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Risk Factors for Chest Infection in Acute Stroke
A Prospective Cohort Study

Cameron Sellars, MPhil; Lynsey Bowie, MSc; Jeremy Bagg, PhD; M. Petrina Sweeney, DDS; Hazel Miller, MB, ChB; Jennifer Tilston, MB, ChB; Peter Langhorne, PhD; David J. Stott, MD

Background and Purpose—Pneumonia is a major cause of morbidity and mortality after stroke. We aimed to determine key characteristics that would allow prediction of those patients who are at highest risk for poststroke pneumonia.

Methods—We studied a series of consecutive patients with acute stroke who were admitted to hospital. Detailed evaluation included the modified National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale; the Abbreviated Mental Test; and measures of swallow, respiratory, and oral health status. Pneumonia was diagnosed by set criteria. Patients were followed up at 3 months after stroke.

Results—We studied 412 patients, 391 (94.9%) with ischemic stroke and 21 (5.1%) with hemorrhagic stroke; 78 (18.9%) met the study criteria for pneumonia. Subjects who developed pneumonia were older (mean±SD age, 75.9±11.4 vs 64.9±13.9 years), had higher modified National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale scores, a history of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, lower Abbreviated Mental Test scores, and a higher oral cavity score, and a greater proportion tested positive for bacterial cultures from oral swabs. In binary logistic-regression analysis, independent predictors (P<0.05) of pneumonia were age >65 years, dysarthria or no speech due to aphasia, a modified Rankin Scale score ≥4, an Abbreviated Mental Test score <8, and failure on the water swallow test. The presence of 2 or more of these risk factors carried 90.9% sensitivity and 75.6% specificity for the development of pneumonia.

Conclusions—Pneumonia after stroke is associated with older age, dysarthria/no speech due to aphasia, severity of poststroke disability, cognitive impairment, and an abnormal water swallow test result. Simple assessment of these variables could be used to identify patients at high risk of developing pneumonia after stroke. (Stroke. 2007;38:2284-2291.)
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Chest infection is a common complication of acute stroke, affecting up to one third of patients.1-8 Chest infection carries an ~3-fold increase in risk of death1,9 and has the highest attributable mortality of all medical complications after stroke.10 Chest infection is also associated with a greater likelihood of discharge to a nursing home11 and increased length of hospital stay.4,5,11

Previous studies have identified a diverse set of factors that may predispose an individual to chest infection early in the course of stroke. These include greater severity of neurologic impairment,1,2,5,11,12 older age,1,5 and diabetes mellitus,1 An unsafe swallow also may be an important contributor, with dysphagia or failed initial swallow evaluation associated with an increased risk of chest infection after stroke.8,13 Additionally, many other risk factors for chest infection have been identified in studies of nonacute stroke patients and elderly populations, including oral health and the presence of oral pathogens.4,14-16 Langmore and colleagues16 have posited a staged model for aspiration pneumonia. This includes dependence for oral care, contributing to altered oral flora. This in turn is increased in concentration in the saliva, which has been reduced in volume by the action of (multiple) medications. It constitutes a possible mechanism whereby bacterial colonization is aspirated into the lungs.

However, studies reporting on the possible predictors of chest infection after stroke have had limitations, such as the inclusion of nonstroke patients,16 mixed acute and nonacute stroke populations,13,14,16 nonblinded ascertainment of chest infection as part of an analysis of a stroke database or register,1,2,4,9,10 retrospective analysis,14 or reporting of secondary findings in a study with different primary aims.1,5,7,8 Studies have also been limited in the number of factors under consideration.2,4,15

In this study, we performed a comprehensive series of patient assessments (including measures of stroke severity, swallowing problems, respiratory status, and oral health) aiming to identify independent risk factors for chest infection after acute stroke.

Subjects and Methods

Subjects
We carried out a prospective cohort study of consecutive admissions to the Glasgow Royal Infirmary during a 17-month period (June
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2004 to November 2005). We included patients with first or recurrent ischemic or hemorrhagic stroke within 7 days of admission to hospital. We excluded patients at >7 days after admission and those who, after further investigation, had a diagnosis other than stroke. The diagnosis of stroke was based on clinical features supported by brain computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging scanning. Permission to participate was sought from all patients or their caregivers, and the study had the approval of the Multicenter Research Ethics Committee for Scotland.

**Procedures**

A research speech and language therapist with training and experience in the field of stroke and dysphagia identified and verified the patients and documented standard demographic information, including premorbid functioning, details of prior medical history, medications on admission, and baseline characteristics. Further documentation included the Oxfordshire Community Stroke Project (OCSP) clinical classification,12 modified National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (nNIHSS),17 modified Rankin Scale (mRS),18 Barthel Activities of Daily Living Index,19 Abbreviated Mental Test (AMT),20 and nutritional status.21

The condition of the oral cavity was assessed with the Oral Assessment Guide.22 The presence of oral yeasts, coliforms, and *Staphylococcus aureus* was determined by imprint culture, and salivary flow rates were measured by Salivette (Sarstedt Ltd, Leicester, UK) sampling, as described by Sweeney et al.23 For the imprint culture, a sterile foam pad (1×1 cm) was applied to the sample site for 5 seconds. The pad was then used to sequentially inoculate individual plates of Sabouraud’s agar and Pagano Levin agar for yeast culture, mannitol salt agar for *Staphylococcus aureus*, and MacConkey agar for coliforms. The plates were transported to the laboratory within 3 hours for incubation and processed according to standard methods. To determine salivary flow rates, the insert from a sterile Salivette was placed beneath the tongue for 30 seconds. It was then removed and replaced in the inner tube of the Salivette, and the unit was sealed. On arrival at the laboratory, the Salivette was centrifuged at 4000 rpm in a bench-top centrifuge (Centaur 1, Fisons, Crawley, Sussex, UK), and the volume of saliva that collected in the outer tube was measured by means of a microsyringe.

The presence and severity of dysphagia were determined by a staged water swallow test (WST)24 involving progressively larger amounts of water: 3×5-mL teaspoons, 10 mL, 20 mL, and then 50 mL of water from a cup, with the procedure being discontinued at any stage if there was evidence of coughing, choking, voice change, or (increased) breathlessness. Detailed clinical assessment of swallowing was a composite of protocols validated against videofluoroscopy of swallow.25,26 Routine pulse oximetry data at the time of admission were recorded. We further characterized oxygen saturation (SpO2) by pulse oximetry (Pulsiox 3iA, Konica Minolta) at the time of the clinical swallow evaluation according to a protocol similar to that of Rowat and colleagues.27

The presence of inhospital chest infection was determined by scrutiny of the medical case records by an independent medical assessor using standardized criteria (Mann criteria pneumonia).8 Specifically, subjects were required to have 3 or more of the following characteristics: fever (>38°C), productive cough with purulent sputum, abnormal respiratory examination (tachypnea >22/min, tachycardia, inspiratory crackle, bronchial breathing), abnormal chest radiographic findings, arterial hypoxemia (PO2 <70 mm Hg or SpO2 <94%), and isolation of a relevant pathogen (positive Gram’s stain and culture). Suspected pneumonia was recorded when patients did not fulfill the standard Mann criteria but had been diagnosed by the attending physicians (in hospital) or general practitioner (after discharge). The research speech and language therapist and assessors of pneumonia were blinded to each other’s findings. Participants were also followed up at 3 months after stroke to determine survival and/or development of chest infection after discharge from the hospital.

**Data Analysis and Statistical Methods**

Our power calculations were based on pilot data from our service, which had shown that an abnormal WST had 75% accuracy for predicting patients’ receiving early antibiotic therapy (taken as a surrogate to indicate the presence of any infection). To demonstrate (with 80% power at 5% significance) an improved prediction to 85% would require 500 patients.

Data were analyzed with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences software (version 14.0). The characteristics of those patients with Mann criteria pneumonia were compared with those with no pneumonia (results for suspected pneumonia not fulfilling the Mann criteria were also summarized but not included in the full primary analysis). Summary statistics included sensitivity, specificity, and odds ratios (ORs) for baseline characteristics or observations and the risk of developing Mann criteria pneumonia. Statistical comparisons were made for Mann criteria pneumonia versus no pneumonia. For normally distributed continuous variables (described as mean and SD), analysis was made by unpaired Student’s t test. For nonnormally distributed continuous variables, analysis was made by the Mann-Whitney U test. Categorical variables were analyzed by the χ2 test. All probabilities are 2-tailed. Statistical significance was accepted at P = 0.05. Results are expressed as mean (and SD), median (and interquartile range [IQR]), or number (and percentage).

Binary logistic-regression analysis (forward logistic regression) was performed with the dependent variable (Mann criteria) pneumonia or no pneumonia; further secondary analysis of suspected pneumonia was also undertaken. This analysis was used to select key risk variables, which then were used to explore the optimal cutoff in terms of the number of risk factors in the prediction of pneumonia; a summary receiver operating characteristic curve was calculated for combinations of key risk variables, with sensitivities and specificities for pneumonia for increasing numbers of risk factors.

**Results**

Of 938 patients with suspected stroke identified during the study recruitment period, 643 were confirmed stroke patients, and 412 of them were recruited into and remained in the study (Figure 1). Reasons for exclusion included diagnosis other than stroke, late referral, and researcher leave. Patients were assessed at a median of 5 days (IQR, 2-6 days) after stroke. In total, 78 of 412 (18.9%) patients fulfilled the Mann criteria for pneumonia, 82 (19.9%) were diagnosed as having pneumonia by the attending clinicians but did not fulfill the Mann criteria (suspected pneumonia), 236 (57.3%) had no pneumonia, and 8 were lost to follow-up for pneumonia assessment. There was a total of 66 deaths in the study, 33 of 78 (42.3%) in patients with Mann criteria pneumonia, 21 of 81 (25.9%) in the suspected pneumonia group, and 8 of 236 (3.3%) in the no pneumonia group (4 deaths were in patients lost to follow-up), giving a χ2 statistic of 77.6 (P < 0.001). The full range of observations and assessments was undertaken in 365 of 412 (88.6%) patients.

The study population had a mean age of 67 years (range, 30 to 98 years) with almost half the patients being male. The major diagnosis was cerebral infarction (n = 391, 94.9%) with the remainder being primary intracerebral hemorrhage (n = 21, 5.1%). There was a wide range of stroke severity, with 80 (19.4%) classified as total anterior circulation syndrome.12

**Oral Health**

Only 132 (32%) patients had their own dentition. On testing for xerostomia, we found that more than half the patients had no detectable salivary flow. Salivary flow rate was correlated
inversely with admission blood urea (Spearman \( r = -0.113, P = 0.03 \), \( n = 368 \)) and mNIHSS score (\( r = -0.147, P = 0.005, n = 366 \)) but was not significantly correlated with clinical predictors of dysphagia.\(^{25,26}\) Coliforms, \textit{Staphylococcus aureus}, or both were cultured from nearly a quarter of all patients (\( n = 94, 22.8\% \)); of these, the biggest proportion was strains of \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} (\( n = 54, 13.1\% \) of the total population).

### Swallow Status
In our cohort, 112 (27.2\%) failed an initial WST. More detailed clinical testing of swallow function according to the protocols of Daniels et al\(^{25}\) and Logemann et al\(^{26}\) suggested that 127 patients (30.8\%) had an oral-stage swallowing disorder, 74 (18\%) had delay in initiation of the pharyngeal swallow, 49 (11.9\%) had a pharyngeal-stage swallowing disorder, 74 (18\%) were observed to aspirate, and 101 (24.5\%) had dysphagia of moderate or greater severity. In the course of hospital admission, 44 (10.7\%) were tube-fed (nasogastric or gastrostomy).

### Respiratory Status
A previous history of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) was reported in 42 (10.2\%) patients. During the detailed swallow assessment, 85 (20.6\%) individuals experienced a drop in \( \text{SpO}_2 \) of >4%; the reduction in \( \text{SpO}_2 \) was seen at baseline (before the swallow test) in 45 patients (10.9\% of the total population), during the swallow evaluation in 13 (3.2\%), and after evaluation in 27 (6.6\%).

### Data Analysis
The characteristics of those patients with Mann criteria or suspected pneumonia were compared with those with no pneumonia in a univariate statistical analysis (Table 1). Table 2 gives details of the sensitivity and specificity of the 10 most discriminating variables and provides ORs for developing Mann criteria pneumonia. These range from 3.5 (COPD) to 20.1 (failed or unable to undertake a WST).

We further analyzed the data by binary logistic-regression analysis (forward logistic regression; Table 3). The dependent
TABLE 1. Univariate Analysis of Patient Demographics, Prior Clinical Characteristics, and Clinical Findings and Basic Investigations on Admission and Oral, Swallowing, and Respiratory Assessments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All Patients</th>
<th>No Pneumonia</th>
<th>Suspected Clinical Pneumonia</th>
<th>Pneumonia (Mann Criteria)</th>
<th>P (Mann Criteria Pneumonia vs No Pneumonia)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>244 (59.2%)</td>
<td>82 (19.9%)</td>
<td>78 (18.9%)</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (SD), y</td>
<td>67.9 (13.9)</td>
<td>64.9 (13.9)</td>
<td>68.4 (13.0)</td>
<td>75.9 (11.4)</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, male:female</td>
<td>205:207</td>
<td>124:120</td>
<td>32:50</td>
<td>45:33</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of medications, mean (SD)</td>
<td>5.7 (3.9)</td>
<td>5.3 (3.8)</td>
<td>6.0 (4.2)</td>
<td>6.5 (3.9)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker</td>
<td>192/412 (46.6%)</td>
<td>121/244 (49.6%)</td>
<td>46/82 (56.1%)</td>
<td>22/78 (28.2%)</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prestroke mRS score,(^1) median (IQR)</td>
<td>0 (0, 1) n=367</td>
<td>0 (0, 0), n=230</td>
<td>0 (0, 1), n=72</td>
<td>0 (0, 2), n=58</td>
<td>P&lt;0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prestroke Barthel Index,(^1) median (IQR)</td>
<td>20 (18, 20) n=535</td>
<td>20 (20, 20), n=226</td>
<td>20 (18, 20), n=66</td>
<td>20 (17, 20), n=58</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Previous stroke 168/412 (40.8%) 93/244 (38.1%) 41/82 (50.0%) 28/78 (35.9%) NS
Ischemic heart disease 146/412 (35.4%) 89/244 (36.5%) 26/82 (31.7%) 29/78 (37.2%) NS
Peripheral vascular disease 20/412 (4.9%) 13/244 (5.3%) 5/82 (6.1%) 2/78 (2.6%) NS
COPD 42/412 (10.2%) 13/244 (5.3%) 15/82 (18.3%) 13/78 (16.7%) P<0.001
Congestive heart failure 38/412 (9.2%) 24/244 (9.8%) 6/82 (7.3%) 8/78 (10.3%) NS
Diabetes mellitus 70/412 (17.0%) 36/244 (14.8%) 16/82 (19.5%) 16/78 (20.5%) NS
Hypertension 227/412 (55.1%) 139/244 (57.0%) 28/82 (58.5%) 37/78 (47.4%) NS
Gastroesophageal reflux or peptic ulcer disease 28/412 (6.8%) 19/244 (7.8%) 4/82 (4.9%) 5/78 (6.4%) NS

Infarct/hemorrhage 391:21 232:12 75:7 76:2 NS
OCS\(^2\) total anterior circulation syndrome 80/402 (19.9%) 27/237 (11.4%) 17/79 (21.5%) 31/78 (39.7%) P<0.001
Heart rate, beats per minute 83.4 (20.0) n=406 80.2 (20.0) n=244 84.7 (20.5) n=80 92.4 (22.5) n=78 P<0.001
Respiratory rate, breaths per minute 17.4 (3.2) n=406 16.9 (2.5) n=244 17.9 (3.6) n=80 18.5 (4.4) n=77 P<0.004
Sp\(_02\) % 96.8 (2.8) n=412 97.2 (2.8) n=244 96.9 (2.4) n=82 96.0 (3.8) n=77 P=0.01
Serum urea, mmol/L 6.7 (3.2) n=412 6.1 (2.8) n=244 6.9 (4.0) n=82 7.7 (3.2) n=77 P=0.001
Serum albumin, g/L 40.1 (5.7) n=407 40.8 (5.1) n=241 41.1 (7.0) n=80 37.0 (5.2) n=76 P<0.001
Nutritional status\(^3\): undernourished 65/412 (15.8%) 28/244 (11.5%) 15/82 (18.3%) 20/78 (25.6%) P<0.002
mNIHSS\(^4\) score, median (IQR) 5 (2, 11) n=409 4 (2, 6) n=243 5 (2, 11.5) n=81 14 (9, 17) n=77 P<0.001
mRS\(^5\) score, median (IQR) 3 (2, 4) n=412 3 (2, 4) n=244 3 (2, 4) n=82 5 (4, 5) n=78 P<0.001
AMT\(^6\) score, median (IQR) 8 (2, 9.5) n=406 9 (7, 10) n=240 8 (0, 9) n=81 3 (0, 6) n=77 P<0.001
Dentures 211/402 (52.5%) 139/240 (57.9%) 36/81 (44.4%) 35/78 (47.9%) NS
No teeth or dentures 59/402 (14.7%) 17/240 (7.1%) 18/81 (22.2%) 22/78 (29.1%) P<0.001
Oral health status\(^7\) score, median (IQR) 10 (8, 13) n=388 9 (8, 11) n=239 11 (8, 14) n=77 14 (11, 18) n=52 P<0.001
Salivary flow rate, µL/min 0 (0, 100) n=368 0 (0, 100) n=236 0 (0, 100) n=73 0 (0, 100) n=52 NS
Ooral Gram-positive bacteria 55/377 (14.6%) 28/238 (11.8%) 11/75 (14.7%) 15/57 (26.3%) P=0.005
Ooral Gram-negative bacteria 48/377 (12.7%) 26/238 (10.9%) 8/75 (10.7%) 12/57 (21.1%) P=0.04
Failed WST 112/412 (27.2%) 26/244 (10.7%) 28/82 (34.1%) 55/78 (70.5%) P<0.001
Logemann et al\(^8\) total score, median (IQR) 1 (0, 5) n=375 0.5 (0, 2) n=236 2 (0, 8) n=76 9 (4, 16.5) n=57 P<0.001
Logemann et al\(^8\) oral-stage disorder 127/375 (33.9%) 54/236 (22.9%) 28/76 (36.8%) 43/57 (75.4%) P<0.001
Logemann et al\(^8\) pharyngeal delay 74/375 (19.7%) 20/236 (8.5%) 22/76 (28.9%) 31/57 (54.4%) P<0.001
Logemann et al\(^8\) pharyngeal-stage disorder 49/375 (13.1%) 13/236 (5.5%) 15/76 (19.7%) 20/57 (35.1%) P<0.001
Logemann et al\(^8\) aspiration 74/375 (19.7%) 28/236 (11.9%) 17/76 (22.4%) 28/57 (49.1%) P<0.001
Danieles et al\(^9\) severity of dysphagia 0 (0, 2) n=375 0 (0, 1) n=236 0 (0, 2) n=76 3 (1, 4) n=57 P<0.001
Mean Sp\(_o2\) during swallow assessment, % 95.8 (2.1) n=378 96.2 (1.5) n=237 95.8 (1.8) n=74 94.1 (3.5) n=61 P<0.001
Lowest Sp\(_o2\) during swallow assessment, % 92.4 (4.2) n=375 93.1 (3.2) n=237 93.2 (3.6) n=74 89.1 (5.7) n=58 P<0.001

\(^1\)The Barthel Index is a 20-point scale. NS indicates not significant.
variable was Mann criteria pneumonia/no pneumonia; independent binary variables were age (≥65 years), COPD, mNIHSS score >6, mRS score ≥4, total anterior circulation syndrome, AMT score ≤8/10, Logemann swallow assessment score ≤2, Daniels swallow assessment score ≤1, Oral Assessment Guide score ≤10, WST unsafe or unable, dysarthria or no speech, and blood urea value ≥8 mmol/L.

### TABLE 2. Predictors of (Mann Criteria) Pneumonia and Sensitivity, Specificity, and ORs of Individual Binary Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>Mantel-Haenszel OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age ≥65 years</td>
<td>65/78 (83.3%)</td>
<td>65/202 (32.2%)</td>
<td>3.9 (2.0, 7.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPD</td>
<td>13/78 (16.7%)</td>
<td>13/26 (50%)</td>
<td>3.5 (1.6, 8.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mNIHSS score &gt;6</td>
<td>63/78 (80.8%)</td>
<td>63/123 (51.2%)</td>
<td>12.9 (6.8, 24.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRS score ≥4</td>
<td>68/78 (87.2%)</td>
<td>68/145 (46.9%)</td>
<td>14.7 (7.2, 30.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCSP total anterior circulation syndrome</td>
<td>31/78 (39.7%)</td>
<td>31/58 (53.4%)</td>
<td>5.3 (2.9, 9.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMT score ≤8/10</td>
<td>66/78 (84.6%)</td>
<td>66/143 (46.2%)</td>
<td>11.7 (6.0, 22.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logemann et al score ≤2/28</td>
<td>72/78 (92.3%)</td>
<td>72/164 (43.9%)</td>
<td>19.8 (8.3, 47.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysarthria/no speech</td>
<td>68/78 (82.1%)</td>
<td>64/126 (50.8%)</td>
<td>13.4 (7.0, 25.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failed WST</td>
<td>55/78 (70.5%)</td>
<td>55/81 (67.9%)</td>
<td>20.1 (10.6, 37.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral health status ≥10/24</td>
<td>52/78 (66.7%)</td>
<td>52/134 (38.8%)</td>
<td>3.9 (2.3, 6.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 3. Independent Predictors of Pneumonia After Acute Stroke

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables in the Equation</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>Wald</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Significance</th>
<th>Exp (B)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Step 1(a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failed WST</td>
<td>2.984</td>
<td>0.324</td>
<td>85.008</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>19.774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>−2.235</td>
<td>0.219</td>
<td>103.801</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 2(b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMT &lt;8</td>
<td>1.783</td>
<td>0.378</td>
<td>22.192</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>5.946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failed WST</td>
<td>2.457</td>
<td>0.344</td>
<td>51.072</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>11.666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>−3.111</td>
<td>0.338</td>
<td>84.825</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 3(c)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysarthria/no speech</td>
<td>1.418</td>
<td>0.412</td>
<td>11.866</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>4.130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMT &lt;8</td>
<td>1.724</td>
<td>0.387</td>
<td>19.853</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>5.608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failed WST</td>
<td>1.686</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>17.753</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>5.398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>−3.559</td>
<td>0.390</td>
<td>83.229</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 4(d)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age ≥65</td>
<td>1.190</td>
<td>0.419</td>
<td>8.069</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>3.288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysarthria/no speech</td>
<td>1.478</td>
<td>0.416</td>
<td>12.637</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>4.383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMT &lt;8</td>
<td>1.612</td>
<td>0.394</td>
<td>16.769</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>5.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failed WST</td>
<td>1.669</td>
<td>0.405</td>
<td>16.989</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>5.308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>−4.372</td>
<td>0.523</td>
<td>69.816</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 5(e)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age ≥65</td>
<td>1.197</td>
<td>0.424</td>
<td>7.952</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>3.310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysarthria/no speech</td>
<td>1.315</td>
<td>0.431</td>
<td>9.310</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>3.724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRS ≥4</td>
<td>1.069</td>
<td>0.459</td>
<td>5.435</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>2.913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMT &lt;8</td>
<td>1.442</td>
<td>0.405</td>
<td>12.697</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>4.229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failed WST</td>
<td>1.288</td>
<td>0.437</td>
<td>8.677</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>3.625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>−4.722</td>
<td>0.573</td>
<td>67.956</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Binary logistic-regression analysis (forward logistic regression) was performed with the dependent variable Mann criteria pneumonia/no pneumonia; independent binary variables were age (≥65 years), COPD, mNIHSS score >6, mRS score ≥4, total anterior circulation syndrome, AMT score ≤8/10, Logemann assessment score ≤2, Daniels assessment score ≤1, Oral Assessment Guide score ≤10, WST unsafe or unable, dysarthria or no speech, and blood urea value ≥8 mmol/L.
no speech due to aphasia, mRS score ≥4, AMT <8, and failed WST, correctly predicted 59 of 78 (75.6%) cases of pneumonia and 219 of 241 (90.9%) cases of nonpneumonia. Further analysis of these 5 independent predictors showed that subjects with 2 or more risk factors had a 75.6% chance of developing pneumonia (specificity). Of those who developed pneumonia, 90.9% had 2 or more predictors (sensitivity). This is graphically represented in a receiver operating characteristic curve (Figure 2). We performed a secondary analysis by binary logistic regression as described but used suspected pneumonia (not fulfilling Mann criteria)/no pneumonia as the dependent variable; COPD (exponential coefficient (B) OR=4.13, \( P=0.001 \)) and failing the WST (OR=4.46, \( P<0.001 \)) were independent predictors of suspected pneumonia.

**Discussion**

In this study, we explored a comprehensive range of features implicated in the development of chest infection. We included demographic, clinical, and neurologic factors as well as detailed assessments of swallowing function, oral health, and oral microbiology. Our findings confirm the multifactorial nature of chest infection in acute and postacute stroke but also indicate that a few relatively simple clinical characteristics may provide the most robust predictors. Of the 10 factors that were independently associated with an increased risk of developing Mann criteria pneumonia, 5 (age ≥65 years, dysarthria or no speech due to aphasia, mRS score ≥4, AMT score <8, and failed WST) were useful predictors. Two or more of these factors predicted Mann criteria pneumonia in our population with a sensitivity of 90.9% and a specificity of 75.6%. There was a large number of suspected pneumonia cases observed in this study that were diagnosed by the attending physicians but did not meet all of the Mann criteria for pneumonia, which formed our primary preplanned analysis. These suspected pneumonia cases were generally associated with a heath status between that of the Mann criteria pneumonia and no pneumonia groups, with less severe neurologic impairment and fewer swallowing problems than in Mann pneumonia cases. This group with suspected pneumonia included patients in whom the diagnosis of pneumonia was not certain, and therefore they did not form part of our primary analysis.

Our univariate analyses highlighted the potential contribution of a number of factors already identified by others: clinical stroke severity (OCSP total anterior circulation syndrome),\(^1\) initial NIHSS score,\(^1,5\) and lower serum albumin level.\(^2\) Of our 5 independent predictors, 2 have been reported elsewhere in the acute stroke literature, namely, older age\(^1,5\) and dysphagia or failure on the initial swallow evaluation.\(^8,13\)

In a wider context of predictors for chest infection in nonacute stroke and elderly populations, we note some agreement in terms of the associations with COPD,\(^14,16\) current smoking,\(^16\) level of dependence,\(^16\) oral health status,\(^16\) and presence of bacterial pathogens in the mouth.\(^4,15\) However, we have not found independent associations with gastrointestinal disease,\(^16\) number of medications or dry mouth,\(^16\) and multiple strokes.\(^14\)

Langmore and colleagues\(^16\) sought to develop a 3-stage model of causation to explain their findings for aspiration pneumonia in a population that included but was not exclusively composed of stroke patients. They postulated that colonization of oral flora, aspiration, and host resistance, stages supported by their independent predictors, as a likely chain of cause and effect. Our findings offer some support to the model of Langmore et al, but we were unable to confirm suspicions that dry mouth (whether resulting from polypharmacy or otherwise) is a key mechanism in the development of pneumonia. Our 5 key factors are compatible with this model and have considerable plausibility in their own right. We found evidence that greater disability may be an important factor\(^18\): more
disabling strokes are likely to be associated with a propensity to aspiration and hypostatic pneumonia.1,5 Dysphagia is a necessary but not sufficient condition for pneumonia to develop8,13,16 and was clearly shown to be important in our study by a number of validated measures.24–26 It is plausible that its effect is enhanced by confusion, a factor demonstrated in this study by an AMT score <8.

The current study has a number of strengths. We believe it to be the first prospective cohort study of an acute stroke population with the specific aim of identifying risk factors for chest infection. It aimed to be comprehensive in its scope and included both ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke, providing ongoing data by means of follow-up to 3 months. The assessments of chest infection status were blinded to other patient characteristics. However, there are also some potential weaknesses. We did not distinguish between infections that had a community rather than a hospital origin.4 Our data for determining chest infection in patients after discharge from the hospital were based on a telephone inquiry and questionnaire and are not as robust as those gathered in hospital according to well-recognized criteria.8 Also, we were unable to undertake an instrumental investigation of swallowing impairments, such as videofluoroscopy of swallow.8 In response to these possible criticisms, we point out that our study was designed to be as clinically relevant as possible, focusing on data that are routinely available or could be quickly determined by simple testing. In a practical clinical setting, it is unlikely to be necessary to distinguish between community-acquired and hospital-acquired pneumonia. We do not view the lack of instrumental evaluation of swallowing as a major drawback, as we set out to use well-researched swallow protocols validated against videofluoroscopy of swallow.25,26

Our findings are important in that we were able to confirm several predictive factors reported in previous studies. It is likely that some of the variation in findings among different studies can be attributed to differences in study design. In addition, we studied a cohort from a region with high rates of socioeconomic deprivation; this is consistent with features such as the low rate of intact dentition. Further testing in other cohorts may assist in refining the relative importance of the key factors in our study and in further validating our findings. This could in turn lead to the development of a robust early screening tool that could form the basis for supporting intervention studies in the management of chest infection risk in acute stroke.

Regardless of future research initiatives, our 5 key factors constitute the basis of a simple screening tool that could be readily put into clinical practice by nursing and medical staff seeking to identify on admission the patient at greater risk of developing a chest infection. It could also provide a baseline for testing interventions thought to reduce pneumonia risk, including early mobilization,28 swallowing therapy, alternative feeding methods to reduce the impact of dysphagia,29,30 and early antibacterial treatment for oral pathogens.15

Conclusions

We found that pneumonia after stroke is independently associated with older age, speech loss, severity of poststroke disability, cognitive impairment, and an abnormal WST result. These observations require confirmation in other stroke cohorts but could form the basis for identifying patients at high risk of this common poststroke complication.
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