

Torrance, D. and Forde, C. (2015) To what extent can headteachers be held to account in the practice of social justice leadership? Journal of Educational Leadership, Policy and Practice, 30(1), pp. 79-91.

There may be differences between this version and the published version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

http://eprints.gla.ac.uk/147383/

Deposited on: 7 September 2017

To what extent can headteachers be held to account in the practice of social justice leadership?

Deirdre Torrance and Christine Forde
University of Edinburgh and University of Glasgow

Abstract

Internationally, leadership for social justice is gaining prominence as a global travelling theme. This article draws from the Scottish contribution to the International School Leadership Development Network (ISLDN) social justice strand and presents a case study of a relatively small education system similar in size to that of New Zealand, to explore one system's policy expectations and the practice realities of headteachers (principals) seeking to address issues around social justice. Scottish policy rhetoric places responsibility with headteachers to ensure socially just practices within their schools. However, those headteachers are working in schools located within unjust local, national and international contexts. The article explores briefly the emerging theoretical analyses of social justice and leadership. It then identifies the policy expectations, including those within the revised professional standards for headteachers in Scotland. The main focus is on the headteachers' perspectives of factors that help and hinder their practice of leadership for social justice. Macro systems-level data is used to contextualize equity and outcomes issues that headteachers are working to address. In the analysis of the dislocation between policy and reality, the article asks, 'to what extent can headteachers be held to account in the practice of social justice leadership?'

Keywords: leadership; values; social justice; professional standards

Introduction

Scotland is a small country with ambitious plans for creating a socially just nation (Scottish Executive, 1999). In that regard, education is positioned in the policy rhetoric as making a significant contribution, traditionally perceived as the great leveller for all young people prepared to work hard in order to succeed. Historically, both the teaching profession (Munn et al., 2004; Paterson, 2003a) and the quality of Scottish education have been held in high regard, valued by the electorate and by politicians, and supportive of a school system that, in the main, is non-selective and without charge (Lingard & Ozga, 2007; Paterson, 2003b). Within this public endorsement though, there is a concern about the key purposes of the curriculum, more specifically, the nature of comprehensive education and how the curriculum could better meet the needs of all pupils. As such, since 2002, the main government educational priority has been the development of a new national 3-18 *Curriculum for Excellence*.

Despite its pride in both an education system independent of the rest of the UK and its wider political devolution, Scotland has not been altogether removed from the effects of globalization. Indeed, Scotland has faced its own policy development tensions, swept along with the trend of "micro-economic measurement systems" (Power, 2004, p.767) including audits and performance measures/targets, and subsequent second-order measures. The impact of global influence is "mediated by the nature of the educational system and the social and political interests that maintain it" (Lauder, Brown, Dillabough & Halsey, 2006, p. 45). It continues to safeguard its free access to a quality public comprehensive education system but perhaps has not sufficiently questioned its ability to address significant social justice issues. Crucially, the background a child brings to school still very much determines their ability to engage with the educational opportunities made available to them, as well as the extent to which they are deemed to have been successful by society in the value ascribed to their particular

educational achievements, and what they are able to do with the outputs of their engagement with the education system. Despite the resources invested, education does not deliver on its promise of socially just outcomes (Davis, Hill, Tisdall, Cairns & McCausland, 2014).

Scotland highlights many of the tensions encountered when seeking to address the global travelling theme of leadership for social justice. Its policy rhetoric places responsibility squarely with headteachers to ensure socially just practices within their schools. However, those headteachers are often working within significant constraints in schools located within unjust local, national and international contexts. This article explores one system's policy expectations versus the practice realities of headteachers seeking to address issues around social justice. The article draws from one subset of the data constituting the Scottish contribution to the ISLDN social justice strand, data specific to headteachers' perspectives of factors that help and hinder their practice of leadership for social justice.

Specifically, this article explores briefly the emerging theoretical analyses of social justice and leadership. It then identifies the macro policy context and expectations. The research methods are set out before presenting Scottish data from the ISLDN project related to the factors that headteachers see as helping and hindering their practice of leadership for social justice. The dislocation between policy and reality is explored in order to discuss, 'to what extent can headteachers be held to account in the practice of social justice leadership?'

Emerging issues

Transnationally, increasing emphasis has been placed on both leadership and social justice within educational theory, policy and practice (Blackmore, 2009; Bogtoch, 2008). However, the terms 'leadership' and 'social justice' both suffer from lack of clarity in their usage. Although, arguably, there is now greater understanding of the leadership processes which have an organizational impact (Dimmock, 2012), the term leadership is problematic (see Torrance & Humes, 2014). There is little consensus of precisely what leadership is, how important it is, or how/if it can be developed (Connolly, Connolly & James, 2000). There is still weak empirical evidence of "the extent and nature of school leadership effects" (Bush, 2008, p. 7). Similarly, the concept of social justice is "inherently problematic" in nature and the term is often used imprecisely, reflecting a "broad range of philosophical and political traditions" (Barnett & Stevenson, forthcoming, p. 11). Davis et al. (2014) similarly acknowledge that whilst a substantial body of work exists in this area, "social justice has diverse, complex and dynamic meanings" (p. 7) and there is also a lack of consensus as to how it might be realized in society (Bogotch, 2008). Compounding this, social justice has suffered from under theorising in education (Gewirtz, 1998). Not surprisingly, little is yet known of the factors that help and hinder the efforts of social justice leaders within and across different countries, but some ideas are beginning to emerge.

In striving to embed the policy rhetoric of social justice values in school leadership practices, school leaders may experience tensions through conflicting priorities and accountabilities making it difficult to provide, and to be seen to provide, effective leadership, whilst adhering to a personal and professional commitment to social justice (Ryan, 2010; Theoharis, 2010). School leaders may also "perpetuate oppressive school practices" (Boske, 2014, p. 289) by feeling under pressure to maintain the status quo and so reproduce rather than challenge inequalities within society. Headteachers who do strive to make their schools more socially just still inherit their school contexts, located within a wider education system that reproduces inequalities (Gairín & Rodriguez-Gómez, 2014). As Bogotch and Shields (2014) express:

Good people, hardworking people, and well-intentioned people committed to improving schools find themselves in frustrating positions where the only pathways they can see are too often ones prescribed and scripted by others, where educators are not free to create policies and programs which meet the needs of children and communities. (p. 2)

Headteachers have a central and public role in challenging barriers to leading change for social justice and, in that regard, "holding difficult conversations has to be facilitated, nurtured, and sustained within schools" (Bogotch & Shields, 2014, p. 10). In order to address issues of social justice, Davis et al. (2014) promote placing rights, recognition and respect at the heart, as well as developing collaborative relationships that take proper account of children and young peoples' views. However, there are significant challenges to confronting established school practices, especially if "the biggest barrier to social justice is how people have learned to think" (Slater, Potter, Torres & Briceno, 2014, p. 110). Marshall and Anderson (2008) highlight the risks of engaging in activism around issues of social justice and equality, related to "the informal rules, the hierarchies and patriarchies embedded in education professions, the tacit agreements about avoiding uncomfortable issues, the constraints presented by cultural traditions that define proper behavior and guard against upsetting influences by 'outsiders'" (p. 9).

Despite the significant and numerous challenges, social justice leaders maintain their motivation dependent on "the interaction of the political culture and their individual beliefs and values" (Hajisoteriou & Angelides, 2014, p. 901). Such motivation is fuelled, for example, "in seeing that high expectations for <u>all</u> students, in spite of their backgrounds, leads to success" (Norberg, Arlestig & Angelle, 2014, p. 104). While much responsibility rests on the headteacher, their work is set in a particular context that can bring other challenges.

The macro policy context of the case study headteachers in Scotland

The headteachers participating in the Scottish case studies are working within a distinctive educational and cultural context, at a point when educational leadership is being reconceptualized and social justice is presented as a core professional value of the teaching profession. Like many other countries, Scotland has developed a set of "national values" as promoted in Article 29 of the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child (Gillies, 2006, p. 32). This has formed part of a historic development since the mid nineteenth century where, through successive periods, Scotland has experienced waves of immigration with different ethnic and faith groups settling, particularly in urban centres. The relatively small size of Scotland, and the relative autonomy many of its national bodies enjoy, might suggest that policy change in response to shifting needs would be comparatively straightforward. However, in the main, policymaking happens within relatively bounded systems with government retaining power, controlling networks of influence as well as legislation and funding (Humes, 2003; Rhodes, 1997). Regardless of the constraints, many in the field of education welcomed Scottish devolution. Social justice has formed a cornerstone of the Scottish Parliament. In 1999, the then First Minister, Donald Dewar, published Social Justice... A Scotland Where Everyone Matters. This marked the start of a consistent thread in public policy in a devolved Scotland, spanning different administrations. A clear legislative framework was developed which complemented wider UK legislation such as the Equality Act 2010, as well as both a public/civic discourse and educational discourse around values, evident in efforts to improve Scottish education. Over many years, the school inspectorate quality assurance documents and national curriculum guidelines have made specific reference to issues of equality and inclusion.

Despite policy rhetoric, the Christie Commission (2011), in connecting equity, power, rights and social justice, identified that public services had much to do to ensure better outcomes and make the principles of human rights a reality for many people living in Scotland (Davis et al., 2014). Indeed, Davis et al. (2014) surface a number of inequalities still affecting Scotland's children, arguing:

the recent Children and Young People (Scotland) Act 2014 promotes an aspirational notion of wellbeing at the expense of broader and more politically hard edged concepts such as rights and social justice. The Act does not address the wider political context of wellbeing such as children's status in society, adult arbitrary use of power, unequal distribution of resources and fair access to legal representation. (p. 2)

As with many other countries, Scotland has witnessed significant changes to the role of the headteacher, aligned to a shift towards the devolved governance of schools. The headteacher role became the preoccupation of those charged with strategically targeting school improvement efforts, constituting "a major national policy priority of governments" (Davidson, Forde, Gronn, MacBeath, Martin & McMahon, 2008, p. 68). Headteachers are now held accountable for the leadership of the school. As part of those policy expectations, a set of core Professional Values and Personal Commitment, including a detailed articulation of social justice for education, is made explicit within the revised *Standard for Headship* (General Teaching Council Scotland, 2012a), covering aspects such as rights, diversity and sustainability. In this way, headteachers become drivers for societal change, working with teachers to address issues that limit the educational and life opportunities of pupils. Such policy positioning promotes democratic values residing at the heart of Scottish society. While discussion of professional values has been a core element of headship preparation programmes (Forde, 2014), there is now a question about how social justice is not only understood by leaders (Bogotch, 2008) but also drawn upon to shape practice in schools (Ryan, 2010).

Despite the espoused rhetoric, there has been limited research conducted around the practice of social justice leadership within the Scottish education context. Moreover, limited attention has been paid to the barriers and challenges facing leaders committed to locating social justice leadership values in school practices (Angelle, Morrison & Stevenson, forthcoming; Stevenson, 2007).

Method

The data was generated through use of the research methods developed by the 'Social Justice Leadership' strand of the BELMAS-UCEA International School Leadership Development Network (ISLDN) (see Angelle et al., forthcoming; Barnett & Stevenson, forthcoming). The work of the ISLDN research project has drawn from Cribb and Gewirtz (2005) as a starting point for understanding social justice and Lee's (2010) micro-political toolkit, which highlights the significance of organizational context. Cribb and Gewirtz recognize that social justice can take multiple forms, that different approaches can be both inconsistent and conflicting, and that competing perspectives can create problems and tensions. They argue that the practice of social justice is both complex and challenging, necessitating political action. Lee's framework highlights issues arising from goal diversity, along with subsequent compromise and accommodation within schools as complex organizations.

ISLDN colleagues originally developed a framework within which individual cases of school leadership could be situated and factors identified to help illuminate the context within which school leaders work. That framework drew on previous work by Dimmock, Stevenson, Bignold, Shah, and Middlewood (2005), locating schools in a local (micro) context within the national (macro) context. More recently, the ISLDN project developed that framework further, to explore the school leader (micro) factors, school (meso) context factors and country-wide (macro) context factors.

Two overarching issues have guided the ISLDN project: how school leaders 'make sense of' and then 'do' social justice. The Scottish case studies reported explore the research question: What factors help and hinder the work of social justice leaders? Here, headteachers were asked to identify the factors that they felt helped and hindered their work as social justice leaders. Two headteachers were interviewed using the ISLDN interview protocol, a framework of questions and sub-questions structured to elicit both closed and open items. Several questions were designed and used to facilitate longer, narrative accounts. Each set of interview data was repeatedly trawled though to identify emerging themes common to both case studies. The two headteachers were in contrasting school contexts: Hamish (pseudonym), the headteacher of an urban secondary school; Morag (pseudonym), the headteacher of a rural infant school. They had a range of experience both in the number of years of experience as headteachers and the different schools they had worked in.

Research findings

Both Morag and Hamish identified a number of factors that helped and hindered their practice of leadership for social justice. Key themes emerging from the interview data are presented to exemplify those factors from the headteachers' perspectives, structured under the micro (individual), meso (school and local authority) and macro (national) levels within which their practice was situated.

Micro level factors that helped the headteachers lead for social justice

As an experienced headteacher in her fourth headship, Morag had developed confidence in herself and her role. As a social justice leader, she was comfortable with being generally regarded as "a bit different" and even "contrary," perceiving her role in part as "bringing whacky ideas to the team to see what we can do about it." She took comfort in knowing what was important to her school and what was only important to local and central government. Part of Morag's confidence stemmed from being well informed. She prioritized accessing research and wider thinking, finding this helpful in sustaining and expanding her perspective and practice, and affirming her desire to challenge injustice. She had completed a postgraduate diploma in school leadership and management (Scottish Qualification for Headship). She regarded having and maintaining contact with friends with the same values and priorities as nourishing for her as a social justice leader. Similarly, building up and accessing networks in the different dimensions of social justice was key.

Hamish, although in his first headship, was also confident in his social justice convictions. He, too, gained confidence from being well informed and valued professional learning linked to his leadership. In the earlier years of his teaching career, he had completed a Postgraduate Diploma with a specific focus on social justice from a policy perspective "and what we were trying to do as teachers". He had developed the ability to

...articulate what we mean by social justice and start to pull apart some of the behaviours... You know, its one thing to say that we're socially just and then you reflect on what we actually do in schools and start to challenge that.

Whilst working in a special educational unit, and then in a mainstream secondary school as deputy headteacher, Hamish completed a postgraduate diploma in school leadership and management (Scottish Qualification for Headship). He reflected that this had been pivotal to his professional development: "you're constantly reflecting on your behaviours, your dispositions, your attitudes about issues to do with social justice." He had enhanced his confidence with engaging with the literature that affirmed his values and perspectives on education, finding this process to be "really powerful."

Meso level factors that helped the headteachers lead for social justice

Morag highlighted that having a sufficiently high proportion of staff supportive and committed to social justice practices was helpful in sustaining her work as a social justice leader. She believed in being collegial and inclusive in her leadership approach and this required a willingness from staff to engage with her in the leadership of the school. In this way, she was able to be "less planned and more spontaneous" and was "able to make more unconventional decisions" than headteachers with a more top-down approach who focused on "keeping it controlled" in order to "meet expectations and demands."

Hamish also recognized the need for a sufficiently high proportion of staff supportive and committed to socially just teaching/leadership practices. He saw this as beginning with initial teacher education:

probationers [preservice teachers] coming in with just a sort of a higher level of awareness about social justice that's obviously come through engagement with the new Standards, through even engagement with the four capacities [from Curriculum for Excellence] ...has certainly helped... you get to a tipping point where people are absolutely singing from the same song sheet.

Morag identified specific priorities supportive at local authority level: the focus on the early years, including the *Equally Well* initiative, as well as community drivers and cluster school initiatives. Such initiatives were becoming increasingly focused on local solutions for local issues "taking time to investigate and think in a different way." Hamish also identified specific priorities supportive at local authority level, linked to national initiatives such as *Getting it Right for Every Child* and *Curriculum for Excellence*. Since the contraction of centralized services, both headteachers observed there was less local government management of headteachers and schools. Morag felt it was becoming easier to mediate, subvert and resist policy mandates, suggesting "keep your head down and just get on with it; do the things you have to do and don't worry about the rest."

Macro level factors that helped the headteachers lead for social justice

Morag identified a range of priorities supportive at national level: Curriculum for Excellence (which she felt was "moving on a bit ... So I think that's exciting. And I will use that. I will totally use that and go with that and be accountable"), the Getting it Right for Every Child agenda, the focus on the early years, and the more recent Raising Attainment For All 8-18 agenda. She recognized an increasing drive behind such national initiatives as the 'closing the gap' agenda to reduce the impact of poverty. Morag explained that when social justice was regarded as a national priority, she felt supported in pushing the boundaries of established practice and challenging social injustice. That was not always the case, however, and using social justice legislation, such as the Equality Act, was also found to be supportive. Morag identified the recent embedding of social justice in the values underpinning the revised professional Standards for Scottish teachers as a significant macro level support:

They make it more than it's just my thing. ... So it's not just me, it's out there, the Scottish Government think that it's important and you need to be knowing about it because, actually, it's now your professional responsibility to know about it and to engage in it. So, for me, that just gives you the mandate. It gives you the credibility. It gives status to social justice ... But this way, it's a new way of making it important. Maybe there will always be a new way of making it important in Scotland.

Morag appreciated the opportunity she now had to revisit, and explicitly highlight with staff, social justice concepts and implications for practice: "The fact that it's in the Standards does give you that mandate. It means people need to know and they want to know... so it's exercising people ... so you know, let's use it."

Hamish recognized that the Scottish Government had emphasized a social inclusion agenda that represented "a recurring theme" that had been "permanently around" over the past fifteen years. He identified two key national policy themes focused on closing the gap between the most and least advantaged young people: firstly, the *Getting it Right for Every Child* agenda, which set out to "make sure that every single child's needs are being met in a holistic way in schools in Scotland"; and secondly, *Curriculum for Excellence* with its focus on outcomes, "and the sorts of young people we want to produce in our school system and actually producing young people that have socially just attitudes clearly [forming] a major part of the curriculum."

Hamish also highlighted that when policy themes were aligned to his social justice leadership, it was helpful to his practice in that;

the messages from on high, the big messages from government, the big messages from local government, the stuff in the Standards, what schools spend their money on in terms of what local authorities spend their money on in terms of training staff all helped with taking forward a social justice agenda. Such alignment was supportive... You're not spending time justifying your approach or arguing your case because the case has been made. So what you're doing, is providing training, providing opportunities to staff to share their practice as opposed to dealing with change that people might not necessarily believe in.

The antitheses of the factors that 'help' headteachers lead for social justice represent the factors that 'hinder' the work of social justice leaders. Both headteachers identified a number of challenges or barriers, which influenced their ability to lead for social justice within their schools.

Micro level factors that hindered the headteachers leadership for social justice

As highlighted earlier, the importance of professional learning and keeping well informed was a key part of how these leaders continued to sustain their engagement with addressing issues associated with social justice. This was not altogether easy and finding access to current and contextually relevant information was challenging. Morag highlighted her frustration at the lack of access to academic journals since completing her postgraduate diploma. She voiced her disappointment that the University had changed to online journals since she had previously been able to "sneak into the library to read the journals." It would appear that structures at the micro level influenced the headteacher's ability to engage with research-informed and contextually located resources to support their work for social justice in their schools.

Meso level factors that hindered the headteachers leadership for social justice

Within the school context, Morag regarded having staff with a limited worldview as extremely inhibiting of the practice of social justice leaders. This resulted in "prejudice that works at a subtle level." More specifically, she felt that curriculum pressures could inhibit social justice leadership. Hamish recognized "capacity" within the school in relation to teacher capability as a major constriction to socially just teaching practice:

The big thing that we have to change is pedagogy. Learning and teaching is simply not good enough. ... Teachers often then blame the kids and actually, it's not the kids, it's the quality of teaching, the quality of learning in the class.

Despite the time and effort put into supporting staff to enhance their practice, and the quality of learning experience of the pupils, Hamish was frustrated when in some cases "nothing's changed". When that was the case, he thought it was vital for him to have "the resilience not to let it go" in his efforts to shift existing practice and disrupt established culture. Hamish recognized that:

The attainment won't move until we marry really, really high quality inclusive, authentic positive relationships with a high level of challenge that comes from high expectations and quality pedagogy. And getting that is going to take a while. It's not going to happen overnight.

One of the factors hindering a faster pace of change was the "unprecedented amounts of change in terms of implementing Curriculum for Excellence." Other cultural factors which hindered his work as a social justice leader included a "lingering homophobia in very working class communities" and a "poverty of expectation within quite a large number of the families with which we work." In relation to the latter: "So when you end up having a meeting with parents and you try to talk their child up and they're talking their child down... I find it very dispiriting."

Morag highlighted a perceived divide between elected Council members and local education officials, identifying examples of high profile decisions made by local education officials that had been overturned by Councillors. In that regard, she reflected on the political nature of social justice leadership:

My role in that is quite tricky ... So that's the political dimension, working with all these interested parties, not overtly, but understanding what's going on ... so you've really got to be politically astute, political with a small p.

Also, Morag lamented that local authority education departments were ever shrinking with fewer people able to do less. She felt disappointed that the local authority was "oblivious" to social justice, perceiving the

"county culture [as] an obstacle" making it feel like she was "trudging through treacle" compared to "the multiculturalism of the city," which had an energy about it that she really missed. This had a negative impact on her work: "It's bloody hard work.... You have to keep your connections. You have to keep connected to people who believe it's important and to networks and to movements."

Hamish surfaced an inherent contradiction in the Scottish education system that had particular resonance within his local authority:

We still have schools set up certainly in [his Local Authority], set up competing for pupils and in that marketization of schools, you're going to get winners and losers and if you want social justice, you can't have a system that has winners and losers because you want everybody to be a winner.

He was critical of the Local Authority's rhetoric, specific to the city's unique context where over 20% of secondary pupils were thought to be privately educated:

The Authority have made it very, very clear that they're focused on the lowest performing 20%. For some of my colleagues, and to myself to an extent as well, taking your eye off the other youngsters is perhaps not necessarily a wise thing to do because it allows the private sector to point their finger and say that the state sector is only concerned about youngsters who are from disadvantaged backgrounds, which is not the case. The big thing for me is that we make sure that every single youngster who walks through our door has an educational experience that allows them to achieve everything they can possibly [achieve] from their starting point, whatever that may be.

Hamish also surfaced the political dimensions of leadership for social justice. In relation to getting additional resources for the school in order to enhance provision for pupils, raise aspirations and a sense of pride in the community, he reflected that he regularly engaged in politics but would not compromise either his values or his job: "I try to get as many, as much resourcing and as much staffing as I can possibly extract from the authority, argue vociferously." In situations where his values and education policies conflicted, Hamish felt he had a mediating role. He gave examples such as, "the issues with management restructuring, budget cuts, things like how the poorest paid employees in schools are treated, ... cutting librarians." He felt it was the responsibility of headteachers to "make your voice heard ... put your voice on the table." However, Hamish recognized that, as an individual, there was a limit to the power of his individual voice and the real power came from the collective voice. In addition, there were policy decisions that were "forced through" regardless of the individual or collective voice of headteachers, such as the recent management restructuring across all the local authority secondary schools, "because there was a budget necessity for it so there was a lot of acrimony, lots of conflict."

Macro level factors that hindered the headteachers leadership for social justice

Morag perceived that national and local government initiatives were "going in waves". She saw her role as recognising the important initiatives capable of making a sustained difference that "fit with our vision," using them and embedding them into practice. Legislation remained but, given time, the rest passed by. She was also concerned that the focus in the national inspection process by Her Majesty's Inspectorate of Education had narrowed to prioritising curriculum, learning and teaching, and assessment, rather than the previous wider holistic view that had subsequently been marginalized. She perceived this as a narrowing of education, reducing learning and teaching to "a technical level." When her values and mandated education policies conflicted, she felt it important to adapt policy to make it work for the school: "making it work in the right way, ... so it's not the pure thing." She also recognized that at times she had to comply as an employee of the education authority: "dissonance is part of the price for the great job that you have."

Hamish highlighted "a notion within our culture of there being good kids and bad kids" as a national tension and dilemma for social justice leadership, a premise he considered to be "nonsense." Hamish nonetheless recognized the difficulty in supporting <u>all</u> pupils:

How do we ...make sure these young people make the most of their education but, at the same time, don't impact the progress of others? ... If you don't do that ... then what you're left with is schools essentially as sorting centres and you have these young people whose behaviour is seen as bad and they are excluded or put to another classroom and they then are given low grade work and what then happens is the impact for society is you've got an educational underclass. You know, if every school does that, then what you've got is increased crime, you've got a system that actually sets up future social disadvantage so you get a self-perpetuating system... but if you're looking for a socially just society, then it has to start in school.

Analysis and discussion

Social justice leadership is inherently a political process. Both headteachers were engaged in challenging injustice, mediating, negotiating and selecting courses of action. Both recognized the power and authority they had as headteachers to change things and to empower others. For Morag, hers was "the best job." Now on her fourth headship, she had come to appreciate the impact she was able to have, actively choosing to remain a headteacher despite encouragement to apply for other higher status roles: "that is what drives me... Initiatives come and go but the work that you do with people ... then that's powerful and that's what it's all about. It's not powerful because I get power – other people get power."

Both headteachers perceived themselves as activists within their professional roles, championing social justice, changing mindsets, school culture and practice. Despite at times being at odds with the views of others, they were confident in their social justice leadership, informed and secure, values led and values driven. They understood the need for resilience and the need to focus their efforts. Hamish recognized that not all social justice issues could be challenged with the same energy at the same time, prioritising the largest group of pupils in his school "massively" affected "from a poverty of expectation." He expressed, "I'm quite comfortable with that in the sense that you can only do what you can do given the resources and capacity that you have."

Similarly, the efforts of each headteacher were bounded by the meso school context and local authority governance arrangements, as well as by the macro national context. The policy positioning of 'social justice' within the revised professional standards (GTCS, 2012a) was viewed as supportive in their efforts, providing a mandate to focus the individual and collective efforts of staff. Both of the headteachers experienced the meso layer to be challenging when it came to practicing leadership for social justice. Within the school they focused their energies on challenging the attitudes and practices of staff, as well as of parents and pupils. Within their respective local authority, each headteacher raised specific challenges. Morag found the insular nature of a rural context a stark contrast to her previous experience of leadership in city schools. She also felt that social justice was not well understood or advocated for by peers or local authority officers. Hamish found the competitive private schooling system and the school catchment arrangements in his urban local authority inherently unjust, leading to a poverty of expectation in relation to a large number of pupils in his school and to biased competition between schools.

Although the power, authority and influence each headteacher felt they had to exert their leadership for social justice was a significant motivator, it was to a large extent constrained to the micro layer in relation to working with individuals, rather than at the level of system change. As their focus moved through the meso layer and into the macro layer, each headteacher was able to exert less and less influence in their

social justice leadership. Concomitantly, macro and meso factors had a profound effect on the challenges faced by pupils and, in turn, by staff and each headteacher. Hamish provided a very good example of such constraints. When asked to consider a potential contradiction between the social justice commitment of headteachers, and the power he thought they had, and the previously referred to competition that the local authority system sets up between schools within the city and the catchment divides, he reflected,

Most headteachers, the people I speak to anyway, would accept changing the catchment areas in [name of City] to make them more comprehensive ... To be fair, it's not that they accept it. It's that they don't have any choice. ... I honestly think that because it would require a change in legislation, ... I think their view is that it's political, it's never going to happen, so why waste their energy? I think that's the view. ... It is one of these things that people know that it's not going to change because there's no political will to change it ... It's not going to change because the people who are powerful, the people who vote, would never vote for that. So it's not going to change. ... The policy discourse is aligned, it's all there, it's aligned but what's not aligned is that parental right to choose and political will to actually have proper comprehensive schools in the city ... [ironically] with a socially just Parliament.

Thus, whilst individual headteachers can exercise a values based commitment to social justice in their own practice, and in developing the practice of the schools they lead, the extent of their influence is constrained by the meso and macro levels of the school system and of society as a whole.

Conclusion

Scotland, despite it distinctiveness, has been very much affected by a "globalization effect" (Dale, 1999, p. 5) on education policy. Both policy borrowing and policy learning have been evident through "convergence", "diffusion" and the active process of meaning-making or "learning" in public policy to inform contemporary ideas of governance (Freeman, 2006, p. 367). Understanding of this is important in exploring the nature of social justice in education and the role of headteachers in leading for social justice. From this case study, if the expectations contained within the revised Standards are to move beyond the aspirational to impact on the leadership practice of headteachers, significant emphasis will need to be placed at all levels of the education system to support the development of both understandings and practice in leadership for social justice. Only then will those aspirations become a reality, fulfilling their potential to reinvigorate the teaching profession to enhance opportunities for pupils. The economic and social disparity between the advantaged and disadvantaged in Scotland suggests that the public discourse around social justice is part of Scottish mythology. Much still needs to be done in order for Scotland to claim that it represents a socially just society. A national focus on social justice as an underpinning value, could act as an enabler to effect systemic, cultural and professional change. Headteachers leading for social justice need societal and system-wide support in order for their influence to have maximum effect. Only then can headteachers be held to account in the practice of social justice leadership.

Acknowledgements

This research was conducted as part of the International School Leadership Development Network (ISLDN), Social Justice Strand, a joint research venture sponsored by the British Educational Leadership, Management, and Administration Society (BELMAS) and the University Council for Educational Administration (UCEA). The statements made and views expressed are solely the responsibility of the author(s).

References

- Angelle, P., Morrison, M., & Stevenson, H. (forthcoming). 'Doing' social justice leadership: Connecting the macro and micro contexts of schooling. In J. Ryan & D. Armstrong (Eds.), *Working (with/out) the system: Educational leadership, micropolitics and social justice.* Charlotte, NC: Information Age Publishing.
- Barnett, B., & Stevenson, H. (forthcoming). International perspectives in urban educational leadership: Social justice leadership and high-need schools. In M. A. Khalifa, C. Grant-Overton & N. W. Arnold (Eds.), *Handbook of urban school leadership*. Landham, MD: Rowan & Littlefield.
- Blackmore, J. (2009). Leadership for social justice: A transnational dialogue: International response essay. *Journal of Research on Leadership Education*, 4(1), 1-10. Retrieved from http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ875405.pdf
- Bogotch, I. (2008). Social justice as an educational construct. In I. Bogotch, F. Beachum, J. Blount, J. Brooks & F. English (Eds.), *Radicalizing educational leadership: Dimensions of social justice* (pp. 79-112). Rotterdam and Taipei: Sense Publishers.
- Bogotch, I., & Shields, C.M. (2014). Introduction: Do promises of social justice trump paradigm of educational leadership? In I. Bogotch & C. Shields (Eds.), *International handbook of educational leadership and social (in)justice* (pp.1-12). London, UK: Springer.
- Boske, C. (2014). Critical reflective practices: Connecting to social justice. In I. Bogotch & C. Shields (Eds.), International handbook of educational leadership and social (in)justice (pp 289-308). London, UK: Springer.
- Bush, T. (2008). Leadership and management development in education. London, UK: Sage.
- Christie Commission (2011). Commission On The Future Delivery Of Public Services. Accessed 13th August 2014. http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Resource/Doc/352649/0118638.pdf
- Connolly, M., Connolly, U., & James, C. (2000). Leadership in educational change. *British Journal of Management*, 11(1), 61-70. doi:10.1111/1467-8551.00151.
- Cribb, A., & Gewirtz, S. (2005). Navigating justice in practice: An exercise in grounding ethical theory. *Theory and Research in Education*, *3*(3), 327-342.
- Dale, R. (1999). Specifying globalization effects on national policy: A focus on the mechanisms. *Journal of Education Policy*, 14(1), 1-17.
- Davidson, J., Forde, C., Gronn, P., MacBeath, P., Martin, M., & McMahon, M. (2008). *Towards a "mixed economy" of head teacher development: Evaluation report to the Scottish Government on the Flexible Routes To Headship pilot.* Edinburgh, Scotland: Scotlish Government.
- Davis, J., Hill, L., Tisdall, K., Cairns, L., & McCausland, S. (2014). *Social justice, the Common Weal and children and young people in Scotland*. The Jimmy Reid Foundation, Institute of Education, Community and Society and the Centre for Research on Families and Relationships. Edinburgh: University of Edinburgh.
- Dimmock, C. (2012). *Leadership, capacity building and school improvement: Concepts, themes and impact.* Series: Leadership for Learning. London, UK: Routledge.
- Dimmock, C., Stevenson, H., Bignold, B., Shah, S., & Middlewood, D. (2005). School community perspectives and their leadership implications. Part 2 of NCSL, *Effective Leadership in Multi-Ethnic Schools* (pp. 26-45). Nottingham, UK: National College for School Leadership.
- Forde, C. (2014). Issues of social justice and fairness in the development of aspiring headteachers: I had not really thought about my values before.... In I. Bogotch & C. Shields (Eds.), *International handbook of educational leadership and social (in)justice* (pp. 1125-1143). London, UK: Springer.
- Freeman, R. (2006). Learning in public policy. In M. Rein, M. Moran & R.E. Goodin (Eds.), *The Oxford handbook of public policy* (pp. 365-386). Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

- Gairín, J., & Rodriguez-Gómez, D. (2014). Leadership, educational development and social development. In I. Bogotch & C. Shields (Eds.), *International handbook of educational leadership and social (in) justice* (pp. 819-843). London, UK: Springer.
- General Teaching Council Scotland (2012a). *Standards for leadership and management*. Edinburgh, Scotland: GTCS. Retrieved from http://www.gtcs.org.uk/standards/standards-for-leadership-and-management. aspx
- Gewirtz, S. (1998). Conceptualizing social justice in education: Mapping the territory. *Journal of Education Policy*, *13*(4), 469-484.
- Gillies, D. (2006). A Curriculum For Excellence: A question of values. Scottish Education Review, 38 (1), 25-36
- Hajisoteriou, C., & Angelides, P. (2014). Education policy in Cyprus: From decision-making to implementation. In I. Bogotch & C. Shields (Eds.), *International handbook of educational leadership and social (in) justice* (pp. 895-909). Dordrecht, Netherlands: Springer.
- Humes, W. (2003). Policy making in Scottish education. In T.G.K. Bryce & W.M. Humes (Eds.), *Scottish education second edition: Post-devolution* (pp.74-85). Edinburgh, Scotland: Edinburgh University Press
- Lauder, H., Brown, P., Dillabough, J.A., & Halsey, A.H. (2006). *Education, globalization and social change*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Lee, Y.L.B. (2010). *Managing complex change in a Hong Kong higher education institution: A micropolitical perspective*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Leicester, England.
- Lingard, B., & Ozga, J. (2007). *The RoutledgeFalmer Reader in education policy and politics*. London, UK: Psychology Press.
- Marshall, C., & Anderson A.L. (2008). Is it possible to be an activist educator? In C. Marshall & A.L. Anderson (Eds.), *Activist educators breaking past limits (Teaching/learning social justice)* (pp. 1-30). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Munn, P., Stead, J., MacLeod, G., Brown, J., Cowie, M., McCluskey,. & Scott, J. (2004). Schools for the 21st century: The national debate on education in Scotland. *Research Papers in Education*, 19(4), 433-452.
- Norberg, K., Arlestig, H., & Angelle, P.S. (2014). Global conversations about social justice: The Swedish-US example. *Management in Education*, 28(3), 101-105.
- Paterson, L. (2003a). The three educational ideologies of the British Labour Party. *Oxford Review of Education*, 29(2), 165-186. Retrieved from http://www.jstor.org/stable/1050609
- Paterson, L. (2003b). *Scottish education in the twentieth century*. Edinburgh, Scotland: Edinburgh University Press.
- Power, M. (2004). Counting, control and calculation: Reflections on measuring and management. *Human Relations*, *57*(6), 765-783.
- Rawls, J. (1972). A Theory of Justice. Oxford, UK: Clarendon Press.
- Rhodes, R.A.W. (1997). Understanding Governance. Buckingham, UK: Open University Press.
- Ryan, J. (2010). Promoting social justice in schools: Principals' political strategies. *International Journal of Leadership in Education: Theory and Practice*, 13(4), 357-376.
- Scottish Executive (1999). Social justice: A Scotland where everyone matters. Edinburgh, Scotland: The Stationery Office.
- Slater, C., Potter, I., Torres, N., & Briceno, F. (2014). Understanding social justice leadership: An international exploration of the perspectives of two school leaders in Costa Rica and England. *Management in Education*, 28(3), 110-115.

- Stevenson, H. (2007). A case study in leading schools for social justice: When morals and markets collide. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 45(6), 769-781.
- Theoharis, G. (2010). Disrupting injustice: Principals narrate the strategies they use to improve their schools and advance social justice. *Teacher College Record*, 112(1), 331-373. Retrieved from: http://www.tcrecord.org ID Number: 15842
- Torrance, D., & Humes, W. (2014). The shifting discourses of educational leadership: International trends and Scotland's response. *Educational Management, Administration and Leadership, 10*(2) 1-19.

Authors

Dr Deirdre Torrance is Director of Teacher Education Partnerships and Director of the Masters in Educational Leadership and Management in the Moray House School of Education, the University of Edinburgh, UK. Her primary research interests include leadership preparation, school leadership and management, teacher leadership, middle leadership, distributed leadership, social justice leadership and school improvement processes.

Email: deirdre.torrance@ed.ac.uk

Dr Christine Forde is Professor of Leadership and Professional Learning in the School of Education, the University of Glasgow, UK. Her research interests include leadership development, pedagogies for professional learning, social justice leadership, career long leadership development, accomplished teaching. She has written a number of books and articles on these areas. She also has published on equality and gender in education Email: Christine.Forde@glasgow.ac.uk