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In Brief
Michalopoulou et al. show that in pancreatic cancer cells with oncogenic KRAS and PTEN loss, scavenging of extracellular protein provides resistance against mTOR inhibition. Protein scavenging circumvents mTORC2 signaling by rescuing AKT phosphorylation. Additional treatment with AKT or lysosomal inhibitors strongly potentiates the effect of mTOR inhibitors.
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SUMMARY
Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) features a near-universal mutation in KRAS. Additionally, the tumor suppressor PTEN is lost in ~10% of patients, and in mouse models, this dramatically accelerates tumor progression. While oncogenic KRAS and phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) cause divergent metabolic phenotypes individually, how they synergize to promote tumor metabolic alterations and dependencies remains unknown. We show that in KRAS-driven murine PDAC cells, loss of Pten strongly enhances both mTOR signaling and macropinocytosis. Protein scavenging alleviates sensitivity to mTOR inhibition by rescuing AKT phosphorylation at serine 473 and consequently cell proliferation. Combined inhibition of mTOR and lysosomal processing of internalized protein eliminates the macropinocytosis-mediated resistance. Our results indicate that mTORC2, rather than mTORC1, is an important regulator of protein scavenging and that protein-mediated resistance could explain the lack of effectiveness of mTOR inhibitors in certain genetic backgrounds. Concurrent inhibition of mTOR and protein scavenging might be a valuable therapeutic approach.

INTRODUCTION
Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is a very aggressive type of cancer that, despite its fairly low incidence, is predicted to be the second biggest contributor to cancer deaths by 2030 (Rahib et al., 2014). A near-universal oncogenic driver of PDAC is the constitutive activation of the small GTPase protein KRAS, most often caused by a mutation leading to an inability to hydrolyze guanosine triphosphate (GTP). The resulting constitutive induction of downstream signaling cascades leads to multiple changes that together facilitate rapid cell proliferation, and this includes alterations in cellular metabolism (Bryant et al., 2014). Previous work has shown that oncogenic RAS promotes scavenging of multiple nutrient sources (Guo et al., 2011; Commissio et al., 2013; Kamphorst et al., 2013), which appears to be distinct from oncogenic events leading to constitutive phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K)-AKT activation, that promotes de novo synthesis of cellular components from glucose and free amino acids, particularly glutamine (Tong et al., 2009). The metabolic scavenging phenotype, induced by KRAS in PDAC, may be especially important for maintaining metabolic plasticity and tumorigenesis in a tumor microenvironment that is poorly vascularized and deprived of primary nutrients like glucose and glutamine (Kamphorst et al., 2015).

One RAS-induced scavenging mechanism that has received considerable attention is macropinocytosis (Commissio et al., 2013). This is an endocytic process that cells use to internalize extracellular material, including protein. After endocytosis, the resulting vesicles, named macropinosomes, which contain the internalized protein, fuse with lysosomes, leading to proteolytic degradation. The freed amino acids generated by this process support the metabolic needs of the cell (Michalopoulou et al., 2016). Scavenging and subsequent hydrolysis of extracellular protein via macropinocytosis was found to support proliferation of KRAS-driven cells in medium devoid of essential amino acids (EAAs) (Kamphorst et al., 2015; Palm et al., 2015). Importantly, macropinocytosis was found to occur both in primary human PDAC specimens (Kamphorst et al., 2015) and in in vivo mouse models of PDAC (Davidson et al., 2017).

Although RAS is a main driver of macropinocytosis (Bar-Sagi and Feramisco, 1986), other signaling events are also involved in regulating various aspects of the macropinocytosis cascade. For example, macropinosome formation is dependent on the local production of phosphatidylinositol (3,4,5) triphosphate (PIP3) lipids (Veltman et al., 2016). Consequently, PI3K, which produces PIP3, and its negative regulator, PTEN, were found to regulate lysosomal catabolism of scavenged proteins (Palm et al., 2017). Interestingly, prostate tumor cells, deficient for PTEN, survive the nutrient stress by internalizing necrotic bodies through macropinocytosis (Kim et al., 2018). There is also an established connection between PTEN and macropinocytosis through the PI3K target mTORC1. Under nutrient-replete conditions, mTORC1 promotes growth by inducing protein, nucleotide, and fatty acid synthesis while suppressing catabolic processes like autophagy (White and DiPaola, 2009; Howell et al., 2013). In mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) harboring a
KRAS-activating mutation, it was found that mTORC1 inhibited catabolism of extracellular protein. Consequently, mTORC1 inhibition induced albumin-facilitated proliferation during amino-acid-depleted conditions (Palm et al., 2015). It was later proposed, however, that this did not occur through release of inhibition of lysosomal protein degradation but rather because reduced mTORC1 signaling balances the demand of amino acids required for protein synthesis with their supply via macro-pinocytosis (Nofal et al., 2017). These recent findings may at least partly explain why mTORC1-directed therapies are ineffective in PDAC (Wolpin et al., 2009; Javie et al., 2010).

Recent research shows that the other arm of the mTOR pathway, mTORC2, is also important in PDAC progression (Driscoll et al., 2016). The mTORC2 complex regulates a wide range of important cellular functions such as cell growth and survival, metabolism, and actin cytoskeleton organization, mainly through AKT phosphorylation at serine 473 to activate downstream targets such as protein kinase C (PKC) and serum and glucocorticoid-regulated kinase (SGK) (Cybulski and Hall, 2009). AKT can also be phosphorylated at threonine 308 by another kinase, phosphoinositide-dependent kinase 1 (PDK1), which leads to induction of mTORC1 signaling pathway. Full activation of AKT requires phosphorylation of both serine 473 and threonine 308 (Vadlakonda et al., 2013). The induction of different downstream signaling cascades indicates the different cellular functions served by AKT. In human PDAC, AKT phosphorylation at serine 473 that leads to activation of mTORC1 signaling pathway. Full activation of AKT requires phosphorylation of both serine 473 and threonine 308 (Vadlakonda et al., 2013). The induction of different downstream signaling cascades indicates the different cellular functions served by AKT. In human PDAC, AKT phosphorylation at serine 473 has been significantly associated with poor survival (Kennedy et al., 2011). Importantly, a connection between the mTORC2-AKT pathway and macropinocytosis has thus far not been reported.

PTEN deficiency occurs in ~10% of PDAC cases, on top of a near-universal KRAS mutation (Ying et al., 2011), and these tumors are highly proliferative (Hill et al., 2010; Kennedy et al., 2011; Rosenberg et al., 2017). Here, we investigated how these oncogenic lesions synergized to induce metabolic alterations in PDAC cells using tumor cells derived from the KCPTEN (Krás activation and Pten loss) genetically engineered mouse model of PDAC (Kennedy et al., 2011; Morran et al., 2014). These cells proliferated more rapidly than cells with wild-type Pten and were more sensitive to mTOR inhibition. Pten loss also increased protein scavenging, and this was mTORC2 rather than mTORC1 dependent. Surprisingly, albumin supplementation rescued cell proliferation during mTOR inhibition in these cells. Mechanistically, macropinocytosis of albumin recovered AKT phosphorylation at serine 473 and restored growth in an mTORC2 signaling-independent manner. Combining mTOR inhibition with the lysosomal inhibitor chloroquine abrogated the rescue by albumin, leading to extensive cell death. Combinatorial inhibition of mTORC2 and protein scavenging might be a good strategy for treating a subset of PDAC tumors with activated KRAS and PTEN loss.

RESULTS

PTEN Loss in KRAS-Driven PDAC Cells Accelerates Proliferation and Causes Dependency on mTOR Signaling

KRAS is nearly always mutated in PDAC, leading to its constitutive activation (Hruban et al., 2000). In addition to KRAS, the tumor suppressor TP53 is mutated in 50%–70% of human PDAC tumors (Scarpa et al., 1993). The effects of these genetic alterations have been modeled in the Pdx1-Cre; KrasG12D/++; Tp53R172H/+ (KPC) mouse model (Hingorani et al., 2005), which has been found to recapitulate many of the salient features of human PDAC. More recently, it was found that 10%–15% of PDAC patients display high mTOR phosphorylation (and hence activation) due to either loss of PTEN or activating mutations in the PIK3CA gene (Schönleben et al., 2006; Ying et al., 2011), and this is associated with extremely poor prognosis (Garcia-Carracedo et al., 2013). Importantly, Pten loss came up in two independent studies where transposon-mediated mutagenesis screens were carried out in PDAC mouse models to identify novel partners of oncogenic RAS that accelerate tumor growth (Mann et al., 2012; Pérez-Mancera et al., 2012). Also, Pdx1-Cre; KrasG12D/++; Ptenflox/+ (KCPTEN) mice exhibit significantly faster tumor progression than KPC mice (Hill et al., 2010; Morran et al., 2014).

The fact that tumor progression is more rapid in KCPTEN mice than KPC mice indicated to us that the combination of mutant Kras and Pten loss may induce metabolic alterations that facilitate rapid cell growth. In a variety of cell types, loss of the tumor suppressor Pten was found to cause constitutive activation of the downstream PI3K pathway member AKT (Georgescu, 2010). Using KCPTEN cells, we confirmed an increase in phosphorylation of AKT and its immediate downstream target, p70S6K, both of which are indicators of increased mTORC1 activity (Figure 1A). Therefore, mTOR is constitutively activated in KCPTEN cells. Furthermore, to determine if increased proliferative capacity is maintained in culture, we compared cell proliferation rates of two KCPTEN cell lines with two KPC cell lines, with each cell line derived from a different mouse (Figure 1B). These results confirmed KCPTEN cell lines proliferate more rapidly than cells with wild-type Pten.

mTOR is a key player in a large array of cellular processes, including metabolism, survival, and proliferation, and has been intensely investigated as a therapeutic target. mTOR integrates signaling cues and nutrient availability to regulate growth (Laplante and Sabatini, 2012). It has been found to induce anabolic metabolism, including de novo synthesis of nucleotides, proteins, and lipids. Indeed, we found that KCPTEN cells exhibit up-regulated fatty acid biosynthesis (Figure S1A). Stemming from this, we investigated whether the KCPTEN cells, due to the constitutive mTOR signaling, would be sensitive to mTOR inhibition in conditions of differential nutrient availability. To test this, we cultured both KPC and KCPTEN cells in standard Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) containing full amino acids (complete media) and treated the cells with the mTORC1/2 inhibitors Torin1 or AZD2014, using concentrations that led to inhibition of mTOR signaling (Figure S1B). Proliferation was significantly reduced in both cell types, although it was more pronounced in KCPTEN cells (Figure 1C). We next assessed the effect of mTOR inhibition in medium containing 5% of the
original EAA levels, including the well-known mTOR regulator leucine (Lynch et al., 2000). While proliferation of KPC cells was lower in these conditions than in complete medium, it was not further affected by mTOR inhibition. In contrast, the KCPTEN cells remained exquisitely sensitive to mTOR inhibition despite the low EAA levels (Figures 1D and S1C). Thus, Pten deficiency,
Figure 2. PTEN Loss in KRAS-Driven PDAC Tumor Cells Upregulates Macropinocytosis

(A) Macropinocytosis assay using TMR-dextran as a marker of macropinosomes (red staining) in KPC and KCPTEN cells. Nuclei stained with DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) and Alexa 488 phalloidin (green) for actin staining (cellular periphery). The amiloride macropinocytosis inhibitor EIPA was used as a control at 50 μM.

(B) Quantification of TMR-dextran fluorescence. An average of 30 z stack images was acquired per condition. Values were normalized to the average of the vehicle-treated (DMSO) control.

(legend continued on next page)
which is also known to activate several downstream pathways, sensitizes to mTOR inhibition, regardless of free amino acid availability.

**Pten Loss in KRAS-Driven PDAC Tumor Cells Enhances Macropinocytosis**

Cells are able to take up amino acids in the free, monomeric, form. Additionally, PDAC cells are able to acquire amino acids through scavenging and subsequent hydrolysis of extracellular protein (Commissio et al., 2013; Kamphorst et al., 2015). This occurs via a process called macropinocytosis, which is induced by oncogenic RAS (Commissio et al., 2013; Kamphorst et al., 2015). The process of macropinocytosis has been studied in depth in the model organism *Dictyostelium discoideum* (Dumontier et al., 2000; Bloomfield et al., 2015), and multiple studies clearly defined a role for PI3K and its product, PI3P, in macropinosome formation (Veltman et al., 2016). Only recently, studies emerged providing an initial link between the tumor suppressor PTEN and protein scavenging in MEFs and prostate cancer cells (Palm et al., 2017; Kim et al., 2018). Based on these observations, we interrogated whether Pten loss, concurrent to causing constitutive activation of anabolic mTOR signaling, could lead to increased macropinocytosis in PDAC cells. We therefore used the high-molecular-weight polysaccharide tetramethylrhodamine (TMR)-dextran, which represents an established marker for active macropinocytosis (Commissio et al., 2014), to assess the ability of both KPC and KCPTEN cells to take up extracellular material. Although KPC cells displayed active macropinocytosis, we observed that both KCPTEN cell lines had significantly higher macropinocytic activity than KPC cells (Figures 2A, 2B, S2A, and S2B), confirming the role of PTEN and consequently PI3K in macropinocytosis. As expected, dextran uptake through macropinocytosis was significantly inhibited in both KPC and KCPTEN in the presence of the reportedly selective inhibitor of macropinosity, ethylisopropyl amiloride (EIPA) (Figures 2A, 2B, S2A, and S2B). We validated this further using cytochalasin D, which is also known to block macropinocytosis (Mercer and Helenius, 2009; Recouvreux and Commissio, 2017) (Figure S2C). Following ingestion, extracellular protein is hydrolyzed in the lysosomes, generating free amino acids, which then feed into cellular metabolic pathways. Thus, we tested if lysosomal protein hydrolysis is also induced upon Pten loss. For this, we used fluorogenic dequenched bovine serum albumin (DQ-BSA), which self-quenches when intact but starts to fluoresce upon lysosomal digestion (Commissio et al., 2013). Using this assay on KPC and KCPTEN cells (Frost et al., 2017), we observed that fluorescence was strongly increased in KCPTEN cells (Figures 2C, 2D, S2D, and S2E). Thus, in the setting of Ras-driven PDAC cells, PTEN deficiency increases protein scavenging and hydrolysis.

**Protein Scavenging Is Regulated by mTORC2**

Our results so far show that Pten deficiency leads to both increased anabolic mTOR signaling and protein scavenging through macropinocytosis. Additionally, work by others showed a direct link between mTOR and macropinocytosis (Palm et al., 2015; Nofal et al., 2017). For these reasons, we next sought to investigate the sensitivity of our PDAC cells to mTOR inhibition in the absence or presence of extracellular bovine serum albumin (BSA). While KPC cells proliferate in low-EAA conditions, mTOR inhibition had no further effect, nor did BSA supplementation (Figure 3A). This is different from previous work where it was shown that Ras-driven MEFs do not proliferate under conditions of deprived EAAs, a phenotype that can be rescued in the presence of extracellular protein (Palm et al., 2015; Nofal et al., 2017). To determine if the observed difference between the two systems could be explained by the genetic diversity across the different cell types, we cultured immortalized baby mouse kidney cells (iBMK) harboring a Ras-activating mutation (Degenhardt et al., 2002; Degenhardt and White, 2006) and similarly found they were still proliferating upon albumin supplementation (Figure S3A). Increased TMR-dextran uptake was observed in iBMK-RAS cells, confirming that oncogenic RAS induces macropinocytosis (Figure S3B). Stemming from the above, we conclude that the genetic background of cell lines can explain differences in both resistance to amino acid deprivation and the ability to proliferate with exogenous protein as an amino acid source in certain conditions.

Next, we performed a head-to-head comparison of the ability of KPC and KCPTEN cells to proliferate using protein in both low-EAA and replete-medium conditions, in the presence or absence of mTOR inhibition. We used cell number as a readout of proliferation. Surprisingly, while mTOR inhibition and protein supplementation had no effect on KPC cells in low EAA, in KCPTEN cells, mTOR inhibition caused a growth defect, and this was rescued by protein supplementation (Figure 3A). Furthermore, we found that mTOR inhibition induced cell death via apoptosis, as assessed by Annexin V staining, which was bypassed upon albumin supplementation (Figure S3C). In replete-medium conditions with full EAA, proliferation of KPC cells was significantly affected by mTOR inhibition, but albumin did not rescue this (Figure 3B). In KCPTEN cells, however, the presence of albumin again substantially rescued proliferation (Figures 3B and S3D). Overall, this argues that macropinocytosis is a mechanism by which KCPTEN cells overcome metabolic crisis and become resistant to mTOR inhibition, independently of free amino acid availability.

To investigate more in depth the role of Pten deficiency in contributing to the obtained resistance against mTOR inhibitors, we expressed human PTEN in KCPTEN1 cells (Figure 3C). We found that KCPTEN cells expressing hPTEN were still sensitive to mTORC1/2 inhibition, and protein supplementation could still rescue the observed proliferation defects. However, the recovery of proliferation in the presence of albumin was significantly decreased in hPTEN-expressing cells compared to the empty vector KCPTENs, indicating that Pten expression partially blocks the proliferative advantage conferred by protein scavenging.
mTORC1 has been argued to regulate hydrolysis of scavenged protein (Palm et al., 2015), and more recently, it was shown that (partial) mTORC1 inhibition balances amino acid demand for growth with amino acid availability via macropinocytosis (Palm et al., 2015; Nofal et al., 2017). Importantly, mTORC2 is also known to play a significant role in PDAC (Driscol et al., 2016), but its exact role remains understudied. The mTOR inhibitors we used thus far (torin1 and AZD2014) are ATP-competitive inhibitors of both mTORC1 and mTORC2. We therefore asked if the induced cell death in KCPTEN cells was mTORC1 specific. Rapamycin specifically blocks mTORC1 activity, but surprisingly, there was no effect on proliferation of KCPTEN cells, either in complete medium or in medium with low EAs (Figures S3E and S3F). This suggested that KCPTEN cells are dependent on mTORC2 rather than mTORC1 signaling and that this dependency can be overcome by macropinocytosis. To further investigate the role of mTORC1, we asked if protein supplementation was able to re-engage mTORC1 downstream targets (Figure S4A). Both Torin1 and AZD2014 were capable of blocking mTORC1 activity, as evidenced by an abrogation of phosphorylation of its downstream target, S6-RP. Furthermore, phosphorylation of 4E-BP1, as indicated by the hyperphosphorylated isoforms β and γ, was also blocked by the mTOR dual inhibitors (Figure S4A). Protein supplementation did not rescue the phosphorylation of any of the mTORC1 targets, providing further credence to the notion that the rescue of impaired proliferation occurs independently of mTORC1. Furthermore, using CRISPR/Cas9 technology, we created mTORC1-deficient KCPTEN cells by ablating RAPTOR, which is an essential subunit of the complex (Figure S4B). Confirming our findings with mTORC1 inhibitors (rapamycin), RAPTOR-knockout KCPTEN cells displayed no significant differences in proliferation under low-EAA conditions (Figure S4C) or macropinocytosis levels (Figure S4D) compared to RAPTOR wildtype cells. However, we observed increased lysosomal processing measured by DQ-BSA fluorescence (Figure S4E), which has also been reported by studies in RAPTOR-knockout MEFs (Palm et al., 2015). Together, these findings indicate that the observed proliferation mediated by protein scavenging is mTORC1 independent.

Our results indicate that KCPTEN cells display sensitivity to mTORC2 inhibition. To evaluate the role of mTORC2, we created mTORC2-deficient KCPTEN cells by silencing RICTOR, an essential subunit of mTORC2 (Figure 3E). We found that KCPTEN RICTOR-knockout cells were somewhat more sensitive to the low-EAA environment, and protein supplementation could not rescue the observed proliferation defects (Figure 3F). RICTOR deficiency also led to induction of apoptosis, which could not be alleviated by protein supplementation either (Figure 3F). This aligned with our observation that uptake of TMR-dextran via macropinocytosis was significantly decreased (Figure 3G) and DQ-BSA was completely abolished in RICTOR-knockout KCPTENs compared to the empty vector (Figure 3H). These results implicate a dominant role of mTORC2 in mediating protein scavenging.

Our experiments with inhibitors and genetic knockout both demonstrate the involvement of mTORC2 in protein scavenging. They also highlight a difference, with pharmacological inhibition of mTORC2 (Torin1) leading to defects in proliferation that can be rescued by protein scavenging and CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knockout blocking protein scavenging altogether. Genetic ablation of RICTOR, in addition to blocking the kinase activity of mTORC2 like the inhibitors, also disrupts the entire mTORC2 scaffold. To investigate more in depth the effect of the two modes of mTORC2 disruption on protein scavenging, we utilized the DQ-BSA assay and compared Torin1-treated KCPTEN cells with RICTOR-knockout KCPTEN cells. Torin1 treatment did not impair protein scavenging (DQ-BSA fluorescence), regardless of protein supplementation. In RICTOR-deficient KCPTEN cells, however, protein scavenging was abolished (Figure 3I). Overall, these data clearly demonstrate an intricate interplay between mTORC2 and protein scavenging that extends beyond its function as a kinase. In this regard, it is worth noting that mTORC2

Figure 3. Protein Scavenging Is Regulated by mTORC2
(A) Effect of bovine serum albumin (BSA) supplementation (3%) on cell proliferation during Torin1 inhibition. Assay was done in media containing 5% EAAs for 72 h (250 nM Torin1).
(B) As (A), but with standard DMEM amino acid concentrations.
(C) Pten protein levels in empty-vector- and hPTEN-expressing KCPTEN1 cells.
(D) Same as (A), but for hPTEN-expressing KCPTEN1 cells.
(E) RICTOR, pAKT serine 473, and total AKT protein levels in empty-vector- and RICTOR-knockout (RICTOR-KO) KCPTEN1 cells. RICTOR levels were normalized to β-tubulin and expressed relative to the empty vector. pAKT (serine 473) was normalized to total AKT levels and expressed relative to the empty vector.
(F) Cell number and apoptosis as readouts of proliferation and cell death, respectively, in RICTOR-KO KCPTEN1 cells cultured in 5% EAAs ±3% BSA for 72 h.
(G) Quantification of TMR-dextran uptake and DQ-BSA fluorescence for RICTOR-KO KCPTEN1 cells. An average of 60 z stack images were acquired per condition. Values were normalized to the empty-vector-expressing KCPTEN1.
(H) Same as (G), but for DQ-BSA fluorescence.
(I) Quantification of DQ-BSA fluorescence in KCPTEN1 E empty vector and RICTOR-KO cells. Empty vector cells were treated with Torin1 ±3% BSA for 24 h. An average of 45 z stack images were acquired per condition. Values were normalized to the untreated (no BSA) empty-vector-expressing KCPTEN1.

For (A), (B), (D), (G), and (H), error bars represent SEM of three independent experiments, each with three technical replicates. Significance was determined by Tukey-corrected two-way ANOVA. For (F) (cell number), error bars represent SEM of four biological experiments, each conducted with three technical replicates. For (F) (apoptosis), error bars represent SEM of two biological experiments, each with three technical replicates. For (G) and (H), significance was determined by Student’s t test with Welch’s corrections. For (I), error bars represent SEM of three biological experiments, each with three technical replicates, and significance was determined by one-way ANOVA with Tukey corrections. ns, nonsignificant. ***p < 0.0001, **p < 0.0005 **p < 0.005, and *p < 0.01. Dashed lines indicate the starting cell number.
has been shown to reside on endosomal vesicles (Ebner et al., 2017).

Protein Scavenging Supports Proliferation through Recovery of AKT Serine 473 Phosphorylation

As protein consumption supports proliferation of KCPTEN cells despite inhibition of mTOR, we were interested in dissecting the underlined molecular mechanisms of rescue of proliferation by protein scavenging in these conditions. Autophagy is a well-described catabolic mechanism often found upregulated in RAS-driven tumors like PDAC. mTOR is a negative regulator of autophagy (Rabinowitz and White, 2010). We therefore asked whether autophagy induction contributes to the recovery of proliferation in KCPTEN cells during mTOR inhibition. For this reason, we utilized the CRISPR/Cas9 technology to create autophagy-deficient KCPTEN cells by knocking out Atg7, which is an essential component of autophagosomal membrane formation (Figure S5A). We cultured the KCPTEN ATG7-knockout cells in medium containing 5% EAAs and treated them with Torin1, but proliferation was not affected in any of the two cell lines, suggesting that autophagy does not contribute to the recovery of proliferation (Figure S5B).

We next expanded our analysis of mTORC2-downstream signaling mediators that could potentially explain the recovery of proliferation. We noticed that while phosphorylation in serine 473 of the well-described mTORC2 target AKT was significantly reduced with the mTORC1/2 inhibitors AZD2014 or Torin1, it was restored upon protein supplementation regardless of free amino acid availability (Figures 4A and 4B). We also investigated the effect on the other AKT phosphorylation site, threonine 308, which was not greatly affected compared to the serine 473 site (Figures 4A and 4B). To determine whether the recovery of AKT serine 473 phosphorylation depends on macropinocytosis, we used EIPA in combination with Torin1. Indeed, AKT phosphorylation was significantly decreased upon inhibition of macropinocytosis, demonstrating that AKT phosphorylation is macropinocytosis dependent (Figure S5C). Furthermore, we showed that blocking AKT significantly decreases TMR-dextran uptake via macropinocytosis in KCPTEN cells (Figure S5D). While AKT is well known to induce mTORC1 signaling and can promote cell proliferation in this manner, it could also support proliferation via other signaling cascades (Manning and Toker, 2017). To test if AKT phosphorylation and hence activity upon protein supplementation is at least partially responsible for the rescue of proliferation, we incubated the cells with either of two AKT inhibitors, AZD5363 and AKT VIII, in addition to Torin1 and BSA (Figures 4C and S5E). Interestingly, when used in isolation, AZ5363, which is an ATP-competitive inhibitor, had no effect on proliferation, while AKT VIII, an allosteric inhibitor, reduced proliferation, indicating a mechanistic difference in the displayed suppression profiles of the inhibitors. However, in both cases, BSA-mediated restoration of cell proliferation of mTOR-inhibited cells was greatly diminished with AKT inhibition, consistent with the notion that restoration of AKT phosphorylation by protein supplementation is an important contributor to the observed rescue of cell proliferation. Thus, protein scavenging restores growth in mTOR-inhibited cells by reengaging AKT phosphorylation and activity.

To further investigate the role of AKT, we expressed myristoylated AKT2 (myrAKT2) in KCPTEN1 cells (Figure S5F). myrAKT2-expressing cells lack the pleckstrin homology (PH) domain where PIP lipids bind, and it can therefore get phosphorylated in a PIP-independent manner (Manning and Toker, 2017). However, myrAKT2 can still be phosphorylated by mTORC2 at its hydrophobic motif, which is intact. To check whether active AKT could confer resistance to mTOR inhibitors, we treated KCPTEN empty-vector- and myrAKT2-expressing cells with Torin1 and supplemented with 3% albumin. We found that KCPTEN1 myrAKT2 cells were still sensitive to mTOR inhibition (Figure S5G). To further investigate this, we checked the effect of mTOR dual inhibition and protein supplementation on AKT phosphorylation levels. In myrAKT2-expressing KCPTEN cells, Torin1 still blocked AKT phosphorylation at serine 473, which was then recovered by protein supplementation similar to the empty-vector-expressing KCPTEN cells (Figure S5H). Thus, myrAKT2 is subject to the same phosphorylation events at the hydrophobic domain, and hence activity regulation, as endogenous AKT upon mTOR inhibition and protein supplementation, lending further credence to our observations.

Combined mTOR and Lysosomal Inhibition Induce Cell Death in KCPTEN Cells

Serine 473 of AKT is a known downstream phosphorylation target of mTORC2 (Sarbassov et al., 2005). Our work shows that this phosphorylation site of AKT is rescued by protein supplementation during mTOR inhibition. The fact that mTORC2 activity is also inhibited with the dual mTOR inhibitors suggests that this phosphorylation event occurs through an alternative mechanism. Scavenging and recycling pathways that converge on the lysosomal pathway have gathered a lot of attention and are considered a hallmark of pancreatic cancer (Perera et al., 2015; Pavlova and Thompson, 2016). Macropinosomes fuse with lysosomes, and the extracellular protein they contain is hydrolyzed to yield free amino acids that feed into metabolic pathways (Michalopoulou et al., 2016). As protein supplementation restores AKT phosphorylation in mTOR-inhibited cells, we wondered if lysosomal processing of extracellular protein is a critical event for AKT phosphorylation. We first confirmed that the proteolytic degradation of extracellular protein is dependent on lysosomes by using chloroquine, a lysosomal inhibitor. This indeed abolished the lysosomal processing, as it is depicted by the loss of DQ-BSA fluorescence in both KPC and KCPTEN cell lines (Figures 5A, 5B, S6A, and S6B).

We next treated KCPTEN cells with both chloroquine and a dual mTOR inhibitor. In the absence of the mTORC1/2 inhibitor Torin1, chloroquine treatment did not affect AKT phosphorylation at serine 473 (Figures 5C and S6C). However, in cells exposed to supplemented protein, persistent AKT phosphorylation upon mTOR inhibition was further abrogated with chloroquine treatment (Figures 5C and S6C). In line with earlier obtained results (Figure 4C), the loss of AKT phosphorylation, this time due to chloroquine treatment, led to an inability of supplemented protein to rescue mTOR-mediated inhibition of proliferation (Figures S5D and S6D). We further validated these results by using another lysosomal inhibitor, baflomycin, that also abrogated proliferation and AKT phosphorylation upon combination with mTOR inhibition.
The abolished proliferation was associated with induction of cell death via apoptosis (Figure S6G). Thus, lysosomal hydrolysis of extracellular protein is critical for the extracellular-protein-mediated resistance against mTOR inhibition.

**DISCUSSION**

The continuous proliferation of cancer cells puts a high demand on molecular components needed for new cells. Cells meet this...
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by upregulating de novo synthetic metabolic pathways (Elstrom et al., 2004; Engelman et al., 2006) or, alternatively, by scavenging and reusing macromolecules (White, 2013). The latter can be critical in a nutrient-restrictive tumor microenvironment, such as in PDAC, where nutrient delivery is poor due to the extensive fibrosis and deficient vasculature (Provenzano et al., 2012; Chauhan et al., 2014; DelGiorno et al., 2014). The decision to commit to de novo synthesis or scavenging appears to be, at least in part, governed by oncogenic signaling (Pavlova and Thompson, 2016). Constitutive activation of the PI3K-AKT-mTOR pathway induces nucleotide and fatty acid synthesis, as well as protein translation (Howell et al., 2013), whereas mutant RAS induces both autophagy and macroautophagy (Commissio et al., 2013; Karsli-Uzunbas et al., 2014). Interestingly, in addition to a near-universal KRAS mutation, a significant portion of PDAC tumors also feature loss of PTEN (Ying et al., 2011). This is associated with a significantly reduced survival of patients, and mouse models show that when combined, these oncogenic events promote tumor progression (Garcia-Carracedo et al., 2013). What metabolic program do these cells engage in? Our data indicate that both mTOR-mediated anabolic metabolism and macroautophagy are upregulated in these cells. Critically, our studies with mTOR inhibitors show that the cells can switch between metabolic programs, and this is solely governed by the availability of extracellular protein.

Others have previously established a link between mTOR, specifically mTORC1, and protein scavenging. Palm et al. showed that partial mTOR inhibition could increase cell proliferation in a low-free-EAA but protein-rich environment (Palm et al., 2015). The authors proposed that mTORC1 inhibition relieved its suppressing effect on lysosomal processing of scavenged protein. This was later nuanced by Nofal et al. (2017); it was shown that mTORC1’s regulation of lysosomal processing was rather modest and that a more likely explanation for the increased cell proliferation is that partial mTORC1 inhibition balances amino acid demand with supply through macroautophagy. Some of the results we obtained were subtly different from the work published by these authors. For example, our PDAC-derived KCPTEN cells were not sensitive to a low-EAA environment (i.e., they did not die), as it was observed for MEFs and IBMK cells (Figure S3A; Palm et al., 2015; Nofal et al., 2017). Also, AKT inhibition had opposing effects to what was previously reported. This is most likely caused by the specific cell types used and the genetic alterations studied.

Apart from the above-mentioned subtle differences between this and previous studies, we also encountered fundamentally new observations with respect to the interaction between mTOR and macroautophagy. First, while both previous reports focused on the interaction between mTORC1 and macroautophagy, our PDAC-derived cells appear to be mTORC2 rather than mTORC1 driven; in contrast to dual mTOR inhibitors, rapamycin, a mTORC1-specific inhibitor, does not substantially compromise proliferation of KCPTEN (or KPC) cells (Figures S3E and S3F), and hence, there was no proliferative rescue with protein supplementation. Interestingly, rapamycin has been shown to cause proliferative arrest in KCPTEN-bearing mice (Morran et al., 2014). However, it has been shown that prolonged treatment with rapamycin can also lead to mTORC2 inhibition (Sarbassov et al., 2006; Schreiber et al., 2015). We further validated our results by genetically disrupting mTORC1 and mTORC2 via RAPTOR and RICTOR knockout, respectively. Indeed, RAPTOR ablation had no effect on proliferation or macroautophagy, while it significantly increased lysosomal processing of extracellular protein, as has also been shown by others (Figures S4C–S4E). Interestingly, disruption of mTOR2 complex by knocking out RICTOR abolished protein scavenging, which consequently led to significantly compromised proliferation and induction of apoptosis, which could no longer be recovered by protein supplementation (Figures 3F–3H). The different outcomes of the pharmacological inhibition and the genetic disruption of mTORC2 indicate that beyond its kinase activity, the mTORC2 complex is a critical mediator macroautophagy and lysosomal functioning (Figure 6). The exact underlying mechanism will be subject to future research. Overall, our data argue that combining lysosomal inhibition with mTOR inhibitors is an efficient therapeutic strategy against PTEN-loss-driven PDAC cells.

The second fundamentally novel observation we made was that protein-mediated rescue of cell proliferation during mTOR inhibition is not due to balancing amino acid supply and demand; rather, it is due to recovery of AKT phosphorylation at serine 473, which is a well-established direct phosphorylation target of mTORC2 (Sarbassov et al., 2005). This at least partially leads to recovery of proliferation, and it suggests that AKT contributes to cell proliferation and hence events such as protein translation independently of mTOR signaling (Figure 6).

How does macroautophagy lead to recovery of AKT phosphorylation? Our experiments with the lysosomal inhibitor chloroquine (Figure 5C) argue that hydrolysis of the extracellular protein is a step of critical importance for this event to occur.

Figure 5. Macropinocytosis Maintains AKT Phosphorylation, and Its Abrogation Restores Sensitivity to mTOR Inhibition
(A) Lysosomal degradation of extracellular protein was measured using DQ-BSA. KC-PTEN cells were treated with vehicle (DMSO) or chloroquine (CQ; 50 μM) for 4 h. Alexa 488-phallloid (green) was used for F-actin and DAPI (blue) for nuclear visualization. Scale bar represents 20 μm.
(B) Quantification of DQ-BSA fluorescence upon CQ treatment. An average of 50 z stack images were acquired per condition. Values were normalized to the vehicle-treated (DMSO) control.
(C) KCPTEN1 cells were cultured in medium containing 5% EAAas +3% BSA and treated with Torin1, CQ, and their combination for 24 and 48 h, pAKT (serine 473 and threonine 308) was normalized to the total AKT protein levels and each value was expressed relative to the vehicle-treated (no BSA) control. Blocking lysosomal activity inhibits recovery of pAKT serine 473, bypassing the effect of protein supplementation.
(D) Combinatorial treatment with mTOR and lysosomal inhibition abolishes proliferative advantage conferred by protein. The same cell number was plated, and cells were left overnight to attach. Medium was then changed to 5% EAAas +3% BSA and cells treated with 30 μM CQ, 250 nM Torin1, or the combination for 72 h. Cell number was used as a readout. For (B), error bars represent SEM of two independent experiments each conducted in triplicate wells. For (D), error bars represent SEM of three biological experiments, each conducted with three technical replicates. Significance was determined by two-way ANOVA. ***p < 0.0005, **p < 0.0001, and *p < 0.001.
The fact that mTOR inhibition can promote clinical results, including in PDAC, have been disappointing. The lack of clinical success. The results presented here demonstrate that a combination of specific oncogenic alterations and access to extracellular protein is enough to circumvent mTOR inhibition. Protein scavenging is hence a bona fide resistance mechanism. These results should be taken into consideration when investigating the therapeutic effects of mTOR inhibition in the future.

STAR METHODS

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- **KEY RESOURCES TABLE**
- **LEAD CONTACT AND MATERIALS AVAILABILITY**
- **EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS**
  - Cell Lines and cell culture conditions
- **METHOD DETAILS**
  - Essential Amino Acid Starvation
  - RICTOR and RAPTOR knock-out cell lines
  - De novo fatty acid biosynthesis
  - Cell Death Assay (Flow Cytometry)
  - TMR-Dextran and DQ-BSA Assays
  - Western Blotting
- **QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**
- **DATA AND CODE AVAILABILITY**

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2020.01.080.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

J.J.K is supported by a Cancer Research UK Career Development Fellowship (C50242/A17728). We thank the BAIR Imaging facilities at the Beatson Institute for help with imaging and quantification. We also thank Dr. D. Bryant and Dr. A.R. Fernandez for kindly sharing the PTEN plasmid. Finally, we thank the Kamphorst lab and the Cancer Metabolism Research Unit at the Beatson for critical review of the manuscript.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS


DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

J.J.K. is an employee and shareholder of Rheos Medicines.

REFERENCES


## STAR METHODS

### KEY RESOURCES TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ANTIBODIES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit Monoclonal anti-phosphoAKT (Ser473)</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Cat#4060S; RRID:AB_2315049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit Monoclonal anti-phosphoAKT (Thr308)</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Cat#4056S; RRID:AB_331163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit Monoclonal anti-AKT</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Cat#4685S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit Monoclonal anti-P70SK</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Cat#2708S; RRID:AB_390722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit Monoclonal anti-phosphoP70SK (Thr389)</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Cat#9234S; RRID:AB_2269803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit Monoclonal anti-phosphoP70SK6-RP (Ser235/236)</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Cat#4858S; RRID:AB_916156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit Monoclonal anti-phosphoP70SK (Thr389)</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Cat#2217S; RRID:AB_331355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit Monoclonal anti-PRA540 (Thr246)</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Cat#2691; RRID:AB_2225033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-4EBP1 (53H11)</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Cat#9644S; RRID:AB_2097841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit Monoclonal anti-ATG7</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Cat#8558S; RRID:AB_10831194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit Monoclonal anti-PTEN</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Cat#9559S; RRID:AB_390810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti-RAPTOR (24C12)</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Cat#2280S; RRID:AB_561245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse Polyclonal anti-RICTOR</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Cat#21140; RRID:AB_2179961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse Monoclonal anti-phosphoAKT2 (Ser474)</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Cat#8599; RRID:AB_2630347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse Monoclonal anti-AKT2</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Cat#3063S; RRID:AB_2225186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donkey anti-mouse IRDye 800CW</td>
<td>Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat#926-32212; RRID:AB_621847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat anti-Rabbit, Alexa Fluor 488</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>Cat# A-11008; RRID:AB_143165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse monoclonal anti-\beta tubulin</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat#T4026; RRID:AB_477577</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CHEMICAL; PEPTIDES; AND RECOMBINANT PROTEINS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AKT-VIII, AKT inhibitor</td>
<td>Cayman Chemicals</td>
<td>CAY14870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ2014, mTORC1/2 inhibitor</td>
<td>AstraZeneca</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ5363, AKT inhibitor</td>
<td>AstraZeneca</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroquine, lysosomal inhibitor</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>C6628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIPA, macropinocytosis inhibitor</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat#1154-25-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapamycin, mTORC1 inhibitor</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat#53123-88-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torin1, mTORC1/2 inhibitor</td>
<td>Tocris Bioscience</td>
<td>Cat#4247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytochalasin D, macropinocytosis inhibitor</td>
<td>Cayman Chemicals</td>
<td>CAY11330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bafilomycin A1, lysosomal inhibitor</td>
<td>Tocris Bioscience</td>
<td>Cat#1334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMR-Dextran, macropinocytosis marker</td>
<td>Thermo-Scientific</td>
<td>Cat#D1818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DQ-BSA, macropinocytosis/lysosomal marker</td>
<td>Molecular Probes</td>
<td>D12051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phalloidin conjugated to Alexa488</td>
<td>Thermo-Scientific</td>
<td>Cat#A12397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarity Max ECL</td>
<td>Bio-Rad</td>
<td>6305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SuperSignal West Femto Maximum Sensitivity Substrate</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>34096</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Critical Commercial Assays

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assay</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FITC-Annexin V, apoptosis marker</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>V13242</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### EXPERIMENTAL MODELS: CELL LINES

- Mouse Cell Lines: Pdx1-Cre; KrasG12D/++; Trp53R172H/+ for KPC1 (C57BL/6J) and KPC2 (mixed background) cell lines
  - Dr. Jennifer Morton’s Laboratory | N/A |
- Mouse Cell Lines: Pdx1-Cre; KrasG12D/++; Pten-flox for KCPTEN1 and KCPTEN2 cell lines
  - Dr. Jennifer Morton’s Laboratory | N/A |

(Continued on next page)
LEAD CONTACT AND MATERIALS AVAILABILITY

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Jurre Kamphorst (jurre.kamphorst@glasgow.ac.uk).

This study did not generate new unique reagents.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Cell Lines and cell culture conditions

Cell lines were originally derived from two separate Pdx1-Cre; KrasG12D/+; Trp53R172H/+ (KPC) mice (both females) (one with mixed background (KPC2) and one with C57BL/6J (KPC1) or from two separate Pdx1-Cre; KrasG12D/+; Ptenflox/+ (KCPTEN) (females) mice. These cell lines were kindly provided by Dr Jennifer Morton’s lab and they have been authenticated. Cells were routinely passaged in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM, Sigma) with 25 mM glucose and 2 mM L-glutamine, supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (FBS; Sigma), split at 80% confluence, and were routinely checked for mycoplasma. The cells were incubated at 37°C and 5% CO2.

METHOD DETAILS

Essential Amino Acid Starvation

For essential amino acid (EAA) starvation experiments, the same number of cells (25,000) was plated in full DMEM media and left overnight to adhere. The following day medium was removed, and cells were rinsed once with PBS. Cells were then cultured in Earle’s Balanced Salt Solution (EBSS) medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific) supplemented with 5% (1x) MEM Amino Acids and MEM Non-Essential Amino Acids (Sigma), 100x Vitamins, 2 mM Glutamine, 4.5 mM Glucose (for a final concentration of 10mM), 10% dialyzed FBS (Sigma) and 3% Bovine Serum Albumin (Sigma) for 72h unless indicated otherwise. The following inhibitors were added (along with changing medium and left for 72hours) as indicated: 1 μM A2014, 200 nM AZD8186, 200 nM AZD8835, 1 μM AZD5363 (from AstraZeneca); AKT VIII (Cayman Chemical), 20 μM for TMR-Dextran uptake experiments and 10 μM for 72h proliferation assay); Chloroquine (Sigma, 50 μM for DQ-BSA Assay and 15 μM for 72hour-proliferation Assay), 2 μM Cytochalasin (Cayman Chemical), 50 nM Rapamycin (Sigma); 250 nM Torin1 and 1nM Bafilomycin (Tocris Bioscience). Cell number was used as a readout of proliferation and it was determined using the CASY Cell Counter (Roche). 2.5x 104 RICTOR-KO and RAPTOR-KO KCPTEN cells were cultured in full DMEM and left overnight to adhere to plastic. The medium was then changed to 5% Essential amino acid in the presence or absence of 3% Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA) and left for 72 hours prior to cell number count by CASY Cell Counter (Roche) or subjected to the Annexin V protocol as described below.

RICTOR and RAPTOR knock-out cell lines

For CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing of the ATG7, RAPTOR and RICTOR genes we used plasmids kindly provided by Kevin Ryan’s lab (O’Prey et al., 2017). The v2.0 plasmid (O’Prey et al., 2017) was used to clone guide sequences targeting Rictor: CACCGCCGTCAATATGGCGGAT and Raptor: CACCGCCGTCCGCTCTACGAGG. Lentivirus was generated for each plasmid in HEK293T cells and used to infect the relevant cell line. KCPTEN1 cell line was transfected with 5 μg control plasmid (empty vector) or 5 μg plasmid containing either the Atg7, the Rictor or the Raptor sequence. After two rounds of transfection, cells were subjected to selection with

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>OLIGONUCLEOTIDES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primers for RICTOR: CACCGCCGTCAATATGGCGGAT</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primers for RAPTOR: CACCGCCGTCCGCTCTACGAGG</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recombinant DNA plNCX1 MyrAKT2</td>
<td>Addgene</td>
<td>#272894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pQCXIH hPTEN</td>
<td>Dr David Bryan’ Laboratory</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Software and Algorithms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOFTWARE AND ALGORITHMS</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ImageJ</td>
<td>Schneider et al., 2012</td>
<td><a href="https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/">https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GraphPad Prism 7</td>
<td>GraphPad Software</td>
<td><a href="https://www.graphpad.com/scientific-software/prism/">https://www.graphpad.com/scientific-software/prism/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Image Studio Lite</td>
<td>Li-Cor Biosciences</td>
<td><a href="https://www.licor.com/bio/image-studio-lite/">https://www.licor.com/bio/image-studio-lite/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2 μg/ml puromycin for 7 days. Following puromycin selection, the ATG7, RICTOR and RAPTOR knock-out cell lines were confirmed by assessment of Atg7, Rictor, Raptor, pAKT (S473)/total AKT and pP70-S6K/total P70-S6K protein levels via western blotting.

Retrovirus was produced in 293T cells and used to infect the KCP1 cells. 5 μg of pLNOX1 Myr-AKT2 plasmid (Addgene #27294) was used to express myr-AKT2 to KCP1 cells following the supplier’s protocol. After two rounds of transfection, cells were then subjected to 200 μg/ml neomycin selection for 7 days. Similarly, for expression of human PTEN wild-type in KCP1 cells, 5 μg of the pQCIHX plasmid were used. KCP1 cell line was transfected with pQCIHX control plasmid or wild-type hPTEN and after two rounds of transfection it was subjected to 400 μg/ml hygromycin selection for 7 days. Overexpression was assessed by assessing the protein levels of pAKT2 (S474)/total AKT and Pten via western blotting.

### De novo fatty acid biosynthesis
Fatty acid labeling was performed as published previously (Tumanov et al., 2015). DMEM supplemented with 10mM U-13C-glucose and 2mM U-13C-glutamine (Sigma) and 10% dFBS was used as indicated. After 72h incubation, cells were placed on ice and medium was aspirated. The cells were then washed 2x with ice-cold PBS, quenched with 0.75 mL of 1:1 v/v PBS:Methanol kept at −20°C. After cell scraping, the extraction solvent was transferred to glass tubes, and the total fatty acids was extracted in 0.5 mL chloroform (kept −20°C) and dried under nitrogen gas. Lipids were saponified and methylated with toluene, methanol and methanolic-HCL, and then vortexed and incubated at 100°C for 60 min. Fatty acid methyl esters were extracted with water and hexane and hexane fraction analyzed by GC/MS (Tumanov et al., 2015). Fraction de novo synthesized equals 1–fraction M0.

### Cell Death Assay (Flow Cytometry)
Apoptosis and necrosis were assayed by flow cytometry. 3x10^5 cells were seeded on six-well plates for treatment with mTOR/lysosomal inhibitors or 2.5x10^5 cells on 12-well plates for RAPTOR-KO and RICTOR-KO KCP1 cells in full DMEM medium and left O/N to attach. Medium was then replaced with 5% EAs and cells incubated with mTOR/CQ with or without 3% albumin for 72h. Medium was collected, and cells were washed with PBS which was also collected. After trypsinization, cell suspension was added to the collected medium. A suspension containing 10^5 cells was centrifuged at 2000 g for 5 min and supernatant removed. The pellet was re-suspended with in 1X Annexin-binding buffer containing FITC-Annexin V (Apoptosis marker) (Invitrogen). Samples were mixed gently for 15 min at room temperature. Finally, 100 μg/mL of Propidium Iodide (PI-necrosis marker) were added to the solution and samples were processed in Attune NxT Flow Cytometer (Invitrogen).

### TMR-Dextran and DQ-BSA Assays

#### TMR-Dextran Assay
Cells were seeded on glass coverslips in full DMEM for 24h. Cells were then starved for 2h in serum-free DMEM containing 2 mg/mL glutamine, and then medium was replaced with serum-free DMEM containing vehicle or indicated inhibitor and incubated for 1h. Then, cells were incubated in serum-free medium supplemented with 0.5μg/ml TMR-Dextran (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 30 min. At the end of the incubation, cells were washed five times with 2ml ice-cold PBS and then immediately fixed in 3.7% paraformaldehyde solution for 15 min. Cellular periphery was visualized with Alexa Fluor 488 Phalloidin (for F-actin staining) (Thermo Fisher Scientific). For nuclear staining, cells were incubated in serum-free medium supplemented with 0.5μg/ml DAPI (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 15 min. Coverslips were washed with 2ml PBS 3 times between each step. Z stack images were obtained with an Olympus confocal FV1000 microscope using standard settings. Quantification of the macropinocytic index was done as previously described (Commissio et al., 2014). Mean fluorescence intensity was determined by calculating the integrated signal from randomly chosen fields and normalized to the cell area determined by fluorescently labeled membrane markers (Alexa 488- Phalloidin for actin).

#### DQ-BSA Fluorescence Assay
After 2h starvation, serum-free DMEM medium was supplemented with 0.1 mg/mL DQ Red BSA (Molecular Probes) and cells incubated for 4h and treated with either vehicle or 50 μM Chloroquine (Sigma). For Figure 3I, Empty Vector KCP1 cells were cultured in 5% EAAs ± 250nM Torin1 ± 3% BSA for 24 hours prior to the DQ-BSA assay. RICTOR-KO KCP1 cells were cultured in 5% EAAs without BSA. Cells were rinsed with ice-cold PBS and fixed with 3.7% paraformaldehyde for 15 min. Alexa Fluor-488 Phalloidin was again used for F-actin and DAPI for nuclear visualization as described above and Z stack images were obtained with an Olympus confocal FV1000 microscope using standard settings. Quantification was carried out as described above.

### Western Blotting
Cells were plated at full DMEM medium on 6-cm dishes and left overnight to adhere. Medium was changed as previously described and cells were treated with the indicated concentrations of small-molecule inhibitors. Plates were placed on ice and cells were washed 3x with ice-cold PBS. Whole-cell protein lysates were prepared in RIPA buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific), containing 100x Protease and Phosphatase inhibitors, and total protein concentration was determined by DC protein assay (Bio-Rad). Proteins were separated using precast 4%–12% or 3%–8% NuPAGE gels (Invitrogen, Life Technologies), and transferred onto nitrocellulose membrane. Primary antibodies were used at 1:1000 dilution. Secondary antibodies were IRDye 800CW Donkey anti-Mouse (Thermo Fisher Scientific), or Alexa Fluor 488 Goat anti-Rabbit (Invitrogen), all at 1:5000 dilution. Mouse monoclonal Anti-β-tubulin (Thermo Fisher Scientific), or Alexa Fluor 488 Goat anti-Rabbit (Invitrogen), all at 1:5000 dilution.
(Sigma) at 1:5000 was used as loading control. Proteins were detected and quantified using a Li-Cor Odyssey Infrared scanner and software (Li-Cor Biosciences). ECL was used for the development of membranes incubated with pAKT T308 antibody (Cell Signaling, Cat#4056S).

Quantification of western blots was done using the Image Studio Lite.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Graphs were created, and statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 7.02 software. Statistical details can be found in the figure legends.

DATA AND CODE AVAILABILITY

This study did not generate datasets.