



Preiss, David et al. (2012) *Lipid-modifying therapies and risk of pancreatitis: a meta-analysis*. JAMA: Journal of the American Medical Association, 308 (8). pp. 804-811. ISSN 0098-7484

Copyright © 2012 The Authors

A copy can be downloaded for personal non-commercial research or study, without prior permission or charge

Content must not be changed in any way or reproduced in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holder(s)

When referring to this work, full bibliographic details must be given

<http://eprints.gla.ac.uk/69063/>

Deposited on: 4 September 2012

# Lipid Modifying Therapies and the Risk of Pancreatitis: a Meta-Analysis

**Short title:** statins, fibrates and pancreatitis

David Preiss (MD, PhD)<sup>1</sup>, Matti J Tikkanen (MD, PhD)<sup>2</sup>, Paul Welsh (PhD)<sup>1</sup>, Ian Ford (PhD)<sup>3</sup>, Laura C Lovato (MS)<sup>4</sup>, Marshall B Elam (MD, PhD)<sup>5</sup>, John C LaRosa (MD)<sup>6</sup>, David A DeMicco (DPharm)<sup>7</sup>, Helen M Colhoun (MD)<sup>8</sup>, Ilan Goldenberg (MD)<sup>9</sup>, Michael J Murphy (FRCP)<sup>10</sup>, Thomas M MacDonald (FRCP)<sup>11</sup>, Terje R Pedersen (MD, PhD)<sup>12</sup>, Anthony C Keech (MD, PhD)<sup>13</sup>, Paul M Ridker (MD)<sup>14</sup>, John Kjekshus (MD, PhD)<sup>15</sup>, Naveed Sattar (MD, PhD)<sup>1\*</sup>, John JV McMurray (MD)<sup>1\*</sup> (\* *joint final authors*)

<sup>1</sup> BHF Glasgow Cardiovascular Research Centre, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK

<sup>2</sup> University of Helsinki and Division of Cardiology, Helsinki University Hospital, and Folkhalsan Research Center, Helsinki, Finland

<sup>3</sup> Robertson Centre for Biostatistics, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK

<sup>4</sup> Division of Public Health Sciences, Department of Biostatistical Science, Wake Forest University School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, NC, USA

<sup>5</sup> Memphis Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Memphis, USA

<sup>6</sup> SUNY Health Science Center at Brooklyn, New York, USA

<sup>7</sup> Global Pharmaceuticals, Pfizer, New York, New York, USA

<sup>8</sup> Medical Research Institute, University of Dundee, Dundee, UK

<sup>9</sup> Cardiac Rehabilitation Institute and Israeli Society for the Prevention of Heart Attacks, Leviev Heart Center, Sheba Medical Center, Israel

<sup>10</sup> Department of Biochemical Medicine, University of Dundee, Dundee, UK

<sup>11</sup> Medicines Monitoring Unit, Division of Medical Sciences, University of Dundee, Dundee, UK

<sup>12</sup> University of Oslo and Centre for Preventative Medicine, Oslo University Hospital, Ulleval, Oslo, Norway

<sup>13</sup> NHMRC Clinical Trials Centre, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia

<sup>14</sup> Harvard Medical School, Cardiovascular Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

<sup>15</sup> Department of Cardiology, Oslo University Hospital Rikshospitalet, Oslo, Norway

**Correspondence to:** Dr David Preiss

BHF Glasgow Cardiovascular Research Centre, University of Glasgow, 126 University Place, Glasgow, G12 8TA, UK

Telephone: +44 141 3303076

Email: [david.preiss@glasgow.ac.uk](mailto:david.preiss@glasgow.ac.uk)

**Word count:** 2746

**Figures:** 3 (and 2 supplementary figures)

**Tables:** 2

## ABSTRACT

**Context:** Statin therapy has been associated with pancreatitis in observational studies. Although lipid guidelines recommend fibrate therapy to reduce pancreatitis risk in those with hypertriglyceridemia, fibrates may lead to the development of gallstones, a risk factor for pancreatitis.

**Objective:** To investigate associations between statin and fibrate therapy, respectively, and incident pancreatitis in large randomized trials.

**Data Sources:** We identified relevant trials in literature searches of MEDLINE, EMBASE and Web of Science (1 January 1994 for statin trials, 1 January 1972 for fibrate trials through 9 June 2012). Published pancreatitis data were tabulated where available (6 trials). Unpublished data were obtained from investigators (22 trials).

**Study Selection:** We included randomized controlled cardiovascular end-point trials investigating effects of statin therapy and fibrate therapy, respectively. Studies with more than 1000 participants followed for over 1 year were included.

**Data Extraction:** Trial-specific data described numbers of participants developing pancreatitis and change in triglycerides at 1 year. We calculated trial-specific risk ratios (RR) and combined these using random-effects model meta-analysis. Between-study heterogeneity was assessed using the  $I^2$  statistic.

**Results:** In 16 placebo- and standard care-controlled statin trials with 113,800 participants conducted over a weighted mean (standard deviation) follow-up of 4.1 (1.5) years, 309 developed pancreatitis (134 assigned statin, 175 assigned control), RR 0.77 (95% confidence intervals [CI], 0.62-0.97;  $p=0.027$ ;  $I^2=0\%$ ). In 5 dose-comparison statin trials with 39,614 participants conducted over 4.8 (1.7) years, 156 developed pancreatitis (70 assigned intensive-dose statin, 86 assigned moderate-dose),

RR 0.82 (95% CI, 0.59-1.12;  $p=0.21$ ;  $I^2=0\%$ ). Combined results for all 21 statin trials provided RR 0.79 (95% CI, 0.65-0.95;  $p=0.011$ ;  $I^2=0\%$ ). In seven fibrate trials with 40,162 participants conducted over 5.3 (0.5) years, 144 developed pancreatitis (84 assigned fibrate therapy, 60 assigned placebo), RR 1.39 (95% CI 1.00-1.95;  $p=0.053$ ;  $I^2=0\%$ ).

**Conclusion:** In a pooled analysis of randomized trial data, use of statin therapy was associated with a lower risk of pancreatitis.

## INTRODUCTION

Pancreatitis is a condition with a clinical spectrum ranging from a mild, self-limiting episode to a severe or fatal event. Numerous case-reports and pharmaco-epidemiological studies have stated that statins may be associated with an increased incidence of pancreatitis(1-4) though few comprehensively considered confounding factors. Very few large randomized trials of statin therapy have published data on incident pancreatitis. Recently reported data from the Study of Heart and Renal Protection (SHARP), a trial comparing combination therapy of simvastatin and ezetimibe with placebo on cardiovascular events in patients with chronic kidney disease, demonstrated a reduction in pancreatitis cases in those on simvastatin and ezetimibe suggesting a possible protective effect (5). In addition, statins reduce bile cholesterol content (6) which may theoretically reduce the risk of developing gallstones, a risk factor for pancreatitis.

Hypertriglyceridemia is the third most common cause of pancreatitis (7). Guidelines for lipid modifying therapies include advice to initiate triglyceride-lowering therapy, usually fibrates, in those with moderate and severe hypertriglyceridemia (above 400 to 500mg/dL) (8;9). However, high quality evidence for this approach is lacking and only observational data exist (10;11). In addition, some evidence suggests that fibrates may be associated with an increased risk of pancreatitis among patients with lower triglyceride levels than the threshold triglyceride level identified in published guidelines (12). Fibrates increase bile cholesterol concentration and may increase the risk of gallstones (13;14). However, few large randomized placebo-controlled trials of fibrate therapy have published data on pancreatitis.

Associations of statin and fibrate medications with pancreatitis are therefore uncertain. We examined the associations between statin and fibrate therapy, respectively, and the incidence of pancreatitis by conducting a collaborative meta-analysis of published and unpublished data from large randomized clinical trials.

## METHODS

We gathered data from large randomized end-point trials primarily designed to assess the effects of statin therapy (including both placebo- and standard care-controlled trials plus intensive-dose vs. moderate-dose trials) and fibrate therapy, respectively, on cardiovascular events. Inclusion criteria were trials with 1000 or more participants exposed to randomized therapy with a minimum mean follow-up of one year as in previous large statin meta-analyses (15). This was based on the rationale that the large trials contained the vast majority of patient-years of follow-up and would be most likely to employ systematic collection of clinical endpoints and serious adverse events. We excluded trials conducted in patients with previous organ transplant or on hemodialysis, and trials comparing combination therapy to placebo. We searched MEDLINE, EMBASE and Web of Science databases with the terms *statin*, *HMG CoA reductase inhibitor* and *fibrate*, and also names of individual statins (*atorvastatin*, *fluvastatin*, *lovastatin*, *pitavastatin*, *pravastatin*, *rosuvastatin*, *simvastatin*) and fibrates (*bezafibrate*, *ciprofibrate*, *clofibrate*, *fenofibrate*, *gemfibrozil*) as title words and keywords, limited to studies defined as randomized controlled trials, to identify relevant studies performed in adult patients (initial search on October 28, 2011, search updated June 9, 2012) and published from January 1,

1972 (fibrate trials) or January 1 1994 (statin trials), until June 9, 2012 (**Figure 1**) without language restrictions. Reference lists for the studies identified in the literature search were also searched for additional studies. The Food and Drug Administration Agency website was also searched for trial reports containing relevant data. Abstracts, manuscripts and reports were reviewed independently by 2 readers (D.P. and P.W.) in an unblinded fashion. A third reviewer (N.S.) settled discrepancies. In the small number of trials where published data regarding incident pancreatitis and change in triglyceride levels were available, these data were tabulated. In the majority of trials where no relevant data were available, trial investigators were contacted with a request to provide the required information. After the full articles were reviewed and data were received from collaborators, 21 statin trials (5;16-36) (**Table 1**) and seven fibrate trials (**Table 2**) (12;37-43) were included in the analyses. As unpublished data were made available for both the Helsinki Heart Study (40) and its smaller ancillary study (44), conducted in similar groups of participants randomized to the same therapies over the same follow-up times, these results were combined in one overall study.

### **Data Sources**

Published data for incident pancreatitis were available from 2 statin trials (5;22;36) and 4 fibrate trials (12;37-39;41). Unpublished data were collected from 19 statin trials (16-21;23-35) and 3 fibrate trials (40;42;43). To examine whether there was a relationship between the extent of triglyceride-lowering between active and control therapy arms in the trials and risk of pancreatitis, we collected data on average change in triglycerides at one year. A PRISMA checklist was provided to the journal at the time of manuscript submission (45).

## **Quality Assessment**

Two authors (D.P. and P.W.) used an established tool, the Jadad score, to independently evaluate the quality of each trial (46). The Jadad score is designed to assess trials with regard to method of randomization, whether the trial is double blinded and whether withdrawals / dropouts are described, thereby allowing a score of up to 5 points. A third reviewer (N.S.) was available to resolve any disagreement by consensus and discussion.

## **End Points**

A patient was considered to have developed pancreatitis during the trial if this was recorded as a serious adverse event or adverse event. This information was identified using different approaches across the trials, namely (1) text word searches of adverse event reports, including self-reported data of hospitalization, for *pancreatitis*; (2) Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedRa) event classification; (3) International Classification of Diseases (ICD) classifications ICD-10 (K85, K86.0, K86.1) or ICD-9 (577.0 and 577.1), according to the preference of each trial's investigators. All reports of pancreatitis were included regardless of suggested etiology (information regarding alcohol intake was not available) or whether the condition was described as acute, chronic or neither, based on the rationale that such additional data may have been largely absent or variably reported across trials.

## **Statistical Analysis**

To identify potential associations of lipid modifying therapies with the risk of developing pancreatitis, we calculated risk ratios (RR) as the ratio of cumulative



incidence and 95% CIs from the available data for all trial participants at baseline and those who developed pancreatitis during trial follow-up. Study-specific RRs were pooled using a random-effects model meta-analysis as the preferable approach to manage potential between-study heterogeneity that may have been introduced by the differing methods for identifying participants with incident pancreatitis available in the trials and different trial populations. Statistical heterogeneity across studies was quantified using both the  $\chi^2$  (or Cochran Q statistic) and  $I^2$  statistics, with  $p > 0.10$  considered statistically non-significant. The  $I^2$  statistic is derived from the  $Q$  statistic ( $[Q - df / Q] \times 100$ ) and provides a measure of the proportion of the overall variation attributable to between-study heterogeneity (47). Placebo- and standard care-controlled statin trials plus intensive-dose vs. moderate-dose statin trials, were analysed both separately (with comparison of analyses by fixed-effect inverse-variance method) and in a combined analysis. In sensitivity analyses, only trials with previously published pancreatitis data were examined; and fixed-effects model meta-analyses were also performed. We assessed the potential for publication bias through formal statistical testing, namely funnel plots and Egger tests. To evaluate the potential relationship between the associations of lipid modifying agents with incident pancreatitis and relative reductions in triglyceride levels achieved at one year on statins and fibrates respectively, random-effects meta-regression analyses were performed. All  $p$  values were 2-sided and  $p < 0.05$  was considered statistically significant for the meta-analyses and meta-regression analyses. Analyses were conducted using Stata version 10.1 (StataCorp, College Station, Texas).

## **Funding**

This project was not supported by external funding.

## RESULTS

### **Statin therapy and pancreatitis**

Twenty-one randomized clinical trials of statin therapy, two with published data regarding incident pancreatitis and 19 with unpublished data, provided data on 153,414 participants over a weighted mean (standard deviation [SD]) follow-up period of 4.3 (1.6) years. Baseline average triglyceride levels in the trials varied from 118 to 187 mg/dL. Trials were of high quality with a median Jadad score of 5 (range 3-5) and 100% agreement between reviewers.

In 16 placebo- and standard care-controlled statin trials with 113,800 participants conducted over 4.1 (SD-1.5) years, 309 (0.27%) developed pancreatitis (134 assigned statin, 175 assigned control), RR 0.77 (95% CI, 0.62-0.97; p=0.027) (**Table 1, Figure 2**). This represents a number needed to treat (NNT) of 1175 (95% CI 693-9195) over 5 years. There was limited heterogeneity between statin trials for incident pancreatitis ( $\chi^2=9.11$ ;  $I^2=0\%$ ).

In 5 dose-comparison statin trials with 39,614 participants conducted over 4.8 (SD-1.7) years, 156 (0.39%) developed pancreatitis (70 assigned intensive-dose statin, 86 assigned moderate-dose), RR 0.82 (95% CI, 0.59-1.12; p=0.21) (**Table 1, Figure 2**). There was again limited heterogeneity between these trials for incident pancreatitis ( $\chi^2=1.29$ ;  $I^2=0\%$ ).

There was no evidence of statistical heterogeneity between the analyses of placebo-controlled trials and intensive vs. moderate- statin dose trials ( $p=0.79$  for interaction).

In the combined dataset of 21 statin trials, 465 (0.30%) developed pancreatitis (204 of whom were assigned to statin therapy or intensive-dose statin therapy, 261 assigned to placebo, standard care or moderate-dose statin therapy respectively), RR 0.79 (95% CI, 0.65-0.95;  $p=0.011$ ;  $\chi^2=10.48$ ;  $I^2=0\%$ ) (**Table 1, Figure 2**). This represents a NNT of 1187 (95% CI 731-4768) over 5 years. There was no evidence of publication bias ( $p=0.83$ ; **eFigure 1A**). Meta-regression analysis found no relationship across the trials between risk of pancreatitis and reduction in triglyceride levels at one year though this analysis was of limited value given the limited statistical heterogeneity between trial-specific RRs ( $p=0.23$ ; **eFigure 2A**).

Using a fixed-effects model approach produced identical results (RR 0.79, 95% CI 0.65-0.95;  $p=0.011$ ) to the random-effects model. In a sensitivity analysis of only the two trials with published data (22;36), 122 (0.37%) developed pancreatitis (52 of 16,300 assigned to statin therapy or intensive-dose therapy, 70 of 16,300 assigned to placebo or moderate-dose statin therapy), RR 0.74 (95% CI 0.52-1.07;  $p=0.11$ ;  $\chi^2=0.30$ ;  $I^2=0\%$ ).

### **Fibrate therapy and pancreatitis**

Seven randomized clinical trials of fibrate therapy, four with published data and three with unpublished data regarding incident pancreatitis, provided data on 40,162 participants over a weighted mean (SD) follow-up period of 5.3 (0.5) years. Baseline average triglyceride levels in the trials varied from 145 to 184 mg/dL. Trials were of

high quality with a median Jadad score of 5 (range 5-5) and 100% agreement between reviewers. During this time, 144 (0.36%) developed pancreatitis (84 assigned fibrate therapy, 60 assigned placebo), RR 1.39 (95% CI 1.00-1.95;  $p=0.053$ ) (**Table 2, Figure 3**). This represents a number needed to harm of 935 (95% CI 388- greater than 50,000) over 5 years. There was limited heterogeneity between trials for incident pancreatitis ( $\chi^2=4.48$ ;  $I^2=0\%$ ). Likewise, there was no evidence of publication bias ( $p=0.59$ ; **eFigure 1B**). Meta-regression analysis found no relationship across the trials between risk of pancreatitis and reduction in triglyceride levels at one year across the trials ( $p=0.81$ ; **eFigure 2B**) though this analysis was of limited value given the limited statistical heterogeneity between trial-specific RRs, and similar relative reductions in triglyceride levels achieved across the trials.

Using a fixed-effects model approach produced identical results to the random-effects model (RR 1.39, 95% CI 1.00-1.95;  $p=0.053$ ). In a sensitivity analysis of only the four trials with published data (12;37;39;41), 69 (0.26%) developed pancreatitis (44 of 12,593 assigned to fibrate therapy, 25 of 14,252 assigned to placebo), RR 1.75 (95% CI 1.07-2.86;  $p=0.026$ ;  $\chi^2=1.19$ ;  $I^2=0\%$ ).

## COMMENT

This report of pooled randomized trial data demonstrates that use of statin therapy was associated with a reduction in the number of patients developing pancreatitis. Broadly similar results were obtained for both statin compared with placebo and for intensive-dose statin therapy compared with moderate-dose therapy, in keeping with a dose-

dependent association. However, we did not demonstrate an association between use of fibrate therapy and risk of pancreatitis.

Previously published case-reports and observational pharmaco-epidemiological studies have demonstrated an association between statin therapy and increased risk of pancreatitis (1-4). However, such analyses are susceptible to bias by unmeasured confounders and to confounding by indication. The present analysis, however, indicates that statin therapy may be associated with a lower risk of pancreatitis overall. Though we cannot completely exclude the possibility that statin therapy may lead to very occasional idiosyncratic cases of pancreatitis, the randomized trial data appear reassuring. Unlike fibrates, statins are not known to increase the risk of developing gallstones (48). Studies showing associations between statin use and both a reduction in bile cholesterol and reduced risk of gallstones on statins suggest the possibility of a protective effect (6;49). Furthermore, studies conducted in animal models suggest the possibility that statin therapy may be associated with benefit for both acute pancreatitis and chronic pancreatitis (50-52).

Major guidelines of lipid-modifying therapy such as the National Cholesterol Education Program, Third Report of the Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (NCEP ATP III) (8) and the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE), Type 2 Diabetes guideline (9), suggest that fibrate therapy should be prescribed for patients with moderately elevated triglyceride levels and higher (>500mg/dL and >400mg/dL respectively). This is based on the rationale that hypertriglyceridemia is a well-recognized cause for pancreatitis and that lowering triglycerides should therefore be clinically beneficial

(7). However, no convincing trial data exist to support the hypothesis that fibrate therapy is associated with prevention of pancreatitis for patients with hypertriglyceridemia. Participants in the Coronary Drug Project assigned to clofibrate had a 50% higher incidence of cholelithiasis or cholecystitis than those on placebo (13) and gallstones are a well-known cause of pancreatitis. In addition, it has been demonstrated in small clinical studies that both fenofibrate, a fibrate thought less likely to cause gallstones, and bezafibrate are associated with a higher cholesterol content of bile, thereby theoretically increasing the risk of developing gallstones (14;53). Following the Coronary Drug Project, other large fibrate trials did not find a significant increase in the incidence of gallbladder disease though the total number of cases was small (40;41;43). Our analysis did not demonstrate an association between fibrate therapy and the risk of pancreatitis though we may have lacked statistical power to show an increased risk in patients with slightly elevated triglycerides (the range at baseline in the trials we examined was 145-184 mg/dL). It remains possible, however, that fibrates might have a different net effect in patients with higher triglyceride levels.

Although the present results for both statins and fibrates should be considered hypothesis-generating and while the number of cases of pancreatitis was small in this trial population at low risk of pancreatitis, it raises questions regarding the choice of lipid modifying agents in hypertriglyceridemic patients. In those with slightly elevated triglycerides, statin therapy appears better supported by the available data than fibrates for preventing pancreatitis. Lifestyle modifications also remain important to improve lipid profiles in such individuals. In those patients with severe

hypertriglyceridemia, a trial comparing fibrates and statins for preventing pancreatitis would be clinically valuable.

Strengths of this meta-analysis include the following: first, the meta-analysis was conducted using data from randomized trials which avoids most of the potential bias of unmeasured confounders encountered in observational studies. Second we included data from almost all the relevant trials, both published and unpublished, thereby maximizing power and providing the best answer possible with existing data.

Limitations include the following: first, pancreatitis was not a pre-specified endpoint in the trials which were primarily designed to assess the effect of lipid modifying therapy on cardiovascular events. However, limited statistical heterogeneity between trial results for statins and fibrates, respectively, plus evidence of a dose-dependent association for statins provides confidence in the findings. Second, the occurrence of pancreatitis was not recorded in a standardized way with resultant variation between trials. Therefore these results, especially for fibrate therapy where there were relatively few events which were dominated by two trials (12;43), should be interpreted with caution. Third, as it was felt unlikely that the cause of pancreatitis would have been consistently recorded in an accurate way across trials, we were unable to examine specific causes such as gallstones or alcohol. Data on alcohol use was not available. Likewise, we were unable to separate reports of pancreatitis into acute and chronic cases. However, given that the majority of trials used the presence of hepatobiliary disease as exclusion criteria, it is highly likely that the majority of cases included in this report represent *de novo* acute pancreatitis. This is supported by evidence from SHARP (5). Fourth, we did not have access to individual participant data which may have reduced our ability to identify any relationship of therapy with

the extent of triglyceride lowering. And fifth, as the trials tended to exclude participants with marked hypertriglyceridemia, these findings may not necessarily be generalizable to that specific group of patients.

In summary, pooled analyses of randomized trial data suggest that statin therapy is associated with a reduction in the risk of pancreatitis in patients with normal or mildly elevated triglyceride levels.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project was not supported by external funding. Dr Preiss had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. P.W. is supported by a British Heart Foundation fellowship grant FS/10/005/28147. We are grateful to the investigators from the following trials for providing unpublished data: Helsinki Heart Study, Bezafibrate Infarction Prevention Study, Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes Lipid study, Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study, West of Scotland Coronary Prevention Study, Air Force/Texas Coronary Atherosclerosis Prevention Study, Long-term Intervention with Pravastatin in Ischaemic Disease Study, Gruppo Italiano per lo Studio della Sopravvivenza nell'Insufficienza cardiaca (GISSI) Prevenzione, Prospective Study of Pravastatin in the Elderly at Risk, Greek Atorvastatin and Coronary Heart Disease Evaluation Study, Anglo-Scandinavian Cardiac Outcomes Trial-Lipid Lowering Arm, Collaborative Atorvastatin Diabetes Study, Pravastatin or Atorvastatin Evaluation and Infection Therapy Study, Aggrastat to Zocor Study, Treating to New Targets Study, Incremental Decrease in Events through Aggressive Lipid Lowering Study, Atorvastatin Study for Prevention of Coronary Heart Disease Endpoints in Non-Insulin-Dependent Diabetes Mellitus, Management of Elevated Cholesterol in the Primary Prevention Group of Adult Japanese Study Group, Controlled Rosuvastatin Multinational Trial in Heart Failure, Justification for the Use of Statins in Prevention: an Intervention Trial Evaluating Rosuvastatin, GISSI-Heart Failure. Bristol Myers Squibb provided data for the Cholesterol And Recurrent Events Trial.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

*Study concept and design:* Preiss, Tikkanen, McMurray

*Acquisition of data:* Preiss, Tikkanen, Lovato, Elam, LaRosa, DeMicco, Colhoun, Goldenberg, Ford, Pedersen, Keech, McMurray, Ridker, Kjekshus

*Analysis and interpretation of data:* Preiss, Tikkanen, Welsh, Elam, LaRosa, DeMicco, Colhoun, Goldenberg, Ford, Murphy, MacDonald, Pedersen, Keech, Ridker, Kjekshus, Sattar, McMurray

*Drafting of the manuscript:* Preiss, Sattar, McMurray

*Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content:* Preiss, Tikkanen, Welsh, Lovato, Elam, LaRosa, DeMicco, Colhoun, Goldenberg, Ford, Murphy, MacDonald, Pedersen, Keech, Ridker, Kjekshus, Sattar, McMurray

*Statistical analysis:* Preiss

*Administrative, technical, or material support:* Welsh, DeMicco

*Study supervision:* Sattar, McMurray

## CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The majority of trials in this manuscript were funded partly or wholly by industry and all authors except D.P., P.W., M.J.M., T.M.M. and N.S. were investigators in at least one trial. D.P., P.W., I.F., L.C.L., I.G., M.J.M., T.M.M., J.K., J.J.V.M. report no conflicts of interest. M.J.T. has received honoraria from Pfizer and consultant fees from Amgen Inc. M.B.E. has acted as Consultant and/or Speaker, for Abbott/Solvay, Merck Schering Plough and Pfizer Canada (Category 3). J.C.L. has received consultancy fees from Pfizer, and AstraZeneca and has participated in clinical trials funded by Pfizer. D.A.D. is a full time employee of Pfizer. H.M.C. has received honoraria for advisory board participation and speaker fees from Pfizer. T.R.P. has received speakers honoraria, consulting fees or research grants from Merck, AstraZeneca, AMGEN, Roche and Novartis. A.C.K. has received honoraria, research and/or travel grants from Abbott, Merck Sharpe & Dohme, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Novartis, Eli Lilly, Pfizer, Roche Diagnostics, Solvay and AstraZeneca. P.M.R. has received research grant support from AstraZeneca and Novartis; consultancy fees from Merck, Genzyme, Vascular Biogenics, ISIS, and Boston Diagnostics; and is listed as a co-inventor on patents held by the Brigham and Women's Hospital that relate to the use of inflammatory biomarkers in cardiovascular disease and diabetes that have been licensed to AstraZeneca and Siemens. N.S. has consulted for and received lecture fees from Merck, Pfizer, and AstraZeneca, and has received research grant support from Pfizer.

## References

- (1) Van Woerkom RC, Adler DG. Report of simultaneous acute pancreatitis and acute hepatitis in a patient taking ezetimibe/simvastatin. *J Clin Lipidol* 2010; 4(4):314-315.
- (2) Badalov N, Baradaran R, Iswara K, Li J, Steinberg W, Tenner S. Drug-induced acute pancreatitis: an evidence-based review. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2007; 5(6):648-661.
- (3) Singh S, Loke YK. Statins and pancreatitis: a systematic review of observational studies and spontaneous case reports. *Drug Saf* 2006; 29(12):1123-1132.
- (4) Tsigrelis C, Pitchumoni CS. Pravastatin: a potential cause for acute pancreatitis. *World J Gastroenterol* 2006; 12(43):7055-7057.
- (5) Food and Drug Administration. Endocrinologic and Metabolic Drugs Advisory Committee Briefing Document: SHARP (Study of Heart and Renal Protection). <http://www.fda.gov/downloads/AdvisoryCommittees/CommitteesMeetingMaterials/Drugs/EndocrinologicandMetabolicDrugsAdvisoryCommittee/UCM277652.pdf> . 2011. (last accessed 6 June 2012)
- (6) Duane WC, Hunninghake DB, Freeman ML, Pooler PA, Schlasner LA, Gebhard RL. Simvastatin, a competitive inhibitor of HMG-CoA reductase, lowers cholesterol saturation index of gallbladder bile. *Hepatology* 1988; 8(5):1147-1150.
- (7) Ewald N, Hardt PD, Kloer HU. Severe hypertriglyceridemia and pancreatitis: presentation and management. *Curr Opin Lipidol* 2009; 20(6):497-504.
- (8) National Cholesterol Education Program. Third Report of the Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). <http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/guidelines/cholesterol/> . 2004. (last accessed 6 June 2012)
- (9) National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. The management of type 2 diabetes. <http://www.nice.org.uk/nicemedia/live/12165/44320/44320.pdf> . 2009. (last accessed 6 June 2012)
- (10) Athyros VG, Giouleme OI, Nikolaidis NL, Vasiliadis TV, Bouloukos VI, Kontopoulos AG et al. Long-term follow-up of patients with acute hypertriglyceridemia-induced pancreatitis. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2002; 34(4):472-475.
- (11) Sandhu S, Al Sarraf A, Taraboanta C, Frohlich J, Francis GA. Incidence of pancreatitis, secondary causes, and treatment of patients referred to a specialty lipid clinic with severe hypertriglyceridemia: a retrospective cohort study. *Lipids Health Dis* 2011; 10:157.

- (12) Keech A, Simes RJ, Barter P, Best J, Scott R, Taskinen MR et al. Effects of long-term fenofibrate therapy on cardiovascular events in 9795 people with type 2 diabetes mellitus (the FIELD study): randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2005; 366(9500):1849-1861.
- (13) Gallbladder disease as a side effect of drugs influencing lipid metabolism. Experience in the Coronary Drug Project. *N Engl J Med* 1977; 296(21):1185-1190.
- (14) Palmer RH. Effects of fenofibrate on bile lipid composition. *Arteriosclerosis* 1985; 5(6):631-638.
- (15) Baigent C, Blackwell L, Emberson J, Holland LE, Reith C, Bhalra N et al. Efficacy and safety of more intensive lowering of LDL cholesterol: a meta-analysis of data from 170,000 participants in 26 randomised trials. *Lancet* 2010; 376(9753):1670-1681.
- (16) Randomised trial of cholesterol lowering in 4444 patients with coronary heart disease: the Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study (4S). *Lancet* 1994; 344(8934):1383-1389.
- (17) Shepherd J, Cobbe SM, Ford I, Isles CG, Lorimer AR, MacFarlane PW et al. Prevention of coronary heart disease with pravastatin in men with hypercholesterolemia. West of Scotland Coronary Prevention Study Group. *N Engl J Med* 1995; 333(20):1301-1307.
- (18) Sacks FM, Pfeffer MA, Moye LA, Rouleau JL, Rutherford JD, Cole TG et al. The effect of pravastatin on coronary events after myocardial infarction in patients with average cholesterol levels. Cholesterol and Recurrent Events Trial investigators. *N Engl J Med* 1996; 335(14):1001-1009.
- (19) Downs JR, Clearfield M, Weis S, Whitney E, Shapiro DR, Beere PA et al. Primary prevention of acute coronary events with lovastatin in men and women with average cholesterol levels: results of AFCAPS/TexCAPS. Air Force/Texas Coronary Atherosclerosis Prevention Study. *JAMA* 1998; 279(20):1615-1622.
- (20) Prevention of cardiovascular events and death with pravastatin in patients with coronary heart disease and a broad range of initial cholesterol levels. The Long-Term Intervention with Pravastatin in Ischaemic Disease (LIPID) Study Group. *N Engl J Med* 1998; 339(19):1349-1357.
- (21) Results of the low-dose (20 mg) pravastatin GISSI Prevenzione trial in 4271 patients with recent myocardial infarction: do stopped trials contribute to overall knowledge? GISSI Prevenzione Investigators (Gruppo Italiano per lo Studio della Sopravvivenza nell'Infarto Miocardico). *Ital Heart J* 2000; 1(12):810-820.
- (22) MRC/BHF Heart Protection Study of cholesterol lowering with simvastatin in 20,536 high-risk individuals: a randomised placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 2002; 360(9326):7-22.

- (23) Shepherd J, Blauw GJ, Murphy MB, Bollen EL, Buckley BM, Cobbe SM et al. Pravastatin in elderly individuals at risk of vascular disease (PROSPER): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2002; 360(9346):1623-1630.
- (24) Athyros VG, Papageorgiou AA, Mercouris BR, Athyrou VV, Symeonidis AN, Basayannis EO et al. Treatment with atorvastatin to the National Cholesterol Educational Program goal versus 'usual' care in secondary coronary heart disease prevention. The GREek Atorvastatin and Coronary-heart-disease Evaluation (GREACE) study. *Curr Med Res Opin* 2002; 18(4):220-228.
- (25) Sever PS, Dahlof B, Poulter NR, Wedel H, Beevers G, Caulfield M et al. Prevention of coronary and stroke events with atorvastatin in hypertensive patients who have average or lower-than-average cholesterol concentrations, in the Anglo-Scandinavian Cardiac Outcomes Trial--Lipid Lowering Arm (ASCOT-LLA): a multicentre randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2003; 361(9364):1149-1158.
- (26) Colhoun HM, Betteridge DJ, Durrington PN, Hitman GA, Neil HA, Livingstone SJ et al. Primary prevention of cardiovascular disease with atorvastatin in type 2 diabetes in the Collaborative Atorvastatin Diabetes Study (CARDS): multicentre randomised placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 2004; 364(9435):685-696.
- (27) Knopp RH, d'Emden M, Smilde JG, Pocock SJ. Efficacy and safety of atorvastatin in the prevention of cardiovascular end points in subjects with type 2 diabetes: the Atorvastatin Study for Prevention of Coronary Heart Disease Endpoints in non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus (ASPEN). *Diabetes Care* 2006; 29(7):1478-1485.
- (28) Nakamura H, Arakawa K, Itakura H, Kitabatake A, Goto Y, Toyota T et al. Primary prevention of cardiovascular disease with pravastatin in Japan (MEGA Study): a prospective randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2006; 368(9542):1155-1163.
- (29) Kjekshus J, Apetrei E, Barrios V, Bohm M, Cleland JG, Cornel JH et al. Rosuvastatin in older patients with systolic heart failure. *N Engl J Med* 2007; 357(22):2248-2261.
- (30) Ridker PM, Danielson E, Fonseca FA, Genest J, Gotto AM, Jr., Kastelein JJ et al. Rosuvastatin to prevent vascular events in men and women with elevated C-reactive protein. *N Engl J Med* 2008; 359(21):2195-2207.
- (31) Tavazzi L, Maggioni AP, Marchioli R, Barlera S, Franzosi MG, Latini R et al. Effect of rosuvastatin in patients with chronic heart failure (the GISSI-HF trial): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 2008; 372(9645):1231-1239.
- (32) Cannon CP, Braunwald E, McCabe CH, Rader DJ, Rouleau JL, Belder R et al. Intensive versus moderate lipid lowering with statins after acute coronary syndromes. *N Engl J Med* 2004; 350(15):1495-1504.

- (33) de Lemos JA, Blazing MA, Wiviott SD, Lewis EF, Fox KA, White HD et al. Early intensive vs a delayed conservative simvastatin strategy in patients with acute coronary syndromes: phase Z of the A to Z trial. *JAMA* 2004; 292(11):1307-1316.
- (34) LaRosa JC, Grundy SM, Waters DD, Shear C, Barter P, Fruchart JC et al. Intensive lipid lowering with atorvastatin in patients with stable coronary disease. *N Engl J Med* 2005; 352(14):1425-1435.
- (35) Pedersen TR, Faergeman O, Kastelein JJ, Olsson AG, Tikkanen MJ, Holme I et al. High-dose atorvastatin vs usual-dose simvastatin for secondary prevention after myocardial infarction: the IDEAL study: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2005; 294(19):2437-2445.
- (36) Armitage J, Bowman L, Wallendszus K, Bulbulia R, Rahimi K, Haynes R et al. Intensive lowering of LDL cholesterol with 80 mg versus 20 mg simvastatin daily in 12,064 survivors of myocardial infarction: a double-blind randomised trial. *Lancet* 2010; 376(9753):1658-1669.
- (37) Clofibrate and niacin in coronary heart disease. *JAMA* 1975; 231(4):360-381.
- (38) Canner PL, Berge KG, Wenger NK, Stamler J, Friedman L, Prineas RJ et al. Fifteen year mortality in Coronary Drug Project patients: long-term benefit with niacin. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1986; 8(6):1245-1255.
- (39) A co-operative trial in the primary prevention of ischaemic heart disease using clofibrate. Report from the Committee of Principal Investigators. *Br Heart J* 1978; 40(10):1069-1118.
- (40) Frick MH, Elo O, Haapa K, Heinonen OP, Heinsalmi P, Helo P et al. Helsinki Heart Study: primary-prevention trial with gemfibrozil in middle-aged men with dyslipidemia. Safety of treatment, changes in risk factors, and incidence of coronary heart disease. *N Engl J Med* 1987; 317(20):1237-1245.
- (41) Rubins HB, Robins SJ, Collins D, Fye CL, Anderson JW, Elam MB et al. Gemfibrozil for the secondary prevention of coronary heart disease in men with low levels of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol. Veterans Affairs High-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol Intervention Trial Study Group. *N Engl J Med* 1999; 341(6):410-418.
- (42) Secondary prevention by raising HDL cholesterol and reducing triglycerides in patients with coronary artery disease: the Bezafibrate Infarction Prevention (BIP) study. *Circulation* 2000; 102(1):21-27.
- (43) Ginsberg HN, Elam MB, Lovato LC, Crouse JR, III, Leiter LA, Linz P et al. Effects of combination lipid therapy in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *N Engl J Med* 2010; 362(17):1563-1574.
- (44) Frick MH, Heinonen OP, Huttunen JK, Koskinen P, Manttari M, Manninen V. Efficacy of gemfibrozil in dyslipidaemic subjects with suspected heart disease. An ancillary study in the Helsinki Heart Study frame population. *Ann Med* 1993; 25(1):41-45.

- (45) Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *BMJ* 2009; 339:b2535.
- (46) Jadad AR, Moore RA, Carroll D, Jenkinson C, Reynolds DJ, Gavaghan DJ et al. Assessing the quality of reports of randomized clinical trials: is blinding necessary? *Control Clin Trials* 1996; 17(1):1-12.
- (47) Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ* 2003; 327(7414):557-560.
- (48) Baigent C, Landray MJ, Reith C, Emberson J, Wheeler DC, Tomson C et al. The effects of lowering LDL cholesterol with simvastatin plus ezetimibe in patients with chronic kidney disease (Study of Heart and Renal Protection): a randomised placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 2011; 377(9784):2181-2192.
- (49) Bodmer M, Brauchli YB, Krahenbuhl S, Jick SS, Meier CR. Statin use and risk of gallstone disease followed by cholecystectomy. *JAMA* 2009; 302(18):2001-2007.
- (50) Choi OS, Park SJ, Seo SW, Park CS, Cho JJ, Ahn HJ. The 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A (HMG-CoA) reductase inhibitor, lovastatin (statin) ameliorates CCK-induced acute pancreatitis in rats. *Biol Pharm Bull* 2005; 28(8):1394-1397.
- (51) Almeida JL, Sampietre SN, Mendonca Coelho AM, Trindade Molan NA, Machado MC, Monteiro da Cunha JE et al. Statin pretreatment in experimental acute pancreatitis. *JOP* 2008; 9(4):431-439.
- (52) Wei L, Yamamoto M, Harada M, Otsuki M. Treatment with pravastatin attenuates progression of chronic pancreatitis in rat. *Lab Invest* 2011; 91(6):872-884.
- (53) von Bergmann K, Leiss O. Effect of short-term treatment with bezafibrate and fenofibrate on biliary lipid metabolism in patients with hyperlipoproteinaemia. *Eur J Clin Invest* 1984; 14(2):150-154.



## FIGURE LEGENDS

**Figure 1.** Flow Diagram of the Literature Search

**Figure 2.** Meta-analysis of incident pancreatitis in twenty-one large statin trials

Footnote: for abbreviations see Table 1; data marker size indicates relative weight of the study

**Figure 3.** Meta-analysis of incident pancreatitis in seven large fibrate trials

Footnote: for abbreviations see Table 2; data marker size indicates relative weight of the study

**Table 1.** Baseline data from twenty large statin trials

<b>Trial</b>	<b>Year published</b>	<b>N on statin</b>	<b>N on control</b>	<b>Treatment (active / control)</b>	<b>Follow up (years)</b>	<b>Trial population (triglyceride inclusion criteria)</b>	<b>Age (years)</b>	<b>Baseline triglycerides (mg/dL)</b>	<b>% difference in triglycerides between treatment and control arms at 1 year</b>
<b>PLACEBO- AND STANDARD CARE-CONTROLLED TRIALS</b>									
4S (16)	1994	2223	2221	S10-40mg / placebo	5.4*	Angina or previous MI (triglycerides $\leq 222$ mg/dL)	-	134 (45)	18
WOSCOPS (17)	1995	3302	3293	P40mg / placebo	4.9	Male, hypercholesterolemia, no history of MI (-)	55	164 (69)	15
CARE (18)	1996	2081	2078	P40mg / placebo	5.0*	MI in previous 3 to 20 months (triglycerides $< 350$ mg/dL)	59	156 (61)	14 <sup>]</sup>
AFCAPS TexCAPS (19)	1998	3304	3301	L20-40mg / placebo	5.2	Average cholesterol levels, no CVD (triglycerides $\leq 400$ mg/dL)	58	181 (75)	14
LIPID (20)	1998	4512	4502	P40mg / placebo	6.1	hospitalization for unstable angina or previous MI (triglycerides $< 445$ mg/dL)	62*	140*	11 <sup>]</sup>
GISSI-Prev. (21)	2000	2138	2133	P20mg / standard care	2.0*	Recent MI (-)	-	166 (89)	-4
Heart Protection Study (5;22)	2002	10269	10267	S40mg / placebo	5.4	CVD or diabetes (-)	65	187 (125)	19
PROSPER (23)	2002	2891	2913	P40mg / placebo	3.3	Age 70-82 years with CVD or risk factors (triglycerides $< 534$ mg/dL)	75	138 (62)	17
GREACE (24)	2002	800	800	A to achieve LDLc $< 100$ mg/dL / standard care	3.0	CHD (triglyceride $< 400$ mg/dL)	59	181	28
ASCOT-LLA (25)	2003	5168	5137	A10mg / placebo	3.3*	Hypertension, no CHD (triglyceride $\leq 400$ mg/dL)	63	147 (80)	23
CARDS (26)	2004	1428	1410	A10mg / placebo	3.9*	Type 2 diabetes mellitus, no CVD (triglyceride $\leq 603$ mg/dL)	62	173 (97)	21
ASPEN (27)	2006	1211	1199	A10mg / placebo	4.0	DM (triglyceride $\leq 600$ mg/dL)	61	146*	14 <sup>‡</sup>
MEGA (28)	2006	3866	3966	P10-20mg / no	5.3	Hypercholesterolemia, no	58	148 (83)	6

CORONA (29)	2007	2514	2497	treatment R10mg / placebo	2.7*	previous CHD or stroke (-) Systolic heart failure (-)	73	178 (114)	24**
JUPITER (30)	2008	8901	8901	R20mg / placebo	1.9*	No CVD, no diabetes, hsCRP ≥2.0mg/L (triglycerides <500mg/dL)	66*	118 (86- 169)*	17
GISSI-HF (31)	2008	2285	2289	R10mg / placebo	3.9*	Chronic heart failure (-)	68	-	-
<b><u>INTENSIVE VS. MODERATE DOSE TRIALS</u></b>									
PROVE-IT TIMI 22 (32)	2004	2099	2063	P40mg / A80mg	2.0	Recent hospitalization for ACS (-)	58	156*	21*
A to Z (33)	2004	2265	2234	Placebo – S20mg / S40-80mg	2.0*	Recent hospitalization for ACS (-)	61*	149 (116- 199)*	6
TNT (34)	2005	4995	5006	A80mg / A10mg	4.9*	Stable CHD (triglyceride ≤600mg/dL)	61	151 (71)	-
IDEAL (35)	2005	4439	4449	A80mg / S20-40mg	4.8*	Previous MI (triglyceride ≤600mg/dL)	62	149	23
SEARCH (5;36)	2010	6031	6033	S80mg / S20mg	6.7	Previous MI (-)	64	169 (107)	9
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>76722</b>	<b>76692</b>		<b>4.3</b> <b>(1.6)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>

Data presented as mean or mean (SD) unless otherwise indicated; \* median or median (interquartile range); † average difference over 5 years; ‡ difference at end of trial; \*\* difference at 3 months; (-) no triglyceride inclusion or exclusion criteria specified for trial

Abbreviations: Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study (4S), West of Scotland Coronary Prevention Study (WOSCOPS), Air Force/Texas Coronary Atherosclerosis Prevention Study (AFCAPS TexCAPS), Long-term Intervention with Pravastatin in Ischaemic Disease (LIPID), Gruppo Italiano per lo Studio della Sopravvivenza nell'Insufficienza cardiaca (GISSI) Prevenzione (Prev), Prospective Study of Pravastatin in the Elderly at Risk (PROSPER) trial, Greek Atorvastatin and Coronary Heart Disease Evaluation (GREACE) study, Anglo-Scandinavian Cardiac Outcomes Trial--Lipid Lowering Arm (ASCOT-LLA), Collaborative Atorvastatin Diabetes Study (CARDS), Pravastatin or Atorvastatin Evaluation and Infection Therapy (PROVE-IT TIMI 22) study, Aggrastat to Zocor (A to Z) study, Treating to New Targets (TNT) study, Incremental Decrease in Events through Aggressive Lipid Lowering (IDEAL) study, Atorvastatin Study for Prevention of Coronary Heart Disease Endpoints in Non-Insulin-Dependent Diabetes Mellitus (ASPEN), Management of Elevated Cholesterol in the Primary Prevention Group of Adult Japanese Study Group (MEGA), Controlled Rosuvastatin Multinational Trial in Heart Failure (CORONA), JUPITER (Justification for the Use of Statins in Prevention: an Intervention Trial Evaluating Rosuvastatin), GISSI-Heart Failure, SEARCH (Study of the Effectiveness of Additional Reductions in Cholesterol and Homocysteine); S (simvastatin); P (pravastatin); L (lovastatin); A (atorvastatin); R (rosuvastatin); MI (myocardial infarction); CVD (cardiovascular disease); CHD (coronary heart disease); ACS (acute coronary syndrome); DM (diabetes mellitus)

**Table 2.** Baseline data from trials comparing fibrate therapy to placebo

Trial	Year published	N on fibrate	N on control	Treatment (active / control)	Follow up (years)	Trial population (triglyceride inclusion criteria)	Age (years)	Baseline triglycerides (mg/dL)	% difference in triglycerides at 1 year
Coronary Drug Project (37;38)†	1975	1103	2789	Clofibrate / placebo	6.2	Male, previous MI (-)	-	184	25
WHO Co-operative Trial (39)‡	1978	5331	5296	Clofibrate / placebo	5.3	Male, upper third of cholesterol (-)	46	-	-
Helsinki Heart Study** (40;44)	1987	2362	2347	Gemfibrozil / placebo	5.0	Male, No CHD or possible symptoms of CHD (-)	47	177 (119)	35
VA-HIT† (41)	1999	1264	1267	Gemfibrozil / placebo	5.1*	Male, CHD (triglyceride ≤300mg/dL)	64	161 (68)	31
BIP (42)	2000	1548	1542	Bezafibrate / placebo	6.2	Previous MI or stable angina (triglyceride ≤300mg/dL)	60	145 (51)	21‡
FIELD (12)	2005	4895	4900	Fenofibrate / placebo	5.0*	DM, not on statin (triglyceride 89-445mg/dL)	62	174 (78)	30
ACCORD Lipid (43)	2010	2765	2753	Simvastatin + fenofibrate / simvastatin + placebo	4.7	DM, CVD or risk factors (triglycerides <750mg/dL on no lipid lowering therapy; <400mg/dL on therapy)	62	162 (113-229)*	20
<b>TOTAL</b>	-	<b>19268</b>	<b>20894</b>	-	<b>5.3 (0.5)</b>	-	-	-	-

Data presented as mean or mean (SD) unless otherwise indicated; \* median or median (interquartile range); † only fatal cases of pancreatitis available; \*\* includes cases from both the Helsinki Heart Study and its ancillary study (age, baseline triglycerides and % difference in triglycerides are weighted means); ‡ includes cases during the trial and during 1<sup>st</sup> year after the trial; ‡ average difference during trial; (-) no triglyceride inclusion or exclusion criteria specified for trial

Abbreviations: VA-HIT (Veterans Affairs Cooperative Studies Program High-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol Intervention Trial), BIP (Bezafibrate Infarction Prevention), FIELD (Fenofibrate Intervention and Event Lowering in Diabetes), ACCORD (Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes), MI (myocardial infarction), CHD (coronary heart disease), DM (diabetes mellitus), CVD (cardiovascular disease)

