## 1 RESEARCH PAPER

- 2 *LL de Carvalho et al.*
- 3 Xylazine–opioid combinations in sheep
- 4 Sedative and cardiopulmonary effects of xylazine alone or in combination with methadone,
- 5 morphine or tramadol in sheep
- 6 Leonardo L Carvalho\*, Lilian T Nishimura\*, Luisa P B Borges\*, Sofia A Cerejo†, Isadora O J
- 7 Villela\*, Adam Auckburally‡ & Ewaldo de Mattos-Junior\*
- 8 \*School of Veterinary Medicine, University of Franca, Franca, SP, Brazil
- 9 †School of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Science, São Paulo State University (Universidade
- 10 Estadual Paulista, UNESP) Botucatu, Botucatu, SP, Brazil
- 11 ‡Department of Small Animal Clinical Sciences, School of Veterinary Medicine, College of
- 12 Medical, Veterinary and Life Sciences, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK
- 13
- 14 Correspondence: Ewaldo de Mattos-Junior, Faculdade de Medicina Veterinária, Universidade
- 15 de Franca, Avenida Dr Armando Salles de Oliveira, 201, Parque Universitário, Franca, SP
- 16 14404-600, Brazil. E-mail: ewaldomattos@hotmail.com
- 17
- 18 Abstract
- 19 **Objective** To evaluate the cardiopulmonary and sedative effects of xylazine alone or in
- 20 combination with methadone, morphine or tramadol in sheep.
- 21 **Study design** Experimental, prospective, crossover, randomized, blinded study.
- **Animals** Six Santa Inês breed sheep (females) aged  $12 \pm 8$  months and weighing  $39.5 \pm 7.4$  kg.

23	Methods Sheep were sedated with each of four treatments in a randomized, crossover design,
24	with a minimum washout period of 7 days between treatments. Treatments were: X [xylazine
25	$(0.1 \text{ mg kg}^{-1})$ ]; XM [xylazine (0.1 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> ) and methadone (0.5 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )]; XMO [xylazine
26	$(0.1 \text{ mg kg}^{-1})$ and morphine $(0.5 \text{ mg kg}^{-1})$ ], and XT [xylazine $(0.1 \text{ mg kg}^{-1})$ and tramadol
27	$(5 \text{ mg kg}^{-1})$ ]. Each drug combination was mixed in the syringe and injected intravenously.
28	Sedation, heart rate (HR), mean arterial blood pressure (MAP), rectal temperature (RT $^{\circ}$ C),
29	respiratory rate ( $f_R$ ), arterial blood gases and electrolytes were measured before drug
30	administration (T0) and then at 15 minute intervals for 120 minutes (T15–T120).
31	<b>Results</b> Heart rate significantly decreased in all treatments compared with T0. PaCO <sub>2</sub> values in
32	XM and XMO were higher at all time points compared with T0. In treatments X and XM, pH,
33	bicarbonate (HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup><math>-</math></sup> ) and base excess were increased at all time points compared with T0. PaO <sub>2</sub>
34	was significantly decreased at T15–T75 in XM, at all time points in XMO, and at T15 and T30 in
35	XT. Sedation at T15 and T30 in XM and XMO was greater than in the other treatments.
36	Conclusions and clinical relevance The combinations of methadone, morphine or tramadol
37	with xylazine resulted in cardiopulmonary changes similar to those induced by xylazine alone in
38	sheep. The combinations provided better sedation, principally at 15 minutes and 30 minutes
39	following administration.
40	
41	<i>Keywords</i> $\alpha_2$ -agonists, opioids, ovine, sedation.
42	

## 43 Introduction

44 Xylazine is 10–20 times more potent in ruminants than in other species (Kästner 2006).

45 Cardiopulmonary effects include bradycardia, changes in arterial blood pressure, tachypnea

47 Death resulting from the development of pulmonary edema after administration of xylazine has 48 also been reported (Uggla & Lindqvist 1983). Despite these profound cardiopulmonary effects, 49 sedation may not be as pronounced as expected, and recumbency may not be induced when 50 xylazine is administered to sheep intravenously (IV) or intramuscularly (IM) (Kästner 2006). 51 Therefore, combining xylazine with other drugs may be useful to enhance sedation. 52 Morphine and methadone are classified as full  $\mu$ -agonists and are widely used in veterinary 53 practice, alone or in combination with other drugs for premedication and analgesia. However, the 54 reported use of these drugs in ruminants is rare. Studies on drug residues are lacking, which may 55 limit the clinical usefulness of opioids in food animal practice (KuKanich & Papich 2009). 56 Tramadol may be classified as an atypical opioid drug because much of its analgesic action is 57 attributable to a central effect in inhibiting the reuptake of serotonin and noradrenaline, and it 58 also shows relatively weak action at opioid µ-receptors (KuKanich & Papich 2009). Adverse 59 effects following its administration include sedation, although there are few data on its 60 administration as part of a premedication or sedation protocol in sheep. Guedes et al. (2005) 61 demonstrated that tramadol alone administered IM to dogs prior to general anesthesia did not 62 produce any visible sedation. Excitatory effects on the central nervous system (CNS), such as 63 agitation and nystagmus, following the administration of opioids to ruminants have been 64 described (Waterman et al. 1990, 1991; Lin & Riddell 2003; Edmondson et al. 2012), and may 65 counteract the level of sedation observed. 66 Combinations of sedatives and opioid drugs are commonly used in veterinary anesthesia because

accompanied by pulmonary edema and arterial hypoxemia (Bacon et al. 1998; Kästner 2006).

46

67 they have useful synergistic effects. This synergism enhances sedation and analgesia and may

68 facilitate a significant reduction in the doses of both drugs, thereby reducing the adverse

69 cardiopulmonary effects associated with each drug when it is administered alone. Numerous 70 studies in dogs and cats have demonstrated that sedation is better when an  $\alpha_2$ -agonist is 71 administered in combination with an opioid than when the  $\alpha_2$ -agonist is administered alone 72 (Selmi et al. 2003; Leppänen et al. 2006; Monteiro et al. 2008; Cardoso et al. 2014). 73 The aim of this study was to examine the cardiopulmonary and sedative effects of xylazine in 74 combination with different opioids when administered IV in sheep. The study hypothesis was 75 that sedation would be superior following the administration of these combinations compared 76 with the administration of xylazine alone. 77 Materials and methods 78 This research was conducted with the approval and supervision of the Ethics Committee on 79 Animal Use of the University of Franca, Brazil (protocol no. 038/12). All procedures were 80 conducted in compliance with the ethical principles of good practice in animal experimentation. 81 Animals 82 Six female, non-pregnant Santa Inês sheep, with a mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) age of 83  $12 \pm 8$  months and mean  $\pm$  SD weight of  $39.5 \pm 7.4$  kg were used. The animals were kept 84 collectively in  $6 \times 6$  m plots and were given hay, pelleted feed and mineral supplements on a 85 daily basis, and water *ad libitum*. Prior to the study, the health of the animals was evaluated 86 using a complete blood count, liver and renal biochemical profile, and fecal parasitologic 87 examination. For at least 20 days prior to the initiation of the study, the animals were monitored 88 for individual behavior and were conditioned to physical restraint. Before the study, food and 89 water were withheld for 24 hours and the hair over the right jugular vein and auricular arteries 90 was clipped.

91 Once the animals were moved to the experimental area, the skin sites for vessel catheterization 92 were aseptically prepared. A catheter (18 gauge, 2.5 cm Safelet; Nipro Medical Ltda, SP, Brazil) 93 was introduced into the right jugular vein, and a second catheter (20 gauge, 2.5 cm) introduced 94 into an auricular artery with the sheep restrained in a standing position. The ambient temperature 95 was 22 °C. Fifteen minutes were allowed to elapse following instrumentation before any 96 measurements were recorded.

97 Experimental design

98 The sheep were randomized (by drawing of lots) to four treatments in a crossover study, with a 99 minimum interval of 7 days between treatments. The four treatments were: X, xylazine (0.1 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>; Rompun 2%; Baver AG, SP, Brazil); XM, xylazine (0.1 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and methadone 100  $(0.5 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}; \text{Mytadon}, 10 \text{ mg mL}^{-1}; \text{Cristália Produtos Químicos e Farmacêuticos Ltda, SP},$ 101 Brazil); XMO, xylazine (0.1 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and morphine (0.5 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>; Dimorf, 10 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>; Cristália 102 Produtos Químicos e Farmacêuticos Ltda), and XT, xylazine (0.1 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and tramadol 103  $(5 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}; \text{Tramadon}, 50 \text{ mg mL}^{-1}; \text{Cristália Produtos Ouímicos e Farmacêuticos Ltda}). Each$ 104 105 drug combination was mixed in the syringe. The final volume was adjusted to 5 mL with 0.9% 106 sodium chloride to facilitate blinding and administered IV into the jugular catheter over 107 30 seconds. The catheter was then flushed with 0.9% sodium chloride. Animals were then left to 108 wander freely in the experiment area. Data for all variables were collected by the same 109 investigator for all animals at all evaluation times. Variables were measured before drug 110 administration (baseline, T0) and every 15 minutes after drug administration for 120 minutes 111 (T15–T120).

112 Degree of sedation

Three evaluators who were unaware of the treatment assessed the degree of sedation. Sedation was scored using a numerical rating scale of 0–10, on which a score of 0 indicates no sedation and a score of 10 indicates recumbency with no movement (Kästner et al. 2003) (Appendix 1).
Assessments of sedation were always performed prior to the measurement of other variables and evaluators' scores were averaged.

118 Cardiopulmonary variables

119 Cardiopulmonary data were collected in the following order. Heart rate (HR) was measured 120 using transthoracic auscultation with a stethoscope in the region of the fourth left intercostal 121 space for 1 minute. Mean arterial blood pressure (MAP) was evaluated using the arterial catheter connected to a system filled with 0.1% heparin solution (50 IU mL<sup>-1</sup>). Pressure was measured 122 123 intermittently using an aneroid manometer (Indústria Bic de Aparelhos Médicos Ltda, SP, 124 Brazil) which was calibrated against a mercury column before use. Prior to measurement, the 125 system was zeroed using the air-saline junction at the point of the shoulder in standing and 126 sternally recumbent animals and the xiphoid process in laterally recumbent animals as reference 127 points. Respiratory rate  $(f_R)$  was assessed by observing the movements of the thorax for 128 1 minute. Rectal temperature (RT °C) was measured with a clinical mercury-in-glass 129 thermometer (Thermometer BD; Becton Dickinson Indústrias Cirurgicas SA, MG, Brazil) 130 inserted into the rectum. Arterial blood samples were taken from the arterial catheter for 131 determination of pH, arterial partial pressure of carbon dioxide (PaCO<sub>2</sub>), arterial partial pressure 132 of oxygen (PaO<sub>2</sub>), base excess (BE), arterial hemoglobin oxygen saturation (SaO<sub>2</sub>), sodium  $(Na^+)$ , potassium  $(K^+)$ , ionized calcium  $(iCa^{2+})$  and chloride  $(Cl^-)$  concentrations. Each blood 133 134 sample amounted to 0.5 mL and was withdrawn into a disposable syringe containing heparin and

135	sealed with a rubber stopper. Samples were analyzed immediately using a blood gas analyzer
136	[Cobas b 121; Roche Diagnostics (Schweiz) AG, Switzerland].
137	Statistical analysis
138	Data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism Version 5.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc., CA, USA).
139	Data were tested for normality prior to analysis using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Normally
140	distributed data were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) for repeated measures;
141	Dunnett's test was used to compare data within the same treatment group. ANOVAs and a post
142	hoc Tukey test were used to compare data between treatments. Non-parametric data were
143	analyzed using the Kruskal–Wallis test with a post hoc Dunn's test. For all analyses, a p-value of
144	< 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.
145	Results
146	All animals were included in the study and all completed the 120 minute evaluation period,
147	recovering without complications. Behavioral effects other than sedation included drooling,
148	bruxism, vocalization and facial or generalized tremors (Table 1).
149	Sedative effects
150	Sedation scores were significantly higher compared with baseline at T15–T105 in X ( $p < 0.01$ )
151	and at T15–T90 in XM ( $p < 0.001$ ) and at all time points in XMO ( $p < 0.01$ ) and XT ( $p < 0.001$ )
152	(Fig. 1). In XM, sedation was significantly greater at T15 in comparison with T90, T105 and
153	T120 ( $p < 0.01$ ). In XMO, sedation scores were significantly greater at T15, T30 and T60 in
154	comparison with T105 and T120. In XT, sedation at T30 was significantly greater than at T120.
155	When sedation scores were compared between treatments, those at T15 and T30 were
156	significantly higher in XM and XMO than in X and XT. At T30, the sedation score in XT was
157	significantly higher than in X ( $p < 0.05$ ).

- 158 Sternal or lateral recumbency (scores 6–10) occurred in variable numbers of animals in XM at
- three time points (T15, T30, T45), in XMO at six time points (T15–T90) and in XT at three time
- 160 points (T15, T60, T90). Recumbency was not observed in any animal administered xylazine
- alone.
- 162 Cardiopulmonary variables and body temperature
- 163 Heart rate decreased in all treatments at all time points in comparison with baseline (p < 0.001)
- 164 (Table 2). There was no significant difference in HR among treatments. MAP was significantly
- decreased from baseline in X at T45 and T90 (p < 0.05) and in XMO at T15 (p < 0.0001), T45
- and T75 (p < 0.001) and T60 (p < 0.05). In XT, MAP was significantly higher at T15 and T75
- 167 than in the other treatments.
- 168 Rectal temperatures were unchanged, except at T60 in X (p < 0.05), and did not differ among 169 treatments.
- 170 In comparison with baseline values,  $f_{\rm R}$  was significantly lower at T60–T120 in X (p < 0.05) and
- 171 T45–T90 in XMO (p < 0.001) (Table 2). There were no significant differences among
- treatments.
- 173 Blood gas and electrolyte variables
- 174 Significant increases in pH compared with baseline were measured at all time points in X and
- 175 XM, and at T45–T120 in XMO (p < 0.001) (Table 3). In a comparison among treatments, pH
- 176 values in X and XM were significantly higher at T45 and T120. PaCO<sub>2</sub> values were higher at all
- 177 time points than at baseline (p < 0.001) in XM and XMO. In the comparative analysis among the
- 178 treatments, no significant difference was observed. Analysis of BE revealed a significant
- 179 increase (values became more positive) at all time points compared with T0 in X and XM

180 (p < 0.0001), and at T30–T120 in XMO and XT (p < 0.05). There were no statistically

- 181 significant differences among treatments.
- 182 In comparison with baseline values, PaO<sub>2</sub> was significantly lower at T15–T75 in XM (p < 0.01),

183 at all time points in XMO (p < 0.001), and at T15 and T30 in XT (p < 0.001). In comparison with

values in treatment X, PaO<sub>2</sub> values were significantly lower at T30–T60 in XM (p < 0.01), T15

185 in XMO (p < 0.01) and T30 in XT (p < 0.05).

186 There were no statistically significant differences in values of SaO<sub>2</sub>, Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, iCa<sup>2+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup> in

187 either comparisons with baseline values or among treatments, and values remained within the

188 physiologic ranges for this species.

## 189 **Discussion**

190 The combination of a sedative (such as an  $\alpha_2$ -agonist) with an opioid is used very commonly in 191 veterinary anesthetic practice. Recommended doses of xylazine for IV administration to sheep

192 vary markedly. Grant & Upton (2001) found that 0.05 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> of xylazine IM resulted in poor

193 sedation, whereas administration of  $0.1 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$  xylazine IM resulted in obvious signs of

sedation, but the animals remained standing (Shokry et al. 1976). Marked sedative effects were

195 observed at doses of  $> 0.3 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$  IV (Hsu et al. 1987, 1989). A dose of 0.1 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> xylazine

196 was chosen for this study in order to achieve sedation sufficient for comparison with the effects

197 of xylazine–opioid combinations.

198 Equipotent doses of morphine, methadone and tramadol for sheep are not reported in the

199 literature. Consequently, the dose rates used in this study were based on those in studies that

200 compared the use of morphine with tramadol or methadone in dogs (Mastrocinque & Fantoni

201 2003; Maiante et al. 2009).

The time course of 120 minutes chosen for this study was based on what is known about the pharmacokinetic characteristics of xylazine in sheep (Garcia-Villar et al. 1981) and the sedative effects of morphine, methadone and tramadol in combination with dexmedetomidine in dogs (Cardoso et al. 2014).

206 Adverse side effects such as tremors, bruxism, nystagmus and vocalization were prevalent in all 207 the xylazine-opioid treatments. Waterman et al. (1990, 1991) demonstrated that butorphanol and 208 fentanyl administration in sheep leads to agitation and distressed behavior. Lin & Riddell (2003) 209 observed slow horizontal nystagmus following IV administration of detomidine and butorphanol 210 in dairy cattle. In the same species, sedation after the administration of xylazine and butorphanol 211 was no greater than that after xylazine alone (Levine et al. 1992). Alpacas exhibited neurologic 212 signs of hyperexcitability, hyperesthesia, tremors and ataxia after administration of tramadol IV 213 (Edmondson et al. 2012). The adverse effects observed in the present study were similar, further 214 supporting the excitatory effects of opioids on the CNS in ruminant species. 215 Following the IV administration of  $\alpha_2$ -agonists, there is a biphasic blood pressure response. 216 Initially, hypertension caused by peripheral vasoconstriction occurs, after which a reflex 217 bradycardia is mediated by baroreceptors. In humans, a prolonged, centrally mediated 218 hypotensive period then ensues, but hypotension after the administration of  $\alpha_2$ -agonists has not 219 been reported in dogs (Murrell & Hellebrekers 2005). In sheep, the administration of xylazine  $(0.05 \text{ mg kg}^{-1} \text{ IM or } 0.2 \text{ mg kg}^{-1} \text{ IV})$  did not induce significant changes in HR (Bacon et al. 220 221 1998; Grant & Upton 2001). Opioids can cause cardiovascular depression by inducing negative 222 chronotropy and decreased cardiac output (Stanley et al. 1980). However, in goats, 0.6 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> 223 methadone IV did not cause alterations in HR for up to 240 minutes (Olsén et al. 2013). Similarly, in dogs, the administration of morphine at 3 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> IV did not induce significant 224

225 changes in HR during 30 minutes of evaluation (Priano & Vatner 1981). IV tramadol at doses of 1.5 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and 2.6 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> followed by a continuous infusion caused no change in HR in dogs 226 227 over 45 minutes (Seddighi et al. 2009). The effects of combinations of an  $\alpha_2$ -agonist with an 228 opioid on MAP have not been described in sheep. In dogs administered xylazine and methadone, 229 systolic arterial blood pressure fell but hypotension did not occur over the 60 minute study 230 period (Monteiro et al. 2008). In the present study, although MAP was significantly decreased at 231 some time points, hypotension (MAP < 60 mmHg) was not observed. HR decreased during all 232 treatments, but at no time was clinically significant bradycardia noted. 233 The administration of  $\alpha_2$ -agonists may result in a decrease in body temperature caused by 234 depression of the thermoregulatory centre (Pypendop & Verstegen 1998) and reduced muscular 235 activity (Virtanen 1989). In the present study, the significant decrease in the RT of sheep 236 identified at one time point after xylazine administration was not clinically significant, and no 237 significant decreases in RT occurred in the other treatments. Administration of methadone  $(0.5 \text{ mg kg}^{-1} \text{ and } 1 \text{ mg kg}^{-1} \text{ IV})$  and morphine  $(1 \text{ mg kg}^{-1} \text{ IV})$  to dogs resulted in a progressive 238 239 reduction of body temperature for up to 90 minutes (Maiante et al. 2009). Monteiro et al. (2009) reported that body temperature decreased in dogs administered tramadol 2 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> IV and 240 241 acepromazine, although the vasodilatory effects of acepromazine are likely to be responsible for 242 this change. 243 Dexmedetomidine and medetomidine administered to sheep do not change  $f_{\rm R}$  (Kästner et al.

244 2001). PaCO<sub>2</sub> was not significantly changed after IV administration of 0.15 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and

 $245 \quad 0.2 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$  xylazine to sheep (Celly et al. 1997; Bacon et al. 1998), despite the occurrence of

apnea for 30 seconds followed by tachypnea for up to 60 minutes (Celly et al. 1997). Opioids

247 may cause respiratory depression by decreasing the ventilatory response to hypercapnia (Steffey

248	et al. 1993); however, hypercapnia did not occur after the administration of morphine or
249	methadone (0.5 mg kg <sup><math>-1</math></sup> ) IV to conscious dogs (Maiante et al. 2009). There is evidence that
250	tramadol has little effect on ventilation in some species when administered at doses lower than
251	those used in this study (Mastrocinque & Fantoni 2003). In the present study, $f_R$ decreased
252	significantly at 60–120 minutes in X and 45–120 minutes in XMO, and mild but statistically
253	significant increases in PaCO <sub>2</sub> were observed in the XM and XMO treatments for the duration of
254	monitoring. Sedation with treatment XM resulted initially in lateral recumbency and with XMO
255	in sternal recumbency, which may have limited lung expansion and resulted in decreased
256	ventilation.
257	Determination of pH can be used as an indicator of homeostasis (Sobiech et al. 2005). The pH
258	and BE values in all treatments showed a progressive increase with time. Elevations of pH,
259	bicarbonate (HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> ) and BE have been reported following epidural xylazine in sheep (Aminkov
260	& Hubenov 1995) and IV xylazine in goats (Mogoa et al. 2000), although none of these authors
261	suggested why these changes occurred. Ringer et al. (2013) analyzed the acid-base and
262	electrolyte effects of a continuous 3 hour infusion of romifidine or xylazine in horses and noted
263	increasing pH, $HCO_3^-$ and BE. The authors hypothesized that this change was attributable to
264	hypochloremia resulting from urinary loss. No significant electrolyte abnormalities were
265	identified in the present study and therefore the mechanism by which such changes occur in
266	sheep remains uncertain.
267	Hypoxemia [PaO <sub>2</sub> < 60 mmHg (7.9 kPa)] in sheep after administration of an $\alpha_2$ -agonist is
268	common, especially when the drug is administered IV (Kästner 2006). The proposed mechanism
269	of action is intense venous spasm mediated via adrenoreceptor agonism, intense pulmonary

congestion, increased microvascular pressure and alveolar capillary rupture, resulting in an 271 inflammatory response (Celly et al. 1997; Bacon et al. 1998; Kästner et al. 2007). As previously 272 discussed, opioids may cause respiratory depression (Steffey et al. 1993), leading to hypoxemia, 273 particularly when the animal is breathing atmospheric air. However, in dogs, the administration 274 of methadone or morphine at the same doses used in this study did not induce changes in  $PaO_2$ 275 (Maiante et al. 2009). Likewise, tramadol 2 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> did not cause alterations in PaO<sub>2</sub> in dogs 276 (Mastrocinque & Fantoni 2003). In this study, PaO<sub>2</sub> was decreased, particularly when 277 combinations of xylazine and an opioid were administered, and these changes were statistically 278 significant. This decrease may reflect the level of sedation as sheep administered a xylazine-279 opioid combination were more likely to become recumbent, which may have adverse effects on 280 ventilation perfusion matching. Mitchell & Williams (1976) measured arterial oxygen 281 concentrations in healthy, conscious sheep and found them to be significantly lower in laterally 282 recumbent than in standing animals. However, in the present study, at no point did the decrease 283 in PaO<sub>2</sub> translate to a clinically significant decrease in SaO<sub>2</sub>. It must be emphasized that oxygen 284 should be provided to sedated sheep if possible, particularly when the animal is at greater risk for 285 hypoxemia from lateral or dorsal positioning, is unfasted or has systemic disease. 286 In conclusion, opioids used in combination with xylazine in sheep potentiate the sedative effect 287 of xylazine in a similar way to that described in other species; methadone and morphine appear 288 to promote better sedation. As the number of animals included in this study was small, and the 289 doses of drugs were restricted, further work is necessary to ascertain the most suitable 290 combination for clinical use. The combinations depressed HR in a manner similar to that induced 291 by xylazine alone, but MAP was maintained. Although  $PaO_2$  was reduced in all treatments,  $SaO_2$ 292 values did not indicate the need for oxygen therapy.

293 Acknowledgements

- 294 The authors would like to thank Fundação de Amparo a Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo
- 295 (FAPESP: 2013/00831-0) for the provision of financial support, and Ana Márcia Zago and the
- 296 Design Department of the University of Franca for drafting the figure.
- 297

## 298 **References**

- Aminkov BY, Hubenov HD (1995) The effect of xylazine epidural anaesthesia on blood gas and
  acid-base parameters in rams. Br Vet J 151, 579–585.
- 301 Bacon PJ, Jones JG, Taylor P et al. (1998) Impairment of gas exchange due to alveolar oedema
- 302 during xylazine sedation in sheep; absence of a free radical mediated inflammatory mechanism.
- 303 Res Vet Sci 65, 71–75.
- 304 Cardoso CG, Marques DR, da Silva TH et al. (2014) Cardiorespiratory, sedative and
- 305 antinociceptive effects of dexmedetomidine alone or in combination with methadone, morphine
- 306 or tramadol in dogs. Vet Anaesth Analg 41, 636–643.
- 307 Celly CS, McDonell WN, Young SS et al. (1997) The comparative hypoxaemic effect of four  $\alpha_2$ -
- 308 adrenoceptor agonists (xylazine, romifidine, detomidine and medetomidine) in sheep. J Vet
- 309 Pharmacol Ther 20, 464–471.
- Edmondson MA, Duran SH, Boothe DM et al. (2012) Pharmacokinetics of tramadol and its
- 311 major metabolites in alpacas following intravenous and oral administration. J Vet Pharmacol
- 312 Ther 35, 389–396.
- 313 Garcia-Villar R, Toutain PL, Alvinerie M et al. (1981) The pharmacokinetics of xylazine
- 314 hydrochloride: an interspecific study. J Vet Pharmacol Ther 4, 87–92.
- 315 Grant C, Upton RN (2001) Cardiovascular and haemodynamic effects of intramuscular doses of
- 316 xylazine in conscious sheep. Aust Vet J 79, 58–60.

- 317 Guedes AGP, Natalini CC, Rude EP et al. (2005) Comparison of tramadol and morphine for pre-
- 318 medication of dogs undergoing general anaesthesia for orthopedic surgery. [Abstract.] Vet
- 319 Anaesth Analg 32, 236.
- 320 Hsu WH, Schaffer DD, Hanson CE (1987) Effects of tolazoline and yohimbine on xylazine-
- 321 induced central nervous system depression, bradycardia, and tachypnea in sheep. J Am Vet Med

322 Assoc 190, 423–426.

- 323 Hsu WH, Hanson CE, Hembrough FB et al. (1989) Effects of idazoxan, tolazoline, and
- 324 yohimbine on xylazine-induced respiratory changes and central nervous system depression in
- 325 ewes. Am J Vet Res 50, 1570–1573.
- 326 Kästner SBR (2006)  $\alpha_2$ -agonists in sheep: a review. Vet Anaesth Analg 33, 79–96.
- 327 Kästner SBR, Boller M, Kutter A et al. (2001) Clinical comparison of preanaesthetic
- 328 intramuscular medetomidine and dexmedetomidine in domestic sheep. Dtsch Tierärztl
- 329 Wochenschr 108, 409–413.
- 330 Kästner SBR, Wapf P, Feige K et al. (2003) Pharmacokinetics and sedative effects of
- intramuscular medetomidine in domestic sheep. J Vet Pharmacol Ther 26, 271–276.
- 332 Kästner SBR, Ohlerth S, Pospischil A et al. (2007) Dexmedetomidine-induced pulmonary
- alterations in sheep. Res Vet Sc 83, 217–226.
- 334 KuKanich B, Papich MG (2009) Opioid analgesic drugs. In: Veterinary Pharmacology and
- Therapeutics (9th edn). Riviere JE, Papich MG (eds). Wiley-Blackwell, USA. pp. 301–336.
- Leppänen MK, McKusick BC, Granholm MM et al. (2006) Clinical efficacy and safety of
- 337 dexmedetomidine and buprenorphine, butorphanol or diazepam for canine hip radiography. J
- 338 Small Anim Pract 47, 663–669.

- Levine HD, Dodman NH, Hustead D (1992) Evaluation of a xylazine–butorphanol combination
  for use during standing laparotomy in dairy cattle. Agri Pract 13, 19–23.
- Lin HC, Riddell MG (2003) Preliminary study of the effects of xylazine or detomidine with or
- 342 without butorphanol for standing sedation in dairy cattle. Vet Ther 4, 285–291.
- 343 Maiante AA, Teixeira Neto FJ, Beier SL et al. (2009) Comparison of the cardio-respiratory
- 344 effects of methadone and morphine in conscious dogs. J Vet Pharmacol Ther 32, 317–328.
- 345 Mastrocinque S, Fantoni DT (2003) A comparison of preoperative tramadol and morphine for
- the control of early postoperative pain in canine ovariohysterectomy. Vet Anaesth Analg 30,
- 347 220–228.
- 348 Mitchell B, Williams JT (1976) Respiratory function changes in sheep associated with lying in
- lateral recumbency and with sedation by xylazine. Vet Anaesth Analg 6, 30–36.
- 350 Mogoa EG, Stegmann GF, Guthrie AJ (2000) Effects of xylazine on acid-base balance and
- arterial blood-gas tensions in goats under different environmental temperature and humidity
- 352 conditions. J S Afr Vet Assoc 71, 229–231.
- 353 Monteiro ER, Figueroa CDN, Choma JC et al. (2008) Effects of methadone, alone or in
- 354 combination with acepromazine or xylazine, on sedation and physiologic values in dogs. Vet
- 355 Anaesth Analg 35, 519–527.
- 356 Monteiro ER, Junior AR, Assis HM et al. (2009) Comparative study on the sedative effects of
- 357 morphine, methadone, butorphanol or tramadol, in combination with acepromazine, in dogs. Vet
- 358 Anaesth Analg 36, 25–33.
- 359 Murrell JC, Hellebrekers LJ (2005) Medetomidine and dexmedetomidine: a review of
- 360 cardiovascular effects and antinociceptive properties in the dog. Vet Anaesth Analg 32, 117–127.

- 361 Olsén L, Olsson K, Hydbring-Sandberg E et al. (2013) Methadone in healthy goats -
- 362 pharmacokinetics, behaviour and blood pressure. Res Vet Sci 95, 231–237.
- 363 Priano LL, Vatner SF (1981) Morphine effects on cardiac output and regional blood flow
- distribution in conscious dogs. Anesthesiology 55, 236–243.
- 365 Pypendop BH, Verstegen JP (1998) Hemodynamic effects of medetomidine in the dog: a dose
- titration study. Vet Surg 27, 612–622.
- 367 Ringer SK, Schwarzwald CC, Portier K et al. (2013) Blood glucose, acid-base and electrolyte
- 368 changes during loading doses of  $\alpha_2$ -adrenergic agonists followed by constant rate infusions in
- 369 horses. Vet J 198, 684–689.
- 370 Seddighi MR, Egger CM, Rohrbach BW et al. (2009) Effects of tramadol on the minimum
- alveolar concentration of sevoflurane in dogs. Vet Anaesth Analg 36, 334–340.
- 372 Selmi AL, Mendes GM, Lins BT et al. (2003) Evaluation of the sedative and cardiorespiratory
- 373 effects of dexmedetomidine, dexmedetomidine–butorphanol, and dexmedetomidine–ketamine in
- 374 cats. J Am Vet Med Assoc 222, 37–41.
- Shokry M, Morad HM, Khalil IA (1976) Studies on the effect of Rompun in sheep. Vet Med Rev
  2, 237–243.
- 377 Sobiech O, Lew M, Lew S et al. (2005) The effect of propofol on acid-base balance and ionic
- 378 composition of venous and arterial blood in goats. Pol J Vet Sci 8, 295–300.
- 379 Stanley TH, Liu WS, Webster LR et al. (1980) Haemodynamic effects of intravenous methadone
- anaesthesia in dogs. Can Anaesth Soc J 27, 52–57.
- 381 Steffey EP, Eisele JH, Baggot JD et al. (1993) Influence of inhaled anesthetics on the
- 382 pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of morphine. Anesth Analg 77, 346–351.

- 383 Uggla A, Lindqvist A (1983) Acute pulmonary oedema as an adverse reaction to the use of
- 384 xylazine in sheep. Vet Rec 113, 42.
- 385 Virtanen R (1989) Pharmacological profiles of medetomidine and its antagonist, atipamezole.
- 386 Acta Vet Scand 85 (Suppl), 29–37.
- 387 Waterman AE, Livingston A, Amin A (1990) The antinociceptive activity and respiratory effects
- 388 of fentanyl in sheep. J Assoc Vet Anaesth 17, 20–23.
- 389 Waterman AE, Livingston A, Amin A (1991) Analgesic activity and respiratory effects of
- 390 butorphanol in sheep. Res Vet Sci 51, 19–23.

Score	Behavior
0	Standing, alert, normal behavior
1	Standing, alert, reduced head and ear movements
2	Standing, slight head drop
3	Standing, moderate head drop
4	Standing, severe head drop and ataxia
5	Standing, severe head drop and severe ataxia
6	Sternal recumbency, head up
7	Sternal recumbency, unable to support head
8	Lateral recumbency, occasional attempts to attain sternal recumbency
9	Lateral recumbency, uncoordinated head and leg movements
10	Lateral recumbency, no movements

Appendix 1 Numerical rating scale for assessment of sedation in sheep (Kästner et al. 2003)

394 Figure 1 Sedation scores in six sheep after intravenous administration of (a) xylazine  $(0.1 \text{ mg kg}^{-1})$  (X), (b) xylazine  $(0.1 \text{ mg kg}^{-1})$  and methadone  $(0.5 \text{ mg kg}^{-1})$  (XM), (c) xylazine 395  $(0.1 \text{ mg kg}^{-1})$  and morphine  $(0.5 \text{ mg kg}^{-1})$  (XMO) or (d) or xylazine  $(0.1 \text{ mg kg}^{-1})$  and tramadol 396 (5 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) (XT). Sedation scores of  $\geq$  6 indicate sternal recumbency and scores of  $\geq$  8 indicate 397 398 lateral recumbency. Lines indicate median scores, boxes indicate interquartile ranges and 399 whiskers indicate ranges. \*Significantly different from baseline within the same treatment (X 400 and XMO: p < 0.01; XM and XT: p < 0.001). †Significantly different from X and XT at the 401 same time point (p < 0.05). ‡Significantly different from X at the same time point (p < 0.05).

- 403 **Table 1** Adverse effects observed in six sheep over 120 minutes in four treatment conditions:
- 404 intravenous (IV) xylazine (0.1 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) (treatment X); IV xylazine (0.1 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and methadone
- 405 (0.5 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) (treatment XM); IV xylazine (0.1 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and morphine (0.5 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) (treatment
- 406 XMO), and IV xylazine (0.1 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and tramadol (5 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) (treatment XT)
- 407 NB, normal behavior.

Treatment	Time points (minutes)							
	T15	T30	T45	T60	T75	<b>T90</b>	<b>T</b> 1	
X	Drooling	Drooling	Drooling	Drooling	Drooling	Drooling	NI	
				Urination	Urination	Urination		
XM	Drooling	Drooling	Drooling	Drooling	Drooling	Vocalization	NI	
	Bruxism	Bruxism	Bruxism	Bruxism	Vocalization			
	Mydriasis	Mydriasis		Vocalization				
	Nystagmus	Generalized						
	Facial	tremors						
	tremors							
ХМО	Drooling	Drooling	Vocalization	Bruxism	Vocalization	Vocalization	Vo	
	Bruxism	Bruxism	Bruxism	Mydriasis	Drooling	Bruxism		
	Facial		Mydriasis	Vocalization				
	tremors		Drooling	Drooling				
XT	Bruxism	Drooling	Drooling	Drooling	Vocalization	NB	NI	
	Vocalization	Bruxism	Urination	Urination				
	Drooling	Increased		Vocalization				
	Facial	response to						
	tremors	touch						
1								

409 **Table 2** Mean ± standard deviation heart rate (HR), mean arterial pressure (MAP), rectal

- 410 temperature (RT °C) and respiratory rate ( $f_R$ ) in sheep before (T0) and after intravenous
- 411 administration of xylazine (X), xylazine and methadone (XM), xylazine and morphine (XMO) or
- 412 xylazine and tramadol (XT)

	Treatment	Time points (minutes)							
		TO	T15	<b>T30</b>	T45	T60	T75	<b>T90</b>	T105
	Х	$101 \pm 14$	85 ± 11*	$73 \pm 14*$	71 ± 17*	$70 \pm 16*$	$74 \pm 14*$	75 ± 16*	77 ± 9*
$e^{-1}$ )	XM	$124 \pm 14$	$70 \pm 11^*$	77 ± 14*	$78 \pm 15^*$	$86 \pm 16^*$	83 ± 14*	$88 \pm 9^*$	$92 \pm 9*$
	XMO	$112 \pm 12$	$77 \pm 15^*$	$78 \pm 13*$	$73\pm7*$	$71 \pm 9*$	$72\pm7*$	$79 \pm 9*$	90 ± 14*
	XT	$105 \pm 13$	$70 \pm 9*$	$71 \pm 9*$	$69 \pm 12*$	$71 \pm 12*$	$77 \pm 15*$	$76 \pm 16^*$	$84\pm19$
	Х	$110 \pm 11$	99 ± 11	$99\pm7$	$97 \pm 14*$	$98\pm8$	$103 \pm 9$	$95 \pm 12*$	$99\pm10$
	XM	$103 \pm 10$	$93\pm9$	$92\pm 8$	$94\pm14$	$99\pm12$	$97\pm9$	$99\pm7$	$99\pm12$
	XMO	$111 \pm 14$	$89 \pm 11^{*}$	$99\pm 8$	$94 \pm 12*$	97 ± 13*	$93 \pm 12*$	$104 \pm 6$	$104 \pm 10$
	XT	$109\pm 8$	$107 \pm 9$ †	$106 \pm 6$	$110 \pm 15$	$114\pm12$	$115 \pm 12$ †	$106\pm17$	$111 \pm 13$
	Х	$39.1\pm0.5$	$39.2\pm0.4$	$39.0\pm0.4$	$38.9\pm0.5$	$38.8\pm0.6$	$38.9\pm0.5$	$38.9\pm0.6$	$39.1\pm0.7$
	XM	$39.3\pm0.4$	$39.1\pm0.5$	$38.9\pm0.6$	$38.9\pm0.7$	$39.0\pm0.8$	$39.2\pm0.8$	$39.3\pm0.7$	$39.5\pm0.7$
	XMO	$39.7\pm0.6$	$39.6\pm0.7$	$39.4\pm0.6$	$39.2\pm0.6$	$39.2\pm0.5$	$39.3\pm0.4$	$39.4\pm0.4$	$39.4\pm0.4$
	XT	$39.3\pm0.3$	$39.4\pm0.8$	$39.1\pm0.6$	$39.0\pm0.6$	$39.0\pm0.7$	$38.8\pm0.7$	$38.9 \pm 1.0$	$39.2\pm0.8$
	Х	$28\pm7$	$27 \pm 11$	$25\pm10$	$24 \pm 11$	$21\pm6*$	$20\pm5*$	$21 \pm 6^*$	$19\pm7*$
$ute^{-1}$ )	XM	$23\pm10$	$21\pm5$	$18\pm4$	$23\pm7$	$19\pm5$	$18 \pm 4$	$19 \pm 4$	$19\pm2$
	XMO	$28\pm 6$	$24\pm5$	$24\pm 8$	$20 \pm 4*$	$21 \pm 2*$	$19 \pm 4*$	$21 \pm 3*$	$22\pm 8$
	XT	$26 \pm 4$	$29\pm9$	$25 \pm 12$	$21\pm7$	$21\pm 8$	$24\pm10$	$20\pm7$	$20\pm4$

- 413 \*Significantly different from T0 within the same treatment (p < 0.05). †Significantly different
- 414 from other treatments at the same time point (p < 0.05).

416 **Table 3** Mean ± standard deviation arterial pH (pH), arterial partial pressure of carbon dioxide

417 (PaCO<sub>2</sub>), arterial partial pressure of oxygen (PaO<sub>2</sub>), bicarbonate (HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) and base excess (BE)

- 418 in sheep sedated with xylazine (X), xylazine and methadone (XM), xylazine and morphine
- 419 (XMO) or xylazine and tramadol (XT)

atment Time points (minutes)

	TO	T15	T30	T45	T60	T75	<b>T90</b>	T105
	$7.46\pm0.02$	$7.50 \pm 0.02*$	$7.52 \pm 0.01*$	$7.53 \pm 0.02*$ †	$7.52 \pm 0.04*$ †	$7.54 \pm 0.03 * \ddagger$	$7.52 \pm 0.02*$ †	$7.53 \pm 0.03$
	$7.45\pm0.06$	$7.48 \pm 0.03*$	$7.50 \pm 0.03*$	$7.51 \pm 0.02*$ †	$7.52 \pm 0.02 * \ddagger$	$7.53 \pm 0.03 * \ddagger$	$7.52 \pm 0.04 * \ddagger$	$7.53 \pm 0.03$
C	$7.41\pm0.08$	$7.44\pm0.06$	$7.46\pm0.04$	$7.49\pm0.03*$	$7.50\pm0.03*$	$7.52\pm0.02*$	$7.51\pm0.02*$	$7.51 \pm 0.02$
	$7.47\pm0.07$	$7.48 \pm 0.06$	$7.50\pm0.04$	$7.51\pm0.03$	$7.51\pm0.04$	$7.52\pm0.03$	$7.51\pm0.04$	$7.51 \pm 0.03$
	$32 \pm 3$	33 ± 3	$34 \pm 2$	$35 \pm 3$	33 ± 3	$32\pm2$	$34 \pm 3$	$33 \pm 3$
	$29\pm3$	$35 \pm 1*$	$36 \pm 3*$	$37 \pm 1*$	$36 \pm 3^{*}$	$34 \pm 2^*$	$34 \pm 1^*$	$34 \pm 3^*$
C	$28 \pm 3$	$32 \pm 2*$	33 ± 3*	33 ± 2*	33 ± 3*	$32 \pm 3^{*}$	$34 \pm 3^{*}$	$33 \pm 2*$
	$31 \pm 3$	$34 \pm 4$	$34 \pm 4$	$34 \pm 3$	$35 \pm 3$	$34\pm2$	$35 \pm 4$	$32 \pm 5$
	$4.2\pm0.4$	$4.3\pm0.4$	$4.5\pm0.2$	$4.6\pm0.4$	$4.3\pm0.4$	$4.2\pm0.2$	$4.5\pm0.4$	$4.3 \pm 0.4$
	$3.8 \pm 0.4$	$4.6 \pm 0.1*$	$4.7 \pm 0.4*$	$4.9\pm4.9^*$	$4.7 \pm 0.4*$	$4.5\pm0.2^*$	$4.5 \pm 0.1*$	$4.5 \pm 0.4*$
C	$3.7 \pm 0.4$	$4.2\pm0.2*$	$4.3 \pm 0.4*$	$4.3\pm0.2^{*}$	$4.3\pm0.4*$	$4.2\pm0.4*$	$4.5\pm0.4*$	$4.3 \pm 0.2*$
	$4.1 \pm 0.4$	$4.5\pm0.5$	$4.5\pm0.5$	$4.5\pm0.4$	$4.6\pm0.4$	$4.5\pm0.2$	$4.6\pm0.5$	$4.3\pm0.6$
	$80 \pm 3$	$71 \pm 10$	$73\pm 6$	$75\pm5$	$77 \pm 10$	$75\pm9$	$77 \pm 3$	$73 \pm 3$
	$81 \pm 4$	$65 \pm 8^{*}$ †	$65 \pm 8^{*}$ †	$65 \pm 8^{*}$ †	$69 \pm 6^*$	$71 \pm 7^*$	$76\pm 6$	$75\pm5$
C	$84 \pm 3$	$63 \pm 6^{*}$ †	$70 \pm 5*$	73 ± 7*	67 ± 5*	73 ± 3*	73 ± 5*	$75\pm8^{*}$
	81 ± 1	64 ± 10*	$64 \pm 6^{*}$ †	$74 \pm 5$	$75 \pm 4$	$76 \pm 5$	$74 \pm 5$	$73\pm 6$
	$10.6 \pm 0.4$	9.4 ± 1.3	$9.7\pm0.7$	$9.9\pm0.6$	$10.2 \pm 1.3$	$9.9 \pm 1.1$	$10.2 \pm 0.3$	$9.7\pm0.3$

	$10.7\pm0.5$	$8.6\pm1.0^*\ddagger$	$8.6\pm1.0^*\ddagger$	$8.6\pm1.0^*\ddagger$	$9.1\pm0.7*$	$9.4\pm0.9*$	$10.1\pm0.7$	$9.9\pm0.6$
C	$11.1\pm0.4$	$8.3\pm0.7^*\ddagger$	$9.3\pm0.6^{\ast}$	$9.7\pm0.9*$	$8.9\pm0.6^{\ast}$	$9.7\pm0.3^{\ast}$	$9.7\pm0.6^{\ast}$	9.9 ± 1.0*
	$10.6\pm0.1$	$8.5\pm1.3^*$	$8.5\pm0.7^*\ddagger$	$9.8\pm0.6^{\ast}$	$9.9\pm0.5$	$10.1\pm0.6$	$9.8\pm0.6$	$9.7\pm0.7$
	$21 \pm 2$	25 ± 2*	27 ± 3*	$28 \pm 2*$	$28 \pm 4*$	$27 \pm 3*$	$27 \pm 3*$	$27 \pm 3*$
	$20\pm3$	$26 \pm 2^{*}$	27 ± 2*	$29 \pm 1*$	$29 \pm 2^{*}$	$28 \pm 2*$	$27 \pm 2*$	27 ± 2*
C	$23 \pm 2$	$22 \pm 4$	$23 \pm 4$	$25 \pm 3$	25 ± 2	$26 \pm 2$	$26 \pm 3*$	$26 \pm 1$
	$22 \pm 5$	$25 \pm 4*$	$26 \pm 4*$	27 ± 3*	27 ± 4*	$27 \pm 3*$	$27 \pm 4*$	$25 \pm 4$
	$-2 \pm 2$	$2 \pm 1*$	$4 \pm 2^*$	$5\pm2^*$	$5\pm4*$	$5\pm3^*$	$4 \pm 2^*$	$4 \pm 2^*$
	$-3\pm4$	$2\pm 2*$	$4\pm2^*$	$6 \pm 1^*$	$6\pm2*$	$5\pm2*$	$4 \pm 3^*$	$4\pm2^*$
C	$-5\pm4$	$-2\pm5$	$0 \pm 4^*$	$2\pm3*$	$2 \pm 3^{*}$	$3\pm 2*$	$3\pm2*$	$3\pm1^*$
	$-1\pm 6$	$2\pm4$	$4 \pm 4^*$	$4 \pm 3^*$	5 ± 3*	$4 \pm 3^*$	$4 \pm 4^*$	3 ± 3*

420 \*Statistically different from T0 within the same treatment (p < 0.05). †Statistically different from

421 all other treatments at the same time point (p < 0.05).