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Evaluation of Stochastic Effects on Biomolecular Networks
Using the Generalized Nyquist Stability Criterion
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Abstract—Stochastic differential equations are now commonly used to model biomolecular networks in systems biology, and much recent research has been devoted to the development of methods to analyse their stability properties. Stability analysis of such systems may be performed using the Laplace transform, which requires the calculation of the exponential matrix to be calculated explicitly. The calculation time associated with the proposed method does not increase exponentially with the size of the system, and the approximation error is shown to be of the same order as existing methods. Using this approximation method, we show how a straightforward application of the generalized Nyquist stability criterion provides necessary and sufficient conditions for the stability of stochastic biomolecular networks. The usefulness and computational efficiency of the proposed method is illustrated through its application to the problem of analysing a model for limit-cycle oscillations in cAMP during aggregation of Dictyostelium cells.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In Systems Biology, analysis of biomolecular networks is now routinely carried out using computer modelling and simulation. Two types of models are generally employed for such analyses, namely deterministic rate-equation based models with continuous reactant concentrations or stochastic representations based on discrete and probabilistic changes in reactant molecule numbers [1]. For systems with low molecular concentrations, it has been argued that stochastic representations are essential in order to represent accurately the effects of the intrinsic noise, which has been shown to have the potential to qualitatively change the dynamics of such networks, [2]. Stochastic simulation, [1], however, can easily become prohibitively time-consuming for networks of even moderate size, especially if a systematic mapping of the system’s parameter space is required. In addition, there is a serious lack of analytical tools for analyzing the qualitative dynamical behavior of stochastic simulation models. In [3], a novel method referred to as the Effective Stability Approximation was introduced for analyzing the stability of stochastic genetic circuits. This approach works by including an additional stochastic perturbation in the deterministic linearization of the ordinary differential equation model [4]. In this approach, however, it is necessary to approximate the dominant term in the stochastic perturbation by calculating an exponential matrix with time involved as a symbolic parameter. Since even the calculation of numerical matrix exponentials for large size matrices is computationally extremely expensive, [5], the analysis method as formulated in [3] would appear to be restricted to quite small-scale circuits, of the order of two or three states at most. To extend the applicability of the approach to larger size problems, we develop a novel approximation for the dominant term in the stochastic perturbation to the ordinary differential equation model of the biomolecular network. As shown below, this approximation does not introduce any significant additional error in the problem formulation, and facilitates the stability analysis of significantly higher order networks via the generalized Nyquist stability criterion.

The paper is organized as follows. Firstly, the current procedure for approximating the dominant stochastic perturbation in the method for genetic circuit analysis of [3] is summarized, and the associated computational problem is highlighted. Secondly, a new method for approximating the stochastic term is derived. Thirdly, it is shown how the resulting analysis problem may be formulated and solved using the generalized Nyquist stability criterion. Finally, the proposed method is applied to analyze the stability of a stochastic model for the network underlying cAMP oscillations in Dictyostelium discoideum. As the dimension of this network is seven, the corresponding symbolic exponential matrix calculation is computationally intractable in this case.
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II. COMPUTATIONAL COST OF APPROXIMATING THE STOCHASTIC PERTURBATION

We consider biomolecular interactions represented by nonlinear differential equations of the form
\[
\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = f[x(t)]
\]
where \(x \in \mathbb{R}^n, f[x(t)]\) satisfies the standard conditions for the existence and uniqueness of the solution of the differential equation, \(\mathbb{R}\) is the real number field and \(n\) is a positive integer. Linear stability analysis of such equations is performed around the equilibrium point, \(x_\ast\), which satisfies \(f(x_\ast) = 0\), as follows:
\[
\frac{d\Delta x(t)}{dt} = \left. \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x} \right|_{x = x_\ast} \Delta x(t) \equiv \Gamma \Delta x(t)
\]
where we assume that all real parts of the eigenvalues of \(\Gamma\) are strictly less than zero, hence, the system is Hurwitz stable. Now, introduce a small perturbation which is added to \(\Delta x(t)\), to represent some stochastic noise \(\Delta\alpha(t)\), where \(\Omega\) in the set of positive real number, \(\mathbb{R}^+\), is in general inversely proportional to the square root of the cell volume, \(V_{cell}\), i.e., \(\Omega \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{V_{cell}}}\), and \(\alpha(t)\) in \(\mathbb{R}^+\) is the stochastic noise whose mean value is zero. Then, the above perturbation including the stochastic fluctuation can be approximated as follows:
\[
\frac{d\Delta x(t)}{dt} \approx \Gamma \Delta x(t) + \Omega \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x} \left. \right|_{x = x_\ast} \alpha(t) + \Omega J[\alpha(t)]\dot{\alpha}(t).
\]

III. A NEW METHOD FOR APPROXIMATING THE DOMINANT STOCHASTIC PERTURBATION

Recall that the original linearized differential equation is assumed to be stable, i.e., \(e^{\tau t} \rightarrow 0\) as \(t \rightarrow \infty\).

Proposition 3.1: For all Hurwitz stable \(\Gamma\) and any \(\delta\) greater than zero, there always exists a positive number, \(\tau_c\), such that
\[
\|E[J[\alpha(t)]e^{\tau t}J[\alpha(0)]]\| < \delta
\]
for all \(t > \tau_c\).

Proof: Since each element of the matrix in the brackets is a linear combination of the multiplication of two elements of \(e^{\tau t}\), the proof is straightforward and is omitted.

In this paper, the matrix norm could be any matrix norm.

Theorem 3.2: The Bourret’s representation may be approximated as follows:
\[
\frac{dE[\delta x(t)]}{dt} \approx \Gamma E[\Delta x(t)] + \Omega^2 \int_0^t E[J_e(t)]E[\delta x(t - \tau)]d\tau
\]
where
\[
T_e(t) = \begin{cases} J_e(t), & \text{for } t \leq \tau_c, \\ 0, & \text{for } t > \tau_c \end{cases}
\]
and the approximation error is bounded by
\[
\|\text{(approximation error)}\| \leq \Omega^2 \int_{\tau_c}^t \left\| E[\delta x(t - \tau)] \right\| d\tau.
\]

This approximation does not introduce any significant additional error beyond the level of approximation that is imposed in the standard Bourret’s representation.

Proof: Split the integral in (8) into two subintervals, i.e., \(\tau \in [0, \tau_c)\) and \(\tau \in [\tau_c, t)\). From the Proposition 3.1, set \(\delta\) equal to \(\Omega\), then the integral from \(\tau = \tau_c\) to \(t = \Omega\) is bounded by
\[
\Omega^2 \int_{\tau_c}^t \left\| E[J_e(t)] \right\| \left\| E[\delta x(t - \tau)] \right\| d\tau.
\]

Since the standard Bourret’s representation ignores all terms higher than \(\Omega^2\), no significant additional error is introduced in the approximation. Note that since the local stability around the equilibrium point is checked by inspecting the eigenvalues of the perturbed equation, the norm of the perturbed state is assumed to be sufficiently small so that the last integration of the perturbed state in (11) from time \(\tau_c\) to \(t\) remains smaller than \(1/\Omega\).

The stability of the stochastic network can be checked by analyzing the following equation:
\[
\delta X(s) = [sI - \Gamma - \Omega^2 \hat{T}_e(s)]^{-1} \delta X(0)
\]
where
\[
\hat{T}_e(s) = \int_0^\infty E[T_e(t)] e^{-st} dt = \int_0^{\tau_c} E[J_e(t)] e^{-st} dt.
\]
However, it is still difficult in general to obtain an exact closed form solution for this integral. The following theorem gives a way to approximate the integral numerically.

**Theorem 3.3:** The Laplace transform of \( T_c(t) \) is given by

\[
\hat{T}_c(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} F_k[\Delta t, \Gamma, \Xi] e^{-s(k-1)\Delta t} \frac{e^{s\Gamma k\Delta t}}{s}
\]

where

\[
F_k[\Delta t, \Gamma, \Xi] = \mathbb{E}\{J_0[k(\Delta t)]e^{s\Gamma k\Delta t}J_0[0]\}
\]

\( \Delta t = \tau_c/N \) and the error between \( \hat{T}_c(s) \) and the Laplace transform of \( J_0(t) \) can be made arbitrarily small for all \( s = j\omega, \omega \in [0, \infty) \), by increasing \( N \) and \( \tau_c \) while keeping \( \Delta t \) small. The matrix exponential \( e^{s(k-1)\Delta t} \) is approximated by \( [I + (s\Delta t)\Gamma]^{k-1} \) and \( \mathbb{E}\{\alpha(t)\alpha^T(0)\} \) is approximated by \( [I + (s\Delta t)\Gamma]^{k-2} \), where \( \Gamma \) is a positive real number greater than or equal to \( \Delta t \).

**Proof:** To obtain an approximate integral, the interval from 0 to \( \tau_c \) is divided into the sum of \( N \) subintervals, whose length equals \( \Delta t = \tau_c/N \) such that

\[
\hat{T}_c(s) = \int_{0}^{\tau_c} e^{-s(k-1)\Delta t} J_0[0] \, dt = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \int_{(k-1)\Delta t}^{k\Delta t} e^{-s(k-1)\Delta t} J_0[0] \, e^{-sdt} \, dt
\]

for a sufficiently large \( N \), for all \( t \in [(k-1)\Delta t, k\Delta t] \), then

\[
\hat{T}_c(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \int_{(k-1)\Delta t}^{k\Delta t} e^{-s(k-1)\Delta t} J_0[0] e^{-sdt} \, dt
\]

\[
\approx \sum_{k=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}\{J_0[k(\Delta t)]e^{s\Gamma k\Delta t}J_0[0]\} e^{-s(k-1)\Delta t} \frac{e^{s\Gamma k\Delta t}}{s}
\]

(16)

where the matrix exponential for \( k \) is approximated as mentioned in the above. The approximation error for \( \hat{T}_c(s) \) is bounded by

\[
\left\| \int_{(k-1)\Delta t}^{k\Delta t} \mathbb{E}\{J_0[k(\Delta t)] - J_0(t)\} e^{-sdt} \, dt \right\|
\]

\[
\leq \Delta t^2 \sum_{k=1}^{N} \Delta J_k \leq \frac{\tau_c^2}{N} \Delta \hat{J}
\]

(17)

where the first inequality is satisfied because the integral of \( e^{-sdt} \) for the given interval is bounded by \( \Delta t. \) \( \Delta J_k \) is the maximum of \( \{\mathbb{E}\{J_0[k(\Delta t)] - J_0(t)\}\} \) for \( t \in [(k-1)\Delta t, k\Delta t] \), and \( \Delta \hat{J} \) is the maximum of \( \Delta J_k \) for \( k \in [1, N] \). As \( N \) and \( r \) grow, \( \Delta \hat{J} \) converges to zero and the approximation error approaches to zero.

Hence, the stability of the stochastic network may be checked via the following characteristic equation:

\[
|sI - \Gamma - \Omega^2 \sum_{k=1}^{N} F_k[\Delta t, \Gamma, \Xi] e^{-s(k-1)\Delta t} - e^{-s\Gamma k\Delta t}| = 0
\]

(19)

where \(| \cdot |\) is the determinant and \( \Delta t \) is a fixed positive real number.

Before proceeding, we note several properties of the irrational term in (19), \( (e^{-s(k-1)\Delta t} - e^{-s\Gamma k\Delta t})/s \). The derivations of these properties are straightforward and are omitted for brevity.

**Remark 3.4:**

1) The irrational term is analytic over the whole complex plane.
2) The magnitude is bounded by \( \Delta t \).

3) The irrational term is BIBO stable.

**IV. MAIN RESULTS**

For stability analysis, we need to check the signs of the real parts of all roots of the characteristic equation, and hence we need to obtain all roots of (19). To avoid dealing with infinite polynomials, we first write (12) as follows:

\[
\delta X(s) = [(I - M(s)\Delta(s))^{-1} M(s)\delta X(0)]
\]

(20)

where \( M(s) = [sI - \Gamma]^{-1} \) and \( \Delta(s) = \Omega^2 \hat{T}_c(s) \). Note that since the irrational term is BIBO stable, \( \Delta(s) \) also does not have any pole in the right half of the complex plane. Therefore, the following result is an immediate consequence of the application of the generalized Nyquist criterion:

**Theorem 4.1:** Let \( \tau_c \) be generated from Proposition 3.1 and \( N \) is a sufficiently large integer and \( \Delta t = \tau_c/N \). The deterministic differential equation, (2), is stable with respect to stochastic perturbation \( \Delta(s) = \Omega^2 \hat{T}_c(s) \), where \( \hat{T}_c(s) \) is defined in (13), if and only if the following holds:

\[
\left| I - M(j\omega)\Omega^2 \sum_{k=1}^{N} F_k[\Delta t, \Gamma, \Xi] e^{-j\omega(k-1)\Delta t} - e^{-j\omega\Gamma k\Delta t} \right|
\]

(21)

does not encircle the origin for \( \forall \omega \in (-\infty, \infty) \).

**Proof:** Since the irrational term is analytic on the whole complex plane, it does not affect the number of encirclements of the origin. Hence, the result is direct by application of the generalized Nyquist stability criterion. The proof is straightforward and is omitted.

Checking the above necessary and sufficient condition for stability involves counting the number of encirclements of the origin made by the Nyquist plot, which can sometimes be cumbersome, and requires a certain number of frequency evaluations. The following sufficient conditions for stability can be checked even more efficiently, at the expense of some possible conservatism.

**Corollary 4.2:** Let the norm of \( M(j\omega) \) be bounded by a positive real number, \( \gamma \), for all \( \omega \in [0, \infty) \) and \( \tau_c \) be generated from Proposition 3.1, and \( N \) is a sufficiently large integer and \( \Delta t = \tau_c/N \). The deterministic differential equation, (2), is stable with respect to stochastic perturbation \( \Delta(s) = \Omega^2 \hat{T}_c(s) \), where \( \hat{T}_c(s) \) is defined in (13), if either of the following holds:

\[
\left| M(j\omega) \Delta(j\omega) \right| = \Omega^2 \left| \sum_{k=1}^{N} F_k[\Delta t, \Gamma, \Xi] e^{-j\omega(k-1)\Delta t} - e^{-j\omega\Gamma k\Delta t} \right|
\]

\[
\leq 1
\]

(22)

or

\[
\Omega^2 \gamma \Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{N} \left| F_k[\Delta t, \Gamma, \Xi] \right| \leq 1.
\]

(23)

**Proof:** The sufficient conditions are direct consequence of the Nyquist stability criterion and the triangle inequality, and thus the proofs are straightforward and are omitted.

**V. EXAMPLE: DICHOSTOSTELIUM CAMP OSCILLATIONS**

The deterministic model for cAMP oscillations used in this study is taken from [7] and is given by

\[
d[\text{ACA}] /dt = k_1[\text{CAR1}] - k_2[\text{ACA}][\text{PKA}]
\]

\[
d[\text{PKA}] /dt = k_3[\text{AMP}] - k_4[\text{PKA}]
\]

\[
d[\text{ERK2}] /dt = k_5[\text{CAR1}] - k_6[\text{PKA}][\text{ERK2}]
\]
where ACA is adenylyl cyclase, PKA is the protein kinase, ERK2 is the mitogen activated protein kinase, RegA is the cAMP phosphodiesterase, cAMPi and cAMPe are the internal and the external cAMP concentrations, respectively, and CAR1 is the cell receptor. Each kinetic parameter in the model is represented in \( k_i = \tilde{k}_i (1 + p_i \delta_i/100) \) for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, 13, 14 \). \( \tilde{k}_i \) is the nominal value of each \( k_i \), which are given by [8], [9]:

\[ \begin{align*}
\tilde{k}_1 &= 2.0 \text{ min}^{-1}, \quad \tilde{k}_2 = 0.9 \mu\text{M}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}, \quad \tilde{k}_3 = 2.5 \text{ min}^{-1}, \quad \tilde{k}_4 = 1.5 \text{ min}^{-1}, \quad \tilde{k}_5 = 0.6 \text{ min}^{-1}, \quad \tilde{k}_6 = 0.8 \mu\text{M}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}, \\
\tilde{k}_7 &= 1.0 \mu\text{M}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}, \quad \tilde{k}_8 = 1.3 \mu\text{M}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}, \quad \tilde{k}_9 = 0.3 \text{ min}^{-1}, \\
\tilde{k}_{10} &= 0.8 \mu\text{M}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}, \quad \tilde{k}_{11} = 0.7 \text{ min}^{-1}, \quad \tilde{k}_{12} = 4.9 \text{ min}^{-1}, \\
\tilde{k}_{13} &= 23.0 \text{ min}^{-1}, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{k}_{14} = 4.5 \text{ min}^{-1}, \quad \text{while} \quad \delta_i \quad \text{represents uncertainty in the kinetic parameters.}
\end{align*} \]

In [10], the worst-case direction for perturbations in the parameter space which destroy the stable limit cycle was identified as \( \delta_1 = -1, \delta_2 = -1, \delta_3 = 1, \delta_4 = 1, \delta_5 = -1, \delta_6 = 1, \delta_7 = 1, \delta_8 = -1, \delta_9 = 1, \delta_{10} = 1, \delta_{11} = -1, \delta_{12} = 1, \delta_{13} = -1 \) and \( \delta_{14} = 1 \). \( p_i \) represents the magnitude of the parameter-space perturbation in percent. For \( p_i = 0 \), the above set of differential equations exhibits a stable limit cycle. However, for values of \( p_i \) greater than 0.6, the equilibrium point becomes stable and the limit cycle disappears. Here, we are going to study whether this is also true for the corresponding stochastic model.

To transform the above ordinary differential equations into the corresponding stochastic model, the following 14 chemical reactions are deduced [11]:

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{CAR1} & \xrightarrow{k_1} \text{ACA} + \text{CAR1} \\
\text{ACA} + \text{PKA} & \xrightarrow{k_2/n \lambda} \text{PKA} \\
\text{cAMPi} & \xrightarrow{\delta} \text{PKA} + \text{cAMPe} \\
\text{PKA} & \xrightarrow{\delta} \text{CAR1} \\
\text{CAR1} & \xrightarrow{k_3} \text{ERK2} + \text{CAR1} \\
\text{PKA} + \text{ERK2} & \xrightarrow{k_4/n \lambda} \text{PKA} \\
\text{RegA} & \xrightarrow{\delta} \text{ERK2} + \text{RegA} \\
\text{ACA} & \xrightarrow{\delta} \text{cAMPi} + \text{ACA} \\
\text{cAMPe} & \xrightarrow{\delta} \text{cAMPe} + \text{ACAm} \\
\text{CAR1} & \xrightarrow{\delta} \text{CAR1} + \text{cAMPe}
\end{align*} \]

where \( \delta \) represents some relatively abundant source of molecules or a non-interacting product, \( n \) is Avogadro’s number, \( 6.023 \times 10^{23} \), \( V \) is the average volume of a *Dictyostelium* cell, \( 0.365 \times 10^{-12} \) [12], and \( 10^{-6} \) is a multiplication factor due to the unit \( \mu\text{M} \). The probability of each reaction occurring is defined by the rate of each reaction. For example, the probabilities during a small length of time, \( dt \), that the first and the second reactions occur are given by \( k_1 \times \text{CAR1} \) and \( k_2/n \lambda/10^{-6} \times \text{ACA} \times \text{PKA} \), respectively. The probabilities for all the other reactions are defined similarly. Based on these, the chemical master equation is obtained and solved using standard numerical routines [1].

For the Bouret’s approximation, the system volume, \( V_{\text{cell}} \), has the following relation to the density and the number of molecules:

\[ V_{\text{cell}} = \frac{\text{(# of molecules)}}{\mu \text{M}} = 1 \mu \text{M} \times V \]

\[ = \frac{10^{-6} \times 6.023 \times 10^{23}}{\text{liter}} \times V = 3.403 \times 10^5. \]
confirm this result, i.e., the model including stochastic noise continues to oscillate. We note that this result is independent of biological interest, since it represents an example of stochastic noise changing the qualitative behaviour of a network model even at very high molecular concentrations. Here, however, we are primarily interested in the computational complexity of the stability calculation. It takes about 54 h to perform the stochastic simulation, however, the proposed analytical method for determining the stability of the stochastic model gives the answer in less than one hour. When the magnitude of the perturbation in the model’s parameters $p_s$ is increased to 1.5 and 2.0, the analysis results are shown in Fig. 2. In both cases, the first sufficient condition for stability, (22), is now satisfied. For $p_s = 2.0$ the second sufficient condition is also satisfied as the left hand side of (23) is about 0.3. We can thus conclude that the stochastic model will be stable without even checking the Nyquist plot. The stochastic time histories for both cases, of course, do not converge exactly to steady states in a deterministic sense because of the existence of noise. However, the oscillation amplitudes are almost negligible compared to the case of $p_s = 0.6$ and therefore we can conclude that these two cases are not oscillating. The calculation time for the stochastic simulations for both cases takes about 15 h while for the suggested algorithm it takes less than 15 min. All calculations were performed on a 3.06-GHz Pentium IV machine with 1 GB of RAM.

VI. CONCLUSION

We presented a novel method for approximating the Laplace transform used in evaluating the stability of stochastic differential equation models of biomolecular networks. The key advantage of the proposed method is that it does not require the matrix exponential to be calculated explicitly. Thus, the computation time associated with the proposed method does not increase exponentially with the order of the system. Moreover, we showed that the approximation error associated with the proposed approach is of the same order as existing methods. Using this approximation method, we showed how a straightforward application of the generalized Nyquist stability criterion provides necessary and sufficient conditions for the stability of stochastic biomolecular networks. The usefulness and computational efficiency of the proposed method was illustrated through its application to the analysis of a network model for cAMP oscillations in aggregating *Dictyostelium* cells. The extension of the method to nominally unstable systems is the subject of current research by the authors.
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