner, 2015). To our knowledge the impacts of supplementing vitamin E to wild adult birds
145 on their reproductive success and offspring development have not been tested.

146

147 In birds, maternal nutritional status has been shown to affect egg size (Nager et al.,
148 2000) as well as the deposition of substances within the egg such as antibodies, lipids,
149 proteins and hormones (Blount et al., 2002; Gasparini et al., 2007; Siitari et al., 2015) . These
150 in turn can influence offspring phenotype (Giraudeau et al., 2016; Navara et al., 2006).
151 Adequate antioxidant deposition into yolk is vital to ensure normal development of nestlings,
152 particularly since antioxidant levels cannot be adjusted until after hatching. Furthermore
153 antioxidant concentration in egg yolk may have a significant bearing on levels of antioxidants
154 in tissues like blood, brain and livers (Surai et al., 1998; Surai et al., 1996). By allocating
155 extra antioxidants into yolk a female may improve or alter the health or condition of her
156 nestlings even post-hatching. Antioxidants that are deposited into egg yolks are often dietary-
157 acquired; including carotenoids and vitamin E (Deeming & Pike, 2013). This suggests
158 another trade-off between dietary antioxidants and reproductive effort if egg quality is limited
159 by the availability of these dietary antioxidants before egg laying.

160

161

162 In this study we assessed the effects of biologically relevant α -tocopherol supplementation of
163 parents during nest building and egg laying, on maternal condition, reproductive effort pre
164 and post-hatching, and offspring development and phenotype in a wild population of blue tits,
165 *Cyanistes caeruleus*. By cross-fostering partial broods, we specifically tested whether
166 compared with a control, α -tocopherol supplementation impacts: 1) maternal body condition
167 or parental investment; 2) clutch size and quality; 3) development or oxidative damage levels
168 of offspring or 4) reproductive success.

169

170

171 Methods

172

173 *Study Site*

174

175 The study was conducted in spring 2006 in an established nestbox-breeding population in
176 predominantly Oak Woodland at the Scottish Centre for Ecology and the Natural
177 Environment (SCENE), Rowardennan, Loch Lomond, UK . (56080N,
178 4370W).

179

180 *Ethical Statement*

181

182 This research adhered to the Association for the Study of Animal Behaviour Guidelines for
183 the Use of Animals in Research, the legal requirements of the UK and all institutional
184 guidelines.

185

186 *Nest-building and egg-laying: dietary manipulation and clutch size*

187

188 Dietary antioxidant levels were manipulated from mid-nest building until clutch completion.
189 Nest boxes were visited every two days until nests were one quarter constructed (a ring of
190 moss but with the nest box floor centre still bare). The next day, an empty 130x130x50mm
191 green mesh suet feeder (Haiths, Cleethorpes, UK) was installed on a branch, sapling or trunk
192 within 3m (but usually less than 1.5m) of that nest box, to habituate the parent birds to the
193 presence of feeders. Visits continued every two days until nests were half built (having a
194 visible but unlined nest cup), at which point feeders were stocked with approximately 125g of
195 either control lard or α -tocopherol enriched lard. All food supplements were prepared the
196 night before use, by melting lard and pouring into foil-lined moulds. For the α -tocopherol
197 treatment the lard was cooled and 250 mg of α -tocopherol acid succinate (Sigma, Poole, UK)
198 was added and evenly mixed to 1 kg of cooled lard. All food was stored in a freezer
199 overnight. The method of α -tocopherol supplement delivery was based on methods
200 established at the site (Ramsay & Houston, 1998) and designed to provide a biologically
201 relevant dose of 0.37mg additional α -tocopherol (or an increase of ~30% of normal daily
202 intake) to supplemented birds (see supplementary material S1 for further details).
203 Supplements were replaced every 2 days to ensure freshness and that the α -tocopherol did not
204 oxidize. This assumption was tested in a later experiment in spring, where we found a small
205 decrease in detectable vitamin E in lard after 48 hours (maximum decrease of 25% from
206 starting concentration at point that new feeders were provided: see supplementary material).

207 Feeders were removed when incubation commenced, and no new eggs had been laid for two
208 days, after which nests were undisturbed for 10 days during incubation. Consequently, the
209 duration of supplementation varied with nest building rate and clutch size but the duration of
210 supplementation did not vary between treatment groups (GLM. Total treatment duration, $F_{1,69}$
211 $= 0.55$ $p = 0.855$, Treatment duration before 1st egg: $F_{1,69} = 0.259$ $p = 0.613$. Mean total
212 treatment durations (days \pm *S.E.*): control 15.09 ± 0.57 α -tocopherol 15.31 ± 0.72 Mean
213 treatment duration before 1st egg (days \pm *S.E.*): control 4.91 ± 0.61 α -tocopherol 4.47 ± 0.61),
214 and duration of supplementation was included in analyses (see statistical methods). A total of
215 94 blue tit pairs (47 control and 47 α -tocopherol) were randomly assigned to the feeding trial.
216 After accounting for nests that were unsuitable for cross-fostering due to failure to find
217 treatment/hatch date/clutch size matches we had a sample of 24 cross fostered broods.

218
219

220 When laying commenced, eggs were numbered daily with non-toxic, permanent ink to
221 identify lay order. The fifth laid egg from each nest was removed on the day it was laid for
222 antioxidant analysis, replaced with a dummy egg to prevent females from laying a
223 replacement. The egg was kept chilled and taken immediately to a freezer where it was stored
224 at -40 °C until analysis. We used clutch size as a measure of female reproductive effort. In
225 addition, the lengths and widths of all eggs were measured using vernier callipers to within
226 0.05 mm. Egg volume was calculated using the equation $V = 0.51 \cdot LB^2$ (Hoyt, 1979). Total
227 and mean egg volumes were used to assess maternal investment in terms of clutch quality.

228

229 *Day 3: Cross fostering and initial nestling measurements*

230 We performed a cross-fostering trial to separate the effects of the manipulation on ‘egg
231 effects’ (i.e. egg quality and incubation environment, along with genetic inheritance) from the
232 effects of the rearing environment. After hatching day 0 (the day on which more than half of
233 eggs within a clutch had hatched), broods were undisturbed until the cross-fostering when
234 nestlings were three days old. Half broods were swapped between dyads of supplemented and
235 control treated parents. Nests were paired according to feeding treatment, brood size (± 1
236 nestling) and exact hatching date. We did not cross-foster any nests that did not hatch on the
237 same day. Before cross-fostering each nestling was individually marked with a unique colour
238 combination on the three patches of down on their heads using non-toxic ink. The nestlings
239 were weighed and half were randomly selected using a coin toss for fostering. We did not

240 know the laying order of the chicks, but given the large brood sizes and randomized cross
241 fostering with respect to size (day 3), it is highly unlikely that laying order systematically
242 effected results. Whilst cross-fostered nestlings were transported to their new nest box in a
243 heated box, their siblings were also kept out of the nest in a heated box to control for the
244 disturbance involved in cross-fostering. Cross-fostering was accomplished within 30 minutes.
245 For broods with no suitable nest pairing of for cross-fostering, all nestlings were marked and
246 measured at the nest site, and returned to their own nest.

247

248 Nests were visited on days 5, 7, 9, 11 to remark as necessary, with non-toxic ink and, from
249 day 9, using a unique combination of toenail clips. At day 14 they were ringed, blood
250 sampled (see below) and left to fledge naturally.

251

252 *Female condition measurement*

253

254 To investigate the effects of treatment on female condition, adult females were caught by
255 nestbox traps, blood sampled and measured when their nestlings were 5-6 days old.
256 Following blood sampling, we measured females' tarsus length and weight (to within 0.1g).
257 For each bird, condition was calculated as the residuals from the regression of Ln(mass) on
258 $3 \times \text{Ln}(\text{tarsus})$. Physiological condition indices (blood glucose level and heterophil to
259 lymphocyte (H/L ratio) were also measured but not included in the main text (see ESM S2).

260

261

262 *Egg yolk antioxidant analysis*

263

264 We used the 5th laid egg from each nest to perform antioxidant concentration analysis. This
265 egg was chosen to allow the maximum time for supplementary α -tocopherol to be
266 incorporated into eggs whilst also maximising sample size (most females lay at least five
267 eggs in our population). We measured carotenoid and α -tocopherol content using HPLC. Eggs
268 were frozen at -40 °C until extraction took place. Eggs were removed from the freezer and
269 their shells were removed with tweezers. The egg was then left to thaw until the albumen
270 around the yolk had melted, leaving a frozen yolk. A dissecting needle was used to impale the
271 yolk which was then rubbed over tissue paper until all albumen was removed. The yolk was
272 weighed to the nearest 0.001g, then placed in an eppendorf and an equal volume distilled
273 water was added to each and they were then homogenised. Antioxidant extraction was then

274 achieved using previously outlined methods though substituting 200µl of yolk water solution
275 for plasma.(Larcombe et al., 2008). HPLC and data analysis were then conducted as
276 previously described (Arnold et al., 2010a).

277

278 *Parental investment*

279

280 To determine whether differences in incubation were mediated by our supplement we
281 calculated incubation duration as the number of days elapsed between incubation
282 commencing and the first egg hatching.

283

284 To examine the effect of the manipulation on adult provisioning behaviour, we collected
285 videos of parent visitation to the nest box on the day after cross-fostering, when nestlings
286 were 4 days old. Black and white video cameras (50x50x20mm) were attached to the inside
287 of the nest box back wall, facing the entrance hole to capture parents' entrances during peak
288 provisioning from 0600 to 1200hrs The cameras were connected to a videocassette recorder
289 (VCR) in a waterproof box that was camouflaged with forest litter to reduce disturbance
290 around the nest area. The video recording equipment was installed the day before filming to
291 allow adults to habituate, and the nest boxes were not disturbed on day 4. The time of each
292 parental visit and, where possible, the contents of the adult beak were recorded. Food was
293 assigned to the following categories: 1. caterpillar, 2. spider, 3. non-caterpillar (definitely
294 prey, not a caterpillar or spider), 4. unknown (did not resemble a typical prey item), and 5.
295 not visible.

296

297

298 *Offspring Development and fledging success*

299 To examine the effect of adult treatment on nestling morphology and condition at fledging,
300 we measured nestling weight on day 13 and oxidative damage levels, morphology and
301 plumage colouration on day 14, just prior to fledging. Growth rate was calculated for each
302 bird between days 3 and 13 as: $(\text{mass day 13} - \text{mass day 3}) / 10$, giving a rate of daily body
303 mass gain in g/day. On day 14, half of a brood was transported to SCENE in a heated bag. On
304 arrival, nestlings were removed from the bag one at a time and blood sampled immediately
305 by venipuncture of the wing vein. One drop of blood was put in ethanol for subsequent
306 molecular sexing (Arnold et al., 2007; Griffiths et al., 1998). The remaining blood was

307 collected in 75 μ l heparinised capillary tubes. The capillary tubes of blood for MDA analysis
308 were centrifuged and haematocrit readings were taken from each, before these were stored at
309 -20°C . After blood sampling, wing length and tarsus length were measured. Finally, a
310 spectrophotometer (Ocean Optics S2000) was used to collect reflectance readings (see ESM
311 S3). Birds were removed from their nests for no longer than one hour. Fledging success was
312 recorded; we checked nest boxes when the nestlings would have been 25 days old, after
313 fledging. The identity of any dead nestlings in the nest box was noted. We also attempted to
314 assess recruitment of adult and juvenile birds from this study in the breeding season of 2007
315 but the sample size was too small to make robust conclusions so is not reported in the main
316 text (see ESM S4)

317

318 *Nestling oxidative damage analyses*

319

320 In order to assess the effect of supplemental feeding treatment on oxidative stress,
321 malonidialdehyde (MDA), a by-product of lipid peroxidation, was quantified in the plasma of
322 a subsample of nestlings. Owing to the relatively large volume of plasma (50 μ l) required for
323 these analyses, not all birds could be measured. Instead we analysed plasma samples from at
324 least one nestling of each sex, per treatment per brood. This meant a final sample size of 90
325 samples (approximately 50% of all cross fostered nestlings). MDA analysis was performed
326 according to a standard method (Young & Trimble, 1991) with the modifications outlined
327 previously (Larcombe et al., 2015).

328

329 *Statistics*

330

331 Since we ended up with a lower sample size of cross fostered nests than we had anticipated
332 from the 94 starting nests, for the analyses that did not involve offspring or parent condition
333 and phenotype we performed statistical tests on the cross-fostered nests alone ($n = 184$), and
334 then with data from all nests ($n = 417$) to augment the sample size. This only applies where
335 we had reasonable grounds to assume the cross-fostering would have no effect (i.e. pre cross-
336 fostering procedures like clutch size, egg volume and incubation) and is reported in the
337 results where applicable.

338

339 Measures of female condition, reproductive output and yolk antioxidant concentrations were
340 analysed using general linear models in SPSS v14 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA). Dependent
341 variables were: female body condition, clutch size, yolk mass (5th egg), antioxidant
342 concentrations, total egg volume and fledging success. Treatment was entered as a fixed
343 factor model, and hatching date as a covariate in every model. Since birds varied in nest
344 building rate and latency to begin egg laying, the number of days of supplementation was
345 entered as a covariate in models. Initially, the interactions treatment*hatching date and
346 treatment*treatment duration were included in all models to account for potential date and
347 treatment duration effects respectively. These terms were not significant and excluded from
348 final models. Yolk antioxidant concentrations were analysed using GLMs with total yolk
349 carotenoid and total yolk tocopherol concentration as dependent variables. Measures of
350 reproductive output (clutch size egg volumes, antioxidant concentrations) were modelled
351 with female body condition as an additional covariate.

352
353

354 Data on nestling growth, size, and oxidative stress were analysed using general linear mixed
355 models (GLMM) in SAS v8 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) Response variables were
356 body mass day 3, body mass day 14, growth rate, MDA, tarsus length, and condition..
357 Identity (ID) of egg parent's nest, and identity (ID) of rearing parents nest were added as
358 random factors in each model, to control for non-independence of nestlings of the same
359 origin and hatching environment, or rearing environment respectively. Initial models included
360 brood size as a covariate, but this was never significant and subsequently removed. Sex,
361 parental treatment, rearing treatment and all possible two-way interactions were added as
362 fixed factors into each model. MDA was modelled including growth rate as an additional
363 covariate as our previous work suggests growth rate is a strong determinant. Models were
364 simplified by dropping non-significant terms from the model, starting with non-significant
365 interactions, until only factors significantly contributing to the model remained. In the results
366 below non-significant values are provided at the point the term was omitted from the model,
367 and only significant interaction terms are reported. Means \pm 1 standard error are reported
368 throughout the results.

369

370 Results

371

372 *Maternal condition*

373

374 There was no significant difference in female body condition between α -tocopherol ($0.12 \pm$
375 0.25) and control fed birds (-0.21 ± 0.25), when nestlings were 5 days old (univariate GLM,
376 $F_{1,32} = 1.538$, $p = 0.224$). There was no significant relationship between female condition
377 and hatching date ($p > 0.1$).

378

379 *Clutch size and quality*

380

381 There were no differences in the clutch size (eggs laid), nor the total clutch volume between
382 control (clutch size 10 ± 0.48 ; clutch volume $1468.4 \text{ mm}^3 \pm 18.82$) or α -tocopherol (clutch
383 size 10.77 ± 0.41 ; clutch volume $1468.2 \text{ mm}^3 \pm 22.64$) supplemented birds (multivariate
384 GLM, $F_{2,28} = 0.151$, $p = 0.861$). Clutch size and total clutch volume were positively
385 correlated with female body mass (multivariate GLM, $F_{2,31} = 3.531$, $p = 0.041$). There was no
386 effect of hatching date on volume of eggs laid ($p > 0.4$). There were no differences in the
387 average egg volume or yolk volume between control or α -tocopherol supplemented birds
388 (multivariate GLM, $F_{2,23} = 0.218$, $p = 0.806$). There was no effect of female mass, or
389 condition on egg volume or yolk volume ($p > 0.203$ in all cases). Comparing only cross-
390 fostered nests, there were no significant differences in total clutch volume (α -tocopherol:
391 $n=12$, $15953.15 \text{ mm}^3 \pm 828.73$, Control: $n = 12$, $1563.15 \text{ mm}^3 \pm 901.72$, GLM $F_{1,23} = 0.09$, p
392 $= 0.79$) or average egg volume (α -tocopherol: $n = 12$, $1445.85 \text{ mm}^3 \pm 22.47$, control $n = 12$,
393 $1463.20 \text{ mm}^3 \pm 27.79$, GLM $F_{1,23} = 0.278$, $p = 0.62$) between the treatment groups, so this is
394 not due to systematic biases in egg exchanges.

395

396 In the fifth laid eggs, there were differences in the yolk mass attributable to treatment.

397 Although overall α -tocopherol treated females had 5th eggs with bigger yolks (means: control
398 $0.2456 \text{ g} \pm 0.0038$; α -tocopherol $0.2539 \text{ g} \pm 0.0053$), there was a treatment*clutch size
399 interaction (GLM $F_{1,28} = 7.49$, $p = 0.01$). Figure 1 shows a positive linear relationship
400 between clutch size and yolk mass in control birds, but not in α -tocopherol treated birds; the
401 impact of α -tocopherol on yolk mass was stronger in birds with smaller clutches than those
402 with larger clutches. There was a marginal trend for heavier females to lay 5th eggs with
403 larger yolk mass (GLM $F_{1,28} = 3.61$, $p = 0.068$). Despite this there were no differences in the

404 concentrations of α -tocopherol (GLM $F_{1,25} = 1.01$, $p = 0.314$) and total carotenoids (GLM
405 $F_{1,25} = 0.238$, $p = 0.793$) between treatments. The analyses accounted for differences in the
406 duration of treatment (days treatment before egg laid: tocopherol concentration $F_{1,29} = 0.0$, p
407 $= 0.99$; carotenoid concentration $F_{1,29} = 0.0$, $p = 0.99$). The small difference in yolk mass
408 between birds was insufficient to change the *total* antioxidant content of yolks (rather than
409 concentrations). Mean concentrations of antioxidants in the yolks of all eggs were; α -
410 tocopherol: control treatment (n=12) 232.88 ± 21.97 $\mu\text{g/ml}$, α -tocopherol treatment (n=14)
411 224.37 ± 26.42 $\mu\text{g/ml}$, total carotenoids: control treatment (n=12) 76.94 ± 10.24 $\mu\text{g/ml}$, α -
412 tocopherol treatment (n=14) 82.59 ± 11.69 $\mu\text{g/ml}$). There was no effect of female mass or
413 total clutch volume on concentrations of yolk antioxidants ($p > 0.19$ in both cases). However,
414 figure 1 shows a significant negative relationship between maternal body condition and yolk
415 α -tocopherol (GLM $F = 6.398$, $p = 0.026$) and yolk carotenoid concentrations (GLM $F =$
416 9.613 , $p = 0.009$). There was no difference in hatching success between treatment groups, and
417 no effect of female condition, or date on hatching success or fledging success (GLM, $p >$
418 0.345).

419

420

421 *Parental investment*

422

423 Feeding treatment did not affect incubation duration (means: control treatment 14.96 ± 0.33
424 days, α -tocopherol treatment 14.84 ± 0.31 days, univariate GLM $F_{1,30} = 0.001$, $p = 0.97$).

425 There was no effect of total clutch volume, female condition, or date on duration of
426 incubation (GLM $p > 0.3$ in all cases).

427

428 No aspect of nestling provisioning between 06:00 and 08:00 was affected by dietary
429 treatment. Using data only from a subset of cross fostered that were filmed (n=16) there was
430 no difference in number of feeds per brood (GLM, $F_{1,15} = 0.719$, $p = 0.411$) or number of
431 feeds per nestling in the two hour observation (GLM, $F_{1,15} = 1.68$, $p = 0.215$: α -tocopherol: n
432 $= 12$, mean 7.03 feeds ± 1.16 ; control: n = 17, mean 5.76 feeds ± 0.25 , GLM, $F_{1,28} = 0.39$, p
433 $= 0.54$). There was a non-significant trend for the proportion of caterpillars provided to
434 decline with date (GLM $F_{1,28} = 3.35$ $p = 0.07$). Thus parents from different treatments did not
435 vary in the amount or type of prey provided to nestlings. Including data from non-cross
436 fostered nests to enhance the sample size (n = 29) did not change the results (Feeds per 2

437 hours. α -tocopherol: mean 56.14 ± 9.28 ; control: mean 46.67 ± 1.33 , GLM, $F_{1,28} = 0.103$, $p =$
438 0.751 or proportion of caterpillars GLM $F_{1,28} = 0.005$, $p = 0.94$, proportion caterpillar α -
439 tocopherol: mean 0.87 ± 0.03 ; control: mean 0.87 ± 0.04).

440

441

442 *Offspring Development*

443

444 At 3 days old (prior to cross fostering) nestlings from α -tocopherol treated parents weighed
445 significantly less than those from control treated parents (GLMM, $F_{1,188} = 24.28$, $p < 0.0001$,
446 Figure 2 a). Mass gain between days 3 and 13 was then faster for these nestlings, than
447 nestlings whose egg parents received control treatment (see Figure 3.1b; Table 1), and by day
448 14, there was no longer a significant effect of egg parent' feeding treatment on mass (GLMM
449 $F_{1,38.1} = 0.69$, $p = 0.41$). These results for growth rate and body mass day 14 indicate an
450 impact of the treatment on patterns on development, but do not allow us to determine whether
451 development in the nest is directly altered by parents' treatment, or whether patterns of
452 development are an indirect side-effect of differences in mass at hatching. We re-ran the
453 models for body mass and growth rate including the interactions of mass day 3*treatment of
454 rearing parent and mass day 3*treatment of egg laying parent to account for these
455 possibilities. None of these interactions were significant (body mass day 14: mass3*rearing
456 treatment $F_{1,86.4} = 0.9$, $p = 0.35$; mass3*egg treatment $F_{1,158} = 0.9$, $p = 0.36$. Growth rate 3-
457 13: mass3*rearing treatment $F_{1,92.3} = 0.88$, $p = 0.35$; mass3 *egg treatment $F_{1,84.3} = 0.74$, $p =$
458 0.39). From this we suggest that egg effects as a result of the treatment resulted in smaller
459 nestlings, and smaller nestlings always engage in catch up growth regardless of treatment. In
460 contrast, feeding treatment of rearing parent had no effect on the rate of mass gain (GLMM F
461 $_{1,15.1} = 0.48$, $p=0.50$). However, nestlings raised by control fed adults were of greater mass at
462 day 14 than those raised by α -tocopherol fed adults (Table 2; Figure 3a). The identity of both
463 rearing parent and egg parent explained variance in mass gain between days 3-13, indicating
464 that growth rate is determined both by genetic, maternal and early rearing effects, and by
465 provisioning by rearing adults (Table 1). In these models, there were no sex differences in
466 body mass at day 3 (GLMM, $F_{1,192} = 0.019$, $p = 0.66$), but males gained more mass than
467 females between the ages of 3 and 14 days ($F_{1,160} = 23.56$, $p < 0.0001$). There was no
468 significant interaction between sex, and either treatment of egg ($F_{1,174} = 1.71$, $p = 0.193$) or
469 rearing parents (GLMM $F_{1,174} = 1.81$, $p = 0.179$; Table 2),

470

471
472 With regards body size, however, at 14 days of age, nestlings from α -tocopherol
473 supplemented egg parents had smaller tarsi than nestlings from control eggs (Table 3). There
474 was also a significant interaction between treatment of rearing parents and sex on tarsus
475 length (Table 3). Whilst in general males had longer tarsi than females (means: males $17.14 \pm$
476 0.05 mm, females 16.57 ± 0.06 mm), male nestlings raised by control treated adults had
477 longer tarsi than male nestlings raised by tocopherol treated adults (Figure 3b). The identity
478 of egg parent significantly explained some variance in tarsus length, but identity of rearing
479 parent did not (random factors: egg parent $Z = 1.57$, $p = 0.058$, rearing parent $Z = 0.76$, $p =$
480 0.224)

481
482 There was a non-significant trend for nestlings from eggs laid by α -tocopherol fed parents to
483 be in better condition at fledging (greater mass for skeletal size) than birds from control fed
484 egg parents ($p = 0.071$, Figure 3.1c; Table 3). As body mass was not impacted by egg
485 parents' treatment, though tarsus length was, this result is probably driven by the smaller tarsi
486 in the nestlings from eggs laid by tocopherol treated parents. There was no significant effect
487 of treatment of rearing adults (GLMM $F_{1, 20.9} = 0.97$, $p=0.34$) or offspring sex (GLMM $F_{1, 184}$
488 $= 2.61$, $p=0.11$) on condition (Table 3). As with most morphometric measures, there was a
489 variance in offspring condition was significantly attributable to identity of egg parents, but
490 not to identity of rearing parents (random factors: egg parent $Z = -2.54$, $p = 0.011$, rearing
491 parent $Z = 2.21$, $p = -0.902$).

492
493 In spite of the differences in nestling mass and growth between treatment groups neither
494 genetic nor rearing parent treatment had a significant effect on plasma levels of MDA
495 (GLMM: parents treatment, $F_{1, 79.7} = 0.35$, $p = 0.55$, rearing treatment, $F_{1, 19.4} = 0.19$, $p =$
496 0.67). There were no sex differences in MDA (GLMM $F_{1, 80.5} = 0.29$, $p = 0.59$). In contrast
497 to morphometric measures, variance in MDA was not significantly explained by identity of
498 rearing parent ID or egg parent ID (random factors: egg parent $Z = 0$, $p = \text{n.a.}$, rearing parent
499 $Z = 1.17$ $p = 0.12$; residual $Z = 5.51$ $p < 0.0001$). We added growth rate as an additional
500 covariate in the model explaining lipid peroxidation and found faster growth was associated
501 (if not significantly) with increased MDA (GLMM $F_{1, 73.8} = 3.83$, $p = 0.054$). It is notable
502 that in spite of more rapid increase in body mass in nestlings from eggs laid by tocopherol
503 treated mothers that there was no treatment effect on MDA. It should be noted that MDA was

504 only measured in a subset of nestlings (n=90), where mass and growth rate were calculated
505 for every bird (cross fostered n = 184; all birds n = 417) and this might reflect an insufficient
506 sample size. Alternatively, nestlings from eggs laid by tocopherol treated mothers might have
507 been better able to resist oxidative damage, though the interaction term growth rate*egg
508 parent treatment was not significant when added to the model suggesting the slope of the
509 growth rate ~ MDA relationship did not differ among treatment groups.

510

511 During the course of the experiment only 5 nestlings out of 203 from fostered nests died post-
512 hatching, precluding an analysis of mortality in relation to treatment.

513

514 *Note on multiple comparisons:*

515

516 Multiple testing was a necessary part of our experiment to uncover the impacts of
517 supplementation of vitamin E on a wide range of behavioural, physiological and
518 developmental traits. We made the decision not to adjust p-values for multiple comparisons
519 in our analyses. The different responses we compared were planned and used to test
520 scientifically credible hypotheses, given background literature on the effects of vitamin
521 E/antioxidants. Further, many of the individual response variables were correlated (e.g. body
522 mass, growth rate, tarsus length, and body condition; or clutch size, total egg volume, av. egg
523 volume, yolk volume) which effectively reduces the overall number of tests. We did,
524 however, compare several traits at once in our two sets of analyses, which can increase the
525 incidence of type 1 errors (false positives). Rather than reducing the number of tests, and
526 missing potentially important but varied biological impacts of the supplement, or increasing
527 the likelihood of a Type II error though correction for multiple testing, we have interpreted all
528 of our statistical outputs cautiously. We note that if we had chosen an extremely conservative
529 Bonferroni transformation (with a p-value of 0.0083) the most important results of the study -
530 that vitamin E supplementation did not have any demonstrable benefits to mothers, and that
531 there was strong evidence of an impact on body mass in early nestling development - would
532 have been upheld regardless.

533 Discussion

534

535 In this experiment, we tested the impact of varying availability of a dietary antioxidant during
536 egg-laying on maternal condition, parental investment, clutch size and quality and offspring
537 development and survival. We predicted that any effect of vitamin E would be most likely to
538 reflect the benefits of antioxidant function specifically, since α -tocopherol has a proven role
539 as an antioxidant in vivo. We found no evidence for any benefit of the vitamin E supplement
540 on female condition. Although clutch size, clutch volume, incubation and feeding rates did
541 not differ between treatment groups, there was an impact of vitamin E supplementation on
542 yolk mass in fifth laid eggs. The yolks of α -tocopherol treated females were of greater mass,
543 especially in females with smaller clutches, than those of controls. Female body condition
544 was actually negatively correlated with yolk levels of vitamin E regardless of treatment. The
545 supplementation also had a significant effect on the pattern of developmental rates of
546 offspring, though in a manner that does not fit a clear prediction of a benefit to the
547 supplement.

548

549 Our results showed that despite female and male breeding birds willingly consuming the food
550 supplement there was no effect on reproductive output in terms of total number of eggs or
551 offspring fledged, or on their body condition. We also assessed blood measures of
552 physiological stress (glucose levels and heterophil/lymphocyte ratio) in females and these
553 were similarly unaffected by our treatment (see ESM S2). We are confident that our
554 treatment was successful insofar as providing enhanced vitamin E to birds, as they willingly
555 consumed the supplement, the vitamin E was largely stable, and supplementing parents with
556 α -tocopherol had significant impacts (regardless of their potential benefits or otherwise) on
557 yolk mass, and growth of resultant offspring. Paradoxically, though yolk mass was generally
558 greater in α -tocopherol treated females (at least in the fifth eggs) prior to cross fostering, 3
559 day old nestlings from eggs laid by α -tocopherol treated females were significantly smaller
560 than nestlings from control eggs. Reasons for this apparent contradiction are discussed below.
561 Nestlings from eggs laid by α -tocopherol treated females grew faster than nestlings from eggs
562 laid by control females, but by day 14 there was no significant difference in mass mediated
563 by treatment of egg laying parents, indicating this was probably catch-up growth, as is often
564 seen in smaller birds at hatching. Patterns of growth and development have been linked to
565 vitamin E in wild birds before (de Ayala et al., 2006; Matrková & Remeš, 2014). In chickens,
566 it has also been demonstrated that faster growing breed lines, have a higher demand for

567 vitamin E than slower growing lines (Surai et al., 2002) and α -tocopherol appears capable of
568 preventing oxidative stress induced growth retardation in chicken embryos (Satiroglu-Tufan
569 & Tufan, 2004). Vitamin E deficiency in last laid eggs also limits the growth of yellow-
570 legged gull chicks (Parolini et al., 2015). In a study of great tits, nestlings from carotenoid fed
571 mothers gained more mass between days 9-14 than nestlings from control parents (Berthouly
572 et al., 2008) though the difference only became visible at 14 days old. These studies suggest
573 that vitamin E, or other dietary antioxidants might be predicted to promote faster growth (and
574 greater eventual size) or ameliorate growth related costs in neonates. However, in our study
575 the faster growing nestlings from α -tocopherol eggs weighed less on day 3 than nestlings
576 from control eggs, and caught up rather than attaining a larger size at fledging. It is difficult
577 to see this as advantageous to the chicks and certainly does not indicate a demonstrable
578 benefit, even if despite growing faster the nestlings from eggs laid by α -tocopherol treated
579 mothers did not pay an increased cost in terms of lipid peroxidation. There is often assumed
580 to be a cost to “catch-up” growth, potentially paid later in life (Metcalf & Monaghan, 2001;
581 Metcalf & Monaghan, 2003). This catch up growth may be considered a cost rather than
582 benefit of the treatment, though in terms of MDA, it is also possible that parental
583 supplementation allowed chicks to resist this cost. We attempted to quantify survival costs for
584 nestlings and their parents in this study but re-capture rates were too low to be conclusive
585 (see ESM).

586

587 We calculated growth rate from the change in mass between days 3-13. This captures
588 variation in mass gain, but is only an approximation of the actual growth rate per day in terms
589 of skeletal size. For example, nestlings from eggs laid by control females had longer tarsi
590 prior to fledging than nestlings from eggs laid by α -tocopherol female. As eggs did not differ
591 in any measured antioxidant markers, such a difference in offspring size/development cannot
592 be explained by a negative physiological effect on the young birds (e.g. vitamin E toxicity at
593 high doses). A possible explanation is that the supplement had some impact on the
594 reproductive physiology or behaviour of the adult birds receiving the treatment (see below).
595 The shorter tarsus length we found was in contrast to a study of collared flycatchers in which
596 vitamin E supplementation to nestlings increased tarsus size but not body size (Matrková &
597 Remeš, 2014). In addition, we found male nestlings *raised* by α -tocopherol treated parents
598 had significantly shorter tarsi than males raised by control treated birds, regardless of origin.
599 In blue tits it has been suggested that tarsus length is a good measure of body condition and
600 rearing conditions (Senar et al., 2002). Our results could indicate that rearing conditions were

601 poorer, at least for males, in the nests of α -tocopherol treated adults. In part as a result,
602 nestlings from eggs laid by α -tocopherol supplemented parents were in “better condition” on
603 day 14. Condition scores based on relationships between skeletal size and body mass are used
604 to assess rearing conditions and survival probability in a range of bird species, but in one blue
605 tit population, the survival probabilities of nestlings were shown to be dependent on body
606 mass, and only indirectly by tarsus length (Raberg et al., 2005). Without further information
607 on adult survival and fitness, we cannot conclude whether greater skeletal size vs greater
608 body mass per skeletal size is better. Thus we have no clear evidence of a direct benefit to
609 nestlings of parental α -tocopherol supplementation

610

611 Our results may alternatively be explained by an unanticipated treatment effect on parent
612 investment strategies. The hypothesis underlying our experiment was that, if reproduction
613 and oxidative stress are linked, then reproductive investment will be shaped by current levels
614 of dietary antioxidants. However, by providing a vitamin E supplement near to the nest site to
615 manipulate these levels, it is also possible that we provided cues that mismatched perceived
616 and true environmental quality. Though this is unmeasurable, it may explain some of our
617 seemingly contradictory results, as both own state and perceived environmental quality may
618 mediate investment decisions, especially in a trade-off between chick rearing and self-
619 maintenance (for survival and future reproduction), but in different directions. Yolks, for
620 example, were generally larger in supplemented than control mothers’ fifth eggs, which is
621 consistent with a straight forward positive effect of supplementation on investment. In
622 contrast, supplemented parents produced smaller 3 day old chicks, sustained lower growth
623 rates in their own chicks than those achieved by control foster parents, and produced
624 fledglings with smaller tarsi than controls. If environmental quality were overestimated, then
625 reduced provisioning effort may occur on the expectation of environmental compensation, in
626 terms of prey quality over quantity. Though if so, at 4 days old, we found no such evidence of
627 a treatment group difference in nestling provisioning rate, or in proportion of caterpillars
628 provided. Alternatively, supplemented parents may have invested more into clutch size than
629 could ultimately be sustained by their immediate environment, as the supplements were
630 removed just after egg-laying. This is similar to a recent study on canaries *Serinus canaria*
631 where a manipulation of antioxidant levels in parents prior to breeding influenced their
632 timing of breeding, without benefit to reproductive success (Costantini et al., 2015). An
633 omission in our study was more detailed analysis of incubation behaviour, falling in the
634 period between the end of the supplementation and chick data collection, when the mismatch

635 of artificial and true environmental conditions occurred. Whilst total incubation duration did
636 not differ between treatment groups, incubation is costly to parents (Gorman & Nager, 2004)
637 and incubation conditions known to play a role in determining embryonic growth and
638 subsequent hatching mass (Kim & Monaghan, 2006). It is possible that knock on effects
639 occur at later reproductive stages, for example, depositing more yolk, investing fewer
640 resources in incubation or provisioning immediately post hatching, and allowing rapid catch
641 up growth in offspring (while investing more in self maintenance), could represent an
642 adaptive strategy in these perceived early-season conditions. Whilst we are not able to
643 determine the mechanisms involved, we do show that a manipulation of antioxidant
644 availability at a critical stage of reproduction can have impacts within and among different
645 stages of reproduction.

646

647 Egg effects (ID of genetic parents) explained some variance in all of our morphometric
648 measures, where rearing environment did not. This, together with the pervasive impact of the
649 feeding treatment of parents on their offspring development even in foster nests, suggests that
650 some aspect of egg or nestling development was ‘programmed’ or manipulated prior to the
651 cross fostering. In chickens, carotenoid content in egg yolk is more important in determining
652 circulating levels in chicks than the carotenoid content of their neonatal diet (Karadas et al.,
653 2005) and the effect of early antioxidant levels on antioxidant assimilation in later life has
654 also been demonstrated in zebra finches *Taeniopygia guttata* (Blount et al., 2003). Therefore,
655 maternal allocation of antioxidants in eggs may be an adaptive strategy, improving the
656 oxidative status of nestlings, regardless of post hatching diet. In other studies of Parids,
657 females supplemented with carotenoids increased carotenoid concentration in egg yolk,
658 leading to a range of benefits for nestlings (Biard et al., 2005; Helfenstein et al., 2008). We
659 found no treatment difference in tocopherol or carotenoid concentrations in yolks of fifth laid
660 eggs (though the yolk were generally larger). However, yolk antioxidants may have been
661 different in other eggs, especially since antioxidant levels in yolk can increase or decrease
662 across the laying sequence and clutch sizes are highly variable in tit species (Biard et al.,
663 2005; Hőrak et al., 2002; Török et al., 2007). Alternatively, other yolk constituents that
664 impact size and development e.g. hormones (Verboven et al., 2003) may have been modified
665 by females in response to α -tocopherol supplementation. In this study we aimed to examine
666 impacts on chick development, but a companion study sampling antioxidants and other
667 constituents in the complete clutch would help to interpret our results.

668

669

670 We found no difference in MDA levels between nestlings from eggs laid by parents receiving
671 the tocopherol and control treatments. If dietary antioxidants are limiting for reproducing
672 birds, provision of the free-radical scavenging antioxidant α -tocopherol was predicted to
673 allow increased investment in reproduction, or lower oxidative costs for parents and their
674 offspring. It is worth considering why this prediction was not clearly upheld. Firstly, is it
675 possible that previously identified benefits of vitamin E or carotenoids attributed to
676 antioxidant function, were related to other functions of these molecules (Hartley & Kennedy,
677 2004). This is also the case for α -tocopherol, which, whilst a strong in vivo free radical
678 scavenger, has received increasing attention for its roles in immune responses and gene
679 expression. How these may have been altered by our supplementation is impossible to
680 conclude from our results, though we stress that these other putative proximate mechanisms
681 of tocopherol action would still be predicted to benefit the recipient birds. A further
682 possibility is the idea that α -tocopherol was not limited in the natural diet of blue tits. Our
683 previous results have shown that relatively high levels of α -tocopherol are present in
684 caterpillars in this population (Arnold et al., 2010b). The high fledging and hatching success
685 suggest in the study year suggest high caterpillar densities. Repeating the experiment in more
686 adverse conditions might have improved the ability to detect impacts of vitamin E. Indeed, it
687 is worth noting that antioxidant defences in general are considered to have low energetic
688 costs (Speakman & Garratt, 2014), thus nutrition alone may not be limiting to prevention of
689 oxidative damage in many contexts. Lastly, as proposed above, it is possible that the
690 provision of extra antioxidants shifted the balance in the trade-off between current and future
691 reproductive effort, if females receiving α -tocopherol invested in self-maintenance rather than
692 the current reproductive output. Concentrations of other important yolk constituents, such as
693 antibodies are found not simply to reflect a passive correlation with maternal circulating
694 levels at the time of deposition, but vary between mothers and with their condition and
695 context. If such maternal investment is possible with antioxidants too, then, whether our
696 manipulation enhanced mothers' immediate perception of the provisioning environment, or
697 her own perceived longer term prospects, or both, then it may have altered her investment
698 into her current brood. Fitting this possibility, control parents invested most into the current
699 brood, achieving greater hatching and fledging mass than date-matched supplemented
700 parents. The unexpected negative correlation between maternal body condition and egg α -
701 tocopherol levels, independent of treatment, may reflect similar trade-offs. We attempted to
702 assess the survival and breeding effort of α -tocopherol and control treated adult birds in the

703 following breeding season (ESM). Although the sample size was too small for a robust
704 analysis, we did find an indication that α -tocopherol treated birds may have survived better to
705 reproduce in future years.

706

707 Supplementation with α -tocopherol, the putative membrane-bound, free radical scavenging
708 antioxidant, did not result in a demonstrable benefit for the parents receiving the supplement.
709 Thus, our study did not find support for the idea that dietary antioxidants are limiting in
710 reproducing blue tits in our population, or that dietary antioxidants aid reproduction.
711 Nevertheless, we found clear differences in the patterns of offspring growth attributable to the
712 dietary treatment. These results also failed to support the idea that α -tocopherol offers a
713 substantive benefit for the offspring of α -tocopherol treated parents. Our results add to the
714 growing recognition that the roles of dietary acquired antioxidants are complex and that
715 attributing their benefits to particular physiological functions is a challenge for future
716 research.

717

718 Acknowledgements

719

720 We would like to thank Jo Coffey at WCPN for help with MDA analysis, and the many
721 fieldworkers who assisted in the nestling feeding and data collection. Bill Mullen and Eileen
722 McGee were invaluable in assisting with HPLC and PCR labwork, and Lotta Ducaroir and
723 Sarah Jane Cunningham provided valuable assistance in monitoring consumption of the food
724 supplements and parental provisioning respectively. Ruedi Nager and Staffan Andersson
725 provided useful comments on the manuscript. S. Larcombe and K. Herborn were funded by
726 Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council Industrial CASE studentships with
727 Waltham Centre for Pet Nutrition and K. Arnold by a Royal Society University Research
728 Fellowship. This research adhered to the Association for the Study of Animal Behaviour
729 Guidelines for the Use of Animals in Research, the legal requirements of the UK and all
730 institutional guidelines.

731

732

733

734

735

736

737

738 **Figure legends**

739

740 **Figure 1 a)** Concentration of yolk α -tocopherol and b) total carotenoid decreased with
741 maternal body condition (residuals of $\ln(\text{mass})$ on $3 \cdot \ln(\text{tarsus})$).

742

743

744 **Figure 2** Mean (± 1 S.E.) differences between nestlings from eggs laid by females that had
745 received either α -tocopherol, or control diet in: **a)** Mass of nestlings age 3 days; **b)** Mass gain
746 per day between days 3-13; **c)** Body condition of nestlings aged 14 days (residuals of \ln
747 (mass) on $3 \cdot \ln(\text{tarsus})$) **d)** MDA concentration.

748

749

750 **Figure 3** Differences (Mean ± 1 S.E.) between nestlings a). Mass aged 14 days of male and
751 female nestlings, reared by parents from different treatment groups b) Tarsus length nestlings,
752 laid by either α -tocopherol, or control treated parents, and reared by α -tocopherol, or control
753 treated parents

754

755

756 **Table 1** Output from GLMM testing effects of feeding treatments and sex on growth rate
 757 (mass gain per day) of nestlings between days 3-13. Non-significant interactions shown
 758 below were removed from the model in stepwise fashion and values are given at point of
 759 removal. 'Egg treatment' and 'Egg parent ID' refers to the biological parents and 'rearing
 760 treatment' and rearing parent' refers to the treatment groups to which each nestling was
 761 cross-fostered. Significant main effects are marked *.
 762

Random factor	Estimate	Wald's Z	P
Egg parent ID	$1.13 \times 10^{-3} \pm 6.2 \times 10^{-4}$	1.85	0.033
Rearing parent ID	$6.9 \times 10^{-4} \pm 4.3 \times 10^{-4}$	1.61	0.054
Residual	$3.4 \times 10^{-3} \pm 4.1 \times 10^{-4}$	8.44	<0.0001
<i>Main Effects</i>		<i>F_{d.f.}</i>	<i>P</i>
Egg treatment		10.33 _{1, 25.2}	0.0036*
Rearing treatment		0.48 _{1,15.1}	0.499
Sex		23.56 _{1,160}	<0.0001*
Egg treatment x Rearing treatment		0.70 _{1,154}	0.403
Sex x Egg treatment		2.78 _{1,160}	0.0976
Sex x Rearing treatment		1.28 _{1,152}	0.260

763

764 **Table 2** Output from GLMM testing effects of feeding treatments and sex on mass in
 765 nestlings aged 14 days. Non-significant interactions shown below were removed from the
 766 model in stepwise fashion and values are given at point of removal. Significant main effects
 767 are marked *.

Random factor	Estimate	Wald's Z	P
Egg parent ID	0.1285 ± 0.053	2.43	0.0076
Rearing parent ID	0.020 ± 0.025	0.83	0.203
Residual	0.301 ± 0.034	8.93	<0.0001
<i>Main Effects</i>		<i>F_{d.f.}</i>	<i>P</i>
Egg treatment		0.69 _{1, 38.1}	0.410
Rearing treatment		4.78 _{1,12.6}	0.048*
Sex		38.47 _{1,183}	<0.0001*
Egg treatment x Rearing treatment		0.55 _{1,167}	0.460
Sex x Egg treatment		1.71 _{1,174}	0.193
Sex x Rearing treatment		1.81 _{1,174}	0.179

768

769

770 **Table 3** Output from GLMM testing effects of feeding treatments and sex on tarsus length in
 771 nestlings aged 14 days. Non-significant interactions shown below were removed from the
 772 model in stepwise fashion and values are given at point of removal. Significant main effects
 773 are marked *.
 774

Random factor	Estimate	Wald's Z	P
Egg parent ID	0.129 ± 0.051	2.54	0.0111
Rearing parent ID	-0.0012 ± 0.0098	-0.12	0.902
Residual	0.185 ± 0.020	9.07	<0.0001
<i>Main Effects</i>		<i>F_{d.f.}</i>	<i>P</i>
Egg treatment		8.24 _{1, 8.21}	0.0063*
Rearing treatment		7.03 _{1, 11.4}	0.022*
Sex		67.63 _{1,172}	<0.0001*
Egg treatment x Rearing treatment		0.62 _{1,163}	0.431
Sex x Egg treatment		0.03 _{1,171}	0.858
Sex x Rearing treatment		4.41 _{1,172}	0.0372*

775 **Table 4** Output from GLMM testing effects of feeding treatments and sex on body condition
 776 in nestlings aged 14 days. Non-significant interactions shown below were removed from the
 777 model in stepwise fashion and values are given at point of removal. Significant main effects
 778 are marked *.
 779
 780

Random factor	Estimate	Wald's Z	P
Egg parent ID	2.9x10 ⁻⁴ ± 1.9 x10 ⁻⁴	1.57	0.058
Rearing parent ID	8.1 x10 ⁻⁵ ± 1.1 x10 ⁻⁵	0.76	0.224
Residual	1.1 x10 ⁻³ ± 1.2 x10 ⁻⁵	8.92	<0.0001
<i>Main Effects</i>		<i>F_{d.f.}</i>	<i>P</i>
Egg treatment		3.69 _{1,17.3}	0.071
Rearing treatment		0.97 _{1,20.9}	0.335
Sex		2.61 _{1,184}	0.108
Egg treatment x Rearing treatment		0.27 _{1,168}	0.601
Sex x Egg treatment		1.79 _{1,181}	0.183
Sex x Rearing treatment		0.013 _{1,179}	0.721

781
 782
 783
 784
 785
 786
 787
 788

789

790 References

791

792 **Alonso-Alvarez C, Bertrand S, Devevey G, Prost J, Faivre B, Sorci G. 2004.** Increased
793 susceptibility to oxidative stress as a proximate cost of reproduction. *Ecology letters*
794 **7:** 363-368.

795 **Alonso-Alvarez C, Bertrand S, Devevey G, Prost J, Faivre B, Sorci G. 2004.** Increased
796 susceptibility to oxidative stress as a proximate cost of reproduction. *Ecology letters*
797 **7:** 363-368.

798 **Arnold KE, Herborn KA, Adam A, Alexander L. 2015.** Individual variation in the
799 oxidative costs of personality traits. *Functional Ecology* **29:** 522-530.

800 **Arnold KE, Larcombe SD, Ducaroir L, Alexander L. 2010a.** Antioxidant status, flight
801 performance and sexual signalling in wild-type parrots. *Behavioral ecology and*
802 *sociobiology* **64:** 1857-1866.

803 **Arnold KE, Ramsay SL, Donaldson C, Adam A. 2007.** Parental prey selection affects risk-
804 taking behaviour and spatial learning in avian offspring. *Proceedings of the Royal*
805 *Society B-Biological Sciences* **274:** 2563-2569.

806 **Arnold KE, Ramsay SL, Henderson L, Larcombe SD. 2010b.** Seasonal variation in diet
807 quality: antioxidants, invertebrates and blue tits *Cyanistes caeruleus*. *Biological*
808 *Journal of the Linnean Society* **99:** 708-717.

809 **Azzi A, Gysin R, Kempn P, Munteanu A, Negis Y, Villacorta L, Visarius T, ZINGG J.**
810 **2004.** Vitamin E mediates cell signaling and regulation of gene expression. *Annals of*
811 *the New York Academy of Sciences* **1031:** 86-95.

812 **Azzi A, Stocker A. 2000.** Vitamin E: non-antioxidant roles. *Progress in Lipid Research* **39:**
813 231-255.

814 **Berthouly A, Helfenstein F, Tanner M, Richner H. 2008.** Sex-related effects of maternal
815 egg investment on offspring in relation to carotenoid availability in the great tit.
816 *Journal of Animal Ecology* **77:** 74-82.

817 **Biard C, Surai PF, Moller AP. 2005.** Effects of carotenoid availability during laying on
818 reproduction in the blue tit. *Oecologia* **144:** 32-44.

819 **Bize P, Devevey G, Monaghan P, Doligez B, Christe P. 2008.** Fecundity and survival in
820 relation to resistance to oxidative stress in a free-living bird. *Ecology* **89:** 2584-2593.

821 **Blount JD, Metcalfe NB, Arnold KE, Surai PF, Devevey GL, Monaghan P. 2003.**
822 Neonatal nutrition, adult antioxidant defences and sexual attractiveness in the zebra
823 finch. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences* **270:**
824 1691-1696.

825 **Blount JD, Surai PF, Nager RG, Houston DC, Moller AP, Trewby ML, Kennedy MW.**
826 **2002.** Carotenoids and egg quality in the lesser black-backed gull *Larus fuscus*: a
827 supplemental feeding study of maternal effects. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of*
828 *London Series B-Biological Sciences* **269:** 29-36.

829 **Blount JD, Vitikainen EI, Stott I, Cant MA. 2015.** Oxidative shielding and the cost of
830 reproduction. *Biological Reviews*.

831 **Christe P, Glaizot O, Strepparava N, Devevey G, Fumagalli L. 2011.** Twofold cost of
832 reproduction: an increase in parental effort leads to higher malarial parasitaemia and
833 to a decrease in resistance to oxidative stress. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of*
834 *London B: Biological Sciences:* rspb20111546.

835 **Costantini D. 2008.** Oxidative stress in ecology and evolution: lessons from avian studies.
836 *Ecology letters* **11:** 1238-1251.

837 **Costantini D, Casasole G, Abdelgawad H, Asard H, Eens M. 2015.** Experimental
838 evidence that oxidative stress influences reproductive decisions. *Functional Ecology*.
839 **Costantini D, Møller A. 2008.** Carotenoids are minor antioxidants for birds. *Functional*
840 *Ecology* **22**: 367-370.

841 **de Ayala RM, Martinelli R, Saino N. 2006.** Vitamin E supplementation enhances growth
842 and condition of nestling barn swallows (*Hirundo rustica*). *Behavioral ecology and*
843 *sociobiology* **60**: 619-630.

844 **Deeming DC, Pike TW. 2013.** Embryonic growth and antioxidant provision in avian eggs.
845 *Biology letters* **9**: 20130757.

846 **Dowling DK, Simmons LW. 2009.** Reactive oxygen species as universal constraints in life-
847 history evolution. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*:
848 rspb. 2008.1791.

849 **Finkel T, Holbrook NJ. 2000.** Oxidants, oxidative stress and the biology of ageing. *Nature*
850 **408**: 239-247.

851 **Gasparini J, Boulinier T, Gill V, Gil D, Hatch S, Roulin A. 2007.** Food availability affects
852 the maternal transfer of androgens and antibodies into eggs of a colonial seabird.
853 *Journal of evolutionary biology* **20**: 874-880.

854 **Giraudeau M, Sweazea K, Butler MW, McGraw KJ. 2013.** Effects of carotenoid and
855 vitamin E supplementation on oxidative stress and plumage coloration in house
856 finches (*Haemorhous mexicanus*). *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A:*
857 *Molecular & Integrative Physiology* **166**: 406-413.

858 **Giraudeau M, Ziegler A-K, Tschirren B. 2016.** Long-term effect of yolk carotenoid levels
859 on testis size in a precocial bird. *Biology letters* **12**: 20160008.

860 **Gorman HE, Nager RG. 2004.** Prenatal developmental conditions have long-term effects on
861 offspring fecundity. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological*
862 *Sciences* **271**: 1923-1928.

863 **Griffiths R, Double MC, Orr K, Dawson RJG. 1998.** A DNA test to sex most birds.
864 *Molecular Ecology* **7**: 1071-1075.

865 **Hartley RC, Kennedy MW. 2004.** Are carotenoids a red herring in sexual display? *Trends*
866 *in ecology & evolution* **19**: 353-354.

867 **Helfenstein F, Berthouly A, Tanner M, Karadas F, Richner H. 2008.** Nestling begging
868 intensity and parental effort in relation to prelaying carotenoid availability.
869 *Behavioral Ecology* **19**: 108-115.

870 **Hodum PJ, Sydeman WJ, Visser GH, Weathers WW. 1998.** Energy expenditure and food
871 requirement of Cassin's Auklets provisioning nestlings. *Condor*: 546-550.

872 **Hörak P, Surai PF, Moller A. 2002.** Fat-soluble antioxidants in the eggs of great tits *Parus*
873 *major* in relation to breeding habitat and laying sequence. *Avian Science* **2**: 123-130.

874 **Hoyt DF. 1979.** Practical methods of estimating volume and fresh weight of bird eggs. *The*
875 *Auk*: 73-77.

876 **Karadas F, Pappas AC, Surai PF, Speake BK. 2005.** Embryonic development within
877 carotenoid-enriched eggs influences the post-hatch carotenoid status of the chicken.
878 *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology B-Biochemistry & Molecular Biology* **141**:
879 244-251.

880 **Kim S-Y, Monaghan P. 2006.** Effects of early incubation constancy on embryonic
881 development: an experimental study in the herring gull *Larus argentatus*. *Journal of*
882 *Thermal Biology* **31**: 416-421.

883 **Larcombe S, Coffey J, Bann D, Alexander L, Arnold K. 2010a.** Impacts of dietary
884 antioxidants and flight training on post-exercise oxidative damage in adult parrots.
885 *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part B: Biochemistry and Molecular*
886 *Biology* **155**: 49-53.

- 887 **Larcombe S, Tregaskes C, Coffey J, Stevenson A, Alexander L, Arnold K. 2008.** The
888 effects of short-term antioxidant supplementation on oxidative stress and flight
889 performance in adult budgerigars *Melopsittacus undulatus*. *Journal of Experimental*
890 *Biology* **211**: 2859-2864.
- 891 **Larcombe S, Tregaskes C, Coffey J, Stevenson A, Alexander L, Arnold K. 2015.**
892 Oxidative stress, activity behaviour and body mass in captive parrots. *Conservation*
893 *Physiology* **3**: cov045.
- 894 **Larcombe SD, Mullen W, Alexander L, Arnold KE. 2010b.** Dietary antioxidants, lipid
895 peroxidation and plumage colouration in nestling blue tits *Cyanistes caeruleus*.
896 *Naturwissenschaften* **97**: 903-913.
- 897 **Leclaire S, Bourret V, Blanchard P, de Franceschi C, Merklings T, Hatch SA, Danchin**
898 **É. 2015.** Carotenoids increase immunity and sex specifically affect color and redox
899 homeostasis in a monochromatic seabird. *Behavioral ecology and sociobiology* **69**:
900 1097-1111.
- 901 **Leshchinsky T, Klasing K. 2001.** Relationship between the level of dietary vitamin E and
902 the immune response of broiler chickens. *Poultry Science* **80**: 1590-1599.
- 903 **Losdat S, Helfenstein F, Blount JD, Marri V, Maronde L, Richner H. 2012.** Nestling
904 erythrocyte resistance to oxidative stress predicts fledging success but not local
905 recruitment in a wild bird. *Biology letters*: rsbl20120888.
- 906 **Machlin LJ. 1991.** VITAMIN E. *Machlin, L. J. (Ed.). Food Science and Technology, 40.*
907 *Handbook of Vitamins, Second Edition. Xi+595p. Marcel Dekker, Inc.: New York,*
908 *New York, USA; Basel, Switzerland. Illus: 99-144.*
- 909 **Maronde L, Richner H. 2014.** Effects of increased begging and vitamin E supplements on
910 oxidative stress and fledging probability. *Behavioral Ecology*: aru215.
- 911 **Marri V, Richner H. 2014.** Yolk carotenoids increase fledging success in great tit nestlings.
912 *Oecologia* **176**: 371-377.
- 913 **Marri V, Richner H. 2015.** Immune response, oxidative stress and dietary antioxidants in
914 great tit nestlings. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular &*
915 *Integrative Physiology* **179**: 192-196.
- 916 **Matrková J, Remeš V. 2014.** Vitamin E improves growth of Collared Flycatcher *Ficedula*
917 *albicollis* young: A supplementation experiment. *Journal of Avian Biology* **45**: 475-
918 483.
- 919 **Metcalfe NB, Alonso-Alvarez C. 2010.** Oxidative stress as a life-history constraint: the role
920 of reactive oxygen species in shaping phenotypes from conception to death.
921 *Functional Ecology* **24**: 984-996.
- 922 **Metcalfe NB, Monaghan P. 2001.** Compensation for a bad start: grow now, pay later?
923 *Trends in ecology & evolution* **16**: 254-260.
- 924 **Metcalfe NB, Monaghan P. 2003.** Growth versus lifespan: perspectives from evolutionary
925 ecology. *Experimental gerontology* **38**: 935-940.
- 926 **Metcalfe NB, Monaghan P. 2013.** Does reproduction cause oxidative stress? An open
927 question. *Trends in ecology & evolution* **28**: 347-350.
- 928 **Monaghan P, Metcalfe NB, Torres R. 2009.** Oxidative stress as a mediator of life history
929 trade-offs: mechanisms, measurements and interpretation. *Ecology letters* **12**: 75-92.
- 930 **Monaghan P, Spencer KA. 2014.** Stress and life history. *Current Biology* **24**: R408-R412.
- 931 **Nager RG, Monaghan P, Houston DC. 2000.** Within-clutch trade-offs between the number
932 and quality of eggs: experimental manipulations in gulls. *Ecology* **81**: 1339-1350.
- 933 **Navara KJ, Hill GE, Mendonca MT. 2006.** Yolk testosterone stimulates growth and
934 immunity in house finch chicks. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology* **79**: 550-555.

- 935 **Orledge JM, Blount JD, Hoodless AN, Royle NJ. 2012.** Antioxidant supplementation
936 during early development reduces parasite load but does not affect sexual ornament
937 expression in adult ring-necked pheasants. *Functional Ecology* **26**: 688-700.
- 938 **Parolini M, Romano M, Caprioli M, Rubolini D, Saino N. 2015.** Vitamin E deficiency in
939 last-laid eggs limits growth of yellow-legged gull chicks. *Functional Ecology* **29**:
940 1070-1077.
- 941 **Raberg L, Stjernman M, Nilsson JA. 2005.** Sex and environmental sensitivity in blue tit
942 nestlings. *Oecologia* **145**: 496-503.
- 943 **Ramsay S, Houston D. 1998.** The effect of dietary amino acid composition on egg
944 production in blue tits. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological*
945 *Sciences* **265**: 1401-1405.
- 946 **Remes V, Krist M, Bertacche V, Stradi R. 2007.** Maternal carotenoid supplementation
947 does not affect breeding performance in the Great Tit (*Parus major*). *Functional*
948 *Ecology* **21**: 776-783.
- 949 **Romero LM, Soma KK, O'Reilly KM, Suydam R, Wingfield JC. 1997.** Territorial
950 behavior, hormonal changes, and body condition in an arctic-breeding song bird, the
951 redpoll (*Carduelis flammea*). *Behaviour* **134**: 727-747.
- 952 **Sahin E, Gumuslu S. 2007.** Stress-dependent induction of protein oxidation, lipid
953 peroxidation and anti-oxidants in peripheral tissues of rats: Comparison of three stress
954 models (immobilization, cold and immobilization-cold). *Clinical and Experimental*
955 *Pharmacology and Physiology* **34**: 425-431.
- 956 **Saino N, Ferrari R, Romano M, Martinelli R, Moller AP. 2003.** Experimental
957 manipulation of egg carotenoids affects immunity of barn swallow nestlings.
958 *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences* **270**: 2485-
959 2489.
- 960 **Salin K, Auer SK, Rudolf AM, Anderson GJ, Cairns AG, Mullen W, Hartley RC,**
961 **Selman C, Metcalfe NB. 2015.** Individuals with higher metabolic rates have lower
962 levels of reactive oxygen species in vivo. *Biology letters* **11**: 20150538.
- 963 **Satiroglu-Tufan NL, Tufan AC. 2004.** Amelioration of ethanol-induced growth retardation
964 by all-trans-retinoic acid and α -tocopherol in shell-less culture of the chick embryo.
965 *Reproductive Toxicology* **18**: 407-412.
- 966 **Senar JC, Figuerola J, Pascual J. 2002.** Brighter yellow blue tits make better parents.
967 *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences* **269**: 257-
968 261.
- 969 **Sies H. 1991.** Oxidative stress: from basic research to clinical application. *The American*
970 *journal of medicine* **91**: S31-S38.
- 971 **Sies H, Murphy ME. 1991.** Role of tocopherols in the protection of biological systems
972 against oxidative damage. *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B: Biology* **8**:
973 211.
- 974 **Siitari H, Alatalo R, Pihlaja M, Hämäläinen J, Blount JD, Groothuis TG, Hytönen VP,**
975 **Surai P, Soulsbury CD. 2015.** Food supplementation reveals constraints and
976 adaptability of egg quality in the magpie *Pica pica*. *Avian Biology Research* **8**: 244-
977 253.
- 978 **Speakman JR, Blount JD, Bronikowski AM, Buffenstein R, Isaksson C, Kirkwood TB,**
979 **Monaghan P, Ozanne SE, Beaulieu M, Briga M. 2015.** Oxidative stress and life
980 histories: unresolved issues and current needs. *Ecology and Evolution* **5**: 5745-5757.
- 981 **Speakman JR, Garratt M. 2014.** Oxidative stress as a cost of reproduction: Beyond the
982 simplistic trade-off model. *Bioessays* **36**: 93-106.
- 983 **Surai AP. 2002.** *Natural Antioxidants in Avian Nutrition and Reproduction*. Nottingham
984 University Press: Nottingham.

- 985 **Surai AP, Surai PF, Steinberg W, Wakeman WG, Speake BK, Sparks NHC. 2003.**
986 Effect of canthaxanthin content of the maternal diet on the antioxidant system of the
987 developing chick. *British poultry science* **44**: 612-619.
- 988 **Surai PF, Ionov IA, Kuchmistova EF, Noble RC, Speake BK. 1998.** The relationship
989 between the levels of alpha-tocopherol and carotenoids in the maternal feed, yolk and
990 neonatal tissues: Comparison between the chicken, turkey, duck and goose. *Journal of*
991 *the Science of Food and Agriculture* **76**: 593-598.
- 992 **Surai PF, Noble RC, Speake BK. 1996.** Tissue-specific differences in antioxidant
993 distribution and susceptibility to lipid peroxidation during development of the chick
994 embryo. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta-Lipids and Lipid Metabolism* **1304**: 1-10.
- 995 **Surai PF, Sparks NHC, Acamovic T, McDevitt RM. 2002.** Antioxidant systems in the
996 developing chicken: vitamins E and C in the liver of broiler chicks. *British poultry*
997 *science* **43**: S64-S65.
- 998 **Tappel AL. 1962.** VITAMIN-E AS THE BIOLOGICAL LIPID ANTIOXIDANT. *Vitamins*
999 *and Hormones-Advances in Research and Applications* **20**: 493-510.
- 1000 **Török J, Hargitai R, Hegyi G, Matus Z, Michl G, Péczely P, Rosivall B, Tóth G. 2007.**
1001 Carotenoids in the egg yolks of collared flycatchers (*Ficedula albicollis*) in relation to
1002 parental quality, environmental factors and laying order. *Behavioral ecology and*
1003 *sociobiology* **61**: 541-550.
- 1004 **Traber MG, Atkinson J. 2007.** Vitamin E, antioxidant and nothing more. *Free Radical*
1005 *Biology and Medicine* **43**: 4-15.
- 1006 **Verboven N, Monaghan P, Evans DM, Schwabl H, Evans N, Whitelaw C, Nager RG.**
1007 **2003.** Maternal condition, yolk androgens and offspring performance: a supplemental
1008 feeding experiment in the lesser black-backed gull (*Larus fuscus*). *Proceedings of the*
1009 *Royal Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences* **270**: 2223-2232.
- 1010 **Weimerskirch H, Ancel A, Caloin M, Zahariev A, Spagiari J, Kersten M, Chastel O.**
1011 **2003.** Foraging efficiency and adjustment of energy expenditure in a pelagic seabird
1012 provisioning its chick. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **72**: 500-508.
- 1013 **Wintergerst ES, Maggini S, Hornig DH. 2007.** Contribution of selected vitamins and trace
1014 elements to immune function. *Annals of Nutrition and Metabolism* **51**: 301-323.
- 1015 **Young IS, Trimble ER. 1991.** Measurement of Malondialdehyde in Plasma by High-
1016 Performance Liquid-Chromatography with Fluorometric Detection. *Annals of Clinical*
1017 *Biochemistry* **28**: 504-508.
- 1018 **Zingg JM. 2007.** Vitamin E: An overview of major research directions. *Molecular Aspects of*
1019 *Medicine* **28**: 400-422.
- 1020