Heading	Inputs:	Concerns:	Other Comments:
Open Access	RIOXX, V4OA, local systems	Still much confusion about exact definition e.g. funder, supplier, HEI may mean slightly different things when say 'GREEN' or 'GOLD'.	
<u>Impact</u>	REF, RESFISH, VERTIGO Ventures Report	Collect one – re-use many.	
<u>Spin Outs</u>	- HEBCI categories & guidance Snowball		
Engagement Activities	- HEBCI categories & guidance - Guidance from NCCPE? - Altmetrics - ResearchFish guidance	- Very broad and hard to define! - Real vs. virtual engagement – social media? - Link between engagement & impact? - Attention vs. engagement	- Reach and significance - Benchmarking may be useful for researchers
Intellectual Property + Licensing	HEBCI – software/non-software licenses Existing licensing categorisations (OSI, Creative Commons, Snowball		

Heading	Inputs:	Concerns:	Other Comments:
Prizes, Awards + Recognition	- ResearchFish Snowball CORDIS – career history	- Can be discipline specific- Easy to capture positions/appointments, difficult to track recognition- Fellowships are both financial awards & recognition	- Broad categories and free text- Difficult to assess relative value of awards- Press and media recognition is worth noting, alongside formal awards
Articstic + Creative Products	- eprints, pure, others collect different metadata - Library definitions and clarifications.	- No agreed definitions/consistency - Ensuring metadata captured for non-digital products	
Research Tools and Methods	- Widely defined		- Isn't this in the article? - How different from software & technical products?
Collaborations & Partnerships	- RF - BB databases – co-authorship	- Partners + co-authors known but network obs may not be clear in projects → GET FROM PAPERS - Is travel grant here? Or further funding? — may give a bursary to c60 diff orgs — then may be impact creating that network of folk Diff to FF — reduce duplication or keep it?	- Should define the collab type that is a network - (NICP) - Can be quite Woolly

Heading	Inputs:	Concerns:	Other Comments:
Further Funding	- Our internal research info systems hold some info Finance – but not necessarily linked	- What about funding to other orgs?- Make clear purpose of use of data (each field, each usercase).	- All RS's make data available, it maps links Unique ID for project/programme can be equivalent of ORCID- Showing the worth of doing — add linkage, get info back
<u>Use of Facilities +</u> Resources	- Equipment.data.ac.uk? Very limited info.		- Funders want info on ROI & efficiency
Next Destination and Skills	- Most of the info already on our HR systems - HESA definitions? Yes - that's what we have.	- Skills just freeform in RF Do exit interviews get done? - And fed back to HR system? - Not mandated for collection - Don't record this — What to record?	
Software + Technical Products	- Force II WG on software citation - Evolving CodeMeta work on collecting and mapping between different s/w metadata standards - CASRAI credit taxonomy! - GitHub choose a license	- Are there metadata standards? (for s/w there's too many!) - Licensing unclear — which to choose as depositor, what is allowed as consumer.	- Tends to be included with datasets?

Heading	Inputs:	Concerns:	Other Comments:
Research Databases + Models	- JISC – C4D, UKRDDS, RDMSS- DataCite- OpenAIRE- RDA Working Group on definition. Terminology – and its glossary.	- Compliance monitoring- Define 'compliance' – fluid – how could we have 'a model'?- What metadata do we need to collect- 'Data collection' would be better than 'database' which feels too specific to outputs of particular kinds of system. 'Data collection' is also part of the RDA WG on definitions, so would allow connection to that work *Is community mature enough to tackle standardising RDM defs?*	

Outputs and Impact

