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Abstract

As phenol represents as the most typical persistent organic pollutants in wastewater, SO$_4^{2-}$-involved chemical oxidation techniques using monopersulfate (MPS) have been regarded as a promising method to eliminate phenol. Since Co$_3$O$_4$ is the benchmark heterogeneous catalyst for activating MPS, it is highly critical to investigate shape-varied Co$_3$O$_4$ catalysts with well-defined crystal planes for activating MPS to degrade phenol. Thus, the aim of this study is to elucidate how different Co$_3$O$_4$ catalysts with various well-defined planes would influence catalytic activities for MPS activation. Specifically, three Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals are fabricated: nanoplate (NP), nanobundle (NB), and nanocube (NC) with different dominant exposed facets of {112}, {110}, and {100}, respectively. As the facets of {112} and {110} consist of more abundant Co$^{2+}$/Co$^{3+}$, Co$_3$O$_4$-NP and Co$_3$O$_4$-NB exhibit noticeably higher catalytic activities then Co$_3$O$_4$-NC for activating MPS to degrade phenol. Nevertheless, since Co$_3$O$_4$-NP shows a much higher surface area than Co$_3$O$_4$-NB, Co$_3$O$_4$-NP could exhibit a relatively high catalytic activity in comparison to Co$_3$O$_4$-NB. In addition, Co$_3$O$_4$-NP also exhibits much faster degradation kinetics with a rate constant of 0.061 min$^{-1}$ at 30°C, and more resistance towards pH variation, with much stable reaction stoichiometric efficiencies (RSE) ranging from 0.034 to 0.039 at pH = 3~9, than the other two Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals, making Co$_3$O$_4$-NP with the {112} facet a more outstanding Co$_3$O$_4$ for activating MPS to degrade phenol.
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1. Introduction

Among organic pollutants in wastewater discharged from industrial processes [1], phenol is one of the most typical pollutants. As phenol is a persistent organic pollutant, it has been classified as a class II water hazard, and thus its concentrations in effluents and even drinking water are strictly regulated [2]. However, due to its low biodegradability and toxicity [3], elimination of phenol would usually necessitate more intensive physico-chemical processes to avoid adverse effects of phenol on the environment and ecology. Therefore, several techniques have been proposed to eliminate phenol from polluted water, including membrane filtration [2], adsorption [4], photo-degradation [5], and chemical oxidation [6-10]. Among these techniques, chemical oxidation appears as the most useful one because chemical oxidation can rapidly oxidize organic pollutants, leading to decomposition of phenol, and thus chemical oxidation receives growing interests for phenol degradation.

In general, most of conventional chemical oxidation techniques are hydroxyl radical (‘OH)-involved techniques, such as Fenton’s reaction. However, sulfate radical (SO$_4$$^\cdot$\textsuperscript{-})-involved chemical oxidation techniques also attract increasingly attention recently because SO$_4$$^\cdot$\textsuperscript{-} possesses several advantages over ‘OH [11-14], namely, higher oxidation potentials, longer half-lives and higher selectivities [15-17]. Therefore, it would be more promising to develop useful SO$_4$$^\cdot$\textsuperscript{-}-based processes to degrade phenol. To this end, monopersulfate (MPS) is frequently adopted as a source agent of SO$_4$$^\cdot$\textsuperscript{-} because MPS is environmentally benign, inexpensive and easily-accessible [18]. Nonetheless, auto-generation of SO$_4$$^\cdot$\textsuperscript{-} from MPS is very slow and thus catalysts are usually required to activate MPS for quickly generation of SO$_4$$^\cdot$\textsuperscript{-} speedily [15-17, 19].

Recently, several metals have been proposed for activating MPS to degrade phenol in water, such as Ce [20], Mn [21], Co [8, 22-24], Fe [25], Cu [26], etc. For instance,
Othman et al. have attempted to CeVO$_4$ for activating PMS to degrade phenol with relatively high concentrations of PMS and CeVO$_4$ [2]. Besides, manganese-based catalysts, such as LaMnO$_3$ [3], MnO$_2$ molecular sieve [4], and MnO$_2$ nanoparticles [5], are also extensively employed for activating PMS to degrade phenols. On the other hand, N-doped carbon, without any metal content, is also developed for activating PMS to degrade phenol [6].

Nevertheless, among these catalysts, Co has been proven as the most effective metal for activating MPS to degrade organic pollutants. Thus various types of Co-containing heterogeneous catalysts have been proposed to activate MPS to degrade phenol, such as coal ash/Co$_3$O$_4$ [8], Co/SBA-15 [23], Co/Fe bimetallic oxides [25], CuO-Co$_3$O$_4$@MnO$_2$ [26], Co$_3$O$_4$/MnO$_2$ [22], Co/CeO$_2$ [24], etc. Most of these catalysts are fabricated by combining Co$_3$O$_4$ with other constituents as Co$_3$O$_4$ is validated as the benchmark heterogeneous catalyst for MPS activation [27, 28]. Nevertheless, there are various types of Co$_3$O$_4$ in terms of sizes, shapes, morphologies, and structures. Moreover, crystal planes of metal oxides are also strongly associated with their catalytic activities [29-31]. Thus, it would be highly crucial to investigate shape-varied Co$_3$O$_4$ catalysts with well-resolved crystal planes for activating MPS to degrade phenol to provide deeper understanding and insights for designing optimal Co$_3$O$_4$ catalysts. However, very few studies exist in literature for examining the relationship between morphology, crystal plane, and catalytic activity of Co$_3$O$_4$ to activate MPS for phenol degradation. Thus, the aim of this study is to elucidate how different morphologies of Co$_3$O$_4$ catalysts with various well-defined planes (i.e., dominant exposed facets) would affect their characteristics and catalytic activities for MPS activation to degrade phenol. Specifically, three Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals are fabricated to exhibit morphologies of nanoplate (NP), nanobundle (NB), and nanocube (NC) (as shown in Fig. 1(a)), and
three Co₃O₄ nanocrystals are characterized to distinguish their dominant exposed facets, and physical/chemical properties, which, in turn, influence their catalytic activities towards MPS activation and phenol degradation.

2. Experimental

All chemical reagents in this study were of analytical grade and used directly without purification. Three Co₃O₄ nanocrystals were prepared via hydrothermal processes under different conditions to afford Co₃O₄-NP, Co₃O₄-NB, and Co₃O₄-NC. The detailed preparation methods and materials characterizations can be found in the supporting information (Text S1). In addition, detailed procedures for phenol degradation using various Co₃O₄ nanocrystals, and MPS can be also found in the supporting information.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Characterization of various Co₃O₄ nanocrystals

Morphologies of the as-prepared Co₃O₄ nanocrystals were visualized firstly by SEM. In general, morphology of nanostructures can be manipulated through anisotropic growth of the self-aggregation process of crystallites [32]. Thus, shapes of Co₃O₄ can be varied via influencing their formations of small crystalline nuclei by adding additives (e.g., directing agents, surfactants, and soft templates), and using different cobaltic salts [32, 33]. As different cobaltic salts and directing agents were employed in this study, various shapes of Co₃O₄ nanocrystals can be therefore obtained here. For instance, hexamethylenetetramine was employed here for preparing Co₃O₄-NP as hexamethylenetetramine is a strong chelating ligand and has been unveiled as a molecular building block for self-assembled molecular crystals, facilitating the growth
of sheet-like morphology [9]. In the case of Co$_3$O$_4$-NB, ethylene glycol was particularly used as ethylene glycol serves as a rate-controlling agent, producing a rod-like precursor of cobalt hydroxide carbonate [10]. On the other hand, an oxidant, H$_2$O$_2$, was particularly added in the case of Co$_3$O$_4$-NC as H$_2$O$_2$ would oxidize Co$^{2+}$ into Co$^{3+}$, anions in solutions would be then intercalated into the inter-layered space to compensate the extra positional charge inferred by Co$^{3+}$ cations, resulting the nanoscale cubic morphology [11].

![Fig. 1. Various Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals: (a) illustrations and (b-d) SEM images.](image)
Fig. 1(b) shows that the resultant Co$_3$O$_4$-NPs certainly exhibited the plate-like morphology with a special hexagonal shape. Size of these plates were in the range of 100~300 nm, validating the nanoscale dimension of these plates. Its TEM image (Fig. 2(a)) also ascertained that these plates were very thin, and its hexagonal shape can be well-defined. Next, the morphology of the resultant Co$_3$O$_4$-NB can be seen in Fig. 1(c), in which many rounded particles (with sizes of 20 ~ 40 nm) were agglomerated to bundle together. Its corresponding TEM image (Fig. 2(b)) also confirmed that these rounded particles were connected to form nanobundles. On the other hand, Fig. 1(d) shows the SEM image of Co$_3$O$_4$-NC, in which many small cubes can be easily observed. The TEM image (Fig. 2(c)) also revealed that the cubic shape of these Co$_3$O$_4$-NCs were well-defined, and their sizes were in the range of 20 ~ 40 nm, confirming the successful formation of nanocube in Co$_3$O$_4$-NC.
Moreover, lattice-resolved HRTEM, and selected area electron diffractions (SAED) of these three Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals were characterized to further identify their crystalline structural information. Fig. 2(d) displays the lattice-resolved HRTEM image of Co$_3$O$_4$-NP, in which (220), and (222) crystal planes of Co$_3$O$_4$ with $d$-spacing of 0.28 and 0.23 nm, respectively, can be detected. This confirms that the resultant Co$_3$O$_4$-NP was certainly comprised of Co$_3$O$_4$, and its main exposed facet was $\{112\}$. On the other hand, its corresponding SAED (Fig. 2(g)) also ascertained that Co$_3$O$_4$-NP exhibited a well-defined polycrystalline structure [34, 35]. Next, Fig. 2(e) displays a HRTEM
image of Co$_3$O$_4$-NB, in which (311), and (111) crystal planes of Co$_3$O$_4$ with $d$-spacing of 0.24 and 0.46 nm, respectively, can be found, indicating that Co$_3$O$_4$-NB exhibited a main exposed facet of {110}. Its SAED (Fig. 2(h)) also validated that Co$_3$O$_4$-NB possessed the well-developed poly-crystalline structure. In addition, Fig. 2(f) displays a HRTEM image of Co$_3$O$_4$-NC, in which only the (400) crystal plane of Co$_3$O$_4$ with a $d$-spacing of 0.200 nm can be observed, suggesting that the main exposed facet of Co$_3$O$_4$-NC was {100}. The corresponding SAED also validated that Co$_3$O$_4$-NC possessed the well-developed polycrystalline structures.

While the SAED analyses of these Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals had suggested polycrystalline natures of Co$_3$O$_4$, their XRD patterns were additionally determined in Fig. 3(a), in which noticeable peaks at 19.0°, 31.3°, 36.8°, 38.5°, 44.8°, 59.4° and 65.2° can be detected, and attributed to the (111), (220), (311), (222), (400), (422), (511), (440) and (533) planes of Co$_3$O$_4$ according to JCPDS #42-1467.

Fig. 3. (a) XRD, (b) Raman spectra, and (c) regional Raman spectra of various Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals.
Moreover, Raman spectroscopic analyses of these Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals were also obtained in Fig. 3(b), in which several signature peaks of Co$_3$O$_4$ can be observed in the region of 190~700 Raman shift (cm$^{-1}$). The peaks at 195, 525, and 620 cm$^{-1}$ were ascribed to the $F_{2g}$ symmetry of Co$_3$O$_4$ [36], whereas the peak at 485 cm$^{-1}$ corresponded to the $E_g$ symmetry. Besides, the peak at 695 cm$^{-1}$ could be assigned for the $A_{1g}$ symmetry of Co$_3$O$_4$ [37, 38]. Moreover, a further comparison of the $A_{1g}$ peak (at ca. 695 cm$^{-1}$) was additionally displayed in Fig. 3(c), and their peak centers were noticeably differentiated. For instance, the $A_{1g}$ peak center of Co$_3$O$_4$-NB moved towards the lower frequency with respect to that of Co$_3$O$_4$-NP, whereas the $A_{1g}$ peak center of Co$_3$O$_4$-NC shifted towards the higher frequency. As the $A_{1g}$ peak of Co$_3$O$_4$ is associated with structural defects [36], peak shifts towards lower frequencies suggest more structural defects. Such a comparison indicated that these three Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals with different morphologies and main exposed facets would also exhibit slightly different structural defects, which might influence their catalytic activities [39].

Furthermore, surface chemistries of these Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals were analyzed by XPS, and their Co2p and O1s XPS spectra are displayed in Fig. 4(a), and (b), respectively. All of these Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals showed similar Co2p spectra, which were then deconvoluted to reveal a series of underlying peaks in the range of 775-810 eV. Specifically, the peaks at 780.2 and 795.1 eV could be ascribed to Co$^{3+}$, whereas the peaks at 781.8 and 796.9 eV corresponded to Co$^{2+}$, confirming the existence of Co$_3$O$_4$ in these nanocrystals [40].
In addition, the O1s spectra of these Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals can be also deconvoluted to exhibit three underlying peaks at 529.7, 531.1, and 532.0 eV, which were attributed to the lattice oxygen (O$_{\text{latt}}$), oxygen vacancy (O$_{\text{vac}}$) and absorbed oxygen (O$_{\text{abs}}$), respectively [41, 42]. As O$_{\text{vac}}$ is associated with catalytic activities of Co$_3$O$_4$ [43, 44], fractions of O$_{\text{vac}}$ in these Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals were then compared in Fig. 4(c), and Co$_3$O$_4$-NB exhibited a relatively high fraction of O$_{\text{vac}}$, followed by Co$_3$O$_4$-NP, and Co$_3$O$_4$-NC. Since O$_{\text{vac}}$ can be also related to structural defection [43], such an order of O$_{\text{vac}}$ fractions in these Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals was in line with the aforementioned Raman spectroscopic analysis, in which Co$_3$O$_4$-NB seemed to show more structural defection, followed by Co$_3$O$_4$-NP, and Co$_3$O$_4$-NC.

In addition, temperature-programmed reduction (TPR) analyses of these Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals were also conducted as shown in Fig. 5(a), and the TPR profiles of these Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals can be essentially ascribed to two stages. The first stage at around 300 °C can be attributed to the reduction of Co$^{3+}$ to Co$^{2+}$, whereas the second stage at > 400 °C can be assigned to the reduction of Co$^{2+}$ to Co$^0$ [36, 45]. Nevertheless, the center of the first reduction stage in Co$_3$O$_4$-NB seemed to occur at a much lower
temperature than those of Co$_3$O$_4$-NP, and Co$_3$O$_4$-NC. This result suggested that the surficial catalytic activities of these Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals were quite different owing to their distinct characteristics as discussed in the earlier section.

Fig. 5. (a) TPR profiles, and (b) N$_2$ sorption isotherms (the inset is the pore size distribution) of various Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals.

As these Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals showed different morphologies, their textural properties were then also investigated. Firstly, N$_2$ sorption isotherms of these Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals were measured in Fig. 5(b). Their N$_2$ sorption amounts were quite comparable, and all these N$_2$ sorption isotherms could be regarded as the IUPAC type III isotherm. Their corresponding surface areas for Co$_3$O$_4$-NP, Co$_3$O$_4$-NB, Co$_3$O$_4$-NC were 75.5, 57.4, and 60.6 m$^2$/g, respectively. On the other hand, their corresponding pore size distributions can be seen in Fig. 5(b). Essentially, all these Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals possessed mesopores, and pore volumes of Co$_3$O$_4$-NP, Co$_3$O$_4$-NB, Co$_3$O$_4$-NC were 0.33, 0.60, and 0.20 m$^3$/g, respectively. The relatively high pore volume in Co$_3$O$_4$-NB could be attributed to small voids between the rounded particles.
3.2 Degradation of phenol using MPS activated by Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals

For evaluating phenol degradation using MPS activated by these Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals, it would be also necessary to examine whether phenol would be adsorbed to these Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals. Fig. 6(a) reveals phenol could be slightly removed in the presence of these Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals as their corresponding $C_t/C_0$ of phenol approached ~0.95 after 120 min. This indicated that phenol could not be eliminated effectively simply via adsorption to these Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals. On the other hand, MPS alone was also investigated for degrading phenol but MPS in the absence of any activators was almost useless for phenol degradation. However, once MPS combined with these Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals, phenol could be rapidly degraded, and fully eliminated by all these Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals within 120 min. These results indicate that MPS could be activated by these Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals to degrade phenol.

Since these Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals comprised of Co$^{2+}$ and Co$^{3+}$, these cobalt species could react with MPS to convert MPS anions (HSO$_5^-$) into sulfate and MPS radicals as follows (Eqs.(1)-(2)) [18]:

$$\begin{align*}
\text{Co}^{2+} @ \text{Co}_3\text{O}_4 + \text{HSO}_5^- & \rightarrow \text{Co}^{3+} @ \text{Co}_3\text{O}_4 + \text{SO}_4^- + \text{OH}^- \quad (1) \\
\text{Co}^{3+} @ \text{Co}_3\text{O}_4 + \text{HSO}_5^- & \rightarrow \text{Co}^{2+} @ \text{Co}_3\text{O}_4 + \text{SO}_5^- + \text{H}^+ \quad (2)
\end{align*}$$

SO$_5^-$ would be further transformed into a sulfate radical through its self-dissociation (Eq.(3)):

$$2 \text{SO}_5^- \rightarrow 2 \text{SO}_4^- + \text{O}_2 \quad (3)$$

These resulting sulfate radicals would then decompose phenol, and cause degradation.
Fig. 6. (a) Comparisons for degradation of phenol by various Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals at 30 °C; effect of temperature on phenol degradation by (b) Co$_3$O$_4$-NP, (c) Co$_3$O$_4$-NB, and (d) Co$_3$O$_4$-NC (catalyst = 150 mg/L, MPS = 200 mg/L, and phenol = 5 mg/L).

While these Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals all successfully activated MPS to degrade phenol, their degradation behaviors seemed slightly different in terms of degradation kinetics. For quantifying degradation kinetics, the pseudo first order model $C_t = C_0 e^{-kt}$, which has been widely adopted to calculate rate constants ($k$) of MPS-involved degradation, was then applied here. The $k$ of phenol degradation by Co$_3$O$_4$-NP/MPS was calculated as 0.061 min$^{-1}$, 0.047 min$^{-1}$ for Co$_3$O$_4$-NB/MPS, and 0.040 min$^{-1}$ for Co$_3$O$_4$-NC/MPS, respectively. This suggests that even though these Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals consisted of the same composition, their catalytic activities seemed slightly different as their physical
and chemical characteristics were quite distinct as discussed in the earlier section. In particular, the catalytic activity of Co$_3$O$_4$-NP appeared to be slightly higher. This was possibly because Co$_3$O$_4$-NP exhibited a noticeably higher surface area than other two Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals, and a higher surface area offered more reactive surfaces for activating MPS. On the other hand, dominant exposed facets of metal oxides also substantially affect their catalytic activities [39, 46-48]. As Co$_3$O$_4$-NP had the dominant exposed facet of {112}, this plane comprises of two Co$^{2+}$ ions and two Co$^{3+}$ ions on its surface layer according to its surface atomic configuration (Fig. S1) [46, 49, 50]. In contrast, Co$_3$O$_4$-NC with the main exposed plane {100} would comprise only a Co$^{2+}$ ion, and no Co$^{3+}$ ion on its surface layer. Therefore, the activation process of MPS by Co$_3$O$_4$-NP would be slightly different from that by Co$_3$O$_4$-NC, and a faster and more effective activation process can be expected by using Co$_3$O$_4$-NP. Thus, the phenol degradation proceeded faster in the case of Co$_3$O$_4$-NP/MPS.

Additionally, while the {110} plane as observed in Co$_3$O$_4$-NB consists a similar configuration (i.e., two Co$^{2+}$ ions and two Co$^{3+}$ ions) as Co$_3$O$_4$-NP on its surface layer, the high-index {112} plane in Co$_3$O$_4$-NP is expected to contain more open structures than the {110}, enabling Co$_3$O$_4$-NP still to exhibit a higher-degree catalytic activity then Co$_3$O$_4$-NB. Moreover, even though Co$_3$O$_4$-NB seemed to possess a slightly more structural defection, the relatively low surface area of Co$_3$O$_4$-NB might make the activation process less effective, thereby leading to the slower kinetics. In view of these results, the surface area appeared as the most dominant factor among various physical and chemical parameters to determine catalytic activities of these Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals.

Moreover, a commercial Co$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticle was also tested, and the result is added to the supporting information (Fig. S2). While the commercial Co$_3$O$_4$ was able to activate MPS to degrade phenol, its catalytic activity was apparently much lower.
than the proposed Co$_3$O$_4$ nanostructures here. A possible reason was because the surface area of the commercial Co$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticle was $\leq 10 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$, much lower than those of the proposed nanostructures, leading to the much more inefficient degradation. This result also suggests that the surface area seemed to play a dominant role in catalytic activities of Co$_3$O$_4$ for activating MPS to degrade phenol.

3.3 Effect of temperature on phenol degradation by Co$_3$O$_4$/MPS

As temperature represents an important parameter for degradation of pollutants using MPS, the effect of temperature on phenol degradation using MPS activated by these Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals was then investigated. Fig. 6(b) shows phenol degradation by Co$_3$O$_4$-NP/MPS at 30, 40, and 50 °C. At these three temperatures, phenol was all fully eliminated in 120 min. Nevertheless, the degradation kinetics was considerably varied at different temperatures, and thus the corresponding rate constants were calculated as 0.061 min$^{-1}$ at 30 °C, 0.128 min$^{-1}$ at 40 °C, and 0.286 min$^{-1}$ at 50 °C (the inset of Fig. 6(b)), respectively, demonstrating that a higher temperature certainly accelerated phenol degradation by Co$_3$O$_4$-NP/MPS.

Similar results can be observed in the cases of phenol degradation by Co$_3$O$_4$-NB/MPS and Co$_3$O$_4$-NC/MPS as rate constants were substantially increased at elevated temperatures. For instance, k obtained by Co$_3$O$_4$-NB/MPS at 30 was 0.047 min$^{-1}$ at 30 °C, 0.128 min$^{-1}$ at 40 °C, and 0.286 min$^{-1}$ at 50 °C, respectively, whereas, k obtained by Co$_3$O$_4$-NB/MPS was 0.040 min$^{-1}$ at 30 °C, 0.085 min$^{-1}$ at 40 °C, and 0.1475 min$^{-1}$ at 50 °C, respectively. These results confirmed that the increase in temperature would enhance phenol degradation by Co$_3$O$_4$/MPS. However, the enhancement in the case of Co$_3$O$_4$-NP/MPS was much more noticeable than those seen in the cases of Co$_3$O$_4$-NB/MPS and Co$_3$O$_4$-NC/MPS. This suggests that Co$_3$O$_4$-NP exhibited a higher
catalytic activity than the other two Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals not only at ambient temperature but also at elevated temperatures.

3.4 Effect of pH on phenol degradation by Co$_3$O$_4$/MPS

Furthermore, since MPS activation, and phenol degradation both occur in water, pH is also one of the most important parameters, and thus it was investigated by changing pH value of phenol solution to 3, 5, 7, 9, and 11. Fig. 7(a) shows phenol degradation by Co$_3$O$_4$-NP/MPS at different pH values. When the phenol solution became slightly acidic at pH = 5, the corresponding phenol degradation was noticeably influenced as phenol could not be fully eliminated in 120 min as the $C_t/C_0$ was merely 0.11. As the phenol solution became even more acidic at pH = 3, the adverse effect on phenol degradation was intensified as the corresponding $C_t/C_0$ was 0.15 at 120 min.

![Fig. 7. Effect of pH on phenol degradation by (a) Co$_3$O$_4$-NP, (b) Co$_3$O$_4$-NB, and (c) Co$_3$O$_4$-NC at 30 °C (catalyst = 150 mg/L, MPS = 200 mg/L, and phenol = 5 mg/L).](image-url)
These results suggested that varying initial pH value certainly altered catalytic activities, thereby influencing degradation efficiencies. To further quantify degradation efficiencies by Co₃O₄-NP/MPS under various conditions, their reaction stoichiometric efficiencies (RSE) were then calculated as RSE has increasingly adopted to quantify catalytic activity for activating MPS by catalysts via the following equation (Eq. 4) [51]:

\[
\text{Reaction Stoichiometric Efficiency (RSE)} = \frac{\text{phenol degraded (mole)}}{\text{MPS added (mole)}}
\] (4)

As displayed in the inset of Fig. 7(a), RSE at pH = 7 by Co₃O₄-NP/MPS was 0.040, which decreased to 0.036 at pH = 5, and then 0.34 at pH = 3, confirming that the acidic condition was unfavorable to phenol degradation by Co₃O₄-NP/MPS. This was possibly because MPS tends to be more stable in acidic environments and therefore becomes more difficult to be activated for production of radicals [28]. On the other hand, when the phenol solution became slightly basic at pH = 9, interestingly the phenol degradation was not considerably influenced as the corresponding RSE was 0.039, very close to that at pH = 7. Nevertheless, when the solution became more basic at pH = 11, the degradation behavior was tremendously affected as the RSE was substantially decreased to 0.021. Such a negative effect of basic conditions was attributed to the fact that MPS would decompose without production of SO₄⁻ in basic environments [52] and therefore SO₄⁻ was not efficiency produced to degrade phenol. Furthermore, as the pKa of HSO₅⁻ was 9.4 [53], HSO₅⁻ could be possibly transformed into HSO₃⁻ under basic conditions and thus the electrostatic revulsion might also become stronger, refraining the approach of SO₅²⁻ towards Co₃O₄-NP, and hindering phenol degradation.

Similar effects of pH variation on phenol degradation can be also observed in the cases of phenol degradation by Co₃O₄-NB/MPS and Co₃O₄-NC/MPS as the acidic conditions would noticeably influenced phenol degradation behaviors by decreasing
RSE. Besides, the highly basic conditions would cause phenol degradation to be ineffective with very low RSE values, whereas phenol degradation was not notably altered under the slightly basic condition (i.e., pH = 9). Nevertheless, an interesting feature can be observed that even though pH variation certainly influenced phenol degradation by these Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals with MPS, the influence on phenol degradation by Co$_3$O$_4$-NP/MPS seemed much less pronounced especially at pH = 3 to 9. This also demonstrated that Co$_3$O$_4$-NP appeared to be more resistant than the other two Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals. These investigations also validated advantages of Co$_3$O$_4$-NP over the other two Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals.

3.5 The mechanism of phenol degradation by Co$_3$O$_4$/MPS

The earlier sections certainly validated that these Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals Co$_3$O$_4$ were certainly capable of activating MPS to degrade phenol. Even though activation of MPS typically generates SO$_4$$^{•-}$, SO$_4$$^{•-}$ in water might further evolve into 'OH via the following reaction: SO$_4$$^{•-}$ + H$_2$O $\rightarrow$ SO$_4$$^{2-}$ + 'OH + H$^+$. Thus, it would be necessary to elucidate whether SO$_4$$^{•-}$ and 'OH both contributed to phenol degradation using these Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals with MPS. To this end, two radical scavengers, TBA, and methanol, were particularly selected as TBA has been extensively adopted as a probe indicator for 'OH because TBA can quickly react with 'OH because of no $\alpha$-hydrogen in TBA, whereas methanol can rapidly react both with SO$_4$$^{•-}$ and 'OH.

Firstly, Fig. 8(a) shows that phenol degradation in the presence of TBA was notably influenced as its corresponding RSE decreased from 0.040 (obtained in the absence of any scavengers) to 0.033, suggesting that 'OH might exist and contribute to phenol degradation in the case of Co$_3$O$_4$-NP/MPS. Furthermore, when methanol was
added, the RSE dropped significantly to 0.007, indicating that both SO$_4^{−}$ and 'OH occurred from Co$_3$O$_4$-NP/MPS and contributed together to phenol degradation.

Similar results can be also observed in the cases of Co$_3$O$_4$-NB/MPS, and Co$_3$O$_4$-NC/MPS in Fig. 8(b-c), showing that the presence of TBA slightly hindered phenol degradation but the addition of methanol tremendously inhibited phenol degradation by using these two Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals. This also indicated that 'OH was possibly derived from SO$_4^{−}$ to contribute jointly to phenol degradation.
Fig. 8. Effect of scavengers on phenol degradation by (a) Co$_3$O$_4$-NP, (b) Co$_3$O$_4$-NB, and (c) Co$_3$O$_4$-NC at 30 °C (catalyst = 150 mg/L, MPS = 200 mg/L, and phenol = 5 mg/L); (d) EPR analyses of MPS activation by various 3D Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystal (⭐: DMPO-SO$_4$; ◆: DMPO-OH); and (e) the proposed mechanism of phenol degradation by these Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals and MPS.

For further identifying radical species generated from these Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals with MPS, electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) analysis was adopted by using DMPO as the spin-trapping agent in Fig. 8(d). As almost no noticeable pattern can be detected in the case of MPS, noticeable and similar patterns can be observed as these Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals and MPS were both present. Such a pattern can be ascribed to the hyperfine splitting of oxidation adduct products of DMPO-SO$_4$ and DMPO-OH [54], validating that both SO$_4^{2−}$ and 'OH were assuredly generated from MPS activation by these Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals, contributing phenol degradation as illustrated in Fig. 8(e).

3.6 The recyclability of Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals to activate MPS for phenol degradation
Although these Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals were validated to activate MPS, it would be also imperative to verify whether these Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals can be reused for activating MPS. Fig. 9(a) firstly shows the multi-cycle phenol degradation by Co$_3$O$_4$-NP/MPS, and phenol could be continuously and effectively eliminated by the spent Co$_3$O$_4$-NP, showing that Co$_3$O$_4$-NP could be recyclable and its catalytic activity remained durable and effective. On the other hand, Co$_3$O$_4$-NB and Co$_3$O$_4$-NC could be also re-used to activate MPS for degrading phenol as seen in Fig. 9(b-c). Nevertheless, slight variations in degradation efficiencies can be observed when these nanocrystals were continuously reused over many cycles. This was possibly because these nanocrystals did not receive any regeneration and cleansing treatments during the recyclability test, and some intermediates might be deposited onto surfaces of these Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals, hindering phenol degradation slightly.

Fig. 9. Recyclability of (a) Co$_3$O$_4$-NP, (b) Co$_3$O$_4$-NB, and (c) Co$_3$O$_4$-NC for phenol degradation at 30 °C (catalyst = 150 mg/L, MPS = 200 mg/L, and phenol = 5 mg/L).

3.7 A potential phenol degradation pathway for by Co$_3$O$_4$-NP/MPS
As Co$_3$O$_4$-NP was validated to exhibit higher, more resistant, and more durable catalytic activity for activating MPS to degrade phenol, it would be useful to investigate
phenol degradation pathway by Co₃O₄-NP/MPS. Therefore, degradation by-products of phenol were then identified by mass spectrometry and listed in Table S1. According to these degradation by-products, a possible degradation pathway of phenol by Co₃O₄-NP/MPS could be proposed in Fig. 10.

In the beginning, phenol would be oxidized and inserted with a hydroxyl group to form C1 (Pyrocatechol/hydroquinone), which would be then further oxidized to afford C2 (benzoquinone). After benzoquinone was attacked and undergone a ring-opening reaction, benzoquinone would be transformed to C3 (maleic acid), which could be then decomposed to generate many smaller molecules, such as C4 (oxalic acid). Through continuous oxidation, oxalic acid could be then decomposed into C5 (2-hydroxyacetic acid), and then C5 (carbonic acid). These low-molecular-weight compounds would be further oxidized and eventually become CO₂ and H₂O.

![Chemical structures](image)

**Fig. 10.** A potential degradation pathway of phenol by Co₃O₄-NP and MPS.

### 4 Conclusions

In this study, three Co₃O₄ nanocrystals with very distinct morphologies, and, more importantly, different main exposed facets were particularly prepared and compared in order to probe into the morphology-property-catalytic activity relationship of Co₃O₄ for
activating MPS to degrade a ubiquitous persistent pollutant, phenol. Through adopting different additives and cobaltic precursors, Co$_3$O$_4$-NP, Co$_3$O$_4$-NB, and Co$_3$O$_4$-NC were fabricated, and characterized. While these three Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals were all comprised of Co$_3$O$_4$, Co$_3$O$_4$-NP, Co$_3$O$_4$-NB, and Co$_3$O$_4$-NC possessed different dominant exposed facets of {112}, {110}, and {100}, respectively. As the facets of {112} and {110} consisted of more abundant Co$^{2+}$ and Co$^{3+}$ ions on their surfaces, Co$_3$O$_4$-NP and Co$_3$O$_4$-NB exhibited noticeably higher catalytic activities than Co$_3$O$_4$-NC for activating MPS to degrade phenol. Nevertheless, since Co$_3$O$_4$-NP showed a much higher surface area than Co$_3$O$_4$-NB, Co$_3$O$_4$-NP could exhibit a relatively high catalytic activity in comparison to Co$_3$O$_4$-NB. In addition, Co$_3$O$_4$-NP also exhibited much faster degradation kinetics, more resistance towards influence of pH variation and higher recyclability than the other two Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals. These features made Co$_3$O$_4$-NP a more outstanding Co$_3$O$_4$ for activating MPS to degrade phenol. The mechanism of phenol degradation using MPS activated by Co$_3$O$_4$-NP as well as other Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals was also elucidated and attributed to both SO$_4^{\cdot-}$ and 'OH. Furthermore, the phenol degradation pathway by Co$_3$O$_4$-NP/MPS was also investigated and proposed. These findings revealed that Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals can be manipulated to enhance its catalytic activity for activating MPS through varying morphologies, and main exposed facets.
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