

Coffelt, S. B., and de Visser, K. E. (2016) Systemic inflammation: Cancer's long-distance reach to maximize metastasis. OncoImmunology, 5(2), e1075694. (doi:10.1080/2162402X.2015.1075694)

There may be differences between this version and the published version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

http://eprints.gla.ac.uk/123493/

Deposited on: 01 September 2016

Enlighten – Research publications by members of the University of Glasgow http://eprints.gla.ac.uk

Systemic inflammation: cancer's long distance reach to maximize metastasis

Seth B. Coffelt and Karin E. de Visser

Division of Immunology, Netherlands Cancer Institute, Plesmanlaan 121, Amsterdam, 1066 CX, The Netherlands

ABSTRACT

While major improvements have been made in targeting primary tumor growth, metastasis and combating cancer spread remain an enigma. We recently identified a systemic inflammatory cascade involving IL17-producing $\gamma\delta$ T cells and neutrophils that advance breast cancer metastasis. These data provide insights into how immune cells promote cancer spread.

ARTICLE

When deleterious events happen on the planet Earth whether natural or human-made, they often have far-reaching consequences beyond the local site of injury. Take the 2012 Fukushima power plant nuclear meltdown in Japan, and the 2010 eruption of Iceland's Eyjafjallajökull volcano for example. Low levels of radioactive cesium from Fukushima almost traveled to the California coast, around 8600 km away. The ash from Iceland, which disrupted European and trans-Atlantic flights for weeks, floated across the European continent and even as far as Russia. Like these events, localized tumors within an organism reach far beyond their boundaries to affect distant organs and evoke systemic responses. Confined tumors induce these long distance changes that consequently create the right conditions for cultivation of tumor growth and metastasis.¹

Recently, our lab unraveled a mechanism in the *K14cre;Cdh1*^{F/F};*Trp53*^{F/F} (KEP) mouse model by which mammary tumors systemically reshape the host immune system to support metastasis.² We showed that IL17-producing $\gamma\delta$ T cells, activated by mammary tumor-derived IL1 β , drive systemic expansion and polarization of neutrophils. The far-reaching accumulation of neutrophils and their reeducation establishes an organism-wide immunosuppressive environment that blocks the anti-tumor activity of CD8⁺ T cells and increases the efficiency of mammary cancer metastasis (**Figure 1**).²

Neutrophils suppress T cell activation by upregulation of iNOS,² placing them under the myeloid-derived suppressor cell (MDSC) umbrella. These neutrophils express CD11b, Ly6G and Ly6C, but lack expression of F4/80 and CCR2, which distinguishes them from monocytes,

macrophages and dendritic cells. However, neutrophils from tumor-bearing KEP mice contain both mature and immature populations, based on nuclear morphological analysis and expression of the hematopoietic stem cell marker, cKIT, by a fraction of the population. As expected, the depletion of these neutrophils unleashes the functions of CD8⁺ T cells and decreases metastasis formation. Importantly, the depletion of CD8⁺ T cells alone does not increase metastasis.² These data indicate that CD8⁺ T cells are completely indolent in the KEP model until the neutrophil-generated immunosuppressive barrier is broken.

We found that IL17-induced G-CSF elicits the suppressive functions of neutrophils. TGF β has also been proposed to regulate neutrophil polarization; although, in the KEP model, TGF β doesn't seem to be as important as G-CSF. TGF β levels are equivalent in tumor-bearing KEP; Rag1 mice and KEP mice, while IL17 levels, G-CSF levels and iNOS expression in neutrophils from KEP; Rag1 mice is reduced. As a result, TGF β is more likely acting on $\gamma\delta$ T cells or another population rather than neutrophils in our model.

Many of our findings are strikingly similar to other observations made about the premetastatic niche. A.5 First, we found that neutrophils are most important during the early steps of the metastatic cascade. Second, neutrophils in our model share several of the phenotypic characteristics with other cells implicated in the pre-metastatic niche, such as expression of VEGFR1 and cKIT. A.5 Third, in addition to iNOS, neutrophils in KEP mice upregulate expression of Bv8/Prok2, S100a8 and S100a9 – three genes known to participate in establishment of the pre-metastatic niche. However, there is one major difference between our data and that of others: neutrophils are not restricted to metastasis-specific organs, like lungs or lymph nodes, they accumulate in every organ throughout the whole mouse. This almost rules out the possibility that neutrophils drive organ-specific tropism towards the lung and draining lymph node. At the same time, these observations raise the question of whether a pre-metastatic niche exists in the KEP model. Is there another mechanism at play that actively directs mammary cancer cells to pre-determined locations? Are other (immune) cells responsible? These questions remain to be answered.

Upstream of neutrophil expansion and polarization, $\gamma\delta$ T cells produce IL17 to increase systemic G-CSF levels. All three components – $\gamma\delta$ T cells, IL17 and G-CSF – are required for neutrophil expansion, since their depletion or neutralization prevents this cascade. The depletion or genetic knockout of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in our spontaneous metastasis model also decreases multiorgan metastasis in an analogous fashion to neutrophil depletion. However, there is still one missing piece of the puzzle: what cell type is activated by IL17 to upregulate G-CSF and where does this occur? Previous studies have shown that tumor-associated fibroblasts produce G-CSF

in response to IL17.⁶ It would be interesting to assess whether the same is true in the KEP model.

Together, our mechanistic data in mouse models and the clinical data of others indicate that $\gamma\delta$ T cells and neutrophils join forces to expedite breast cancer metastasis. ⁷⁻⁹ So how do we identify patients that may be most susceptible to this inflammatory cascade? As higher neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratios are associated with worsened metastasis-specific survival, ⁷ $\gamma\delta$ T cell and neutrophil therapies may be effective in these patients. Determining which mutational signatures in primary tumors are correlated with systemic inflammation may also be beneficial for future stratification. How should $\gamma\delta$ T cells and neutrophils be targeted in breast cancer patients without causing major toxicities or increased infections? One strategy may be to reverse the polarization of these cells as a means to down-regulate their immunosuppressive and prometastatic abilities, without loosing other anti-infectious properties. Bisphosphonates are one such way to alter $\gamma\delta$ T cell behavior, ¹⁰ and IL17 stands out as an ideal upstream candidate to modify neutrophil phenotype. One IL17 inhibitor was recently approved for use in patients with psoriasis. Our data provide several potential avenues to target systemic inflammation and combat metastasis – the primary cause of cancer-related deaths.

REFERENCES

- 1. McAllister SS, Weinberg RA. The tumour-induced systemic environment as a critical regulator of cancer progression and metastasis. Nature cell biology 2014; 16:717-27.
- 2. Coffelt SB, Kersten K, Doornebal CW, Weiden J, Vrijland K, Hau CS, et al. IL-17-producing gammadelta T cells and neutrophils conspire to promote breast cancer metastasis. Nature 2015; 522:345-8.
- 3. Fridlender ZG, Sun J, Kim S, Kapoor V, Cheng G, Ling L, et al. Polarization of tumor-associated neutrophil phenotype by TGF-beta: "N1" versus "N2" TAN. Cancer cell 2009; 16:183-94.
- 4. Kowanetz M, Wu X, Lee J, Tan M, Hagenbeek T, Qu X, et al. Granulocyte-colony stimulating factor promotes lung metastasis through mobilization of Ly6G+Ly6C+ granulocytes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2010; 107:21248-55.
- 5. Hiratsuka S, Watanabe A, Aburatani H, Maru Y. Tumour-mediated upregulation of chemoattractants and recruitment of myeloid cells predetermines lung metastasis. Nat Cell Biol 2006; 8:1369-75.
- 6. Chung AS, Wu X, Zhuang G, Ngu H, Kasman I, Zhang J, et al. An interleukin-17-mediated paracrine network promotes tumor resistance to anti-angiogenic therapy. Nat Med 2013: 19:1114-23.

- 7. Noh H, Eomm M, Han A. Usefulness of pretreatment neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio in predicting disease-specific survival in breast cancer patients. Journal of breast cancer 2013; 16:55-9.
- 8. Ma C, Zhang Q, Ye J, Wang F, Zhang Y, Wevers E, et al. Tumor-infiltrating gammadelta T lymphocytes predict clinical outcome in human breast cancer. J Immunol 2012; 189:5029-36.
- 9. Chen WC, Lai YH, Chen HY, Guo HR, Su IJ, Chen HH. Interleukin-17-producing cell infiltration in the breast cancer tumour microenvironment is a poor prognostic factor. Histopathology 2013; 63:225-33.
- 10. Santini D, Martini F, Fratto ME, Galluzzo S, Vincenzi B, Agrati C, et al. In vivo effects of zoledronic acid on peripheral gammadelta T lymphocytes in early breast cancer patients. Cancer immunology, immunotherapy: CII 2009; 58:31-8.

FIGURE LEGEND

Figure 1. Mammary tumor-activated $\gamma\delta$ T cells educate immunosuppressive neutrophils to advance metastasis. IL1 β released into the circulation by mammary tumors activates $\gamma\delta$ T cells to produce IL17. An unknown cell type responds to IL17 by upregulating G-CSF, causing neutrophil expansion and polarization towards an immunosuppressive phenotype. Through an iNOS-dependent mechanism, neutrophils dampen CD8⁺ T cell killer functions so that disseminated cancer cells are protected from attack.