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Introduction

Tumor cells invade 3D ECM as individual cells or as collective sheets and strands (Friedl and Alexander, 2011). Both individual and collective cell migrations have been documented in vivo, and together, these strategies play an important role in escape from the primary tumor and seeding of metastases (Sahai, 2007; Friedl and Alexander, 2011).

Cell migration is well studied within the context of 2D planar substrates, with clear roles described for RhoGTPases such as Rac in establishing and maintaining a broad ruffling lamellipodium at the cell front and for RhoA in controlling actomyosin contractility and retraction of the cell rear (Ridley et al., 2003). Individual cell-invasive migration has been broadly categorized as mesenchymal (protease dependent with protrusion driven by Rac and/or Cdc42) or amoeboid (exhibiting little protease dependence with protrusion driven by RhoA-mediated actomyosin contractility and blebbing; Friedl and Alexander, 2011). The cycling of RhoGTPases between active and inactive states is controlled by GTPase-activating proteins (GAPs) and guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs), which can determine the reciprocal relationship between RhoA and Rac activities (Guilluy et al., 2011). In invasive melanoma cells, specific GAPs and GEFs balance the activities of Rac and RhoA to control switching between modes of migration in 3D (Sanz-Moreno et al., 2008). The use of Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET)–based activity probes has revealed the spatiotemporal activities of RhoGTPases to be highly complex, with active RhoA seen at the cell front on 2D substrates (Pertz et al., 2006; Machacek et al., 2009). Furthermore, in 3D matrix and in vivo, highly invasive mutant p53-expressing pancreatic cancer cells with a clear elongated morphology have high levels of RhoA.

Inhibition of $\alpha 6\beta 3$ or expression of mutant p53 promotes invasion into fibronectin (FN)-containing extracellular matrix (ECM) by enhancing Rab-coupling protein (RCP)–dependent recycling of $\alpha 5\beta 1$ integrin. RCP and $\alpha 5\beta 1$ cooperatively recruit receptor tyrosine kinases, including EGFR1, to regulate their trafficking and downstream signaling via protein kinase B (PKB)/Akt, which, in turn, promotes invasive migration. In this paper, we identify a novel PKB/Akt substrate, RacGAP1, which is phosphorylated as a consequence of RCP-dependent $\alpha 5\beta 1$ trafficking. Phosphorylation of RacGAP1 promotes its recruitment to IQGAP1 at the tips of invasive pseudopods, and RacGAP1 then locally suppresses the activity of the cytoskeletal regulator Rac and promotes the activity of RhoA in this subcellular region. This Rac to RhoA switch promotes the extension of pseudopodial processes and invasive migration into FN-containing matrices, in a RhoA-dependent manner. Thus, the localized endocytic trafficking of $\alpha 5\beta 1$ within the tips of invasive pseudopods elicits signals that promote the reorganization of the actin cytoskeleton, protrusion, and invasion into FN-rich ECM.

Abbreviations used in this paper: CDM, cell-derived matrix; FLIM, fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy; FN, fibronectin; FRET, Förster resonance energy transfer; GAP, GTPase-activating protein; GEF, guanine nucleotide exchange factor; IP, immunoprecipitation; MBP, mannose-binding protein; MS, mass spectrometry; PA, phosphatidic acid; PLA, proximity ligation assay; RCP, Rab-coupling protein; ROCK, Rho kinase; ROI, region of interest; RTK, receptor tyrosine kinase; WT, wild type.
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activity at the cell front (Timpson et al., 2011), suggesting that mesenchymal invasion dependent on mutant p53 could be driven by RhoA.

The interaction between invading cells and the surrounding ECM is governed by integrins, which act as receptors for ECM proteins (Humphries et al., 2006). Integrins are α/β heterodimers that function to link the ECM to the cytoskeleton, recruiting a range of signaling molecules to regulate cellular function such as cell migration, and RhoGTPases are key effectors of integrin signaling (Hynes, 2002; Huveneers and Danen, 2009; Legate et al., 2009). Integrin function is regulated by the binding of intracellular factors, such as talin and kindlins, which control integrin activation (Moser et al., 2009; Shattil et al., 2010). In addition, integrins are internalized from the plasma membrane, and endosomal sorting determines the degradation or recycling of the receptor (Caswell et al., 2009; Wickström and Fässler, 2011; Bridgewater et al., 2012). Intergin recycling can be targeted to specific regions of the cell and can therefore control propagation of intracellular signals in a localized manner (Caswell et al., 2008, 2007; Dozynkiewicz et al., 2012; Rainero et al., 2012). The pathways that regulate integrin trafficking have been implicated in many aspects of cell migration in 2D, and accumulating evidence indicates that the trafficking of integrins, particularly the fibronectin (FN) receptor α5β1, can dictate the migratory properties of invasive cancer cells (Caswell and Norman, 2008).

In fibroblasts and tumor cells, inhibition of αvβ3 (or αvβ3 recycling) promotes the recycling of α5β1 and rapid, random migration in 2D (White et al., 2007; Caswell et al., 2008; Christoforides et al., 2012). Similarly, in carcinoma cells, expression of gain-of-function mutant p53 can switch on a rapid α5β1 recycling pathway (Muller et al., 2009). Rho-coupling protein (RCP; also known as Rab11-FIP1) is central to the control of α5β1 recycling in these contexts and drives pseudopod extension and invasion into FN-rich 3D matrix (Caswell et al., 2008; Muller et al., 2009). RCP-mediated α5β1 recycling does not influence adhesion; rather, RCP and α5β1 act by recruiting receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs), e.g., EGF R1 and c-Met, and coordinating the recycling of associated RTKs to potentiate their signaling via PKB/Akt (Caswell et al., 2008; Muller et al., 2009, 2013). Production of phosphatidic acid (PA) by DGK-α (diacylglycerol kinase α) localizes RCP and, as a consequence, α5β1/RTK trafficking toward the front of invading cells, providing a spatial cue for protrusion (Rainero et al., 2012). Although it is clear that the RhoA–Rho kinase (ROCK)–cofilin pathway controls the rapid, random migration of fibroblasts (White et al., 2007) and that RCP-dependent integrin trafficking influences invasive migration via effects on RTK trafficking and signaling (Caswell et al., 2008; Muller et al., 2009, 2013), the mechanisms through which RCP–α5β1-mediated RTK trafficking and signaling impact upon the cytoskeleton to promote pseudopodial extension at the cell front and migration in 3D matrix are not known.

Here, we describe RacGAP1 (also known as MgcRacGAP or hCYK-4), a component of the centrilsplin complex, as a novel PKB/Akt substrate that is phosphorylated on threonine (T) 249 as a consequence of RCP-driven α5β1/EGFR1 trafficking and signaling. Phosphorylation of RacGAP1 on T249 does not influence formation of the centrilsplin complex but promotes recruitment of RacGAP1 to IQGAP1-containing complexes within the tips of invasive pseudopods. RCP-driven α5β1 recycling suppresses Rac activity through the RacGAP1–IQGAP1 complex and permits the concomitant activation of RhoA. Surprisingly, suppression of Rac is necessary and sufficient to promote pseudopod extension and invasive migration in 3D, both of which require RhoA activity, identifying a novel pathway that regulates the acquisition of an elongated mode of individual cell migration into FN-rich ECM.

### Results

**RacGAP1 is a novel PKB/Akt substrate phosphorylated downstream of RCP-α5β1-mediated RTK signaling**

We have previously shown that inhibition of αvβ3 integrin (using cRGDFV, a selective cyclic peptide inhibitor of αvβ3) promotes RCP-dependent trafficking and signaling via PKB/Akt to induce extension of pseudopodial protrusions in 3D matrix (Caswell et al., 2008). To localize PKB/Akt activity, we used the FRET probe Akind (Yoshizaki et al., 2007). Only low levels of PKB/Akt activity were detectable in A2780 cells migrating on cell-derived matrix (CDM) under basal conditions (compared with inactive Akind-3A; Fig. 1 A and Fig. S1 A). Inhibition of αvβ3 promoted activation of PKB/Akt at the front of cells migrating with RCP–α5β1-driven pseudopodia (Fig. 1 A). Furthermore, suppression of PKB/Akt2 greatly reduced RCP–α5β1-driven invasion (Fig. S1, B and C), in line with previous findings (Irie et al., 2005; Dillon et al., 2009).

We next sought to identify the PKB/Akt targets required for invasion downstream of RCP–α5β1. Immunoprecipitation (IP) using an antibody recognizing the phosphorylated PKB/Akt consensus sequence (RxRxxS*/T*) revealed putative PKB/Akt substrates of 75–85 kD enriched upon addition of cRGDFV (unpublished data). Mass spectrometry (MS) analysis of proteins within this molecular mass range, combined with hierarchical clustering of spectral count data, identified a subset of proteins that were hyperphosphorylated upon cRGDFV stimulation, with RacGAP1 (also known as MgcRacGAP or hCYK-4) showing the highest increase after cRGDFV addition (Fig. 1 B).

RacGAP1 is a Rac- and Cdc42-specific GAP and plays a critical role in the regulation of RhoGTPases during cytokinesis (Canman et al., 2008; Bastos et al., 2012). This led us to speculate that RacGAP1 could constitute a central link between RCP–α5β1-mediated trafficking and signaling and RhoGTPase cytoskeletal regulators. In vitro phosphorylation, using purified mammone-binding protein (MBP)–RacGAP1 and recombinant active PKB/Akt, established RacGAP1 as a direct substrate for PKB/Akt (Fig. 1 C). Moreover, immunoblotting of PKB substrates captured using the RxRxxS*/T* antibody demonstrated that RacGAP1 phosphorylation was promoted by cRGDFV addition and was significantly reduced by the broad spectrum kinase inhibitor staurosporine (Fig. 1 D).
RacGAP1 is required for RCP-α5β1-driven pseudopod extension and invasive migration

We next tested the functional importance of RacGAP1 in 3D cell migration. RCP-α5β1-driven pseudopodial migration leads to increased invasive capability within dense plugs of high concentration collagen I rich in the α5β1 ligand FN (Fig. 2, A–C; Caswell et al., 2008). RacGAP1 knockdown (Fig. S1 D) had no significant effect on the speed or persistence of cells migrating on CDM or on the formation of protrusions under basal conditions (Fig. 2, A and B; and Fig. S1, F and G). Upon cRGDfV stimulation, however, RacGAP1-depleted cells were unable to extend invasive pseudopods (Fig. 2, A and B; and Fig. S1 H). Moreover, RacGAP1 knockdown specifically reduced cRGDfV-driven invasion into FN-rich ECM (Fig. 2, C and Fig. S1 I), and this was rescued by expression of siRNA-resistant RacGAP1 (Fig. 2 D and Fig. S1 L). Expression of mutant forms of p53, either endogenously (MDA-MB-231 cells) or exogenously in H1299 cells, promotes invasion via RCP-α5β1-dependent trafficking (Muller et al., 2009), and depletion of RacGAP1 levels in these cell lines significantly reduced invasive migration (Fig. 2, E and F; and Fig. S1, M–O). These data demonstrate that RacGAP1 is required for RCP-α5β1-driven pseudopod extension and invasive migration.
stabile FLAG-RacGAP1–expressing A2780 cells using lentiviral transfection and noted that cells expressing wild-type (WT) or mutant RacGAP1 lost endogenous RacGAP1 expression (Fig. S1 J). Furthermore, these cell lines showed proliferation rates equivalent to control, suggesting that they are fully functional during cytokinesis when expressed at this level.
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MKLP1 (mitotic kinesin-like protein 1) and Ect2, which were unaltered by treatment with cRGDfV or by mutation of T249 (Fig. 3 C). Hence, PKB/Akt-mediated phosphorylation of RacGAP1 at T249 has no influence on formation of the centrosomal complex or its recruitment of Ect2.

We recently showed that the cytoskeletal adaptor protein IQGAP1 recruits RacGAP1 to sites of integrin activation in fibroblasts, to restrict the activity of Rac as cells spread on FN (Jacquemet et al., 2013b). In A2780 cells, little association was seen between endogenous IQGAP1 and RacGAP1; however, stimulation with cRGDfV increased IQGAP1–RacGAP1 association (Fig. S1 K). IP with PKB/Akt substrate-specific antibodies revealed that phosphorylation of FLAG-RacGAP1 was increased by addition of cRGDfV, and this was opposed by mutation of RacGAP1’s T249 to alanine (Fig. 3 B and Fig. S2 K).

Collectively, these data suggest that T249 is the major PKB/Akt phosphorylation site within RacGAP1.

T249 is situated within a region of RacGAP1 that is predicted to be unstructured (Fig. S1 E). Nevertheless, we determined the consequences of mutating T249 on the recruitment of known interactors (Mishima et al., 2002; Yüce et al., 2005). IP of FLAG-RacGAP1WT from A2780 cells revealed robust associations with MKLP1 (mitotic kinesin-like protein 1) and Ect2, which were unaltered by treatment with cRGDfV or by mutation of T249 (Fig. 3 C). Hence, PKB/Akt-mediated phosphorylation of RacGAP1 at T249 has no influence on formation of the centrosomal complex or its recruitment of Ect2.

We recently showed that the cytoskeletal adaptor protein IQGAP1 recruits RacGAP1 to sites of integrin activation in fibroblasts, to restrict the activity of Rac as cells spread on FN (Jacquemet et al., 2013b). In A2780 cells, little association was seen between endogenous IQGAP1 and RacGAP1; however, stimulation with cRGDfV increased IQGAP1–RacGAP1 association.
complex formation (Fig. 3 D). cRGDfV treatment also stimulated the recruitment of FLAG-RacGAP1 WT to IQGAP1 but not RacGAP1 249A (Fig. 3, E and F), and RacGAP1 249D showed an increased level of association with IQGAP1 even in the absence of cRGDfV (Fig. 3, E and F). In addition, proximity ligation assays (PLAs) revealed close association between IQGAP1 and RacGAP1 in cRGDfV-treated cells expressing RacGAP1 WT, however, very little signal was detectable in cells expressing GFP or FLAG-RacGAP1 249A (Fig. 3 G). Together, these data indicate that PKB/Akt phosphorylation of RacGAP1 on T249 promotes recruitment of RacGAP1 to IQGAP1-containing complexes.

IQGAP1 recruits phosphorylated RacGAP1 to the tips of protrusions as cells migrate in 3D

RacGAP1 plays a well-documented role in cytokinesis and localizes to the central spindle and midbody in mammalian cells (Lekomtsev et al., 2012). RacGAP1 is also expressed in interphase cells and plays roles in nuclear transport of a Rac/Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription module and maintaining RhoA signaling at cell–cell junctions (Kawashima et al., 2009, 2006; Ratheesh et al., 2012). Endogenous RacGAP1 is localized to the nucleus but also appears in a granular distribution in the cytoplasm (Fig. 4 A), and in cells migrating on CDM, RacGAP1 accumulates at the cell rear but appears to be excluded from the cell front (Fig. 4 A). After treatment with cRGDfV, the levels of RacGAP1 were increased within pseudopods toward the cell front (Fig. 4 A). Knockdown of IQGAP1 (Fig. S2 A) had relatively little influence on the localization of RacGAP1 under basal conditions (Fig. 4 B); however, in cRGDfV-treated IQGAP1 knockdown cells, RacGAP1 was excluded from the cell front (Fig. 4 B).

Stable overexpression of RacGAP1 resulted in an increase in the nuclear pool of RacGAP1 (Fig. 4 C). FLAG-RacGAP1 WT predominantly localized to the nucleus in unstimulated cells but was recruited to the tips of invasive pseudopods upon treatment with cRGDfV. Here, RacGAP1 colocalized with IQGAP1 within structures that resemble filopodia (Fig. 4 C). RacGAP1 249A did not localize to the cell front in cRGDfV-stimulated cells and was found to be predominantly in the nucleus (Fig. 4 C). Conversely, FLAG-RacGAP1 249D was found in the nucleus and cytoplasm but was recruited to pseudopod tips even under basal conditions (Fig. 4 C). Together, these data indicate that phosphorylation of RacGAP1 on T249 promotes its recruitment to the front of invasive cells through association with IQGAP1.

The RacGAP1–IQGAP1 complex drives pseudopod extension and invasive migration

As phosphorylation of RacGAP1 downstream of RCP–α5β1 trafficking determines its association with IQGAP1 and subcellular localization, we hypothesized that formation of the RacGAP1–IQGAP1 complex was required for RCP–α5β1-driven invasion. Knockdown of IQGAP1 had a profound influence on cell migration: cells plated on CDM displayed multiple protrusions, and the speed and persistence of migration were reduced in both the presence and absence of cRGDfV (Fig. 5 A and Fig. S2, A–C). IQGAP1 knockdown cells were unable to extend and maintain long invasive pseudopods (Fig. 5 A), and invasive migration of cells into collagen I plugs was also suppressed, with this effect greatest in the presence of both FN and cRGDfV (Fig. 5 B and Fig. S2D). Furthermore, IQGAP1 depletion inhibited the invasive migration of MDA-MB-231 and H1299-p53 273H cells (which express mutant p53) but not in H1299 cells null for p53 (Fig. S2, E–H), indicating that IQGAP1 is required for RCP–α5β1-driven pseudopod extension and invasion in FN-rich matrix.

Stable expression of RacGAP1 had no discernible effect on the ability of A2780 cells to extend invasive pseudopods or invade plugs of collagen I/FN in response to cRGDfV (Fig. 5, C and D). Expression of FLAG-RacGAP1 249A had little effect on basal migration but prevented cRGDfV- or mutant p53-driven pseudopod extension and invasion into collagen/FN (Fig. 5, C and D; and Fig. S2 I). Conversely, stable expression of FLAG-RacGAP1 249D promoted pseudopod extension and significantly increased invasion into FN-rich collagen even in the absence of cRGDfV (Fig. 5, C and D), and this was dependent on expression of IQGAP1 (Fig. 5 E and Fig. S2 J). Collectively, these data indicate that phosphorylation of RacGAP1 on T249 by PKB/Akt is an essential step in the acquisition of a migratory phenotype in cells invading FN-rich ECM and highlight the fundamental role of IQGAP1 as a scaffold for RacGAP1.

α5β1 recycling suppresses Rac activity and promotes activation of RhoA

Inhibition of αvβ3 promotes α5β1 recycling and downstream signaling via the RhoA effectors ROCK and coflin to promote rapid, random migration on 2D substrates (White et al., 2007). Together with the identification of RacGAP1 as a Rac inactivator required for α5β1-driven invasive migration, this led us to hypothesize that α5β1 may not use the canonical Rac-driven cytoskeletal machinery to promote elongated invasive migration.

Using Raichi-Rac and -RhoA FRET probes (Itoh et al., 2002; Yoshizaki et al., 2003), we analyzed the dynamic activity of Rac and RhoA in live cells migrating in 3D matrix by FRET–fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy (FLIM). For both probes, the dynamic range was ascertained using dominant-negative or constitutively active probe mutants, and these membrane-targeted probes were distributed around the cell periphery without concentrating at the front, similar to the intact GTPases (Fig. S1 A and Fig. S3 A). FLIM measurements were made by creating regions of interest (ROIs) around the plasma membrane at the front, middle, and rear of the cell (Fig. S3 B). Under basal conditions, high FRET efficiency indicated that Rac was activated toward the cell front, and this high Rac activity was maintained as cells migrated (Fig. 6, A, C, and D). However, upon treatment with cRGDfV, FRET efficiency was significantly reduced at the cell front but not in other regions (Fig. 6, B–D), indicating that Rac activity was suppressed at the tips of extending pseudopods. Low FRET efficiency revealed a low level of RhoA activity toward the cell front as cells migrated under basal conditions (Fig. 6, E, G, and H); stimulation of α5β1 recycling, however, promoted RhoA activity within the tips of pseudopods (Fig. 6, F–H). Again, the switch in RhoGTPase activity was more
Figure 4. IQGAP1 recruits RacGAP1 to the tips of invasive pseudopods as cells migrate in 3D. (A and B) A2780 cells were subjected to control or IQGAP1 #1 RNAi and seeded onto CDMs. Cells were stimulated with cRGDFV as indicated for 2 h before fixing and staining with rabbit anti-RacGAP1/anti-rabbit Cy2 antibodies and phalloidin–Texas red. (C) A2780 cells stably expressing GFP, FLAG-RacGAP1WT, FLAG-RacGAP1249A, or FLAG-RacGAP1249D on CDMs were stimulated with cRGDFV as indicated and fixed and stained with rabbit anti-IQGAP1/anti-rabbit Cy2 and mouse anti-FLAG/anti-mouse Cy3 antibodies. Images were captured using a spinning-disk confocal microscope, and representative pseudocolored images are shown. Zoomed insets correspond to areas indicated by dotted ROIs. Bars, 10 µm. Yellow arrows indicate direction of migration.
Figure 5. The RacGAP1–IQGAP1 complex promotes integrin-dependent invasive migration. (A) A2780 cells were subjected to control or IQGAP1 #1 RNAi and seeded onto CDMs. Images were captured, and pseudopod length was determined as in Fig. 2 (A and B; n > 100/condition). (B) A2780 cells were treated as in A and seeded into inverted invasion assays in the presence or absence of FN and cRGDFV as indicated. (C) A2780 cells stably expressing GFP, RacGAP1 WT, RacGAP1 249A, or RacGAP1 249D were seeded onto CDMs and stimulated with cRGDFV as indicated, images were captured, and pseudopod length was measured as in Fig. 2 (A and B; n > 40/condition). (D) A2780 cells as in C were seeded into inverted invasion assays in the presence of FN and stimulated with cRGDFV as indicated. (E) A2780 cells stably expressing GFP, RacGAP1 WT, or RacGAP1 249D were transfected as in A and seeded into inverted invasion assays in the presence of FN and cRGDFV. Yellow lines indicate the level of invasion or pseudopod length under control conditions. Bars, 50 µm. Data represent means ± SEM from at least three independent experiments. *, P < 0.05; ***, P < 0.001.
Figure 6. **Integrin trafficking suppresses Rac activity and activates RhoA.** (A and B) A2780 cells expressing Raichu-Rac were seeded onto CDMs and stimulated with cRGDfV as indicated. Fluorescence lifetime images were captured at 1-min intervals, and representative lifetime maps are shown. (C) FRET efficiency was calculated for ROIs at the cell periphery at the front, middle (mean of the two sides), or back, from lifetime maps generated as in A and B (single images or means of all frames from time-lapse videos, n > 30/condition). (D) FRET efficiency at the cell front was calculated as in C for each frame of time-lapse videos, n > 9/condition. (E and F) A2780 cells expressing Raichu-RhoA were analyzed as in A and B. (G) FRET efficiency was calculated as in C (n > 35/condition). (H) FRET efficiency at the cell front was calculated as in D (n > 15/condition). (I) H1299 cells stably expressing mutant p53 (273H) or control vector (VEC) were transfected with Raichu-Rac or Raichu-RhoA. FLIM was performed as in A and B, and FRET efficiency at the cell front was calculated as in C (n > 8/condition). (J) A2780 cells were transfected with control or RCP-specific siRNA and allowed to recover for 24 h. Cells were then transfected with Raichu-Rac or Raichu-RhoA. FLIM was performed as in A and B, and FRET efficiency at the cell front was calculated as in C (n > 13/condition). (K) A2780 cells expressing Raichu-RhoA were seeded onto CDMs and treated with vehicle or the Rac inhibitor NSC-23766 for 2 h. FLIM was performed as in A and B, and FRET efficiency at the cell front was calculated as in C (control, n = 8; NSC-23766, n = 10). Yellow lines represent the baseline activity as determined by an inactive mutant of the probe. Data represent means ± SEM from at least three independent experiments. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001. Zoomed images from videos are shown in the time sequence and correspond to areas indicated by dotted ROIs. Bars, 10 µm.
pronounced within peripheral regions at the cell front, and this level of RhoA activity was maintained as cells migrated (Fig. 6, G and H). Expression of mutant p53 also suppressed Rac activity and promoted activation of RhoA at the front of cells migrating on CDM (Fig. 6 I), indicating that this RhoGTPase switch is a general feature of cells that use the RCP–α5β1 machinery for motility in 3D.

RCP is the Rab11 effector that controls the recycling of α5β1 and associated RTKs in invasive cancer cells (Caswell et al., 2008; Muller et al., 2009). Although knockdown of RCP had little effect on the balance between Rac and RhoA activity under basal conditions, RCP knockdown cells were unable to respond to cRGDFV and switch RhoGTPase activity (Fig. 6 J and Fig. S3 C). This is consistent with the inability of RCP knockdown cells to extend pseudopodial extensions and invade FN-rich 3D matrix (Caswell et al., 2008; Rainero et al., 2012) and indicated a requirement for RCP-dependent trafficking in the RhoGTPase switch.

Because the activities of Rac and RhoA are reciprocally related (Guilluy et al., 2011), we tested whether suppression of Rac activity alone influenced RhoA. Treatment with a small molecule inhibitor of Rac, NSC-23766, promoted an increase in activity of RhoA at the front of cells moving on CDM (Fig. 6 K), indicating that suppressing Rac activity is sufficient to permit RhoA activation at the cell front.

**The RacGAP1–IQGAP1 complex suppresses Rac activity and promotes activation of RhoA**

Biochemical experiments revealed that FLAG-RacGAP1 immunoprecipitated from A2780 cells could directly promote GTPase activity of recombinant Rac but not Ras (Fig. S3 D). Furthermore, although RacGAP1 knockdown had little influence on the activities of Rac and RhoA in cells migrating on CDM under basal conditions, RacGAP1 knockdown cells were unable to switch RhoGTPase activity when treated with cRGDFV (Fig. 7 A). Similarly, IQGAP1 knockdown had relatively little influence on RhoGTPase activity under basal conditions but prevented inactivation of Rac and activation of RhoA at the cell front when cells were stimulated with cRGDFV (Fig. 7 B).

As RacGAP1–IQGAP1 association is regulated by phosphorylation on T249, we sought to determine the requirement for RacGAP1 phosphorylation in the RhoGTPase switch. FLAG-RacGAP1 T249A was unable to support the suppression of Rac activity and activation of RhoA at the cell front in cells stimulated with cRGDFV (Fig. 7 C and D). Conversely, expression of FLAG-RacGAP1 T249D, which is recruited to IQGAP1 and the cell front under basal conditions, was sufficient to suppress Rac and promote RhoA activity in cells migrating on CDM (Fig. 7, C and D). Collectively, these data suggest that the formation of the RacGAP1–IQGAP1 complex drives the localized suppression of Rac activity and concomitant activation of RhoA as cells migrate within FN-rich matrices.

**Suppression of Rac drives invasion into FN-rich ECM**

Given that the RacGAP1–IQGAP1 complex suppressed Rac and activated RhoA at the front of invasive cells, we determined the requirement for these RhoGTPases in 3D migration within FN-rich ECM. Rac1 knockdown had little influence on the extension of invasive pseudopods or speed and persistence of migration on CDM in the presence of cRGDFV (Fig. 8 A and Fig. S4, A and E–G), indicating that RCP–α5β1-driven pseudopodial migration is Rac independent. However, the migration of Rac1 knockdown cells under basal conditions was characterized by extension of long invasive pseudopods in the direction of migration, and this effect was reversed by expression of an siRNA-resistant GFP-Rac1 (Fig. 8, A and B; and Fig. S4 H). Similar results were obtained using a chemical inhibitor of Rac activation (Fig. S4, I–K). Furthermore, although invasion of cRGDFV-treated or mutant p53-expressing cells into FN-rich collagen plugs was largely unaffected by knockdown of Rac1, Rac1 depletion promoted a striking increase in invasion in the absence of these RCP–α5β1-promoting factors (Fig. 8, E–G). These data indicate that Rac1 activation is not required for α5β1-driven invasive migration and that suppression of Rac1 levels, or Rac1 inactivation, is sufficient to drive pseudopodial invasion into FN-rich ECM.

**RhoA is required for α5β1-driven invasion**

RhoA-depleted cells were unable to extend and maintain long pseudopodial projections in the presence of cRGDFV (Fig. 8 A) and showed reduced speed and persistence migration in CDMs regardless of stimulation, and this was rescued by expression of siRNA-resistant GFP-RhoA (Fig. 8, C and D; and Fig. S4, E, F, and H). Furthermore, RhoA knockdown abrogated cRGDFV- or mutant p53-stimulated invasion into FN-rich collagen (Fig. 8, E–G). These data demonstrate that RhoA is required for RCP–α5β1-driven invasion.

**RCP-dependent α5β1 trafficking promotes formation of F-actin-rich spikes and cell elongation in FN-rich collagen gels**

The migration of cells with an elongated morphology in 3D has been categorized as mesenchymal, dependent on Rac/Cdc42, and distinct from amoeboid (RhoA driven) migration (Sahai, 2007; Friedl and Alexander, 2011). However, here, we have described movement of elongated cells within 3D matrix that is independent of Rac but dependent on RhoA. We therefore characterized the morphology and actin dynamics of cells migrating with RCP–α5β1-driven pseudopodia.

Mesenchymally migrating HT1080 cells (Wolf et al., 2003) display a morphology on CDM that is consistent with high Rac activity, with numerous wavelike dynamic protrusions, resembling small lamellipodia (Fig. 9 A). A2780 cells migrating in 3D under basal conditions also display wavelike protrusions at the leading edge, consistent with high Rac activity, but these protrusions appear less dynamic and smaller than those observed in HT1080 cells (Fig. 9 A). Strikingly, cRGDFV treatment drastically changed the morphology of cells, triggering the formation of numerous short, dense, and linear F-actin spikes that appear at the cell front and precede forward movement (Fig. 9, A and B).

In CDM, RCP-dependent α5β1 trafficking promotes elongation and extension of pseudopodial processes in the direction of migration (Fig. 1 A; Caswell et al., 2008; Rainero et al., 2012).
Local Rac suppression promotes pseudopod extension.

Figure 7. Integrin trafficking suppresses Rac activity and activates RhoA through the RacGAP1–IQGAP1 complex. (A) A2780 cells were subjected to control or RacGAP1 oligo #6 RNAi and allowed to recover for 24 h. Cells were then transfected with Raichu-Rac or Raichu-RhoA as indicated and seeded onto CDM. FLIM was performed, and FRET efficiency at the cell front was calculated as in Fig. 6 (A–C; n ≥ 15/condition). (B) A2780 cells were subjected to control or IQGAP1 oligo #1 RNAi and allowed to recover for 24 h. Cells were then transfected with Raichu-Rac or Raichu-RhoA as indicated and seeded onto the CDM. FLIM was performed, and FRET efficiency at the cell front was calculated as in Fig. 6 (A–C; n ≥ 8/condition). (C) A2780 cells stably expressing RacGAP1WT, RacGAP1249A, or RacGAP1249D were transfected with Raichu-Rac and seeded onto CDMs. FLIM was performed, and FRET efficiency at the cell front was calculated as in Fig. 6 (A–C). Representative images are shown (n ≥ 8/condition). (D) A2780 cells stably expressing RacGAP1WT, RacGAP1249A, or RacGAP1249D were transfected with Raichu-RhoA and seeded onto CDMs. FLIM was performed, and FRET efficiency at the cell front was calculated as in Fig. 6 (A–C). Representative images are shown (n ≥ 4/condition). Zoomed insets correspond to areas indicated by dotted ROIs. Yellow lines represent the baseline activity as determined by an inactive mutant of the probe. Data represent means ± SEM from at least three independent experiments. *, P < 0.5; ***, P < 0.001. Bars, 10 µm.
previously classified mesenchymal and amoeboid migration in 3D matrix and is characterized by the extension of long pseudo-podial processes tipped by linear arrays of actin spikes.

Discussion

Here, we determine the mechanism through which RCP-driven α5β1 recycling promotes invasive migration into FN-rich ECM. PKB/Akt is locally activated within the pseudopod tip as

In FN-rich collagen gels, under basal conditions, the morphology of A2780 cells was restricted by the dense fibrillar network, and cells remained relatively rounded and displayed short projections (Fig. 9 C). In the presence of cRGDfV, the morphology of cells changed drastically, and cells became less spherical and more elongated, with long, thick actin-rich protrusions formed, reminiscent of pseudopods observed in CDM (Fig. 9, C–E). Collectively, these data suggest that the RCP–α5β1 pathway promotes a mode of elongated migration that is distinct from
Figure 9. RCP-dependent α5β1 trafficking promotes formation of actin spikes at the cell front and elongated movement in 3D matrix. (A) HT1080 and A2780 cells expressing Lifeact-mEGFP were plated onto CDM for 4 h before imaging. Actin dynamics were captured as cells move in 3D using a spinning-disk confocal microscope. Arrows indicate dynamic protrusions. Zoomed images from videos are shown in the time sequence and correspond to areas indicated by dotted ROIs. Bars, 20 µm. (B) Normalized actin density at protrusions was calculated by dividing the mean integrated density at protrusions by the mean integrated density within the whole cell (n > 500/condition). (C) A2780 cells were allowed to invade through a plug of collagen and FN for 24 h before fixation. Cells were stained for actin and imaged top to bottom using a confocal microscope. Maximum projections were produced using ImageJ, and the 3D reconstructions were made using Imaris. Bars, 50 µm. (D) The 2D shape descriptors were calculated from the maximum projections images, using the particle analysis plug-in of ImageJ (n > 46/condition). (E) The 3D shape descriptors were calculated from the entire cell volume, using the 3D shape plug-in of ImageJ (n > 46). Data represent means ± SEM from at least three independent experiments. ***, P > 0.01.
a consequence of RCP-α5β1 trafficking and phosphorylates Rac-GAP1, a Rac- and Cdc42-specific GAP, on T249. This promotes recruitment of RacGAP1 to IQGAP1 within the tips of invasive pseudopods as cells migrate in 3D and provides the platform for local suppression of Rac1 activity and activation of RhoA to drive protrusion and invasion in FN-rich 3D matrix (Fig. 10).

Although IQGAP1 can both positively and negatively regulate small GTPase activity, it possesses no intrinsic GAP or GEF activity. IQGAP1 can bind directly to active RhoGTPases, e.g., Cdc42, prolonging their activity (Ho et al., 1999; Brown and Sacks, 2006). However, in spreading fibroblasts, IQGAP1 is recruited to sites of integrin activation and recruits RacGAP1 to suppress Rac1 (Jacquemet et al., 2013b). We now show that the phosphorylation-dependent recruitment of RacGAP1 to IQGAP1 at the front of invading cells suppresses Rac1 activity (Fig. 6 and Fig. 7) and is central to the mechanism through which RCP-α5β1 controls cancer cell invasion. A large body of evidence has suggested that IQGAP1 plays a role in tumorigenesis and invasive migration (Mataraza et al., 2003; Johnson et al., 2009; Jameson et al., 2013). Consistent with this, we found that IQGAP1 knockdown inhibits invasive migration (Fig. 5, A and B; and Fig. S2, D–F). RacGAP1 expression is also associated with tumorigenesis (Lu et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2011), and we provide evidence here that RacGAP1 requires IQGAP1 to facilitate invasive migration (Fig. 5, C–E). Further studies will reveal whether cooperation and simultaneous up-regulation of RacGAP1 and IQGAP1 is a feature of human cancers, in particular those that express mutant p53 or soluble αvβ3 ligands such as osteopontin.

We have shown a novel function of RacGAP1 in invasive migration. The PKB/Akt phosphorylation site within RacGAP1, T249, is not within any recognizable structural region (Fig. S1 E) and is therefore unlikely to directly influence GAP activity as reported for Aurora kinase (Minoshima et al., 2003). Furthermore, T249 is outside of the regions known to interact with MKLP1, Ect2, or Rab11-FIP3 (Mishima et al., 2002; Yuce et al., 2005; Simon et al., 2008), and phosphorylation at T249 did not influence binding of MKLP1 or Ect2 (Fig. 3 C) or the rate of proliferation of cancer cells (Fig. S1 K). These observations suggest that PKB/Akt phosphorylation does not regulate cytokinesis and instead plays a role in the recruitment of RacGAP1 to IQGAP1 at the cell periphery during invasive migration.

Rac and RhoA control different modes of migration, and plasticity exists within migratory systems to allow switching between modes (Sahai, 2007; Sanz-Moreno et al., 2008; Friedl and Alexander, 2011; Deakin and Turner, 2011). Mesenchymal migration is thought to be adhesion dependent, and amoeboid migration is less so (Friedl and Alexander, 2011), although amoeboid tumor cells can form adhesions (Deakin and Turner, 2011; Poincloux et al., 2011). More recently, lobopodial migration has been described in matrices exhibiting linear elasticity, including CDMs and dermal explants, and this requires integrin adhesion formation and RhoA-ROCK (Petrie et al., 2012). Our evidence indicates that RCP-α5β1-driven invasive migration is distinct from previously described modes of migration, first in the requirement for the ECM component FN, which is important in metastatic progression (Psaila and Lyden, 2009; Reticker-Flynn et al., 2012; Ghajar et al., 2013), but not present in many in vitro invasion assays. We demonstrate that RCP-α5β1-driven invasive migration is further distinguished by morphology and RhoGTPase requirement: it is characterized by extension of long pseudopodial protrusions driven by RhoA (Fig. 8, A–C) and antagonized by Rac1 (Fig. 8, A–C). Furthermore, RCP-α5β1 induces the formation of short linear arrays of actin spikes at the leading edge, which appear to drive protrusion in 3D matrix (Fig. 9 A), rather than wavelike lamellipodia. We have noted that ROCK activity is dispensable for protrusion and is only required for retraction of the cell rear (unpublished data), leading us to speculate that cytoskeletal reorganization could be driven by other classes of RhoA effectors. Formin homologous domain proteins are capable of generating spikelike F-actin structures by polymerizing actin from the barbed end, and members of this family play important roles in cancer cell invasion (Brandt et al., 2009; Kitzing et al., 2010; Vega et al., 2011).

RhoGTPases are major downstream effectors of integrins and are required for many of the myriad functions of this family of adhesion receptors, in particular those relating to cell migration (Ridley et al., 2003). In fibroblasts, αvβ3 (and αvβ3 recycling) promotes persistent lamellipodial migration in 2D through Rac, whereas α5β1 (and α5β1 recycling) signals via RhoA/ROCK to favor rapid, random migration (Danen et al., 2005; White et al., 2007; Morgan et al., 2013). The reciprocity of these phenotypes is reflected in the plasticity of carcinoma cells as they invade: αvβ3 recycling promotes invasion into 3D matrix in the absence of FN, whereas α5β1 recycling promotes invasion into FN-rich ECM (Caswell et al., 2008; Muller et al., 2009; Christoforides et al., 2012; Rainero et al., 2012; Jacquemet et al., 2013a). DGK-α-dependent production of PA recruits RCP to the tips of invasive pseudopods via a C2 domain and restricts the localization of α5β1 recycling vesicles (Rainero et al., 2012). Thus, the RCP-α5β1 pathway encodes spatial information, which is transduced via PKB/Akt to the RacGAP1–IQGAP1 complex, to locally suppress Rac1 activity and activate RhoA at the cell front. Although RacGAP1 can directly inactivate Rac1, the mechanism through which RhoA is activated remains unclear. Chemical inhibition of Rac is sufficient to promote RhoA activity at the cell front (Fig. 6 K), consistent with the findings of others (Guilluy et al., 2011), and also to promote pseudopod extension (Fig. S4 I). This suggests that suppression of Rac is a key event that promotes RhoA activity and initiates pseudopod extension. Although RacGAP1 phosphorylation does not influence binding of RacGAP1 to the RhoA GEF Ect2 (Fig. 3 C), it is possible that RacGAP1 localizes the activity of this RhoA GEF in migrating cells as is the case at adherens junctions in epithelial cells (Ratheesh et al., 2012).

Our findings indicate that RCP regulates α5β1 trafficking to sustain localized signaling to RhoGTPases. Phosphorylation of RacGAP1 by PKB/Akt, as a consequence of integrin-mediated EGFR1 trafficking and signaling, promotes recruitment to the front of invading cells via IQGAP1. This leads to suppression of Rac activity, which is sufficient to promote pseudopod extension and invasion by permitting activation of RhoA. FN is key to RCP-α5β1-driven invasion, indicating that the extracellular environment is a critical determinant of the mode of migration and RhoGTPase requirement of cells migrating in 3D, highlighting the importance of both intrinsic and extrinsic factors in determining the metastatic dissemination of tumor cells.
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Figure 10. RCP-dependent α5β1 recycling regulates the localization of RacGAP1 and downstream signaling to RhoGTPases. (A) α5β1 trafficking is suppressed by αvβ3 or the transcriptional activity of p63, and Rac signaling predominates at the leading edge. (B) Inhibition of αvβ3 or expression of gain-of-function mutant p53 promotes the association of RCP with α5β1, recruitment of EGFR1, and subsequent recycling. Production of PA by DGKα within the tips of pseudopods recruits RCP-α5β1/EGFR1 vesicles and localizes downstream signaling via PKB/Akt. Here, PKB/Akt phosphorylates RacGAP1, allowing its recruitment to IQGAP1, providing a platform for the inactivation of Rac and activation of RhoA to promote pseudopod extension and invasion in FN-rich ECM. P, phosphorylation.
Materials and methods

Cell culture and transient transfection

A2780 cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 and H1299, and MDA-MB-231 and HT1080 cells were cultured in DMEM (Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with 10% FCS and grown at 37°C and 5% CO2. Transient transfections and knockdowns were performed using the nucleofector (A2780s; Solution T, 3 µg plasmid DNA or 1 µM siRNA; program A23; Amamax). Lipofectamine 2000 for siRNA transfection (MDA-MB-231, H1299, and IQGAP1 siRNA; 100 nM siRNA; two rounds of transfection), or Lipofectamine LTX (for A2780 and HT1080 mEGFP-Lifeact transfections) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. CDMs were prepared as described previously (Cukierman et al., 2001; Caswell et al., 2008). In brief, tissue-culture plates were gelatin coated, cross-linked with glutaraldehyde, quenched, and equilibrated in DMEM containing 10% FCS. Human telomerase-immortalized fibroblasts were seeded at near confluence and grown for 8–10 d in DMEM containing 10% FCS and 50 µg/ml ascorbic acid. Matrices were desnved of living cells by incubation with PBS containing 20 mM NH4OH and 0.5% Triton X-100, and DNA residue was removed by incubation with DNase I.

Plasmids and reagents

RNAi oligonucleotides (oligo) were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific as follows: ON-TARGETplus nontargeting siRNA (single oligo or pool as appropriate); IQGAP1 #1 [5′-GAAAGCGGUGGAUAGGAUC-3′]; IQGAP1 #2 [5′-G04694-03]; Rac1 (SMARTpool, oligo 6, 5′-GGCAAGACCUCCAGGAUUG-3′; and oligo 8, 5′-GAUGUACUACACGCCGGAU-3′); Rac2 (SMARTpool or Rac2 #1); 5′-GAGCUGUCCACACCACUGUCA; Rac3 (SMARTpool or Rac3 #1); 5′-UGGUCCGAAUUGGAUUG-3′; and RCP (J019568-10). shRNA vectors for PKB/Akt isoforms were prepared using mU6Pro and the following sequences: Akt1 #1, 5′-CTCTCCCTCAGAGAAG-3′; Akt2 #1, 5′-CGAGTGGTTAGACCTGAG-3′; Akt2 #2, 5′-TCTGCTATCAGAAGAGGCT-3′. Mammalian expression vectors encoding siRNA-resistant GFP-Rac1 (pEFGP-C2, full length, and resistant to Rac1 #1) and GFP-RhoA (pcDNAEST53, full length, and resistant to RhoA #1) were gifts from A. Ridley (King’s College London, London, England, UK; Vega et al., 2011; Reymond et al., 2012). Akind, Rainhu-Rac (Rainhu-1011X), and Rac1-RhoA (Rainhu-1237X) in the CAGGS backbone were gifts from M. Matsuda (Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan; Itoh et al., 2002; Yoshizaki et al., 2003, 2007). Bacterial expression vector pMAL encoding MBP-RacGAP1 was a gift from T. Kitamura (Tokyo University, Tokyo, Japan). A codon-optimized RacGAP1-FLAG was synthesized by GenScript and cloned into the lentiviral vector pWPX1 using BamHI and EcoRI (Addgene). Point mutations were introduced using site-directed mutagenesis (QuickChange Lightning, Agilent Technologies). For MBP-RacGAP1 the following primers were used: T249A, 5′-GÁCGAGCGCAGGAAAGCAGCTTCTTA-CAG-3′ and 5′-GCCGCGACCACTTCTCAAGC-3′. For codon-optimized RacGAP1-FLAG the following primers were used: T249A, 5′-AGCUGGCGCAAAAGGACGCAGC-3′ and T249D, 5′-CGGAGCAGGGCAGGAAGCAGGACCTCAGCC-3′. Rac inhibitor NC2376 was purchased from EMD Millipore and used at a concentration of 25 µM. cGnRDV was purchased from Bachem and added directly to the culture medium at a concentration of 2.5 µM. The broad specificity kinase inhibitor staurosporine was purchased from EMD Millipore and used at a concentration of 10 µM.

Rabbit anti-IQGAP1 (H-109), rabbit anti-Rac1 (B-7), rabbit anti-MKLP1 (N-19), rabbit anti-RhoA (119), and mouse anti-PKAβ/Akt2 (F-7) were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc. Mouse anti-Rac1 (106), mouse anti-FLAG (M2), mouse anti-α-tubulin, and mouse anti-α-actin were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Mouse anti-Rac1 (23A8) was purchased from EMD Millipore. Rabbit anti-Rac1 and anti-PSK-α/β/Akt1 (C73H10) were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology. Rabbit anti-Ect2 (raised against Ect2 aa 1–421) was a gift from M. Petronczki (London Research Institute, London, England, UK; Su et al., 2011).

In vitro kinase assay

MBP-RacGAP1 and mutants were produced in BL-21 strain Escherichia coli and purified using Amlose resin (New England Biolabs, Inc.). 18 µg MBP-RacGAP1 was incubated with recombinant active PKβ/β/Akt2 (pH7.5/5474D; 0.5 µg of 1.55 U; EMD Millipore) and 10 mM ATP (including 10 µCi [γ-32P]ATP) in reaction buffer (100 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 10 mM MgCl2, 30 mM β-mercaptoethanol, and 20% glycerol). Reactions were terminated by addition of reducing sample buffer.
Microscopy
For immunofluorescence imaging, cells were plated onto CDM for >4 h, treated with or without cRGDfV, and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde. After permeabilization and blocking, cells were stained using primary (rabbit anti-RacGAP1, rabbit anti-IGGAP1, or mouse anti-FLAG) and Cy3- or Cy5-conjugated secondary antibodies as indicated. Images were captured on a spinning-disk confocal inverted microscope (Marianas; 3i) using a 63x objective lens (Plan Apochromat, NA 1.46) and SlideBook 5.0 software (3i). Linear adjustments to brightness and contrast were made using ImageJ (manual tracking plugin to determine speed/persistence).

For live-cell imaging of actin dynamics during invasive migration, A2780 and HT11080 cells transiently transfected with Lifeact-GFP were plated on CDM-coated glass-bottom dishes for 4 h. For optimal image resolution, normal culture medium was replaced by Ham’s F12 (Gibco) containing 25 mM Hepes in the presence of 10% (vol/vol) FCS before addition of cRGDfV (1–11) where appropriate for image acquisition. Images were collected every 3 min at 37°C on a spinning-disk confocal inverted microscope (Marianas) using a 100x objective lens (Plan Apochromat, NA 1.4) and SlideBook 5.0 software. For each protracted event, the mean integrated density of Lifeact-GFP at protrusion sites was normalized to the mean integrated density of Lifeact-GFP in the whole cell.

Cluster 3.0 (version 1.50; C Clustering Library; de Hoon et al., 2004) and visualized using TreeView (version 1.1.62; Java; Saldanha, 2004).

Inverted invasion assays were modified from those described previously (Hennigan et al., 1994). In brief, collagen I (final concentration ∼5 μg/ml; BD) supplemented with 25 μg/ml FN as indicated was allowed to polymerize in inserts (Transwell; Corning) for 1 h at 37°C. Inserts were then inverted, and cells were seeded directly onto the opposite face of the filter. Transwell inserts were finally placed in 0.1% serum medium, and medium supplemented with 10% FCS and 30 ng/ml EGF was placed on top of the matrix, providing a chemoattractant gradient. Where appropriate, 2.5 μM cRGDfV was added to the matrix before plug polymerization and also to the medium throughout the system. 48–72 h after seeding, migrating cells were visualized with Calcein-AM, or monitored by confocal microscopy with serial optical sections being captured at 15-μm intervals using a confocal microscope (SP2; Leica) and a 20x objective lens. Individual confocal images are presented in sequence with increasing penetration from left to right. Invasion was quantified using the area calculator plugin in ImageJ, measuring the fluorescence intensity of cells invading 45 μm or more and expressing this as a percentage of the fluorescence intensity of all cells within the plug.

PLA
PLAs were performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Duolink using the Duolink In Situ PLA probe anti–Rabbit PLUS and anti–Mouse MINUS and the Duolink In Situ Detection Reagents Red (Sigma-Aldrich)). Cells were counterstained using FITC-phalloidin (Invitrogen) and imaged using a spinning-disk confocal microscope (Marianas) using a 100x objective lens, and images were analyzed using ImageJ.

GAP assay
GAPs assays were performed using a RhoGAP assay kit (Cytoskeleton, Inc.) in a similar manner to Bastos et al. (2012). In brief, two 15-cm plates (A2780-GFP or A2780-FLAG-RacGAP1™) were lysed per GAP assay condition and immunoprecipitated with anti-FLAG antibodies. Immunoprecipitates were washed copiously with final washes in TBS. GAP was added to mixtures of immunoprecipitate, and GTPase was added for 30 min at 37°C before incubation with CytoPhos reagent and measurement of optical density at 650 nm.

Proliferation assay
Cells were seeded at 5,000 cells per well in 96-well plates. Cell number was assayed at varying time points over 7 d using CellTiter 96 kit (Promega). In brief, cells were seeded into 96-well plates and allowed to proliferate for ≤7 d. Dye solution was added to each well, and the plate was returned to 37°C for 4 h before addition of stop solution. The plate was incubated at room temperature overnight, and absorbance was read at 570 nm. Cell number was normalized to the number of cells (absorbance at 570 nm) 16 h after seeding (day 0).

Statistical analysis
Statistical analyses were performed as appropriate, and p-values are indicated by asterisks in the figure legends. Z tests were performed when n > 30; in all other cases, the Student’s t test was used (unpaired, two-tailed, and unequal variance).

Online supplemental material
Fig. S1 shows that Akt2 and RacGAP1 are required for RCP–RacGAPmediated invasion. Fig. S2 shows that IGGAP1 is required for migration on CDM and invasion into collagen/FN matrix. Fig. S3 shows localization of Raichu-Rac and -RhoA, and GAP activity of RacGAP1 in A2780 cells. Fig. S4 shows that Rac and RhoA differentially regulate migration on CDM. Video 1 shows FLAG-RacGAP1™-expressing cells migrating in the presence and absence of cRGDfV, the inhibition of pseudopod extension by expression of FLAG-RacGAP1, and the adoption of pseudopodial migration by cells expressing FLAG-RacGAP1™. Videos 2–5 show the activities of Rac/Rac and RhoA in cells ± cRGDfV. Video 6 shows the effect of Rac and RhoA knockdown on invasion into collagen/FN matrix. Video 7 shows the dynamics of mEGFP-Lifeact in HT1080 cells and A2780 cells ± cRGDfV migrating on CDM. Video 8 shows the activities of Rac/Rac and RhoA in cells ± cRGDfV. Additional data are available in the JCB Data Viewer at http://dx.doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201302041.

GAPs assays were performed using a RhoGAP assay kit (Cytoskeleton, Inc.) in a similar manner to Bastos et al. (2012). In brief, two 15-cm plates (A2780-GFP or A2780-FLAG-RacGAP1™) were lysed per GAP assay condition and immunoprecipitated with anti-FLAG antibodies. Immunoprecipitates were washed copiously with final washes in TBS. GAP was added to mixtures of immunoprecipitate, and GTPase was added for 30 min at 37°C before incubation with CytoPhos reagent and measurement of optical density at 650 nm.
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