
Principles, Techniques and Perspectives on Optimization and HCI

Per Ola Kristensson

Department of Engineering
University of Cambridge
Cambridge, United Kingdom

Xiaojun Bi

Google, Inc.
Mountain View, California, USA

Andrew Howes

School of Computer Science
University of Birmingham
Birmingham, United Kingdom

Antti Oulasvirta

Department of Communications
and Networking
Aalto University
Helsinki, Finland

Roderick Murray-Smith

School of Computing Science
University of Glasgow
Glasgow, United Kingdom

Harold Thimbleby

Department of Computer Science
Swansea University
Swansea, United Kingdom

John Williamson

School of Computing Science
University of Glasgow
Glasgow, United Kingdom

Shumin Zhai

Google, Inc.
Mountain View, California, USA

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the owner/author(s). Copyright is held by the author/owner(s).

CHI'15 Extended Abstracts, Apr 18–23, 2015, Seoul, Republic of Korea.

ACM 978-1-4503-3146-3/15/04.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2702613.2702662>

Abstract

We propose a workshop on the rapidly emerging topic of optimization and computational design in human-computer interaction (HCI). The workshop will tackle the following perspectives: defining and eliciting optimality criteria, optimizing at scale, optimization and user models, optimization for safety, optimization and design practice, optimization and users' perception of performance, and critical perspectives.

Author Keywords

Optimization; modeling; inference; machine learning

ACM Classification Keywords

H.5.m. Information interfaces and presentation (e.g., HCI): Miscellaneous.

Introduction

Everyday we use optimized products and services, such as cars, thermostats, and public transportation systems. Indeed, in engineering and product design optimization is regarded a fundamental design principle [8, 13]. It is therefore natural that optimization is also investigated within the context of human-computer interaction (HCI). The availability of big data, inexpensive sensors, and more computational processing power, in combination with recent dramatic progress in machine learning has led to

reconsiderations of optimization and computational design approaches in HCI. It is only recently that HCI researchers have begun to systematically explore state-of-the-art optimization approaches in HCI and this emerging research direction opens up many new perspectives that needs to be explored by a cross-disciplinary community of HCI scholars. The purpose of this workshop is to unify an emerging cross-disciplinary community of HCI researchers interested in understanding how optimization can further improve the design of new user interfaces.

Perspectives on Optimization

A principle underpinning this workshop is that optimization is a broad theme that overlaps with many areas of HCI. However, researchers are scattered across different subareas. This workshop serves to unify these research efforts by exploring the following perspectives on optimization, which also reflect the expertise of the co-organizers of the workshop.

Defining and Eliciting Optimality Criteria

Optimization nearly always relies on identifying objective optimality criteria, which can be difficult to define [7]. First, optimization often involves ill-posed inverse problems, which in practice often amounts to incorporating less than ideal regularization features into the cost functions to limit the complexity of the final solutions. An alternative approach would be to attempt to bias optimization toward certain classes of solutions. One recent example in HCI in this direction is keyboard layout optimization. Optimized layouts are usually very different from Qwerty, imposing large burdens in learning. Prior research [1, 2] addressed this problem by introducing Qwerty constraints/similarity to bias the optimized layouts towards Qwerty.

A second issue when definition optimality criteria involves accommodating the diversity of user groups, such as older adults and users with disabilities, and use contexts, such as whether users are mobile, encumbered or surrounded by a crowd. How can we best elicit sound optimality criteria for different user groups and use contexts?

Optimizing at Scale

How does the availability of large amounts of data at high rates change the nature of optimization in interface design? Websites and other connected user interfaces such as mobile apps and wearable interfaces can provide data from potentially millions of users in a short time period. This can provide more evidence to improve a user interface than years of design trial and error. One recent example in HCI is the optimization of touch point detection by crowdsourcing [4]. Alternatively, simulations can be used to guide optimization and design. One recent approach has been explored in the area of brain-computer interaction (BCI), which investigated the relationship between simulation models in BCI and the involvement of end-users in the different stages of design of a BCI interface [10]. A better understanding of how we can best leverage large-scale data sources and simulations to explore a massive amount of design solutions can potentially require the involvement of both optimization experts and designers.

Optimization and User Models

Solving design optimization problems might be informed by models that predict user performance. It turns out that, while such models are difficult to build — because of the extraordinary flexibility of human cognition — recent developments in cognitive science

have the potential to form the basis of a new approach. This approach relies on optimal control theory to derive user behavior from theories of underlying psychological constraints and subjective utility [9]. Optimal design might therefore be facilitated by optimal control theories of the user.

Another aspect is leveraging relationships between user models and optimization techniques. One recent example is the TrueSkill system, which optimizes the matching of evenly skilled participants in order to ensure an enjoyable game for all participants [5].

Optimization for Safety

Optimization and computational design can also be used to design for safety, for instance in the health care domain. This has for example been explored by using state machines and graph theory as a foundation to design better interactive systems and devices [12].

Optimization and Design Practice

Optimal parameters of design and even whole interface designs can be identified in very large, multi-dimensional design spaces. Interactive design tools using model-based optimization (e.g. [3]) allow designers to delegate well-known aspects of design problems to a computer. Exact approaches for optimization require using objective criteria for goal setting and assessment of progress. However, how this approach can become compatible with design practice is an open research question. While most previous work in optimization in HCI has focused on virtual keyboard design and intelligent systems, there is emerging interest in optimization and computational design in interaction techniques, web services, automotive UIs, programming environments, and continuous

control. However, in order to successfully use optimization approaches to design products and services, we need to have a better understanding of how we can integrate optimization into design practice.

Optimization and Users' Perception of Performance

An emerging research question in HCI is the relationship between optimization for user performance and users' perception of their own performance [6]. Users may not always subjectively detect objective performance improvements. Increasing knowledge in this emerging area of research can better inform us when it is sensible to optimize and can also be used to balance trade-offs in design, for instance balancing efficiency with aesthetics.

Critical Perspectives

Should we optimize user interfaces? Which optimality criteria are worth considering? Do we want to optimize for speed or should we also consider slow change interaction design processes [11]? We welcome critical perspectives from all areas of HCI to discuss critical perspectives on optimization and HCI.

Workshop Goals

Community Building

A major goal is to broaden the participation in the workshop, in particular from two directions. First, we plan to actively advertise the workshop to the wider engineering community, including researchers investigating optimization techniques in engineering design, product design, machine learning and signal processing. Second, we will recruit participants from the wider CHI community to participate and provide critical perspectives on optimization and HCI.

CHI Focus

Given the cross-disciplinary nature of the community, researchers are spread across different conferences in HCI, such as UIST, EICS and IUI, and across different communities including engineering design and product design. A goal of this workshop is to advertise CHI as a natural and compelling center for research on optimization and HCI.

Research Dialogues

Since researchers on optimization and HCI are active across the entire HCI spectrum, the scientific dialogue easily becomes unfocused and researchers investigating different perspectives on optimization and HCI may not always be aware of progress being made in other areas. A primary goal of this workshop is to bring all these researchers together to discuss difficult issues that are hard or near impossible to handle within the traditional format of research papers in conferences and journals.

Conclusions

Optimization and HCI is an emerging research area. However, currently our community is scattered across different research fields and areas of HCI. There are also a number of topics that are difficult to discuss in the traditional format of technical papers. This workshop serves to unify this diverse community and center it at CHI. A further goal is to invite optimization researchers from engineering and product design and to explore crosscutting themes.

References

[1] Bi, X., Smith, B.A., and Zhai, S. 2010. Quasi-Qwerty soft keyboard optimization. In *Proc. CHI* (2010), 283–286.

[2] Dunlop, M.D. and Levine, J. 2012. Multidimensional Pareto optimization of touchscreen keyboards for speed, familiarity, and improved spell checking. In *Proc. CHI* (2012), 2669-2678.

[3] Gilles, B., Oulasvirta, A., Kötzing, T. and Hoppe, S. 2013. Menuoptimizer: interactive optimization of menu systems. In *Proc. UIST* (2013), 331-342.

[4] Henze, N., Rukzio, E. and Boll, S. 2012. 100,000,000 taps: analysis and improvement of touch performance in the large. In *MobileHCI* (2012), 133-142.

[5] Herbrich, R., Minka, T. and Graepel, T. 2007. TrueSkill(TM): a Bayesian skill rating system. In *NIPS* (2007), 569-576.

[6] Nicosia, M., Oulasvirta, A. and Kristensson, P.O. 2014. Modeling the perception of user performance. In *Proc. CHI* (2014), 1747-1756.

[7] Nielsen, JB. 2014. *Systems for Personalization of Hearing Instruments*. PhD thesis, Technical University of Denmark.

[8] Pahl, G. and Beitz, W. 1996. *Engineering Design – A Systematic Approach*. 2nd Edition, Springer.

[9] Payne, S. J., & Howes, A. (2013). Adaptive interaction: a utility maximization approach to understanding human interaction with technology. *Synthesis Lectures on Human-Centered Informatics* **6**(1): 1-111.

[10] Quek, M. 2013. *The Role of Simulation in Developing and Designing Applications for 2-Class Motor Imagery Brain-Computer Interfaces*, PhD thesis, University of Glasgow.

[11] Siegel, M.A. and Beck, J. 2014. Slow change interaction design. *Interactions* **21**(1): 28-35.

[12] Thimbleby, H. 2010. *Press On: Principles of Interaction Programming*. MIT Press.

[13] Ulrich, K.T. and Eppinger, S.D. 2008. *Product Design and Development*. 4th Edition, McGraw-Hill.