Temporal patterns of vampire bat rabies and host connectivity in Belize
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Abstract
In the Neotropics, vampire bats (Desmodus rotundus) are the main reservoir host for rabies, a highly fatal encephalitis caused by viruses in the genus Lyssavirus. Although patterns of rabies virus exposure and infection have been well studied for vampire bats in South America and Mexico, exploring the ecology of vampire bat rabies in other regions is crucial for predicting risks to livestock and humans. In Belize, rabies outbreaks in livestock have increased in recent years, underscoring the need for systematic data on viral dynamics in vampire bats. In this study, we examine the first three years of a longitudinal study on the ecology of vampire bat rabies in northern Belize. Rabies seroprevalence in bats was high across years (29%–80%), suggesting active and endemic virus circulation. Across two locations, the seroprevalence time series per site were inversely related and out of phase by at least a year. Microsatellite data demonstrated historic panmixia of vampire bats, and mark–recapture detected rare but contemporary inter-site dispersal. This degree of movement could facilitate spatial spread of rabies virus but is likely insufficient to synchronize infection dynamics, which offers one explanation for the observed phase lag in seroprevalence. More broadly, our analyses suggest frequent transmission of rabies virus within and among vampire bat roosts in northern Belize and highlight the need for future spatiotemporal, phylogenetic and ecological studies of vampire bat rabies in Central America.
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INTRODUCTION

Rabies is an acute, highly lethal encephalitis caused by viruses in the family Rhabdoviridae, genus Lyssavirus (Wunner & Jackson, 2010). Lyssaviruses most likely originated from bats (Order Chiroptera; Badrane & Tordo, 2001), which are now confirmed as reservoir hosts for 16 of the 18 recognized viruses (Banyard, Evans, Luo, & Fooks, 2014; Kuzmin et al., 2011; Rupprecht & Chikwamba, 2018). Rabies lyssavirus (RABV) is the most epidemiologically important and best-studied virus in this group (Rupprecht & Chikwamba, 2018) and the only lyssavirus present in the Western Hemisphere (Rupprecht, Turmelle, & Kuzmin, 2011; Velasco-Villa et al., 2017). Although reservoir hosts in North America are dominated by insectivorous bats and wild mesocarnivores (Banyard et al., 2014; Messenger, Smith, Turmelle, & Kuzmin, 2011; Velasco-Villa et al., 2017). Whether similar dynamics occur where vampire bat RABV transmission to livestock is common (Ellison et al., 2014; Martínez-Burnes et al., 1997; Moran et al., 2015; Velasco-Villa et al., 2002, 2006), there are several notable differences. Belize has reported rabies in domestic animals (i.e. cattle, sheep and horses) across four of its six districts (World Organization for Animal Health, 2016), and outbreaks have increased in frequency, especially since 2016 (Figure 1). RABV strains isolated from Belize livestock are the antigenic variants V-3 and V-11, both of which are vampire bat-associated variants first characterized in Mexico and Colombia (Jiménez et al., 2017; Velasco-Villa et al., 2002). Although human rabies has not been documented in Belize for over 20 years, vampire bat feeding on humans has been reported (McCarthy, 1989). Several vampire bats have tested positive for RABV in Belize (Constantine & Blehert, 2009; World Health Organization for Animal Health, 2019). We here use serology to detect recent RABV circulation in vampire bats with abundant livestock prey (Becker, Czirják, et al., 1972; Streicker et al., 2016). Whether similar dynamics occur among vampire bats across Central America and the Caribbean remains a pressing and open question (Seetahal et al., 2018; Streicker, Fallas González, Luconi, Barrientos, & Leon, 2019; Velasco-Villa et al., 2017).

Rabies is a notifiable disease in almost all countries of the Caribbean (CARICOM; Seetahal et al., 2018), where it is listed as a priority zoonosis by the Caribbean Animal Health Network (Lefrancois et al., 2010). RABV is endemic in at least 10 CARICOM countries, of which vampire bats are the primary reservoir in French Guiana, Guyana, Suriname, Trinidad and Belize (Seetahal et al., 2018). The latter is of particular interest given its proximity to other Central American countries such as Mexico and Guatemala, where vampire bat RABV transmission to livestock is common (Ellison et al., 2014; Martínez-Burnes et al., 1997; Moran et al., 2015; Velasco-Villa et al., 2002, 2006). Belize has reported rabies in domestic animals (i.e. cattle, sheep and horses) across four of its six districts (World Organization for Animal Health, 2016), and outbreaks have increased in frequency, especially since 2016 (Figure 1). RABV strains isolated from Belize livestock are the antigenic variants V-3 and V-11, both of which are vampire bat-associated variants first characterized in Mexico and Colombia (Jiménez et al., 2017; Velasco-Villa et al., 2002). Although human rabies has not been documented in Belize for over 20 years, vampire bat feeding on humans has been reported (McCarthy, 1989). Several vampire bats have tested positive for RABV in Belize (Constantine & Blehert, 2009; World Organization for Animal Health, 2019). We here use serology to detect recent RABV circulation in vampire bats with abundant livestock prey (Becker, Czirják, et al., 2020; Plowright et al., 2015). RABV dynamics in vampire bats have been studied across parts of the species geographic range, providing important insights into viral transmission and maintenance. Work in Peru showed that vampire bat RABV does not follow density-dependent transmission, that bat culling activities predict greater viral exposure in bats and that young bats are likely a critical demographic group for viral maintenance (Streicker et al., 2012). Longitudinal analyses of RABV antibody prevalence in Peru and French Guiana further suggested that viral persistence depends on vampire bat dispersal among sites and that most exposed bats likely gain transient immunity following exposure (i.e. without becoming infectious or experiencing mortality; Blackwood, Streicker, Altizer, & Rohani, 2013; de Thoisy et al., 2016). Spatiotemporal studies in Argentina and Peru showed that vampire bat RABV moves in travelling waves, likely driven by male-biased dispersal (Benavides, Valderrama, & Streicker, 2016; Delpietro, Diaz, Fuenzalida, & Bell, 1972; Streicker et al., 2016).
RABV seropositivity (Blackwood et al., 2013; Streicker et al., 2012). Because we also found evidence for divergent RABV dynamics among sites, we used population genetics and mark–recapture data to ask whether spatial patterns in virus exposure could be explained by inter-site bat dispersal.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Vampire bat sampling

During 2014–2016, we sampled vampire bats in two areas of Orange Walk District: the Lamanai Archeological Reserve (LAR) and Ka’Kabish (KK). These sites are separated by 8 km of agricultural fields, secondary growth, and forest fragments and have been the basis of long-term bat research, representing contrasting community structure and land use (Fenton et al., 2001; Herrera, Duncan, Clare, Fenton, & Simmons, 2018). LAR contains 450 ha of semi-deciduous tropical forest at varying stages of regrowth after clearing for settlement and agriculture from the Maya period onward. Habitats range from closed-canopy forest to clearings, and the protected reserve is bordered by the New River Lagoon, forest and agricultural fields. KK is a smaller fragment (approximately 45 ha) of secondary forest overlaying another Maya city, surrounded by agriculture and pasture (Figure 1).

We sampled bats during 1–2 weeks annually between late April and early May (Table S1), which coincides with the transition between the dry and wet seasons in Belize. Daily high temperatures at both sites were 29–37°C with sunny days and occasional afternoon or evening thunderstorms. We targeted single known roosts per site and used mist nets (6, 9 and 12 m) at the entrances of both roosts (covering the only exit for the LAR roost and both main exits for the KK roost) as well as along known flight paths from approximately 19:00 to 22:00. We also set an Austbat harp trap (Titley Scientific, capture area of approximately 2 m by 1.8 m) from 18:00 until 5:00 on different nights per site to maximize capture. Mist nets were monitored continuously, and harp traps were checked every half hour until midnight and again before dawn. Because most captures were obtained via mist nets, bats were primarily captured leaving roosts. However, we note that other roosts do exist in the broader study region, and thus, our capture efforts only sampled a subset of the broader vampire bat metapopulation (Trajano, 1996).

All vampire bats (n = 122) were placed in individual holding bags upon capture and issued a uniquely numbered incoloy wing band (3.5 mm, Porzana Inc.). We classified age as sub-adult or adult based on fusion of phalangeal epiphyses (Delpietro & Russo, 2002). Reproductive status was determined by the presence of scrotal testes in males and pregnancy or lactation in females. Across all three years, both sites had uneven sex composition, with KK having a more skewed sex ratio (86% males) than LAR (65% males). From a subset of bats, we obtained blood samples by lancing the propatagial vein with 23-gauge needles, followed by collection with heparinized capillary tubes. We obtained serum (n = 67) by centrifuging blood in serum separator tubes. To assess population genetic connectivity, we collected two 2 mm wing biopsy punches stored in 95% ethanol. Samples were frozen at -20°C until long-term -80°C storage. Field
protocols followed guidelines for safe and humane handling of bats issued by the American Society of Mammalogists (Sikes, 2016) and were approved by the University of Georgia Animal Care and Use Committee (A2014 04-016-Y3-A5). Bat sampling was authorized by the Belize Forest Department under permits CD/60/3/14(27), CD/60/3/15(21) and WL/1/1/16(17).

2.2 | Rabies serology

Serum was heat inactivated at 56°C for one hour before exportation to the MRC-University of Glasgow Centre for Virus Research. To detect VNA, we used a modified pseudotype virus micro-neutralization test (Meza et al., 2020). Specifically, we used a pseudotype virus with a murine leukaemia virus backbone and a RABV glycoprotein gene (strain CVS-11) rather than pathogenic RABV (Kuzmin et al., 2008; Streicker et al., 2012). Along with each batch of samples, we tested a dilution series with known concentrations of standard rabies immunoglobulin (SRIG) from 0.01 to 0.2 International Units per ml (IU/ml). In each test well, which contained a dilution of serum and challenge virus, the number of fluorescing cells (i.e. virus-infected cells represented by an accumulation of pixels in a binary image) was calculated across five image fields using ImageJ (Abrámoff, Magalhaes, & Ram, 2004). We next fit a binomial (logit link) generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) to the SRIG data, considering titres >0.1 IU/ml as positive and titres <0.1 IU/ml as negative. The fixed effect was the count of virus-infected mouse neuroblastoma (N2A) cells under the 1:10 dilution, and we included a random intercept of assay date. After fitting this GLMM to the SRIG data, we predicted the probability that field-collected samples were seropositive, using the fluorescing cell counts of the 1:10 serum dilution (Figure S1). We considered bats with mean predicted probabilities (averaged over the five microscopy fields) greater than 95% to be seropositive. We then used a secondary GLMM with a log-normal distribution (fit to the full concentration series of SRIG 0.1–0.2 IU/ml, 1:10 dilution) to predict VNA titres, which we used to evaluate whether seropositive individuals had predicted titres far above our VNA cutoff, which would indicate a low risk of false positives (Figure S2). GLMMs were fit using the lme4 package in R (Bates, Mächler, Bolker, & Walker, 2015).

2.3 | Statistical analysis of serological data

We also used the prevalence package in R to estimate RABV seroprevalence and 95% confidence intervals (a) across all data and (b) per year per site. To quantify the degree of synchrony in RABV seroprevalence between sites, we estimated the zero-lag cross-correlation coefficient ($r$; -1 to 1) between the three-year serological time series (Bjørnstad, Ims, & Lambin, 1999).

To test the spatial, temporal and individual predictors of RABV seropositivity, we fit a set of GLMs with binomial errors and a logit link. GLMs included year, site, sex, reproductive status, age, and the interaction between year and site; we did not fit a single GLM with all predictors to prevent overfitting. Owing to a low number of recaptured bats with sera tested for RABV VNA on multiple occasions ($n = 3$), we randomly selected one capture event for these individuals in our GLMs rather than include bat identification number as a random effect. We used the MuMIn package and Akaíke information criterion corrected for small sample size (AICc) to compare candidate GLMs fit to a data set free of missing values ($n = 61$), and models within two AICc were considered competitive (Barton, 2013; Burnham & Anderson, 2002). We assessed fit ($R^2$) using the rsq package (Nagelkerke, 1991). Because male behaviour and disperser bias could affect rabies exposure (Huguen et al., 2018; Streicker et al., 2016), we also tested for a correlation between the site- and year-specific fractions of males and seroprevalence.

2.4 | Population connectivity

Vampire bats often use multiple roosts (Wilkinson, 1985), and interroost movement typically occurs within a relatively small area (i.e. from 2 to 10 km) for both sexes, although some male bias is evident (Delpietro, Russo, Carter, Lord, & Delpietro, 2017; Trajano, 1996). However, dispersal over longer distances is almost exclusively undertaken by males (Greenhall, Joermann, Schmidt, & Seidel, 1983; Streicker et al., 2016). Bat contact at shared feeding grounds within the foraging range of our sites could also facilitate inter-site virus transmission (Blackwood et al., 2013; Greenhall, Schmidt, & Lopez-Forment, 1971). To first assess connectivity through bat population genetics, we extracted DNA from wing biopsies (LAR = 41, KK = 28) using DNeasy Tissue Kits (Qiagen) and amplified nine previously developed nuclear microsatellite loci using a Multiplex PCR Kit (Qiagen; Piaggio, Johnston, & Perkins, 2008). Microsatellites are biparentally inherited markers that have previously captured patterns of vampire bat population structure at both large and fine spatial scales and correlate with patterns of rabies virus spread (Huguen et al., 2018; Streicker et al., 2016). We used Geneious (BioMatters) for microsatellite scoring (Kearse et al., 2012). In R, we next used the poppr and hierfstat packages to process microsatellite data and assess population structure (Goudet, 2005; Kamvar, Tabima, & Grünwald, 2014). We estimated genetic distance as Nei’s pairwise $F_{ST}$ (Goudet, Raymond, de Meeüs, & Rousett, 1996) and visualized population structure using a principal components analysis (PCA).

As a secondary method, we applied mark-recapture analysis to our field sampling data (2014–2016) and to later vampire bat sampling efforts (2017–2019) to estimate the relative frequency of inter-site movement. We used the prevalence package to estimate dispersal events relative to all recaptures and the associated 95% confidence interval. To test whether males are less roost faithful than females, we used a GLM with sex as the predictor and inter-roost movement as a binomial response. We also used a GLM with a random effect of identification number to assess sex biases in annual recapture (i.e. binomial response) of all banded individuals, as lack of recapture suggests mortality or dispersal. We did not use...
mark–recapture to estimate colony size owing to limited recaptures in 2014–2016, when serology data were available, and to weak evidence for density-dependent RABV transmission in vampire bats (Streicker et al., 2012).

3 | RESULTS

We found that 47.8% of the 67 vampire bats assayed for RABV VNA in northern Belize were seropositive across sites and years (95% CI: 36.25%–59.51%). Overall seroprevalence was similar between KK and LAR (44.1% and 51.5%; Figure 2a), with most inter-site variation in 2015 (KK = 29.4%, LAR = 80%). Estimated VNA titres for seropositive bats were generally well above the pre-defined threshold for positivity across all sites and years, with the exception of LAR in 2014 (Figure S2). Correlation between annual seroprevalence in KK and LAR was negative at the zero lag ($r_0 = -0.68$) and suggested a phase lag of at least a year (Figure 2a). Model comparison did not identify site, year or individual predictors of seropositivity (Table 1).

For the three recaptured bats tested for VNA (all male), we detected one seroconversion from negative to positive and another from positive to negative, both associated with large titre changes (Figure S3). The proportion of males per site and year did not correlate with seroprevalence ($r = 0.29, p = 0.57$).

Analyses of vampire bat population structure suggested very low genetic differentiation between sites ($F_{ST} = 0.015$), and the PCA also suggested general panmixia (Figure 2b). Consistent with population genetic results, mark–recapture data across a broader sample of 75 recaptured bats ($n = 193$ captures into 2019) revealed rare but detectable inter-year movements between sites, including two males and one female (4/118 recaptures, 95% CI: 1.3%–8.4%; Figure 3). Perhaps unsurprisingly given these relatively rare inter-site dispersals, males were not significantly more likely to move between sites ($OR = 2.24, p = 0.51$), although one adult male was recaptured between sites twice from 2016 to 2019 (LAR to KK to LAR). Lastly, when considering the likelihood of recapturing a banded bat annually ($n = 234$ individuals over five years), males had weakly lower odds of annual recapture (11%) compared to females (23%; $OR = 0.23$, $p = .1$).

4 | DISCUSSION

This study provides results of the first active surveillance for RABV circulation among vampire bats in Belize. We show RABV is actively circulating in vampire bats in the north of Belize and that the virus appears to show endemic dynamics. Between two sites, seroprevalence was high, inversely related and phase lagged by likely a year. Microsatellite data demonstrated substantial gene flow between sites, and mark–recapture analyses detected rare inter-site bat movements. Together, these observations suggest one plausible explanation for the inverse seroprevalence dynamics could be infrequent but ecologically relevant vampire bat movement among sites.

The detection of rabies VNA demonstrates active viral circulation in vampire bats in Belize, consistent with RABV circulation in *Desmodus rotundus* in adjacent Guatemala and Mexico (Ellison et al., 2014; Velasco-Villa et al., 2002, 2006). However, our average estimate of RABV seroprevalence among bats in Belize (47.8%) was notably higher than for vampire bats sampled in other regions, including Peru (10.5%; Streicker et al., 2012), Brazil (7.3% and 12.2%; Almeida et al., 2011; Langoni et al., 2008), French Guiana (14.2%; de Thoisy et al., 2016) and Guatemala (9%; Ellison et al., 2014). We used a higher (more stringent) VNA cut-off for seropositivity (0.1 IU/ml) than some other studies of bat rabies (e.g. 0.05 and 0.06 IU/ml; Ellison et al., 2014; Streicker, Franke, Jackson, & Rupprecht, 2013), and lower VNA cut-offs would only increase our seroprevalence estimate. Given ongoing rabies outbreaks in Belize, sampling bats during the epizootic could have facilitated a higher likelihood of detecting seropositive bats, as VNAs from recent viral exposures would not yet have waned to undetectable levels (Jackson et al., 2008; Plowright et al., 2019). Vampire bats in our sites also co-roost with other bat species, including *Glossophaga soricina* and *Trachops cirrhosus* (Fenton et al., 2001), which have tested positive for RABV VNA in other countries, so exposures to non-vampire bat RABV variants...
could have elevated seroprevalence (Almeida et al., 2019; Ellison et al., 2014). More broadly, the high frequency of seropositive individuals here provides further evidence that many apparently healthy bats are exposed to RABV and can clear peripheral viral infection without showing disease (Ellison et al., 2014; Streicker et al., 2012; de Thoisy et al., 2016; Turmelle, Allen, et al., 2010; Turmelle, Jackson, et al., 2010).

Host populations connected by movement should spatially homogenize pathogen transmission (Hess, 1996). Prevalence of RABV exposure in vampire bats did not differ significantly between our two sites. However, site-specific seroprevalence estimates were negatively associated at the zero-year lag, implying localized RABV dynamics are out of phase. More years of serological data are needed to confirm such patterns, but one explanation for this inverse relationship could be bat movement that causes travelling waves of virus transmission (Bjørnstad et al., 1999). Our microsatellite data suggest historic panmixia of bats in this region of Belize, consistent with low population structure at small spatial scales in Mexico, Peru and French Guiana, as also inferred using nuclear markers (Huguin et al., 2018; Romero-Nava, León-Paniagua, & Ortega, 2014; Streicker et al., 2016). Additionally, mark-recapture detected rare but contemporary annual movement between sites, an 8 km distance relative to mean home ranges of 2–3 km (Burns & Crespo, 1975; Trajano, 1996). The relatively low frequency of inter-site movement could stem from recent habitat fragmentation (Ingala, Becker, Holm, Kristiansen, & Simmons, 2019) or the presence of additional unsampled roosts in the broader landscape (Trajano, 1996); in 2019, the authors photographed banded vampire bats in a newly detected cave roost approximately 6 km from the KK and LAR roosts. Additionally, inter-site movement over larger spatial scales has been shown to be more frequent in males (Delpietro et al., 2017), and prior population genetics analyses suggest skewed sex ratios could facilitate spatial spread of RABV by male-biased dispersal (Streicker et al., 2016). Although we did not detect a sex difference in the frequency of inter-site movement, male bats were somewhat less likely to be recaptured between years, which could indicate movement to other roosts in the landscape. The stronger male bias in KK could also explain the phase lag in seroprevalence if this site sources more infected dispersers (Becker, Snedden, Altizer, & Hall, 2018).

Fine-scale studies of bat movement are needed to better quantify contemporary connectivity and to understand how alternative roosts and male dispersal affect RABV dynamics. Additionally, use of mitochondrial markers could also help illuminate how sex-dependent behaviour shapes virus transmission (Huguin et al., 2018; Streicker et al., 2016). More broadly, however, our results suggest that the degree of connectivity found here likely enables virus persistence through inter-site contacts but is not sufficient to synchronize infection dynamics across sites (Blackwood et al., 2013). In further support of this idea, the inverse relationship in seroprevalence between our Belize sites (<10 km) is consistent with prior rates of RABV dispersal in vampire bats of 9–17 km per year (Benavides et al., 2016; Streicker et al., 2019).

Future phylogenetic analyses of RABV isolates from across Belize and nearby countries could help differentiate hypotheses of a persistent transmission cycle in Belize against the potential role of viral invasions and spatial spread (Biek, Henderson, Waller, Rupprecht, & Real, 2007; Streicker et al., 2019; Velasco-Villa et al., 2006). Although our serological data are consistent with endemic maintenance of RABV in local vampire bat populations, livestock rabies outbreaks are known to show epidemic dynamics, including in Belize, where outbreaks were not reported in livestock in 2014 and 2015 (World Organization for Animal Health, 2016). Vaccination of livestock could limit outbreak detection or cause apparent viral extinctions. Future diet analyses of vampire bats could also address whether the mismatch between observed seroprevalence in vampire bats and outbreaks in livestock

### Table 1: Candidate GLMs predicting vampire bat RABV seropositivity in Belize

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GLM structure</th>
<th>k</th>
<th>ΔAICc</th>
<th>wi</th>
<th>R²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seropositivity – 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seropositivity – site</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seropositivity – sex</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seropositivity – age</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seropositivity – reproduction</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seropositivity – reproduction + sex</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seropositivity – sex + site</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seropositivity – age + site</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seropositivity – reproduction + site</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seropositivity – age + sex</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seropositivity – year * site</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seropositivity – year</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seropositivity – age + reproduction</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seropositivity – reproduction + sex + site</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seropositivity – age + reproduction + sex</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seropositivity – age + sex + site</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.34</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seropositivity – age + reproduction + site</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.54</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seropositivity – year + sex</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.74</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seropositivity – year + site</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.74</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seropositivity – age + year</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seropositivity – year + reproduction</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.38</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seropositivity – year + reproduction + sex</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seropositivity – year + sex + site</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.79</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seropositivity – age + year + site</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.86</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seropositivity – age + year + sex</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.97</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seropositivity – year + reproduction + site</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8.04</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seropositivity – age + year + reproduction</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
reflects temporal changes in contact rates between the reservoir hosts and recipient hosts (e.g., large temporal shifts towards feeding on livestock prey). The latter could be especially informative given intensifying agricultural activity in this region (Patterson, 2016), which could affect both bat feeding patterns and local movement (Botto Nuñez, Becker, Lawrence, & Plowright, 2020).

Despite active circulation of RABV in Belize vampire bats and associated rabies outbreaks in livestock, we caution that culling of vampire bats through destruction of roosts or application of anticoagulant paste is unlikely to eliminate rabies (Johnson et al., 2014; Linhart, Crespo, & Mitchell, 1972; Streicker et al., 2012). Moreover, theory suggests that culling can increase RABV transmission by increasing dispersal of infected bats across larger spatial scales (Blackwood et al., 2013). Destruction of roosts also affects population viability of other bat species that reside with vampire bats, threatening ecosystem services provided by insectivorous and frugivorous bats (O’Shea, Cryan, Hayman, Plowright, & Streicker, 2016). Although we are unaware of culling applied to roosts in our sites, vampire bat control has been applied elsewhere in Belize, and landowners maintain negative perceptions of bats (Shapiro, Wilcox, Tate, & Willcox, 2020). Alternative interventions, such as vaccination of humans and livestock, efforts to reduce bite incidence and oral vaccination of bats themselves, could be more sustainable and would reduce negative impacts of rabies control on Neotropical bat communities (Anderson et al., 2014; Bakker et al., 2019; Stoner-Duncan et al., 2014).
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