Facile immobilization of a Lewis acid polyoxometalate onto layered double hydroxides for highly efficient *N*-oxidation of pyridine based derivatives and denitrogenation

Kai Liu,^a Zhixiao Yao,^a Haralampos N. Miras^{b*} and Yu-Fei Song^{a*}

Abstract: N-Oxides are a class of highly important compounds which are widely used as synthetic intermediates. In this paper, we demonstrate for the first time the use of a polyoxometalate (POM) based composite material, as highly efficient heterogeneous catalyst for the N-oxidation of pyridines and its derivatives in the presence of H₂O₂ at room temperature. The composite was prepared by [La(PW₁₁O₃₉)₂]¹¹⁻ intercalation of the anion into а tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane (Tris) modified layered double hydroxides (LDHs). Additionally, the Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂-based catalyst has been employed for the denitrogenation of a model oil mixture in the presence of [bmim]BF4 and H2O2. Deep denitrogenation can be achieved in 40 minutes at 75 °C. Finally, the heterogeneous catalyst can be easily recovered and reused at least ten times without measurable decrease of the catalytic activity and disintegration of the Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂ structure.

heterogeneous catalysts including V_xSi_{4x}O_{6.4x},^[15] GrO-MTO,^[16] Ru(PVP)/c-Al₂O₃^[17] and Ti-MWW^[18] were applied to the N-oxidation of pyridines. The drawbacks of these reported heterogeneous catalytic systems are the high reaction temperature and the poisonous organic solvents that are usually required for N-heterocycles that are resistant to oxidation due to their substantially higher oxidation potentials. Recently, it was shown that polyoxometalates [(R₄N)₈][BW₁₁O₃₉H]^[19] (POMs) such as and [(C₁₈H₃₇)₂(CH₃)₂N]₇[PW₁₁O₃₉]^[20] are able to catalyse Noxidation reactions. However, these systems exhibit issues with catalyst leaching and thermal stability. Consequently, there is great potential and of fundamental importance the development of efficient, recyclable and environmentally benign N-oxidation catalytic systems.

Introduction

In recent years, heterocyclic N-oxides have received much attention due to their wide use as versatile synthetic intermediates, their biological importance, their use as protecting groups, auxiliary agents, oxidants, catalysts, surrogates for heterocyclic boronic acids and ligands in metal complexes.^[1-4] It is known that the conventional preparation of pyridine N-oxides employs a non-catalytic oxidation process using dioxiranes,^[5] activated H₂O₂,^[6] H₂SO₅ (Caro's acid)^[7] and magnesium monophthalate^[8] or peracids^[9,10] as oxidants. However, these reagents not only are highly corrosive, but also generate large amounts of wastes during the reaction process which require frequent and laborious work-up treatments. In an effort to develp alternative synthetic routes and minimize the waste production of the Noxidation process, research groups promoted the Noxidation of pyridines using homogeneous catalysts including AcOH/H₂O₂,^[11] MeReO₃/H₂O₂,^[12] trifluoroacetic anhydride (TFAA)/H₂O₂^[13] and Tf₂O/Na₂CO₃·1.5H₂O₂.^[14] Unfortunately, these homogeneous catalysts are not recyclable, which largely restrict their application in practice. In order to overcome such problems, a number of

[a] K. Liu, Z. X. Yao and Prof. Y.-F. Song State Key Laboratory of Chemical Resource Engineering Beijing University of Chemical Technology Beijing 100029 (P. R. China) Tel/Fax: (+86)10-64431832 E-mail: songyf@mail.buct.edu.cn
[b] Dr. H. N. Miras WestCHEM, School of Chemistry,

University of Glasgow, Glasgow, G12 8QQ, UK. Tel: 0044 (0) 141 330 4375 E-mail: <u>harism@chem.gla.ac.uk</u>

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi,org/10.xxxxxxxxx



Scheme 1. Representation of the synthetic process for the intercalation of $[La(PW_{11}O_{39})_2]^{11-}$ into Tris-stabilized LDHs.

In this paper, the catalytic efficacy of a lanthanum(III)containing sandwich POM, K₁₁[La(PW₁₁O₃₉)₂]·15H₂O (denoted as K-La(PW₁₁)₂), has been evaluated for the Noxidation of pyridine based substrates. The initial tests showed that the catalytic reaction proceeds efficiently under mild conditions (room temperature) in the presence of H_2O_2 as oxidant. However, considering the cost and environmental impact associated with large scale applications, it is of fundamental importance to design and prepare a recyclable and reusable heterogeneous catalyst. It has been demonstrated that layered double hydroxides (LDHs) are excellent candidates for the immobilization of anionic POMs,^[21-23] where the LDHs not only function as support, but also provide multiple interactions between the host layers and the guest POM anions. The intercalation of POMs into LDHs prevents the POM species form leaching into the reaction mixture whilst increases the selectivity of the catalytic reaction. Based on the above observations, we prepared a composite material based on the catalytically active [La(PW₁₁O₃₉)₂]¹¹⁻ species by intercalating it into the Tris-modified LDHs (Tris = tris(hydroxyl)aminomethane).^{[24,} ^{25]} The heterogeneous catalyst of Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂ shows excellent catalytic activity on *N*-oxidations and denitrogenation reactions under mild conditions.

Results and Discussion

Ion exchange of K-La(PW₁₁)₂ with Tris-LDH-CO₃ under ambient conditions without necessity of degassing CO₂ results in the formation of new intercalated assembly of Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂ (Scheme 1). As shown in Figure 1, the XRD patterns of Tris-LDH-CO₃ show the characteristic (003), (006), (012), (110) and (113) at $2\theta = 11.6^{\circ}$, 23.6°, 34.9°, 61.1°, and 62.3°, respectively. After ion exchange, the characteristic (003), (006), (012) of Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂ shift to 8.9°, 19.5°, 29.4°, indicating that the [La(PW₁₁O₃₉)₂]¹¹ ions have been successfully intercalated into the interlayer of Tris-modified LDH.

FT-IR spectra of K₁₁-La(PW₁₁)₂, Tris-LDH-CO₃ and Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂ are presented in Figure 1B. For Tris-LDH-CO₃, the peaks at 1086 cm⁻¹ and 1134 cm⁻¹ can be ascribed to the characteristic stretching vibration of M-O-C and C-C-O, respectively. For Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂, the asymmetric and symmetric vibration peaks at 1080 cm⁻¹ and 940 cm⁻¹ are assigned to the W-O stretching, while such vibration peaks appear at 1093 cm⁻¹ and 950 cm⁻¹ for K_{11} -La(PW₁₁)₂. The red shift indicates the presence of strong electrostatic interactions and hydrogen bonding between the host layers and the guest POM anions.^[26] The peak at 1051cm⁻¹ can be assigned to the stretching vibration of P-O bond, which appears at 1049 cm⁻¹ in that of K_{11} -La(PW₁₁)₂. The other characteristic peaks at 882 cm⁻¹, 835 cm⁻¹, 768 cm⁻¹ of K₁₁- $La(PW_{11})_2$ can be due to the stretching vibration of W-O-W, which display at 889cm⁻¹, 826cm⁻¹, 771cm⁻¹ in the spectrum of Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂, respectively. Additionally, the peak at 451 cm⁻¹ in both spectra of Tris-LDH-CO₃ and Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂ is assigned to O-M-O vibration in the brucite-like layers of LDHs.^[27] Meanwhile, the peak at 1359 cm⁻¹ of Tris-LDH-CO₃ due to the vibration of CO₃²⁻ disappear in the spectrum of Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂, suggesting the successful ion exchange of CO₃²⁻ by POM anions.

The ¹³C CP/MAS NMR spectrum of Tris-LDH-CO₃ (Figure 2A) exhibits a strong signal at 171 ppm, which can be ascribed to the interlayer CO₃^{2–} anions,^[24] which is absent in the case of Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂, due to the complete ion exchange of the CO₃^{2–} anions by the [La(PW₁₁O₃₉)₂]¹¹⁻ anions during the intercalation process. Furthermore, one set of signals centered at δ = -5 ppm in the ³¹P NMR spectrum of Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂ implies that the [La(PW₁₁O₃₉)₂]¹¹⁻ anions have been successfully incorporated in the Trismodified LDHs (Figure 2B).



Figure 1 (A) The XRD patterns of the Tris-LDH-CO₃ and Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂; (B) FT-IR spectra of (a) Tris-LDH-CO₃, (b) Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂ and (c) K_{11} -La(PW₁₁)₂.



Figure 2 (A) ^{13}C CP/MAS NMR spectra of Tris-LDH-CO₃ and Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂; (B) ^{31}P CP/MAS NMR spectra of Tris-LDH-CO₃ and Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂.

XPS analysis of the Tris-LDH-La(PW_{11})₂ is shown in Figure 3A. The W4f spectrum can be deconvoluted into doublets, which consist of W4f_{7/2} at 35.6 eV and W4f_{5/2} at 37.7 eV, respectively. The doublets are ascribed to the W in

the W-O bond configuration and typically observed for W⁶⁺.^[28] This result is in accordance with the oxidation state of W element of K-La(PW₁₁)₂. TG-DTA analysis has been performed for the Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂. As shown in Figure 3B, two weight-loss stages can be observed with a temperature increase from 32 to 800 °C. The first weight loss is 8.29% between 40 and 244 °C, which can be ascribed to the removal of water molecules absorbed in the surface and interlayer space of Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂. The second weight loss step of 9.95% between 244-800 °C corresponds to the decomposition of Tris and the collapse of the layered structure. Based on the TG-DTA and elemental analysis results (Mg = 7.152%, AI = 3.969%, W = 54.41% and N = 0.588%), the elemental composition of the composite material can be identified as: Mg_{0.66}Al_{0.33}(C₄H₈NO₃)_{0.093}(OH)_{1.72}La_{0.015}(PW₁₁O₃₉)_{0.03}·1.03H₂ O (Table S1).



Figure 3 (A) XPS spectra for the W4f core level spectrum of the Tris-LDH- $La(PW_{11})_{2}$; (B) TG-DTA of Tris-LDH- $La(PW_{11})_{2}$.

TEM images of the Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂ (Figure 4) revealed that the material consists of 50~70 nm layered areas. The dark dots and blocks observed in the samples of Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂ can be identified as the intercalated POM species. The acquired EDX data (Figure 4C) obtained from the black dots' regions observed in the TEM revealed the presence of La, P and W, consistent with the POM's composition of the molecular catalyst Tris-LDH-K₁₁[La(PW₁₁O₃₉)₂]. In addition, the size of the black spots falls in the range of 1-2 nm, which is in good agreement with the size of the POM clusters. Based on the above data, we have concluded that the black spots observed in TEM images are the POM anions. BET measurement has been

carried out on the Tris-LDH-CO₃ and Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂, in order to obtain more detailed information on the structural features of the as-prepared materials. The specific surface area, pore volume, and average pore diameter (estimated from N₂ adsorption–desorption isotherms) are presented in Table S2. Tris-LDH-CO₃ and Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂ display H4 type hysteresis loops (Figure S1), indicating that the pores are produced by the aggregation of slit-shaped microsized pores,^[29] which are similar to the conventional Mg₃Al-POMs, but significantly different from the conventional Mg₃Al–CO₃ which exhibits H3 type hysteresis loops.^[30]



Figure 4 (A), (B) TEM images of Tris-LDH-La(PW_{11})₂; (C) EDX of Tris-LDH-La(PW_{11})₂.

N-oxidation of pyridine and its derivatives:



Scheme 2 The model reaction of *N*-oxidation of 4-picoline.

In order to evaluate the catalytic performance of Tris-LDH-La(PW_{11})₂, we selected the oxidation of 4-picoline as a model reaction using 30 wt% H₂O₂ as oxidant (Scheme 2). As shown in Table 1, the reaction proceeds efficiently in water in the presence of catalytic amount of Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂ at room temperature and the corresponding 4picoline-N-oxide can be obtained in high yields of ~95% POM (entry 6). In contrast, the Keggin of Na₃[PW₁₂O₄₀]·15H₂O (Na-PW₁₂), the Dawson POM of K₆[P₂W₁₈O₆₂]·19H₂O (K-P₂W₁₈) and mono-lacunary Keggin P

OM of $K_7[PW_{11}O_{39}]$ -13H₂O (K-PW₁₁) provide yields of 72, 76 and 44%, respectively (entries 1-3). When La₂O₃ and LaCl₃ are used as catalysts, the reaction progress is sluggish (entries 4-5). It is noted that when K-La(PW₁₁)₂ is applied to the reaction, 97% yields can be obtained, which is higher than 95% yields of Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂. This result is due to the fact that K-La(PW₁₁)₂ is a highly efficient homogeneous catalyst while Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂ is a heterogeneous catalyst where diffusion phenomena have considerable contribution. When Tris-LDH-CO₃ is used as catalyst on its own, a very low yield of 6% is obtained. Moreover, only H₂O₂ without addition of catalyst results in only 12% oxidation of 4picoline in water.

Table 1 Oxidation of 4-picoline in water by different catalysts.[a]

Entry	Catalyst	Oxidant	Yield ^[b] (%)	TON ^[c]
1	Na-PW ₁₂	H_2O_2	72	180
2	K-P ₂ W ₁₈	H_2O_2	76	190
3	K-PW ₁₁	H_2O_2	44	110
4	La_2O_3	H_2O_2	31	78
5	LaCl₃	H_2O_2	17	43
6	K-La(PW ₁₁) ₂	H_2O_2	97	243
7	Tris-LDH-CO ₃	H_2O_2	6	15
8	Tris-LDH- La(PW11)2	H_2O_2	94	235
9	No	H_2O_2	12	-

[a] Reaction conditions: 1 mmol 4-picoline, 5 mmol (30 wt%) H₂O₂, 4 µmol catalyst, 1 mL H₂O, room temperature, 8 h. Assignments of products were analysed using ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR. [b] Isolated yields. [c] TON = molar of product/molar of catalyst used.

Table 2 Oxidation of 4-picoline^[a] with H₂O₂ mediated in different solvents.^[b]

Entry	Solvent	Yield ^[c] (%)	TON ^[d]
1	Methanol	12	30
2	Ethanol	15	38
3	Acetone	4	10
4	Acetonitrile	8	20
5	Ethyl acetate	52	130
6	Toluene	81	203
7	Trichloromethane	42	105
8	Water	94	235

[a] 4-picoline is readily soluble in all solvent systems. [b] Reaction conditions: 1 mmol 4-picoline, 5 mmol (30 wt%) H_2O_2 , 4 µmol catalyst of Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂, 1 mL solvent, room temperature, 8 h. Assignments of products were analysed using ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR. [c] Isolated yields. [d] TON = molar of product/molar of catalyst used.

Investigation of the influence of the solvents on the oxidation reaction (Table 2) shows that the oxidation reaction gives relatively low yields of 12%, 15%, 4%, 8% in methanol, ethanol, acetone, acetonitrile, respectively. Meanwhile, the oxidation reaction gives relatively higher yields of 52%, 81%, 42%, 94% in ethyl acetate, toluene, trichloromethane and water. The different yields could be attributed to the different interactions between 4-picoline and the solvents and the different solubility of 4-picoline in various solvents. Thus, the optimized solvent for the model reaction is water.

In an effort to test the general applicability of the composite's catalytic efficacy on this type of reaction, a series of pyridine derivatives are subjected to the optimized

reaction conditions. As shown in Table 3, most of the substrates can be successfully oxidized to the corresponding N-oxides in high yields at room temperature. The obtained data demonstrate that the pyridine could be oxidized to pyridine-N-oxide within only 4 h at 99% yield. As for the mono- or di-methyl substituted pyridine substrates such as 2-picoline, 3-picoline, 4-picoline and 2,3-lutidine, 2,6-lutidine, 96%, 95%, 94% and 93%, 92% yield can be obtained within 6-8 h respectively, (entries 2-6). For the electron deficient substrate of 2-chloropyridine, the N-oxidation process exhibits a relatively low yield of 24% (entry 7). Furthermore, the quinoline can be successfully oxidized to the corresponding quinoline-N-oxide with a yield of 91% in 4h at 65°C (entry 8). Isoquinoline and 8-hydroxyquinoline can also be efficiently oxidized to corresponding products at slightly elevated temperatures (65 °C).

Table 3 Oxidation of pyridines with H2O2 by Tris-LDH-La(PW11)2 in water.^[a]

Entry	Substrat e	Product	<i>t</i> (h)	Yield ^[b] (%)	TON ^[c]
1		№ *-0 ⁻	4	99	248
2		N*-O'	6	96	240
3		N*-o-	6	95	238
4	~~~~~	N*_o.	8	94	235
5		o.	8	93	233
6	N	N [*]	8	92	230
7	N CI	N ⁺ CI O-	16	24	60
8 ^c		O'	4	91	228
9 ^c		N ⁺ o ⁻	4	92	230
10°	OH N	OH O	4	98	245

[a] Reaction conditions: 1 mmol substrate, 5 mmol (30 wt%) H₂O₂, 4 µmol catalyst of Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂, 1 mL H₂O, room temperature. Assignments of products were analysed using ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR. [b] Isolated yields. [c] TON = molar of product/molar of catalyst used.

Denitrogenation by Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂:

Petrochemical streams contain, amongst to a series of mono/and polyaromatic compounds, N/S-containing heterocyclic species. Considering the environmental impact

of these components and the induced problems in numerous refinery processes, the industries employ various processes (denitrogenation and desulphurization) for their removal. Thus, we made an effort to investigate our heterogeneous catalyst's efficacy under experimental conditions used in refinery processes. More specifically, we investigated the efficacy of the Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂ heterogeneous catalyst in the denitrogenation processes. Initially, we studied the oxidation of quinoline in model oil at different temperatures (Table 4) in order to identify the effect of the temperature on the denitrogenation process. It is observed that 53%, 59%, 66% and 78% nitrogen removal can be achieved at 25, 35, 45 and 55 °C, respectively, in 90 min. A further temperature increase to 65 or 75 °C leads to almost 100% denitrogenation of quinoline in 55 and 40 min, respectively. Therefore, we use the temperature of 75 °C for the subsequent experiments.

Table 4 Effect of temperature on nitrogen removal by the Tris-LDH-La(PW_{11})_2/[bmim]BF_4 system at 75 $^\circ C.^{[a]}$

Entry	T (°C)	<i>t</i> (min)	N removal (%)
1	25	90	53
2	35	90	59
3	45	90	66
4	55	90	78
5	65	55	100
6	75	40	100

[a] Reaction conditions: 122 μ L H₂O₂, 17 mL model oil (N content 100 ppm), 1 mL [bmim]BF₄, H₂O₂/quinjoline/Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂ = 300:30:1.



Figure 5 Effect of H_2O_2 /quinoline molar ratio on nitrogen removal of quinoline. Reaction conditions: T = 75 °C, 17 mL model oil (N content 100 ppm), 1 mL [bmim]BF₄, quinoline/Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂ = 30:1.

The optimum amount of H_2O_2 for the the N-oxidation of the removed N-containing compounds has been identified in a series of control experiments by applying different H_2O_2 /quinoline molar ratios under the experimental conditions of T = 75 °C, N = 100 ppm and quinoline/TrisLa(PW₁₁)₂ = 30:1. Upon increasing the H₂O₂/quinoline molar ratio from 3:1 to 5:1 (Figure 5), the nitrogen removal increases from 46% to 85% in 90 min. When the molar ratio is increased to 8:1, 100% nitrogen removal can be achieved in 65 min. A further increase of the H₂O₂/quinoline ratio to 10:1 or 15:1 leads to deep denitrogenation in only 40 or 30 min, respectively. Therefore, the selected H₂O₂/quinoline ratio for our subsequent experiments is the optimized value of 10:1.

 $\label{eq:table_$

Entry	H ₂ O ₂ /Quinoline/Cat	H ₂ O ₂ (μL)	<i>t</i> (min)	N removal (%)
1	600:60:1	122	90	100
2	600:60:2=300:30:1	122	40	100
3	600:60:4=150:15:1	122	50	100
4	600:60:8=150:15:2	122	40	96
5	600:60:8=150:15:2	122	60	100
6	900:60:1	183	70	100
7	900:60:2=450:30:1	183	30	100
8	900:60:4=450:30:2	183	30	100
9	900:60:8= 450:30:4	183	30	100

[a] Reaction conditions: T = 75 °C, 17 mL model oil (N = 100 ppm), 1 mL [bmim]BF₄,

Further investigation of the quinoline/Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂ molar ratios on the nitrogen removal process has been carried out at 75 °C. As shown in Table 5, the time for deep denitrogenation increases from 40 to 90 min when the quinoline/Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂ molar ratio decreases from 60:2 to 60:1 in the presence of H₂O₂ (entry 1). It can be found that when quinoline/Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂ molar ratio reaches to 60:4 and 60:8, nitrogen removal reaches 100% in 50 min and 60 min, respectively. Furthermore, slight increase of the amount of H₂O₂ to 0.183 mL leads to almost 100% denitrogenation removal in only 30 min at 75 °C with the H₂O₂/quinoline/Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂ molar ratio of 900:60:8 (entry 9).



Figure 6 Nitrogen removal of quinoline and $ln(C_t/C_0)$ as a function of the reaction time at 75 °C. $H_2O_2 = 0.122$ mL, 17 mL model oil (N = 100 ppm), [bmim]BF₄ = 1 mL, H_2O_2 /quinoline/Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂ = 300:30:1.

The nitrogen removal percentage and the $\ln(C_t/C_0)$ are plotted against the reaction time in Figure 6, where C_0 and C_t are the initial quinoline concentration and quinoline concentration at time *t*, respectively. The linear fit of the data reveals that the catalytic reaction exhibits pseudo-first-order kinetics for the denitrogenation of quinoline ($R^2 = 0.9959$). The rate constant *k* of the oxidation reaction was determined to be 0.0985 min⁻¹ on the basis of Equations (1) and (2). Oxidation of quinoline to quinoline-*N*-oxide can be completed in 40 min. Thus, the catalyst exhibits high efficiency for oxidation of quinoline to quinoline-*N*-oxide, and the catalytic reaction obeys pseudo-first-order kinetics with 100% selectivity for quinoline-*N*-oxide.



Figure 7 Nitrogen removal of a) quinoline (10 ppm, 17mL), b) quinoline (100 ppm, 500mL), c) pyridine (100 ppm, 17mL) and d) indole (100 ppm, 17mL). Reaction conditions: T = 75 °C, a) 17 mL model oil = 17 mL (N = 10 ppm), H₂O₂/quinoline/Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂ = 300:3:1; b) 500 mL model oil (N = 100

ppm), H₂O₂/quinoline/Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂ = 300:30:1; c) 17 mL model oil (N = 100 ppm), H₂O₂/pyridine/Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂ = 300:30:1; d) 17 mL model oil (N = 100 ppm), H₂O₂/indole/Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂ = 300:30:1.

As shown in Figure 7, with the N content as low as 10 ppm, 100 % nitrogen removal can be achieved in only 8 min under mild conditions. With an increase in the volume of model oil to 500 mL, deep denitrogenation can be completed in 40 min. The result shows that high efficiency denitrogenation for different substrates including pyridine, quinoline and indole can be achieved in the presence of the Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂ /[bmim]BF₄ system. The time required for 100% nitrogen removal of pyridine, quinoline and indole is 25, 40 and 35 min, respectively. Thus, the denitrogenation activity decreases in the order of pyridine > indole > quinoline, in agreement with the electron density on the nitrogen atoms of the aromatic ring and steric hindrance considerations.

To confirm the catalyst is truly heterogeneous, Noxidation of 4-picoline has been carried out using Tris-LDH- $La(PW_{11})_2$ as catalyst and H_2O_2 as oxidant at 25 °C in water. The catalyst was separated from the reaction mixture when the conversion was 80% at the reaction time of 125 mins. The GC monitoring of the reaction mixture showed that the substrate's conversion immediately stops. Addition of the filtered catalyst back to the reaction mixture, re-initiates the reaction the conversion reaches 99% (Figure 8). Furthermore, the catalyst was separated from the reaction mixture by centrifugation, washed with water and reused for at least 10 times without obvious loss of its activity (Figure S2). Moreover, the structure of Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂ remains intact after the N-oxidation reaction, as evidenced by FT-IR, XRD, ¹³P NMR and XPS spectra (Figure S2). The above data confirm further that the catalysis of Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂ is truly heterogeneous.



Figure 8 The recycling and reuse experiment demonstrates the heterogeneous nature of the Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂ catalyst.

The suggested mechanism for the denitrogenation of the oil phase and consequent catalytic oxidation of 4-picoline in the aqueous phase by Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂ in the presence of H₂O₂ is represented Figure 9. The substrate of 4-picoline is firstly extracted by the ionic liquid of [bmim]BF4 to the aqueous phase since [bmim]BF4 is water soluble. The intermolecular interaction at the interphase of the two solvent systems promotes the extraction process. Based on the Ncontaining products in the oil stream the nature of the ionic liquid can vary accordingly.^[31-34] The presence of H₂O₂ and POM component of the Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂ catalyst promote the formation of POM-based peroxo species responsible for the oxygen transfer and subsequent oxidation of the substrate to the corresponding 4-picoline-Noxide compound. The ability of oxygen transfer via the generation of peroxo species in polyoxometalate systems is well known and has been studied extensively by various groups previously.[35-37]



Fig 9. The proposed mechanism for the denitrogenation of the oil phase and consequent catalytic oxidation of 4-picoline in the aqueous phase by Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂ in the presence of H_2O_2 .

Conclusions

To summarize, we have successfully prepared a Lewis acid POM-intercalated Tris-modified LDHs composite material. The resulting nanocomposite Tris-LDH-La(PW_{11})₂ has been fully characterized by various techniques. Furthermore, Tris-LDH-La(PW_{11})₂ has been investigated as heterogeneous catalyst for the *N*-oxidation of pyridines and denitrogenation of model oil. Notably, the *N*-oxidation of pyridines to the corresponding *N*-oxides can be easily achieved at room

temperature with an excellent selectivity, high yield and high efficiency. Deep denitrogenation can be achieved within 40 min at 75 °C. In addition, the catalyst can be easily recovered and recycled for more than 10 times without obvious loss of catalytic activity. Therefore, the catalyst has shown a great potential for practical and industrial application.

Experimental Section

Chemical materials: Quinoline (99%), pyridine (99%), indole (99%), 2-picoline (98%), 3-picoline (99%), 4-picoline (99%), 2,3-lutidine (98%), 2,6-lutidine (99%), 2-chloropyridine (99%), isoquinoline (99%), 8-hydroxyquinoline (99%), noctane (98%), hydrogen peroxide (30 wt% H₂O₂) and the ionic liquids [bmim]BF₄, (bmim = 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium) were purchased from *Sigma-Aldrich* and used directly without further purification. All solvents were of analytical grade, purchased from *Alfa Aesar* and used without further purification.

Characterization: Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded on a Rigaku XRD-6000 diffractometer under the following conditions: 40 kV, 30 mA, Cu K α radiation (λ = 0.154 nm). FT-IR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Vector 22 infrared spectrometer using KBr pellets. The solid state NMR experiments were carried out at 75.6 MHz for ¹³C and at 121.0 MHz for ³¹P on a Bruker Avance 300M solid-state spectrometer equipped with a commercial 5 mm MAS NMR probe. The N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms were measured using a Quantachrome Autosorb-1 system at the liquid nitrogen temperature, and the samples were degassed at 120 °C for 6 hours before the measurements. TEM images were conducted on a JEOL JEM-2010 electron microscope operating at 200 kV. Thermogravimetric and differential thermal analyses (TG-DTA) were performed on a TGA/DSC 1/1100 SF from Mettler Toledo in flowing N₂ with a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ from 32 °C to 800 °C. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were performed with monochromatized AI Ka exciting X-radiation (PHI Quantera SXM). An inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectroscope (ICP-AES, Shimadzu ICPS-7500) was used to measure the concentration of W in the catalysts. GC analyses were performed with an Agilent 7820A GC system using a 30 m 5% phenylmethyl silicone capillary column with an ID of 0.32 mm and 0.25 mm coating (HP-5).

Tris-LDH-CO $_3$ was prepared according to the reference.^[24] The catalyst was synthesized by ion exchange method as reported.^[25]

Oxidation of pyridines to *N*-oxides: 1 mmol substrate, 30 wt% H_2O_2 aqueous solution, 0.4 mol% catalyst (Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂ contain POMs 4 µmol) and 1 mL H₂O were mixed in a 20 mL glass bottle at 25 °C or 65 °C and the reaction mixture was kept under vigorous stirring. The reactions were effectively quenched after 4-12 h. The resulting organic

products were separated from the aqueous phase by extraction and the extracts were analysed by gas chromatography with a flame ionization detector (GC-FID). The conditions were as follows: injection port temperature 290 °C; detector temperature 290 °C; oven temperature 70 °C; carrier gas: ultrapure nitrogen; sample injection volume 1 mL.

Denitrogenation of model oil: In a typical experiment, a solution of quinoline, pyridine, and indole in n-octane was used as model oil with an N content of 100 ppm. The catalytic oxidative denitrogenation experiments were performed in a 25 mL two-necked flask, to which 0.122 mL of 30 wt% H₂O₂, 17 mL of model oil, 1 mL of [bmim]BF₄, and Tris-LDH-La(PW₁₁)₂ (H₂O₂/quinoline/Cat = 300 : 30 : 1) were added. The reaction mixture was stirred at 75 °C. During the reaction, the upper layer of the model-oil phase was periodically withdrawn and analysed by gas chromatography with a flame ionization detector (GC-FID). Quinoline, pyridine and indole were identified using reference standards.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by National Basic Research Program (2014CB932104), National Science Foundation of China (21222104, U1407127), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (RC1302, YS1406) and Beijing Engineering Centre for Hierarchical Catalysts. H. N. M acknowledges the financial support from University of Glasgow, Royal Society of Edinburgh and Marie Curie actions.

Key words: polyoxometalates •layered double hyroxides • *N*-oxidation • denitrogenation • heterogeneous catalyst

- [1] [F. F. Bamoharram, M. M. Heravi, M. Roshani and N. Tavakoli, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem, 2006, 252, 219-225.
- [2] A. V. Malkov, M. Bell, F. Castelluzzo and P. Kocovsky, Org. Lett, 2005, 7, 3219-3222.
- [3] L. C. Campeau, S. Rousseaux and K. Fagnou, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 18020-18021.
- [4] J. Sniekers, N. R. Brooks, S. Schaltin, L. Van Meervelt, J. Fransaer and K. Binnemans, *Dalton trans.*, 2014, 43, 1589-1598.
- [5] M. Ferrer, F. Sánchez-Baeza and A. Messeguer, *Tetrahedron*, 1997, 53, 15877-15888.
- [6] G. B. Payne, P. H. Deming and P. H. Williams, J. Org. Chem., 1961, 26, 659-663.
- [7] J. G. Robhe and E. J. Behrman, J. Chem. Res. S, 1993, 412-413.
- [8] P. Brougham, M. S. Cooper, D. A. Cummerson, H. Heaney and N. Thompson, Synthesis, 1987, 1987, 1015-1017.
- [9] H. S. Mosher, L. Turner and A. Carlsmith, Org. Synth., 1953, 33, 79-81.
- [10] L. Skatteboel and B. Boulette, J. Org. Chem., 1969, 34, 4150-4153.
- [11] V. Boekelheide and W. J. Linn, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1954, 76, 1286-1291.
- [12] C. Copéret, H. Adolfsson, T. A. V. Khuong, A. K. Yudin and K. B. Sharpless, J. Org. Chem., **1998**, 63, 1740-1741.

- [13] D. Rong, V. A. Phillips, R. S. Rubio, M. Ángeles Castro and R. T. Wheelhouse, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **2008**, *49*, 6933-6935.
- [14] X. Zhu, K. D. Kreutter, H. Hu, M. R. Player and M. D. Gaul, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **2008**, *49*, 832-834.
- [15] L. Rout and T. Punniyamurthy, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2005, 347, 1958-1960.
- [16] P. K. Khatri, S. Choudhary, R. Singh, S. L. Jain and O. P. Khatri, *Dalton Trans.*, 2014, 43, 8054-8061.
- [17] P. Veerakumar, S. Balakumar, M. Velayudham, K.-L. Lu and S. Rajagopal, *Catal. Sci. Tech.*, **2012**, *2*, 1140-1145.
- [18] W. Xie, Y. Zheng, S. Zhao, J. Yang, Y. Liu and P. Wu, *Catal. Today*, **2010**, *157*, 114-118.
- [19] W. Zhao, C. Yang, Y. Ding and B. Ma, New J. Chem., 2013, 37, 2614-2618.
- [20] Y. Ding and W. Zhao, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem., 2011, 337, 45-51.
- [21] P. Jacobs, B. Sels, D. D. Vos, M. Buntinx, F. Pierard and A. Kirsch-De Mesmaeker, *Nature*, **1999**, 400, 855-857.
- [22] P. Liu, H. Wang, Z. Feng, P. Ying and C. Li, J. Catal., 2008, 256, 345-348.
- [23] S. Zhao, J. Xu, M. Wei and Y.-F. Song, Green Chem., 2011, 13, 384-389.
- [24] Y. Kuroda, Y. Miyamoto, M. Hibino, K. Yamaguchi and N. Mizuno, *Chem. Mater.*, **2013**, *25*, 2291-2296.
- [25] Y. Chen, D. Yan and Y. F. Song, Dalton Trans., 2014, 43, 14570.
- [26] Y. Dou, J. Han, T. Wang, M. Wei, D. G. Evans and X. Duan, Langmuir, 2012, 28, 9535-9542.
- [27] Z. P. Xu and H. C. Zeng, Chem. Mater., 2001, 13, 4555-4563.
- [28] L. Salvati, L. E. Makovsky, J. M. Stencel, F. R. Brown and D. M. Hercules, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **1981**, *85*, 3700-3707.
- [29] B. J. Aronson, C. F. Blanford and A. Stein, *Chem. Mater.*, **1997**, 9, 2842-2851.
- [30] S. Brunauer, P. H. Emmett and E. Teller, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1938, 60, 309-319.
- [31] J. Eßer, P. Wasserscheid and A. Jess, *Green Chem.*, **2004**, *6*, 316–322.
- [32] A. E. Visser and R. D. Rogers, J. Solid State Chem., 2003, 171, 109-113.
- [33] J. G. Huddleston, H. D. Willauer, R. P. Swatlowski, A. E. Visser and R. D. Rogers, *Chem. Commun.*, **1998**, 1765-1766.
- [34] A. R. Hansmeier, G. W. Meindersma and A. de Haan, Green. Chem., 2011, 13, 1907–1913.
- [35] I. V. Kozhevnikov, in *Polyoxometalate Molecular Science*, (eds. J. J. Borrás-Almenar, E. Coronado, A. Müller, M. Pope), NATO sciences series, Elsevier, vol. 98, **2012**, pp 351-380.
- [36] M. V. Vasylyev and R. Neumann, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 884–890.
- [37] N. Mizuno and M. Misono, Chem. Rev. 1998, 98, 199-217.