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Applying the Coulomb failure function with an optimally oriented plane to the 2008 Mw 7.9 Wenchuan earthquake triggering
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Abstract: The Coulomb failure function (CFF) quantitatively describes static stress changes in secondary faults near the source fault of an earthquake. CFF can be employed to monitor how static stress transfers and then shed some light on the probability of successive events occurring around a source fault. In this paper we focus on the CFF and particularly on optimally oriented planes. We present a unified model to determine an optimally oriented plane and its corresponding Coulomb stress, then apply the model to the 2003 Mw 6.6 Bam (Iran) earthquake and the 2008 Mw 7.9 Wenchuan (China) earthquake, thereby checking its effectiveness. Our results show that spatial correlation between positive Coulomb stress changes and aftershocks are, for the 2003 Bam earthquake, 47.06% when elastic Coulomb stress changes are resolved on uniform planes and 87.53% when these are resolved on optimally oriented planes; for the 2008 Wenchuan earthquake the correlations are 38.43% and 59.83%, respectively. It is recommended that account be taken of optimally oriented planes when drawing a Coulomb stress map for analyzing earthquake triggering effects.
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1. Introduction

The stress state of a fault, or those faults around it, is a crucial indicator of the likelihood that further earthquakes will occur. When critical stress is overcome, a fracture will grow and extend along the direction of least resistance. Therefore, analyzing stress states before and after earthquakes could be
helpful in further uncovering the relevant earthquake mechanism and may also shed some light on earthquake prediction. The Coulomb failure function (CFF) is a quantitative description of stress state. To calculate static Coulomb stress, parameters pertaining to the source fault (such as position, length, width, depth, strike, dip and slip vectors) and those pertaining to the receiver fault (such as strike, dip and rake angles) should be determined first. In case studies on earthquake triggering, receiver fault parameters such as strike-slip, dip-slip, or oblique slip have been widely considered [Reasenberg and Simpson, 1992; Harris et al., 1995; Harris, 1998; King et al., 1994; Toda and Stein, 2000; Ma et al., 2005; Bilek and Bertelloni, 2005; Lin and Stein, 2006; Tibi et al., 2003; Stein and Lin, 2006; Toda et al., 1998, 2005; Carli et al., 2008; Console et al., 2008] and for an optimally oriented plane, a receiver fault where maximum Coulomb stress is calculated, with fixed dip and rake angles [King et al., 1994; Ma et al., 2005; Toda et al., 1998, 2005; Bilek and Bertelloni, 2005] has also been considered. However, the chosen receiver fault may not always be easy to evaluate. For example, there is uncertainty regarding the receiver fault seismic mechanism of some medium or large earthquakes, thus calculating Coulomb stress is not robust; Or there could be various seismic aftershock mechanisms and thus the selection of a certain receiver fault or optimally oriented plane with limited degrees of freedom may not reflect the real situation; employing an optimally oriented plane with additional degrees of freedom might be more reasonable. Mallman and Zoback [2007] indicated that spatial correlation between Coulomb stress changes and aftershocks could be increased with optimally oriented planes.

In this paper, we present a unified model of Coulomb stress changes on optimally oriented planes. With this unified model, both forward modeling for calculating Coulomb stress changes on given receiver faults and backward modeling for determining Coulomb stress changes on optimally oriented planes can be performed. We slightly extend the previous model pertaining to optimally oriented planes, commonly run on certain kinds of fault planes, for instance, strike-slip or dip-slip planes, to various types of planes solely determined by the stress tensor from which Coulomb stress changes are computed. To check its effectiveness, we apply the unified model to analyses of earthquake triggering between the 2003 Mw 6.6 Bam earthquake, the 2008 Mw 7.9 Wenchuan earthquake and their corresponding aftershocks. It is shown that using optimally oriented planes indeed improves the spatial correlation between static Coulomb stress and aftershocks.
2. Optimally oriented planes

The Coulomb failure function (CFF) is defined as [Cocco and Rice, 2002; Steacy et al., 2005a],

\[
\Delta CFF = \Delta \tau + \mu (\Delta \sigma_n + \Delta P)
\]

where \( \Delta \tau \) is the shear stress change along slip on the fault, \( \Delta \sigma_n \) is the normal stress change on the fault, \( \Delta P \) is the pore pressure changes and \( \mu \) is the friction coefficient.

Assuming \( \Delta P = -\beta \Delta \sigma_{kk} / 3 \) and \( \sigma_{xx} = \sigma_{yy} = \sigma_{zz} \) in the fault zone, we have \( \Delta \sigma_{kk} / 3 = \Delta \sigma_n \) [Harris, 1998], and then

\[
\Delta CFF = \Delta \tau + \mu \Delta \sigma_n
\]

where \( \beta \) for rock is similar to Skempton’s coefficient and \( \mu' = \mu(1 - \beta') \).

Now let the stress tensor \( \{\sigma^{ij}\} \) be considered in a local topocentric coordinate system whose x, y and z axes are north, east and up respectively. After projecting a stress tensor \( \{\sigma^{ij}\} \) along slip on a fault and its normal, one obtains \( \Delta \tau \) and \( \Delta \sigma_n \). Substituting them into Equation (2) gives,

\[
\Delta CFF = \sin \lambda (-\frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \delta \sin 2 \Delta \sigma^{11} + \sin 2 \sin 2 A \Delta \sigma^{12} + \cos 2 \delta \sin A \Delta \sigma^{13} - \frac{1}{2} \sin 2 \sin 2 \cos A \Delta \sigma^{22} - \cos 2 \delta \cos A \Delta \sigma^{23} + \frac{1}{2} \sin 2 \delta \Delta \sigma^{33}) + \\
\cos \lambda (\frac{1}{2} \sin \delta \sin 2 A \Delta \sigma^{11} + \sin \delta \cos 2 A \Delta \sigma^{12} + \cos \delta \cos A \Delta \sigma^{13} + \frac{1}{2} \sin \delta \sin 2 A \Delta \sigma^{22} + \cos \delta \sin A \Delta \sigma^{23}) + \\
\mu' (\sin^2 \delta \sin^2 A \Delta \sigma^{11} - \sin^2 \delta \sin 2 A \Delta \sigma^{12} - \sin 2 \delta \sin A \Delta \sigma^{13} + \sin^2 \delta \cos^2 A \Delta \sigma^{22} + \sin 2 \delta \cos A \Delta \sigma^{23} + \cos^2 \delta \Delta \sigma^{33})
\]

where \( A, \delta, \lambda \) are the strike, dip and rake angles of the receiver fault, and \( \{\sigma^{ij} \mid i, j = 1, 2, 3\} \) are the components of stress tensor induced by earthquake.
Let $\Delta CFF$ be a function $f(\sigma^y, \mu', A, \delta, \lambda)$ and then an optimally oriented plane is such that,

$$\{(A_{opt}, \delta_{opt}, \lambda_{opt}) | \max f(\sigma^y, \mu', A, \delta, \lambda), A \in [0, 2\pi], \delta \in [0, \pi/2], \lambda \in [-\pi, \pi]\}$$

(4)

With Equation (4) an optimally oriented plane can be determined and Coulomb stress changes can be mapped onto it. It is clear in Equation (4) that an optimally oriented plane is defined as a plane on which the maximum Coulomb stress is found. It is also believed that the occurrence of aftershocks is correlated with positive Coulomb stress. Hence, the spatial correlation between Coulomb stress and aftershocks should be higher on the oriented plane than others. Furthermore, compared with uniform models, the optimally oriented plane has more degrees of freedom of (Zhenhong – it shouldn’t be ‘of’, I think. Maybe ‘on’ or ‘at’.) the receiver fault, which may better reflect the real situation. When using optimally oriented planes, it is therefore expected that more reasonable stress maps will be generated for future earthquake hazards.

3. Comparison with previous model

King et al. [1994] showed how to determine an optimally oriented plane in a two-dimensional space. It can be shown that an optimally oriented plane derived by our model degenerates into that produced by their model if the receiver fault is a vertical strike-slip and the stress tensor is a plane stress. In these cases, Equation (3) can be given as,

$$\Delta CFF = \sin 2A \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\sigma^{11} - \sigma^{22}) - \mu' \sigma^{12} \right] + \cos 2A \left[ -\sigma^{12} + \frac{1}{2} \mu' (\sigma^{22} - \sigma^{11}) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \mu' (\sigma^{22} + \sigma^{11})$$

(5)

for a right-lateral vertical strike-slip receiver fault.

The extreme condition is $\frac{\partial (\Delta CFF)}{\partial A} = 0$, that is,
With the method of King et al. [1994], the first step is to determine the maximum and minimum principal stresses; the second is to determine the orientation of the receiver fault in the coordinate system whose axes are in fact eigenvectors of stress tensors corresponding to the principal stresses; Finally, the receiver fault plane holds \( A = \frac{\pi}{2} - (\theta + \beta) \), where

\[
\tan 2\theta = \frac{2\sigma^{12}}{\sigma^{22} - \sigma^{11}}, \quad \tan 2\beta = \frac{1}{\mu}
\]

and thus

\[
\tan 2A = -\frac{\tan 2\theta + \tan 2\beta}{1 - \tan 2\theta \tan 2\beta} = -\frac{2\sigma^{12} \mu + (\sigma^{22} - \sigma^{11})}{\mu (\sigma^{22} - \sigma^{11}) - 2\sigma^{12}}, \text{ which is the same as Equation (6)}.
\]

Equation (3) can also be simplified to,

\[
\Delta CFF = \sin 2A \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\sigma^{22} - \sigma^{11}) - \mu \sigma^{12} \right] + \cos 2A \left[ \sigma^{12} + \frac{1}{2} \mu (\sigma^{22} - \sigma^{11}) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \mu (\sigma^{11} + \sigma^{22}) \quad (7)
\]

for a left-lateral vertical strike-slip receiver fault.

The extreme condition is \( \frac{\partial (\Delta CFF)}{\partial A} = 0 \), that is,

\[
\tan 2A = \frac{-\frac{1}{2} (\sigma^{22} - \sigma^{11}) - \mu \sigma^{12}}{-\frac{1}{2} \mu (\sigma^{22} - \sigma^{11}) + \sigma^{12}} \quad (8)
\]

According to the method of King et al. (1994), one obtains \( A = \frac{\pi}{2} - (\theta + \beta) \), where

\[
\tan 2\theta = \frac{2\sigma^{12}}{\sigma^{22} - \sigma^{11}}, \quad \tan 2\beta = -\frac{1}{\mu} \quad \text{and thus} \quad \tan 2A = -\frac{2\sigma^{12} \mu + (\sigma^{22} - \sigma^{11})}{\mu (\sigma^{22} - \sigma^{11}) + 2\sigma^{12}}, \text{ which is the same as Equation (8)}.
\]

However, if the fault plane is not a strike-slip or the stress tensor is not a plane stress, an optimally
oriented plane should be constrained using Equation (4). This is the unified model for optimally oriented planes in both two-dimensional and three-dimensional spaces.

4. Application to earthquake triggering

To check the effectiveness of this unified model, we consider two large earthquakes, the 2003 Mw 6.6 Bam (Iran) earthquake and the 2008 Mw 7.9 Wenchuan (China) earthquake. We investigate the spatial correlation between Coulomb stress changes induced by the main earthquakes and their aftershocks on given receiver planes and optimally oriented planes. While calculating Coulomb stress changes, the impact of pore pressure due to fluid flow around the fault is usually incorporated into the apparent coefficient $\mu'$ in Equation (2) [Harris, 1998]. Several apparent coefficients $\mu'$ have been considered from 0.0 to 0.8 in order to better explain the relationship between the main shock and its aftershocks [Deng and Sykes, 1997; Parsons et al, 1999; Toda and Stein., 2000; Bilek and Bertelloni, 2005]. Previous studies suggest that aftershocks of thrust faults are sensitive to normal stress changes, implying a relatively high apparent friction coefficient of about 0.8 for thrust faults [e.g. Hardebeck et al., 1998, Parsons et al., 1999] wrong place in ref. list, while others favour a low friction coefficient for strike-slip faults with a significant cumulative slip, such as the San Andreas fault, for which it is less than 0.4 [Zoback et al., 1987; Harris et al., 1995; Parsons et al., 1999; Toda and Stein., 2002]. Taking into account that the Bam earthquake is mainly a strike-slip and the Wenchuan earthquake having a significant thrust component in a southern sub-fault and a predominantly right-lateral slip in its northern sub-faults, we assume a constant effective friction of $\mu' = 0.4$ for the Bam earthquake and $\mu' = 0.6$ for the Wenchuan earthquake.

4.1 2003 Mw 6.5 Bam earthquake

The Mw 6.6 Bam earthquake occurred on December 26, 2003 around the city of Bam in the south-east of Iran with a death toll of >26,000, about 30,000 injured and up to 75,000 left homeless (http://www.reliefweb.int). A cultural monument nearly intact for the last 2000 years was almost flattened by this earthquake, indicating that it was the largest to occur in this area for
millennia. Since 1981 four large earthquakes have occurred in the Gowk fault zone extending from 50 km west of Bam northward [Wang et al., 2004; Fielding et al. 2004; Talebian,]. Previous results show that the most seismic moment released along the 20 km long strike-slip shallow fault with a depth of 4-5 km [Fialko et al., 2005; Talebian et al.; Funning et al., 2005]. Wang et al. [2004] suggested that the high-precision coseismic deformation data provided by Differential radar interferometry could be interpreted to show three faulting events and that it was in the southern segment, a strike-slip with a length of about 13 km, where more than 80 percent of the seismic moment was released and the slip reached a maximum of 270 cm. Jackson et al. [2006] reached a similar conclusion but most of the seismic moment release occurred on a strike-slip fault of about 15 km length, of up to 2 m slip, restricted to the depth range 2–7 km, using diverse data sources such as synthetic aperture radar, teleseismic seismology, aftershock studies, strong ground motion, geomorphology, remote sensing and surface field work. Such uncertainty of slip distribution on faults arises from data sources and inversion strategies, though it is commonly recognized that most seismic moment is released in the shallow part of the crust. We employ the source parameters of the two-segment uniform-slip model presented in table 3 of Funning et al. [2005] for the source fault. A more precise slip distribution is expected to lead to a more reasonable pattern of the coseismic stress field induced by the earthquake, but its general spatial pattern should not dramatically vary [e.g. Steacy et al., 2004; Bilek and Bertelloni, 2005].

Fig. 1 shows the coseismic Coulomb stress fields induced by the 2003 Mw 6.6 Bam earthquake resolved on the receiver faults, parallel to the main fault (a, c) and on optimally oriented planes (b, d). The spatial correlations between coulomb stress changes and aftershocks on the former receiver faults are not good but are on the latter ones. This indicates that the spatial correlation could be improved when optimally oriented planes are employed. That improvement arises from that fact that more degrees of freedom are allowed for optimally oriented planes and, therefore, Coulomb stress changes on such receiver planes must not be smaller than those on the former ones. This leads to two results: one is to enlarge the zone with positive Coulomb stress changes and the other is to entrench the zones with negative Coulomb stress changes. Accordingly, more aftershocks lie out of the stress shadows and hence the spatial correlation is improved. As shown in Table 1, the triggering rate (i.e. the spatial correlation) increases from 22.17% to 83.47% when Coulomb stress changes are
projected on to the optimally oriented planes at depth 5 km, which is consistent with the spatial distribution of aftershocks in the snapshots a and b. It is also the case at depth 10 km, with improvements from 47.06% to 87.53%. Such depth-independent improvement can be theoretically explained by Equation (4) where only the stress tensor is depth-dependent but an optimally oriented plane still exists for a given stress tensor.

4.2 The 2008 Mw 7.9 Wenchuan earthquake

At local time 14:28 (06:28 GMT), on May 12, 2008, a devastating magnitude Mw 7.9 earthquake struck Wenchuan County, Sichuan Province, China, on the eastern edge of the Tibetan Plateau, collapsing myriads of buildings, killing tens of thousands of people and making millions of people homeless. Its epicenter is at (30.986 ° N, 103.364 ° E), with a depth of 19 km [USGS, 2008]. The fault is nearly 270 km long, striking NNE and dipping west, parallel to the northeast-striking Longmen Shan thrust belt [Burchfiel, et al., 2008]. In the Longmen Shan fault system, going from west to east, sequentially, there are three major faults: the Wenchuan-Maowen fault; the Beichuan-Yingxiu fault; and, the Pengxian-Guanxian fault. Pre-existing documents show that none of these faults were obviously active, e.g., the rate of thrusting being less than 1.1 mm/a and the rate of strike-slipping being less than 1.46 mm/a [Zhou et al., 2007]; ~3 mm/yr right-slip and ~2mm/yr convergence along the Longmen Shan boundary [Meade, 2007]. This tectonic zone was so quiescent that few paid much attention to it except for a limited number of research group, e.g. Densmore et al. [2007].

Ji and Hayes [2008] quickly determined the slip distribution of this large event using broadband waveforms and suggested that the best fit nodal plane was (strike=229 ° , dip=33 ° ). The slip distribution shows that of the seismic moments released at depth 6~18 km, the largest slip is about 9 meters lying between two clusters and the reverse and right-slip components are of comparable magnitude along the southwestern portion, while right-slip dominates the northern portion. Recently, Li et al. [2008] employed seven adjacent pairs of ascending JAXA's ALOS PALSAR images and three independent pairs of descending ESA's ENVISAT ASAR images to determine fault traces and
their geometries then inverted these to obtain variable slips with 4-segment subfaults. They reported that the average slips in the northern sub-faults were smaller than those in the southern one. The latter had a significant thrust component whilst the other segments had a predominantly right-lateral slip. We use their four-segment dislocation model as the mechanism of the source fault, since it can recover more than 95% of the observed deformation signals [Li et al., 2008].

Fig. 2 shows the static Coulomb stress changes resolved on receiver faults parallel to the average mechanism of the 2008 Wenchuan earthquake (a, c) and on optimally oriented planes (b, d) at depth 5 km and 10 km respectively. Similar to the case of the 2003 Bam earthquake, employing optimally oriented planes can improve the spatial correlation between Coulomb stress changes and aftershocks. As shown in Table 2, at depth 5 km the triggering rate (i.e. the spatial correlation) is improved from 66.67% to 75.00%; and, at depth 10 km from 38.43% to 59.83%. Fig. 3 is the same as Fig. 2 but at depths 15 km and 20 km. Near to the two depth planes the aftershocks are sparse. The triggering rate is improved from 57.14% to 71.43% at depth 15 km; and, at depth 20 km from 63.16% to 63.16%.

Fig. 4 shows the static Coulomb stress changes resolved on receiver faults parallel to the average mechanism of the 2008 Wenchuan earthquake (a, c) and on optimally oriented planes (b, d) at depth 10 km with dislocation models from Ji and Hayes [2008] (a, b) and from Sladen [2008] (c, d). This shows that dramatic improvements in the spatial correlation between Coulomb stress changes and aftershocks can be obtained by employing optimally oriented planes. Quantitatively, Table 3 shows that the triggering rate is improved from 20.83% to 71.62% for Ji and Hayes's dislocation model and from 47.16% to 74.02% for Sladen's model. But, it seems that limitations exist to improving the triggering rate of aftershocks when employing optimally oriented planes, which is discussed next.

5. Discussion

5.1 Optimally oriented plane: Robustness

As shown in Equation (4), Coulomb stress change on an optimally oriented plane is a function of
stress tensor. Accordingly, any mechanism for imparting stress tensor to nearby secondary faults must affect Coulomb stress changes on optimally oriented planes, for instance, coseismic dislocation, interseismic accumulation and postseismic effects.

Coseismic dislocation of earthquake faults makes two kinds of stress changes, one is static stress change and the other is dynamic stress change. The former is time-independent because it is born from net slippage on a fault, whilst the latter is time-dependent because it arises from the shaking of instantaneous seismic waves. However they cannot be distinguished from each other over short times and distances from the hypocenter [Steacy et al., 2005a]. Interseismic strain accumulation by creeping on some fault segments also perturbs the stress state in the vicinity of an earthquake fault [Biggs et al., 2007]. Postseismic effects such as: postseismic relaxation coming from the lower crust and upper mantle [Freed and Lin, 2001; To et al., 2004; Pollitz et al., 2006]; afterslip along fault planes in the shallower or deeper depths of the crust; and, pore-elastic rebound due to fluid flow in rocks [Peltzer et al., 1996; Jonsson et al., 2003], also make a contribution to the stress state around a fault. Taking account of these mechanisms from which alternate stress and strain can be derived, and those stress tensors which are the a priori information constraining an optimally oriented plane, a full picture of stress tensors should be drawn before calculating Coulomb stress.

Secondly, the mathematical dislocation model of those mechanisms referred to above should be carefully investigated because they are used to determine stress tensors, as is necessary during calculating Coulomb stress changes. As for those such as coseismic dislocation and postseismic relaxation, some favor Okada's uniform half-space model [King et al., 1994; Tibi, 2003; Steacy et al., 2004; Stein and Lin, 2006; Toda and Stein, 2000; McCloskey et al., 2005; Bilek and Bertelloni, 2005; Nalbant et al., 2005], while others Pollitz’s spherical layered model [Freed et al., 2007; Pollitz and Schwartz, 2008], or a finite element model [Freed and Lin, 2001; Deng and Sykes, 1997]. Differences of calculated stress tensor born from different dislocation models should be considered.

Thirdly, the dislocation models of source faults should also be investigated because these determine Coulomb stress changes. Fig. 2-c, Fig. 4-a and Fig. 4-c show Coulomb stress changes resolved on a receiver fault parallel to the average mechanism of a source fault. Aftershock distributions are
equally poorly explained by Coulomb stress changes, for instance, triggering rates are 38.43%, 20.83% and 47.16% sequentially in Table 3. However, if an optimally oriented plane is considered, triggering rates can be improved by 59.83%, 71.62% and 74.02% respectively (Table 3). Hence, different dislocation models should be compared with each other.

To sum up, to obtain a robust optimally oriented plane, the stress tensor of a Coulomb stress function should be treated carefully from two aspects: one is the mechanism driving stress transfer in an earthquake cycle; and, the other is the dislocation model depicting such a driving mechanism.

5.2 Optimally oriented planes: Effectiveness and limitations

Optimally oriented planes belong to the set of receiver fault planes and are sometimes determined solely by the stress tensor imparted by the source fault but other times incorporate tectonic stress. Compared with determining an optimally oriented plane, determining a general receiver fault is direct if seismological and geological information show parameters of the targeted fault. It is not direct if it is required to observe the spatial-temporal evolution of aftershocks, because most of them being small magnitude earthquakes the determination of their focal mechanisms is limited. In this case, some workers assume that that the focal mechanisms of the aftershocks are the same as those of the main earthquake \[ e.g. \] King et al., 1994; Steacy et al., 2004; Freed et al., 2007, or the average strike of the main earthquake \[ e.g. Toda and Stein, 2000 \], or are an optimally oriented thrust fault \[ e.g. Bilek and Bertelloni, 2005 \], or a smooth interpolation between mapped faults \[ e.g. Toda et al., 2008 \]. Though most can explain the spatial pattern of aftershocks very well, which of those assumptions is valid is still an open question. As far as an optimally oriented plane is concerned, Coulomb stress change resolved on such a plane is the upper bound of sets of all those resolved on all possible planes; not surprisingly this improves the spatial correlation between Coulomb stress and aftershocks (Figures 1-b, 1-d, 2-b, 2-d, 4-b, and 4-d). However, whether aftershocks rupture along such planes, or not, is still to be verified. Some think so, but no consensus has been obtained. For instance, McCloskey et al. [2003] stated that aftershock failure planes were constrained by geological structure, but not poorly determined regional stress, and proposed that geological structure should be combined with the philosophy of an optimally oriented plane. In other words,
the optimally oriented planes came from the set of observed possible failure planes. Steacy et al. [2005b] showed that stress maps best fitting the observed aftershock distributions generally could be produced if regional stress is well constrained, whereas if regional stress is poorly constrained or the tectonic environment is complex, the best model might be to fix the strike of the planes but allow the dip and rake to vary. Mallman and Zoback [2007] reported that estimating the Coulomb stress changes on optimally oriented planes in three dimensions rather than two dimensions improved correlation between Coulomb stress changes and rate increases.

Another important issue is the overestimation of the triggering rate for an optimally oriented plane. The optimally oriented plane is none other than the one on which the maximum Coulomb stress exists and therefore overestimation of Coulomb stress might be unavoidable. When employing optimally oriented planes, one should take care of this point. Besides, it seems that there is a limitation of the model pertaining to optimally oriented planes for interpreting the triggering effect between the main earthquake and its aftershocks from case studies on the two large earthquakes considered above. We propose that it may be simplistic to just consider coseismic stress tensor, but postseismic, dynamic and tectonic stresses are indeed ignored in the Coulomb stress model.

6. Conclusions

In this paper we present a model to calculate Coulomb stress changes on an optimally oriented plane. We apply this model to the 2003 Mw 6.6 Bam earthquake and to the 2008 Mw 7.9 Wenchuan earthquake to investigate the spatial correlation between Coulomb stress changes and aftershocks. We suggest that the use of optimally oriented planes can significantly improve spatial correlation compared to the use of uniform planes. This is because the intrinsic nature of the model of the three-dimensional optimally oriented planes increases the degrees of freedom on the receiver planes. One can derive static Coulomb stress changes with the unified model, draw an initial stress map and then refine it with a more precise slip distribution to make better static stress map, which could be significant in earthquake prediction.
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Figure 1. Static Coulomb stress changes induced by the 2003 Mw 6.5 Bam earthquake resolved on receiver faults parallel to the main fault (a, c) and on optimally oriented planes (b, d), at depths of 5 km and 10 km respectively. Green dots represent all the aftershocks with magnitudes range from 0.1 to 3.1 during the period from 2004/2/8 to 2004/3/6 [Sadeghi et al., 2006].
Figure 2. Static Coulomb stress changes resolved on receiver faults parallel to the average mechanism of the 2008 Wenchuan earthquake source faults (a, c) and on optimally oriented planes (b, d) at depth 5 km and 10 km respectively. Green dots represent the 2883 aftershocks with magnitudes ranging from 2.0 to 6.5 during the period from 12 May to 8 July 2008 [Huang et al., 2008].
Figure 3. Static Coulomb stress changes resolved on receiver faults parallel to the average mechanism of the 2008 Wenchuan earthquake source faults (e, g) and on optimally oriented planes (f, h) at depth 15 km and 20 km respectively. Dark dots are aftershocks (ANSS) with magnitudes ranging from 3.0 to 6.5 during one year.
Figure 4. Static Coulomb stress changes on receiver planes parallel to the average mechanism of the 2008 Wenchuan earthquake source fault (a, c) and on optimally oriented planes (b, d) at depth 10 km. Dislocation models of source fault are from Ji and Hayes (2008) (a, b) and Salden (2008) (c, d). Green dots are aftershocks (ANSS) with magnitudes ranging from 3.0 to 6.5 during one year.
Table 1. Triggering rate of aftershocks of the 2003 Mw 6.5 Bam earthquake with magnitudes ranging from 0.1 to 3.1 during the period 2004/2/8 - 2004/3/6 at different depth levels (Sadeghi et al., 2006).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth</th>
<th>Receiver fault</th>
<th>Triggering rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance</td>
<td>Orientation</td>
<td>Percentage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 km</td>
<td>parallel to source fault</td>
<td>22.17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>optimally oriented plane</td>
<td>83.47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 km</td>
<td>parallel to source fault</td>
<td>47.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source fault</td>
<td>Receiver fault</td>
<td>Triggering rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li et al. (2008)</td>
<td>parallel to source fault</td>
<td>38.43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>optimally oriented plane</td>
<td>59.83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ji and Hayes (2008)</td>
<td>parallel to source fault</td>
<td>20.83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>optimally oriented plane</td>
<td>71.62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sladen (2008)</td>
<td>parallel to source fault</td>
<td>47.16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>optimally oriented plane</td>
<td>74.02%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Aftershocks of the 2008 Mw 7.9 Wenchuan earthquake during one year at different depth levels for the Li et al. dislocation model (Li et al., 2008).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth</th>
<th>Receiver fault</th>
<th>Triggering rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 km</td>
<td>parallel to source fault</td>
<td>66.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>optimally oriented plane</td>
<td>75.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 km</td>
<td>parallel to source fault</td>
<td>38.43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>optimally oriented plane</td>
<td>59.83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 km</td>
<td>parallel to source fault</td>
<td>57.14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>optimally oriented plane</td>
<td>71.43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 km</td>
<td>parallel to source fault</td>
<td>63.16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>optimally oriented plane</td>
<td>63.16%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Triggering rate of aftershocks of the 2008 Mw 8.0 Wenchuan earthquake during one year at depth 10 km for different dislocation models.