VALENTINA BOLD

JANET LITTLE ‘THE SCOTCH MILKMAID’ AND ‘PEASANT POETRY’

Janet Little “The Scotch Milkmaid” was born in 1759, the same year as Burns, at Nether Bogside in Ecclefechan, Dumfriesshire. The daughter of George Little, she entered into service with the Rev. Mr Johnston, accompanying his family to Glasgow. Later she worked for Mrs Dunlop of Dunlop, Burns’s patron, then became head dairymaid at Loudon estate in Ayrshire for Mrs Henri, Mrs Dunlop’s sister; connections which facilitated the publication of The Poetical Works of Janet Little, The Scotch Milkmaid (Ayr, 1792). All poems quoted here appear in this volume. Little married a fellow worker at Loudon Castle, John Richmond, and became stepmother to his five children; she continued to run the Loudon dairy after Mrs Henri’s lease expired. Apparently Little was a big woman of considerable physical presence, ‘no bad representation of some of Sir Walter Scott’s gigantic heroines, but without their impudence.’ She appreciated ‘sense’ in a sermon, and was a member of the Dissenting congregation of Rev. Mr Blackwood in Galston. Little died aged 54 in 1819.

The ‘Scotch Milkmaid’’s poetic repertoire is diverse. Many items are melancholic and composed of stock romantic formulae, for instance, ‘Upon a Young Lady’s leaving Loudon Castle’, in which ‘silent, solitary gloom’ follows the departure of a lady ‘milder than an April morn’. There are a number of sentimental pieces, such as ‘On Happiness’: ‘O Happiness! where art thou to be found? / What bow’r is blest with thy perpetual gleam’ (1–2). Little often moralises, but with tolerance, as in the humorous attack on vanity, ‘Upon a Young Lady’s Breaking a Looking Glass’. Her linguistic spectrum ranges from the formal English of ‘On Reading Lady Mary Montague and Mrs. Rowe’s Letters’ and the pseudo-Burnsian ‘From Snape, A Favourite Dog, to his Master’, to the spirited Scots of poems like ‘To My Aunty’, ‘Wha ne’er o’ wit nor l war was vaunt’ (2). Undoubtedly Little’s finest work is her Scots poetry, in the vernacular tradition of Ramsay and Ferguson.

Her work has not yet received the appreciation it deserves. There is Hilton Brown’s lonely essay of 1950 and Donna Landry’s enthusiastic reappraisal in The Muses of Resistance (Cambridge, 1990). Little is occasionally mentioned as a compatriot and follower of Burns. Even her grave in Loudon Kirkyard has vanished without trace: it is as if her memory had been wilfully erased. Little’s belittling is integrally related to her classification as ‘peasant poet’, a notion which began with Henry Mackenzie’s unfortunate labelling of Burns as ‘Heaven-taught ploughman’, in number 97 of the Lounger, 9 December 1786. Mackenzie helped to fix Burns’s image as romantic peasant, giving rise to a ‘cottages industry’ with branches of ‘weaver poets’ in Galloway,
‘shepherd poets’ in the Borders, and ‘artisan poets’ in industrial areas. English parallels included John Clare, and Southey’s Uneducated Poets (London, 1827) applied the stereotype to a group including Taylor the ‘Water-Poet’ and Stephen Duck. One hundred years later, works like John D. Ross’s Robert Burns and his Rhyming Friends (Stirling, 1928) still presented the idea of a peasant ‘school’ of autodidacts. A parallel position was assumed by Robert Graves, in his essay on ‘The Future of Poetry’ (1926). Graves believed: ‘The working classes do not read poems: they read little except the Sunday newspapers’ and therefore could not be expected to create.\(^1\)

Peasant poets were expected to excel in certain areas, especially the pathetic. The Scots Magazine of 1819 remarked:

> Tragic and impassioned sentiments are nearly the same in all ranks, and often the more powerful, in proportion as they are more simply expressed. But humour is a more factitious quality; it requires a certain polish and refinement which unlettered bards can scarcely have had an opportunity of acquiring.\(^2\)

No real refinement, then, could be expected of the ‘peasant poet’. All that could be hoped for was a charming expression of moral (and political) conservatism. Henry Shanks, in The Peasant Poets of Scotland (Bathgate, 1881), asserted:

> the truest test of the moral force and mental activity of a people is the number of peasant and artisan poets which it has produced. I say peasant and artisan in preference to great poets, because the latter will generally be found to be drawn from the upper and educated classes, and consequently do not afford so complete a proof of the permeations of poetic thought and feeling among the great body of the people – just as a sample drawn from, or near, the bottom of a tank, would more correctly represent the quality of the water contained in it than one drawn from the top only.\(^3\)

Stressing social status meant ‘peasant poets’ were accorded little serious attention, and praised primarily on account of their unexpected sociological transition from ignorance to relative learning. Patronising comments, like D. M. Moir’s on James Hogg, ‘The Ettrick Shepherd’, were commonplace:

> when we consider what an unlettered peasant was able to achieve by the mere enthusiasm of his genius, we are entitled to marvel certainly – not that his writings should be full of blemishes, but that his mind ever had power to burst through the Cimmerian gloom in which his early years seemed so hopelessly enveloped.\(^4\)

There were variations to this interpretation. Shanks perceived a ‘natural affinity between poverty and poetry’, ‘of all the arts, the poetic, although not entirely independent of special training and cultivation, is the one, which can best assert itself, where the opportunities for such training are denied.’\(^5\)

Little’s choice of the title ‘Milkmaid Poet’ indicates an active assumption of the role of peasant poet. It is understandable: presenting oneself as a self-taught writer helped secure patronage, albeit limited and limiting. Assuming a work-oriented soubriquet could be a double-edged sword, and even Hogg momentarily considered rejecting ‘The Ettrick Shepherd’ for a new pseudonym ‘J. H. Craig of Douglas’, but the proposal was dropped as commercially unviable. Custom dictated that patronage should be uncritically and humbly appreciated; when it was not, as in Hogg’s Familiar Anecdotes of Sir Walter Scott (New York, 1834), the peasant poet faced a barrage of criticism.\(^7\)

Dedicating her collection, ‘To the Countess of Loudon’, ‘from Your Ladyship’s Ever Grateful and Obedient Humble Servant’, Little self-efficaciously begged indulgence for her background. While ‘Nature speaks’, at least her ‘humble lines had birth’ under a Lady’s roof, where ‘moral lessons spoke from ev’ry part’ (15). Little thereby flattered her patron and suggested her own deserving purity; an eminently suitable stance for a peasant poet. The companion piece, ‘To the Public’ is a similar apology. It hints at what Shanks, himself a peasant poet, called ‘rampant literary trade unionism’ – the self-regulatory behaviour practised by the literati.\(^8\) But while, like Shanks, Little deprecates critical condescension, she exploits it when it suits:

> “Vain are her hopes,” the sneering critic cries;  
> “Rude and imperfect is her rural song.”
> But she on public candour firm relies,  
> And humbly begs they’ll pardon what is wrong.  
> (stanza 3)

As already mentioned, Little is generally considered as an acolyte of Burns, and so some attention has been accorded to her ‘Epistle to Mr Robert Burns’. It is a sincere tribute using Burns’s favoured ‘standard Habbie’ in Scots-English, with a passing nod to the opening of ‘To a Haggis’:

> Fair fa’ the honest rustic swain,  
> The pride o’ a’ our Scottish plain;  
> Thou gies us joy to hear thy strain,  
> And notes sae sweet;  
> Old Ramsay’s shade, reviv’d again,  
> In thee we greet.  
> (stanza 1)

Little was thoroughly familiar with Burns’s corpus; as shown by references to ‘Caesar and Luath’ (stanza 4), ‘the daisy’ (stanza 7), and the love poetry which would make ‘the most frigid dame ... relent’ (stanza 7):
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Did Addison or Pope but hear,
Or Sam, that critic most severe,
A plough-boy sing, wi’ throat sae clear,
They, in a rage.
Their works wad a’ in pieces tear
An’ curse your page. (stanza 8)

Before Burns, ‘Lov’d Thalia [sic], that delightful muse, / Seem’d long shut up as a recluse’ (7–8). ‘Thalia’ is the muse of Ramsay’s ‘Wealth, or the Woody’, and the Ramsayan and Augustan citations suggest Little was drawing on an older vernacular tradition.9 There is a danger of the Burns connection disguising Little’s debt to the Scottish Augustans; she contributed to the obfuscation by stressing her peasant empathy with, and inferiority to, Burns. Her ‘rude, unpolish’d strokes wad blot / Thy brilliant shine’ (3–4).

Burns does not seem to have responded to the ‘Epistle’, writing to Mrs Dunlop in 1789 about ‘your poetess’: ‘I should have written her, as she requested, but for the hurry of this new business. I have heard of her and her compositions in this country; and, I am happy to add, always to the honour of her character.’10 There is some evidence the heaven-taught ploughman liked to monopolise the ‘peasant poet’ tag, and this raises the intriguing question of how far the ‘champion of the working classes’ really supported his fellow autodidacts. Despite the Epistles to ‘Davie’, J. Lapraik and William Simson, written before his own rise to fame, Burns was not particularly encouraging to contemporaries trying to jump on his bandwagon: Sillar, Campbell, Fisher, Walker the tailor poet. Admittedly the pressure of responding to would-be protégés was immense – a year after the letter just quoted, he asked Mrs Dunlop not to send a ‘Poem on the King by an English farmer’ or the ‘Ode to Hope by J.L.’ (presumably Little, given her poem of this title) insisting he would prefer a sheet of Mrs Dunlop’s prose.11

In January 1792 Burns promised Mrs Dunlop to ‘fill up my subscription-bill’ for Little, and seems to have discussed the Milkmaid’s Poems, presented to him by Mrs Dunlop, on a visit to Loudon house in December 1792. Burns’s comments on that occasion led his patron to comment:

Mathinks I hear you ask me with an air that made me feel as I had got a slap in the face, if you must read all the few lines I had pointed out to you of notice in Jenny’s book. How did I upbraid my own conceited folly at that instant that had never subjected one of mine to so haughty an imperious critic! I never liked so little in my life as at that moment the man whom at others I delighted to honour . . . I then felt for Mrs Richmond [Jenny Little], for you, and for myself, and not one of the sensations were such as I would wish to cherish in remembrance.12

Mrs Dunlop, then, saw Burns as rather egotistical in his preoccupations. As Hilton Brown comments, ‘Perhaps Janet was just good enough to be, not certainly a rival, but a disquieting imitation . . . a Scottish Milkmaid was dangerously like a Ploughman Poet. Burns fought shy of her.’13 Little persevered in trying to contact Burns, making the long journey from Loudon to Ellishand and expressing her high expectations ‘On a Visit to Mr Burns’:

Is’t true? or does some magic spell
My wondering eyes beguile?
Is this the place where deigns to dwell
The honour of our isle?
The charming BURNS, the Muses care,
Of all her sons the pride;
This pleasure oft I’ve sought to share,
But been as oft denied. (stanzas 1 and 2)

In dreams she has seen him but now the ‘poignant dart’ is no vision. The Poet arrives, with the ‘dire alarm’ he had broken his arm in a fall from his horse, Pegasus:

With beating breast I view’d the bard;
All trembling did him greet;
With sighs bewail’d his fate so hard,
Whose notes were ever sweet. (stanza 8)

No other evidence of the meeting exists, and it is tempting to speculate the encounter may be imagined – an ambitious but fruitless expedition paralleling McGonagall’s later trip to Balmoral in the hope of meeting Queen Victoria. Little’s subsequent attitude towards Burns was ambiguous, although paradoxically, according to Loudon tradition, Burns’s daughter visited Little shortly before the latter’s death.14

Little seems to have felt resentment – if not to Burns himself, to the double standards she faced as a woman peasant poet. Developing this position, the reductive ‘Given to a Lady who asked me to write a poem’ draws on the satirical tradition of Ramsay and Burns. While, ‘In royal Anna’s golden days, / Hard was the task to win the bays’ (1–2) now the ‘Harmony, uncouth rhymes’ Burns ‘pretends to write’ are in fashion:

But then a rustic country queen
To write — was e’re the like o’ t seen?
A milk maid poem books to print;
Mair fit she wad her dairy tent;
Or labour to her spinning wheel,
An’ do her wark saft swift an’ weel.
Frae that she may some profit share,
But wina free her rhyming ware.
Does she, poor silly thing, pretend
The manners of our age to mend?
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Mad as we are, we're wise enough
Still to despise sic paltry stuff.  (stanza 5)

All this and more, a critic said;
I heard and shrank behind the shade:
So much I dread their cruel spite,
My hand still trembles while I write.  (stanza 8)

Little resented the 'Lady's requests as much as Burns did in his loaded lines,
'On a dog of Lord Eglington's'. Burns implicitly identifies himself with the
pampered beast: 'I never barked when out of season, / I never bit without a
reason' (1–2). 15

There is some confusion as Little strives to find her poetic voice,
particularly in love lyrics. Conforming to expectations of melancholic,
pastorally oriented lyrics from 'peasant poets', Little's love poems are usually
fashionable literary lyrics, in English, from a male perspective; she is heavily
influenced by Burns in his Sylvander mode. An 'Acrostic Upon a Young
Woman', for instance, invokes a plethora of high-cultural conventions for the
love effusions of a 'humble swain'. But, on occasion, Little enjoys subverting
literary love conventions. 'The Fickle Pair' is founded on classical allusions and the tradition of the Gentle Shepherd:

Damon and Phillis, 'tother day,
To Hymen's altar hasten'd;
They talked of love along the way,
And wish'd the knot well fasten'd.  (stanza 1)

However, the wooing is dashed by Cupid's departure and the pair make
alternative matches:

Philander kindly fill'd his place;
To Damon Chloe consented.
That night they wed, O woeful case!
And ere next morn repented.  (stanza 8)

The ironic twist shows Little's potential for parody, rarely fulfilled elsewhere.
She is more at ease in poems expressing friendship. 'Epistle to Nell, Wrote
from Loudon Castle' and 'Nell's Answer' bear tribute to happy conversations
in the grounds of Loudon estate; 'A Poem on Contention' dedicated to Janet
Nicol, 'a poor old wandering woman', is a convincing evocation of solidarity
between women. Incidentally, Little hopes Nicol 'may never feel the pain, / We
heedless scribbling fools sustain' (60–1).

Little's sense of humour is invariably at its best in Scots, for instance in the
gently satirical 'On Seeing Mr. —— baking cakes' where, as a 'crazy
scribbling lass', she exposes 'frugal' Rab giving short measure to his
customers. His dishonest trading recalls vernacular types: the cynical madam
of Ramsay's 'Lucky Spence's Last Advice', the dishonest 'brother wives' of
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Fergusson's 'Leith Races'. 'Hallowe'en' develops the Burnsian treatment of
this theme. Little depicts the 'mirth' of traditional holiday poetry with
consummate ease. More than traces of Ramsay and Fergusson's influences are
evident. The style recalls Ramsay's additions to the very old tradition of
'Christis Kirk on the Green', and Fergusson's ebullient 'Hallow Fair' and
'Farmer's Ingle'. 16 Despite the assumptions of critics that she is purely a
disciple of Burns, Little belongs to an older Scottish literary tradition:

At Hallowe'en, when fairy sprites
Perform their mystic gambols,
When ilk a witch her neebour greets,
On their nocturnal rambles;
When elves at midnight hour are seen,
Near hollow caverns sportin,
Then lads and lasses ait convene,
In hopes to ken their fortune,
By freets that night.  (stanza 2)

It is symptomatic of the period that the Scots poetry is only a small element in
her collection. Critics assumed true poetry was the preserve of the formally
educated and the corollary was that true poetry should be composed in their
language: English. Burns and Hogg were both encouraged to write in English.
In considering Hogg's The Mountain Bard (Edinburgh and London 1807,
revised 1821), The Monthly Review noticed a distinct improvement in Hogg's
English as 'more correct, and less at variance with the rules of good taste and
propriety ... he does not seek a refuge, as it were, in the obscurities of his
native dialect.' 17 Such misguided remarks had profound effects on Hogg as, no
doubt, on Little, encouraging her to compose, in the main, in stilted English.
She faced a stark choice of maintaining her artistic integrity or pleasing the
'Ladies' who patronised her. Most of the time she took the safer route.

Little's experience is typical of the autodidacts. Being a woman compounded
the process. Gender was not necessarily considered a handicap
to literary genius in the nineteenth century, but certain assumptions were
made. There is an early statement of these in the series 'Celebrated Female
Writers' in Blackwood's Magazine of 1824. The first profile was of the
celebrated dramatist Joanna Baillie, and argued (primarily because of her
moral examples) her work was supremely influential in redirecting
contemporary poetic impulses:

We are aware that this is a supposition which will be considered as
humiliating to the pretensions of the stronger sex; that it supposes the
distinguished fraternity of bards, of whom our country is so justly
proud, and who have united in forming of the reigns of George the
Third and Fourth another Age of Genius, only second to that of
Elizabeth, to have laboured in a region which was opened to them by
the hands of a woman. But however startling this assertion may sound,
or however repulsive it may appear to our male prejudices and our
tenacious love of superiority, we make this assertion without the
slightest fear of contradiction, for it is supported by the incontro
vertible testimony of facts. 1 

Baillie, of course, was a minister’s daughter. Little could expect no such
indulgence.

Peasant poets after Burns were involved in the process of creating a
mythology of autodidacticism. While they did not tell lies, they increasingly
told their stories in a way which emphasised their heaviness of labour and
blenkess of life. In the end they reconstructed real biographical elements into
conventionalised problems and predicaments. James Hogg is a spectacular
example, complying with critical expectations in presenting personal
experience. Memoirs and essays, from John Younger’s Autobiography to
Janet Hamilton on ‘Self-Education’ tell a standard tale of early deprivation,
minimal formal education, juvenile struggles and eventual triumphing over
the creative odds. 19 Financial failure was inevitable because of devotion to the
Muses. According to the myth, the peasant poet was to exhibit an early
awareness of the attractions of the opposite sex, and the odd occasion of errant
behaviour, in the tradition of Burns, was allowed the men. But basically
peasant poets were expected to present themselves as family stalwarts in the
tradition of ‘The Cottar’s Saturday Night’. Along with the emphasis on
domesticity, stress was given to periods of ill health. Without demeaning the
authenticity of their experiences, it seems as though early death was a
frequent hazard (as with John Leyden and David Gray) which ironically
ensured a certain amount of attention. This fostered the ‘lad o’ paims’ myth
which would prove so popular in the Kailyard novels of Ian MacLaren (John
Watson) et al.

There is, of course, substantial truth in the notion ‘peasant poets’ had
specific obstacles to face. Women ‘peasant’ writers were particularly
handicapped in terms of access to formal education. In the late nineteenth
century, Janet Hamilton poignantly expressed their predicament:

Working-women, daughters of working-mothers with families,
your education must have chiefly been that of the hearth and the heart.
You could not be spared for years to attend school. Mother could not
dispose with your assistance in nursing baby, and many other
domestic services within the compass of your strength and ability. 20

In addition, Little would have been excluded from the educational fraternities
open to men of her own station. Parallel to the Societies of the upper classes,
male literary coteries flourished in the late eighteenth and nineteenth
centuries. Regular literary meetings meant that Bowden, for example, a
Selkirkshire hamlet, boasted several locally famous poets including Andrew
Scott and the better known Thomas Aird. Little, though, did engage in literary

exchanges with Mrs Dunlop as well as another ‘rustic poetess’: Jean Murray,
from the Muir near Mauchline. 21 Judging from several allusions, Little may
have had access to the library at Loudon Castle. This was not unknown for
favoured servants, as Hogg’s experience with his employers, the Laidlaws,
corroborates. Above all Little, like Hogg, drew on a rich resource of
erucauverary songs, tales and beliefs, which provided thematic and stylistic
material for her finest work.

Janet Little’s treatment as an autodidact has obscured his real worth. While
it is important to avoid eulogising working class poets merely in reaction to
patronising criticism, Little is representative of a rich poetic tradition which,
until recently, has been ignored or misrepresented. 22 She has been pigeon-
holed as a minor writer within the Burnsian tradition, overlooking the
substantial influences of Ramsay and Ferguson on her work. Little merits
reappraisal, outwith her previous typecasting as social phenomenon and
disciple of Burns.
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