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Abstract

As catalytic hydrogenation is validated as one of the most useful approach to reduce a potential carcinogenic bromate in water, the usage of continuous purge H₂ gas and precious metal catalysts are typically required, making it less feasible for practical implementation. Since sodium borohydride (NaBH₄) represents a potential alternative source for releasing H₂ and non-precious metal catalysts (cobalt (Co)) are usually required to accelerate the hydrolysis of NaBH₄ for faster H₂ production, the combination between Co-based catalysts and NaBH₄ could be favorable for bromate hydrogenation. Especially, it is even more advantageous to fabricate a porous heterogeneous catalyst with high surface area. Thus, this study aims to construct such a novel porous heterogeneous catalyst for reducing bromate using sodium borohydride. Herein, a Co-coordinated framework with TMC ligand (CoTMC) is employed as precursor, which is then transformed into hexagonal porous Co₃O₄ (HPCO) via one-step calcination. The resultant HPCO possesses remarkable surficial oxygen vacancies as well as textural properties in comparison with Co₃O₄ NP. Importantly, HPCO could completely reduce bromate to bromide within 20 min. The calculated bromate removal capacity using HPCO and NaBH₄ is achieved as 781.25 μmol/g, and the activation energy (Eₐ) is also calculated as 28.5 kJ/mol. Besides, HPCO also exhibits high catalytic activities for bromate reduction in the presence of various anions. Moreover, HPCO could be also reusable for reducing bromate to bromide over multiple-cycles without any remarkable change of catalytic activities. These features indicate that HPCO is a robust and effective heterogeneous catalyst for bromate reduction in water.
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1. Introduction

Bromate anion (BrO$_3^-$), a typical bromine-based oxidation product, has been commonly found in different water systems [1, 2]. Since bromate is regarded as a potential human carcinogenic compound, its maximum concentration has been regulated at 10 μg/L by WHO and USEPA [3]. While the formation of bromate from ozonation process was reported previously [4], some recent studies also illustrated that bromate can be formulated via advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) [5, 6]. Since AOPs have been extensively employed for wastewater treatments, it is critically urgent to remove bromate in water.

To date, there are numerous approaches for removing bromate including filtration [7], adsorption [8], biodegradation [9] and catalytic reduction [6, 10-16]. Nevertheless, bromate is ineffectively removed via traditional techniques (e.g., filtration, adsorption or biodegradation) due to high-cost, long operation time and low bromate removal capacities. On the contrary, catalytic reduction stands out as a promising approach, in which bromate can be removed and converted expeditiously to bromide ions in the presence of reducing agents (e.g., H$_2$ gas) [10, 12, 16].

Recently, since the usage of continuous purge H$_2$ usually suffers from difficulties of low solubility, safety concerns and sophisticated storage, chemical hydrides (e.g., NH$_3$BH$_3$, LiBH$_4$, NaBH$_4$), in particular, sodium borohydride (NaBH$_4$) receives increasing attention as a potential reductant to replace conventional H$_2$ gas to reduce bromate in water because NaBH$_4$ possesses high theoretical H$_2$ capacity (ca. 10.8 wt%), easy-handling and environmental friendliness [6, 11, 17-19]. Nevertheless, the self-decomposition of NaBH$_4$ in water for releasing H$_2$ gas is significantly low, making it inefficient to quickly reduce bromate ions. Thus, catalysts are usually required as an “activator” to facilitate H$_2$ released from NaBH$_4$ hydrolysis [20]. For instance, Nurbek and co-workers employed a Ni-based MOF for catalyzing NaBH$_4$ to reduce bromate to
bromide [21]. Lin et al. prepared nanoscale cobalt/carbon nanocage (NCC) to facilitate hydrolysis of NaBH₄ to quickly release H₂ to convert bromate to bromide [6]. Another previous work also investigated MOFs as catalysts for accelerating NaBH₄ hydrolysis to reduce bromate in water [22].

Recently, precious metal catalysts have been investigated and exhibited high catalytic activities to bromate reduction [12, 23, 24]; however their expensiveness and scarcity restrict them for large-scale applications. In contrast, non-precious metal catalysts have been validated as potential alternatives implemented for removing bromate in water [6, 11, 12, 21, 25-27]. In particular, cobalt (Co) is proven as one of the most effective non-precious metal catalysts employed for catalyzing NaBH₄ hydrolysis and eliminating toxicants in water [6, 25, 28]. While homogeneous Co-based catalysts are commonly inconveniently employed for practical applications, heterogeneous Co-based catalysts, in particular, cobalt oxide (Co₃O₄) has been recently attracted increasing attention and extensively used for various catalytic applications [28-32]. Nonetheless, as bromate reduction is conducted in aqueous environment, Co₃O₄ nanoparticles (NPs) are easily accumulated in aqueous solution, hence losing its catalytic activities [33, 34].

In this regard, immobilized/supported Co₃O₄ NP composites are validated as promising approaches to minimize aggregation issue [32, 35], however sophisticated procedure and long fabrication time are typically required, making it less feasible for practical applications. On the contrary, Co₃O₄ catalysts derived from Cobalt-based precursor could be another strategy, in which Co₃O₄ NP can be completely framed, therefore those NPs might be avoided from agglomeration [28, 29]. More importantly, the fabricated Co₃O₄ catalyst could not only retain the morphology of the precursor but also consist of pores, enabling it become a porous Co₃O₄ catalyst [29, 36]. This porous-structured Co₃O₄ catalyst should be advantageous for hydrolyzing NaBH₄ for H₂ production, then reducing bromate in water.
Herein, in this study, we proposed developing a robust and effective Co$_3$O$_4$ through a single step calcination of a Co-coordinated framework with TMC ligand (CoTMC), which was then employed for eliminating and reducing bromate in water. The resultant material not only retained the 2-dimensional (sheet-like) hexagonal morphology of CoTMC but also exhibited porosity, making it a hexagonal porous Co$_3$O$_4$ (HPCO). Unique characteristics of the as-prepared HPCO were analyzed by Scanning Electronic Microscopy (SEM), Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM), X-ray powder diffraction (XRD), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), Raman spectroscopy. Besides, the effect of different parameters including catalyst and NaBH$_4$ concentrations, pH, temperature, co-existing anions using HPCO+NaBH$_4$ for bromate reduction is also investigated. The reusability of HPCO was also evaluated and the catalytic reduction mechanism of bromate by HPCO+NaBH$_4$ system was also interpreted.

2. Experimental

2.1 Materials

All chemicals reagents in this study were purchased from commercial suppliers and used as received without further purifications. Cobalt chloride (CoCl$_2$) (>98%) was obtained from Choneye Pure Chemicals (Taiwan). Trismercaptotriazine (TMC) (C$_3$H$_3$N$_3$S$_3$) (95%) was purchased from Alfa Aesar (USA). Sodium borohydride (NaBH$_4$) (>98%) was received from Acros Orgabics (USA). (Deionized (DI) water was prepared to less than 18 MΩ-cm.
2.2 Preparation and characterization of HPCO

The fabrication procedure of HPCO was illustrated in Fig. 1(a). Firstly, the coordinated framework comprised of Co and TMC (CoTMC) was prepared by coordinating Co$^{2+}$ ions with TMC ligand [37]. In brief, 0.015 mol of CoCl$_2$ was dissolved in 200 mL of DI water, followed by the addition of 0.017 mol of TMC. The mixture was then continuously stirred at ambient temperature for 1 h, then aged without stirring for 24 h. The precipitate was collected by centrifugation, washed thoroughly by DI water/ethanol and dried at 65°C to afford CoTMC. Subsequently, CoTMC was calcined in air at 550°C to result in HPCO.

Morphologies of HPCO were visualized using electronic microscopies (JEOL JSM-7800F and JEM-1400, Japan). The crystalline structure of HPCO was then analyzed by an X-ray diffractometer (Bruker, USA). The chemical composition was also determined by EDX spectroscopy (Oxford Instruments, UK). Moreover, the surface chemistry of HPCO was further examined by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (PHI 5000, ULVAC-PHI, Japan). The textural properties of HPCO were analyzed by a volumetric analyzer (Anton Paar ASIQ, Austria) to obtain the N$_2$ sorption isotherms. Temperature-programmed reduction (TPR) profiles of catalysts were determined by a chemisorption analyzer with a TCD detector (Anton Paar, ASIQ TPx, Austria). Raman spectroscopies of HPCO and commercial Co$_3$O$_4$ NP were determined using a Raman spectrometer (TII Nanofinder 30, Japan). Zeta potentials of HPCO were analyzed by a zetasizer (Malvern, UK).
2.3 Catalytic reduction of bromate

The catalytic activity of HPCO for bromate reduction was performed using batch experiments. In a typical experiment, a certain amount of HPCO (e.g., 100 mg/L) was added to 200 mL of bromate solution with the initial bromate concentration ($C_0$) was 10 mg/L (equivalent to 0.78 mM). At a certain reaction time $t$, a sample aliquot was withdrawn from the mixture and filtered by syringe filter (0.22 µm). Subsequently, the filtrate was analyzed using an ion chromatography system (Dionex ICS-1100, USA) to determine concentrations of residual bromate ($C_t$) and generated bromide ($C_j$) at a given time $t$. The bromate removal efficiency ($q_t$) of HPCO was calculated via the following formula (Eq. (1)):

$$q_t = \frac{(C_0 - C_t) \times V}{W}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $V$ is the solution volume and $W$ is the mass of the catalyst. The generation capacity of bromide from reduction of bromate ($q_j$, µmol/g) at a certain reaction time was measured via the following formula (Eq. (2)):

$$q_j = \frac{(C_j - C_i) \times V}{W}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $C_j$ is the concentration of bromide detected in the solution at a certain time $t$; and $C_i$ is the initial concentration of bromide in the solution. The effect of different pH parameters (i.e., 3-11) was evaluated by adjusting the initial pH bromate solution using HCl (1M) and NaOH (1M). The effect of co-existing anions (e.g., nitrate, sulfate, phosphate) was examined by adding the equivalent concentration (i.e., 10 mg/L) to bromate solution. Recyclability of HPCO was performed by reusing recovered HPCO without any regeneration treatments.
3. Results and discussion

3.1 Characterizations of HPCO

Firstly, morphologies of the pristine precursor CoTMC were characterized to probe its appearance. Fig. 1(b) and (c) present SEM and TEM images of the as-fabricated CoTMC, showing that CoTMC possessed a hexagonal sheet-like morphology with smooth surfaces. After calcination processes, the resultant product still retained the hexagonal nanosheet-like morphology (shown in Fig. 1(d)) with the appearance of many pores. TEM image in Fig. 1(e) further reveals that those pores certainly existed and distributed throughout the substrate, confirming that the resultant calcined product was converted into a porous hexagonal nanosheet material. Moreover, Fig. 1(f) also reveals that this calcined material was not only porous but also comprised of various spherical nanoparticles (NPs). The lattice-resolved HRTEM of this material showed two particular $d$-spacing values of 0.244 and 0.220 nm (Fig. 1(g)), which could be ascribed to the crystal plane of (311) and (220) of $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$, respectively. This result confirms that the above-mentioned spherical NPs should be attributed to $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$, demonstrating that CoTMC had been successfully transformed to $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$ after the calcination process, creating porous hexagonal nanosheet $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$ (HPCO). The inset of Fig. 1(g) provides the SAED of HPCO, illustrating a poly-crystalline structure of HPCO [38, 39]. Besides, the size of those $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$ NPs HPCO was also determined in Fig. S1, confirming the nanoscale dimensions of these NPs.
Fig. 1. (a) Schematic illustration for preparing HPCO; (b) SEM and (c) TEM images of CoTMC; (d) SEM and (e) TEM images of HPCO; and (f), (g) HRTEM images of HPCO (the inset is the SAED of HPCO).
The elemental analysis of HPCO was also determined using EDX spectroscopy as shown in Fig. 2(a) in which noticeable signals of Co and O were detected. A small peak at 0.3KeV could be ascribed to carbon (C), which possibly derived from carbon-coated layer on specimens. Besides, the crystalline structures of the pristine CoTMC and the resultant HPCO were further analyzed. Since the XRD patterns of CoTMC exhibited several noticeable peaks at 19, 32, 38, 51, 58, 61.6, 69.6 and 71.4° (Fig. S2), which were well-indexed to reported patterns [37], the resulting HPCO showed totally different XRD patterns. Particularly, several diffraction peaks at 19.0°, 31.3°, 36.8°, 38.5°, 44.8°, 59.4° and 65.2° could be detected as shown in Fig. 2(b), which were corresponded to Co$_3$O$_4$ according to JCPDS #42-1467, further asserting the formation of Co$_3$O$_4$ of HPCO.

![Characterizations of HPCO: (a) EDX, and (b) XRD](image)

Additionally, an IR spectrum of HPCO was presented in Fig. 3(a). Two noticeable peaks could be observed at 565 and 660 cm$^-1$, corresponding to the stretching vibration modes of cobalt oxide for the tetrahedrally-bound Co$^{2+}$ as well as octahedrally-bound Co$^{3+}$, respectively [40]. This result confirms the nature co-existence of Co$^{2+}$ and Co$^{3+}$ species in Co$_3$O$_4$. Moreover, some small peaks
were also detected at 1630 and 3350 cm\(^{-1}\), attributed to the stretching and bending modes of (OH), which possibly derived from water molecules adsorbed on HPCO surfaces [41]. Furthermore, Raman spectroscopic analysis of HPCO was performed in Fig. 3(b), and some noticeable peaks could be found at 190, 472, 515 and 678 cm\(^{-1}\), corresponded to \(F^{l}_{2g}\), \(E_{g}\), \(F^{2}_{2g}\) and \(A_{1g}\) modes of \(\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4\), respectively [42]. As Raman spectroscopy is commonly employed to verify oxygen vacancies of metal oxides, the reference catalyst, commercial \(\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4\) NP, was also analyzed and compared with HPCO to probe differences of oxygen vacancies between HPCO and the commercial \(\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4\) NP. As shown in Fig. 3(b), Raman spectrum of \(\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4\) NP was comparable to that of HPCO, indicating that no obvious disordered structure of \(\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4\) was present in HPCO [43]. Nevertheless, a closer spectra comparison between two materials at \(F^{l}_{2g}\) and \(A_{1g}\) modes (displayed in Fig. 3(c) and (d)) reveals that these peaks had shifted. Particularly, the peak of \(F^{l}_{2g}\) shifted from 190 to 193 cm\(^{-1}\), while the peak of \(A_{1g}\) changed from 677 to 685 cm\(^{-1}\). Since these peaks of \(F^{l}_{2g}\) and \(A_{1g}\) were associated with \(\text{Co}^{2+}\text{-O}^{2-}\), and \(\text{Co}^{3+}\text{-O}^{2-}\), respectively [44, 45], these shifting indicated that HPCO showed a higher degree of surficial oxygen vacancies than \(\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4\) NP [46].
Fig. 3. Spectroscopies analyses of HPCO: (a) FTIR, (b) long-range Raman spectroscopy, (c) and (d) short-range Raman spectra at the regions of \( F_{2g}^{1} \) and \( A_{1g} \).

Furthermore, the surface chemistry of HPCO was also analyzed using XPS analysis. A full-survey spectrum of HPCO (Fig. S3) was determined, showing only Co and O species were detected. Fig. 4(a) also presents the Co2p spectrum of HPCO, which could be deconvoluted into multiple peaks. Particularly, the peaks at 779.2 and 794.1 eV could be corresponded to Co\(^{3+} \) species whereas
the peaks at 780.6 and 795.8 eV could be ascribed to Co$^{2+}$ species. These detected Co species further assures that the presence of Co$_3$O$_4$ in HPCO [47]. Besides, the O1s spectrum of HPCO was also analyzed as shown in Fig. 4(b), and two noticeable peaks at 529 and 531 eV could be attributed to metal-oxygen bond and R-O bond, respectively [48].

![XPS spectra](image)

Fig. 4. XPS analysis of HPCO: (a) Co2p, and (b) O1s core-level spectra.

To further elucidate differences between HPCO and the commercial Co$_3$O$_4$ NPs, their redox characteristics were then determined by temperature-programmed reduction (TPR) measurements. Fig. 5(a) displays TPR profiles of HPCO and the commercial Co$_3$O$_4$ NP. The reduction process of HPCO started at a relatively low temperature at ca. 250 °C, and a center temperature of the overall reduction profile occurred at 440 °C, whereas the reduction process of Co$_3$O$_4$ NP started at 350 °C with a center temperature at 475 °C. These comparisons indicated that while HPCO and the commercial Co$_3$O$_4$ NPs both consisted of Co$_3$O$_4$, their redox characteristics were noticeably different possibly owing to distinct surficial and morphological differences between these two catalysts.
In addition, textural properties of HPCO were also examined using N\textsubscript{2} sorption isotherms. As displayed in Fig. 5(b), the sorption isotherm of HPCO could be considered as an IUPAC type I isotherm, revealing that HPCO contained fine pores. The inset in Fig. 5(b) presented the pore size distribution, indicating that HPCO certainly comprised of mesopores, the corresponding specific surface area of HPCO was then measured as 13 m\textsuperscript{2}/g with a pore volume of 0.06 cm\textsuperscript{3}/g. For comparison, textural properties of a commercial Co\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} NP were also characterized as shown in Fig. S4, and its specific surface area was determined as 2 m\textsuperscript{2}/g with a pore volume of 0.01 cm\textsuperscript{3}/g. This result demonstrates that HPCO possessed superior textural properties in comparison with that of Co\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} NP, probably because Co\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} NPs are easily agglomerated (as shown in Fig. S4)). On the contrary, though HPCO was also comprised of spherical Co\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} NPs, these NPs could be refrained from agglomeration since Co\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} NPs were framed into the 2D morphology.

Since catalytic reduction of bromate using HPCO catalyzed NaBH\textsubscript{4} is an aqueous-solution reaction, it was necessary to determine the surface charges of HPCO under different conditions using zeta potentials analysis as shown in Fig. 5(c). One can be seen that the surface charges of HPCO became more negative as the increase of pH. In particular, at pH = 3, the surface charge of HPCO was +31mV, which was slightly reduced to +6 mV at pH = 5, then decreased to 0, -5 and -29 mV at pH = 7, 9 and 11, respectively. This result reveals that the surface charges of HPCO were positive under acidic conditions, then turned to negatively-charged under basic conditions with a pH\textsubscript{zpc} = ca. 7.
3.2 Bromate reduction using HPCO with NaBH₄

Since bromate reduction was conducted in the presence of HPCO as an “activator” and NaBH₄ as a source for releasing H₂, the reduction of bromate was firstly measured using individual constituent. Fig. 6(a) shows that bromate was negligibly reduced in the presence of HPCO alone, demonstrating that HPCO itself was incapable of removing bromate. When NaBH₄ was individually used to reduce bromate (without addition of catalysts), the concentration of bromate was barely decreased as $C_t/C_0$ was only 0.94, indicating that NaBH₄ alone could not reduce bromate efficiently, either. Nevertheless, when HPCO and NaBH₄ were simultaneously present, bromate was quickly reduced as $C_t/C_0$ reached nearly zero after 20 min, illustrating the effectiveness of HPCO catalyzed NaBH₄ to reduce bromate in water. The residual bromate concentration remained in the solution after reduction process was only 0.03 μg/L, which is below the maximum bromate concentration in drinking water (i.e., 0.078 μg/L) regulated by WHO and USEPA. On the other hand, as HPCO was comprised of Co₃O₄ NP, the commercial Co₃O₄ NP was...
also employed as a reference catalyst for bromate reduction. As shown in Fig. 6(a), bromate was gradually reduced using commercial Co$_3$O$_4$ NP with $C_t/C_0$ only reached 0.79 after 60 min, which was much higher than that of HPCO. This result further confirms the superior catalytic activities of HPCO to bromate reduction in comparison with commercial Co$_3$O$_4$ NP.

Nevertheless, since the term of $C_t/C_0$ did not signify the amount of bromate reduced; therefore, bromate removal efficiencies per gram of catalyst ($\mu$mol/g) were necessarily determined as shown in Fig. 6(b). There was a small fraction of bromate (i.e., 44.7 $\mu$mol/g) was removed in the presence of sole NaBH$_4$ after 60 min, proving that without the addition of catalyst, bromate was inefficiently reduced. Besides, while bromate removal efficiencies ($q_t$) at 60 min of CoTMC and commercial Co$_3$O$_4$ NP were 101.6 and 163.9 $\mu$mol/g, respectively, HPCO exhibited a much higher bromate removal efficiency as $q_t$ could reach nearly 781.25 $\mu$mol/g, an equilibrium, which is even higher than other reported catalysts in literature (shown in Table S1). This result further confirms that HPCO is an advantageous and promising heterogeneous catalyst for reducing bromate in water.

On the other hand, it was also crucial to determine whether bromate could be converted to bromide by those above-mentioned materials. As displayed in Fig. 6(c), almost no bromide generated after 60 min using sole NaBH$_4$. When CoTMC and the commercial Co$_3$O$_4$ NP were employed, bromide generation capacities ($q_j$) were certainly detected, revealing that bromate was surely reduced to bromide. Moreover, as HPCO exhibited outstanding catalytic activities to bromate elimination, the corresponding generated bromide was also significantly higher than other materials, asserting the superior catalytic activity of HPCO for reducing and converting bromate to bromide. One can be noted that the generated bromide capacities were typically comparable to the amount of bromate reduced, suggesting that bromate was predominantly converted to bromide,
and there was nearly no adsorption of removed bromate ions or produced bromide ions onto HPCO surface (Fig. S5).

As hydrolysis of NaBH$_4$ for releasing H$_2$ can be expedited in the presence of metal catalysts as follows (Eq.(3)) [49, 50]:

\[
\text{NaBH}_4 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{NaBO}_2 + 2\text{H}_2 \tag{3}
\]

the reaction of NaBH$_4$ with HPCO would produce H$_2$ which might then react with bromate to become bromide and H$_2$O as follows (Eq. (4)):

\[
\text{BrO}_3^- + 3\text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{Br}^- + 3\text{H}_2\text{O} \tag{4}
\]

Fig. 6(d) shows H$_2$ evolution by NaBH$_4$ in the presence of HPCO, and H$_2$ evolution proceeded rapidly along with the reaction time, approaching an equilibrium after 20 min. The tendency of H$_2$ evolution was similar to that of bromate reduction in Fig. 6(a), suggesting that removal of bromate by HPCO+NaBH$_4$ would correlate to the reaction expressed in Eq. (4), which could take place via multiple potential mechanisms [12]. Firstly, H$_2$ would be evolved from HPCO-catalyzed hydrolysis of NaBH$_4$, and the resulting H$_2$ would react with bromate ions in the bulk phase to transform bromate to bromide as illustrated in Fig. 6(e) as the route (i). On the other hand, bromate might temporarily reside on the surface of HPCO, and contact with H$_2$ molecules derived from HPCO-catalyzed NaBH$_4$ to become bromide as the route (ii) in Fig. 6(e).
Fig. 6. Comparisons between HPCO only, NaBH$_4$ only, Co$_3$O$_4$ NP + NaBH$_4$ and HPCO+NaBH$_4$ for (a) bromate removal in terms of Ct/C0, (b) removal efficiency for bromate, (c) conversion efficiency of bromide (catalyst = 100 mg/L, NaBH$_4$ = 100 mg/L, T = 30°C); (d) H$_2$ generation (T = 30°C, catalyst = 500 mg/L, and NaBH$_4$ = 125 mM); and (e) the mechanisms of bromate reduction by HPCO+NaBH$_4$
Besides, as HPCO contained Co$^{2+}$, Co$^{2+}$ would donate electrons to bromate and transform it to bromide while Co$^{2+}$ was oxidized to Co$^{3+}$ as the route (iii) in Fig. 6(e). Thus, XPS spectroscopy of used HPCO was analyzed as shown in Fig. S6; and the peak intensities of Co$^{2+}$ and Co$^{3+}$ were obviously changed compared to the pristine HPCO. This result validated that the oxidation of Co$^{2+}$ to Co$^{3+}$ certainly happened, which was then contributed to the reduction of bromate as illustrated in route (iii) of Fig. 6(e). Pandey et al. employed Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$ (MXene) for removing bromate and reported that the oxidation of Ti$^{2+}$ to Ti$^{4+}$ provided electrons to reduce bromate to bromide [51]. Another work by Restivo and co-workers also found that the reduction of bromate was certainly happened on the surface of metal catalyst in the presence of hydrogen atom in which metal was oxidized while bromate was contemporary reduced into bromide [12].

### 3.3 Effect of catalyst and NaBH$_4$ dosages

Since catalyst and NaBH$_4$ dosages are two crucial components to bromate reduction, the effect of HPCO dosage was first examined as displayed in Fig. 7(a-c). As discussed above, bromate was inefficiently removed in the absence of catalyst. Nevertheless, since a small amount of HPCO was adopted (i.e., 50 mg/L), the concentration of bromate was certainly reduced as $C_f/C_0$ was nearly 0.4 after 60 min with the corresponding removed bromate capacity was 1359.7 $\mu$mol/g. When HPCO dosage was increased to 100 mg/L, the concentration of bromate was quickly decreased as $C_f/C_0$ reached to nearly zero, and $q_t$ was slightly reduced as 781.2 $\mu$mol/g. Further increase of HPCO dosage from 150 to 200 mg/L resulted in faster bromate reduction as $C_f/C_0$ could reach nearly zero in a shorter time with the corresponding $q_t$ were 520.8 and 390.5 mg/L, respectively. These results reveal that though higher dosages of HPCO were more beneficial for reducing bromate, the removal efficiencies were decreased correspondingly. This is possibly because a
higher dosage of catalyst did not fully contribute to the reduction of bromate in water, which is similar to reported literatures [14, 52]. Moreover, one can be noted that bromide generation capacities were still comparable to reduced bromate concentrations, demonstrating that there were almost no adsorptions of bromate/bromide ions onto HPCO even at a higher dosage of HPCO.

In addition to catalyst dosage, since the presence of NaBH$_4$ as H$_2$ source is another important parameter for reducing bromate, it is also important to evaluate the variation of NaBH$_4$ dosage using HPCO as displayed in Fig. 7(d-f). At NaBH$_4$ = 50 mg/L, the concentration of bromate was gradually reduced as $C_t/C_0$ was 0.42 at 60 min, indicating that the reduction of bromate was insignificant in the lack of HG released from NaBH$_4$. Since NaBH$_4$ dosage was increased to 100 mg/L, bromate was rapidly reduced and fully removed within 20 min as $C_t/C_0$ reached nearly zero. Moreover, further increase of 125 mg/L of NaBH$_4$ enabled even faster bromate reduction within a certain shorter time. This finding suggests that a certain NaBH$_4$ dosage (i.e., 100 mg/L) is needed to afford completely bromate elimination by HPCO catalyzed NaBH$_4$ system. Similar results were also reported in literatures that bromate could be totally eliminated/reduced at a fixed amount of NaBH$_4$ [6]. For further experiments, 100 mg/L of NaBH$_4$ is particularly selected to investigate other parameters.
Fig. 7. Effect of catalyst (a-c) and NaBH₄ dosage (d-f) on bromate reduction using HPCO+NaBH₄ (HPCO = 100 mg/L, NaBH₄ = 100 mg/L, T = 30°C).

3.4 Effect of temperatures
Temperature is also a critical factor for bromate reduction in water; therefore, the effect of different temperatures varying from 30 °C to 40 °C to bromate reduction using HPCO catalyzed NaBH₄ was further examined. As displayed in Fig. 8, bromate was totally eliminated/reduced within 20 min at 30 °C, which was even faster in shorter time at higher temperatures. In particular, 100% of bromate was achieved in 15 min at 35 °C whereas all bromate was reduced within 10 min at 40 °C. This validates the enhancing effect of elevated temperatures on bromate reduction using HPCO/NaBH₄ system. Besides, bromate removal kinetics at various temperatures were further calculated via the pseudo first order rate law as the following equation (Eq. (5)):

$$C_t = C_0 \exp(-k_{obs}t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $k_{obs}$ (min⁻¹) represents the observed rate constant of the pseudo first order equation. At 30 °C, bromate reduction kinetics was calculated as 0.148 min⁻¹ (Table S2), which was significant increased to 0.1758 min⁻¹ and 0.213 min⁻¹ at 35 °C and 40 °C, respectively, asserting the effectiveness of elevated temperatures to bromate reduction kinetics using HPCO catalyzed NaBH₄. Additionally, as $k_{obs}$ was increased along with higher temperatures, the relationship between $k_{obs}$ and temperatures was further correlated via the Arrhenius equation as follows (Eq. (6)):

$$\ln k_{obs} = \ln A – E_a/RT$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where A represents the pre-exponential factor (min⁻¹); R is the gas constant (J/K mol); T is temperature of solution in Kelvin (K); $E_a$ is the activation energy (kJ/mol). A plot (Fig. S7) indicated the correlation of Ln $k_{obs}$ versus $1/T$, indicating that the data points were well-fitting by linear regression ($R^2 = 0.997$), then $E_a$ was calculated as 28.5 kJ/mol. For comparisons, $E_a$ values of the reported studies for bromate reduction were also summarized and listed in Table S3; and $E_a$ of HPCO was considerably lower than many reported values by different catalysts, including
precious metal catalysts. This result confirms that HPCO is a robust and competitive heterogeneous catalyst for bromate reduction.

On the other hand, bromate removal efficiencies and bromide generation capacities were also presented in Fig. 8(b) and (c), respectively. One can be noted that the bromate removal efficiencies could be reached to the equilibrium faster as the increase of temperature. In particular, while bromate removal efficiency afforded to equilibrium state in 20 min at 30°C, it was even in a shorter time at higher temperatures (Fig. 8(b)). Besides, bromide generation capacities were also illustrated as shown in Fig. 8(c), indicating that bromide was also quickly produced when temperatures raised, which were corresponded to bromate reduction efficiencies. These results certainly certified the advantageous of elevated temperatures to bromate reduction using HPCO catalyzed NaBH₄.

Fig. 8. Effect of temperatures on bromate reduction using HPCO+NaBH₄ (HPCO = 100 mg/L, NaBH₄ = 100 mg/L, T = 30°C).

3.5 Effect of initial pH of bromate solution

Since bromate reduction was performed using water batch experiments, pH of the solution is another crucial parameter affecting bromate reduction efficiency. Thus, the effect of different pH was further evaluated by adjusting the initial pH of bromate solution from 3 to 11. Fig. 9(a)
presents bromate reduction efficiency at various pH; and it can be noted that bromate reduction was slightly accelerated at lower pH of acidic conditions (i.e., pH = 3, 5) whereas bromate reduction was certainly influenced at higher pH of basic conditions (i.e., pH = 9, 11). In particular, at neutral condition of pH = 7, bromate was totally reduced within 20 min. When pH was lightly lowered as pH = 5, bromate was reduced faster, which could be even much faster at strongly acidic condition of pH = 3. In contrast, bromate was slowly reduced at slightly basic condition of pH = 9 whereas it was even much influenced at strongly basic condition of pH = 11. This demonstrates that bromate reduction was favorable in acidic conditions while higher pH of basic conditions seemed to be adverse for bromate reduction using HPCO catalyzed NaBH₄. The surface charges of HPCO were more positive at acidic conditions (as shown in zeta potentials analysis). Thus, anionic bromate ions were more attracted via electrostatic attraction force to the positive surface of HPCO where NaBH₄ was catalyzed to produce H₂ gas, thereby reducing bromate quickly [53]. Nevertheless, bromate reduction efficiencies were certainly decreased in basic conditions due to electrostatic repulsion between anionic bromate ions and more-negatively surface charges of HPCO, then leading to inefficient bromate reduction [53]. Moreover, bromate reduction efficiencies and bromide generation capacities at various pH values were also determined as displayed in Fig. 9(b) and (c), respectively. And one can be seen that lower pH was more beneficial for bromate reduction efficiencies and bromide generation capacities while higher pH was certainly unfavorable. However, bromate removal efficiencies and bromide generation capacities were still comparable at various pH values by using HPCO+NaBH₄ system.
3.6 Effect of co-existing anions on bromate reduction

Since bromate is usually found in water where consisted of many ions, especially anions, it is necessary to investigate the effect of co-existing anions (i.e., nitrate, sulfate, phosphate) to bromate reduction using HPCO catalyzed NaBH₄. Before evaluating the effect of different anions, bromate solution containing equivalent concentration (i.e., 10 mg/L) of those co-existing anions was firstly prepared. As shown in Fig. 10(a), bromate reduction was slightly slower in the presence of co-existing anions. However, 100% of bromate removal was still afforded after 60 min, revealing the superior catalytic activities of HPCO catalyzed NaBH₄ for bromate reduction, even in a complex matrix of various anions. Besides, the generation of bromide converted from bromate reduction was also necessarily quantified; and there was no significant difference of bromide generation with or without co-existing anions (Fig. 10(b)), proving that HPCO+NaBH₄ was still capable to reduce bromate to bromide in the presence of those competing anions. Interestingly, while the concentration of phosphate and sulfate were unchanged by HPCO catalyzed NaBH₄, a small amount of nitrate was certainly removed after 60 min as $C_v/C_0$ was 0.77. This result implies that
HPCO+NaBH₄ could also remove/reduce nitrate in water to produce nitrite, which is also reported in previous literature [11].

Fig. 10. Effect of co-existing anions on bromate reduction using HPCO+NaBH₄ (a) removal efficiency for bromate, and (b) conversion efficiency for bromide; (c) recyclability test of HPCO for bromate reduction (HPCO = 100 mg/L, NaBH₄ = 100 mg/L, T = 30°C), and (d) XRD pattern of used HPCO.

3.7 Recyclability of HPCO

Since HPCO showed superior catalytic activities for catalyzing NaBH₄ to reduce bromate in water, it is crucial to investigate the reusability of HPCO for continuous bromate reduction. As shown in Fig. 10(c), bromate removal efficiencies were almost unchanged as almost 100% bromate removal
was still afforded while the generation of bromide was also remained after five-consecutive cycles. Fig. 10 (d) reveals that the spent HPCO showed a very comparable XRD pattern to that of original HPCO without significant variations, indicating the high stability of HPCO over the multiple-cycle application. This result confirms that HPCO was highly reusable with outstanding-stable catalytic activities reduce bromate to bromide in water, even without any regeneration treatment.

4. Conclusion

In this study, a 2D hexagonal porous Co$_3$O$_4$ (HPCO) was successfully fabricated via one-step calcination of a coordinated framework CoTMC. The resulting HPCO not only retained the original 2D hexagonal morphology of the pristine CoTMC, but also comprised of many pores and Co$_3$O$_4$ NP distributing evenly over the surface. More importantly, this HPCO exhibited remarkable surficial oxygen vacancies as well as textural properties in comparison with the commercial Co$_3$O$_4$ NP. These significant characteristics of HPCO contributed to its outstanding catalytic activities for catalyzing NaBH$_4$ to reduce bromate in water. Moreover, HPCO also exhibited a low $E_a$ of 28.5 kJ/mol for bromate reduction, which is lower than the reported values by many catalysts, especially noble metal catalysts. HPCO could also retain its high catalytic activities for bromate reduction and bromide generation over multiple-cycles, making it an outstanding and promising heterogeneous catalyst for bromate reduction via catalytic hydrogenation in water.
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